6687 Delhi High Court AGRAHAYANA 18, 1887 (SAKA) Strike by C.G.H.S.6688 Bill Doctors (C.A.)

दृष्टि से हो चाहे दूसरे प्रदालती खर्चे हों जैसे कोर्टफीस प्रादि के मामलों में सुविधा प्राप्त हो सके ।

वैसे मैं मानता हं कि जो हमारे हरिजन लोगों के मुकद्दमें हैं चाहे वह अस्पुस्यता की दष्टि से हों या दूसरी दष्टि से भी हों उनके लिए इगारा किया गया है कि उनको इस तरीक़ेकी सहायता प्राप्त होगी लेकिन मैं मंत्री महोदय का ध्यान ग्राकॉवत करते हए कहना चाहता हूँ कि वह सहायता उनको श्रौर दूसरे गरीब लोगों को प्राप्त नहीं होती है ग्रोर किसी भी तरीक से उनको न्याय नहीं मिल पाता है। न्याय का सीधा मतलब यह है कि न्याय सब को एक समान सलभ हो. लोगों को बिला खर्च किये न्याय सस्ते ढंग से थोडे समय में हासिल हो सके । खेद का विषय है कि ग्राज वह सस्ता ग्रौर तुरत न्याय सर्वसाधारण को प्राप्त नहीं हो पा रहा है। महंगा न्याय जोकि भाज प्राप्त होता है वह एक प्रकार से भ्रन्याय है।

जब तक गरीब ग्रीर साधारण लोगों का कचहरियों पर विश्वास न हो तो वह न्याय न्याय नहीं कहला सकता है । चुंकि मेरा सम्बन्ध गरीब लोगों से है इसलिए मैं यह चीज कहना चाहता हूं कि देश के मामली लोगों का गरीब लोगों का धाज की कचहरियों में बिलकुल विश्वास नहीं है मौर साधारण भादमी एक मामूली सी दरख्वास्त लेकर कलैक्टर की कचहरी में ग्रीर जजेख की कचहरी में इधर से उधर घुमता फिरता है । उनको इस तरीके से न्याय प्राप्त नहीं हो सफता है ? इस तरह का यत्न किया जाना चाहिए ताकि मामुली लोगों को एक साधारण दरक्वास्त के ऊपर भी न्याय मिल सके । जिनकी माणिक स्थिति गिरी हई है उनकी 2169 (Ai) LSD-8.

भोर विणेव रूप से सरकार को ध्यान देना चाहिए । ग्रगर कोई दयनीय स्थिति होने के प्रमाण में सटिफिकेट लायेगा या ग्रीर कोई प्रमाण प्ररुतुत करेगा तो उसे सुविधा प्रदान की जायेगी । मेरा कहना है कि इसको जरा ग्रासान बनाना चाहिए ताकि मामूली ग्रादमियों को सही ढंग से न्याय प्राप्त हो सके ।

दिल्ली के घन्दर यह जो हाईकोर्ट कायम किया जा रहा है वह स्वागत के योग्य बात है। मैं चाहता हूं कि यहां पर यह बात साफ़ तौर से प्रकट हो कि जो मामूली घादमी यहां पर रहते हैं घौर उन के साथ यदि घन्याय होता है तो उनको न्याय पाने में सघी सुविधा दी जायेंगी। दूसरे हाईकोर्ट कुछ भी करते हों लेकिन दिल्ली के हाईकोर्ट को एक घादर्ग इस बारे में कायम करना चाहिए। यहां पर कोई भी घ्रपील तीन महीने से घधिक पैंडिंग नहीं रहनी है। सकिट बैंच में यहां पर 2-2, 3-3, 4-4, 5-5 घौर 7-7 साल बीत जाते हैं लेकिन घपील डिस्पोज घौफ़ नहीं हो पाती है।

मैं इन गल्दों के साथ फिर इस विधेयक का स्वागत करता हूं घोर मंत्री जी का ध्यान म्राकचित करता हूं कि गरीव सोगों को साधारण लोगों को सही ढंग से सस्ता न्याय सुरन्त सुलम हो सके ऐसा यग्न उन्हें करना वाहिए ।

16.95 hrs.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

THREATENED STRIKE BY CGHS DOCTORS IN DELHI-contd.

Mr. Speaker: We shall now take up the Calling Attention Notice which was held over yesterday. 6689 Strike by

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): Sir, I want to make one submission. Yesterday there was only a news item in the Press that there was going to be a strike by the CHS doctors on the 9th. We thought it was better not to give a Calling Attention Notice yesterday and wait for today. Today. Sir, when some of us gave Cailing Attention Notices about this strike which has taken place today. you have been good enough to disallow them. I only request, Sir, that we should be allowed to put questions.

Mr. Speaker: How can I do it? Once it has been brought on the agenda, no further notice is required.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: It was not on the Order Paper.

