the States boundaries and the other where hill areas formed a part of the State. In the case of the former the States! Union Territories would be requested to incorporate the special problems of hill development in their plans and in the case of the latter they would be requested to draw a sub-plan for the development of hill areas which should be identified.

- (vii) For the development of hill areas, there would be a long-term fifteen year plan with a macro-objective along with Five Year Plans. Overriding priorities would be given to communications. Other important programmes are soil conservation, watershed management, hill areas research, animal husbandry, training of technical personnel and surveys.
- (viii) It would be desirable to create a deputation reserve in Central cadres of various services for long-term deputation in hill areas. The exact details about these Services would be worked out after the Working Group Report became aavilable and had been considered by the Seminar.
 - (ix) The Planning Commission, in consultation with the Ministry of Food and Agriculture, would work out a programme for surveys and training of personnel required for these areas.
- (c) No, Sir. The Committee has accepted as Hill Areas the areas classified as such in the Census of India Report.

12.01 hrs.

QUESTION OF PRIVILEGE

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): Sir. I move a motion of privilege against the newspapers, The Hindu and the Indian Nation who gave the version of Shri Nanda's speech which he had delivered in Arrah and referred to the Bharat Sevak Samaj and said something about the Public Accounts Committee. They did not realise that later on Shri Nanda contradicted it and said that he had not said like that. Therefore, I move privilege motion against the two newspapers, and I would like to know the position.

Mr. Speaker: We had sent that notice to the newspapers concerned, the Indian Nation and The Hindu. (Interruption): We had asked them if they had to say anything in this respect. We did get a very prompt reply from The Hindu, which has expressed regret. It says:

"The original report of Mr. Nanda's speech in Arrah was received by us from the United Press of India and published in the usual course. In the light of Mr. Nanda's denial of any reference to the PAC report on BSS, we have already asked the news agency concerned as to how the wrong report was issued by it to its newspaper subscribers. Meanwhile, we have published the Minister's contradiction and can only express regret for having, inadvertently but bona fide, attributed to the Minister remarks which, it transpires, he never made. We would also ask you to convey to the Hon. Speaker our assurance that it was not our intention to commit a breach of privilege of the Lok Sabha or its Public Accounts Committee."

I think that regret is sufficient so far as this is concerned. (Interruption). Let me finish. So far as the second one is concerned—the Indian Nation—

MAY 6, 1965

[Mr. Speaker]

we wrote a letter to the Indian Nation on the 23rd April, 1965. But he has not shown even the courtesy of acknowledging the letter or sending any reply to us. Under these circumstances, I think would like to refer this matter to the Privileges Committee so far as this is concerned.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): Both.

Mr. Speaker: The Indian Nation case would be referred to the Privileges Committee.

Several hon. Members: Yes.

Shri J. P. Jyotishi (Sagar): about the agency that distributes such news? (Interruption).

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: point of clarification, Sir. I have got the copy of the Hindu of that date with me here, and the observations made by the hon. Minister as reported. It is not said that "the Minister said" and all that. What he said is reported in quotes. It is quotation marks. I am sorry to say that some Ministers are in the habit of contradicting the reports made in newspapers when they are in a tight corner or something like that. So, the House would like to know what exactly the hon. Minister said. Let us have the correct version of what he said there.

Mr. Speaker: That has been contradicted in the papers. We are not concerned with it.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It is very wrong, Sir. It is bad for democracy. (Interruption) Let us hear the Home Minister; he is present here.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: What is this "Order, Order"?

Mr. Speaker: It is very strange, Mr. Kamath.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: mutual; I am also feeling very disturbed.

