I am not going to withdraw. Nothing is going on record.

(Interruptions) \*\*

ब्रध्यक्ष महोदय : में तो मान गया स्नापकी

बात । पहले ही माना बैटा हूं। मैं तो केवल काल खटेंगन जापना ऐडमिट कर रहा हूं कल ग्रोन दिस बैरी सबजैक्ट ।

## (व्यवधान)

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : अगर मुझे बवाब में आ कर ही काम करना है तो भ्राप प्रपना आदमी बैठा लीजिये यहां । एक आदमी भी कह दे मैंने किसी बात को दबाया हो । मैं किसी बात को दवाना नहीं चाहता । लेकिन अगर यह अधिकार भी आप ही फैसला करने का रखना चाहते हैं तो आप आ जाइये, मैं नहीं बैठूंगा । मुझे को ई चिन्ता नहीं है । अगर मैं नहीं चला पाऊंगा । तो आप आ जाइये ।

You come here and decide I am ready to quit. I am the servant of this House and I am here with the help and confidence of the House.

SHRI SATYASADHAN CHAKRA-BORTY: You are perfectly within your powers to accept an adjournment motion Why do you plead inability?

MR. SPEAKER: I have admitted a calling attention, keeping in view the sentiments of the House.

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: We want to censure this Government.

MR. SPEAKER: I had given you a chance on the very first day to censure. But it cannot be allowed as a daily business. (Interruptions)

असे एन॰ के॰ शेजवलकर (भ्वालियर) : मैं तो रूल्स की बात करना चाहता हूं भ **ग्रध्यक्ष महोदयः** ग्राप बैठिये। ग्र**च्छा** नहीं लगता । बहुत हो गया ।

(ग्वचधान)

MR. SPEAKER: I have said that I am going to admit Call Attention for tomorrowow.

उसमें सारा कुछ आ सकता है । आप बैठिये प्रोफेसर साहब । आप तो क्लास ही पढ़ाते रहे हैं । ऐसे ही करते हैं ?

SHRI KRISHNA CHANDRA HAL-DER: A number of people outside will thank you. . .

MR. SPEAKER: That is why I am allowing it. I am not curbing it.

श्री राजनाथ सोंतकर शास्त्री : आप काल ग्रटेंशन की बात कर रहे हैं तो एडजर्नमेंट मांशन करने में क्या ग्रापत्ति है?

MR. SPEAKER: No discussion is allowed on this subject now.

श्री महालगी, अगर आप सवाल नहीं करना चाहते तो मैं किसी सौर को बुलाऊं ?

बस करो ग्राप काहे को शुरू कर रहे हो ?

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Tax components in the price of a litre of petrol, diesel and kerosene oil

\*222. SHRI R. K. MHALGI; Will the Minister of PETROLEUM, CHE-MICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to lay a statement showing;

(a) present market prices of a litre of petrol, diesel and kerosene oil;

(b) sales tax, exise and other taxation components in each of the above prices as at present and also in each of the last three years; and

(c) what are the reasons for the huge increase in taxation and excise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM, CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI DALBIR SINGH); (a) Current market prices of a litre of petrol, diesel and kerosene at Bombay, Madras, Calcutta and Delhi are given below:—

- (	- 1	n	Ks.	- 1
				- 1

Product						Bombay	Madras	Calcutta	Delhi
Petrol		,			,	6.15	6.17	6.13	6.07
Diesel						2.96	3.05	3.01	3.02
Kerosene		-	•			1.66	1.84	1.76	1.81

(b) A statement is placed on the Table of the Sabha.

(c) The levy of excise duty on petroleum products has been used to serve the twin objective of curbing the consumption of these products as also as a revenue measure. Variations in the sale tax (levied by the State Governments) which is *ad-valorem*, are mainly due to increase in the price of products.

4	4	ł	
1	Ľ	l	
	9	ļ	
	E	2	
	Ċ	į	
1	2	ľ	
1	Ľ	ļ	
ć	7	5	

Statement Showing the sales tax, Excise and other taxotion Components in the Price of Petroleum Products

