## 6737 Jawaharlal Nehru University Bill

[भीभक्त दर्शन]

सदन के इस प्रधिवेशन में उस पर विखार नहीं हो सका । प्रगर उस प्रस्ताव पर विखार हो जाता तो मुझे प्राणा है कि सारे देश में भाषाभ्रों के सम्बन्ध में एक प्रनुकूल वातावरण बन सकता था । मुझे प्राणा है कि प्रगले ग्रधिवेशन में उस पर जरूर विचार होगा; भीर उस प्रस्ताव को प्रार स्वीकार कर लिया गया भ्रीर जैसी भी हमे ग्राणा है राज्य सरकारों ने भी उसका सभर्थन किया तो यह समस्या बहुत जल्दी सुलझ जाएगी मौर हमारी भारतीय भाषाओं के जो प्रेमी सज्जन हैं, उनको शिकायत का कोई मौका नहीं मिलेगा ।

मेरे मित श्री यशपाल सिंह ने चुटको भी लेने का प्रयत्न किया है। मैं उनको विश्वास दिलाना चाहता हूं कि मेरे विचारों में कोई परिवर्तन नहीं हुआ है और मैं मंत्रिमंडल में रहते हुए भी यथाशक्ति नियमों के अनुकूल इसके लिए प्रयत्नशील हूं प्रौर मुझे भरोसा है कि शीध्न ही सारा मंत्रिमंडल, सारा देश' सभी दलों के लोग, सारी जनता, इस मार्गको स्वीकार कर लेंगे।

Sir, I think I have practically covered all the important points

Shri Daji (Indore): Having spoken in English and Hindi, he must speak in some other third language now!

**Shri Bhakt Drashan:** I have done my duty and I hope the House will support this motion moved by me.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That this House concurs in the recommendation of Rajya Sabha that the House do join in the Joint Committee of the Houses on the Bill to establish and incorporate a university in Delhi, made in the motion adopted by Rajya Sabha at its sitting held on the lst September, 1965 and communicated to this House on the 3rd September, 1965 and resolves that the following 20 members of Lok Sabha be nominated to serve on the said Joint Committee, namely: —

Shri B. Anjanappa, Shri Frank Anthony, Choudhury Brahm Parkash, Shrimati Kamala Chaudhuri, Raja P. C. Deo Bhanj, Shri Shiv Charan Gupta, Shri Hem-Pandit Jwala Prasad Barua. Joyotishi, Shri Lahri Singh, Shri Bakar Ali Mirza, Shri F. H. Mohsin Shri H. N. Shri V. T. Patel, S Mukerjee, Shri N. G. Ranga, Shrimati Renuka Ray. Shrimati Jayaben Shah, Shri M. P. Swamy, Shri Amar Nath Vidyalankar, Shri Bhishma Prasad Yadava, and Shri Bhakt Darshan."

The motion was adopted.

• \_\_\_

## 12.26 hrs.

INDIAN WORKS OF DEFENCE (AMENDMENT) BILL

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Defence (Dr. D. S. Raju): Sir-I beg to move:

"That the Bill further to amend the Indian Works of Defence Act, 1903, be taken into consideration."

As the House is aware, there are so many defence installations in the country belonging to the army, navy and air force and it is very necessary that in certain areas adjoining these defence works and installations, should be given protection from the security point of view and for other reasons. So, the original Act of 1909 provides for imposing certain restrictions on the enjoyment of these lands by their owners and also for paying some compensation to them.

I have come forward with this amending Bill because the original

## 6789 Indian Works BHADRA 30, 1887 (SAKA) of Defence (Amdt.) 6720

Act does not apply to the whole of India. It does not apply to areas which were formerly Part B States. Part B States were originally princely States and so this Act could not apply to them. Since 1st November, 1956, Part B States have been abolished and integrated into India. But the mere fact of this integration does not enable this Act to be applied to those areas. It requires an amendment of the original Act. That is the simple object of this Bill. There is no controversy or complication about it and I hope the House will have no objection to passing this Bill.

## Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved:

"That the Bill further to amend the Indian Works of Defence Act, 1903, be taken into consideration."

Dr. Melkote (Hyderabad): I rise to support this Bill. In the interests of defence, particularly at this juncture, it is absolutely necessary that this kind of protection is extended to all areas, including former Part в States. I belong to a former Part B State and in 1961-62 in Hyderabad the Defence Ministry set up an installation for the production of electro-That was an nic equipment. area to private parties which belonged before that. In the centre of that area, there is a mosque. We are a secular State and round about that mosque, numerous muslims used to go there to offer prayers. This mosque is situated at the centre of the unit and the Muslims are prevented from going there for saying prayers. Sir, if in the interest of defence it is necessary to prohibit any of these people from getting in there-a mosque cannot be desecrated, a mosque cannot be pulled down and people must be given the opportunity to go and say their prayers there-somehow or the other in spite of my continously making representations with regard to this during the last for or five years this fact has not been adequately dealt with and the Muslims

in that area are not very happy about it-my one suggestion is, why not keep that mosque as it is and right across, on the other side of the road. possibly, slightly removed from the defence installation---nobody will object to your protecting the defence installations-construct another mosque so that the people may be able to go and say their prayers there? More than prayers, the Muslims are in the habit of taking their dead bodies there before they are buried. Therefore, a mosque has a particular importance. Each mosque has got its own particular adherence. Many do not know this. Many feel that any Muslim can go and say his prayers in any mosque. There are numerous mosques round about, no doubt, but Muslims go to a particular mosque only and not to all the mosques round about there. There are certain sections of Muslims in this particular area who originally belonged to that State. These people should not be denied the opportunity of saying their pravers