Mr. Speaker: Whenever I am on my legs, can't I call the member to order and he might sit down?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I was on my legs and I could not sit down. immediately, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: When did I commit the fault, just when I said "order, order"?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I only said this matter cannot be settled by calling me to order, because it is a serious matter:

Mr. Speaker: Rather he ridiculed that remark of mine. That way of ridiculing is not good.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It is not ridiculing, Sir. If you think I am ridiculing, I am sorry.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee (Calcutta Central): I wish to ask your direction in this regard, because the House is in possession of what was reported in the Hindu of a particular date. On another date, that paper printed contradiction by the Home Minister. of which the House has no notice. We have taken no cognizance of it. We do not know in what terms, in what manner, he contradicted the previous report and how far that contradiction went in order to purge the report of any possibility of contempt of this House. Therefore, is it not desirable that the contradiction also is made available to you and therefore to the House, so that can tell us a little more about the nature of the contradiction and explain to us that in view of the contradiction and expression of apo-logy by the paper concerned, no further steps should be taken? wise, when the other matter is being referred to the Committee of Privileges, the committee might look into this matter also and give a line-clear as far as the Home Minister and the paper are concerned.

भी मधु लिमये (मुंगेर) : ग्रह्यक्ष महोदय, इसी प्रश्न पर मैं ने भी एक विशेषा-धिकारी का प्रश्न उठाने की इजाजत भ्राप से मांगी थी ग्रीर नन्दाजी को लिखे गए पवाकी नक्ल ग्राप के पास भी भेजी थी। मैं ने उन को कहा था कि ग्रगर उन के भाषण की रपट सही है, तो उन के खिलाफ़, भीर ग्रगर वह सही नहीं है, तो उस मखबार के खिलाफ़ में विशेषाधिकार का प्रश्न उठाना चाहता हं। नन्दा जी ने मुझ को एक पन्न द्वारा सुचित किया कि मैं खद जवाब देने जा रहा हं भौर दूसरे दिन उन्हों ने जवाब भी दिया । साथ साथ उन्हों ने जो प्रतिवाद किया था, उस की भी नक्ल उन्हों ने मेरे पास भेजी।

ग्रभी ग्राप ने कहा है कि हिन्द ग्रखबार के द्वारा आप को यह सूचना मिली है कि एक एजेन्सी की रिपोर्ट के म्राधार पर उस ने यह छापा है । मैं चाहता हं कि उस एजेन्सी से भी पूछा जाये ग्रीर, जैसा कि श्री मकर्जी ने कहा है, नन्दा जी का प्रतिवाद भी सदन के सामने रखा जाये. ताकि सदन उस के बारे में भ्रपना निर्णय कर सके कि वह प्रतिवाद सही है या नहीं।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : माननीय सदस्य का नोटिस भाषा था, लेकिन वह पांच छः रोज बाद में ग्राया । माननीय सदस्य ने उस में यह भी लिखा था कि देर इसलिए हई है कि वह प्रखबार लेने की कोशिश करते रहे श्रौर उन को पता चला कि मेरा सेकेटरी ग्रखबार ने ग्राया है। मेरा सेकेटरी किसी प्राईवेट काम के लिए धराबार नहीं लाया था । चंकि ये नोटिस मेरे पास ग्राए थे. इसलिए ये ग्रखबार उन के साथ लगाए गए थे। लेकिन उस से भी यह साबित होता है कि माननीय सदस्य का नोटिस देर से पहुंचा, जबकि वह फर्स्ट ग्रापर्ट्निटी पर

ब्राना चाहिए था । दूसरे नोटिस पहले मा गए थे।

13496

जहां तक माननीय सदस्य की इस ख्वाहिश का ताल्लुक है कि चुंकि भ्रख्नबार ने कहा है कि हमें न्यज एजेन्सी ने रिपोर्ट दी है, इसलिए न्युज एजेन्सी से पुछा जाये भीर उस मामले को भी कमेरी को भेजा जाये, मैं समझता हं कि ग्राज तक कभी ऐसानहीं किया गयाकि द्वागर किसी ने रिग्रेट एक्सर्प्रैस कर दिशा, तो फिर भी उस मामले को परमुकिया गया।

