[Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath]

reached a stage of some sort of maturrity and come before the House. The Select Committee at its sitting after the last session decided that, lest the labours of the Committee should become infructuous, the Government should be obliged, compelled—if it cannot be persuaded—to see to it and ensure that the Seeds Bill as reported by the Select Committee is taken up in this session and must be passed. You were pleased to give your consent also to the Select Committee going on tour all over India on the clear understanding-so were we told in the Committee-that the Seeds Bill would be taken up in this session and passed. Now I find from the list of business, tentative business . . .

Mr. Speaker: When the Minister makes the statement of business, he might ask for that.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It has not found a place even in the tentative list of business.

Mr. Speaker: Let the Minister make the statement of business. That is the proper occasion when it can be raised.

Shri Harl Vishnu Kamath: Will you permit me at that time?

Mr. Speaker: Yes.

Mr. Samanta.

## (ii) EVIDENCE

Shri S. C. Samanta: I lay on the Table a copy of the evidence given before the Select Committee on the Bill to provide for regulating the quality of certain seeds for sale, and for matters connected therewith, as passed by Rajya Sabha.

In this connection I would beg of you to put before the Government that all the members of the Select Committee were of the opinion that Government and the Speaker would be requested, so that this Bill is taken up during this session.

12.05 hrs.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE- contd.

The Minister of Parliamentary
Affairs and Communications (Shri
Satya Narayan Sinha): With your
permission, Sir, 1 rise to announce
that Government Business in this
House during the week commencing
7th November, 1966, will consist of:—

- Consideration of any item of Government Business carried over from today's Order Paper.
- (2) Consideration and passing of:
  - The Representation of the People (Amendment) Bill, 1966, as reported by the Joint Committee.
  - The Constitution (Twenty-first Amendment) Bill, 1966.
  - The Metal Corporation of India (Acquisition of Undertaking) Bill, 1966.
  - The Companies (Amendment) Bill, 1966.
  - The Employees State Insurance (Amendment) Bill, 1965.
- (3) Further consideration and passing of the Banaras Hindu University (Amendment) Bill, 1965, as passed by Rajya Sabha.
- (4) Consideration and passing of the Jawaharlal Nehru University Bill, 1965, as passed by Rajya Sabha.
- (5) Discussion and voting on:
  - Supplementary Demands for Grants (General) for 1966-67.

Demands for Excess Grants (General) for 1963-64

Mr. Speaker: Both Shri Kamath and Shri S. C. Samanta have suggested that the Seeds Bill may be taken up during this session.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): This is the first of the four weekly statements that he will make in this session. I find that the Seeds Bill does not find a place in the business for next week. But I would invite your attention to the Bulletin-Part II issued on the eve of the session where 27 Bills have been listed as the tentative list of business for this session, but neither the Seeds Bill which is now becoming seedy-and I think it will go to seed very shortly, unless you, Sir, step in and intervene,-nor the Patents Bill over which the hon. Minister flared up the other day needlessly, nor the Judges (Inquiry) Bill which goes back to 1964 and on which the Joint Committee had laboured hard for the last so many months, has been placed in the list of business for this session. I hope you will be able to persuade, if not compel, him to include these Bills in the business for this session.

The next point is that . . .

Mr. Speaker: Only points need be mentioned.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I am mentioning just points only and nothing else.

I reminded you last session, and even before that during the budget session also, of a part-discussed annual report of the Central Vigilance Commission, first discussed, last November and left hanging in mid-air half-way through. Nothing has been heard of it since then, and corrupt men have been included in the Puniab Cabinet...

Shri D. C. Sharma (Gurdaspur): No. no.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The last point that I would like to make with the deepest deference to you is a point or issue which I have been raising for the last, I believe, two years or so; and you have been good enough to accord very sympathetic consideration to that issue. I raised it last session and last year, again during the last budget session and once again in the monsoon session of this year, and now again in this winter session,

I would crave your indulgence for a couple of minutes in regard to that. That, Sir, is the issue pertaining to the Demands for Grants on account of . . .

B.O.H.

