JUNE 21, 1967

Mr. Speaker: No, please. Next question.

## **Pak Immigration**

+

## \*632. Shri Sradhakar Supakar: Shri Liladhar Kotoki; Shri N. R. Laskar; Shri Shree Gopal Saboo:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government propose to appoint civil squads to trace illegal Pakistani immigrants in Assam and other bordering States in view of the increasing complaints of Police harassment and extortion of illegal gratification from bona fide citizens; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) No such proposal is under consideration.

(b) Does not arise.

Shri Sradhakar Supakar: On the one hand, there is a complaint that largescale immigration of Pakistanis into Assam is taking place; on the other local people complain that they are being harassed on account of this by the police and illegal gratification is extorted from them. What are Government going to do to check both these?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: To check this complaint, we set up judicial tribunals, and these judicial tribunals go into each case very carefully, and see that no genuine Indian citizen is thrown out, and no person is unnecessarily harassed.

Shri Sradhakar Supakar: May I know whether it will be possible to introduce identity cards—specially in the border areas between Pakistan and Assam all along the border to ensure that no illegal traffic from Pakistan to Assam takes place?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: This question was examined, and it was dropped because it was considered impractical.

Dr. Ranen Sen: Some time . back there was a discussion in the Assam Legislative Assembly to the effect that in the name of finding out illegal immigrants entering Assam or staying in Assam there is a lot of harassment, and in the course of the discussion in the Assembly it was admitted by the Assam Government that some such cases had taken place, but in spite of that no step has been taken. This judicial tribunal goes into the question of illegal immigration, it does not go into the question of the harassment of Indian citizens who are Muslims. living in India. May I know, therefore, whether besides this tribunal. any active step has been taken to stop police extortion and police harassment of the innocent Muslim citizens of India?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: As I explained earlier these tribunals were set up precisely for this purpose for stopping the harassment, because in the earlier procedure there was some possibility of police harassment. So, after the Home Ministers of India and Pakistan met in 1964, a new procedure was devised and in this procedure the scope of harassment is reduced to the minimum, and if there is any harassment even after that, the local Government, Assam Government, takes care and tries to see that no such harassment is occasioned.

Shri D. N. Tiwary: A few years ago it was stated in this House that nearly four lakhs Pakistanis had infiltrated into Assam. Out of this, may I know how many were evicted or sent back to Pakistan, and how many were declared to be Indian citizens here?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: After the tribunals started functioning, till the end of March, 1967, 41,313 cases of Pakistani infiltrants were referred to them. In 35,861 cases the persons concerned were held to be Pakistani infiltrants, and in 1,363 cases the persons concerned were held not to be infiltrants; 5,069 cases are still pending with the tribunals at present.

## 6381 Oral Answers JYAISTHA 31, 1889 (SAKA) Oral Answers 6382

श्री घटल बिहारी बाजपेयीः मैं जम्म काश्मीर के बारे में प्रश्न पूछना चाहता हूं। ग्रसम एंड ग्रदर बौरडरिंग, स्टेटस में जम्म कश्मीर शामिल है। क्या यह सच है कि भारत. पाकिस्तान के संघर्ष के दिनों में जो व्यक्ति बड़ी संख्या में पाकिस्तान चले गये थे वे अब वापस आ रहे हैं और क्या यह भी सच है कि उन की तादाद 60,000 के करीब है? श्री गलजारी लाल नन्दा ने संसद में आश्वासन दिया था कि ऐसे लोगों को वापिस नहीं झाने दिया जायेगा तो मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि उस आण्वासन का क्या हम्रा ? क्या सरकार इस बात को देख रही है कि इतनी बडी संख्या में पाकिस्तानी जम्मू कश्मीर के हमारे सीमा प्रदेश में बसेंगे तो फिर से हमारे लिए संकट येदा नहीं होगा ?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: This is the other class, those who are Indian citizens, who temporarily crossed over to Pakistan and again came back. This question really refers to Pakistanis or Pakistani citizens who come into India in a surreptitious manner. We are dealing with that kind of infiltrants in this question.

Shri Shivajirao S. Deshmukh: During the aftermath of the last India-Pakistan conflict there were stray cases in non-border States where bona fide Indian citizens have been treated as Pakistani national<sub>3</sub> and forced to migrate. Do the Government propose to sympathetically review at least those cases where Indian citizens are involved?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: This question really does not arise out of this.