श्रीमध् लिमये: मैं हिन्द के बारे में नहीं कहरहा है। मैं न्थ्ज एजेन्सी के बारे में कहरहा है।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदयः जब कोई रिग्रेट एक्सप्रेस कर देता है, तो ग्राम सर्कमस्टांसिज में उसको मन्जुर कर लिया जाता है। मैं समझातं कि इस बारे में और ज्यादा कछ करने की जरूरता नहीं है। जहां तक दसरे श्रखवार का ताल्ल कहै, वह मामला कमेटी के पास भेजा जा एहा है। अमेटी सब बातें देखकर रिपोर्टकरेगी ग्रीट फिर हाइस की मर्जी होगी कि वह उसके बारे में जो चाहे फैसला करे।

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: May I entreat you, Sir, with the deepest deference to your judgment and wisdom, whether in a case like this, because it would be a precedent for the future, the minister, when he contradicts a report emanating from a well-established paper in the country. should not tell the House and you, Sir, what exactly he said on that occasion, in the interests of democracy and proper functioning of the parliamentary process?

मध्यक्ष महोदय : उन्होंने कहा था

श्री हिर विच्या कामतः किन्हों ने ?

13497

श्राध्यक्ष महोदय : मंत्री महोदय ने ।

उन्होंने कहाथा कि मैं ने पी० ए० सी० की बाबन कोई रेफ़ेंस नहीं दिया है।

श्री किशन पटनायक (सम्बलपुर) मैने शाप से इजाजत मांगी थी .

अध्यक्ष महोदय : हर रोज ऐसा होता है। जब क्षेत्रवन स्रावर हो रहा होता है स्रीर मप्लीमेंटरी पूछे जा रहे होते हैं उस वक्त मुझे दो तीन चिट्ठियां मिलती हैं । उस वक्त में न उन को देख सकताह ग्रीर न ही पड़ सकता हूं। इस बात से आराप भी मुझ में सहमत होंगे। भ्रगर उस वक्त कोई चिट्ठी आए तो मेरे पास कहा समय होता है कि मैं उस को देख ग्रौर पढ़ सक ग्रीर उस पर श्रमल कर सक्। जब क्वंइचन हो रहे थे उस वक्त बीच में चिट्ठी ब्राई थी। मैं उस को देख लगा और जो भ्रमल होगा, उस की ब्राप को इतिला दे दंगा।

Mr. Speaker: Papers to be laid on the Table-The Finance Minister-

The Minister of Finance (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari): Sir, I beg to lay on the Table. . . (In:erruptions).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. The hon. Minister may resume his seat.

भी मध् लिमवे (मुंगेर) : मैं शास्त्री जी से आराप की मार्फत क्या एक प्रश्न पूछ सकता हं ?

भध्यक्ष महोदय: जब तक में ब्राइ-डेंटांफाई न करूं तब तक कोई न बाले । श्रगर बालेंगे तो वह रिकार्ड में नही जाएगा।

श्री मध् लिमये : एक प्रश्न पूछ सकता **ਛ**ਂ ?

. **मध्यक्ष महोदय**ः मैं इजाजत नहीं दं रहा है।

RE: MOTION FOR ADJOURNMENT (Querry)

Shri H. N. Mukerjee (Calcutta Central): Sir, we have given notice of an adjournment motion.

Mr. Speaker: I have not given my consent to that.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Sir, the Prime Minister is here. I do not know if he is going to make a statement. There are certain reports in the papers.

Mr. Speaker: That is for him to decide.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): Sir, it is a serious matter.

Shri H. N. Mukerice: Sir could we not find out from you the reason why you have been pleased to disallow our adjournment motion?

Mr. Speaker: That he need not ask me now. Every day he knows. . .

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Why does not the Prime Minister make a statement.

Shri Hem Barua (Gauhati): Sir. since you have allowed Shri Mukerjee. . . .

Mr. Speaker: I have not given him any apportunity to raise it.

Some hon. Members rose-

ग्राप्यक्ष महोदय: ग्राप बैठ जायें। देम बरुग्रासाहब भी बैठ जायें।

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): Sir, after I had made my statement yesterday some questions were raised and it seemed that there were some misgivings. I consider it essential that on an issue such as the one that faces us today there should be no misapprehension or misunderstanding. In the statement that I have made during the last few days in this House, I have made the position of the Government absolutely