C. B. I. Probe into Birla Group of Concerns

*273. SHRI S.M. BANERJEE : SHRI KAMESHWAR SINGH : SHRI JYOTIROY BASU : SHRI RAM AVTAR : SHARMA : SHRI YAJNA DATT SHARMA : SARI GEORGE FERNAN-DES : SHRI E.K. NAYANAR.

Will the Minister of INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT, INTERNAL TRADE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that the Central Bureau of Investigation has probed into some of the Birla group of concerns;

(b) if so whether any report has been submitted; and

(c) the action taken in each case ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTY OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT. INTERNAL TRADE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI RAGHUNATHA REDDY, : (a) Yes, Sir. The Central Bureau of Investigation have undertaken investigation of certain cases against the Birla Group of concerns at the instance of the concerned Ministuies.

(b) The report have been submitted by CBI to the concerned Ministries.

(c) Charge Sheets were filed in court against various concerns in the Birla Group as per details given in the statement laid on the Table of the House.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-202/169]

Probe into charges against Birla Group of Industries

*274. SHRI UMANATH : SHRI P. GOPALAN : SHRI K. ANIRUDHAN : SHRI INDRAJIT GUPTA : SHRI BHOGENDRA JHA : DR. RANEN SEN : SHRI YOGENDRA SHARMA :

Will the Minister of INDUSTRIAL

DEVELOPMENT, INTERNAL TRADE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS be pleased to state :

(a) the total number of charges examined by Government out of 97 charges made in the memorandum submitted by Shri Chandra Sekhar, M.P., to Government against the Birla Group of Industries;

(b) the findings on each charge;

(c) the action taken on each charge;

(d) what are the charges still to be examined; and

(e) when the examination is likely to be completed ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRIAL DEVE-LOPMENT, INTERNAL TRADE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI RAGHU-NATHA REDDY) :

(a) to (e). A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

[Placed in Library See No. LT-203/169]

Enquiry against Birla Group of Concerns

*284. SHRI OM PRAKASH TYAGI: Shri A. Sreedharan : Shri K. Lakkappa : Dr. Sushila Nayar :

Will the Minister of INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT, INTERNAL TRADE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 4878 on the 17th December, 1968 and state :

(a) whether Government have taken a decision by now about conducting an enquiry into the Birla Group of concerns:

(b) if so, the nature thereof; and

(c) if not, the reasons for the inordinate deluy that is taking place in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRIAL DEVE-LOPMENT, INTERNAL TRADE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI RAGHU-NATHA REDDY) :

(a) to (c). A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

STATEMENT

(a) to (c): Government have since given careful consideration to the nature of the machinery that may be set up to go into the allegations which required enquiry and action. Government consider that investigation of these allegations has already been given to the highest possible machinery under the laws in force in the country. Cases investigated by the authorities which reveal contravention of law, rules or regulations can be speedily referred to the tribunal which has the authority under the law to assess, penalise or inflict punishment. In order, however, to maintain a close check and follow-up in respect of the investigations which are still continuing and also for expedition of the cases now before the various tribunals. Government have decided to appoint a special Commissioner of high status with a judicial background and experience in legal affairs, in the Cabinet Secretariat, to co-ordinate investigation and bring up matters for Government decision auickly.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE : Birla should be laid on the Table of the House.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BASU: The report has not yet been laid on the Table of the house. In view of the fact that the Central Cabinet will collapse if a proper enquiry had been instituted against Birla because half the Ministers or their relations are in the pay of the Birlas ...(Interruptions.)

THE MINISTER OF RAILWAYS (Dr. RAM SUBHAGH) SINGH : H: is himself in their pay.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BASU: I am; otherwise why should I ask this question?

Mr. SPEAKER: May I repuest the the hon. Member to put his question? Institutions will have retaliation. I read his remarks the day before yesterday; his remarks including those on the Chair were very uncharitable. He goes at a tangent and makes remarks which are not dignified for Parliament. He says that half of the Ministers are in their pay; they reply that he is himself in their pay. is not whether any Minister or Mr. Jyotirmoy Basu is in the pay of Birlas or somebody else. The question is about the industries of Birlas.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BASU: B:cause the Government wanted, they are going through some sort of a mild enquiry. The Birlas have threatened that they will organise large-scale defections in Bihar and U. P.

