श्री राम विलास पासवान : मंत्री जी ने कहा कि लाखों की संख्या में लोग कैसरी दल खात हैं।

राव वीरेन्द्र सिष्ठ : ग्रापने खाई है या नहीं ?

श्रो राम विलास पासवान : बारह साल तक खाई है।

राव वीरेन्द्र सिंह: श्रापको क्यों कुछ नहीं हुआ ?

श्री राम विलास बाह्यान : इस लिए कि मैं दाल के साथ दूसरी चीजें भी खाता था। लेकिन ये लोग तो नमक रोटी ही खाते हैं। जब तक लोगों को मालुम नहीं था कि यह जहर है तब तक तो ठीक था। लेकिन जब ग्राप कह रहे हैं ग्रीर ग्रापकी सरकार कबूल करती है कि यह जाइर है फिर ग्राप कहते हैं कि पहले से थोड़ा रिफ़ाइन्ड वे में जहर पैदा करेंगे? ग्रभी भी सरकार कम जहरीला खाद्य पदार्थ पैदा करने पर विचार कर रही है।

मंत्री जी ने पिछले सत्र में कहा था कि रेडियो ग्रीर समाचार पत्नों के माध्यम से यह बत लाने का काम करेंगे कि यह पायजनस है, लेकिन मेरी जहां तक जानकारी है, एक दिन भी इन माध्यमों से इसका प्रचार नहीं हुआ है। मैं जानना चाहता हं कि सरकार का प्रचार करने का माध्यम क्या है ?

पश्चिम बंगाल ग्रौर बिहार सरकार को जो इन्होंने पत्न लिखे, उनका क्या उत्तर ग्राया? यह बताने की कृपा करें।

राव वीरेन्द्र सिंह : स्पीकर साहब, मैं श्राशा रखता हूं कि जो कुछ माननीय सदस्य ने कहा है, वह स्राज स्रखबारों के स्रन्दर ग्रा जायेगा ग्रौर खूब प्रचार हो जायेगा केसरी दाल के बारे में। पार्लियामेंट में सवाल ग्रौर जवाब हो रहे हैं, फिर प्रचार न हो, यह कैसे हो सकता है?

श्रध्यक्ष महोदयः ग्राप स्पेशल ग्रीर करवा दःजिये।

(व्यवधान)

श्राघ्यक्ष महोदय : मैं कहता हूं कि इसके प्रचार का स्पेशल कार्यक्रम बनाइये जिससे लोगों को इसके बारे में पता हो, पूरा प्रचार रेडियो से भी ग्रौर दूसरे तरीके से भी किया जाये।

श्री राम विलास पासवान : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, भ्रापको तो हमें प्रोटैक्ट करना चाहिए।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदब: कर तो रहा हं, मैंने मंत्री जो को कहा है।

श्री राम बिलास पासवान : ग्राज ग्राप कह रहे हैं मंत्री जी को, यहां एक साल पहले मंत्री जी ने कहा था कि प्रचार करवा रहे हैं, लेकिन भ्राज तक कुछ नहीं हुआ ?

राव बीरेन्द्र सिंह : ग्रखबारों में केसरी दाल के बारे में लेख निकले हैं।

कपास के लिए समर्थन मूल्य

153. श्री उत्तम राठौर : श्री नरसिंह मकवाना :

क्या कृषि मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) वर्ष 1981-82 के लिये कपास का कितना समर्थन मूल्य निर्घारित किया गया था स्रौर किस तारीख को निर्धारित किया गया था:
- (ख) कपास की उत्पादन लागत तथा निर्धारित किए गए समर्थन मूल्य में कितना ग्रन्तर है ग्रीर क्या सरकार इस ग्रन्तर को समाप्त करने के लिए कदम उठाने का विचार रखती है; ग्रीर
  - (ग) सरकार ने यह सुनिश्चित करने के लिए किसानों को उसका लाभकारी दाम मिले ग्रीर विचौलियों द्वारा उनका शोषण न हो, क्या योजना तैयार की है?

THE MINISTER OF AGRICUL-TURE AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CIVIL SUPPLIES (RAO BIRE-NDRA SINGH): (a) The Government of India did not fix minimum support price for raw cotton for the 1981-82 season.

- (b) Does not arise. The Government has consistently been following a policy of providing remunerative prices to the farmers and compensating them for upward movement in input prices. The terms of reference of the Agricultural Prices Commission were modified to the effect that the Commission should take into account changes in the terms of trade between the agricultural and nonagricultural sectors. The cost of transport from the field to the purchase or processing centre is also to be taken into account.
- (c) In order to ensure that cotton growers are not compelled to sell their produce at unremunerative prices, market support operations are being undertaken through the Central and the State Agencies like the Cotton Corporation of India, the Maharashtra State Cooperative Marketing Federation and other State Cooperative Marketing Federations. About 40 per cent of cotton produced is being purchased by these agencies.

