20

to the Government of U.S. that they can wait up to this point and no farther and, if they do not say 'yes' or 'no', Government will proceed with such arrangement it wants to make in this regard?

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: It is not provided in the agreement: that is the difficulty. I wish they had provided for that when the agreement -was made. But we are trying to find out as to what can be done. Even among the best of friends, there can be points of difference: that is life, what can be done? We do not therefore break up the friendship!

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: I have never hinted or suggested that we should break up our friendship with the U. S....

MR. SPEAKER: Now, I am linking • Qns. 24 and 28 together.

Alleged foreign hand in Naga Raid on Assam Villages

*24. SHRI K. MALLANNA

DR. RAMJI SINGH:

Will the Minister of HOME AF-FAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the competent observers with intimate knowledge of Nagaland suspect the hand of some foreign agency in the recent raids by armed Nagas on some Villages on the Assam-Nagaland Border;

(b) whether it is a fact that they rule out the possibility of any role in the savage attacks, which resulted in heavy casualties, for Naga rebels now camping in Burmese territory across the Nagaland border and engaged in talks with members of the Nagaland Peace Council on the Shillong accord .of November, 1975; and

(c) if so, what efforts have been - made by the Central Government in - this regard? THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI DHANIK LAL MANDAL): (a) Government have no information.

(b) Information with the Government of India would rule out that possibility.

(c) A detailed statement relating to the incidents along the Assam-Nagaland boundary is being furnished in response to Starred Question No. 28 listed for today. I visited the area on 14-1-79 and later the Home Minister on 1-2-79. Government of India is keeping in close touch with the State Governments with a view to ensuring that peace is maintained and restoring a sense of confidence among the people of the area affected.

Naga attack on Border Villages of Amam

+

*28. SHRI GYANESHWAR PRASAD YADAV: SHRI PIUS TIRKEY:

Will the Minister of HOME AF-FAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether some armed Nagas attacked some border villages of Assam on the 5th January, 1979;

(b) if so, details of the incident; and

(c) what preventive measures have been taken by Government?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AF-FAIRS (SHRI H. M PATEL); (a) to (c). A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

Statement

(a) to (c). According to information received, during the small hours of January 5, 1979, a number of persons armed with daos, spears and guns, simultaneously attacked some villages in Diphu, Renga and Nambor South reserve forests within Assame on the Assam-Nagaland border.

22

According to information received, 13 villages were affected by the attack in which about 469 thatched houses were burnt. About 50 persons are estimated to have lost their lives. 69 person₃ sustained injuries, 17 of whom had to be hospitalised. A number of persons fied their homes in the neighbouring areas due to fear. The State Government of Assam set up 11 camps which at its peak housed over 20,000 evacuees. Most of these have since returned to their homes. The Assam Police have arrested 42 persons, while the Nagaland police have arrested 58 persons in connection with the raids.

The State Governments of Assam and Nagaland have been striving to restore normalcy. At the field level senior civil and police officers of both the States have undertaken joint measures to prevent further incidents or retaliatory moves. to apprehend offenders and to expedite rehabilitation measures. The patrolling of the affected areas has been entrusted to the Central Reserve Police Force and Assam Rifles in order to restore a sense of confidence in the minds of both the Nagas and the non-Nagas. A coordinating authority consisting of senior civil and police officials of both States has been set up to review periodically the progress of the arrangements made for restoration of normalcy apprehension of offenders, exchange of information, sorting out difficulties, if any, etc.

SHRI K MALLANNA: It is very unfortunate that part (a) of my question has not been answered. In Nagaland and in other North-Eastern part of the country, Christian Missionaries are dominant and they have done a great deal for the welfare of the tribals. They have always been indulging in keeping those people away from the mainstream of national life. China has continued to train the insurgent Magas and the emergence of pro-Chinese Communist Party in Nagaland is significant in this connection. In July 1978, six fully armed Chinese trained insurgents were arrested. There are indications of attempts to carve out a new State under the Chinese influence with the help of these secessionist elements. In spite of this, the Government says that it has no information. Naga insurgents are repored to be concentrating on the Indo-Burma Borders. May I know from the hon. Minister in this context what is the reaction of the Government and what steps have been taken to curb the activities in the North-Eastern States?