श्वी ग्राटल बिहारी बाजपेयी: प्रध्यक महोदय, मैं व्यवस्था संबंधी प्रक्ष उठाना चाहूंगा। मंत्री महोदय इस ग्राधार पर उत्तर देने से इन्कार नहीं कर सकते कि वह प्रिक्ष्न ग्रसम से संबंधित नहीं है ग्रौर वह जम्मू कश्मीर का है क्योंकि बौरडरिंग स्टेट्स में जम्मू कश्मीर शामिल है। जम्मू कश्मीर में भी ऐसे पाकिस्तानी नागरिक झा रहे हैं जोकि भारत से नहीं गये थे बल्कि वह भारत से गये हुये नागरिकों के साथ साथ झाकर जम्मू कश्मीर में जा रहे हैं। इस प्रश्न का मंत्री महोदय उत्तर देने से कैसे इन्कार कर सकते हैं?

श्वी विद्याचरण शुक्स : मैंने तो यही कहा था कि जो माननीय सदस्य ने प्रश्न पूछा था पहले जो लोग युद्ध के समय पाकिस्तान चले गये थे ग्रौर बाद में युद्ध विराम होने पर यहां हिन्दुस्तान प्राये तो उस के बारे में हम प्रश्नोत्तर नहीं कर रहे हैं बाकी ऐसे लोग जोकि हमदम पाकिस्तान में रहते हैं ग्रौर वह युद्धविराम के बाद यदि भारत में ग्राये हैं तो उन की संख्या के बारे में यदि ग्राप हमें ग्रलग से नोटिस दें तो मैं ग्राप को उस का पूर्ण विव-रण दे दंगा।

श्री सभु लिमये : वाजपेयी जी ने कहा कि पिछले युद्ध के समय कुछ हमारे लोग पाकिस्तान चले गये थे भौर युद्धविराम होने पर वापिस ग्राये तो उन लोगों के साथ कुछ पाकिस्तानी नागरिक भी ग्रा गये हैं ग्रौर इस के बारे में हम ने ध्यानाकर्षण प्रस्ताव भी दिया था। इसलिए उन के इस प्रश्न का उत्तर ग्राना चाहिए। इस के लिए ग्रलग नोटिस की कोई जरूरत नहीं है।

श्री विद्याचरण शुक्ल : उस के लिए सैप्रेट नोटिस दिया जाये तो मैं ग्रवण्य उत्तर दूंगा।

श्री मधु लिमये : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, इस के लिए मती जी प्रलगनोटिस क्यों मांग रहे हैं? यह तो इसी में प्राता है।

Mr. Speaker: Anyway, he has no information now with him. The question is relevant.

## 6383 Oral Answers

JUNE 21, 1967

Oral Answers

6384

भी समु लिमये : मंत्री महोदय तैयारी कर के नहीं ग्राते हैं। ष्राध्यक्ष महोदय, इस तरह से कैसे चल सकता है ग्रौर फिर जो पूरक प्रभन पूछे जाते हैं उन का मतलब क्या रहता है?

श्वी ग्रटल बिहारी वाजपेयी ः क्या मंत्री महोदय इस बात से इंकार करते हैं कि जम्मू कश्मीर में बड़ी संख्या में पाकिस्तानी नागरिक बस रहे हैं श्रीर राज्य सरकार श्रीर केन्द्रीय सरकार इस मामले में कोई कदम नहीं उठा रही है?

भी बिटा।चरण शुक्लः मैंने कहा इस के बारे में प्रगर प्रलग से नोटिस मिले तो मैं पूर्ण रूप से उस का उत्तर दूंगा।.... (व्यवचान)

श्री हुक्म चम्ब कछव ूय : 2 महीने पहले सूचना दी है प्रव श्रीर कितनी सूचना चाहते हो ?

भी विद्याचरण शुक्लः ग्रीर जोर से चिल्लाग्री।

Mr. Speaker: The question put is relevant. But he has  $n_0$  information now with him. That is the point.

Shri Bal Raj Madhok; When he knows that such questions might be put, he should have the information to the specific question. That is what we want.

Shri Shivajirao S. Deshmukh: My supplementary arises out of the main question. It has not been answered.

Mr. Speaker: Please put the question.

