has also not replied to my pointed question. I leave it at that. In view of the fact that Gujarat has a fairly good internal roads system, it becomes all the more difficult that the coastal High Way is far from the required minimum standard. There are certain deficiencies like damaged roads, missing links missing bridges, etc. Will the Government not speed up this process by giving additional money than even required by the Gujarat Government so that, as I said it comes up to the expected level? Also, may I know, whether it is a fact that, at the western Zonal Conference which recently met in Ahmedabad under the Chairmanship of the hon. Prime Minister, this matter was brought to the notice of the concerned Minister and the Central Government; and whether the Prime Minister suggested that something needed to be done quickly for bringing Gujarat upto the minimum national level.

SHRI CHAND RAM: As far as the charge of the hon. Member that Guiarat is not getting its due share is concerned, I can say that the population of Gujarat is 4.88 per cent of the total population of the country, and the National Highway's length in Gujarat is 4.68 per cent. So far as that is concerned, it does not show that injustice has been But I quite concede the importance of that road itself. As I had made it clear in my reply last time. I had been to this road myself. I quite concede that the importance of this road is very great and the Prime Minister has also suggested that this road should be taken as one of the National Highways. As I said, I suggested to the Planning Commission and the Finance Minister to agree to the addition of 1500 km. of road per year; and if we get some additional allocation for this National Highways, I can give an assurance that this road would be extended.

SHRI VINODBHAI B. SHETH. IS there any proposal to convert the Coa-

stal Highway into National Highway? What is the total construction the National Highway οź Gujarat as compared to the targeted figure? I think it is only one third of the total. But the assurance given was, of course, three times.

SHRI CHAND RAM. There is no total target for Gujarat as such For taking a particular road in this system of National Highways, there are certain criteria to be considered, and according to that criteria, I don't think any injustice has been done to Guja-

MR. SPEAKER: If the hon, Members are brief and precise, then we can cover many more questions.

## विकास का प्राधनिकीकर्ज !

- \*143. भी राजभूषण तिचारी : क्या रका संस्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :
- (क) क्या "विकान्त" नौसैनिक पीत का झाध-निकीकरण किये जान का विचार है:
- (ख) यदि हां, तो कब नक भ्रीर उस पर कूस कितना व्यय होगा;
- (ग) क्या इस बारे में ऊकर की झोर सीधे उड़ान भरने वाले भीर उतरने वाले "हैरियर" विमानों की ब्रिटेन से खरीद करने का विचार है; और
  - (ष) यदि हां, तो तत्संबंधी तच्य क्या हैं ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (PROF. SHER SINGH): (a) and (b): Yes, Sir; in the course of the next two years, at an approximate cost of Rs. 14 crores.

- (c) It is proposed to acquire some SEA HARRIERS from the U.K., to replace the obsolescent Sea-hawk on the Aircraft Carrier.
- (d) It will not be in the public interest to disclose the details.

भी क्या भूषण तिबारी : श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, यह जो उड़ने वाले भीर उतरने वाले जहाज हम खरीद रहे है उन की कीमत करीब 14 करोड़ के बास पास एक जहाज की है, बौर साथ ही यह बहुत ही सौफिस्सटिकेट एवर काफ्ट है, बो केवल बरीवर्न में ही मंहने नहीं हैं बल्कि उन के मेन्टेंनेंस पर भी ज्यादा सबी होया 1,1%

सी मैं मंत्री जी में जानना चाहता हूं कि इस जहाज के बरीदने के बीचे सामरिक महत्व ज्यादा मुक्य मुद्दा था या आपक की सर्विस राष्ट्रवत्तरी के कारण वह बरीदे बए ? इस की क्या उपयोगिता है ?.

