#### LOK SABHA

1

Wednesday, March 19, 1959/Phalguna 28, 1890 (Saka)

The Lok Sabha met at El ven of the Clock

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

French Attempt to Break into Indian Occan

\*571. SHRI CHENGALRAYA NAIDU: Will the Minister of EXTERNAL AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that France is trying to break into the Indian Ocean;
- (b) whether it is also a fact that the French High Command hid decided to deploy two nuclear submatines in and around the Bay of Bengal in the Indian Ocean; and
  - (c) if so, Government's reaction thereto?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINGH): (a) and (b). Government have no information in the matter.

#### (c) Does not arise.

SHRI CHENGALRAYA NAIDU: If the ministers have no information, at least the departmental people in the secretariat must go through the papers and find out what is going on in other areas. If they do not know what is going on, how can they function as ministers? France has already sent two nuclear submarines to the Indian Ocean and they are also negotiating with South Africa to have a naval base at Simons Town near Cape Town where they can station naval vessels. The French Government is having negotiations with South Africa to have their headquarters there. Will the minister take pains to know what is going on in the world and find out whether what I have said is a fact ? If so, will he take necessary

steps to protect our coast, because the French Government are going to send their submarines to operate in the Arabian Sea and the Indian Ocean.

SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINGH: We do try to keep in touch with developments in the world, but sometimes a thing may happen somewhere which may not come to our notice. As far as our knowledge goes, no French submarines have come into this area so far. Probably the hon, member has asked the question on the basis of a newspaper report which emanated from Johannesburg sometime back that some secret negotiations were going on between the French and South African Governments. But these are merely speculative reports appearing in the press; they are not authentic news. Nor has it been confirmed by the French Government. We cannot say very much about it.

SHRI CHENGALRAYA NAIDU: Not only are French submarines operating in the Indian Ocean, but Russian submarines with a naval squadron are also operating in the Indian Ocean and the Arabian Sea. Defence Ministry has refused to comment Already twice Russian fleet have come into our waters. They had asked our Government to give them naval facilities. This time the Russian naval fleet has come into our Indian waters and they are operating in the Indian Ocean without informing our Government or taking our permission. May I know whether the Government has received any request from the Russian naval flect about their operating in our Indian Ocean? If not, will the Government find out whether the fleet is there and will they strongly protest to the Russian Government?

THE MINISTER OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI DINESH SINGH): May I request the hon memder to kindly bear in mind that the Indian Ocean is not our ocean. There is no such thing as Indian Ocean belonging to India. He has been talking of our ocean.

3

SHRI CHENGALRAYA NAIDU: I said, the fleet has come into our territorial waters. That is what I meant.

SHRI DINESH SINGH: That is a different matter. Let us look at it from the point of view of the international laws. We are functioning under certain international conventions and laws. We cannot arrogate to ourselves the responsibility of the total ownership of the Indian Ocean or the Arabin Sea. We are concerned with that territorial waters of India and we can say that we take effective measures to safeguard our territorial waters and that we are not aware of the operation of any foreign submarines in our territorial waters. Then, whether the Soviet fleet comes to the Indian Ocean or other fleets come to the Indian Ocean, if they conform to the international law of high seas there is hardly any objection that we can take except to say that we would like this area to be kept free of conflict, to be kep fee of nuclear weapons.

SHRI BAL RAJ MADHOK: The hon. Minister just now said that the Indian Ocean does not belong to India alone. Agreed; it does not belong to India. But India occupies a special position in regard to this ocean and he cannot deney it; and whether the government accepts the concept of power vacuum or not, it has been accepted all over the world and all through history. Indian Ocean occupies an important place and a power vacuum has been created there because Britain has withdrawn from there and U. S. A. has withdrawn from there. Therefore, here is an open sea which is sought to be controlled by China, Russia, France and other countries. In view of these circumstances, if India alone cannot see that the Indian Ocean does not become the hunting ground of foreign adventurers, may I know whether it will take steps to have some kind of understanding with other countries of the Indian Ocean like Indonesia and Australia so that the Indian Ocean remains safe from these foreign adventurers and the security and safety of India and also other countries bordering the Indian Ocean is not jeopardised?