Bill

Now that this Bill is before the House, I thought I should personally bring this to the notice of the Minister, that while such kind of protection for defence installations is absolutely necessary-nobody questions that, not even the Muslims of that localityopportunity must be given to the Muslims to say their prayers by getting another mosque constructed right across the road. This is the only suggestion I wanted to make. Ours is a secular State and at this juncture if along with protecting our defence installations we go ahead and do this work the Muslims in that area, not only the Muslime in that area but the Muslims in the whole of India, will welcome it and they will feel that their interests are safeguarded and protected. I hope the Minister will investigate into this and see what can be done in the matter.

**Shri K. L. More** (Hatkanangle); Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I rise to welcome this measure. This measure

## [Shri K. L. More]

is a simple but a most important one. It is also of a non-con roversill nature. The Bill seeks to amend the Act so as to make its provisions applicable to the whole of India including the areas to which it does not extend at present.

Sir. as is given in the Statement of Objects and Reasons and as it has been explained by the hon. Minister, the object of this Bill is to provide for the imposition of certain restrictions upon the use of and enjoyment of land in the vicinity of works of defence so as to keep such land free from buildings and other obstructions. As we are all aware, the defence of our nation is the most important one and, therefore, there is a great need for protection and development of works of defence in our country. Therefore, whatever is needed to guard and develop works of defence must be wholeheartedly helped and encouraged.

I congratulate the hon. Minister for bringing this measure, this most important measure, and I support this Bill.

Shri Shree Narayan Das (Darbhanga): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, there is nothing in this Indian Works of Defence (Amendment) Bill, 1965, which can be objected to by anybody. But I would like to draw the attention of the hon. Minister to one thing. The name of the original Act was given by the British Government. The Indian Works of Defence Act was passed in 1903 when the British Government was here. Now all the defence works in our country will be for our own nation. At the time when the British Government was here, they might have constructed some of their own defence works here because we were under their domination. I would, therefore, suggest that the word "Indian" should now be omitted and the simple name of the Act should be The Works of Defence Act.

Then, Sir, it was in 1957 that we abolished all Part B States and they were integrated in our own country. After that there were no Part Α. Part B or Part C States. It is now after nearly nine years that the Government has awaken to bring forward this amendment. This shows that we alert. At least the are not quite Ministry of Defence should have considered this question earlier because the works of Defence Ministry are spread throughout the country in what were called Part A. Part B and Pari C States. Therefore, this amendment should have come earlier. This shows that there is some sort of neglect on the part of the Government, and we do not review the various provisions of the Acts that are in force and change them in the light of certain changes or certain new developments in the country. I would, therefore, appeal to the Government that in future it should not be like Every Ministry should review this the various provisions of the Acts that prevalent and that are being are administered by the various Ministries with a view to bring them up-todate in line with the changes or new developments that have taken place after those Acts were passed.

Sir, I have nothing more to say. This is a very important Bill that has been brought before the House. Every measure should be taken to safeguard our defence works that are spread all over the country, and the lands in the neighbourhood of those works should be kept in such a condition that there should be no obstructions to our defence activities.

With these words, I suggest that the earliest opportunity may be taken to change the nomenclature of the Act so that it may be brought up-todate.

Shri Himatsingka (Gooda): Sir, I support the extension of this Act to Part B States and I take it, as it is provided, it will extend to the whole

## 6743 Indian Works

of India which includes Jammu and Kashmir also.

As regards the suggestion made by my hon. friend, Shri Shree Naravan Das, I feel the word Indian' should be dropped, because that has been followed in almost all the statutes that have been amended from time to time and there should be no objection. Works of Defence Act should be the name and that should be kept henceforward. The provisions are very urgently necessary because now, especially because of the present conditions in the country, that Governmen' should have the power to impose restrictions on the use of places near about works of defence. Therefore, we wholeheartedly support this measure.

