NOBS -- Coned

Patil, Shri U. L. Prodhen, Shri B. C. Pumpowe, Shri Bai, Shri Khushwaqt Rejendre Singh, Shr: Ram Garib, Shri Reddy, Shri Nagi Shastri, P. V. Singh, Shri D. N.

Sugandhi, Shri Vajpeyes, Shri Valva, Shri Verme, Shri Remi:

Excess Grants

The motion was adopted.

Some Hon. Members : Shame, shame.

14.45 hrs.

£4803

DEMANDS FOR EXCESS GRANTS* (1955-56)

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The House will now proceed with discussion and voting on the Demands for Excess Grants (General) for 1955-56.

DEMAND No. 6-INDIAN POSTS AND TELERGAPHS DEPARTMENT

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum of Rs. 1,01,173 be granted to the President to make good an excess on the grant in respect of Indian Posts and Telegraphs Department' for the year ended the 31st day of March, 1956 "

DEMAND No 24-MISCELLANEOUS EXPENDITURE UNDER THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved:

'That a sum of Rs. 19,772 be granted to the President to make good an excess on the grant in respect of Miscellaneous Expenditure under the Ministry of External Affairs' for the year ended the 31st day of March, 1956 "

DEMAND No. 30-STAMPS

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum of Rs. 11,95,551 be granted to the President to make good an excess on the grant in respect of 'Stamps' for the year ended the 31st day of March, 1956."

DEMAND No. 39---MISCELLANGOUE ADJUSTMENTS BETWEEN THE UNION AND STATE GOVERNMENTS

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum of Rs. 76,147 be granted to the President to make good an excess on the grant in respect of Miscellaneous adjustments between the Union and State Governments' for the year ended the 31st day of March. 1956 **

DEMAND No. 51-CABINET

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum of Rs 58,742 be granted to the President to make good an excess on the grant in respect of 'Cabinet' for the year ended the 31st day of March, 1956 "

Damand No 62—Ministry of Infor-MATION AND BROADCASTING

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum of Rs. 4,16,808 be granted to the President to make good an excess on the grant in respect of Ministry of Informaion and Broadcasting for the year ended the 31st day of March. 1956 "

DE_{MAND} No. 64-MISCELLANEOUS DEPARTMENTS AND EXPENDITURE UNDER THE MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

Ar. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum of Rs. 2,57,163 be tranted to the President to make

good an excess on the grant in respect of Miscellaneous Departments and Expenditure under the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting for the year ended the 31st day of March, 1956"

97-COMMUNICATIONS DEMAND NO. (INCLUDING NATIONAL HIGHWAYS)

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved.

"That a sum of Rs. 68,994 be granted to the President to make good an excess on the grant in of Communications (including National Highways) for the year ended the 31st day of March. 1956"

DEMAND NO 101-OTHER CIVIL WORKS

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum of Rs 77,86,191 be granted to the President to make good an excess on the grant in respect of 'Other Civil Works' for the year ended the 31st day of March, 1956"

Some cut motions have been tabled by Shri Vajpayee But I am afraid that all the three of them are out of order They relate to extraneous matters not relevant to the Demands under discussion Matters of policy. advertisement policy and other things do not relate to this matter Anyhow he might speak if he wants to

थो बाजपंची (बलरामपुर) - उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, इडियन पास्ट्स एड टेलीग्राफ डिपार्ट-मेंट की पूरक मागो के सम्बन्ध में मैं नै कटौती ब्रस्ताव रसा है जिसका उद्देश्य यह है Ŕ उनके जो निवस ध्रगर कोई डाक्टर या मैडीकल प्रेक्टीशनर एक से भविक टेलीफोन चाहता है तो उसे बाप्त नहीं होते भीर उसके लिये उसको कठि-नाई डोवी है।