Shri Shivajirao S. Deshmukh: I have put the question. During the last Indo-Pakistan conflict and during the aftermath, there have been stray cases of bona fide Indian Muslim citizens being treated as Pakistani Muslims and forcibly being subjected to eviction. Do the Government sympathetically propose to review those cases?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla; We shall cortainly consider that. Shri Humayun Kabir: In view of the fact that there have been continual allegations of Pakistani illegal immigration into Assam and also allegations that there has been harassments of Indian citizens, some of which were justified even by the High Court judgments, and in view further of the fact that a register was made as early as 1953 or 1954 of all bona fide citizens of Assam, what are the objections of the Government to give identity cards to all the citizens in the border areas?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: This question was considered in consultation with the Assam Government and as I said, there were some practical difficulties in this, and that is why this proposal was dropped.

Shri Ranga: The question appears to be eminently reasonable.

Mr. Speaker: I will give him a chance. Now, Shri D. C. Sharma.

Shri D. C. Sharma: I do not talk about Assam nor about Jammu and Kashmir. I talk about something which is nearer home. May I know if the Government is aware of the fact that there is a large scale Muslim infiltration into the city of Delhi and may I know from the hon, Minister and the Minister of State whether they have any agency to check up this infiltration into this metropolitan city?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: Well, both Hindus and Muslims are honourable citizens of this country; if they come into this city, it is not our coucern; we do not mind that.

Shri Hem Barua: In reply to a question, the hon. Home Minister has said that no Indian Muslim has been sent out of this country to Pakistan. In that context, may I know if it is not a fact that Gen. Cariappa who visited East Pakistan and also visited certain regugee camps in East Pakistan has submitted a report to the Government, to the Prime Minister, in which he has said that he came across Indian Muslims being thrown out of this country and who are now cooling their heels in East Pakistan and, if so, whether 6385 Oral Answers JYAISTHA 31, 1889 (SAKA) Oral Answers 6386

the Government have made any enquiry into this report submitted by Gen. Cariappa so as to see that there is no harassment of the Indian Muslims in the name of sending out Pakistani infiltrants? We want the Pakistani infiltrants to be sent out lock, stock and barrel. But that does not mean that Indian Muslims are to be harassed, as is happening today in Assam.

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: As I said earlier, we have not sent out any Indian Muslim knowingly. We have taken all the care to find out whether a person being sent out is an Indian citizen or not. A legal process has been prescribed for it.

Mr. Speaker: What about Gen. Cariappa's report?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: 1 will find out about it.

Shri Hem Barus: Gen. Cariappa has submitted a report, Sir. The Minister does not know it. Why should he come not prepared to reply to our questions?

Shri Ranga: Has it come to the notice of Government—in recent months reports have appeared in the press also that quite a large number of Pakistani nationals have been surreptitiously coming into Kashmir and trying to pass on as Kashmiris. Has any effort been made by the Government to check it up and to see that these people are not allowed to come?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri X. B. Chavaa): There are two aspects. One is that a large number of people went across the border during the Indo-Pakistan conflict. That a large number of them are coming back is also a fact. I cannot be exact about their number, but it is quite a large number—possibly up to 50,000 or even 50,000 as Mr. Vajpayee mentioned. That is one aspect. The Home Minister had then mentioned in the House that they were not allowed to come back. But when normal relations were established and when a large number of Indian citizenswomen and children-wanted to come back, it was rather very difficult for our security forces to resist and stopthem. A large number of them are coming back and at the same time we are screening them to see that any Pakistani as such is not coming back and particularly to see that some dangerous element, which has been indoctrinated on the other side is not coming back. Such people are put under arrest.

श्री विभूति मिथाः ग्रभी मंती जी ने जवाब दिया कि भारत के जो लोग पाकिस्तान चले गये थे वह फिर वापस मारहे हैं। मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि लड़ाई के जमाने में वह पाकिस्तान के साथ प्रेम होने के कारण चले गये या किन्हीं ग्रौर कारणों से चले गये ? जब वह चले गये तो क्या उनकी लायलटी हिन्दुस्तान के प्रति थी ? यदि नहीं थी तब फिर क्यों भारत सरकार उन को फिर से ला रही है ?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: That is exactly what I have said. When they are coming back, we are trying to screen them and find out exactly what is what. It was quite possible that some people might have gone there for all the reasons. Some might have gone out of a fear complex, some because it was difficult for them to live here people might and some have gone because they had their relations across the border and they would be safer there. When such a large number have moved from one place to another, it cannot be explained by one reason. When they are coming back, we are trying to screen them to find out whether there are any anti-Indian people.

Shri Bal Raj Madhok: Who is screening them?

Shri Ranga: Local Government or Central Government?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: Both.