भी यज्ञप ल सिंह (कैराना) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं डा॰ राज को कांग्रेचलेट करता हं कि बह यह बिल यहां लाये हैं जो कि देण की रक्षा के वास्ते सब से पहली धावण्यकता है । देण बचेगा तो सब चीजें बचेंगी। हम लोग माखिर धर्म को मानते हैं, दीन को मानते हैं, भगवान को मानते हैं। हम लोग पाकिस्तान की तरह से बहणी नहीं हैं जो हमारे ग्रम्बाला के गिर्जाघर पर बम्बाईमेंट करता है। हम लोग इस बात को समझते हैं कि मिलिटरी एरिया के ग्रन्दर हमें कहां पर क्या चीज बनानी है मौर कहां पर क्या नहीं चीज बनानी है। तो यह बिल डा॰ राजु बहुत सुन्दर रूप में लाये हैं। बल्कि मैं तो कहंगा कि वह बहत देर कर के लाये हैं। यह बिल भाज से चार साल पहले म्राना चाहिये था । मब भी लाये हैं तो भी ठीक है। इट इन नेवर र सेट र मेन्ड। यह बहत ग्रच्छा काम उन्होंने किया है । लेकिन यह बात जरूर खयाल में रखनी चाहिये कि सब से पहला काम इस वक्त मिलिटरी काहै, डिफेन्स काहै। बजाय इस के कि हम यह निर्णय करें कि यह खेत होगा, यह बाडी होगी, यह इंडम्टी होगी, इस सब को छोड कर सब से पहला काम देश के डिफेन्स का काम B 1

जो बिल माननीय मंत्री महोदय लाये हैं उस के साथ मेरी पूरी सपोर्ट है झौर मैं फिर उन को कांग्रचुलेट करता हं।

Shri Subbaraman (Madurai): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, the amendment that has been brought to extend the provisions of the Act to Part B States also. It should, as an hon. friend pointed out just before me, have been brought before the House long ago. Even when a factory or an educational institution is built we find ordinarily that shops and even houses are constructed by people round about them. In course of time, they become very crowdy and slums. They have to be controlled even for personal purposes. Such being the case it is all the more necessary to control the vicinity and surroundings of places where works of defence are situated. It is auite necessary that the surroundings at such places should be controlled so that there is no harm done to defence works and no inconvenience is caused to them. Therefore, I support this amendment.

धौ बेo गिo प टिल (यवनमाल): उपा-घयक महोवय, चाहे देर से ही क्यों न हो मगर मैं इस बिल को लाने के लिए मंत्री महोवय को बधाई देता हूं और इस भारतीय प्रतिरक्षा निर्माण-कार्य (संगोधन) विधेयक का स्वागत करता हूं । इसे सारे भारत वर्य जिसमें जस्मू और काण्मीर जो भारत का प्रभिन्न प्रंग है, जामिल है पर लागू किया जा रहा है इसलिए यह बहुन महत्व का है, और इसलिए मैं उनका स्वागत करता हूं । क्योंकि इस में लिखा है "इट ग्रैल एक्स्टैड दू होल झाफ इंडिया।"

यह सन् 1903 का कानून पार्ट बी स्टेट्स पर लागू नहीं होता था। इसलिए इसकी सख्त जरूरत थी। ःन राज्यों में पहले राजे महाराजे राज्य करते थे इसलिए बहां प्रतिरक्षा कार्य नहीं होता था। [श्री दे० भि० पाटिल]

मेरा सुझाव है कि मिलिटरी की जमीन के पास मगर कोई इमारतें या मस्जिवें आदि हों भौर उनको दूसरी जगह हटाने का विवार हो तो उन के लिए पूरा मुम्नावजा दिया जाना वाहिए।

1956 के पहले जो बी पार्ट स्टेट्स थीं उनको यह कानून लागू नहीं होता था। दस साल तफ इस पर कोई कार्रवाई नहीं की गयी। लेकिन श्राज भी जो यह बिल भाया है उस के लिए मैं मंत्री महोदय को बधाई दता ट्रं ग्रौर इस बिल का स्वागत करता हं।

Shri Indrajit Gupta (Calcutta South West): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, T support this amendment to the Act which is a very old Act of 1903. There can be no quarrel with the purpose of this Bill and it is certainly very necessary in time of an emergency such as the present one that the defence authorities should have the right and the freedom to impose restrictions or to control the use of certain lands which may be essential from the point of view of defence. But there is one point which I would like to bring to the notice of the hon. Minister which is more regarding the actual use of these powers and the implementation of this Act.

Sir, it says in the Statement of Objects and Reasons here that this is for restriction on the use and enjoyment of land in order that such land may be kept free from buildings and other obstructions. As my hon, friend over there, as far as I understood him, has also raised the point, if on such land which is required there are already certain buildings or constructions which may be places of religous worship and so on, naturally we take it that the defence authorities will strive their utmost to see that as far 85 possible these are not disturbed; or, if they have to be, then of course the original Act itself provides for payment of compensation and so on. But I know of an instance which, I think, is known to the hon. Minister also.

For example, there are certain lands which the defence authorities have required for their own purposes in the vicinity of certain other defence installations, particularly in the eastern region of the country, where it is found that those lands which had been lying unutilised or waste for я long time have in the mean time been occupied by a large number of these refugees who have been coming from East Pakistan for 10 or 12 years past. In many places it is found that they are all bona fide registered refugees but they have all come and orignally squatted on such lands and then gradually in course of time have built some rough and ready constructions. A sort of refugee colonies have grown up there.

In recent years there have been a number of occasions when this question arose that these lands were required by the defence authorities and they simply issued a notice to the refugees there saying that they should immediately vacate within a period of a week or ten days-something like that-and that if they failed to comply with that order, they would forecibly be evicted. In cases like that what happens, I do not know. Although here it is stated that compensation will be paid, in this particular instance I remember very well, when we approached the defence authorities they said. "It has nothing to do with us; you can go to the State Government and see if they are prepared to make any arrangements for these refugees; but we want the land, we are not concerned with these people". When we went to the State Government, the State Government also said, "It is not our responsibility; we cannot provide any olternative place for these people or provide any compensation for them and so on; you better go to the Central Ministry of Rehabilitation".