Mr. Speaker: That cannot be raised now.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I have been raising it only on Fridays, and every time you have permitted me to raise it. And I have written to you also, and according to your instruction, I am...

Mr. Speaker: I know that. He has been raising it, and I have also been pursuing it. But this is not the time when it can be raised.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: But you have permitted me in the past to raise it on similar occasions. There is, therefore, a precedent here, and you cannot suddenly override precedent; I am sorry to say that; every Friday, you have permitted me in the past to raise it. It would not take even half a minute to mention Unless article 113, I believe. has become defunct or functus articulo-I do not know whether to say functus officio or functus articulothis article must be implemented. Just as the Demands for Grants on account of Lok Sabha are being scrutinised by a three-man committee of this House that article imposes an obligation upon the House-we are not anxious to assume a privilege to ourselves-a constitutional obligation upon this House to scrutinise all the Demands, including the Demands on account of Rajya Sabha. I wrote to you during the interregnum, and you were kind enough to assure me that you were pursuing the matter with the Chairman of Rajya Sabha. There is nothing personal against either the Chairman or against the Raiva Sabha even. This is a constitutional issue, and I hope you will solve this issue, during your tenure; you have solved so many other problems, and you have given very wise rulings in your time, and I hope that during your tenure of office you will solve this issue also which has become needlessly thorny and need-

[Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath] lessly complicated, by the attitude of the other House or the other place; I do not know why they stand in the way. There is nothing against the Chairman. Why should they be so sensitive and so touchy about it?

Mr. Speaker: No speeches should be made now.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Not you, I said 'the other House' and not 'you'. The other House and the Chairman is toucy about it. Why?

Mr. Speaker: He should finish now. Shri Bagri.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I hope that during your tenure of this office you will see that we arrive at a satisfactory solution of this.

श्री बागड़ी (हिसार): मैं भारत के क्षेत्रफल का सवाल उठाना हुं। पिछले ग्रिधिवेशन से पहले बाले अधिवेशन में श्राखिरी वक्त पर यह कह कर इस सवाल को समाप्त कर दिया गया था कि ग्राने वाले ग्रधिवेशन के ग्रन्दर इसको सब से पहले लिया जाएगा, इस पर सबसे पहले चर्चा की जाएगी। लेकिन पुरा सत्र चलता रहा, पिछला अधिवेशन शरू हुआ भीर खत्म भी हो गया लेकिन इस प्रश्न को नहीं लिया गया। इनको लेकर बहन भारी भ्राणंका देश में विद्यमान है। देश के घन्दर ग्राशंका पैदा हो रही है कि यह भारतीय भीम कहां भीर कैसे चली गई है। क्या कारण है कि सरकार इसके अपर लोक सभा में चर्चानहीं करती है ग्रीर लोक सभा में इसका स्पष्टीकरण नहीं किया जाता है। देश की अखण्डना पर इसकी वजह से बड़ाखतरा पैदा हो रहा है। मैं चाहता ह कि इस पर चर्चा करने के लिए समय दिया जाए ।

इसके ग्रलावा में यह कहना चाहता हूं कि कल जो बिजिनेप एडवाइजरी कमेटी

की रपट रखी गई थी, जिसमें कहा गया था कि क्वेश्चन ग्रावर के बाद ग्राध घंटे से ज्यादाचर्चान हो . . .

ग्रभ्यक्ष सहोदय : वह ग्रभी बाद में श्रावेगी ।

श्री बागडी: मैं उसकी तरफ पहले ही इशारा कर देना चाहता हं ताकि वह खद ही उसकी वापस ले लें, ताकि झगड़(न बढ़े। वह एक सलत बात होगी। भ्रगर उस को वापस नहीं लिया जायेगा तो श्री सत्य नारायण सिंह श्रपने पैरों पर खुद कुल्हाड़ी मारेंगे।