SHRI DHANIK LAL MANDAL: For the part (a) of his question, that is, whether it is a fact that the competent observers with intimate knowledge of Nagaland suspect the hand of some foreign agency in the recent raids by armed Nagas on some villages on the Assam-Nagaland Border, my answer is that Government has no information. The hon, Member has said that part (a) of his question has not been answered. But it has been answered. As regards his supplementary question, I want to say that people in Nagaland have embraced Christianity. There is no doubt about it. At least a majority of people, not all, but a majority of people have embraced Christianity. But in this raid on the Assam-border, how can this inference be drawn. Is it simply because those people have embraced Christianity, you suspect them and you draw this inference? I do not myself draw this inference, unless that is established. Therefore, I have said that we have no information on this. As regards, Chinese trained insurgents raids last year. I may inform you that some Chinese-trained Nagas were arrested in Nagaland when they attempted to overpower our Police force there. Now that they were arrested. I think the hon. Member is drawing this inference, that some involvement 1S there. But unless it is established, we are not going to draw that kind of inference. Secondly, there was a rumour that some negotiations were going on on the Indo-Burma border area around Nagaland, between the 23

24

ex-underground Nagas and some Chinese-trained Nagas and I think on the basis of this rumour, an inference has been drawn. But we are not going to draw any inference. There is no question of drawing any inference and for that the Government has no information.

SHRI K. MALLANNA: My second supplementary is this. There are border disputes not only between Nagaland and Assam but also among the North-Eastern States like Manipur, Tripura and Mizoram. May I know from the hon. Minister what steps have been taken to ease out the border disputes, apart from the States taking any action on solving these problems? It is stated that some Commissions have also been appointed to solve these problems. May I know from the hon. Minister what steps have been taken by the Central Government to solve these problems?

SHRI DHANIK LAL MANDAL: All the steps are being taken and there is complete peace and normalcy. I may also inform the hon. Member that immediately after these incidents, the Prime Minister and the then Home Minister got in touch with the Assam Chief Minister and the Nagaland Chief Minister, talked to them, and asked them to restore normalcy immediately and that there should be no retaliatory measures on the part of Nagaland and on the part of Assam. They should get in touch with one another, their officials should get in touch with one another and immediately sort out their problems, and restore normalcy. Immediately after that, on the advice of the Prime Minister, I visited that area, Assam-Nagaland border, those affected areas, and also talked to the Assam Chief Minister, Nagaland Minister and also the officials. I also visited other places and reported the matter to the Prime Minister, whatever I had to report, and action was taken. Then you said about the Lt. Governor a conference with the Chief Ministers of Assam and Nagaland. Our Home' Minister visited that place on First February, 1979 and Sorted out all. those problems. All the steps are being taken and there is complete peace and normalcy now.

डा॰ राणजी सिंह : ध्रम्पक महोदय, गृह-राज्य मंत्री ने कहा है कि कोई सूचना नहीं है, लेकिव सोवियत न्यूज एजेन्सी, सास ने लिखा है कि—

"The (Naga) insurgents, who are carrying out acts of subversion against the Indian Government, undergo military training in Chinese territory and they are supplied with arms, radio equipment and propaganda literature by Peking."

इसरे हिन्दुस्तान के "नेवनस हैरारूड" झौर "पेंट्रियाट" ने भी इस सिढाग्त को माना है कि नापालैंड के बलैब में चाइनीज इन्सर्जेन्ट्स का हाथ है। यह कहां तक राजनीतिक है, यह तो झाप बतायेंगे लेकिन यहां पर दूसरे लोगों ने, चासक्र डा॰ झरम, जो कि नागालैंड पीस काउंसिस में काम किए हुए हैं, उन्होंने झौर नागालैंड के गवर्न ने कहा कि उनका कोई हाथ नहीं है। बस्तुतः यह दो विरोधी बातें झापके सामने हैं, क्या झापने इनका झध्ययन किया ह ?