SHRIMATI TARKESHWARI SINHA: Non-sense. Is he taking advantage of the question hour to make insinuations ?...(Inetrruptions.)

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BASU: Before the CBI search was conducted, they were informed and the Birlas burnt all the papers, which is within the knowledge of the Gujarat police in Ahmedabad. May I ask whether the Government will institute a public enquiry under the Commission of Enquiry Act and if so, within what date; if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT, INTERNAL TRADE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI F. A. AHMED) : So far as the first insinuation is concerned, it is baseless... (Interruptions.)

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BASU : Mr. Satya Narayan Sinha's son has got their agency...(Interruptions.)

Mr. SPEAKER: Without notice you cannot go on throwing slenders against everybody; I will not allow it. You have asked a question; he is answering it. If you have anything else you can bring a separate motion in this House.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BASU : You did not allow me to say things.

SHRI F. A. AHMED: There is not basis whatsover for the allegation that Ministers are in the pay of Birlas. If he has any specific case, I would challenge him to place it before the house so that we can go into it.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BASU : I should be allowed.

Mr. SPEAKER : He must give notice; I shall consider it. I cannot

say anything offhand, simply because the hon. Minister has thrown a challenge and the hon. Member says that he must be allowed.

SHRI TENNETI VISWANATHAM : But, Sir, a challenge has always been held to be unparliamentary! (*Interruption*)

MR. SPEAKER : Order, Order. The Minister can answer the next part of the question.

SHRIF. A. AHMED: So far as the question is concerned, the Government have examined all the allegation made, including the allegations made in Mr. Chandrasckhar's three memoranda, and have come to the decision that on the basis of their examination these cases are not such where a Commission of Inquiry is warranted. They have looked into these cases and wherever action was necessary it has already been taken.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BASU: My second question is this. In view of the seriousness of the allegations that have been brought against the Birlas over the last few years, and most of which are true and correct, will the Government care to issue an order stopping the award of licences and grant of foreign exchange to them ?

F. A. AHMED: Every case has to be decided on merits, and the decision will be taken on merits, and there is nothing to warrant that any particular licence should be stoppd. (*Interruption*)

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BASU: Their merit is tarnished. You are allowing them licences. (*Interruption*)

MR. SPEAKER : Order, Order. There are a number of other Members waiting to put questions, Shri Banerjee, Shri Indrajit Gupta and others. Please do not monopolise the Question-Hour by your own questions.

Several Hon. Members rose-

DR. SUSHILA NAYAR: Sir, can an hon. Member go on speaking and saying that they are sheltering this, that and the other? (*Interrupticn*)

MR. SPEAKER : H: does not know what he is talking. (Interruption) Shri Banerjee. SHRI S. M. BANERJEE : In reply

to question 273, it appears from the statement that certain charges have been framed, and item Nos. 1, 2 and 3 relate to the Technological Institute of Textiles. Bhiwani, the Birla Cotton Spinning and Weaving Mills. Delhi, and Bhiwani Textile Mills, Bhiwani, respectively, and the charges are under section 120B, IPC, read with section 7 of the Essential Commodities Act, and section 420 IPC. I must congratulate the Birlas for scoring a hat.trick under section 420! Now, my question is, whether the CBI report has been considered by the Government and, if so, what is the specfic objection to lay a copy of that report on the Table of the House.

As was evident from yesterday's newspapers—you must also have read it—there was a controversy in the Central Hall and the D-puty Prime Minister and everyone of them defended Birlas and said that they have not done anything wreng; it may be correct. In view of these things, I would like to know, after the charges have been levelled by various persons including Mr. Chandrasekhar, whether the Congress Government's decision not to refer the cases to a Commission of Inquiry is a political debision or it is based on the report which they have received.