श्री प्रकाशबीर शास्त्री (विजनीर) : ष्रध्यक्ष महोदय, चौथी लोकसभा के चनाव से पहले यह संसद् का ग्राखिरी ग्रधिवेशन है। इसलिए यह उचित भीर मावश्यक है कि कुछ राष्ट्रीय महत्व के प्रश्नों को इस प्रन्तिम ग्रधिवेशन में मध्य रूप से लिया जाना चाहिए । विजेष रूप से जैसे विद्यार्थियों ग्रीर ग्रध्यापकों का प्रश्न है । ग्रापने ग्रविश्वास प्रस्ताव के साथ ग्रध्यापकों ग्रीर विद्यार्थियों के प्रश्न को जोड़ कर यह चाहा है कि उस प्रश्न के महत्व को घटा दिया जाये। मैं चाहता हं कि सरकार भ्रध्यापकों ग्रीर विद्यार्थियों के प्रश्न को पथक रूप से ले ग्रीर उस पर स्वतन्त्र रूप से बहम रखी जाये।

भाप को स्मरण होगा कि पिछले ग्रधि-वेशन में सीमा सूरक्षा से सम्बन्धित बहस ग्रध्री रह गई थी। उस समय यह भ्राश्वासन विया गया था कि उसको इस अधिवेशन में लिया जायेगा । लेकिन संसद-कार्य मन्त्री के वक्तव्य से ऐसा प्रतीत होता है कि सरकार सीमा सुरक्षा के प्रश्न को महत्व नहीं देना चाहती है। मैं चाहता हूं कि सीमा सूरक्षा स म्ट. ध. एक इधरी दहस को इस इ.धिवेश में ले लिया जाये।

गौरक्षा के सम्बन्ध में हम शाम को श्री नन्दा का वक्तव्य सुनेंगे। प्रगर उसमें कोई प्राशाजनक वातें होंगी, तो हमें कोई शिकायत नहीं होंगी, ग्रन्थथा हम चाहेंगे कि इस राष्ट्रीय महत्व के प्रश्न पर चर्चा हो।

## भ्रम्यका महोदय : श्री वारियर ।

श्री मखु लिमयें (मुंगेर) : ब्रघ्यक महोदय, क्यां प्राप मेरी तरफ घ्यान नहीं देंगे ? मैं शुरू से खड़ा हूं । भाखिर भ्राप मेरे ऊपर इतने नाराक क्यों हैं ?

म्रष्य**क महोदय**ः मैंने म्नापके लीडर को बुलाया है ।

भी, सब् सिमबे : इस में दल की कोई बात नहीं है।

ग्राध्यक्ष महोदयः हर एक दल को ग्रपना ग्रपना स्पोक्समैन बना देना चाहिए ।

मैं एक-एक दल के छः-छः सदस्यों को नहीं बुला सकता हूं।

श्री मधु लिमये : हर एक सदस्य मुझाव देसकता है। श्राप ने पहले कई दफा ऐसा कहा है।

Shri Warior (Trichur): Even now Government have not come to a decision regarding the Patents Bill. We in the Joint Committee of all shades of opinion know what happened in the Committee for the last few months. We know how much interest has been taken by up foreign interests from New York down to Delhi. Lakhs of rupees have spent on investigation of the matter, on the examination, and by evidence taken and other work. Everything is coming to a futile end now.

So Government must take a decision on the Bill. This Bill has come after another Bill which got lapsed in 1954. I want to know from Government whether they take it as an important measure, and whether they would give us a firm promise that it will be taken up and disposed of during this session itself.

Shri Ranga (Chittoor): The Administrative Reforms Commission has submitted its interim report. Along with it, it has also favoured us with a draft Bill that would make it easy for Government to come to some decision and also to implement it introducing that Bill here with whatever modifications they deem and getting it passed this session. I would like to know the intentions of Government in this matter. have had enough notice of the recommendations of that Commission, and after having looked into it themselves a little more carefully than we called, they have deemed it fit to publish it and also lay it on the Table and make it available to us.

So I ask whether they would introduce that Bill as it is or as amended as they would like during the course of this session and whether it is their purpose to try and get it passed during this session so that when we go to the polls, the attitude of the Government as well as the Opposition would be known to the electorate in regard to the behaviour or misbehaviour of Ministers and others below them.