In this way a great number of difficulties arose where there was no clearcut procedure known to the affec-

### 6747 Indian Works

ted people as to how they were to get compensation or how they were to be given any kind of alternative sites where they could go and live with their families-they had been living there for 10 or 12 years at a stretch. I have to admit, with some gratitude, that because the Defence Minister and the Deputy Defence Minister took some sympathetic attitude in these cases every time we brought it to their notice, they agreed to extend the time so that these poor people could get some reasonable opportunity to try to make alternative arrangements before the land was taken over. But that was done as a special concession not in keeping actually with the provisions of this Act.

Therefore I just want to bring this to the notice of the hon. Minister, Not only in the case of bona fide refugees. who are a particular class of afflicted people and who are suffering for no fault of their own, but also in other cases where there may be bona fide occupants of the land in the sense that they have been there for a very long time-they may have constructed buildings and houses---in the actual administration of these powers. T hope, the defence authorities will adopt a sympathetic attitude. Though nobody questions that priority must be given to defence requirements. particularly at a time like this, nevertheless in the actual administration of these powers, I hope, they will adopt a patient and sympathetic attitude wherever necessary and see to it that both the purposes can be served; that is to say, the lands CEN be put to the use for also required and. which they are at the same time, if there are bonafide occupants or settlers there for whom some alternative arrangements can be made or compensation can be paid, their cases should be given the before the fullest consideration powers are exercised. Sometimes because local officers tend to be a little hasty and impatient or move in rather bureaucratic ways, it would be good if certain instructions were given that in these matters a little more humane attitude is taken.

भौ प्रिय गुप्त (कटिहार) : उपाप्यक्ष महोवय, यह जो इंडियन वक्स घौफ़ डिफेंस (घमेंडमेंट) बिल सदन के सामने लाया गया है उसका समर्थन करते हुए कुछ सुझाव कै उस सम्बन्ध में देना चाहता हं।

देश की मुरक्षा भौर डिफेंस का काम निष्ठ्य ही बहुत घहम भौर महत्वपूर्ण है भौर मिलेंटरी के लिए जहां जैसी खरूरत पड़े बैसी जमीन लेनी ही चाहिए। बैसे मैं एक सुझाव यह देना चाहता हूं कि खमीन चुनने के बक्त मगर मालटरनेटिव दो, तीन जगह मिलें भौर एक जगह का जो ऐरिया है वह मगर पबलिक के पास कम खरूरत का हो भौर उस से भगर फ़ायदा हो तो उस जमीन को लेने की कुपा करें।

जमीन ऐक्वायर करने के ऐवज में जब कम्पेनसेझन के मुधाविजें की पेमेंट की बात माती है तो डिली डैली चलती रहती है। जब उस के लिए डिफेंस मिनिस्टरी से कहा जाता है तो उनकी मोर में कह दिया जाता है कि डिस्ट्रिक्ट मजिस्ट्रेट पेमेंट करेंगे। डिस्ट्रिक्ट मजिस्ट्रेट कहता है कि मापकी जो क्लैक्टरेट है वह यह पेमेंट करेगी। इस तरीके मे हम देखते हैं कि बर्चों तक कर्म्प्सेक्वन का पेमेंट नहीं होता है।

इस सिलसिले में मैं एक छोटा सा ट्वाहरण देना जाहता हूं। बिहार में कटिहार के घास पास में कई हजार एकड़ जमीन सरकार ने ऐक्वायर की हुई हैं। वहां हारवस्टिंग भी था, मकानात भी से मगर मुधाविजा केवल थोड़े से चंद ही लोगों को सिला है बाक़ी लोगों को भाख तक कोई भी मधाविजा नहीं मिल पाया है। इससिए मेरी वरब्वास्त है कि इसे डिफ्केंस मिनिस्टरी तय करे कि इतने दिन के झन्बर मुधाविजे का पेमेंट हो जाना चाहिए। किस ढंग से पेमेंट होगा उम को भी माफ कर देना चाहिए क्योंकि यह रैड टैपिज्म जो कि पेमेंट के सिलसिले में घलना है जब तक वह जन्म नहीं होगी तब तक पबलिक की नक्रमीफ खस्म नहीं होगी। [श्री प्रिय गुप्त]

जैसा कि हमारे एक साथी ने बतलाया कि जहां रैफ्युजी ज लोग बसे हुए हैं या पिछड़े वर्ग के लोग बसे हुए हैं और उन्होंने उस जमीन को जो कि पहले काम के लायक नहीं थी, वहां वे जाकर बसे और उसे उन्होंने मेहनत करके ठीक किया और उत्पादन में भी वृद्धि की है प्रगर उस के प्रासपास और जमीन प्राप को प्रपने काम के लिए मिल सके तो उनको वहां से डिस्टर्बन किया जा रे और प्रगर प्राप वहीं प्रासपास में कोई दूसरी जमीन पा सकते हैं तो उसको ग्रपने उपयोग में लाना चाहिए।

