13

Oral Answers SRAV

SRAVANA 11, 1895 (SAKA)

श्री हुकम बन्द कछवायः मती महोदय ने उत्तर में यह बताया है कि 1970 में कितने लोगो को रोजगार दिया। मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि लक्ष्य क्या था रोजगार देने का ग्रौर कितना वह बार्का रह गया लोगो को ग्रधिक रोजगार मिने उस के लिए ग्रां कोन म विशेंव उपाय करने जा रहे है ? हाल के वर्षों में जो क्म रोजगार दिया गया है उमके मुल कारण क्या रहे है ?

SHRI RAGHUNATHA REDDY: The estimated employment in 1969 was 16 63 million -- 10.03 million in the public sector and 6 60 million in the provate sector and in March 1972---it was 17.98 million, 11 01 million in private sector and 6 97 million in the private sector and these are the figures and every effort is being made to improve the employment potential

भी हुकम चन्द कछ अथ ल्थ्य पुरा नही हुन्द्रा. उस में कमी रह गई इसके क्या कारण थे कौन सी दिक्कने ग्राप्के सार्गे ग्राई कि लक्ष्य पूरे नही हो सके ?

SHRI RAGHUNATHA REDDY: As far as the targets are concerned as I submitted, there was nothing like a target. This was employment potentual.....(Interruptions). As I had already submitted, the employment potential is related to the rate of growth.

MR. SPEAKER: Shri D. K. Panda--absent. Shri Chintamani Panigrahi--also not here. Coverage of Non-teaching Staff of Universities under Industrial Disputes Act

*167. SHRI SAT PAL KAPUR. Will the Minister of LABOUR AND REHA-BILITATION be pleased to state

(a) whether the non-teaching staff of universities is being covered under Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 in accordance with the recommendations of National Commission on Labour, and

(b) whether Government propose to bring the necessary amending Bill in Parliament in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF LABOUR AND REHABILITATION (SHRI RAGHU-NATHA REDDY). (a) and (b). The question of covering non-teaching staff of universities under the Indust..al Disputes Act, 1947 is under consideration of Government. in the light of the recommendations of the National Commission on Labour and the conclusions of the 29th Session of the Standing Labour Committee.

श्वी सतभात्त कपुर : पिछले नीन सैंजज मे यही उत्तर मुझे मिनिस्टर माहब नै इस सवाल का दिया है । उन्होने यही कहा कि हम बडी जल्दी बिल ला रहे हैं । वेरी जार्टली से मिनिस्टर साहब का मतलब भ्रनलिमिटंड पीरियड से तो नही है ? इसी मेशन मे क्या भ्राप बिल लायेगे या नही लाएगे ?

SHRI RAGHUNATHA REDDY: As I had already submitted, the recommendations made by the National Commission on Labour and the conclusions of the 29th session of the Standing Labour Committee are in the various stages of consideration and when we reach the final stage of decision, this will be considered. की सलवाज कपूर : लाखों एमप्साईज वनि-वसिटीज के सारे देश में परेशान हैं, मैं जानना बाहुता हूं कि वैरियस प्रोसेज का चक्कर कव खत्म होगा? मैं तो उस का अन्त पूछना चाहता हं कि माप कब इस चक्कर से निकल पायेंगे ।

बध्वक महीवव : आप सीधा ही पूछ लिया करें, चक्कर में क्यों डाल कर पूछते हैं सारा सवाल । आप पूछ लीजिए कि डेफिनेट पीरियड कितना लगेगा ?

SHRI RAGHUNATHA REDDY: What would be the final outcome 1 cannot say now, but I am trying to arrive at a final decision one way or the other,

SHRI PILOO MODY: Why is it that even organisations and institutions directly under Government control do not have the same facilities? Take the Banaras University non-teaching staff union there. There have been several demands for amelioration of the conditions there. Even those the Government has not attended to.

SHRI RAGHUNATHA REDDY: The Member knows about the Supreme Court judgement in the case of University of Delhi Vs. Ramnath and others. This is from AIR 1963-SC-18 73. The Universities, educational institutions, etc. ceased to be industry within the meaning of the Industrial Disputes Act. Industrial Disputes Act is not applicable to universities, etc. The National Labour Commission and the Standing Labour Committee have made recommendations in this regard and as I said this is being considered at various levels and we hope to take a final decision.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: Not only staff of colleges, but staff of hospitals and clubs etc. are excluded. Is he aware that the Delhi Karmachari Union.....

MR. SPEAKER: Why are you bringing in so many other matters? This is a specific question. SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: Is he aware that the Delhi University Karamchari union has decided to go on strike? This is on this issue, namely. to be brought on under the Industrial Disputes Act. May I know whether he would start negotiations with them as the University authorities are unable to do this in the absence of a clear decision?

MR. SPEAKER: That is a separate question. You have enough of opportunities under rule 377 on such subjects.

SHRI RAGHUNATHA REDDY. J am not able to recollect about any reference made by the Delhi University Karamchari Union. Mr. Banerjee can discuss the matter with me and whatever I can do. I will certainly do it.

भी नर सिंह नरायण पांडे. क्या मती जी यह जानते हैं कि बहुत मी युनिर्वामटीज के बाइस चासलर्म न इस बात का विरोध किया है कि नौनटींचिंग स्टाफ को इडस्ट्रियल डिस-प्यटस् एैक्ट के ताहत मे लाया जाय ? इसलिये मंत्री जी इस को इबेड कर रहे हैं पाच साल मे ?

SHRI RAGHUNATHA REDDY: Sir, several representations have been made by the non-teaching staff of the various Universities and other educational institutions that their case must be brought within the purview of the Industrial Disputes Act. Also there are differing opinions on this question. Therefore, we will have to take into account all these aspects before a decision is taken.

SHRI NARSINGH NARAIN PAN-DEY: Sir, I have put a specific question whether various Vice-Chancellars have made various suggestions to the Ministry opposing the idea. That is why it is being postponed? SHRI RAGHUNATHA REDDY: I have not been able to recollect immediately about the Vice-Chancellors' suggestions—I am subject to correction—and I may have to verify that.

SHRI C. M .STEPHEN: May 1 know from the hon. Minister what exactly is under the consideration of the Ministry amendment of the Industrial Disputes Act so as to bring within the ambit of the bill these excluded section of employees or is it bringing in the Industrial Relations Bill which may fill up all the lacunae as and, if it is the Industrial Relations Bill, whatever in view of the fact that if the present Industrial Disputes Act is creating impediments in the matter of production, I would have an assurance from the hon. Minister that the Industrial Relations Act amendment will have a priority?

SHRI RAGHUNATHA REDDY: As far as Government is concerned. it is giving as much priority to the Industrial Relations Bill as possible and efforts are being made to frame the Bill As far as the question is concern ed, it is concerned mainly with the amendment to the Industrial Disputes Act What is being examined is whether, for any immediate remedy, the Industrial Disputes Act is to be amended in this context or not

भी रावायतार शास्त्री मध्यक्ष जी क्या विध्वविद्यालय में काम करने वाले कर्मचारियो का जो माल इंडिया फेडरेशन है उस ने मौर लाव ही पटना यूनिर्वासटी कमचारी. संघ ने ग्राप के पास इस सम्बन्ध मे कोई मेमोरेन्डम मेजा है ? यदि, हां, तो उस मे किन बानों की वर्षा है भौर उन के बारे में सरकार की क्या प्रतिष्ठिया है ?

SHRI RAGHUNATHA REDDY: I have submitted that a number of nonteaching staff associations have sent their representations. SHRI RAMAVATAR SHASTRI: 1 am specifically asking about the nonteaching staff Federation and Patra University Karamchari Sangh.

SHRI RAGHUNATHA REDDY: If the hon. Member wants a specific answer to this, he may out a specific question with due notice.

MR. SPEAKER: This question is of a general nature.

भी रामाश्रतार झास्त्री साल इडिग नान-टीचिंग स्टाफ फंडरेशन ने इन के पास भेख है, तो बही बना दे। पटना के बारे मे नही मानूम है तो न बनाये।

प्रध्यक्ष महोदय पटना बीच मे कैसे ग्रागया?

श्वी रामगवतार शाक्ष्त्रं। दोनों ने ही ग्राप के पास भेजा है। ग्रगर नहीं भेजा तो ग्राप ग्राल इडिया नान-टीचिंग स्टाफ फेडरेशन के वारे मे बना दे।

MR. SPEAKER The quest on is whether the non-teaching staff of the universities is being covered. I do not come between you and the Minister. If he has got information, he is welcome to give it. Otherwise do not take the time of the House.

SHRI RAGHUNATHA REDDY: A number of organisations have put up their representation. I cannot recoect immediately as to whether any particular Universitiy Federation has sent any representation.

MR. SPEAKER: Now you will please sit down. The Minister says he has not got the information Don't enter into argument. Please look into it and inform him later on

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: The National Labour Commission has submitted their recommendations to Government. So, may I know what is the difficulty in the way of Government to amend the Industrial Disputes Act? How long or how many more years they are going to take to amend this?

MR SPEAKER You are asking the same question to which a reply was given

SHRI A P SHARMA I want to know how many more years they are going to take

MR SPEAKER Now, Q No 168 Shri S M Banerjee

SHRIS M BANERJEE Before I put the question I would like to submit that part (a) of the question should be

whether the Pay Comms icn has not considered '

The word not has been omitted either in printing or elsewhere But I had tabled the question with not

MR SPEAKER It is just the same If the question is not' then the hon Minister will reply accordingly ind if it is otherwise also he will reply accordingly

SHRI S M BANERJEE I had tabled the question because the Pay Commission had not considered it Now I put the question Q 168

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA I am answer ng the question as printed m the list of questions

Payment of Bonus to Defence Employees

•168 SHRI S M BANERJFE Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state,

(a) whether the Pay Commission has considered the question of payment of Bonus to the Defence Employees,

(b) if so, whether his Ministry will now take a decision to pay minimum bonus of 833 per cent as paid to the employees of the public undertakings, and

(c) if so when a final decision is likely to be taken?

THE MINISTER OF STATE (DEF-ENCL PRODU(TION) IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA) (a) The Third Pay Commission has not made any recommendations for payment of bonus to Defence Employees,

(b) and (c) The Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 does not apply to the employees of the Defence establishments

SOME HON MEMBERS Why?

SHRIS M BANERJEE I had asked about the Pay Commission, and the hon Minister has sail that they have not considered this

When Shri R K Khadi'kar was the Labour Minister he made a icclination at the top of his voice that this matter would be considered by the Pay Commission ...

SHRI A P SHARMA The then Labour Minister

SHRI S M BANERJEE Yes the then J abour Minister I ain talking of Shri Khadilkar The present I abour Minister's name is not Khadilkar

Almost all the Members who were connected with the Central Govern ment employees' movement or association wanted to make it very clear that it was not before the Pay Commission and it was not actually one of the terms of reference But the then Labour Minister insisted on it Now the Pay Commission's report has come out, and they have not thrashed out this problem at all. When defence employees in the HAL BEL and all other public undertakings, (and even a person who is manufacturing bread in the Modern Bakeries) are entitled

20