Oral .	<b>Ans</b> wers	5	EPT	<b>imber</b>	1, 1	981		Or	al A	Inst	vers			
	Other		:	:	:	:	:	:		•	•		:	
IH	Sales Tax	0.22	0.26	0-26	0.28	0.28	0.33	0.36		0-36	0.39		0.22	
IHTAO	Duty	2.22	2.70	2.70	2.22	2.22	2-22	2.22		2.22	2-22		2.22	
	Retail Price	3. 39	4.04	4.04	4-41	4.41	5.11	5-50		5.50	6.07		3-29	00 0
	Other levics	0.03	0.30	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03		0.03	0.03		0.02	
BOMBAY	Sales Tax	0.33	0.04	0++0	0-43	0.43	0-51	0.55		0.55	09.00		0.30	20.00
I	Duty	2.22	2.70	2.70	2.22	2.22	2.22	2.22		2.22	2.22		2-22	02 0
	Retail Price	3.38	4.04	4-04	4-43	4.43	5.15	5.56		5.56	6.15		3.38	
1										•			•	
		•		•	•	•		÷		•	4		•	
		•		6			•			•			•	
			•		•	•	÷	•		•	•		•	
		•	÷		•	•	•	•		•	•		•	
			×.		•	•	•	•		•	0		?	
			•	·	•	•	٠	•		•	•		•	
				•	·	•		•		•	•		•	
PERIOD		1978-79 Prior to 1-3-79	w.c. from 1-3-79	1979-80 Prior to 17-8-79	w.c. from 17-8-79	Prior to 8-6-80	w.e. from 8-6-80	w.e. from 13-1-81	1981-82	Prior to 11-7-81	w.c. from 11-7-81	1978-79	Prior to 1-3-79	6-0-1 1 1 TO

11

3 4 5   2·70 0·36 0·02   2·22 0·40 0·02   2·22 0·40 0·02   2·22 0·46 0·02   2·22 0·46 0·02   2·22 0·46 0·02   2·22 0·50 0·02   2·22 0·50 0·02   2·22 0·50 0·02   2·22 0·50 0·02																1	13
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1								2	E.	4	5	9	7	*	6	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1979-80																Ora
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Prior to 17-8-79	•	•	•	4				4.04	2.70	0.36	0.02	3.90	2.70	0.24	:	1 4
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	w.e. from 17-8-79		•	4	•				4.42	2.22	0.40	0.02	4-25	2.22	0.24	:	ทระ
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1980-81																vets
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Prior to 8-6-80		٠	÷					4.41	2.22	0-40	0.02	4.25	2.22	0.24	:	
· · · · · · · · · 5:54   2:22   0:50   0:02   5:29   2:22   0:26      · · · · · · · · · · · 5:54   2:22   0:50   0:02   5:29   2:22   0:26      · · · · · · · · · · · 5:54   2:22   0:50   0:02   5:29   2:22   0:26      · · · · · · · · · · · 6:13   2:22   0:55   0:02   6:17   2:22   0:61	w.e. from 8-6-80	•	٠	*				,	5.14	2.22	0.46	0.02	4.90	2.22	0-24	:	B
5-54 2-22 0-50 0-02 5-29 2-22 0-26	w.c. from 13-1-81		٠	•	•		•		5.54	2.22	0.50	0.02	5.29	2-23	0-26	:	HAI
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1981-82																ORA
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Prior to 11-7-81	•		٠			4		5.54	2.22	0.50	0.02	5.29	2.22	0-26	:	10
	w.c. from 11-7-81		•		•	·			6.13	2.23	0-55	0.02	6.17	2.22	19-0	:	, 19
								1									103

				1		All and a second s											
	PER	PERIOD									đ	BOMBAY		•	DELHI		
										Retail Price	Duty	Sales Tax	Other levics	Retail Price	Duty	Sales Tax	Other levies
1978-79																	
Prior to 1-3-79	'	٠	•	*					•	1.27	0.40	0.10	0.02	1-34	0.40	60-0	
w.e. from 1-3-79			•	•					•	1.39	0.49	0-11	0.02	1-47	0.49	60.0	:
1979-80																	
Prior to 17-8-79	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	1-39	0.49	0.11	0.02	1.47	0-49	60.0	:
w.e. from 17-8-79	1		•	•		•	•	•	•	1.58	0-40	0-13	0.02	1.66	0+-0	0-11	:
w.e. from 11-9-79		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1.50	0-33	0.12	0.02	1.58	0.33	0.10	:
1980-81																	
Prior to 8-6-80	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1.50	0.33	0.12	0.02	1.58	0.33	0.10	:
w.c. from 8-6-80	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	2.21	0-33	0.18	0-02	2.28	0.33	0.15	:
w.c. from 13-1-81	•	•	·	·	•		•	•	•	2.61	0.33	0-21	0-02	2.67	0.33	0.17	:
1981-82																	
Prior to 11-7-81	÷	•	÷			÷	÷		•	2-61	0.33	0.21	0.02	2.67	0-33	0-17	
w.e. from 11-7-81	•	•	•	•	•		•		•	2:96	G-33	0-24	0.02	2.00	0.32	00.00	