अति,त्रकाक्षतीय :काहत्रीः मैंः जाननाः चाहता:•इं किः क्या वह सरय है किः जम्मू प्रौर काश्मीर तथा प्रसम के प्रलावा राजस्थान के बाड़मेर, जैसेलमेर प्रौर गंगानगर से लगते इलाकों में तथा पश्चिमी बंगाल से लगते क्षेत्रों में भी भारी मात्ना में लोग पाकिस्तान से प्राकर बस गये हैं प्रौर भारत के कुछ राजनीतिक तत्व हैं जो उन्हें यहां बसने 1 में मदद दे रहे हैं। यदि यह गृह मंत्रालय की जानकारी में है तो इस के निराकरण के लिये सरकार ने क्या उपाय किये हैं?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: Some infiltrants have also come into Tripura and West Bengal. Almost all of them have been evicted from Tripura. From West Bengal also, we have evicted a good many of them. Some remain and .action is being taken against them.

श्वी प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री: राजस्थान के बारे में ?

Shri Yidya Charan Shukla: Such reports were also received from Rajasthan and due action is being taken.

Shri Samar Guha: Sir, as a result of sending back thousands of Muslims who are suspected as Pakistani citizens, these Muslims after going back to East Pakistan have forcibly occupied many houses of the minorities in the districts of Rangpur, Meimansingh, Tipara, Hill Tipara and Sylhet, As a result of this. Chittagong. thousands of minorities have been evicted. Not only so many houses have been forcibly occupied but many educational institutions like, one of the very well known institutions, Mahesh Pathshala, which includes a library and a museum, have also been forcibly occupied by these Muslims who have been pushed back from Assam, with the result that a serious problem has been created in East Pakistan for the minorities there. I want to know how the Government thinks that as a result of the eviction of these illegal Pakistani citizens any reaction is not created for the minorities in East

Pakistan? Secondly, I want to know how many people have been evicted from East Pakistan as a result of forcible occupation of their houses by these people who have been evicted from there during the last five years and who have crossed back into Assam.

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: Sir, this is a complex question. What effect this matter has in East Pakistan when Pakistani citizens are evicted from Bengal or from Assam it is very difficult to say. But it really may be having some effect and some Hindus may have been deported or evicted from there. But here our anxiety is to see that we do not allow any foreign national to stay in our soil without proper documents and without proper authorisation. If we find anybody of that kind we just send him back to his country.

Shri Samar Guha: Sir, my question has not been answered. I want to  $kno_W$ exactly the number of Hindus, Buddhists, and Christians who have crossed during the last five years from East Pakistan into Assam as a result of the harassment by those people.

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: It is very difficult to give those figures now.

Shri Ebrahim Sulaiman Sait: There have been innumerable cases where the Muslim citizens of Assam whose citizenship has been established by the High Courts and Tribunals have been evicted and pushed out to Pakistan. May I know whether the Government has come to know of these things; if so, what action has the Government of India taken to bring back those citic zens to this country?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: Some complaints of this nature were received earlier than 1964. That is why these judicial tribunals were set up. After these tribunals have been set up such cases have very steeply gone down.

Shri Hem Barua: Sir, I rise to a point of order. In reply to a previous question the hon. Minister said that no Indian Muslim was pushed out of this country to Pakistan. Now he admits that some people were pushed out. How does he reconcile these two statements made by the Minister in the same breath?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: He has not followed what I said.

Shri Ebrahim Sulaiman Salt: I would like to know the number of such cases.

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: Such complaints were received before 1944. If a separate notice is given I will give the figures.

Shri Samar Guha: Sir, it has created a serious problem for the minorities in East Pakistan. I want the Home Minister to make a statement.

श्री राम किशन : क्या गवर्नमेंट को जानकारी में यह बात है कि पाकिस्तानी एग्रेंशन से पहले श्रौर एग्रेशन के दौरान पाकिस्तान ने काफी तादाद में पाकिस्तानी मस्लिम पुजारियों, साधुग्रों श्रौर ग्रंथियों के रूप में पंजाब के मुख्तलिफ हिस्सों में भेजे जिन्होंने यहां स्पाईज का काम किया श्रौर स्ट्रैटेंजिक लाइन्स को उखाड़ने की कोशिश की, इस के साथ साथ बम्बई श्रौर पूना में भी भारी तादाद में स्पाईज भेजें गये? मैं गवर्नमेंट से पूछना चाहता हूं कि श्राया वह सारा गेंग लिक्विडेंट कर दिया गया है? श्रौर ग्रगर नहीं, तो ग्रागे के लिये गवर्नमेंट ने क्या इन्तजामात किये हैं?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: Some cases did happen, and we have been able to deal with this matter very effectively.