सम्बन्धित ग्रधिकारियों को जमीन ऐक्वा-यर करने के बारे में डाइरैक्शंस (नर्देक) इस ढंग से देने चाहिएं फि फिसी किस्म की ग्रलतफहमी न हो। हर काम में, जब भी वह ग्रपती पावर्स को इस्तेमाल करें पबलिक की सुविधा श्रीर उसकी उपयोगिता को देखते हुए करें।

यह बिल जो भ्राप ने रक्खा है वह सर्वथा मुनासिब है भौर डिफेंस के लिए यदि झाव-स्थकता श्रनभव हो तो जमीन ऐक्वायर ही करनी चाहिए। लेकिन उस के सम्बन्ध में जो मैंने सुझाव दिये हैं उन पर झाप नजर रख कर इस काम को करें। कटिहार की पबलिक बहुत तंग हो गयी है उनकी दिक्कत को हल करने का भ्राप शीझ प्रयत्न करें। उन्हें म्राज तक मुखाबिजा नहीं मिला है।

भी मांकार साल बेरवा (कोटा): उपाघ्यक्ष महोदय, यह जो इडियन वक्स मौफ़ डिफॅस (मर्मेंडमेंट) विल रक्खा गया है उस का मैं समर्थन करते हुए इस प्रथसर पर कुछ सुवाब देना चाहता हं।

डिफेंस के लिए जमीन शहर से कम से कम 7-8 मील दूर होनी चाहिए। यह भी देखा जाय कि वह जमीन भगर रुषि योग्य हो तो उस से किसानों को बेदखल न किया जाये भौर भगर वहां से बेदखल करना ही पडे जाये तो मुम्राविजा उनको जरूर दिया जाये क्योंकि हमने देखा है कि मुम्राविजा उनको काफ़ी समय तक नहीं मिल पाता है। करीबन दो, तीन साल हो गये कोटा में 10-15 गांव, इस डिफैंस की जमीन में ले लिये गये, उन किसानों को जमीन से बेदखल कर दिया गया लेकिन कुछ प्रापत्ति उठने पर फिर उन जमीनों को उन्हीं एसानों को दे दिया गया ग्रीर ग्रब वह ग्रमन के साथ उन पर रह रहे हैं।

ग्रभी स्टेजन रोड पर जो चांदमारी बनी हई है ग्रौर नदी के पार गांव के ऊपर से चांद-मारी होती है तो उधर गांव में गोलियां चली जाती हैं ग्रीर जिसके कि फलस्वरूप वहां पर जानवर व द्यादमी मर जाते हैं। कई दफे रिपोर्टकी गई लेकिन कुछ सनवाई नहीं हुई ग्रीर उस चांदभारी को वहां से नहीं उठाया गया । भ्रभी भी वहां पर 15, 20 मकान ऐसे ले रक्खे हैं मिलेटरी के मन्दर, न नका किराया है,न उस मकदमे को ठीक किया गया और न' उन को परा कब्जा दिया गया । स्रभी व∂ केस चल रहा है तो मेरे कहने का मतलब यह है क कोई भी डिन्हेंस के लिए जमीन ली जाने तो उसका ,मुम्राविजा जरूर समय पर दे दिया जायें। यह तो मैं मानता हूं कि पहले देश है उस के बाद ग्रौर सब है ग्रौर देश के लिए हम सब कुछ देने के लिए तैयार हैं क्योकि देश रहेगा तो ग्रान भी रहेगी ग्रीर जिसका देश नहीं है उसकी शान भी नहीं है। घर बगैर दर नहीं। जिसका घर नहीं है उसका दर भी नहीं है । देण के बगैर ज्ञान नहीं होती है। इसलिए देश के वास्ते हम सब कुछ देने के लिए नैयार हैं लेकिन जिन किसानों की जमीनें ली हैं उनको तुरन्त मग्राविजा मिलना च हिये उन्ह कलेक्टरी में दो, दो ग्रीर तीन, तीन साल तक इतजार में भटकनान पडे।

