16.52 hrs.

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI K. P. SINGH DEO): Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Bill further to amend the Navy Act, 1957, as passed by Rajya Sabha, be taken into consideration."

The Navy Act, 1957 was brought into force with effect from the 1st January, 1958. In the light of the experience gained from the working of the Act, the Act was amended in 1974. Since then, some difficulties have been experienced in the working of the Act. It has, therefore, become necessary to make certain further amendment to the Ac.

The more important amendments proposed to be made are as follows. They are four in number.

(1) Some of the minor punishments were made applicable to Master Chief Petty Officers when a new cadre was introduced in the Navy in 1968, Experience during the last 13 years has shown that, at times, offences committed by Master Chief Petty Officers are not of a sufficiently serious nature to justify trial by a court-martial and at the same time these could not be adequately punished by awarding to them any of the summary punishments prescribed under the Regulations and by attracting summary trial It is, therefore, proposed that the punishment of 'forfeiture of seniority' for a period not exceeding 12 months nd 'forfeiture of time for promotion for a period not exceeding 12 months be made applicable to Master Chief Petty Officers. Section 81 (1) (f) (g) and Section 82 are being amended accordingly.

(2) Section 94 of the Act empowers the Central Government, the Chief of the Naval Staff and other officers to impose forfeiture of time or seniority in the case of subordinate officers without undertaking the lengthy court-martial proceedings. A 'subordinate officer' is a person appointed as Acting Sub-Lieutenant, a midship-

man or a cadet. Section 84 of the Army Act, 1950 and Section 86 of the Air Force Act, 1950 contain provisions for summary trial of officers below the rank of Lt. Col. (equivalent to commander in the Navy) and Sq. Ldr (equivalent to Lieutenant Commander in the Navy), respectively. With the expansion of the Navy and keeping in view the institution of fullfledged commands and the upgradation of officers holding those commands, it is proposed to bring the provisions of the Navy Act into conformity with the corresponding provisions of the Army and Air Force Acts so as to provide imposition of forfeiture of time or seniority in the case of officers below the rank of Commander.

Navy (Amdt.) Bill

Thirdly, in the Navy, unlike the Army and the Air Force, Regulations have been framed statutorily under Section 184 of the Nevy Act, 1957. Benefits to naval personnel are conferred by the executive orders. At present, there is no provision in the Navy Act to give retrospective effect to regulations framed under the Act. A new Section 184A is, therefore, proposed to be inserted to enable the making of beneficial rgulations with retrospective effect. These provisions are in accordance with the recommendations of the Committees of Parliament on Subordinate Legislation and are on the lines of similar provisions contained in other enactments such as All India Services Act, 1951.

Fourthly, the existing expressions 'rate' 'ratings' and 'disrating' occurring in the Act at various places are being suitably substituted by appropriate new terminology, namely, 'rank' and 'reduction in rank', respectively according to the context in which such expressions occur in Clause 3(c) and Clause 4(a) and (d).

The Bill mainly seeks to achieve the aforesaid objectives. This opportunity is also being availed of to rectify an inadvertent omission that had occurred in Clause (a) of Section 55A at the time of the earlier amendment in 1974 by adding the words "or such other punishment as is hereinafter mentioned' which expression has invariably been used throughout the Act, so that it may be possible to impose any lesser punishment mentioned in Section 81 of the Act, as considered appropriate.

Also, references to the provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898 are sought to be substituted by references to the corresponding provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 in Section 135, 141, 142 and 458 of the Navy Act, 1957.

Sir, I move that the Bill be passed.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion moved:

"That the Bill further to amend the Navy Act, 1957, as passed by Rajya Sabha, be taken into consideration."

SHRI HANNAN MOLLAH (Uluberia): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, all the four amendments in this Bill proposed by the hon. Minister are of technical nature.

Before commenting on the amending Bill, I want to express my views on certain things in regard to the Indian Navy in the face of present geo-political situation and the maritime security environment of our country.

Sir, our Navy has to discharge a very improtant responsibility. As you know, we have a vast coast line of about 6000 Km. with about 10 major, 20 medium and 300 minor ports with large - off-shore strategic islands, such as Andaman & Nicobar, Laccadive, etc. Secondly, we have the largest Exclusive Economic Zone in the world going upto 320 Km., roughly 2.2 million sq. miles, which we have to protect for our geo-political and commercial interests and to ensure the security of our ports as well as 1200 odd islands and ocean territories and the natural resources therein.

Against this background of growing challenges, the urgent need for improving our Navy is imperative. But, unfortunately, our Navy still remains the step-child of our Defence Ministry. Only this year, about 7 per cent of the total Defence Budget was allotted. So, I would request the hon. Minister to apply his mind to this aspect. 17 hrs.

Secondly, the recent Falkland war proved the utmost importance of possessing modernised Navy to win a war. But, what is our position? What is the strength of our Navy in comparision to the other countries?

Hon. Minister has not revealed any information about the Navy on the plea of security. It is strange that any information regarding defence is denied to the August House on the plea of security. I am afraid that our enemy might know our position through their intelligence but, we M.Ps and our people have no right to know the actual position.