SHRI DINESH SINGH: Within the limitations of our resources, we shall certainly take every possible step to safegnard our interests in the Indian Ocean. The second

question which the hon. Member referred to is some kind of defence co-operation with other countries. We are not contemplating

SHRI BAL RAJ MADHOK: I said "understanding". Without any pact you can have that understanding; can't you have that? Let him reply.

SHRI RANGH: We should seek the co-operation of other countries which are directly interested in the Indian Ocean.

SHRI DINESH SINGH: I said that we have not had any defence arrangements with any other country. We are not contemplating defence arrangements for the Indian Ocean.

SHRIMATE SUSHILA ROHATGI: Because of the power vacuum created in the Indian Ocean, because of the strategic importance of this area and because these ships do not happen to be entirely small ships but. as the hon. Member who asked to question stated, they happen to be nuclear submarines may I ask the government if it is strictly vigilant in that particular area because of its strategic nature? Has it made any enquires whether they are small ordinary ships or ships carrying nuclear weapons?

SHRI DINESH SINGH; I cannot understand this. How are we to make these enquiries? What would the hon, lady Member expect me to do in this case? The navies of other countries do not come with our permission or after informing us. But we take effective steps, according to our resources, to see and find out what ships come there.

SHRI RANGA: That is not enough.

SHRI DINESH SINGH: But other countries do not necessarily inform us before their navies come to our sea. I have not been able to understand one point. Much has been said about the withdrawal of the British navy. Apparently, according to them if the British Navy is there, everything is all Because of the withdrawal of the British Navy all these things have come... (Interruptions). So far as the question of no other navy being able to come there is concerned we can only function under the

international arrangement that is existing for navigation on high seas. We shall function according to it. But, so far as the area is concerned, we have said on a number of occasions that this area should be an area of peace, it should not be disturbed and that it should be kept free from nuclear weapons.

SHRIMATI SUSHILA ROHATGI: The point which I raised in my question has not been answered. My suggestion was precisely for carrying out the intentions which were laid down by the hon. Minister just now, namely, that this area should not be distrubed. I would like to know whether any action has been taken by the government to see that this area is not disturbed by allowing or deploying nuclear submarines.

SHRI DINESH SINGH: What are we expected to do in that matter? We have to respect the laws of navigation on high seas.

SHRI P. GOPALAN: Despite a mild and formal protest made by the Government of India earlier it seems that the United States has decided to go on with the establishment of a naval and missile base in and around the Seychelles Islands in the Indian Ocean. This poses a very serious threat to the security of our country. May I ask the minister whether the Government considers this as a threat to the security of our country and whether it is true that there is an understanding between the Government of India and the Government of United States, despite the protest made by the Government of India, to set up a base in the Indian Ocean in the name of protecting our country from the so-called menace of China?

SHRI DINESH SINGH: We are against the setting up of any foreign bases in this area. This has been declared by us on a number of occasions. We are informed by the United Kingdom Government and the United States Government that they are thinking of setting up a staging post and also a communications set-up there.

SHRI P. GOPALAN: How have you checked it up?

SHRI DINESH SINGH: In any case we are not in favour of any bases in this area.

SHRI BEDABRATA BARUA: It has been stated more than once in this House

that the Government is not a believer in the power vacuum theory in the high seas, that is, the Indian Ocean; at the same time, reports have been emanating that in view of the British withdrawal both the Soviet and the US presence might have increased in the Indian Ocean. What is the Government's report in regard to that, whether that presence has increased, and what is the Government's reaction thereto? In addition to merely saving that we cannot do anything about the Indian Ocean, certainly we might try to do something through diplomatic channels and otherwise and see that the Indian Ocean is converted into an area of peace.

MR. SPEAKER: What is the question? Shri Fernandes.

SHRIS. K. TAPURIAH: He does not know it himself.

SHRI BEDABRATA BARUA: I have not received any answer.