मैं ने देखा है कि हमारे यहां कुछ किसानों की जमीनें फार्म के लिये ली हुई है । धब मिलेटरी को फार्म की क्या जरूरत है ? वह बेचारे रंगरूट कहते हैं कि घगर हम से मिलेटरी में खेती ही करवानी थी तो यह जमीन एक्वायर करने और मग्राविजे के चक्कर में क्यों पडते हो । ग्रगर खेती ही करनी थीं तो वह तो गांव में ही झपने वहां कर सकते थे इसलिए रंगरूटों से फार्म में खेती करवाना कुछ ग्रच्छा नहीं जंचता है। ग्रंगर जमीन किसानों की लेते हैं तो पलटन के लिये लीजिए. बारूद के ग्रीर रडार श्वादि फौजी सामान के लिए लीजिये श्रोर फौजी परपज के लिए उसे प्रयोग में लाइये । सरकार को ऐसी जमीन नहीं लेनी चाहिए जहां बेचारे किसानों को धापत्ति हो धार उन का जीवन बर्बाद हो जाये। गांव के ग्रास पास बहत सा ऐसा एरिया होता है जहां कि खाली जमीन भी होती है वहां पर जानवरों का ख्याल रक्खा जाये । खेती की जमीन के ग्रन्दर किसानों का ख्याल रक्खा जाये । ऐसी जमीन जो कि बहर से 5. 10 मील एक तरफ हो उस जमीन को जरूर कब्जे में किया जाये ताकि किसी तरीके से हमारे ग्रामवासियों को, जानवरों को वहां के किसानों को किसी किस्म की कठिनाई महसस न हो । ग्रगर उसे लेने की जरूरत झा ही पडे. उस का लेना देश हित में भनिवायें हो जाय तो वह ले ली जाय लेकिन उन को उस का मधाविजः जल्द से जल्द दिया जाय । उन का फैसला जल्द किया जाय । मझे मालम है कि कोटे में जो 10-15 मकान डिफेंस के लिये लिये गए हैं उन के केस तीन. तीन फीर बार, बार साल बलते हुए हो गये हैं। उन का जल्द फैसला कीजिये। शहर में मिलेटरी का कोई नहीं होना चाहिए, सिर्फ जरूरी बंगले होने चाहिये ग्रौर यह जो चांदमारी बगैरह जितनी भीजें हैं यह प्रहर से पांच, देस मील दूर होनी चाहिये । इतना कह कर मैं इस विल का समर्थन करता हूं।

Shri Gauri Shankar Kakkar (Fatehpur): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir my first suggestion is that the word 'Indian', as has been suggested by my friends, Shri Shree Narayan Das, be deleted from this Bill. In 1903, when the British regime was there, there 1423 (Ai) LSD.-6. were several dominions under the British regime and, therefore, in every enactment which was undertaken, the word 'Indian' was inserted. But now, after 15th August, 1947, when we are an indepenendent country, it does not befit well to continue to have the word 'Indian' in this Bill which was inserted by the British regime. It is a very non-controversial amendment and the word 'Indian' be deleted. That is my first point.

## 13 hrs.

My second point is this. With regard to the provisions of this Bill, I am in agreement with the Defence Minister that keeping in view the urgency of the Defence in this country, it ís. very proper to extend it to Part R But keeping in view the fact States. that Defence is primarily a department where there is absolutely no question of any religion, creed or caste and that the masses are allowed to have immense faith and unshaken confidence in Defence, I suggest that there should be a special provision for the immediate payment of compensation and that it should not be kept at par with other enactments relating to land acquisition. My suggestion is that if any land is required to be acquired for defence purposes, there should be a special provision for the immediate disposal of the claim and the immediate payment of the compensation so that the unshaken confidence amongst the people towards the defence should be allowed to remain unshaken. So, 1 request that there should be a special provision and that it should not be kept at par with other departments of the Government in the matter đ acquisition proceedings which are generally delayed for years together and no compensation is paid and no speedy disposal of the claim is done.

With these observations, I support the Bill which has been brought before the House.

भी हुकन भन्द कडवाय (देवास) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, जो बिल सदन के सामने भाषा है, मैं उस का स्वागत करता हूं।

## [भी हुकम चन्द कछवाय]

माज की परिस्थितियों में इस बात की प्राव-म्यकता है कि हम अपने देश में ज्यादा से ज्यादा प्रतिरक्षा-उत्पादन के कारखाने खोलें । लेकिन जव कारखाने खोले जाते हैं, तब उन के लिए जमीन लेना बहुत जरूरी होता है । इस सम्वन्ध में मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि इस काम के लिए जब कोई जमीन ली जाती है, तो यह देखना चाहिये कि उस से कितने काम्तकार प्रभावित होते हैं मीर वह जमीन कैसी है-बह जमीन उपजाऊ है या पड़ती है । यह प्रावक्ष्यक है कि उस जमीन की कीमत को देखते हुए सम्बन्धित काम्तकारों को उचित पैसा मिलना चाहिए, ताकि उन में इस बारे में मसंतोष की भावना न पैदा हो ।

हम ने देखा कि सरकार ने कुछ दूसरे कामों के लिये जिस माव से जमीनें ली हैं, उस ने उन के द्वारा पच्चीस, वालीस मौर पचास यूना ज्यादा पैसा कमाया है। मैं चाहता हूं कि बह नीति इस सम्बन्ध में न घपनाई आये कि कास्तकारों की जमीन ले कर उन को तो बर्बाद कर दिया जाये, परन्तु उन को ठीक डंग से पैसा न मिले।