श्री मबु लिसये: ग्रध्यक्ष महोद ापने शिक्षा भ्रायोग की रपट के सम्बन्ध में । एक प्रस्ताव स्वीकृत किया है। कोठारी क ान ने छः सात सौ सफहों की भ्रपनी रपट पेश की है। श्राज समृषे देश में छात्रों का भ्र दोलन चल रहा है। इसलिए श्राप की मार्फत सदननेता से मेरी विनती है कि वह इस प्रस्ताव को तुरन्त चर्चा के लिये ले लें।

मेरे नेता ने भी कहा है और मैं भी क्षेत्रफल सम्बन्धी प्रस्ताव हैं के बारे में निवेदन करना चाहता हूं। बजट सेणन के मन्त में मापने यह

[श्रीमधुलिमये]

निर्णय दिया कि प्रमले सत्त में, प्रथात् बरसाती सत्त में, उस को तुरन्त लिया जायेगा। उस को बरसाती सत्त में भी नहीं लिया गया। प्रमर प्रध्यक्ष के शब्द का इस तरह प्रनादर होगा, तो क्या ग्राप इस को बर्दाश्त करेंगे? में ग्राप का ध्यान नियम 189 ग्रीर 190 की ग्रोर खींचना चाहता हूं। नियम 189 इस प्रकार है:

"If the Speaker admits notice of a motion and no date is fixed for the discussion of such motion, it shall be immediately notified in the Bulletin with the heading: "No-Day-Yet Named Motions."

## नियम 190 इस प्रकार है:

"The Speaker may, after considering the state of business in the House and in consultation with the Leader of the House, allot a day or days or part of a day for the discussion of any such motion."

मैं प्रजं करना चाहता हूं कि प्राप सदन-नेता से केवल सलाह-मध्वरा कर के यह रख सकते हैं। मैं निवेदन करूंगा कि प्राप को पूरा प्रधिकार है प्रौर प्राप प्रपने शब्द को कार्यान्वित करने के लिए इस का इस्तेमाल करें।

Shri Sivamurthi Swamy (Koppal): It seems pressure tactics and violent activities are the rule of the day with this Congress Government. So, may I know from you whether at any time this Government is going to discuss the inter-State water disputes which I have been bringing to your notice so many times.

Secondly, this Government has appointed an one-man commission to go into the Mysore-Maharashtra border question without fixing any terms of reference, without giving any mandate or indication of national policy to that Commission. This is very important.

It is necessary to have a national policy on a village basis or any other basis. Both Mysore and Maharashtra Governments have failed to fix the terms of reference, and the Central Government also have not given any terms of reference. On that again between the States very bad feelings will spread out.

So, I want to know if they want to solve it only with violent activity. That is also what the people are thinking about it, this is the impression throughout the country. So, I request them to consider this.

Shri U. M. Trivedi (Mandsaur): For some time past this has been noticed, and it is easily noticeable, that select committees and joint committees are appointed, the time of the Members is wasted and a lot of expenditure is incurred, with the net result that at the end, after six months, eight months, one year or two years, all the efforts come to naught. I draw your attento the act that the report on the Patents Bill has already been submitted. Anxiety has shown by several Members that this Bill must come before the House now, but there is absolutely no mention about the Patents Bill in business disclosed for the next week.

This has happened too often. You know on the Christian Marriage Bill also we wasted two years taking evidence, coming to a decision, formulating the clauses and submitting the report on the clauses, but it has been shelved. I think the Government must make up their mind whether they will pursue a particular Bill that has been placed before the House or not pursue it. Otherwise, it is waste of time, waste of money, waste of energy, and then it creates unnecessary feelings against the Government for nothing.

So. I think that the Patents Bill at least, since it has already been reported upon by the Joint Committee, ought to have been included.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi (Jodhpur): I only want to reinforce the request that has already been made for finding time for discussing the interim report of

the Administrative Reforms Commission which I think is of great importance to the country and we should not adjourn without discussing that report.