भी । शर सिंह : ध्रव्यक्ष महोदय, सी-हैरियर धर्भी हम खरीद रहे हैं, बरीदे नहीं हैं। इस के लिए टैक्नीकल विस्कान हो चुके हैं और जल्दी वह बनने लगेगा। नेकिन वह प्रावस्थक है क्योंकि जो हमारे सी हाक्स वै पुराने वह प्रक्षिक कारगर साबित नहीं हो रहेहैं। "सी हैरियसं" ऐसे हवाई जहाज है कि कहीं भी किसी जनह पर उतर सकते हैं भीर काफी एरिया को कंट्रोल कर सकते हैं तथा सब प्रकार के मौसम में ज्यादा तेज हवा हो उस में भी यह जहाज प्रच्छा काम कर सकते हैं। भीर भी कई कारण हैं जिस की बजह से बावश्यक हैं। इस में कोई चार्स्स रेस का सवाल नहीं है, बल्कि हमारी चावश्यकता है क्योंकि पूरानी सी हाक्स काम नहीं वे रहे हैं इसलिए उन को रिप्लेस करना जरूरी हो गया है।

भी सम भूवण तिवारी : क्या इस खरीदारी का ग्रसर हमारे पास पड़ोस के देशों पर नहीं पड़ेगा अविक हमारी विदेश नीति है कि भपने पढ़ीसी देशों के साथ अंच्छे सम्बन्ध स्वापित करें?

प्राo सेर सिंह: मैं नहीं समझता कि इस से कोई असर पडेग. पडोसियों के साथ । क्योंकि हम तो रिप्लेस कर रहे हैं सी हाक्स को । और जब रिप्लेस करना है तो धक्छे से धक्छे हवाई जहाज ले रहे हैं और इस के पीछे कोई ऐसी भावना नी है कि पड़ोसी देशों के साथ कोई हिषयारों की दोड़ कर रहे हैं।

SUBRAMANIAM SWAMY: DR. There has been a great deal of controversy about the 'Vikrant' carrier. It was said that this aircraft carrier might have to be put in mothbox. In view of its modernisation does it mean that the life of the 'Vikrant' will be extended? If so, to what date? How long will it now be operational and what kind of doctrine are you thinking for its utilisation?

PROF. SHER SINGH: 'Vikrant' will be in service for another 12 to 13 years. It will serve us upto 1991. The life of such type of ships is expected to be 30 years. It has served us for 17 years now and will be with us for another 12 to 13 years. After modernisation, it will be giving very good service.

भी रमुबीर सिंह मछन्ड : मैं मंत्री जी से जानना चाहता है कि बाप हैरियसं जहाज 'गलैंड से खरीद रहे है क्या उसको देश में भी बनाने की सीच रहे हैं ?

·Marine

- Restructuring of Coal India Ltd.,

\*144. SHRI M. RAM GOPAL RED-DY: Will the Minister of ENERGY be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government , propose to restructure Coal India Ltd.; and
- (b) if so, the details in this regard? MINISTER OF **ENERGY** (SHRI P. RAMACHANDRAN): (a)
  - (b) Does not arise,

No, Sir.

SHRI M. RAM GOPAL REDDY: Usually the Minister gives a very good reply but this time. I do not know why he has not given a good reply.

MR. SPEAKER: Perhaps, the question was not good. Now, I hope, the supplementary will be good.

SHRI M. RAM GOPAL REDDY: Coal India Limited has already incurred a loss of about Rs. 300 crores. In spite of that the Minister does not propose to do anything with Coal India Limited. What steps he is going to take to recover these losses? Were there some changes at the officers' level recently?

SHRI P. RAMACHANDRAN: These losses have nothing to do with the structure of the company. The losses are due to various other reasons rather than the structure of the company. The structure of the company remains the same excepting that some of the powers were given to the operating company for better accountability.

SHRI M. RAM GOPAL REDDY: The Baweja Committee made several recommendations so that this public undertaking may make good the loss. Is the Government considering its recommendations? If so, what steps the Government is going to take about these recommendations?

SHRI P. RAMCHANDRAN: It:18 true that Baweja Committee has made a number of recommandations and