SHRI UTTAM RATHOD: If you recollect, last year, while this question was raised through Calling Attention, I had said that the A.P.C. did not fix the cotton price for 1981-82; the reason being that A.P.C. considers the cost analysis on the basis of P.A. 320 F-an irrigated variety of Punjab. The prices of 1980-81 were continued in the next year and the same was applied to all the areas which grow There is a demand from Maharashtra where the cotton is grown in the rain-fed area. This particular cost analysis is not beneficial to them. That is why they have appointed their own A.P.C. for Maharashtra State.

Sir, last year, the prices which were recommended by the A.P.C. as support prices were Rs. 359 and the State Government had recommended as Rs. 638. May I know, what the Government has done in this regard? why did the Government continue the 1980-81 prices till these days?

I would like to bring to your notice that the A.P.C. has played a hoax on the cotton growers because reason that they had given in their report which was submitted late in September was that during somewhere in the month of May, when growing of cotton in Punjab was done, there was no rise in the prices of fertilizers and therefore there was no question of revising the prices. At the same time, the old prices were continued and the cotton growing States were asked to take this into account and determine their prices. I want to know why this act of the A.P.C. was allowed to continue by the Ministry of Agriculture and the agriculturists to suffer?

RAO BIRENDRA SINGH: Maharashtra has a scheme of monopoly purchase of cotton and if the Government has appointed some agencies to go into the costing of cotton production, I think, the interests of farmers will be looked after as the hon. Member desires. The Government did not announce any price for cotton because it was considered that the prevailing prices in the market for cotton were much higher than the prices recommended by the A.P.C. and we thought the announcement of a price on the basis of the A.P.C.'s recommendations would not help the farmers but it may help to reduce the prices in the market and it may have an adverse effect thereby. It was all done in the interest of farmers and wherever the Government feel that the prices are crushing down, the agencies, as mentioned, are already there to move into market and make the purchases

SHRI UTTAM RATHOD: I would like to know from the hon. Minister, through you, how does he justify reply to Question (a) & (b)? In Question (b), he says, he has asked the A.P.(C) to take into consideration all the factors including the non-agriculture produce and all that which are to be used as inputs. How does he justify his present statement? he ever consider the present fate those cotton growers who grow cotton in rain-fed areas and allow the prices of cotton like PA 320F to be treated with the market prices?

RAO BIRENDRA SINGH. Sir. I am doubtful if what the hon. Member wants to know would be in the interest of farmers. After the A.P.C. were asked to revise their previous recommendations taking into account the cost of fertilizers on the basis of increased price, the A.P.C.'s recommendation, to my mind-if I remember it correctly-was for Rs. whereas the prices prevailling at the time were over Rs. 500. Therefore, we thought that it would not be right to announce the price on the basic of the A.P.C.'s recommendations.

Now, if the hon. Member wants to know the basis for my reply in Parts (a) and (b), I think, it has become very clear to him.

PROF. N. G. RANGA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I would like to put one short question. Now, my hon. friend, the Minister of Agriculture is convinced that there must be something wrong A.P.C.'s the calculations because its recommendations were far below the market price. But I am glad, the Government has done one sensible thing in not announcing the artificial figure, the unreal figure that was recommended by the A.P.C. Certainly, there is something wrong which I would like my hon, friend to look into.

MR SPEAKER: Question Hour over.

## WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUES-TIONS

## Progress of Irrigation Projects

- \*146. SHRI BALASAHEB VIKHE PATIL: Will the Minister of IRRIGA-TION be pleased to lay a statement showing:
- (a) whether Government made any assessment of the projects taken under 20-Point Programme pertaining to irrigation in different States particularly how they progressed:
- (b) whether it is necessary to augment hte financial and adminisrative backing for such projects as those are vital for rural agricultural economy;
- (c) if so, how the different States are faring; and
- (d) steps taken to accelerate the process where the implementation is slow?

THE MINISTER OF IRRIGATION (SHRI KEDAR PANDAY): (a) The irrigation programmes and progress achieved have been assessed with reference to New 20-Point Programme and are being continuously reviewed and monitored by various organisations in the Ministry of Irrigation including Central Water Commission.

(b) In order to achieve additional irrigation potential as envisaged in the New 20-Point Programme, it is not only necessary to have additional flnancial resources to augment the plan outlay, but also other various measures are required to be taken such strengthening the organisation wherever necessary supply of scarce material, timely preparation of tailed designs and estimates and fixing agencies for construction etc