प्रध्यक्ष महोबयः मुझ से श्री मधु लिमये यह झगड़ा करने लग गए थे कि यह हर एक मेम्बर का हक है। हक तो मैं किसी का छीनना नहीं चाहता। लेकिन यहां ग्राट-दस ग्रुप हैं। जब मैं एक को शुरू करता हूं तो ग्राट दस को बुलाना पड़ता है। लेकिन जब एक ही ग्रुप से दो-दो तीन-तीन बोलें तो बहुत मुश्किल हैं। जायेगी, बहुत ज्यादा वक्त लग जायगा।

श्री बड़ें (खारगोन): मेरे को भी देना था, लेकिन भ्रापने नहीं दिया, तो मैं नहीं बोला ।

राष्ट्रयक्ष महोदयः मैं ग्राप का तो जिक नहीं कर रहा हूं।

तो मैं ब्राप से दरख्वास्त करूंगा कि जो बात कहनी हो वह एक एक मेम्बर कह दे तो मख्तसर हो जाय और बात भी जल्दी हो जाय और दूसरे एक चीज ग्रगर कही जाय तो उसको दोहराने की जरूरत नहीं है क्योंकि यह तो नोटिस में लाना है और जवाब लेना है कि यह मामला लिया जाय । एक बार एक बात कह दी जाय तो उस को दोहराने की जरूरत नहीं है।

Shri Vasudevan Nair (Ambalapuzha): On the floor of the House, individual Members may feel that different subjects should be taken up. It may not be very practical.

श्री मशु लिस्ये : लेकिन एक बात मैं कहना चाहता हूं। जो व्यक्तिगत सदस्यों के प्रस्ताव हैं क्या उन के बारे में उन को कहने की इजाजत नहीं हैं?

धान्यक्ष महोदय : जो कुछ कहना है एक सेम्बर कह दे। 1859 (Ai) LSD—9. श्री मध्यु लिमये : व्यक्तिगत जो प्रस्ताव होते हैं उन के बारे में तो कहने का उन को भक्तियार है।

Shri Satya Narayan Sinha: At the outset I would like to make it clear that the Government is as anxious as the Members of the Opposition find time for the Seeds Bill and the Patents Bill. I can give an assurance to the House through you, Sir, somehow or the other we must find time for these two Bills. My friend Mr. Kamath has mentioned the Select Committee's report on the Seeds Bill and he asked why no mention was made in the Bulletin. It is so because by that time the Select Committee had not submitted its report. We shall, with the co-operation of our friends opposite particularly, try to find time for these two Bills. We must understand this is practically the last session. This is the penaltimate session. This is the last session if we do not take into consideration the lame duck session. Of course the consensus, if I can use that word today, in the House is that because the elections are coming very soon.....

Shri Vasudevan Nair: Do not worry about the elections.

Shri Satya Narayan Sinha: You are a brave man and you may not worr**y** but many weaker people like us do worry about elections. Therefore, we are thinking of not extending session beyond the scheduled time, 2nd December. The other alternative which we have chosen and which the House has accepted is that we shall sit late if necessary to push through these two Bills. If we have to sit even later, we shall try to do so but it may not be possible to extend the session.

Shri Hari Vishnu Khamath: Judges Enquiry Bill?

Shri Satya Narayan Sinha: I am now saying about these two Bills. I shall also consider the Judges Enquiry Bill. We have said repeatedly that we shall try to find time for the

Patents and Seeds Bill and we shall make our best efforts in co-operation with the Members opposite .. (Interruptions.)

B.O.H.

Shri Indrajit Gupta (Calcutta South-West): If we have to find time for these two Bils to be passed, should not they be given higher priority in the list of business?

Shri Satya Narayan Sinha: We have put on the agenda items of business which we have to push through; otherwise Government will be at a standsti'l. Because of want of time, we have dropped many important Bills and some of our Cabinet Colleagues were feeling strongly about these Bills but we cannot help it. If the Members care to go through agenda, these items are an absolute must.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy (Kendrapara): The Nehru University Bill may wait for three months.

Shri Satya Narayan Sinha: The Banaras Hindu University Bill is also hanging fire. In the Rajya Sabha it has been passed, but what else do ('nterruption) I have they want? said that we shall make all efforts to have the Patents Bill and the Seeds Bill passed. What more assurance do you want? You want that they should be taken up today and finished today? (Interruption).