16

				•		•	•	•	•	•		
			•			•	•	•		•	•	
		Retail Price	1.36	1-49	1.49	1.68	1.60	1.60	2.25	2.66	2.66	3-01
PR(	CA	Duty	0.40	0.49	0.49	0.40	0.33	0.33	0.33	0-33		0-33
PRODUCT: : D1/34	CALCUTTA	Sales Tax	0.15	0.17	0.17	0-19	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.22	0.22	0.25
DISSIT		Other levies	0-02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0-02	0.02	0.02	0.02
		Retail	1.35	1.49	1.49	1.66	1.59	1.59	2.24	2.66	2.66	3.05
	MADRAS	Duty	0:40	0.49	0.49	0-40	0.33	0-33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33
Rate:Rs./Litre		Sales Tax	0.16	0.18	0.18	0.18	0-18	0.18	0-18	0.22	0.22	0.30
e		Other levies	:	:	:	;	*	:	:	•	:	

13

POM     POM       Retail     Duty     Sale       Price     1:18     0:40       •     •     1:29     0:49       •     •     1:29     0:49       •     •     1:39     0:33       •     •     1:39     0:33       •     •     1:39     0:33       •     •     1:39     0:33       •     •     1:39     0:33       •     •     1:39     0:33       •     •     1:39     0:33       •     •     1:39     0:33       •     •     1:39     0:33       •     •     1:39     0:33       •     •     •     1:39       •     •     •     1:39       •     •     •     1:39       •     •     •     1:49

	Other levies.	Answers	:	BHA:	DRA	10	, 1903 :	(\$	<b>АКА</b> :	:)	Oral	Ans'
	Sales Tax C	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.12	11.01	0-11	0-11	0-12	0.12	0.13	
MADRAS	Duty	0.40	0.49	0.49	0.40	0.33	0.33	0.33	·033	0-33	0.33	
	Retail Price	1-31	1.43	1-43	1-62	1.55	1.55	1-55	1.67	1.67	1.84	
	Other levies	1	1	:	ï		:	:	:	3		
V.L	Sales Tax	90.0	90-0	0.06	0.07	20.07	0-07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	
CALCUTTYA	Duty	0.40	0.49	0.49	0.40	0.33	0.33	0-33	0.33	0-33	0-33	
	Retail Price	1-24	1:38	1.38	1.56	1.49	1-49	1.49	1.60	1.60	1.76	
	1										•	
				*			٠					
				*	•	٠		•		•		
								+	•	•		
			•	,		٠	•	+			÷	
			-	•	,		,	-	•	·		
							*		•	•		
		•	•	•	•		•	*	•	•	1	
Q		•	·	٠	•			•	•	•		
PERIOD		1978-79 Prior to 1-3-79	w.e. from 1-3-79	1979-80 Prior to 17-8-79	w.c.f. 17-8-79	w. e. from 11-9-79	1980-81 Prior to 8-6-80	w.e. from 8-6-80	w.e. from 13-1-81	1981-82 Prior to 11-7-81	w.c. from 11-7-81	

श्वी कमलनाथ : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, डेढ़ साल वाद पहली दफा मेरा बैलट में नाम निकला है, मेरे क्वेश्चन दूसरे नम्बर पर है ग्रौर ग्राप मझे मौका नहीं दे रहे हैं ।

(ब्यवधान)

श्री कनलनाथ : लाटरी मेरी निकलती नहीं है, ग्रेज एक दका निजली है, क्रुपा कर के हमें सवाल पूछने का मौका दें। Question No. 223, with your permission, Sir.

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Mhalgi.

SHRI R. K. MHALGI: It has been stated in the reply that the levy of excise duty had been used to serve the twin objectives of curbing consumption of those products, as also as a revenue measure. Government and its agencies are really the biggest consumers of petrol. In case Government is interested in minimizing petrol consumption, a check on extravagant use of vehicles by Government and semi-Government organisations is necessary.

What steps have Government taken in regard to this, during the period of last one month, especially from the date of notification of the increase in the prices of petroleum and petroleum products. If no such steps have been taken, what are the reasons therefor?