SHRI F. A. AHMED : So far as the first question is concerned, on the basis of the CBI report, charge.sheets have been submitted,.....

SHRIS. M. BANERJEE: My question is, why don't you lay the report on the Table of the House.

SHRI F. A. AHMED: The chargesheets have been submitted to the court; it is a public document, and if the hon: Member wants my particular information a copy of it can be obtained. On the basis of the charge-sheets, in respect of five matters, the matter has been also gone into, and if the hon. Member wants to know the stage of the decision, that has also been indicated, and I can inform the hon. Member what is the stage of those cases; in respect of three cases where the charge-sheets have been submitted, the matter is still pending. SHRIS. M. BANERJEE: That was not my question. Either my question should be expunged or the reply should be expunged ! I have read the charges. My question is, what is the specific objection in laying the full report on the Table of the House. I also asked whether the decision of the Government of India is a political decision.

SHRI F. A. AHMED: Till the cases are disposed of, the report cannot be placed on the Table in public interest. So far as the charge-sheet is concerned, it is a public document amd he can obtain it.

Mr. SPEAKER. He asked whether the decision is political.

SHRI F. A. AHMED : The decision is not political. It is on mertis.

श्री यज्ञवत्त शर्मा : अध्यक्ष महोदय. बिरलाजी के सम्बन्ध में जो कुछ भी जांच का काम किया गया, कांग्रेस एग्जिक्यूटिव कमेटी के निर्एाय में उसका जिक है कि समाजवाद की अपनी कल्पना पर काग्रेंस ने आखिरी कफन पहना दिया। मुभे सर-कार से यह जानना है कि क्या सरकार के अन्दर कोई ऐसा ढांचा है जो कि कम्पनियों के धन सम्बन्धीं इस प्रकार के आरोपों पर या घोटालों पर. उन आरोपों या घोटालों की रोकथाम के लिए निगरानी रखता हो और सरकार को उसकी ठीक ठीक जानकारी देता हो और सरकार उसके सम्बन्ध में कार्यवाही करती हो यदि सरकार के पास ऐसा कोई ढांचा है तो राज्यसभा में श्री चन्द्रझेखर द्वारा लगाए गए ग्रारोपों के पूर्व, जसने कितनी इस प्रकार की अव्यवस्थाओं के सम्बन्ध में सरकार को जानकारी दी है ?

श्री फल्लरू द्दीन अली अहमद : यह सवाल बहुत स्नम्ला चौड़ा है। जहां तक कि कम्प-नीज का ताल्लुक है, हमारा इंस्पेक्शन होता है, एनुवल इंस्पेक्शन भी होता है वक्तन-फवक्तन हमारी नजर में अगर कोई चीज आती है तो हम स्पेशल इन्वेस्टिगेशन भी करते हैं। अगर कम्पनीला के प्राविजिन्स के सलाफ कोई काम होता है तो भी हम ला के मातहत उनके खिलाफ एक्सन लेते हैं।

जहां तक उन एलिंगेशन्ज का ताल्लुक है जो कि श्री चन्द्रघोखर के मेमोरेंडम में हैं, इनकी हमने ग्रच्छी तरह से छानबीन की है और उसके बाद में जो एक्शन जिस जिस ला के मातहत होना चाहिए था, वह लिया है। जो एलिंगेन्स वेग थे, उनके बारे में भी हमने बतलाया है, जिसमें प्राइमा-फेसीं एविडैन्स नहीं है उनके बारे में भी बताया है। ये तमाम चीजें राज्य सभा के सामने रख दी गई हैं। इस वक्त इसमें 11 या 13 ऐसे केसेज हैं ...(ध्यवधान) .. जिनमें अभी भी इन्वेस्टिगेशन की जरूरत है ग्रीर उसके लिए हमने एक स्पेशल कमिक्नर एप्वाइन्ट किया है जो कि जल्द से जल्द एक्सपेडाइट करके हमारे सामने रखेगा।