Shri Surendranath Dwivedi: assurance that we want is that you must give priority to these two Bills, over bills relating to the universities.

Shri Satya Narayan Sinha: We shall not allow these two bills to lapse. Then about student unrest and other things, you have already said that these things could be covered by the no-confidence motion that is being now discussed. If you find that suffi-cient discussion has not taken place about these subjects with regard to unrest and so on, it will be for you to-

decide whether you will allot a further special time additional time, for them.

श्री मध लिमये : एजकेशन कमीशन, शिक्षा भ्रायोग की 700 पेज की रिपोर्ट है. उस के बारे में कब ग्रायेगा?

श्री सत्य नारायण सिन्हा : ग्रव मझे कह लेने दीजिए।

सवाल यह है कि क्यों नहीं हम्रा उसकी मैं इस वक्त नहीं बताना चाहता ह कि क्यों लेजिस्लेशन कम हम्रा.इस को हर एक म्रादमी जानता है कि पिछले सेशन में क्यों नहीं कर सके।

श्री मध निभये : ग्रापकी ग्रयोग्यता के कारण । इस का लांछन इधर न लगाइए।

श्री एत्य नारायण सिन्हाः मैंने किसी का नाम नहीं लिया । लेकिन श्रंग्रेजी में एक कहावत है, टुसम पीपुल दि कैप फिट्स ।

श्री मध लिम्पे : टोपी ग्राप के सिर पर है। यह बिलकुल भ्रापकी ही भ्रयोग्यता के कारण हुआ है।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : ग्रब ग्राप बोलते ही म रहिए ।

श्री मत्य नारायण सिन्हा : मैं गलत बादा नहीं कर सकता। इस सेशन में यह रिपोर्ट नहीं ह्या सकती है। इतनी बड़ी रिपोर्ट है, हम ने कंसन्डं मिनिस्टर से भी पूछा, वह भी कहते हैं कि इस में टाइम लगेगा। ... (व्यववान) जो बात है वह मैं कहता हं, श्राप उसको मानिए यान मानिए।

बाटर डिसप्यट भीर उसके बारे में भी कहा गया

I would like to say the same thing which I have said about the other matters: that you cannot have a very high priority in this session of four weeks.

सीमा सुरक्षा वाले बारे में कहा उन्होंने, बह स्टेटमेंट है। एरिया वाला स्टेटमेंट कर बुके हैं, बागड़ी साहब ने सवाल उठाया है। उस का कुछ स्टेटमेंट उन्होंने किया भी है। भगर उस को भ्रीर कुछ मुनासिब समझेंगे कहना तो मैं उन से दरख्वास्त करूंगा कि वह उसे बता दें।.... (व्यवधान)

श्री बागड़ी: जब ग्रध्यक्ष ने एलान किया है कि नुरन्त इस पर चर्चा चलेगी ग्रीर इसके ऊपर सदन विचार करेगा तो वह होना चाहिए बयान कोई माने नहीं रखता।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : मैंने क्या कहा है मैं देख लूंगा।

श्री यशपाल सिंह (कैराना): विजिलेंस है कमेटी के बारे में ?

श्री सत्य नारायण सिन्हा : विजिलेंस कमीशन की रिपोर्ट का पार्ट खर्ड रह गया है। उस को सब से प्रायरिटी देंगे। . . (श्यववःन) . . . . ऐडिमिनिस्ट्रेटिव रिफार्म्स के बारे में

A draft Bill has been sent round to all the Chief Ministers; they are being contacted.

श्री बागड़ी : अध्यक्ष महोदय : क्षेत्रकल के बारे में जो संसदीय मंत्री ने कहा है वही मैं कह रहा हूं, जो वचन आपका सदन के अन्दर दिया हुआ है उस के बारे में भी मंत्री महोदय इतना अज्ञानपूर्ण जवाब दे रहे हैं . . .

ग्राप्यक्ष महोदयः मैंने कहा कि मैं भी उस को देख लेता हं।

Shri Kamath has raised a point and he wants an explanation from me.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Not explanation, but clarification.