मैं तो यहां तक कहने के लिये तैयार हं कि जमीन के मग्रावजे के रूप में काश्तकारों को पैसा देने के बजाय यह ज्यादा प्रच्छा है कि उन की खेती के लिए किसी दूसरे स्थान पर जमीन दी जाये. क्योंकि यह स्वाभाविक है कि जब उन गरीबों के हाथ में पैसा मा जाता है, तो उन का मन बिगड़ता है झौर वे उस पैसे को ठीक ढंग से खर्च नहीं कर पाते हैं। सरकार को यह व्यवस्था करनी चाहिए कि जब वह काण्तकारों की कोई जमीन ले. तो वह किसी ग्रीर स्थान पर उन को खेती करने के लिए अमीन दे. ताकि वे भ्रपने परिवार भौर बाल-बच्चों का पालन कर सकें ग्रीर उन को ग्रपने रोजगार या धंधे से बंचित न होना पडे। इस बात का भी व्यान रखा आतना चाहिए कि उन काम्तकारों को कोई बंजर अमीन न दी जाये, क्योंकि उस घवस्था में उन को काफी खर्च करना पड़ेगा, बल्कि कोई उप जाऊ जमीन दी जाये ।

जहां तक हो सके, इस काम के लिये केवल वही जमीन ली जाये. जो पडती हो. जहां पर ज्यादा उत्पादन नहीं होता है, जहां तक केवल होर ग्रोर जानवर चरते हैं। हो सकता है कि इस बारे में सरकार के सामने कोई ग्रडचन ग्राये ग्रीर वह समझे कि उस ने नगर से इतनी दर कोई खास जमीन ही लेनी है, जो कि खेती की जमीन हो. तो फिर काश्तकारों को उस के ग्रच्छे पैसे मिलने चाहियें। ग्राप को मालम होगा कि कुछ समय पहले गाजियाबाद के बहत से लांग पालियामेंट के सामने कई दिन तक धरना दिये बैठे रहे। वे लोग ग्रपने परिवार भीर बैलगाडियां मादि साथ ले कर माए भीर यहां या कर उन्होंने मपना मसंतोष ब्यक्त किया । सरकार ने उन की जमीनें ले कर उन से काफी पैसा कमाया. लेकिन उन काण्तकारों को ठीक ढंग से पैसा नहीं दिया. जिस के कारण उन लोगों में बहत बेचैनी क्ती ।

इस लिए घावश्यकता इस बात की है कि कास्तकार को भपनी जमीन के ग्रच्छे दाम मिलने चाहियें, ताकि उन के मन में दुख न हो। उन को खुग कर के उन की जमीन ली आनी चाहिए भीर उन को भच्छे पैसे मिलने चाहियें, जिस से उस के बारे में कोई बिबाद न हो।

Shri M. L. Jadhav (Malegaon): I rise to support the measure that is before the House. I would, however, like to make certain suggestions while supporting the measure.

In the Nasik district there is an artillery school and some seventeen villages have been acquired for that school. But I find that the approach of certain villages, which have not been acquired, to Nasik, Deolali and

### Indian Works BHADRA 30. 1887 (SAKA) of Defence (Amdt.) 6756 6755

other areas is very difficult because the roads are blocked. I suggest that wherever there is acquisition and in the process the roads of the villages which are not acquired for defence purposes are blocked, some alternative roads or some alternative site should be given, so that the villagers can have good and easy approach roads. In a number of cases we find that the revenue authorities point out this fact to the Defence authorities, but many a time they do not take proper or early steps to see that the grievances of these people, which are genuine, are A similar thing has hapredressed. pencd in the case of the MIG project in Nasik district. The approach roads in some of the villages from which lands have not been acquired and where State transport buses were running, have now been closed because the area has been acquired for a MIG site. I have no objection to the area being acquired, but my only contention is that, while acquiring the area for defence purposes, the Defence authorities should see that the people of the villages where roads connecting the national highways exist, are not inconvenienced. They in any way should see that the communications and roads for the Defence project are laid in such a way that the villagers are not inconvenienced.

Year before last there was a case where certain villagers were asked to leave their village at about 7 in the morning and return to the village only in the night by 8 p.m. since some long-range weapons were to operate in that area. I have no objection to the villagers being asked to leave the village, but I point out that, when they were asked to leave the village in the rainy season from morning till night and were asked to go to some ten or twelve miles away, no shelters were provided for them. Where will these men, women, children and sick ladies go if no shelter is provided? I told the District Magistrate that something should be done. I can understand that Defence should receive every priority and that their needs should be served. But the Defence authorities should at least see that some tempo-

# Bill

rary sheds, temporary shelters, are provided for these people. But to my knowledge nothing was provided and it was a very great hardship for the villagers to leave the village, with all their children and cattle, early in the morning and return in the night. People had to suffer. In such cases I feel that the Defence authorities should see that no hardship is caused With these observato the people. tions I support the measure that is before the House

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya (Raiganj): I shall make only a few observations about the two suggestions that have been made, one about the nomenclature of the Bill and the other about the scope of its application. Regarding the scope of its application, the Statement of Objects and Reasons SQVS:

"It is, therefore, proposed to amend the Act so as to make its provisions applicable to the whole of India, including the areas to which it does not at present extend."

Because it has been the usual trend in our legislations to put that particular phrase "except Jammu & Kashmir", I will request the hon. Member to make it clear that that particular phrase has no place in this amend-In fact, it extends to the ment. whole of India, including the areas represented not only by the old Part B States but also by the present Jammu & Kashmir State That is number one.