श्री यज्ञदल शर्माः अघ्यक्ष महोदय, मंत्री जी ने यह कया कही है। मेरा सीधा प्रश्न था कि सरकार के पास कोई ढांचा है श्रीर यदि है तो राज्य सभा में लगाये गये श्रारापों से पूर्व, वह ऐसे कितने केसेज सर-कार के सामने लाया हैं? हर बार तो चन्द्रशेखर नहीं चाहिए? ग्रापने सी॰ बी॰ ग्राई॰ की रिपोर्ट पर भी पानी फेर दिया श्रीर कांग्रेस वकिंग कमेटी ढारा कमीशन की मांग को भी आपने टालकर एक ग्राफिसर को यह काम सौंग दिया है। अगर ये मगर-मच्छ पलते रहेंगे तो फिर समाजवाद कहां जायेगा? ...(ध्यवधान)...

श्री फल्लरूट्रीन अली अहमव : मैने आपसे कहा था कि बहुत सारे केसेज हैं जो कम्पनी-ला के मातहत आने हैं। जिन केसेज का ताल्लुक कम्पनी ला से है उनका हमने इन्वेस्टिगेशन कराया है और जो ऐक्सन लेना था वह ऐक्सन लिया है। ..(ब्यवधान)... कम्पनी ना के केसेज घाठ या सात हैं जहां तक कि मेरी मिनिस्ट्री का ताल्लुक है। माठ इंडस्टियल डिपार्टमेंट के केसेज है। 6 केसेज लाइसींसंग डिर्पाटमेंट को रेफर कर दिए हैं। दो में प्राईमा-फेसी केस नहीं बनता है। एक केस में बेग एलिगेन्स हैं। इसी तरह से 44 केसेज हैं जो कि फानेन्स मिनिस्ट्री में आते हैं, कस्टम ड्रयूटि ग्रौर इनकम टैक्स के, और उनमें जो ट्राइब्यूनल है वह जांच कर रहा है। जहां तक इनकम टक्स के केसेज खौलने की बात है, वह केसेज खोल दिए गए हैं।

भी यज्ञवत्त ज्ञर्माः मेरे सवाल का उत्तर नहीं आया।

भी कंवर साल गुप्त : इन्हों ने पूछा है कि ऐलिगेशन लगाने से पहले आपके विभाग ने कितने कम्पनी केसेज में चीजे पकड़ी हैं ?

श्री फखरूद्दीन अस्त्री अहमद : यह सवाल नहीं किया गया था। सवाल किया गया था कि जो एलीगेशन हैं उस पर क्या किया गया। तो मैं ने कहा कि जहां तक कम्पनी का ताल्लुक है सिर्फ सात केसेज हैं और उन में हम ने ऐक्सन लिया है, और दो केसेज में ऐक्सन हाई कोट ने स्टे कर दिया। और आठ केसेज इ उस्ट्री डिपार्ट्मेंट के हैं उन में से ख्रै केसेज रेफर कर दिये हैं लाइमेंसिंग कमेटी को।

श्वी जाजं करनेन्डोज : जो व्यान यहां मन्त्री महोदय ने दिया है, जिस में कहा है कि हम जांच कमीशन नियुक्ती नहीं करेंगे, स्रैर उस से तो कोई संतोष होंने वाली बात नहीं है । जो स्टेटमेंट आप ने सभा पटल रखा है उस में जैसे कि हिन्दुस्तान मोटसं पर इपलीकेट शेयर बनाने वाला जो आरोप है जिस के बारे में आप कहते हैं कि तीन कर्मचारियों पर आप मुकदमा चला रहे हैं, जब कि अध्यक्ष महोदय, किसी भी कम्पनी में, और खास तौर पर हिन्दुस्तान मोटसं में, इप्लीकेट शेयर बनाने का काम कोई माम्रूली कारकुन या नौकर नहीं कर सकता है जब तक कि खुद श्री घनस्यामदास बिरला हुकम न दें, तब तक किसी नौकर को हिम्मत नहीं हो सकती है कि वह डुप्लीकेट शेयर बनाये । याद रहे कि मूंचड़ा वाले मामले में किसी नौकर को पकड़ने की बात हुई थी । इस किस्म के झारोपों पर मूंघड़ा को ही पकड़ने की बात हुई थी । तो क्या मंत्री जी बतायेंगे कि तमाम जो आरोप हैं ये सारे झारोप कोई मामूली कारकून या अफसर के ऊपर नहीं, बल्कि तमाम बिड़ला कम्पनियों के ऊपर हैं । तो क्यों मंत्री महो-दय जांच कमीशन नियुक्त करने से इन्कार करते हैं ?