एक बात झौर है कि जब हमारे माननीय अधान मंत्री वहां गये थे तो उनसे एक व्यक्ति ने पूछा कि 16-प्वाइन्ट प्रोग्राम के बारेमें झापका क्या विचार है तो प्रधान मंत्री ने कहा था कि----

"There is no such thing like 16point programme."

उसी समय से बासतौर पर नावालैंड में यह झंझट चस रहा बा। नागालैंड के मुख्यमंत्री ने तीन तरह की बार्वे कहीं हैं :----

1. "I blame the Centre.

2. Assam Policy may have provoked raid

3. This is the work of miscreants."

तो इन सारी समस्याओं का ध्रम्ययन करते हुए क्या सरकार समझती है कि इसमें विदेशियों का हाय है ? नावालैंड कोर बाबाम का जो सीमा संपर्ष प्रभी तक नहीं हुवा है झौर डा॰ सुम्दरम की रिपोर्ट पर सरकार ने विधार नहीं किया है, तो इस बारे में सरकार की क्या राव है ?

MR SPEAKER: Everything is a speech.

वी वनिक लास मंबल : मैंने यह कहा है 'कि हमारे जो रिसोसेंब हैं और उनसे जो सूचनाएं हुमारे पास हैं, उनसे हम इस निष्कर्ष पर पहुंचे माननीय सदस्य ने "तास" और दूसरी एजेन्सियों का हवाला देते हुए कहा है, तो उन सब को भी ज्यान में रखा गया है, ऐसी बात नहीं है कि हम बेखबर हैं, लेकिन ग्रंभी ऐसा कोई प्रमाण और सूचना नहीं है, यह मैं प्रापसे कहना चाहता हूं।

(Interruptions)**

MR. SPEAKER: Do not record. (Interruptions)**

भी धगिक लाल मंडल : मेरी का मतलब सरकार से है, मैं सरकारी जानकारी के भाषार पर ही बतला रहा हूं। (अयबधान)

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Minister, you are only to answer the question.

भी ज्ञानेश्वर प्रसाद वावव : में मंत्री महोदय का ध्यान इम बात की ग्रोर ले जाना चाहना हं कि ठीक इस मात्रमण के पहले नागालैंड मौर आमाम के मुख्यमंत्रियों का सम्मेलन हुन्ना या और सारी बातें तय हो गई थीं। उस सम्मेलन में जो ·समझौते हुए थे, उसको नकारात्मक रूप देने के लिये यह विदेशी मिशनरियां, ईमाई मिणनरियां जो पथकतावादी नीति में विश्वास रखती हैं, जिन्होंने बराबर ग्रामाम में, जब नागालैंड नहीं बना था मौर छोटे छोटे राज्य भी पूर्ववर्ती कोलों में नहीं बने थे, इन्होंने इस प्रकार यहां माकमण किया । ये लोग पृथकतावादी मनोवुलि के हैं और इनकी नेशनैलिटी पर भी संदेह व्यक्त किया जाता हे झौर ये विदेशों में जाकर प्रशिक्षित होते हैं। बामाम भौर नागालैंड के मुख्य मंत्रियों के बीच में जो समझौता हुग्रा था, उसको नकारात्मक रूप देने के लिए उनकी इस प्रकार की सांत्रिस ेची । मेरा प्रकन यह भी था कि इस विषय में सरकार क्या प्रिवेंटिव एक्शन लेने जा रही है । लेकिन मंत्री महोदय ने इस बारे में स्पष्ट रूप से नहीं बताया है। जब तक उस रिजन के लोगों को राष्ट्रीयता की मुख्य धारा में नहीं लाया जायेगा, तब तक इस प्रकार की गतिविधियां वहां ·जारी रहेंगी । क्या सरकार इस दिला में कोई कारगर कदम उठायेगी ?