श्री जाजं फ्रनेश्डीज् : मैं मन्त्री महोदय को याद दिलाना चाइना हूँ कि हमारे देश पर जितने भी विदेशी, विलायती, साक्रमण हुए हैं, चाहे वे साक्रमण पुतंगाल, फांस भीर चाहे इंगलैंग्ड की तरफ से हुए हों, वे सब हमारे समुद्र का इसोमाल कर के हुए । मंत्री महोदय का कहना है कि इंडियन सोशन हमारा नहीं है और दूसरे लोग वर्ण क्या करते हैं, इसकी पूछताछ करने की हमें क्या सावश्यकता है । मैं समभता हूँ कि विशेष रूप से देश की सुरक्षा के सम्बन्ध में सरकार की स्रोर से यह लापरवाही दिखाई जा रही है । जहां तक फांस का सम्बन्ध है, बम्बई के एक साध्ना-हिक हिम्मत' में 27 दिसम्बर को यह छपा था :

"A correspondent in The Sunday Inness of Johannesburg reports that France and South Africa are holding secret military negotations which involve providing a submarine base from which "to deploy the first two of France's growing armada of nuclear submarines in and around the Bay of Bengal and the Indian Ocean". They already are said to be modernising their low level refitting stations in Madagascar and the Commoro Islands. Despite the United Nations

embargo, France was the only country supplying South Africa with arms."

मन्त्री महोदय कहते है कि इस बारे में ग्रखबारों में जो बच्छ प्रकाशित हम्रा है, उसके मलावा उन के पास कोई जानकारी नही है मैं यह जानना चाहता है कि जब ग्रखबारों में यह रपट भाई भीर विशेषकर हिन्दस्तान के विसी ग्रखबार ने इसको छापा, उस समय क्या सरकार ने ध्रपने राजदत के द्वारा फांस की सरकार से इस बारे में कोई जानकारी भी। ग्रगर फांस ने किसी गप्त बार्तालाप में इसकी जानकारी नहीं दी है. तो क्यासरकार ने किसी शौर सत्र या तरीके से कोई जानकारी ली है; यदि हां, तो इस बारे में उस की मालूमात क्या हैं? ग्रगर फांस इस िस्म का व्यवहार साउप ऋषीका के साथ मिल कर हमारे इर्द-गिर्द, हमार पानी ५ इलाके में. कर रहा हो, तो सरकार इस के बारे में किस-किय विस्म के बदम उठा रही है ?

धी दिनेश सिंह : ग्रभी फांस के साथ हमारी जी बाता भारत में हुई भी, इस में हम ने उन के सामन यह जाहिर किया कि हम चाहत है कि यह एरिया शास्ति का रहे और इस में अशुबन बर्गान्ड कोई इस तरह का सामान न लाया जाये। फ्रांस ने हम से इत्तिफाक किया।

धी जाज फरता जेज: श्री दिनेश सिंह से पहले मन्त्री महोदय ने उत्तर देते हुए कहा कि सन्हें इसके बारे में जानकारी नहीं **है भीर भव** और दिनेश सिंह कह रहे हैं कि फ्रांस के साथ जनका वार्तालाप हुआ है । मैं यहाँजानना चाहता है कि क्या इस प्रश्न विशेष को लेकर वार्तालाप हुन्ना, या इस तरह की मीठी मीठी वेमतलब बातें हुई कि हम इस समृद्र में किसी को नहीं चाहते हैं। मन्त्री महोदय को इस का खुलासा करना चाहिए ।

# श्रध्यक्ष महोदय : मत्युं जय प्रसाव।

श्री मृत्यू जय प्रसाद : मैं यह जानना चाहता हैं कि जिस तरह भाकाश पर विमानों भादि का पता लगाने के लिये जमीन पर रेडार लगाये

गये हैं, क्या उसी तरह सरकार ने समुद्र के लिए रेखार या सोनार की कोई व्यथस्था की है. जिस से यह पता लगाया जा सके कि हमारे तट से पचास. सौ या दो सौ मील दर किस तरह के जहाज भ्राजा रहे हैं। मन्त्री महोदय इस बारे में चाहे कोई डीटेल्ज न बतायें. लेकिन वह इतना ग्रा**इवाशन दे दें** कि सरकार ने ऐसी कोई व्**यव**स्था कर रखी है, जिससे हमें विदेशी जहाजों की गतिविधियों का पता चल जाता हैं।

श्री दिनेश सिंह: हम यह पता लगाने की चेप्टाकरते हैं भीर जो भ्राधनिक यन्त्र इसके सम्बन्ध में हमारे पास हैं. हम उनका भी प्रयोग करते हैं।

SHRI HEM BARUA: Is it a fact that Indonesia has suggested that the Indian Ocean should be known as Indonesian Ocean and, if so, what is the reaction of the Government thereto and may I know whether it is a fact that the Soviet Union has already sent two thermo-nuclear submarines which are cruising in the Indian Ocean at present and if so, what action do Government propose to take, whether they propose to have a discussion with the Soviet Union to see that the Indian Ocean-up till now it is known as the Indian Ocean-is free from any political disturbances?