दूसरे इस आरोप में क्या क्या तथ्य है कि मध्यावधि चुनाव के पहले कलकत्ता में एक बार नहीं, दो बार श्री बी० एम० बिड़ला से श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी जी मिलीं और सौदा किया कि चुनाव के लिये मगर चन्दा मिले तो तुम्हारे ऊपर जांच नहीं होगी म्रीर उसके मनुसार ही म्राज आप यह फैसला दे रहे हैं।

एक माननीय सदस्यः यह बिल्कुल गलत. है ।

भी जार्ज फरनेन्डीख: यह बिलकुल सही है, यही बात है। प्रधान मंत्री ने सौदा किया है। यह म्रारोप में आज पहली बार लगा रहा हूं। सदन के बाहर भी मैं ने यह आरोप लगाया हैं मौर में चाहता हूं कि मंत्री महोदय इसका साफ उत्तर दें।

एक माननीय सदस्य : यह बकवास है ।

भी जार्च फरनेस्डीज ः जांच के लिए तैयार हो जोइये ।

भी स॰ मो॰ वनर्जी : बिड़ला से पैसा लिया इसलिए कलकत्ता में कांग्रेस हारी ।

फलकहीन अली अहमव : जहां तक पहले सवाल का ताल्लुक है जो हमने कम्पनी के केसे जवगैरह देखे प्रौर देखने के बाद जो रिपोर्ट हमें मिली उम के ऊपर जो कमप्लेन्ट हुई और सी॰ बी॰ आई॰ ने इन- क्यवारी की और जिन के ऊपर मुकदमा चल सकता था उन के ऊपर मुकदमे किये हैं जैसा यह कहते हैं कोई चीज और निकल आये और इससे ज्यादा मुजरिम हों तो उन के खिलाफ भी कार्यवाही की जायेगी। लेकिन जिन पर हम मुकदमा चला सकते थे वह किमिनलकोर्ट में लाये है.....

भी मधुलियमे: मासूम बच्चे की तरह बातें कर रहें हैं।

भी फलाकहीन अलो अहमव : मैं यह भी कह देना चाहता हूं कि इस में कम्पनी की तरफ से भी कम्पलेन्ट आया था कि किन किन लोगों ने ऐसा किया । धौर वह चीज भी इस वक्त कोर्ट के सामने मौजूद है गलत है, सही है, कोर्ट इसका फैसला करेगा और वह हम सब को मालूम होगा।

जहां तक दूसरे सवाल का ताल्लुक है मैं कहता हूं कि इस किस्म के बेसलैस चार्जेज लगाने से कोई फायदा नहीं है और यह बिल्कूल गलत है कि प्राइम मिनिस्टर ने कोई ऐक्योरेंस दिया हो कि केसेज विदड्रा कर लिये जायेंगे अगर पैसा देंगे । यह बेबुनियाद और गलत है।

श्वी मधु लियमे : ग्रापने उत्तर नहीं दिया । तालियां क्यों बजाते हैं ।

भी रवि राय: मुलाकात हुई थी कि नहीं प्रधान मंत्री की ? (व्यवधान)

भी जाजं फरनेन्डोख: मैं ने म्राध्यक्ष मयोदय, बिल्कुल स्पष्ट प्रस्त पूछा था कि क्या यह सच है कि दो बार कलकत्ता में बी॰ एम॰ बिड़ला और प्रघान मंत्री की पिछले दो महीनों में मुलाकात हुई और आप ने उस मुलाकात के अन्दर यह सौदा किया कि जांच नहीं की जायेगी। और इस का सबूत यहि है कि इतनी बदमाशी करने के बावजूद भी आप ने एक भी बिड़ला कस्पनी को आज तक बुल्लैक लिस्ट नहीं किया है। भी फल्लरूद्दीन अली अहमद : यह बिल्कूल गलत है कि सौदा हन्ना है।

श्वी जार्ज फरनेन्डीज : प्रधान मंत्री दो बार मिलीं या नहीं मिलीं ? इसका उत्तर दीजिये ।

MR. SPEAKER: The Minister has said-it is all galat. You may take it or leave it; I cannot compel the Minister to give any particular reply.

