9453

9454

LOK SABHA

Wednesday, July 5, 1967/Asadha 14. 1889 (Saka).

The Lok Sahha met at Eleven of the Clock.

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

वहाराष्ट्र-नैसूर सीमा विवाद

+

***931. वी चडाकर सुपकार :**

धी मोहन स्वरूप :

धी नि॰ रं॰ सास्वर :

भी मा० स्व० सर्वा :

भी भारदा नन्द :

को सहस बिहारी बाक्येयी :

थी वृत्र भूवण लाल :

• • • •

भी सिद्धेश्वर प्रसाद :

ची विमृति विषः

थी क॰ गा॰ तिवारी :

थी बसराय नवीकः

बी नायनार :

क्या पृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंने कि

- (क) क्वा बहाराष्ट्र सवा वैसूर के बीच विक्रमान सीमा-विवाद के सम्बन्ध में निवृक्त किने गवे महाबन घानोन ने धवना प्रसिवेदन प्रस्तुत कर दिवा है;
 - (क) वदि हो, तो इसकी मुख्य मुख्य

बातें क्या है; भीर

(ग) यदि नहीं, ती आयोग का प्रतिवेदन कब तक प्रस्तुत हो जाने की तथा प्रकातित हो जाने की संभावना है ?

नृह-कार्य वंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री विद्याचरण मुक्त): (क) वी नहीं।

- (**व**) प्रश्न ही नहीं उठता।
- (ग) बाना है कि घायोग घगस्त, 1967 के अन्त तक बपने प्रतिबेदन को धन्तिम रूप से तैयार कर सेगा। इस प्रतिबेदन के सरकार को प्राप्त होने के बाद इसके प्रकासन के प्रस्न पर विचार किया बाएगा।

Shri Sradhakar Supakar: The States Reorganisation Commission, in its report in 1956, left many things vague so far as the delimitation of this boundary is concerned. Then the Pataskar Committee evolved certain formula which was not also acceptable to the concerned parties. May I know whether this Mahajan Commission is proceeding on the basis of the Pataskur formula and whether it is now acceptable to both the parties?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukia: This Commission is not proceeding on any such basis as referred to by the hon-Member The Commission has been asked to give its verdict on this border dispute mainly using the fundamental criteria which were used by the States Reorganisation Commission itself, and the Commission is proceeding with its work on this basis only.

Shri Sradhakar Supakar; Is there any apprehension that, since the formula of the Pataskar Committee

9455

was not acceptable to both the parties and therefore that was given the po-by. even the report of this Commission may not be accepted? Is there any such apprehension?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: It is very difficult to anticipate this conclusion

बी घटल विहारी बाजवेगी: पंजाब के पनर्गठन के सम्बन्ध में की बाह आयोग नियमत हका का उसकी सिकारिको को सरकार ने शन्तिम रूप से स्वीकार नहीं किया. क्या महाजन धायोग की सिफारिको का भी यही अविच्य होगा या सरकार उन को धन्तिब क्य से स्वीकार करेगी और उसकी एक एकाई समझेगी?

बी विद्वाचरण सुरतः एक माधारण निवम की तरह है इस तरह के प्रायोगी की विकारिमें मान भी जाती है, जब तक कि दोनों सम्बन्धित पार्टियां एक मत से किसी एक चीव को बदलने के निये तैयार न हो जाय, प्रश्निकतर इसी तरह से किया बाता है। इस तरह के बायोगों को एवाई मानने वा सवाम ही नहीं उडता धीर न क्की माना गया है।

थी ब्रथम्बन लाल न्या मधी महोदय बतायेंगे कि बहां पर कितने वानो की नमस्या है को घण्डर-डिल्प्यूट हैं, वहां के मराठी श्रीकिंग तथा कन्नडी-स्पीकिंग लोगों की क्क्या कितनी है और वो वेन्त्रेक होने वा रही है वे बाम-बाइच होंगी या विस्ट्रिक्ट-बाइस होंगी?

थी विद्यापरम सुक्त : वहां तक गांवों का सवान है-निम में 814 गांव है, जिनमें कुछ सहर थी बाते हैं, वैसे बेबनाव स्त्यादि सवा 260 मीर गांव है, विन में करीब 3 माख 30 हवार लोग खते हैं।

वी सिक्षेप्यर प्रसाद : स्वराज्य के 20 वर्ष बाद भी राज्यों के यूनवंठन का मामला बराबर खळता है। 1956 में एक॰ धार॰

सी॰ बना, उसके बाद भी बहाराष्ट्र भीर मैसर का मामका उठा । ऐसी जितकी समस्यार्थे हैं, बरकार उन के सम्बन्ध में किसी निविचत नीति पर क्यो नही चलती है, जिनके कारण तनाव पैदा होता है, मतमेद पैदा होना है, मान्दोलन पदा होते हैं-इनकी समाप्त करने के निये सरकार कोई निविधत नीति क्यो नहीं बनाती है?