इस बात को सभी स्वीकार करेगे कि जो डाक्टर विशेषज्ञ है, रोनियो की विकित्सा में भगे हैं, मानवता की सेवा कर रहे हैं. यदि उन्हें धावस्थकता हो तो दवास्ताने के साथ साथ घर पर भी टलीफोन लगाने की सुविधा होनी चाहिए । मैं समझता ह कि इस सम्बन्ध में भूभी तक जो नियम या वह शायद इसलिए था कि देश में टेलीफोनो की कमी थी। लेकिन चह तो मत्रालय ने भ्रोन योर भ्रोन टेलोफोन की श्रोजना प्रचलित की है चाप चपना देसी-कोन सीजिये की योजना प्रचलित की है। मेरा निवेदन है कि इस सम्बन्ध में डाक्टरों को प्राथमिकता मिलनी चाहिये । इस बारे में मै स्राष्ट्री, ची, चा, च्याल, चाहमराखार, चे, एक, यायले. की तरफ दिलाना चाहता हु जहा एक डाक्टर महादय ने दूसरा टेलीफोन मागा मगर उन्हें जो उत्तर दिया गया वह इस प्रकार था

"A second telephone to a medical practitioner is provided on the recommendation of the Telepnone Advisory Committee, if the traffic on the existing telephone under exempted category is too heavy and the second telephone is given at the same place where the first one is working"

इसका ग्रयं यह हथा कि दो टेनाफान वहा _{मिल} सकते हैं जहा कि पहले से एक टेलोफान लगा हमा ह । में तो इस उत्तर क पोछे कोन सा तक है इसको भभी तक समझने में भसमय रहा हू। जहां पहले से एक टेनोफोन लगा है वहा दूसरा टेनीफोन कोई क्यो लगवाना चहिंगा । भगर एक टेलोफोन दवासाने में लगा है तो डाक्टर यह चाहेगा कि दूसरा न्त्रीफोन उन के घर पर लगे, लेकिन घर पर वह लगाने के लिये तैयार नहीं है। इस मामले में यह भी दिसायी दिया कि यदापि हेशीफोन एडवाइजरी कमेटी ने सर्वसम्मति ने एक प्रस्ताव पास किया था कि टेलीफोन व्याना चाहिये मगर नही सगा । मैं इस एक सामले की चर्चा नहीं कर रहा है। मैं तो मंत्री जी का च्यान इस बात की सोर दिवाना चाहता

[भी बाजपयी]

हूं कि अगर डाक्टर दो टेलीफोन चाहता है तो उसके सम्बन्ध में दो हजार एपया जमा करने का जो नियम बनाया गया है उस से उसे एग्जेम्प्ट कर दिया जाये और एग्जेम्प्टेड केटेगरी में उसको टेलीफोन दिया जाये इस पर फिर से विचार करने की जरूरत है। जो डाक्टर है अगर उनके सम्बन्ध में आप इस प्रकार का अपवाद करेंगे तो मैं समझता हूं कि वह सब के लिये ठीक होगा। यह कटौती प्रस्ताव रखते हुए मैं इस बात की ओर मंत्री जो का ज्यान दिलाना चाहता हं।

उपाष्ट्रमा महोदय: एक्सेस घाट्स के बारे में तो धानरेबल मेम्बर्स जानते है कि यही कहा जा सकता है कि इतना एपया क्यों दिया गया वगैरह । इस सिलसिले में पालिसी मैटर्स तो नहीं घा सकते।

The Minister of Transport and Communications (Shri S. K. Patil): Sir. although you have ruled it out of order, it is a matter of general importance, and, therefore, I may just give the information which the hon. Member has sought. The question is that so far as the telephone is concerned. I have held the view that it is the right of a citizen to have a telephone whenever he wants. Therefore, I feel ashamed that whenever the demands are made I cannot really supply them. But there is a compelling reason for it; not because there is pleasure in doing so, but it is a service for which a citizen pays, and therefore, if there is a chance of really obliging him-it is not an obligation, but doing service for him for which he pays-it should be done. Therefore, let it not be m anybody's mind that there is an attempt to put the citizen to any distress.