श्वी कंवर लाल गुप्त : मुलाकात का मतलब सिर्फ पैसे से थोड़े ही है। और किसी का भी सौदा हो सकता है।

भी फखरूद्दीन अली अहमद : इस किस्म का सौदा हुम्रा यह बिल्कुल फूठ ऐलीगेशन है । और चूंकि वह मिले इसलिये यह सौदा हुआ यह कौई आर्गुमेंट नहीं हो सकता है ।

श्री जार्ज फरनेन्डीज : मिली हैं न ?

SHRI P. GOPALAN: If a close persual is made of the allegations made by Shri Chandrasekhar, it is easy to find that not a single Act, rule or regulation of this country has been left not violated by the Birlas. Yet these arch criminals are left scot free by the Government. The reason is very clear. Birlas are getting strong protection from higher-ups in government inside the Cabinet. In view of this, may I know whether this particular aspect of the problem, against which a specific allegation has been made by Shri Chandrasekhar, that some higher-ups in the government are responsible for protecting the Birlas and allowing them to carry on this dirty business, whether this specific problem was asked to be investigated by the CCI and if so, what is the report? If not, why was it not investigated ?

SHRI F.A. AHMAD: So far as the allegations contained in the memorandum are concerned, there is no mention about the higher-ups. Whatever allegations are contained in the memorandum have been inquired into by us and action has been taken where found necessary.

SHRIK. ANIRUDHAN: Last year also we had an occasion to discuss this matter and much had been said when Shri Chandrasekhar raised this icene Then it was mentioned both in the Lok Sabha and Rajya Sabha that Shri Pant was in the pay-roll of Birlas before he became a Minister here. Another charge is that a lot of friends and relatives of Ministers. especially ladies, are being provided emploment with fabulous salaries in the **B**irla That was also empire. discaused here. Then we were given to understand that one of our Cabinet Ministers, who at that time was not having any portfolio, namely, Shri Satya Narayan Sinha, was in the payroll of Birlas and his duty was to report to Birlas what takes place in the Cabinet meetings. Now the case of Birlas has been discussed yesterday and the day before in the Congress dropped and no inquery is going to be Parliamentary Party as well as the Executive Committee of the Congress and we hear that it is going to be dropped and no inquiry is going to be instituted and no action is going to be taken against Birlas. In view of these facts and press reports, are we to take it that Government are dropping against the Birlas the proceedings because they are afraid of defection by the Birla-sponsored members, in case action is taken against them? If not, could they give an assurance that against the they will take action Birlas irrespective of the opposition from the Congress Party ?

SHRI F.A. AHMED: I could not follow the question.

MR. SPEAKER : His question was whether Government is depending on Birlas or Government is afraid of Birlas.

SHSI F.A. AHMAD; We are not afraid of Birlas.

MR. SPEAKER : Shri Indrajit Gupta. SHRI NAMBIAR : What is the answer? It is a simple question. (Interruption).

MR. SPEAKER: There is only half a minute. That will be lost, If you do not want, I will pass on to the next item.

SHRI INDRAJIT GUPTA : Sir. in the statement which is laid in reply to Starred Q No. 274, the hon. Minister has been very kind enough to do a neat job of tabulation for us but it throws no light whatsover on the matter which we have raised. I find from the statement that out of 88 allegations which have been submitted by Shri Chandrashekhar, the statement gives some information regarding only 23 of those allegations. Please ask him not to try, to total it up. It took me quite a long time. I can assure him that only 23 specific complaints have been mentioned in the statement as having been looked into or not looked into or found to be vague or found to be baseless. etc. etc. As far as the remaining 65 allegations out of 88 are concerned, we are given a piece of advice saying, "It is suggested that separate Questions may be tabled to enable the concerned Ministers to explain the position." In that way, 65 charges have been disposed of.