My second point is in regard to the nomenclature of the Bill, , A sugges-Bill tion has been made that this should be simply called the "Works If we go through of Defence Bill" the list of enactments that we have passed and Central legislatures have passed, we find three designations of an all-India type; one is All-India Act, the other designation is Indian Act, and the third designation is national Act, These are the three types of designations applied to the all-India

#### 6757 Indian Works SEPTEMBER 21, 1965 of Defence (Amdt.)

## [Shri C. K. Bhattacharvva]

Acts that the Central legislatures have passed. So, in taking up this question of designation. I believe that we ought to take it up in a comprehensive way so that the entire list of enactments may be studied and the same principles may be adopted and acceptted in designating Acts of an all-India application. I am making this suggestion not only with regard to this Act but with regard to the other Acts also.

Dr. D. S. Raju: I would like to express my thanks for the whole hearted support which hon. Members have given to this amending Bill. I am glad that they have appreciated the spirit underlying this amending Bill. While supporting this Bill, hon. Members have made a few very good suggestions

Dr. Melkote has made a reference to one mosque in Hyderabad. This fact was brought to my notice also by the Home Minister of Hyderabd, and I am sure that sympathetic consideration would be given and a reasonable settlement of this problem would be found soon.

Some hon. Members have asked why this Bill had not been brought forward earlier. Although the princely States had been amalgamated in 1956, since that year occasionally we had issued some legal notifications hoping that they would be adequate for the purpose, but our Law Ministry has advised us that such legal notifications are not valid in law, and that an amending Bill should be brought forward in Parliament. That is the reason why this Bill has been brought forward after some delay.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya has raised the question about the inclusion of Jammu and Kashmir. I might tell him that this Act would apply now to the Jammu and Kashmir State also.

Shri Indrajit Gupta and a few others had raised a point about the difficulties experienced by some of the villagers when they were asked

## 6758 Bill

to vacate those areas. This amending Bill refers only to certain areas adjoining which are the existing structures such as installations and other things. It does not refer to lands or agricultural lands which are away from those installations. Although it is an important point which hon. Members have raised. I would submit that that point is not very relevant. But every sympathetic consideration will be given and all reasonable measures will be taken to see that the villagers are not harassed or inconvenienced in any way

As soon as we demarcate these areas and issue a notification, it is up to the State Government to take the necessary action. I know that there are some illegal occupations around these areas, and there has been some trouble and some headache due to that for us, but it is up to the State Governments to see that those localities are vacated.

So far as compensation is concerned hon. Members have said that there is a lot of delay in giving compensation to the owenrs, But actually the delay is not due to us. The amount or quantum of compensation has to be decided by the civil authorities.

Shri Daii (Indore): Even after the quantum has been decided there have been many cases which I myself have had occasion to point out, where the person had to go from pillar to post and nobody in the Defence Ministry seemed to be paying the money at all. For seven years cases have been pending, even when the quantum of compensation has been decided

Dr. D. S. Raju: Whenever such cases are brought to our notice .

Shri Dati: That is no excuse. Why should such a thing happen at all? When the compensation has been decided upon, why should the amount not flow automatically

## 6759 Indian Works of Defence BHADRA 30, 1887 (SAKA) Judges (Inquiry) 6760 (Amdt.) Bill

through the system in the Defence Ministry?

Dr. D. S. Raju: This fact will be remembered. But the civil authorities have got to decide the quantum of compensation. There is actually some delay at that level. And there are generally appeals against the quantum of compensation also. That is another factor causing delay. But whatever that may be, whenever these things are brought to our notice, we always take very quick and very sympathetic action. There is nothing more for me to gay in regard to this.

This measure is a very important gas. As I have said, we are only making an amendment to include the areas which formerly belonged to the princely States. The other points which have been raised are not very relevant to this Bill. But I shall remember those suggestions and give effect to them as and when the need arises to do so.

I would request hon. Members to pass this Bill.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That the Bill further to amend the Indian Works of Defence Act, 1903, be taken into consideration".

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I shall now put the clauses to vote.

The question is:

"That clauses 1 and 2. the Enacting Formula and the Title stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 1 and 2, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

Dr. D. S. Raju: I beg to move:

"That the Bill be passed"

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That the Bill be passed".

The motion was adopted.

23.17 hrs.

JUDGES (INQUIRY) BILL-contd.

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Law (Shri Jaganatha Rao): On behalf of Shri Hathi, I beg to move:

"That the Bill to regulate the procedure for the investigation and proof of the misbehaviour or incapacity of a Judge of the Supreme Court or of a High Court and for the presentation of an address by Parliament to the President, be taken into consideration.".

Article 124 (4) of the Constitution provides that:

"A Judge of the Supreme Court shall not be removed from his office except by an order of the President passed after an address by each House of Parliament supported by a majority of the total membership of the House and by a majority of not less than two-thirds of the members of that House present and voting has been presented to the President in the same session for such removal on the ground of proved misbehaviour or incapacity.".

Article 124(5) provides that:

"Parliament may by law regulatz the procedure for the presentation of an address and for the investigation and proof of the misbehvalour or incapacity of a Judge under clause (4), ...."

The above provisions are also applicable to a High Court judge under proviso (b) to article 217 (1) read with article 218 of the Constitution.

The present Bill seeks to lay down the procedure for the investigation