Anyway, according to the International Institute of Strategic Studies, our naval strength last year was 47,000 (including Naval Air Force) along with 8 submarines, one aircraft carrier, one cruiser, 29 frigates and some patrolling vessels.

It is reported in the Press that we are going to obtain two submarines from West Germany besides getting from them facilities for manufacturing two more submarines.

There are press reports that while the Navy wants to have more aircraft carriers, the Air Force does not want the Navy to have its own Air Wing. These differences should be properly reconciled in the interest of our defence.

Do the Government feel that they will be able to defend our coastline and our maritime interests in view of and in the face of all sorts of US imperialist conspiracies in the Indian Ocean? You are aware of the fact that the US imperialists are building their nuclear bases at Diego Garcia. Should we forget their role during Bangladesh war? Should <sup>1</sup>we ignore the presence of their Seventh Fleet in the Indian Ocean?

We are at present engaged in the exploitation of our ocean resources in the littoral sea-bed. Work is going on in the Bombay High. There are possibilities of finding out more resources in our [Shri Hannan Mollah]

443

Continental shelves. There is an increase in fish resources in the sea. The possibilities of our exploitation of the sea resources are good.

But I would like to know whether our Navy is in a position to defend all our interests in the sea-bed at the present moment in the face of growing conspiracies by the imperialist forces.

I would only like to make a few comments before I conclude, on the amendments. By these amendments, the Government wants to enforce discipline in the Navy. I agree that there should be discipline. But you cannot hope to impose discipline only by means of making Act. We should not take to mechanical enforcement of discipline.

These amendments are meant for summary trials of petty officers. First of all, I would like to ask why there should be summary trial only for officers lower in rank. Why should there not be summary trials for top officials? I hope that the Hon. Minister will explain whether it is discriminatory or not.

I am afraid of the idea of summary trial. The Court Martial itself is a summary trial. Now the Government wants to make further summary trial. It is widely believed that in these summary trials, the personal factors, such as vengeance or revengeful attitude of the high officials is reflected. I would like to have a categorical explanation from the Hon. Minister about his idea of summary trial, what will be the procedure, what is the scope of self-defence available to the victim. The right of self-defence, which is one of the fundamental principles of natural justice, must be guaranteed.

If all these points are properly explained by the hon. Minister in his reply and we are satisfied that the lower level personnel will not be affected wrongly or adversely, there will not be much objection to these amendments.

SHRI R. S. SPARROW (Juliundur): Mr. Doputy-Speaker, Sir, at the very outset I

would like to congratulate the Deputy Defence Minister for bringing in this Bill by having put his finger on some of the small-time lacunae that had to be put even. The Bill in itself is as simple as it is inescapably essential because the conditions of today for the armed forces have changed fast and and are on way to further changing fast; the conditions in relation to the means and methods of welfare of modern days, with different types of sophisticated weaponry, as also the strategical approach with the modern weaponry in relation to defence and offence concerning our country, have radically changed. and one has to keep pace with them when it comes to the question of discipline and allied matters for the rank and file, from top level to bottom level. With those changed conditions, you have to make certain that your Command and control keeps on working effectively. That is the substance of this particular Bill which revolves round responsibility and discipline at different levels. And wherever it was found that these two essential factors are required to be toned up that has been adequately done.

As the previous speaker has very correctly brought out, our responsibility has increased. The Indian coastline is now very long and has come into prominence apropos the conditions, the military conditions obtaining to-day. Now it is not only the north western frontier of India but quite lately the northern frontier of India also has taken prominence in the field of tactics and strategy. But with the coastline itself 5500 km long and perhaps a little more it is quite a long coastlineyour territorial waters, your other waters where you have to have some sway right upto your islands and other land spots which you have in midsea, your responsibility has increased. And as we all know, the naval discipline is the key-word for keeping the personal going all the time when they are at sea cut off from all other types of amenities of the land areas. They have just to confine themselves on to the sea and in that particular ship, submarine or the aircraft carrier, whatever be the ship that they are on. For that reason, as is historically understood and known, naval discipline and responsibility has, at any time, led other armed services in a marked manner.

So, the responsibility at different levels of command and control are now to be enforced with much care. Can such and such a person hold the responsibility of pushing somhisticated type of button with all the implications and consequences attached with that particular button? It is for this reason that very wisely and very correctly this immedment has been brought in.

It is needless for me to go into the technical and strategic aspects of this case because the Bill is only confined to clauses which are very simple to understand a propos the idea that is behind those 3 or 4 clauses.

In relation to the strength and efficiency of the naval forces, because my previous speaker has touched upon this, I will just say one word or two on that. I must say, having quite lately been out on certain of the manoeuvres of the Navy and the exercises that were shown to ussome other gentlemen sitting here were also there-that any and everything that was displayed, showed very clearly that our naval training is of a very high order, compared to any other Navy. I have seen other Navies. And, with the equipment that you have got, their-the Indian Navy's performance, their knowledge, their discipline, their turn-out, their understanding and their skill at arms that are put at their disposal is of the highest order and efficiency. We, our countrymen, could really be proud of that. In relation to the equipment part of that, I know it is no good counting the ships. Everybody knows and we all know. There should be no doubt about it that we have to concentrate on having to build up our Navy as fast as we can and with the best type of models and best type of weapons and best type of ships that are made available. In between also one will have to work out certain priorities. Which particular arm, which particular type of ships do you want to have? Do you want to have the most sophisticated type of submarines? Do you want to have naval aircraft carriers? Do you want to have destrovers? That is for the specialists to decide what priority in ships you will have to have. I must say that the balance that has so far been worked out has so very adequately been considered in the Ministry of Defence and as settled by the Naval High Command with the present Government. And, I feel absolutely satisfied with the verke and the vigour with which the whole thing is being handled from top to bottom and on that account again. I think we can feel quite satisfied and proud.