Now, whatever enquiries have been made or are being made are of a departmental naturer. In similar cases, as you know, in the case of Sahu-Jain group or Mundhra group, the commissions of inquiry were appointed. I would like to know what is the reson for applying a different yardstick in this case because we cannot overlook the fact that in newspaper reports of the preceedings of the Congress Parliamentary Executive meetingif they are wrong, they should be contradicated-some leading members who are Members of this House are quoted as saying, "We have to realise that we do require funds for the party." It is an important matter. It is on record. I can gute it from the papers. I want to know whether a different yardstick which is being applied in the case of Birlas is due to the fact that they are the biggest single donor of funds to this party and that is why, in their case, only departmental enquiries are being held. What is the reason for this discrimination?

SHRI F. A. AHMED: I would like to inform the House that so far as the demand of appointing commissions of inquiry is concerned, the commissions of inquiry are only to find out the facts on the basis of which some further action is to be taken if we have so bring the culprits to book. Instead of wasting time for the purpose of finding out the facts, we have ascertained the facts and, wherever we have found that there has been an infringement of any statutory provision, we have already referred those cases for necessary action, for punitive action, and so on and so forth. It is not correct to say that only in respect of 24 cases we have taken some action and that in respect of other cases no action has been taken. As I have already indicated, there are 44 cases where the questions of infringement of income-tax law and customs duty are involved and they have been referred to the tribunal concerned for investigation and for taking necessary action. Where no prima facie case has been found, of course, no action Therefore we have not has been taken. lost any time whatsoever in bringing to book any cases where there has been any infringement of statutory provisions. On that ground, the Government cannot be blamed that they have not taken any action. Only in respect of 11 or 13 cases, where investigation is already proceeding, we have appointed a Special Commissioner to see that that is properly supervised and also expedited as early as possible so that necessary action may be taken under the provisions of law.

MR. SPEAKER : Now, the Question Hour is over.

SHRIS. M. BANERJEE: The hon. Member, Shri Anirudhan, mentioned two Ministers. May I mention for your information that those two Ministers have clarified their position before the House? Perhaps, it is not known to him.

MR. SPEAKER; What he said is on record. If the Ministers want to clarify, I will not have any objection to that.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUES-TIONS

Committee to ensure coordinated functioning of Economic Ministries

*275. SHRI CHENGALRAYA NAIDU : Will the Minister of INDUS-TRIAL DEVELOPMENT, INTERNAL TRADE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that the Central Government have decided to set up a high level Committee to ensure co-ordinated functioning of the various economic Ministries;

(b) if so, whether the main purpose of this is to bring about effective utilization of the growing idle capacity in different public sector undertakings; and

(c) the name of the Members of the Committee and when they are going to submit their report ?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT, INTERNAL TRADE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI F.A. to AHMED) : (a) (c) , Government have under consideration а proposal to set up high-level committee for coordination of basic problems and issues relating to public sector plants including, inter alia a periodical review of the order position of these plants, particularly, the heavy machine-building and heavy electrical units, consideration of resource requirements and allocations to ensure effective utilisation of capacity besides review of capital structure etc. wherever necessary, together with any major issues and problems relating to these plants. The details of composition of the committee are yet to be finalised. From the nature ofduties and functions devolving upon this committee, the question of submission of reports does not arise.

औद्योगिक विकास के क्षेत्रीय असंतुलन

*276. भी सूरजमान : भी रणजीत सिंह : भी जगम्नाथ राव जोशी : भी अटल विहारी वाजपेयी : भी हुब भूषण लाल : कुमारी कमला कुमारी : मी नारायण स्थक्प ज्ञमारी :

क्या औद्योगिक विकास, आस्तरिक व्यापार तथा समवाय-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने