LOK SABHA

Monday, March 3, 1975/Phalguna 12, 1896 (Saka)

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock.

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair] ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

उसर प्रदेश के बादन का बीज के क्य में नियसि

+

181. भी हेमेला सिंह बनेरा : भी जगजापराण जोसी :

क्या कुचि झौर सिंबाई मंत्री यह बताने की रूपा करेंगे कि :

(क) उत्तर प्रदेश संचावल के बीज के नाम पर किस प्रकार तस्करी की गई;

(ख) चावल की इस प्रकार विकी किन किव फर्मों को की गई, ये फर्में किन किन राज्यों में स्थित हैं भौर प्रत्येक फर्म को कितने मुल्य का चावल बेचा गया;

(ग) उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार ने केन्द्रीय जांच 'व्यूरो द्वारा जांच किये जाने के लिये किस तारीख को झनुरोध किया झौर केन्द्रीय जांच व्यूरो द्वारा जांच का झनुरोध किस कारण से ठुकराया गिया;

(घ) इस घोटाले की जांच के बारे में पूर्ण तथा क्या है; और

(इ) कार्य योज प्रसिववन की प्रति सभा घटन पर रखी वायेनी ? 372 1.5--- THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE AND IRRIGATION (SHRI ANNA-SAHEB P. SHINDE) (a) to (e): A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

Statement

(a), (b), (d) and (e). The report on the enquiry instituted by the Government of Uttar Pradesh on the alleged sale of paddy in the garb of seeds is awaited It is, therefore, not possible at this stage to give the details of the firms to which the paddy was sold, their location etc.

(c) The Government of U.P. in their communication to the Ministry of Home Affairs dated 22-6-1974 had made a request that this case be entrusted to the C.B.I. for investigation. In view of the limited manpower with the C.B.I. and the tasks they already had in hand, the U.P. Government were informed that it would not be possible for the C.B.I. to take investigation of this case.

SHRI HEMENDRA SINGH BAN-ERA: I have seen the statement and it is, as usual, evasive. On 14th June, 1974 in the Times of India a news item had appeared regarding the U.P. seed scandal. Then, on 26.8.74 an Unstarred Question was put to the Minister. Then again, on 18th hon November, 1974 a Starred Question was asked on the floor of the House. Going through the statement. it appears that it is yet another has been scandal which exposed and it clearly shows the dishonesty of the ruling party who are in league with the U.P. State Government. I want to ask whether it is a fact that the grant for CBI in 1974-75 was Rs. 5.81 lakhs and in 1975-76 it is Rs. 6 crores? It sounds absurd when the Minister says in reply to part (c) that in view of the limited man-power with the CBI and the Oral Answers

MARCH 3, 1975

tasks they had already in hand, the UP Government were informed that it would not be possible for the CBI to take up the investigation of this case. I want to know whether it is a fact that two senior Ministers' relatives are involved in this scandal. I do not want to name the Ministers. In view of the fact that a senior VIP is involved in the scandal, may I know whether the Union Government will consider again requesting the CBI to investigate into the scandal?

SHRI ANNASAHEB P. SHINDE: I am very sorry the hon. member is unnecessarily making statements which are totally baseless. In fact, on the floor of the House, I mentioned on the last occasion after my discussion with the Chief Minister of UP that there is not even a prima facie case against any Central Minister's relation as far as this matter is concerned. The CID of UP has made further investigation and there is no trace of any Prima facie evidence against any Central Minister's relation. Hon. members should not make allegations which are not at all supported by even a small evidence or material. I hope he would realise his reponsibility in such matters.

As far as the OBI's role is concerned, the CBI does not necessarily accept all cases sent by the State Governments. If the hon. member wants to know what is the role of the CBI in State matters, he can put a question to the Home Minister and get the answer.

SHRI HAMENDRA SINGH BAN-ERA: I fail to understand the answer of the hon. minister. His senior colleague's son is involved in the scandal. The son of Shri Tripathi, who was UP Chief Minister, is involved in this. So, it is all the more essential to enrust this enquiry to the CBI.

SHRI ANNASAHEB P. SHINDE: I take strong exception to what the hon. member is saying. In fact, even after my reply, he is saying like this. I think he should apologise for it. Or, he should produce documents on the basis of which he is making the allegation. It is very irresponsible on the part of the hon. member to have made this remark. Even when other colleagues make allegations, they take a lot of care not to bring in anybody's name in this. As I said, the investigation has not at all disclosed that any relation of any Central Minister is even remotely involved in this.

MR. SPEAKER: If you are basing your information on certain documents why don't you produce them in the House? This has become a habit to make allegations against people who do not happen to be sitting in the House.

SHRI HAMENDRA SINGH BAN-ERA: Is he prepared to place on the Table the report of the investigation?

MR SPEAKER: Leave aside his report You must have got something on which you base your allegation. Why don't you produce it?

SHRI HAMENDRA SINGH BAN-ERA: Let them institute a CBI enquiry and I will produce it. (Interruption).

MR. SPEAKER: Next Question

Steps taken under SFDA ang MFAL scheme in West Bengal

+

*187. SHRI M. S. PURTY: SHRI TUNA ORAON:

Will the Minister of AGRICUL-TURE AND IRRIGATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether a large number of agricultural labourers in West Bengal are coming to the towns in search of alternative employment:

(b) if so, steps taken under the schemes Small Farmers Development Agency and Marginal Farmer's and Agricultural Labourers in the State to check the outflow of small and marginal farmers to the cities;

3