Coming to the actual feasibility of having telephones, unfortunately, we had an under-estimate, years back, of our telephone expansion. We had not thought that the expansion would be as great or as rapid as it has been. It has been rapid in many places. I can tell you, in the case of Delhi where we possibly expected that 30 to 40 per cent. more telephones would be needed—I think we have got about 28,000 to 30,000 telephones—there are standing in the queue another 30,000. I am merely saying this so that you can understand the magnitude of the problem and how difficult it is to face it.

Now, coming to the matter to which my hon. friend has made a reference, namely, the doctors, doctors are a privileged class. Surely, they should get precedence over everybody else. Under the rules that we have made, 30 per cent. of the telephones are given for that exempted category. Under that category, the doctors, nurses and hospitals get a precedence.

The question arises where the double phone comes. I would tell you where the difficulty is. I have been a member of the Advisory Committee on Telephones right from the beginning this system was introduced, before I became a Minister. Therefore, I know from personal experience how very difficult it is and what the reasons for that are. Naturally, it can be contended that while several doctors who badly need a telephone have not got even one telephone how to give two telephones to other doctors. You have got to weigh between the two. While there are doctors who have not got even one telephone, if, semebody wants two, it becomes difficult. But I can understand and I agree with the hon. Member that there are some doctors who are really wanted by everybody, who are high up in the profession, not merely because they make money but because they are wanted. Therefore, we have given a directive to the Telephone Advisory Committee that in such cases there should be no ban at all. My hon. friend will be glad to know that that ban has been lifted and we are trying our level best to see that not only a second telephone, and if I may say so

Commission

14810-

without exaggeration, surely anybody who needs that service is entitled to it. We shall live to see a day, as early as possible, when these difficulties will not arise.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That the respective excess sums not exceeding the amounts shown in the third column of the order paper be granted to the President to make good the amounts spent during the year ended the 31st day of March, 1956, in respect of the following demands entered in the second column thereof

Demands Nos. 6, 24, 30, 39, 51, 62, 64, 97, 101."

The motion was adopted.

14.54 hrs.

MOTION RE: REPORT OF THE UNIVERSITY GRANTS COMMISSION

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Now, we will take up the next item. Dr. Shrimali.

The Minister of Education (Dr. K. L. Shrimali): Sir, I beg to move:

"That this House takes note of the Report of the University Grants Commission for the period April, 1957—March, 1958, laid on the Table of the House on the 17th February, 1959."

I would not like to take too long a time of the House. I would only like to place before the House the salient features of this Report. It will be observed from the Report that during the period, April 1957 to March 1958, four new universities came into existence in the States of Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and Punjab. They are the universities of Gorakhpur, Jabalpur, Kurukshetra and Vikram. The Commission was not consulted before these universities were started.

Shri Radhelal Vyas (Ujjain): May I inform the hon. Minister that the Vikram University Bill was passed before the coming into existence of the University Grants Commission?

Dr. K. L. Shrimali: The Bill might have been passed by the State Government. The State Government is quite free to pass legislation; I am not questioning that. But, I am only saying that the Commission had not been consulted.

This aspect creates a little difficulty for the University Grants Commission. The University Grants Commission is concerned mainly with the development of the universities and the funds at its disposal are not unlimited. The State Governments are quite free to start universities. I am not questioning their authority in any way. But, after starting the universities, if the universities come to seek financial assistance from the University Grants Commission, it creates a difficult position for the Commission because its funds are already allocated for certain specific purposes for the existing universities.

I think it would be desirable if the State Governments consult the University Grants Commission before they start the universities if they want that for developmental purposes should seek later on financial assistance from the Commission. Though the University Grants Commission is already in touch with the universities which have been newly started, I hope, in future, there would be more of consultation between the University Grants Commission and the State Governments. It is only in that way that the University Grants Commission can help in the co-ordination and in the maintenance of standards. If you go on multiplying the Universities and the University Grants Commission is not consulted in the matter. obviously, the Commission cannot play the role for which it has been established.

Another step which the University Grants Commission has taken during