भो धनिक लाल मंडल : 2 जनवरी को कोहिमा सें प्रासास भोर नागालैंड के चीफ मिनिस्टर मिले ये झौर उन लोगों ने एक एग्रोमेंट भी किया था. जो प्रखबारों में भी भाषा है । उसके बाद 4 नागेख की रात को 12 कवे मह घटना हुई थी । इस से यह इनफेरेंस डा किया जा मकता है, यह मनुमान लगाया जा सकता है कि प्रासाम भौर नागालैंड के चीफ सिनिस्टरों में जो यह समझीता हुआ वा कि वे आसिपूर्ण इंग से और भाषसी बातचीत से इस विवाद को हुए करेंगे, असको कस्ट्रेट करने के लिए झासाम के बार्डर पर 4 तारीख की यह घटना हुई थी। माननीय सदस्य ने किश्चियन मिशनरीज के बारे में जो जोर दिया है, उसके बारे में मैं ने बार-बार कहा है, और मैं पुन: दोहराना चाहता हूं कि उमारे पास ऐसा कोई प्रमाण नहीं है। लेकिन माननीय सदस्य ने जो यह कहा है कि उन लोगों को राष्ट्रीय मुख्य धारा में लाना है, वह प्रवश्य ही विचारणीय है। हमारे उत्तर-पूर्व सीमांत के लोगों को राष्ट्रीय मुख्य धारा में लाया जाये, इसके बारे में मवश्य प्रयत्न किया जायेगा।

· r···

26

SHRI CHARAN NARZARY: The issue is very grave. I hail from that part of the country. Many factors are believed to be responsible for the incident. Quite a large number of people have been affected there and most of them are tribals, local people of Assam. Some Nepalese are there and some people believed to be from Bangla Desh are also there. I personally happened to be there for some days. I am constantly in touch with the affected people till to-day. People had been coming to me when I was there and they are coming here also. Since the matter is very very grave it should be discussed threadbare. I have certain questions to ask.

The so-called border dispute between Assam and Nagaland is there. For that Sundaram Committee was appointed. The Report of the Sundaram Committee has not yet been published. What is there, we do not know. Why has it not been made public till to-day? The Border dispute should be settled once for all. Innocent people on either side should not be victimised. This is one question.

Border dispute is not only there between Assam and Nagaland; it is there between Meghalaya and Assam and between Arunachal and Assam as well. People living in the adjoining areas in the border within Assam territory have been constantly threatened till to-day and some news have already appeared in papers.

MR. SPEAKER: We will have an opportunity to discuss it on the Home Ministry debate.

**Not recorded.

SHRI CHARAN NARZARY: The total number of people involved in the incident runs over 20,000 involving 4,000 families, as officially reported there in the State.

MR. SPEAKER: Will you kindly come to the question?

SHRI CHARAN NARZARY: Whether the Government is aware of the fact that rehabilitation work has not been carried out effectively there? Is the Government aware of the fact that the affected people could not go to their original places because of constant threat posed from Nagaland side?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI H. M. PATEL). The hon. Memis exercised very much about the conditions on the border between Assam and Nagaland where these troubles arose. Although he says that he belongs to that area I have recently been there on two occasions and I know that conditions are now very very near normal. The residents of the villages which were affected, have now gone back. The only villages, the residents of which have not completely gone back, are certain Naga villages and those Nagas are apprehensive of the possible arrest and so on. But they are also being given assurance that if they are not involved, nothing will happen to them. There is no question of uneasiness now because both the Chief Ministers have met and come to some understanding and those understandings are being carried out.

The hon. member referred to border disputes with other States like Meghalaya, Mizoram and so on. We are aware of those disputes and they are going to be settled through dicussions. We have written to them. Ultimately, the major point which was also convered to them....

SHIRI CHARAN NARZARY: When is this dispute going to be settled?

SHRI H. M. PATEL: Well, nobody can tell you because you being the resident of that place, should be aware that these are not matters which can be settled just like that. We have to settle them but we can settle them only through persuasion and try and see..... (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: Kindly don't answerthat question.