Whatever other things my hon. friend sitting on the other side has recommended most certainly should be looked into. I will go this far to recommend to our hon. Defence Minister and the Deputy Minister that wherever any kind of loose plugs have to be fitted into the plugholes to put things even to strengthen all around our armed forces and particularly, the naval part of that, that, of course should also be looked into.

With these words, I must say that I congratulate the Ministry of Defence for bringing in this particular amendment and I strongly support it.

श्री राजेश कुमार सिंह (फिरोजाबाद) : माननोय उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, यह जो नैवी एमेंडमेंट बिल, 1982 माननीय डिप्टी डिफ़ेन्स मिनिस्टर ने पेश किया है, उस में जहां तक प्रनुशासन की बात है, प्रनुशासन तो सैनिकों में होना ही चाहिये ग्रौर इस में कोई दो राय नहीं है लेकिन प्रक्ष्त यह है कि कुछ विशेष श्रेणी के लोगों में ही ग्रनुशासन हो या सभी लोगों में ग्रनुशासन हो । मान्यवर में इस मुद्दे से शुरू कर रहा हूं जो स्टेटमेंट ग्राफ़ ग्रावजेक्ट एण्ड रीजन्स म इन्होंने कहा है :

"A certain provision is made for summary trial of officers below the rank of Lieutenant Colonel and his Squadron Leader." ं [श्री राजेश कुमार सिंह]

इसका मतलब यह है कि एक पर्टीकुलर क्लास के लोगों के लिये यह है। एक जमाना था कि ग्रंग्रेजों के यहां दो तरह के ग्रफसर हमा करते थे, एक किंग कमीशन वाले झौर दूसरे वायसराय कमीशन वाले । किंग कमीशन वाले भ्रफसरो के लिये एक विशेष चीज थी ग्रौर वायसराय कमीशन वाले के लिये दुसरी। थोड़ा सा पद्धति में परिवर्तन माया, उसमें थोड़ी सी तब्दीली हुई श्रौर तब्दीली यह ग्रागई कि ग्रव एन सी॰ ग्रोज । ग्रौर जे०सी० ग्रोज० हो गये ग्रौर कमीशन्ड माफिसर्स हो गये। मेरे कहनेका मतलब यह है कि अनुशासन सैनिकों में होगा हो चाहिये। वह सिपाही होया बड़े से बड़ा **ग्र**घिकारी हः क्यों न हो, इस के लिये कोई भी कानून या नियम बनते है, तो बनने चाहिये । प्रश्न यह है कि श्रगर इन्ही के लिये समरी ट्रायल की गई है, तें जैसा कि एक माननीय सदस्य ने पहले कहा है कि श्राप के यहां मार्शल लाल है। उस में बहुत जल्दी ट्रायल होता है लेकिन धाप समरी ट्रायल करना चाहते है उन लोगों की जो बिलो दि रेंक श्राफ़ लेफि्टी नेंट कर्नल है। तो मैं यह जानना चाहता हुं।

How is it to be proceeded with?

यह किस तरह से होगा किस तरह से इस को लागू आप करेंगे श्रौर समरी ट्रायल की प्रक्रिया क्या होगी यह भ्राप ने साफ नहीं किया है। यह साफ होना चाहिये कि इस को प्रक्रिया क्या होगी और क्या एब्यूज्ड को एडीकेट अपचूंनिटी होगी अपने की डिफेन्ड करने के लिये । यह भी एक प्रक्र है कि ग्रगर ग्राप में उस को ग्रवसर नहीं दिया तो कुछ मनमानी होगी।

में ग्रामी के बारे में ज्यादा नहीं जानता \_ भौर हमारे माननीय डिप्टी मिनिस्टर साहब और माननीय सदस्य को ग्रभी बोल रहे थे ग्रौर जो एक सैनिक ग्रधिकारी रह चुक हैं। वे इस के बारे में ग्रच्छी तरह से जानते है लेकिन हमने यह देखा है कि म्राम सिविल सर्वेन्ट ने एक वर्ग बत्ता रखा है खास ग्रपने काम के लिये। कहीं ग्रनु-शान के नाम पर इस तरह की प्रवृत्ति यहां भी न स्रा जाय स्रोर कहों एक विचिन्न प्रवृत्ति न पैदा हो जाय, इस बौत का डर है।