SHRI DINESH SINGH : The story of the Indonesian Ocean is an old one. It is no longer current. So far as the question of.....

SHRI SURENDRANATH DWIVEDY: You mean to say it is given up?

SHRI HEM BARUA: That demand may be an old one. You cannot reject the old people right away because they are old. You don't reject a demand because it is an old one.

SHRI DINESH SINGH: So far as the question of approaching Soviet submarines is concerned, we are not aware of any Soviet nuclear submarines approaching. position is known to the Soviet Union. We want to keep this area free of nuclear weapons. This is very well known.

SHRI SWELL : Sir, it seems it has become the habit of many of our Ministers to say that they have no information in answer to many questions. Whatever happens in and around us in the Indian Ocean is of the greatest import to us. If they have no information of what is going on there, I think, they do not have the right to sit where they are sitting.

Oral Answers

MR. SPEAKER: Come to the question now.

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE: But the question is: Will they oblige?

SHRI SWELL: I am putting the question very seriously and carefully. I would like the Minister to give me a careful and serious answer

It is a fact that consequent on British decision to withdraw its military base from Singapore and on the probability American withdrawal from Vietnam, there is a scramble among many powers to register their presence in the Indian Ocean and to carve out their spheres of influence there. As you said just now, you have been repeating that our method of meeting the consequent vacuum in the Indian Ocean is to increase the economic strength of the littoral countries. I would like to know whether the Minister's attention has been drawn to the statement of the Australian Prime Minister, Mr. Gorton, justifying the presence of Australian troops in Singapore and Malaysia precisely on the ground to increase and safeguard the economic life of the littoral countries against externallypromoted aggression and, if so, what is the attitude of this Government to this action of one of the littoral countries?

SHRI DINESH SINGH: We are not in favour of any kind of military pact in that sense. The question that the hon. Member has raised is a general question that I wish he would put during the general debate where I would have a longer time to give a longer explanation. During the Question Hour, I can only say a few words. I can say this much that so far as the question of the Indian Ocean is concerned, it is not necessarily linked with British withdrawal -- perhaps, that may be one factor -but the growing influence of other countries is felt in different parts of the world, whether there are other countries present there or not present there. Therefore, for us, what is of the greatest importance is to increase our own defence capabilities to protect ourselves.

## SOME HON, MEMBERS Rose

MR. SPEAKER: For the Information of the hon, members I will read out the main question and it is for the members to see whether any of the supplementary questions has any bearing to the main question. The main question is:

- "(a) whether it is a fact that France is trying to break into the Indian Ocean:
- (b) whether it is also a fact that the French High Command had decided to deploy two nuclear submarines in and around the Bay of Bengal in the Indian Ocean; and
- (c) if so, Government's reaction thereto."

If you see the supplementaries, you will find that they have absolutely no bearing to the main question. I do not mind allowing the other members also. But who loses the time? I do not mind one question being answered during the whole Question Hour. But who loses the time? And the supplementaries also have absolitely no bearing to the main question. After all, the debate on foreign affairs is there and all these policy matters can be talked about during that debate. Unfortunatety, Question Hour is being used like this. After all, you are the sufferers and not the Chair or the Ministers. One question has already taken 20 to 22 minntes. We can take another 20 minutes: I do not mind. If I call Mr. Jyotirmoy Basu. I will have to call the others also. I do not mind. If that is the desire of the House, I will call everybody who gets up on the first question and one hour will be over. and nobody need be angry.....

SHRI SURENDRANATH DWIVEDY: Whether a particular supplementary is relevant or not, that is for you to decide. But you permit, and this goes on.

MR. SPEAKER: That is why I am saying this. If members co-operate by not putting supplementaries which have absolutely no bearing to the main question, that will help me. Let us try to take up more questions—at least five or six if not the whole list.

Next Question. Mr. Maharaj Singh Bharati.