**ग्रब्यक्ष महोद<sup>प</sup>ः** सवाल तो छोटा ग्राना चाहिये, जिस<sup>का</sup> जवाब हो सके ।

श्री ग्रटल बिहारी वाजपेयी : जवाब लम्बा है।

ग्रब्धक महोदय : जवाब लम्बा है तो क्या इसके लिये सवाल भी लम्बा होता चाहिये ?

SHRI R. K. MHALGI: Does Government at all intend to take some measures in that behalf? Due to constant shortage of petrol, is it not necessary for a comprehensive energy policy to be drawn? If so, what steps are being taken by Government?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM, CHEMICALS AND FERTILISERS (SHRI P. C. SETHI): From time to time, Government and particularly, the Home Ministry have asked all the Ministeries concerned to take steps in this rgard. Similarly, all the public sector organizations have been asked to minimise the use of vehciles as much as they can.

SHRI R. K. MHALGI: What steps were taken during the last one month?

SHRI P. C. SETHI: It is very difficult to assess the situation in one month. People will be able to know it a little later. As far as price increase is concerned, after the price increase, particularly in 1972-73—and even recently-our assessment is that petroleum consumption, particularly motor spirit consumption has gone down. For example, when the steep price increase came in 1973-74, petrol consumption came down from 14.53.000 tonnes in 1970-71 to 12 lakhodd tonnes in 1975-76. It is a minus figure, Similarly, the growth rate of consumption is hardly 3 to 4 per cent.

SHRI R. K. MHALGI: The recent increase in price of petrol is the third during the last 14 months. Is it true that the first hike in June 1980 yielded Rs. 2,000 crores, the second one in June 1981 yielded something like Rs. 1100 crores and the latest hike would also bring about an amount of Rs. 1100 crores?

Is it not true that the decision of increasing the price of petrol was not a decision of the Minister of Petroleum, but of the Minister of Finance to fill up the budgetary deficit, bypassing Parliament?

SHRI P. C. SETHI: As far as the first question is concerned the, hon. Member is absolutely correct in saying that the price hike has been there three times; and the figures he has given, viz. Rs. 2,000 crores, Rs. 1,100 crores and Rs. 1100 crores are also broadly correct. As far as the second part of the question is concerned, it is a Cabinet decision and, therefore, a decision of the Government.

SHRI NIREN GHOSH: I would like to know why was such a Cabinet decision taken to bypass the Parliament which leads to escalation of prices all round and was not done through a usual budgetary way? Why are you, by this process, cheating the States of at least Rs. 400 to Rs. 500 crores? The point is that if they do it through a budgetary provision, they have to share it with tht States and that they are denying, In<sup>\*</sup> the formulation of the prices of petrol, etc. they resort to omnibus method. When the crude is taken out from the oil, it is done at a small price, whereas at the other end, they suddenly add to it Rs. 400 or Rs. 500 or Rs. 600 and the royalty to the States is also denied; the States are deceived and deprived of their royalty. Why have you resorted to this process depriving the States of their royalty in this way and bypassing the Parliament?

SHRI P. C. SETHI: Normally it is through a budgetary method that more revenues are collected. But this time it is frue that in increasing this price we had twin objectives. One is to mop up more money for a greater accelerateo oil production programme and a much more higher programme of expansion of Bombay and other off-shore areas. Secondly, as the hon, member said, it is partly to meet the budgetary deficit, that is to add revenue. As far as the other question is concerned, there was no intention of bypassing the Parliament. This is a normal practice that whenever price increase is done. . .

SHRI SUNIL MAITRA: I am sorry to say, Mr. Speaker, that in the Upper House the Finance Minister made a statement that this price hike had nothing to do with the budget. Now, in his reply, what he is saying is simply contradicting the Finance Minister.

## (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: No discussion. Why are you listening to them? I have not allowed it.

(Interruptions) \*\*

SHRI P. C. SETHI: As far as Gujarat and Assam States are concerned, their royalty has been recently raised from Rs. 42 tonne to Rs. 61 tonne, However, according to the Act, this royalty can be revised only once in four years. But the request of the Assam Government and the Gujarat Government is receiving the attention of the Prime Minister and the Finance Minister for increasing the royalty.