Mr. Speaker: He wanted to know why it has taken so long, and what has happened. It is an explanation. I owe an explanation. There is no harm in that. I had had consultations

with the Chairman of the Rajya Sabha and he feels that Rajya Sabha is not prepared to have their accounts examined by a Committee composed solely of Members of this House. If this House can agree to a Joint Committee of both the Houses, then both accounts might be examined.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: That is what he says.

Mr. Speaker: Yes; Now, if the Leader of the House takes it up, then probably he might persuade his own people there, because the Chairman called some Members of the Rajya Sabha and they could not agree. So, we had made a request to the Leader of the House last time also and I will repeat that it is a question which probably can be settled through him and not through me. So, I will ask him.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I will only submit, Sir, in all humility, that what the Chairman says is, unfortunately, in conflict with the provisions of the Constitution. I hope you will agree with me, Sir, there.

Mr. Speaker: Probably, the hon. Member would remember, when he had made the appeal to the Leader of the House that he should get it settled, when he has got the majority in both the Houses, I had said the settlement should be in conformity with the Constitution. I had said that and I stick to that.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It is almost like saying that the Home Minister does not want his Demands to be discussed here. I, therefore, submit it is nothing personal against Rajya Sabha or the Chairman, it is an obligation under the Constitution. Let them amend the Constitution if they want to. Virtually this is what it means.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee (Calcutta Central): Sir, this matter has been hanging fire for a long time. A very positive constitutional obligation is imposed. Politically speaking. . . . (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Sir, I was submitting that a very positive constitutional obligation is involved and has been hanging fire for many months. This is a matter in which political commonsense might be injected by the Leader of the House to the party members of either House. That is a different matter. As far as the constitunal question is concerned, we can not leave it to the tender mercies of a political leader who is finding his own following rather intransigent on an obvious constitutional issue. fore, I want to know, as a Member of this House, and you also, pre-emenently, do want to know, as Speaker of Lok Sabha, why when here is a constitutional obligation incumbent on Lok Sabha, to which we are ready even to turn a blind eye to a certain extent, for months over months this matter is hanging fire because the political commonsense settlement by these gentlemen over there is not forthcoming because they have no control over the party. There is complete anarchy chaos in that organisation. Therefore, when constitutional gations are involved you have to put your foot dwn. Somebody has to do it, or let the Supreme Court be asked to do something about it.

Shri Sheo Narain (Bansi): Sir, this is a question which concerns about ten crores of people of this country. This is a very important Bill, the Bill for the revision of the lists of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.

Mr. Speaker: What is he referring to? That is a different matter altogether.

I have said that I have made an appeal to the Leader of the Opposition. If that last effort also fails them this House is sovereign to take any action under the provisions of the Constitution.

Shri Nath Pai (Rajapur): We are sovereign to dissolve the Rajya Sabha.

Mr. Speaker: I am not saying that. I am only saying that this House is sovereign and it can decide what course of action it should take in conformity with the provisions of the Constitution.

12.33 hrs.

RE: MOTIONS ON FIFTIETH
REPORT OF THE BUSINESS
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Mr. Speaker: Now, I have just entered on the List of Business items 11 and 12. Before are taken up 1 would the leaders of the groups and the Leaders of the House to sit together just to see if some understanding and agreement canbe reached, because if it is taken up immediately here and then again some divergence arise probably it might make matters more difficult. Therefore, I would request, if it is acceptable, that the leaders of the groups might sit with the Leader of the House and they might just try to come to some settlement.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): And with you, Sir, because you are also concerned.

Mr. Speaker: I am prepared. I have no objection.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy (Kendrapara): May I humbly submit, Sir, that we visualised that some such proposal might come from you and, therefore, we had given sufficient noyesterday, immediately, at 3.00 p.m. We saw to it that letters were addressed to you and to the Leader of the House. I am not disclosing any secret if I say that the Leader of the House was good enough at least to call me in the evening about the same matter. He suggested that before the House meets there should be or there will be a meeting, probably convened by you, so that the leaders of all parties may meet and decide this matter. Nothing has been done. Coming