### हाकियों का निर्यात

#572 श्री महाराज सिंह भारती: क्या वैदेशिक व्यापार तथा पूर्ति मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेगे कि:

- (क) स्रोतिम्पक में भारतीय हाकी टीम की हार तथा पाकिस्तान टीम की जीत के कारण भारत से हाकियों के निर्यात पर कितना प्रभाव पड़ा है;
- (ख) क्या सरकार ने विदेशों में यह प्रचार किया है कि भारतीय हाकियां सर्वोत्तम है श्रीर भारतीय हाकी जीम की हार श्रन्य कारणों सं हुई है; श्रीर
- (ग) यदि हां, तो इसमे प्रतिकूल प्रभाव किस हद तक कम हो गया है ?

बैदेशिक व्यापार तथा पूर्ति मन्त्रालय में उप-सन्त्री (भी राम सेवक चौधरी):(क) नवस्वर-दिसम्बर, 1968 में लगभग 3.17 लाख रु० की हाकियों का निर्यात हुया जबकि 1967 की उसी अविभिन्ने में 1.84 लाख रु० की हाकियों का निर्यात हुया था। उपलब्ध प्रधुनातन प्रवृतियों के प्रनुसार, प्रक्तूबर, 1968 में भ्रोलम्पिक में भारतीय दल की हार के परिगामस्वरूप इस मद के निर्यात पर ग्रभी तक कोई कुप्रभाव नहीं पड़ा है।

(ख) खेल कूद सामान निर्यात संवर्धन परिषद् प्रपने निर्यात संवर्धन कार्य-कम के एक प्रंग के रूप में भारतीय हाकियों के गुगा तथा प्रतिस्पद्धौत्मकता पर बल देते हुए प्रावश्यक प्रवार का प्रभियान चला रही है। भारतीय हाकियों के गुगा निष्पादन तथा प्रतियोगी मूल्यों सम्बन्धी प्रपनी विशेषताश्रों के कारगा वे विदेशों में पसन्द तथा ग्रामात की जाती हैं। हमारे दल की हार ग्रथवा जीत तो सेल में संयोग पर

निर्भर होती है निसका निर्यात सम्भावनाम्नों के सम्बन्ध में कोई महत्व नहीं होता।

### (ग) प्रश्न नहीं उठता।

श्री महाराज सिंह भारती : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, यह हाकी बनाने का बिजनेश पाकिस्तान में था श्रीर जब देश का बटवाराहग्रातो फिर हमार ग्रा गया ग्रीर मेरठ ग्रादि दूसरे कई जिलों श्रीर दूसरे सुबो में बड़े पैमाने पर हम लोग मब यह रहे हैं। मन्त्री जी ने उत्तर दिया कि प्रतिकृत प्रभाव नहीं पड़ा है भीर यह तो पूराने आर्डर बकहए थे प्राप के हारने के पहले जिन की सप्लाई श्राप कर रहे हैं। मैं जानना चाहता ह कि पाकिस्टान भ्राज लगातार विदेशों में यह जोरांसे प्रचार कर रहा है कि श्रसली हाकी बनाने वाले हम लोग है। वहाँ तो हमारे कुछ लोग चले गयेथे। वह नकली हाकी बनाते थे इसी-लिए वह हार गये हैं। दूनिया वालो, जीतना चाहते हो तो हमारी हाकी संस्थेलो । यह जो प्रचार दुनिया के सामने वह चला रहा है, क्या सरकार दुनिया के सामने इस प्रचार के जवाब से यह कहना चाहंगी कि हिन्दुस्तान की जो हाकी की हार हुई है वह हमारे खिलाडियों के हनर की वजह से हुई है, हाकी की कमजोरी की वजह से नहीं हुई है। हाकी तो बहुत बढ़िया है। लेकिन यह जो देश है यह बहुत कमजोर देश है, खुराक कम मिलती है। हुनरबाजी बहुत है। दम खिला-डियों में नहीं है। गुटबन्दी बहुत चलती है। पाँच सौ के पाँच सौ हार गये। एक तमगा मिला वह भी कांसे का। तो यह हाकी की वजह से नहीं हारे हैं, वह तो बड़ी शानदार है, क्या इस प्रकार का प्रचार करने की ग्राप ने कोशिश की 8 1

श्री चौघरी रामसेवक: भीमन्, यह कहना गलत है कि हारने पर हमारा हाकी का नियाँत कम हुमा है। मुक्ते माज्ञा दी जाय तो मैं फिगसं दे दूं। सन् 1960 में हाकिया का नियाँत 1.86 लाख रुपये था। 61 में 4.26, 62 में 4.46,