श्री रामलाल राही ! मंत्री महोदय ने बताया है कि पैट्रोल ग्रीर पैटोलियम उत्पाद के दाम तीन बार बढाए गए हैं। पैट्रोल और पट्रोलियम उत्पाद के भौग वढाने की प्रभाव ग्रौर तमाम चीजों के मूल्य पर पंडता है स्रोर मल्य-वर्दि होती हैं। क्या मंत्री महोदय यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि बाहर में आयातित तेल की पर-लिटर लागत पत्रां आने पर क्या है और जो तेल देश में उत्पादित होता है. उस की पर-लिटर लोगन क्या है? जो लागन है ग्रीर जो दाम बढाए गए हैं, उंभ को ब्यान में रखते हुए क्या मंत्री महोदय यह महसम नहीं करते हैं कि उन्हों ने दाम ज्यांदा बढा दिया है और बह ज्यादा प्रांफिट ले रहे हैं. जिस मे लोगों का अहित हो रहा है और इस-लिए क्या बह दाम अमे करने की प्रसा करेंगे ?

श्री प्रकाश चन्द्र सेठो : देण में उत्पादित तेल को कीमन पहले 324, 41 रुपए प्रति टन - ग्रान-गौर की थी ।

श्री रामलाल राहो ः मने पूछा है कि पर लिटर कीमत श्रायातित तल श्रीर ग्रिपने यहां उत्पादित तेल की क्या है ?

श्वी प्रकाश चन्द्र सेठोः मैं पर-टन कीमत बता रहा हूँ । पर-लिटर कीमत कैलकुलेट करनी पडेगी ।

आफ-शोर तेल की कीमत 458.50 रूपये प्रति टन थी। ग्रब दोनों की कीमत बढ़ा कर के झान-शोर और यूाफ शोर की 324.41 रुपये प्रति टन ग्रौर 458.50 रुपये प्रति टन के बजाय 1182 रुपये कर दी गई है जो कि भाषात किए हुए तेल की कीमत के समतल है ।

श्री शिव कुमार सिंह ठाकूर। में माननीय मंत्री जी से जानना चाहता हं कि पैटोलियम प्रोडक्टस के भाव जो बढ़े हैं वह विदेशों से माए हुए तेल के बढ़े हैं परन्तु हमारे देश में उत्पादित जो पैट्रोलियम प्रोडक्टस हैं उन के मान और बाहर से आए हुए पैट्रोलिमय प्रोडनट्स के भाव को किस प्रकार से आप समायोजित करते हैं और दूसरी बात में यह जानना चाहता हं कि ग्राप जब इस के भाव बढ़ाते हैं पैट्रोलियम प्रोडकट्स के तो सेल्स टैक्स जो कि स्टेट गवर्न मेंट्स कलेक्ट करती हैं वह भी बाटो मेंटिकली बढ जाता है, तो क्यों नहीं राज्यों को निर्देश दिए जाते हैं कि सेल्स टैबत की दरें वह कम करे ? . . . (क्यचधान). मेरा यही सवाल है कि पट्रोलियम प्रोडकटस की बेसिन कीमतें बढने से राज्यों की प्राय मचानक ही बढ जाती है जब कि केन्द्र की यह मंशा नहीं होती है, तो सेल्स टैक्स के सम्बन्ध में केन्द्रीय शासन उन को निर्देश क्यों नहीं देता ?

SHRI P. C. SETHI: Normally the levies of sales tax are levied ad valorem. Therefore, if there is an increase in the petroleum products, the State Governments do get part of the benefit.

## Setting up of Polyester Units in Backward Areas

\*223 SHRI KAMAL NATH: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM, CHEMI-CALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred question No. 5700 on 31-3-1981 regarding setting up of Polyester Units in backward areas and state:

(a) whether a final decision has since been taken regarding setting up of polyster Units in backward preas; and

(b) if so, details thereof;

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM, CHEMI-CALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI DALBIR SINGH); (a) and (b). Letters of Instent have been issued recently to the following State Government Undertakings for the manufacture of 15,000 tonnes/annum of Polyester Staple Fibre each:

(1) The Pradeshiya Industrial and Investment Corporation of U.P. Ltd.

(2) Industrial Promotion & Investment Corporation of Orissa Ltd.

(3) Madbya Pradesh Industries Corporation.

(4) Karnataka State Industrial Investment and Development Corporation Ltd.

Also, the Punjab State Industrial Development Corporation Ltd. has been allowed to increase the capacity of their proposed project for the manufacture of polyester staple fibre from 6000 tonnes/annum to 15,000 tonnes/ annum.

The Corporations have not yet indicated their choice of locations for the projects.

SHRI KAMAL NATH: As per your directions I will ask a short question, though I do wish you had given the directions tomorrow. It is indeed very good that for Polyester Fibre Letters of Intent have actually been given to the State Industrial Development Corporations. This is one item which is