SHRI H. M. PATEL: For instance, there were certain disputes. The Sundaram Committee Report has been made available to both the Chief Ministers of Nagaland and Assam.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Why not. to the Parliament?

SHRI H. M. PATEL: This is not a matter about which the House needs be concerned. It is in the public interest.....(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: Kindly answer only the question put by the hon. Member. If you answer questions of all the 400 members, then you will not be able to answer.

(Interruptions)

SHRI H. M. PATEL: This is a matterwhich on their own saying, is very delicate. (Interruptions) The Sundaram Committee Report was sumitted much before this Government came in and it was considered advisable to keep it secret. Now, when this dispute arose and the question of settlement of the boundaries came up. the Prime Minister considered it very desirable that both the Chief Ministersat least should know what the findings of the Sundaram Committee were. And therefore, these reports have been made available to both these govts, and bearing the findings in mind they will be discussing and if necessary, the Central Government also will be coming and assisting.

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Gogoi.

गी राज ताराजग : गीनग, मैं घटगा स्वन पर पता हूं जीर पीरे वांच की है । अभिक सान मन्द्रत जी की पए हैं । मैं पाहता हूं सान स्वन्य पूरा विवाद करवाने । 29 Oral Answers PHALGUNA 2, 1960 (SAKA)

MR. SPEAKER: I have called Mr. Gogoi.

SHRI TARUN GOGOI: In view of the two statements made by the Chief Ministers of Assam and Nagaland that they were apprehending such a severe situation and they had cautioned the Centre also, why the Centre did not take any steps and why the State Governments of Assam and Nagaland did not take any steps in preventing such a holocaust resulting in the killings of the hundreds of people including children and women also?

SHRI H. M. PATEL: The fact is that these two Chief Ministers received information a day before and steps were taken to see that armed police force was set up in these areas. Unfortunately, I say this with some great regret that at one place the post was its sight the there and within destroyed the village attackers and even killed certain persons. Nevertheless, the armed police force did not take any action at all. It is unfortunate, and these incidents can happen. But such precautionnery steps as could be taken were taken. Nevertheless these incidents took place.

SHRI PURNANARAYAN SINHA: Mr. speaker, in the first instance, I will ask the Minister to correct the answer given by him as 52 people died, 469 houses burnt and 2000 people displaced. But in view of the reports we have received from various sources that sophisticated weapons were used for the purpose of committing the raid on alceping villagers by the organised Naga gangs and advisory boards were formed and action committees and assault forces were organised, and the whole attack was a pre-planned one with the involvement of political elements, and also in view of the fact that the Assam Chief Minister bas reported to the Home Ministry at the Centre on the 23rd December that such a show-down was going to take place and in view of the fact that our Minister of State, Mr. Dhanik Lal Mandal was in the North-East from about 9th

1.1131

of January and he had not visited borders though he was at Itanagar and instead he went on pilgrimage to Parasuram Kund....(Interruptions). Yes, this is a fact.

MR. SPEAKER: Please come to the question.

SHRI PURNANARAYAN SINHA: I am coming to the question. In view of all these questions I would like toknow whether the Government is prepared to hold an inquiry by three Judges of High Court into all the incidents of involvement of foreign elements and also Naga political parties,. Naga insurgents and all others and lapses on the part of the Union Government to take precautionery meassures. During these incidents so many lives have been lost. It is an unbecoming part of the inter-State relations. Therefore, I would like to know whether the Government is ready to, hold an inquiry by a panel of three-Judges to examine all the aspects of" the question and involvement of internal and external forces in the attack and lay a report on the Table of the-House so that we can debate.

SHRI DHANIK LAL MANDAL: Sir, I do not know why the hom. Member has taken exception to my visit to Arunachal Pradesh.

SHRI PURNANARAYAN SINHA: From 11th....

(Interruptions)

SHRI DHANIK LAL MANDAL: Heis angry that I visited Arunachal Prodesh which is the Union Territory of which I hold the charge. It was decided long back that I should visit Arunachal Pradesh—Itanagar and also Parasuram Kund.

MR. SPEAKER: The question houris over.