Mr. Speaker: Next question. Shri Bibhuti Mishra.

श्री मचुलिमयेः मेरा इस 633 प्रस्त पर व्यवस्था का प्रग्न है। यह बहुत गम्भीर मामला है। यह सुप्रीम कोर्ट के मुख्य न्यायाधीश के बारे में है। श्रीविभूति मिश्राः यहमेरा सवाल है भौर...

Mr. Speaker: He is raising a point of order. I will hear that first.

भी मधु लिमये : मेरी इस पर दो किस्म की मापत्तियां ह। एक तो जिस को मैं बुनियादी कहूंगा वह है भौरदूसरी शब्दावली को ले कर है। पहले जो शब्दावली को ले कर है उसको मैं मापके सामने रखता हूं। इस में यह कहा गया है:

"whether it is a fact that the former Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of India, before resigning from his post, had expressed his desire to some political party chiefs that he would like to contest the Presidential Election;"

इससे ज्यादा सफेंद झूट प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, कोई ग्रीर हो नहीं सकता है। जो चीफ जस्टिस साहब हैं उन्होंने कभी भी किसी भी राजनीतिक दल के नेता के पास ऐसी इच्छा व्यक्त नहीं की है...**इंटरप्शंज** 

श्वीकमलनयन बजाज : ग्राप से जवाब नहीं मांगा है।

श्री मधु लिमये ः हल्ला क्यों करने लगें हैं। क्या इनको किसी (सांप ने काटा है ?

श्री कमलनयन बजाजः बिच्छू काट रहा है।

श्री मधु लिमपेः यह गब्दावली बिल्कुल गलत है। मैं प्रापको कह रहा हूं। प्राप नियम 43(1) देखिये। उस में प्रापको यह प्रधिकार इस सदन ने दिया है।

"The Speaker shall decide whether a question, or a part thereof, is or is not admissible under these rules, .....

यह मापका ग्रधिकार है। मब माप देखिये कि इ.स. शब्दावली में एक मारोप चीक जस्टिस जैसे बड़े पद पर झासीन झादमी पर किया गया है। झगर ये सवाल पूछते कि क्या यह बात सही है कि राजनीतिक दलों के नेताझों ने उनके पास जा कर उन से विनती की है तो इस शब्दावली पर मुझें एतराज नहीं होता। तो यह मेरा एतराज इस शब्दा-बली के बारे में है। मुझे ऐसा लगता है कि 43(1) के मातहत....

Shri Kamalnayan Bajaj: It has been admitted by the Speaker....(Interruptions.)

Mr. Speaker: Your shouting is not going to help me. Let us get the point of order. I will see whether there is a point of order or not. Why do you not allow the Speaker to decide it, instead of shouting like this? Shouting will result only in counter-shouts. So, leave it to the Speaker.

Shri Randhir Singh: Sir, he is sitting in judgment on your powers. How can you allow that?

Mr. Speaker: I would request all hon. Members to sit down. Let us hear the point of order.

श्वी मधु लिभये : मैं कहां प्रतिकमण कर रहा है। मैं विनती कर रहा हूं। चूंकि यह गब्दावली प्रापत्तिजनक हैं, इसलिए 43(1) के मातहत जो प्रापको प्रधिकार दिया गया है उसका इस्तेमाल करके इस शब्दावली को ग्राप बदलिये। प्रब जो मेरा बुनियादी प्राक्षेप है वह रक संविधान की धारा को ले कर है और एक नियम के ऊपर भी प्राधारित है। संविधान की धारा जो 121 है वठ इस प्रकार है:

Restriction on discussion in Parliament

"No discussion shall take place in Parliament with respect to the conduct of any Judge of the Supreme Court or of a High Court...."

An hen, Member: His has resigned.

श्वीं मधु लिमयेः इस में सवाल जवाब भी म्राजरते हैं।

"....in the discharge of his duties except upon a motion for presenting an address to the President...."

Mr. Speaker: But the wording is "in the discharge of his duties."

The article says:

"No discussion shall take place in Parliament with respect to the conduct of any Judge of the Supreme Court or of a High Court in the discharge of his duties."

श्री मणुलिममे ः प्राप नियम देखिये। यह तो संविधान की व्यवस्था हुई ः नियम 41(2)(9) इस प्रकार हैः

"It shall not reflect on the character or conduct of any person whose conduct can only be challenged on a substantive motion"

मेरा यह कहना है कि अगर इनको कोई सबस्टैंटिव मोशन लाना है तो जरूर लायें, हम लोग कभी भी चर्चा से भागने वाले नहीं हैं। मैं हमेशा कहता हूं कि चर्चा होनी चाहिये। लेकिन हमारे जो सवाल संबंधी नियम हैं उनके तहत यह सवाल नहीं झा सकता है, कम से कम इसकी शब्दावसी को तो बदलना चाहिये।

Mr. Speaker: Nobody is questioning the conduct of any judge, much less of the former Chief Justice. Here it is a question only asking information. He may say "No" or "Yes". It is a matter of information only; nobody is queetioning his conduct in the discharge of his duties. Now let us be very careful about it.

भी जबु लिबचे : कोड आफ कडफट सिखा हमा है। "बी" पढ़ियेन Shri Hem Barua: Part (b) of the question is specifically about the code of conduct.

राष्ट्रवति का चुनाव + \*633. औ चिमूति मिश्र : श्री क० ना० तिवारी :

क्या गृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की क्रुपा करेंगे कि.:

(क) क्या यह सच है कि भारत के उच्चतम न्यायालय के भूतपूर्व मुख्य न्याधीश ने ग्रपने पद से त्यागपत्न देने से पहले कुछ राजनीतिक दलों के मध्यक्षों से ग्रपनी यह इच्छा ब्यक्त की थी कि वह राष्ट्र पति का चुनास लड़ना चाहते है;

(ख) यदि हां, तो क्या यह बातभारत के उच्चतम न्यायालय के मुख्य न्यायाधीश केलिये ग्राचार संहिता के ग्रनुसार प्रनुकूल समझी जाती है ; ग्रौर

(ग) यदि नहीं, तो इस बारे में भविष्य में मार्गदर्शन के लिये क्या कार्यवाही करने का सरकार का विचार है ?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) The Government have no such information.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

**Mr. Speaker:** Now, I think, we will go to the next question because he has no information.

Shri A. B. Vajpayee: Now you have to be careful.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Minister has said that he has absolutely no information and he has nothing to say... (Interruption). Now that he has said that he has absolutely no information your putting any number of questions is not going to elicit any extra information. I am very much sure about it. However, Shri Bibhuti Mishra can ask for information without making any insinuations. श्री विभूति शिषा में जानना चाहता हूँ कि किस तारीख को इन्होंने इस्तीफा दिया मौर किस तारीख को इन्होंने प्रखबारों में प्रकाशित करवाया कि इन्होंने निर्णय ले लिया है कि हिन्दुस्तान के राष्ट्रपति के पद पर यह खड़ होंगे ? प्रखबारों में जो यह प्रकाशित हुम्रा है कि विभिन्न राजनीतिक दलों के नेता जाकर उन से मिले हैं, वे कब जाकर मिले हैं, क्या इसके बारेमें कोई इनफार्मेणन सरकार को है ?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I have not got the exact date as to when he actually resigned because I did not think that this would be relevant in answering this question. I think we will have to be a little restrained in this matter. As a matter of fact, in a press report of 21st April 1967 when pressmen persisted in asking whether political parties had consulted him prior to suggesting his name, Shri Subba Rao said that for the first time at a party reception he heard from somebody that his name had been announced over the radio as a unanimous candidate of the Opposition for the Presidential election and that it was a surprise for him. I think, we must accept his word.

श्वीविभूति मिश्व : किस तारीख को इन्होंने त्यागपत्न दिया ग्रीर किस तारीख को नामिनेशन पेपर्जफाइल किए ?

श्री सघु लिमयेः कुछ तो क्याल करिये जज लोगों को झाप नौकरियां दे रहे हैं। उसकी तो चर्चा कभी करते नहीं हैं। एक जज बिल्कुल संविधान के झनुसार इस्तीफा दे कर चुनाव लड़ता है तो बड़ा गुस्सा झाता है इनको ।

श्री पहाड़िया : ग्राप तो ज्यूडिशरी को क्रूरप्ट करते हैं · · · · ·

भी मणु लिमये: ग्राप राजवूत बनाते हैं मंत्री बनाते हैं, नोकरियां देते हैं, शर्म नहीं ग्राती है? वह तो चुनाव लड़े हैं संविधान के भाधार पर ।