भी विद्याचरण शुक्त यह राज्यो के पूनर्गंडन का सवास नहीं है, यह नी सीमा के एडजस्टमेन्ट का नवाल है । जैसा कि माननीय सबस्य को मानुम है कि इस मम्बन्ध में यह प्रश्न करीब करीब पूर्व क्य से हल हो चका है. कहीं कही एक दो परानी बीचे पडी हुई है, जिन को हम करने का प्रवाल किया जा रहा है और उम्मीब यह है कि इस धायोग की मिकारिश धाने के बाद इस समस्या का धण्छा इस निकस मकेगा।

बी विमृति निवा: यह की ग्रायोग महाराष्ट्र और मैनुर के निये बनाया है यह क्या केवल जावा की बाच करेगा या विजिल्ल विविकारीय की जांच करेगा? बैसे कोई एरिया ऐसा है जो मराठी भाषा बोलता है, लेकिन उस में कोई ऐसी नदी है वा ऐसी फिजिक्स दिक्कत है जिसकी बजह में बह मैसूर म जायमा या इसी तरह ने कोई ऐमा एरिया है जो महाराष्ट्र में जायगा--नो मैं जानना चाहना ह कि सरकार ने न्याबद्वारिक दृष्टि से इस कमीजन को कोई निर्देश विया है कि तूम इस तरह से रिपोर्ट पैदा करो कि यहां का काम सहित्रक्त से यम सके और फिर दोनो स्टेटों के नोगों की बातों को मूनने के बाद तब उस रिपोर्ट वर यहां विचार करे ?

वी विद्वाबरय कुला - यहां तक निर्देश देने का सवाल है, ऐसा कोई निवेंस आयोग को नहीं दिया गया है। यही कहा गया है कि राज्यों का पनवर्तन जिस बाखार पर किया

गका था, लगकन उती आवार पर वे अपना सब कार्य कर सकते हैं तथा उन सब आवारों के देखने के बाद वे स्वयं कोई आवार निर्धारित करें।

Shri Bal Raj Madhok: in view of the fact that in a country where we have a large number of linguistic States there is bound to be some kind of linguistic overlapping on the part of every State and the fact that such disputes between different linguistic States have been arising ever since the lin guistic States came into being, may t know whether Government has consi. dered the setting up of any permanent machinery which may settle all such disputes on the basis of certain set criteria and the findings of that mechinery should be taken as final and such disputes should not be allowed to crop up again and again, creating bad blood with the people of the same country in different regions?

Ehri Vidya Charen Shukla: As à said earlier, there are not many dis putes left One or two have been left and we are making efforts to settle them

Shri E. E. Nayanar : For the last 10 years and more, boundary disputes between Maharashtra and Karnataka and between Karnataka and Kerala have remained The appointment of the Mahaian Commission was opposed by all parties including the Congress in Kersia. When the Commission was enquiring into the disputes between Maharashtra and Mysore, Maharashtra obstructed the Karnataka officials people from giving evidence before the Commission and a resolution was passed by the Karnataka Provincia) Congress Committee Ramataka people demand 4 taluks from Maharashtra on the basis of the village. May I know whether Government will take steps to reorganise the boundary on the village. basis, i.e., where the majority of the people in a village speak a particulatlanguage, that village should be given to that particular State? May ? know whether Government will take positive

measures to solve the boundary disputes on this basis. Secondly, what is the attitude of the Government to the Mehajan Commission enquiring into the Kerela-Karnataka boundary dispute, in view of the fact that all the parties in Kerals, including the Congress, have opposed the appointment of the Mahajan Commission?

Bhri Vidya Charan Shukis: About the first part of the quatum, I have already replied to it. About the second part the attitude of the Government is to wait for the report of the Mahajan Commission and them take a decision about it.

Shri Nath Pai: Sir, I do not think the Minister is quite well informed when in avoiding replying to Professor Madhok's question he stated that there are no remaining questions of this nature pending before the country. I think he is aware there are questions of this nature between Orlssa and Andhra and between Orissa and Bihar. and the suggestion was a very valuable one and the Constitution makes provision under article 272, that if the Government because of political expediency cannot tackle it they have got to tackle it on the sound principle adopted when your State's dispute with Tamiland was settled (Interruption). The report of the Mahajan Commission was supposed to be submitted to Government by the end of June. What have been the causes of this delay? Did the two Governments—the Government Mysore and Maharashtra-fail in making their submissions in time? Did they ask for extension of time? May I know what is exactly the cause of delay in submitting to us this muchawaited report?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: The situation and the matter, as the hon-House knows, is very complex. The Mahajan Commission has indicated that while they are examining witnesses and the documents received by them it will take some more time before they reach any conclusion about it, and they have themselves indicated that by the and of August they will be

able to give their conclusion about it They have not given any other reasons for seking for extra time

Some bon. Members rose-

9459

Mr. Speaker: Shri Hanumanthaiya

Shri Nath Pai: Sir, being Chairman of a non-partisan Commission be should not be given a chance

Mr Speaker. He is only Chairman of the Administrative Reforms Commission

Shri Hanumanthaiya: Is the Government going to decide this question or a matter of principle that is resolving all disputes of this nature throughout India once and for all, or is it going to settle this one problem and leave the other problems to settle themselves?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): Sir, may I intervene to say, because a general question has been raised, that at the time of the states reorganisation in 1955-36, these two questions, as a result of states reorganisation, were left to be decided and it was conceded that these two questions required consideration by the Government. One was this question of Mysore-Maharasht a border and the other was Kasergod and Mysore

Shri Vasudevan Hair: That was not in dispute at it

An hon- Member: Kasergod and Kerala, not Mysore (Interruptions)

Shri Vasudevan Nair: Kerala was dragged in without the consent of Kerala It was a unilateral decision

Shri Y B. Chavas : Hon Members may have another view. I am not expressing any view, I am only making statement of fact as the matter stood in 1955-56 Therefore, these two matters required re-examination, re-consideration. That is why this Commission was appointed. We cannot anticipate all the problems and make announcements about all the problems. I wish there are no more problems.

Shri E. E. Nayanar: Sir, I rise to a point of order. The hyn Minister of Home Affairs said that Kasergod is included in Karnataka That question at present is a settled one. How is it that the Home Minister has raised it again in this House?

Shri Y B. Chavan: I did not say that He is alleging that I said something which I did not say

Shri A. Sreedharan : The Hume Minister's statement very clearly shows that the Centre has always treated Kerala's case with utter callousless and indifference. In view of the fact that there was no popular government in Kerala when the Mahajan Commission was appointed and also in view of the fact that there was deep popular resentment and still there is deep popular resentment against the appointment of this Commission, will the Government of India consult the Government of Kerala and also take measures to elicit public opinion before they take a decision on the Mahajan Commission's recommendations?

Shri Y B. Chavas Now that we have referred the matter to the Commission we can only await the report of the Commission

Shri Sonavane . The Government have said that the report would be submitted by the end of August. After the submission of the report what time will the Government take to consider the recommendations and by what time will it be implemented?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukin: It is very difficult for me to indicate that now

Shri K. Lakkappa: At the time the Mahajan Commission went there for enquiry for eliciting public opinion, is it not a fact that in the area belonging to Maharashtra the Maharastriase induced by the police haracsed the people, including one Hallikert MLC, one MP belonging to this House and also one party member for which a resolution has been passed conthe prevention of the Mysecs papers

946I

to tender evidence by the Maharastrians when Mysore has claimed four talukas from Maharashtra? What action has the Government of India taken against the terrorising of the tendering of evidence before the Commission?

Oral Answers

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: As far as the first part of the question is concerned, there is no such information with us. As far as the second part of the question is concerned, the matter is under active consideration of the Commission.

Shri K. Lakkappa: Let the hon Minister read the contents of the 1eport he has received. He will find

Mr. Speaker: He has already answered the question. I do not think any purpose will be served by asking any number of questions when the report of the Commission is yet to be received. A dispute which has not been solved for 12 years cannot be solved in 12 minutes during the question hour. Therefore, we will go to the next question.

Some hon, Members: No. no.

Mr. Speaker: You can have a discussion, if you want. I do not mind it. But we cannot spend the whole question hour on this. We have already spent 15 minutes on this. Now, the next question.

शिषी का प्रयोग -

"934. यो प्रशासनीर सारगी :

थी जिप पुषार बास्यी : बी राज भोगात बातवाने :

बी चो॰ पी॰ खानी :

बी राम परण :

बी पंदर गाम दुस :

बी गापुराय प्रदिरचार :

न्या पृष्ट्-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की छ्या परिवे कि: (क) संविधान के उपवंदों की बाबना को ध्यान में रखते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार के कार्यालयों ने हिन्दी को प्रयोग में काने के सम्बन्ध में कितनी प्रगति हुई है;

9462

- (ख) क्या यह सब है कि भारत सरकार की मनिक्चय की नीति के कारण कुछ कार्यालयों में कमैचारियों की हिन्दी में काम करने की इच्छा में क्कावट पढ़ रही है; भीर
- (ग) यदि हो, तो इस बाधा को दूर करने के लिये क्या विशेष उपाय किये गये है?

गृह-कार्य मंत्रानय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री विद्याचरच शुक्त): (क) एक विवरच नवन के पटन पर रख दिवा गया है।

(क) वी नहीं। सब के सरकारी वामकात में हिन्दी का प्रयोग बढाने के सिये मन् 1966 ने कुछ भीर कार्यवाहियां की गर्ट।

(ग) प्रश्न ही नहीं उठता।

विवरण

तंत्र के सरकारी कामकास में हिम्बी के प्रयोग को बढ़ाने के लिये 31 विसम्बर, 1966 सक हुई प्रयत्ति

सरकारी कामकाब के लिएहिन्दी का प्रजीद :

पद-व्यवहार ।

सरकारी संकल्पों का हिन्दी में प्रकाशन ।

वंतर् के तम्मूच प्रस्तुत की बावे वाली रिपोटों वहित प्रकारतिक रिपोटों का हिन्दी वें प्रकारत :

बारत के राज्यका में पूने हुए बंबों का हिल्दी में प्रकारण ।