मान्यवर जहां तक अनुशासन पैद। करने का प्रश्न है, इस में बहत से प्रश्न म्रा जाते हैं। क्रगर सैनिकों के मन में यह विश्वास पैदा हो जाता है कि मेरे रिटायरमेंट के बाद मुझे अच्छी पेंशन मिलेगी मेरे बच्चों को एजुकेशन मिलेगी तो इस से अनुशासन में मदद मिलती है। आज हम क्या देखते है कि जां रिकूटमेंट होते हैं मीर उत्तर प्रदेश में कई रिकृटमेंट सेन्टरों में जो भ्रप्टाचार श्राया है वह सामने ·ग्राया है ग्रौर ग्रखबारो में भी वह ग्राया है । वहां पर खुलकर, ग्रोपन भ्रष्टाचार फैला हुआ है। तो ये सारी खामिया हैं। जब एक सिपाही भर्ती हो कर म्राता है या ग्राधिकारी बन कर ग्राता है तो ये प्रश्न उस के सामने म्राते है जो ग्रनुशासन को तोड़ने में सहायक सिद्ध होते है । मैं इस बिल के खिलाफ नहीं हूं ग्रौर जो ग्रनुशासन वाली बात है मैं उस का समर्थन करता हं लेकिन कुछ मुद्दे जरूर ऐसे है जिन पर जरूर गौर करना चाहिये।

जहां तक एजूकेशन सिस्टम की बात है या वेलफेयर की बात है इन को भी मददेनजर रखना चाहिये मौर सब से बड़ी बात यह है कि ग्रनुशासन तब डेवलप होगा जब अफसरों में ग्रीर उन के सबोडीनेट्स में यह विक्वास पैदा हो जायेगा कि वे एक ही मंजिल के राही है ग्रीर उन का लक्ष्य ग्रीर उद्देश्य एक ही हैं ।

१ । जब यह बात होगी तब दोनों में एक मन्डरस्टेडिंग पैदा हो सकती है। इस संदर्भ में जो कुछ भी बिल में है वह तो है ही लेकिन मैं एक बात भौर कहना चाहूंगा क्योंकि यह एक ग्रेवसर है जबकि माननीय रक्षा मंत्री जी भौर डिप्टी डिफेन्स मिनिस्टर साहब दोनो मौजूद हैं।

मभी कुछ महीने पहले तीन बडे युद्व हुए दुनिया में ग्रौर उनसे हमें सबक लेना चाहिये । एक तो ईरान वाली लड़ाई हुई दुसरी ईजरायल श्रौर लेबनान में लडाई हुई ग्रीर तीसरा फाक्लैंड का युद्ध हग्रा भ्रजेंन्टायना भ्रौर इंगलैण्ड के बीच । इन से हमें सबक लेना चाहिये । भ्रब इण्डिया की क्या स्थिति है। हिन्द महासागर में ग्रड्डे डेवलप हो रहे हैं । डियागो गर्सिया वाली बात है और सिंगापुर वाली भी वात है। इस के ग्रलावा अन्दमान निकोबार के पास के इलाकों की बात हैं स्रीर लक्षद्वीप के पास भी कुछ इलाके है जिनकी ग्रौर हमें विशेष ध्यान देना चाहिये । वहां पर जो नेवल फोर्स है, उस को तरफ खास 🗸 ध्यान देने की ग्रावश्यकता है। कोस्ट-लाइन वाले इलाकों की रक्षा की जो बात है, उस पर भी हमले हो सकते हैं ग्रौर पडोसी देशों से भी हमले होने की संभा-वना हो सकती है । सोफिस्टीकेटेड वेपन्स देने की वात इसी हाउस में कही गई हे ।

Sophisticated weapons are supplied by Amrica to Pakistan

ĥ.

इस संदर्भ में में इतना ही कहना चाहूंगा कि ग्रर्जेन्टायना की वार से हम को सबक लेना चाहिये । इंगलैंड की नेवल फोर्स ने दुनिया के सामने एक मिसाल कायम कर दी है ग्रौर वहां पर सोफिस्टीकटेड वेपन्स का इस्तेमाल हुग्रा है, प्राधुनिक तरह के शस्त्रास्त्रों का इस्तेमाल हुग्रा है । टाइगर केस ग्रादि ऐसे वेपन्स है, जिन की गति बहुत

ज्यादा है और इस आध्निक वेपन्स की तुलना में हम बहुत पीछे हैं। म्राप इन सारी लड़ाइयों को देंखे तो यह पायेंगे कि हम इस मामले में बहुत पीछे है। इसलिये मेरा कहना यह है कि नेवल फोर्स को मजबूत बनाने के लिये मोडर्न वेपनरी को लाने के लिए प्रयास होना चाहिये । यदि ऐसा न हुआ तो हमने देखा कि उन्होंने सारे नेवल फोर्सेज को डेस्ट्राय कर दिया । फ्रेंच एकजोसिस मिसाइल की इतनी तेज गति थों कि राडार पर सूचना मिल ने के बाद भी उसने इंगलैंड के शेफील्ड डर-ट्रायर को डेस्ट्राय कर दिक्षा । तो जब इतना मोर्डनाइजेशन हो गमा है उससे हमें सबक लना चाहिये क्योंकि हमारा बहत बड़ा समुद्री इलाका है, तीन तरफ से समुद्र है, इसोलिये मैने यह बात कही । हमें ग्रात्म निर्भर बनने के लिये भी कुछ प्रयास करना चाहिये तभी डिसिप्लिन होगा । ग्रगर हमारे नाविकों में यकीन नहीं हुम्रा कि हमारे पास भी कुछ शक्ति है तो उनमें हिम्मत ग्रौर ग्रनुशासन वैदा नहीं होगा।

इन शब्दों के साथ मैं इस विल का समर्थन करता हूं।

श्री गिरधारी लग्ल डोंगरा (जम्मू): जनाव डिप्टी स्पीकर साहव, मैं ग्रापका मणकूर हूं कि ग्रापने मुझे बोलने का मौका दिया। मैं इस बिल की ताईद करता हूं, ग्रौर कहना चाहता हूं कि इस बिल को इतनी देर से लाया गया को कि पहले ग्राना चाहिए था। नेवी के ग्रफसरान ग्रपना डिसिप्लिन कायम रखते रहे है जिससे मालूम होता है कि हमारे नौजवान कितने कमिटेड हैं ग्रपने जौब ग्रौर डिसिप्लिन के लिए । यह जरूरीा है कि कानून मुनासिब हो ग्रौर उसको लाय जाय ग्रौर इसके लिए में दोनों मिनिस्टरान को जो यहां मौजूद हैं मुबारकबाद देता हुं। · [श्री गिरधारी लाल डोगरा]

इस मौके का फायदा उठाते हुए में दो बाते कहना चाहता हं। हमारे मुल्क की हिफाजत के लिये नेवी की बहुत जरू-रत है क्योंकि 1947 से पहले हमारा एक मुल्क था ग्रीर उसके बाद इसके तीन हिस्से हो गये। ग्रगर पाकिस्तान को देखेगें तो उनका कोस्ट कम है, लेकिन उन्होंने ग्रपनी नेवी को मार्डनाइज कर लिया है, ग्रौर करते जा रहे हैं। ग्राप बांगला देश की तरफ देखिए, जब पाकिस्तान ने हम पर हमला किया म्रौर हमारी म्रा-खिरी लड़ाई उस के साथ हुई ग्रौर हमारी फ़ौजें बांगला देश में लोगों की ग्राजादी के लिए गयीं तो हमको सातवें बेड़े ने किस तरह से डराने की कोशिश की र्थः । म्राज वही लोग इंडियन म्रोशन में श्रपने श्रहे बनाए हुए हैं जो कि हमारे लिये खतरे का बायस बन गया है। बांगला देश की नेवी की कोस्ट लाइन छोटी है लेकिन उनकी नैवी ज्यादा इर्फैक्टिव श्रौर मार्डन हैं । हम किसी के साथ लड्ना नहीं चाहते । मगर ग्रपनी हिफाजत करना चाहते हैं । हमारी कोस्ट-लाइन काफी बड़ी है, उसके लिये जनरल स्पैरो ने मार्डन वेपनरी ग्रौर टेक्टिक्स बी तरफ घ्यान दिलाया जो कि हमारे लिये जरूरी है। मगर मैं दो बातें ग्रौर कहना चाहता हूं। एक तो यह है कि हमारा शिप बिल्डिंग की तरफ घ्यान जाना चाहिए, चाहे वह नेवल शिपयार्ड् स हों या मर्कन्टाइल शिपयार्ड्स हों। स्रभी हम सिर्फ असेम्बलिंग कर रहे हैं और पार्टस दूसरे देशों से लेते हैं, जो कि किसी वक्त भी बन्द हो सकते हैं । इसलिये हमको सेल्फ़ रिलायेंट बनना है।

हर चिपयार्ड में जितनी चीजों की जरू-रत है, हमारी जो प्रपनी इंडस्ट्रीज हैं, जिन्हें हम डेवलैंप कर रहे हैं, हम ग्रपनी एन्सी-लगी इंडस्ट्रो क्यों नहीं वहां बना सकते?

-,

हर चीज जो शिप में लगती है, चाहे नैवी शिप में लगती ही या मर्चेन्डाइज शिप में लगती हो क्यों नहीं हम किसी न किसी स्टेट में उसकी इंडस्ट्री लगा सकते हैं? उस के लिए हम क्वालिटी ग्रौर क्वान्टिटी एन्श्योर करें, उसकी तरफ तवज्जह क्यों नहीं देते ? यहां हमारी प्लानिंग डिफैक्टिब है। एक दूसरे का तालमेल नहीं है। सेल्फ रिलायेन्स डिफैन्स नहीं है। हमको ग्राज ग्रपनी नेवी, लैंड ग्रौर एयर फोर्स की तरफ तवज्जह देनी होगी।

हमारा दुश्मन बाहर से प्लानिंग कर रहा है मेरे ख्याल से इंटरनेशनल प्लान हमारे खिलाफ बनी हुई है वह हमारे जख्मों को कुरेदे जा रहा है, हमारी कमजोरियों की उभारा जा रहा है ग्रौर हमारे ग्रन्दर जो उल्टा चलने वाले लोग है, कश्मीर से लेकर साउथ तक चले जाईथे उनको ऊपर उभारा जा रहा है, चाहे ईस्ट में चले जाइये या बेस्ट में चले जाइए. जो माज ताकते हमारे खि-लाफ उठ रही हैं, डिफ़रेंट किस्म की जो बातें हो रही है वह ऐसे ही नहीं हो रही है। उनके पीछे बहुत बड़ा हाथ है।

हम अपनी डिफैस की बनाना चाहते हैं, इंटरनली वातों की तो मजबूत करना ही हैं और डिकन्स की तरफ भी तवज्जह देने की जरूरत है। जो हमारी नेवल शिप बिल्डिंग की जरूरियात हैं, उनके बारे में हमको सैल्फ रिलायेन्ट होने की जरूरत है। हमें अपनी एग्सीजरोज को डैवजग करना चाहिए, चाहे कही भी करें। एफ ही जगह करें, या बढुत जगहों पर करें चाहे कहीं करें मगर उन अफ्तरों की देखरेख में उसकी क्वालिटी और क्वाग्टिटो ठोक रहे। उन तरफ हर्ने तवज्जह देनी चाहिए।

.45I

452

हमारे जितने भी पोर्ट ज या बन्दर-गाहें हैं कुछ भी कहिये, उनके वगैर ग्रापकी नैवी-इफैक्टिव है। जब तक ग्राप उनकी क्वालिटी इम्प्रव नहीं करेंगे. श्रापके यहां तो डूजिंग भी पूरी नहीं है। सिवाय मद्रास पोर्ट ट्रस्ट के कहीं भी पूरी ड्रेजिंग नहीं है। ग्राप क/चीन में चले जाइए आपके पास 4 इंजर हैं, 3 तो 1948 से पहले के है ग्रौर उनको कोई ग्रंग्रेज लाया था ग्रौर एक सेमि-इंडियन है उनकी ग्राउट पूट कूछ नहीं है। ग्रगर म्राप पोर्टस में डुजिग नहीं करेंगे तो ग्राप अपनी पोर्ट को डेवलेप नहीं कर पाते। ग्राप डिफेंस कैसे करेंगे।

कुछ ऐसी बन्दरगाहे है जो स्टेट गवर्नमेंटस के पास हैं ग्रौर कुछ सैंट्रल गवर्नमेंट के पास है। एक दूसरे क ताल-मेल नहीं है। नैवी का कंट्रोल नहीं, उनके साथ को-ग्राडिनेशन नहीं । सिविल-शिप बिल्डिंग में या नेवल शिप-विल्डिंग में, मेरे इल्म में तो कोई को-म्राडिनेशन नहीं है । उनका ग्रापस में को-ग्रार्डिनेशन होना चाहिए, तभी काम चलेगा, नहीं तो बहत मुश्किल है। हम यह कहें कि थोड़ा-थोड़ा करते जाते है वह काफी नहीं। हमें धोखा नहीं खाना चाहिए वह तो मदारी का तमाशा है। जो. हमारे मुख्य दूश्मन हैं जिनके साथ हमारी टक्कर का **ग्र**देशा है, वह क्या कर रहा है, ग्रौर हम क्या कर रहे हैं, उनके मकाबले में हमारी ताकत क्या है, हम कहां तक ग्रागे बढ़ रहे हैं, इस तरफ में श्रापकी तवज्जह दिलाना चाहता हूं । ग्रगर हम सिर्फ एक्स-साइज को देखें तो ले-मैन तो कन्वीन्स हो जाता है कि हमने बहत कुछ किया, यह ठीक है कि पहले के मुकाबले में बहुत कुछ किया है, मगर जिनके साथ हमारी टक्कर है, मुकाबला है, जिस वक्त हमें मुकावला करना होगा, उस ,'वक्त केलिए हम डिफेन्स में क्या कर सकते हैं और' उसके लिये कौनसा सैल्फ

रिलायेन्ट सिस्टम बना रहे हैं। म्राज तक हम जवानों ग्रौर ग्रफसरों की बहा दूरी पर जीते हैं, ग्रागे भी उनकी क्वा-' लिटी जिताथेगी, मगर हम क्या करते हैं, हमको पूरी तरह प्लानिग करनी चाहिए। हमारी प्लान में सबसे ज्यादा जोर जो देना है वह हमारी इंटरनल श्रौर एक्सटरनल डिफैन्स की श्रोर देना है उसके लिये हमें को-ग्रार्डिनेशन करना है । नैवी का दर्जा शायद पहले इतना ज्यादा नहीं था समद्र से इतना खतरा नहीं था लेकिन ग्राज हमको नवी की तरफ भी ध्यान देने की जरूरत है, प्लानिंग की जरूरत हैं, कोस्ट-लाइन डैवलप करने की जरूरत है। उसके लिये जितनी हार्वर्स हैं, पोर्टस हैं उनको पुरो तरह डैवलप करने की जरूरत है । हमारी शिप-बिल्डिंग कैपेसिटी ज्यादा है उसको हम इसलिए युटिलाइज नहीं कर सकते कि जो पार्टस लगने हैं, मशीने हैं या दूसरे पार्टम हैं, वह पूरे एवेलेबल नहीं है। इसलिये क़ैंपेसिटी युटिलाइज नहीं हो सकती न मर्चेन्डाइज शिप-विल्डिंग में न नैवल शिषिग में । सिर्फ इसकी तरफ में ग्रापकी तवज्जह दिलाना चाहता हं। स्राज हिन्द महासागर खतरनाक हो गया है हम सो नहीं सकते

इन सब गुजारिशात के साथ मैं इस बिल की पूरी ताइद करता हूं।

SHRI BHOGENDRA JHA (Madhubani): Sir, there cannot be two opinions in the House or n the country about the importance to be given to the Navy and Naval Officers and men and the need for ensuring preparedness in the Sea, both with regard to Warships and the Submarines. I say this because of the US attempt to turn the Indian Ocean into American Ocean. The Prime Minister had been to the USA recently. But I think no heed was given to her suggestions that the Indian Ocean should be turned into a Zone of peace Very often, in this House, the Ministers from the Treasury Benches have equivocated between super powers while the Soviet Union is repeatedly supporting this demand for turning the Indian Ocean into a zone of peace and the USA is stubbornly refusing to accept it and is obstructing the demands for holding the Conference in according with the Resolution of the United Nations. We know that Maritius, our fraternal, small but brave country, has through its Parliament, passed a legislation that Diego Garcia has been and should remain a part of Maritius and the U.S.A. war bases should be dismantled from there.

Sir, the Prime Minister had been to Maritius recently and it is good that she openly supported this demand and the Government of Maritius. Today, not the Atlantic, not the Pacific, but the Indian Ocean is being turned into a ocean of dispute and confrontation by the USA. In such a situation, our preparedness. particularly in the sphere of warships and submarines and other naval weapons have become very imperative because on three sides we are surrounded by seas. But I think that this Bill mainly concerns with the inner or internal discipline of our officers and men. I would have liked to go into this Bill deeper, into the problem of Navy as a whole. Our scientists, our officers are in a position to build more sophisticated, more up-to-date naval weapons for the defence of our shores but much more resources and attention are required to be given. Not only that, Our neighbours and friends and the small littoral countries in the areas may require our co-operation and help in times of need and we may also require their. But this Bill is limited only with regard to the inner and internal discipline. But with regard to that also, I have got something to say.

Sir, today, in our capital, there was a marked demonstration where millions of people had marched through the streets of this capital raising slogans for the defence of our country defence of our our freedom, for turning the Indian Ocean into a zone of peace, for dismantiling the US war base in Diego Garcia. This is a coincidence that while we are discussing this subject here, millions of people of this country marched through the streets of the capital with the above demands. Sir, I think that our country should speak with one voice, our Parliament naturally should speak with one voice. I do not know if there is any other voice in this House. We all know that our men and officers of the Navy have got the patriotic tradition. In 1946 it heralded the dawn of our freedom and raised the banner of revolt against the British rule, though our leadership compromised with the British and accepted its plan of partition of the country. Though we compromised, they revolted against the British rule and they unfurled the flags of their unity. That must not be forgotten. Very often we tend to forget it and try to make our own history.

Sir, I would like to make some suggestions and I think the hon. Minister will give heed to them. With regard to the internal force and the Navy also, I do demand that the proportion of the directly recruited officers is reduced and the proportion of promotion of the existing officers should be increased because experience of actual work is not less valuable than acquisition of Degrees outside. I do not say that there should not be any direct recruitment in the category of officers but the share of promotion of the existing officers must be increased.

Second, I would like to state that in the British days there was some sort of a Chinese Wall between the number of officers and the number of the men in the Navy. That must not be allowed to continue now. I do not say that it is continuing in the same way, or in the same conditions, but very minor and very insignificant changes have been made and our men are not well-treated. Though they may be juniors in job, or in responsibility, or inferior in rank, yet they are all our nationals and are involved in the common task of defending our country. In this context. I think, the rules should be changed, the manner and method should also be changed so that our men should feel that according to their abilities, they are serving our country as officers, middle officers or men on equal footing. As far as defence of our country is concerned, they

456

are equally serving our country. In this case, they are defending our shores.

With this end in view, I would like to state that the present Bill, though not objectionable, in many respects falls far short of the requirements of the present situation.

Even with regard to the internal aflairs of the Navy, the officers and the men, their condition needs to be improved. These officers and men cannot form their trade unions, they cannot go on strike or dharna, but the country and the Parliament has to see that they are not illtreated, they are not discriminated against. and they have no ground, no basis for feeling discontented. As I said, with that end in view, the present Bill falls far short of the requirements, and I think, the Minister, if he is not in a position to do it at this stage, will see to it that suitable steps in the right directions as suggested by me earlier are taken so that the condition of our officers and men im. proves, particularly that of the ratings and the other categories.

With regard to the quality of our submarines and warships, which we have begun to build in our country, lack of resources should not be allowed to stand in the way, because otherwise in the time of crisis, we will be put in an awkward situation, as we have to guard our shores on three sides of the country,

With these words I conclude and I hope that the Government will give proper attention to the voice of these people.

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI K. P. SINGH DEO): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I am extremely thankful to the hon. House for its unanimous support to this Bill. In the course of the discussions, the hon. Members have made some very useful suggestions. Besides, certain other points have also been raised, though they do not relate to the present Bill. The hon. Members have stayed into the question of strategy, emoluments and welfare of the personnel, drawing lessons from the conflicts happening elsewhere in the world.

t

Some hon. Members have raised the question about the intention of this amending Bill. Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh and Shri Bhogendra Jha had apprehensions that this may be discriminatory in nature. I would like to take this opportunity to assure the House that it is exactly the opposite which this amendment seeks to do. In fact, in the Navies of the world, minor offences committed by sailors are tried summarily by the Commanding Officers of the sailors. Here, there is no question of discrimination. The Bill seeks to make forfeiture of seniority and forfeiture of time for purposes of promotion applicable to the rank of master chief petty officers, which cadre was introduced in 1968, but had not been taken into consideration in the amendment in 1974. In fact, this Bill proposes a summary system of trial for Officers of the rank of Lt. Commander and below. In fact, it is extending to even junior Officers. That is Acting Sub-Lt., Leiutenant, Lieutenant Commanders are put similar to the provisions which exist for the Army and the Air Force. Therefore, Sir, this is not discriminatory in nature, but it is more egalitarian. And since offences which had been committed by senior sailors like the Chief Master Petty Officers were not adequately covered by the small provisions or the regulation, that is admonition and stoppage of pay, leave, these have to be adequately included in the punishments. Therefore, the amendment to this Bill.

Sir, our esteemed colleague, Gen. Sparrow, has rightly mentioned that Command and control and discipline must go hand in hand with regard and punishment. For good work people must be rewarded and for indiscipline and for acts of omission and commission they must be punished. Therefore, the question of discipline is of paramount importance as far as any Armed Force, including the Navy is concerned. And this Bill seeks not only to bring in people who are not under its purview, but it also seeks to broadbase the thing and to bring it in the same line as the Army Act and the Air Force Act are.

Some Members had apprehension that discipline is sought to be brought in by this Regulation. I would like to take this

-

## [Shri K. P. Singh Deo]

h opportunity to assure the House that discipline is not being sought to be brought in, by this Legislation. Discipline in the , Indian Armed forces whether it is Army or Navy or the Air Force, is brought in "by personal examples of the Officers, the .JCOs, the Chief Petty Officers, Master Petty Officers, Warrant Officers and by , welfare means, and the very fact that our . Officers share with the men the same conditions of service as well as the same dangers, same adventures, same risks and the same type of exercises in everything. Therefore, this amendment does not seek to bring in or set any discipline by Legis-- lation, but it is only to broadbase certain criteria of personnel who had been left yout of the purview.

Sir, many of the Members had mentioned regarding the Falkland crisis, the Lebanon war, the Iran Iraq war, Bom-. bay High, our coastline and maritime interests, Diego Garcia, the Indian Ocean being a zone of pcace, our developments ' in the sea-bed and the economic interests there and the sophisticated weaponary in the field of national security and specially the induction and the production of weapons and equipment. I would like to take this opportunity to assure the House that the Indian Armed Forces particularly only studying the Navy is not studying the after-effects and the lessons of war, but the Government is very much seized and is also keeping close watch on the developments in the Indian Ocean, in the various operations that have taken place, whether it in the Lebanon Crisis, the Iran-Iraq crisis, or the Falkland crisis; and the lessons of war, which have been made available are under close scrutiny and study. As far as sophistication, modernization and also the twin aims of Government's policy on selfreliance and self-sufficiency are concerned, indigenization is going on at a very rapid pace. Our Navy is one of the balanced navies. And when people speak about modernization and sophistication, they have to take into consideration the geopolitical and the geo-strategic position, and the environment in which our Navy is placed. So, there is no use comparing with, the U.S. Navy or the Soviet Union's Navy, for whom the environment and the role are different—whereas the role of our Navy is entirely different, and our environment is also quite different from what exists in the other theatres.

Doubts had been raised by some Members on whether we are capable of defending ourselves or not. I would like to take this opportunity and say most emphatically, with all the emphasis at my command, that our Armed Forces are ready. and prepared to take on any eventuality which may befall our country, whether it is from air, sea or land.

In regard to the general remarks which had been made in the course of the debate, I think I have given answers. I think I have clarified the reasons for bringing this amendment. and I am extremely thankful to the hon. Members for their unanimous support.

17.47 hrs.

STATEMENT RE. PASSAGE BY I&K LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR GRANT OF PERMIT FOR RE-SETTLEMENT IN (OR PER-MANENT RETURN TO) THE STATE BILL, 1980

MR. SPEAKER: Now the Prime Minister.

THE PRIME MINISTER (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): Information has been received that the Jammu , and Kashmir State Legislative Assembly has to-day passed the Jammu and Kashmir Grant of Permit for Resettlement in (or Permanent Return to) the State Bill, 1980, as originally passed by it. As the House is aware, this was recently returned by the Governor of Jammu and Kashmir to the State Legislature for re-consideration in view of his opinion as to the constitutional infirmities from which it suffers.

Earlier, we had told and written to Sheikh Mohammad Abdullah that the Government of India would be willing to favourably consider, on humanitarian grounds, any individual cases which he may have in mind for grant of citizenship.

.