So, it was mentioned in reply that in 1.250 so, km, area in Darjeeling and Jalpaiguri detailed investigations have been made by the Geological Survey of India. May I know whether they have been completed and, if so, what are the areas covered by the detailed study?

SHRI SUBODH HANSDA : Geological mapping has been conducted during the years 1971-72 and 1972-73 and the area covered is 1.21 km. The scale is linch for 2.000 sq. miles.

B. K. DASCHOWDHURY : SHRI What are the areas covered?

SHRI SUBODH HANSDA : The great covered is Dargeeling and Jalpaiguri Jis- exactly the question which the hon. Memtricts.

ment that no investigation has so far been place in Darjeeling during detailed geolomade. But in the same reply quoted earlier it was stated that in Garu- up bathan area in Darieeling district there is a large deposit of minerals. The answer savs :

"As a result of recent Geological Survey conducted by the Geological Survey of India, some occurrences of lead, zinc, copper minealisation in quartzite have been located in Garubathan or in Darreeling District. These occurrences are being investigated by largescale mapping, sampling and drilling."

It is also mentioned in the Geological Survey of India Report-1 will quote only one sentence . . .

MR. SPEAKER : This is not a debate. PRODUCTION) IN allow it every time.

will not take much time. Unless I men- (Calcutta), containing allegations against tion it, it would be very difficult for the certain officers was received in the Defence Minister to answer the question.

It is mentioned in the Report :

"Further sub-surface investigation of the dam site has been suspended by the CW&PC. New Delhi, since March 1966 and as such none of the recommendations has been implemented."

I would like to know what was the recommendation made at that time, whether it was stated that all these areas should be properly mapped and exploration done and, it so, why this has been suspended since 1966?

THE MINISTER OF STEEL AND MI-NES (SHRI S. MOHAN KUMARA-MANGALAM): I am not able to follow ber has put. But regarding the mapping of Garubathan I may state that recently SHRI B. K. DASCHOWDHURY : It promising indications on mineralisation is quite clear from the hon. Minister's state- have been located four miles north of this which I gical mapping and they are following it

Memorandum from Alipur (Calcutta) **Ordnance Depot Employees**

BOSU : 1046. SHRI JYOTIRMOY Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state :

(a) whether he received a memorandum from the Alipur (Calcutta) Ordnance Depot employees, containing charges of corruption against some officers of the Depot;

(b) if so, the contents of the said memorandum : and

(c) what action, if any, has been taken on it?

THE MINISTER OF STATE (DEFENCE THE MINISTRY Let him ask a question. I um not going to OF DEFENCE (SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA): (a) An unsigned and ນກdated letter purporting to be from the SHRI B. K. DASCHOWDHURY : I employees of the Ordnance Depot, Alipore Ministry on 4-4-1973.

(b) The letter contains the allegations :---

- (i) Embezzlement of funds by faulty construction of sheds and buildings in the Depot:
- (ii) manufacture of furniture for the private use of officers at the depot workshop:
- (iii) collusion with contractors:
- (iv) misuse of the depot staff car for private work:
- (v) collusion in the theft of Government stores; and
- (vi) use of Government stores, such as tentage, etc. for private functions held at officers' residences.

(c) The allegations are being investigated.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU : The allegations concern a very vital Department of the Government and they are vesy serious. I would like to know from the hon. Minister as to why the inquiry has not been completed so that it would have enabled the Government to take action against those who are guilty in this case because I have enquired myself and I have found that Director (Ordnance), the M.G.O. Branch. complaints are all correct and true.

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA · Normally, we would not have dealt with officers or a separate agency of the Ministhis complaint because it was an auonymous try. letter. But it was endorsed by Mr. Jvotirmoy Bosu before it was sent over to us. Therefore, we looked into this matter. As is the Brigadier of the Fastern Command I said in my main answer, this was received Headquarters who is looking on 4-4-1973, that is, about a month back. matter. The inquiries have been initiated. Unless we find out the entire facts, we cannot come to any conclusion.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU : allegations stated here, one thing has not or unsigned sent to the Government? been mentioned clearly that about 10 pieces of tractor chain, a very costly ferrous metal landed in the Depot from some Unit The policy of the Government has been for disposal as salvage and they were amplified in the House several times. We

following Panagar Salvage Depot for auction but kept in the Depot unaccounted. Later on, one by one, they were privately disposed of in the bazaar. This is a very serious allegation. By now, they should have been able to find out. Would he kindly tell the House if he has taken any special steps with regard to this particular allegation and, if so, what are they and, if not, the reasons therefor?

> SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA : The hon. Member did not listen to my reply properly. This allegation is covered under item (v), that is, "collusion in the theft of Government stores". This is one of the allegations made. It is not only about that. There are other allegations Rather than going into details, 1 also. said, this is the allegation and we are going into it.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: As the hon. Minister is aware, this particular Depot which was called a transit depot has now been converted into a permanent depot. It is like any other ordnance depot. Therefore, the charges levelled against certain officers are serious enough. Previous to that, the General Secretary of the recognised union of the Depot wrote a letter to the I would like to know the agency which is investigating into these allegations, whether it has been left into the hands of the same

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA : It into the

SHRI PRABODH CHANDRA : Mav I know from the Government if it is the policy of the Government to take cogni-In the zance of all the complaints, whether signed

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA : neither brought on charge nor sent to normally do not take cognizance of unsigned and anonymous reports. But as I indicated, Steadily the production had gone down. this particular complaint was endorsed by Now the orders on hand are enough to go an hon. Member of this House and, there- ahead for another 11 years. I am sorry fore, we are investigating into it.

मजपत्ररपर (बिहार) की सार्थर बटलर कम्पनी लिमिटेड को तरकारी नियंत्रज में लेगा

* 1050 भी रामाबतार शास्त्री : क्या भारी उन्होंग मली यह बताने की कपा करेंगे कि

(क) क्या गण्कार ने बिहार में आर्थर बटलर कम्पनी लिमिटेड, मजफ्फरपुर को म्रपने ब्रधिकार मे लेने सौर चलाने का निर्णय किया है.

(ख) क्या मरकार ने उपरोक्त कम्पनी मे रेलवे वैगनो का निर्माण करने की काई याजना वनाई हे. मौर

(ग) यदि हा, तो उसकी मुख्य बालं क्या **}**?

THE MINISTER OF HEAVY INDUS- Law. TRY (SHRI T. A. PAI) · (a) Yes, Sir. The undertaking has already been taken over by Government by an order passed on 7-5-1973.

(b) and (c). Metre gauge wagons were being manufactured in this unit. The intention is to revive the production of these wagons to start with; later on some diversification in production may be resorted to.

श्री रामाचनार शास्त्री: सरकार ने ग्रार्थर बटलर कम्पनी लिमिटेड, मजफ्फरपूर का अपने हाम म ले लिया है, इस के लिए वह धन्यवाद की पाल है। मैं यह जानना चाहना 2 कि मरकार के ढारा इस का अपने हाथ में लेने से पहले जब यह कम्पनी प्राईवेट लोगों के हाथ में थी. तो उस समय गिनन बैगना का उत्पादन करने का प्रोग्राम था और जब कि सरकार ने इस कम्पनी का सपने हाथ में ले लिया है वह कितने थेगन बनाना चाहती है। क्या सरकार ने इस के विल्लार की कोई रूपरेंचा बनाई है, यदि हा, तो वह क्या 27

manufacturing wagons till the year 1972. Indians now.

I would not be able to give correct information as to the number of wagons that they will be able to manufacture. But I shall answer this question separately to the member concerned.

भी रामावतार शास्त्री : क्या यह नच है कि बिहार के किसी एम० एल० ए० ने कम्पनी के भन-पूर्व डायरेक्टर्ज के खिलाफ कम्पनी की गजी का गोल-माल करने का आरोप लगाने हुए कोई शिकायन-पक्ष भेजाथा, जिस की जाच चल रही थी, झगर हा. ता क्या जाच का काम परा हो गया है. उस का बया परिणाम निकला है ग्रीर उस वे बारे में सरकार की प्रतित्रिया क्या है?

SHRI T. A. PAI: The question should be addressed to the Minister for Company

मध्यक्ष महोदय : यह सवाल इस से पैदा नही होता है। यह रेलिवेट नही है।

श्री रामाबतार शास्त्री : अध्यक्ष महादय, कग्पनी के डायरेक्टजं ने गोलमाल किया था। यह ५०न रेनिवेट कीमें नहीं है?

म्राध्यक्ष सहोदय : यह इस में सम्बन्धित नही **\$**1

DINEN BHATTACHARYYA: SHRI This is a British company and so many charges of corruption and defalcation of funds of this company have been levelled against the management. I want to know why then this company has been taken over, which means that after some time the company will be given back to the management concerned. Why has this company not been nationalised? Why is it that only this take-over decision has been taken?

SHRI T. A. PAI : The name only appears SHRI T. A. PAI: The company was to be British, but it is in the hands of