भी रामसेवक गावव (बाराबंकी) : अध्यक्ष महोदय, आर्डर पेपर में था।

Mr. Speaker: Attention of the hon. Minister was called yesterday. The hon. Minister,

The Minister of Health (Dr. Sushila Nayar): I had yesterday seen certain Press Reports regarding strike threat by some doctors in the Central Health Service if the Central Government does not withdraw its order conscripting two hundred of them to the Army. No official notice about any threatened strike had been received until this morning when I received a telegram about the strike. I have learnt this morning that some of the doctors have absented themselves from duty. The Central Health Service Rules were published on the 1st May, 1963 and the Central Health Service was constituted on 1st January, 1965. Rule 11A of the Central Health Service Rules provides that any person appointed to that Service shall, if so required, be liable to serve in any Defence Service or post connected with the Defence of India, for a period of not less than 4 years including the period spent on training, if any. The Rule also provides that (a) such a person shall not be required to serve as aforesaid after the

C.G.H.S. Doctors 6690 (C.A.)

expiry of ten years from the date of his appointment; (b) shall not ordinarily be required to serve as aforesaid after attaining the age of fortyfive years.

The Defence Ministry needs medical men and a quota was fixed by them for each State and the C.H.S. As the House is aware the defence needs are rather acute at present and the Defence Ministry has desired that the requisite number of doctors he supplied by the C.H.S. In pursuance of this requirement and the aforesaid rules, a letter was sent on the 3rd December, 1965 to certain Heads of Institutions intimating them that it had been decided to depute 117 officers to Army Medical Corps. The doctors had been requested to complete the application forms for Emergency Short Service Commission. The forms duly completed were to be returned to the D.G.H.S. by the 8th December, 1965. The officers are to be interviewed in the office of the Director General, Armed Forces Medical Services, on the 13th and 14th December, 1965. I am sure the House will agree that the C.H.S. doctors should come forward to serve the Armed Forces with readiness, as has been done by doctors in several States.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: She has not covered the demand.

श्री यज्ञपाल सिंह (कैंगना)ः सी॰ जी॰ एच॰ एस॰ के इन डाक्टरों की विभिन्न श्रेणियों में से कितनों को ट्रान्सफर किया गया ग्रीर खास तौर से जो लोग नागालैंड में भेजे गये उनको कोई स्पेशल टी॰ ए॰ या डी॰ ए॰ दिया गया या नहीं दिया गया ?

डा० सुझीला नायर : जी इस वयंत कोई खास ट्रान्सफर नहीं हुघा लेकिन घगर होगा तो जो नागालैंड ग्रीर नेफा वगैरह में विगेष रिप्रायत इसरी संबिसेख को दी जाती हैं 9691 Strike by AGRAHAYANA 18, 1887 (SAKA) C.G.H.S. Doctors 669 (C.A.)

वही डाक्टरों को भी दी जायेंगी इस वक्त तो सिर्फ ग्राम्ड सर्विस में जाने की बात उठी है।

भी यद्यपाल लिंह : 15 ग्रंगस्त सन् 1965 के बाद किन किन लोगों के ट्रान्सफर हुए ?

म्राप्यक्ष महोदयः वह म्रागया ।

थी रामसेवक यावव : धगस्त सन् 65 के बाद फीजी कारण से या किसी घन्य कारण से डाक्टरों का स्थानान्तरण हुखा भीर यदि हुमा तो किन किन श्रेणियों का हुम्रा म्रीर उसके कारण क्या उनके वेतन, मल्ते म्रादि में कोई फर्क म्राया श्रोर उनको हानि य। लाभ हुम्रा ? सूझे जो जानकारी मिली है उसके म्रनुसार कुछ हालतों में वेतन म्रादि में नक्या एक णिकायत यह भी वी ।

इराश सुझीलगनायर्ः वेतन गैरहमें तो कोई फर्कहो नहीं सकता क्योंकि जिसका जो वेतन है वह वेतन तो उसे सिलेगा ही। म्राम्ड फोर्सेंड में जायेंगे तो भी उनको वही बेतन सिलेगा।

प्राध्यक्ष महोदय : ग्रगस्त मन् 65 के बाद कई प्रादमियों को जो उस तरफ़ भेजा त्रया तो ग्राया जो नई स्कीज लाई गई उसके ग्रनुसार उनके वेतन में कोई फर्क भी श्राया ग्रवॉन् जिमे जो पहले मिलना था उस में क्या कोई कमी गाई है ?

डा० सुझीला नायर मैंने निवेदन किवाकि ऐसाकहीं नहीं दुआ है।

भी कि झन पटनायक (सम्बलपुर) छोटे दर्बे के डाक्टरों के लिए सुविधायें कुछ ज्यादा होनी चाहिए, यह मानने हुए क्या मंत्री महोदय डाक्टरों के सेना में घीर देहात में जाने के सम्बन्ध में कुछ कडे घीर व्यापक नियम गनायेंगे, जिस के वारे में डाक्टर लोग बहुन दिचक दिखाने है? राजना बा सुझाल सहस मुनासिय है, श्रीमन् । जहां नक देहानों का सम्बन्ध है, यूनियन टेरीटरीं मं सेट्रल हेल्थ सर्विस का उनसे ज्यादा सम्बन्ध नहीं है, लेकिन झाम्डे फ़ीसिज के लिए कड़े नियम बनाने के सम्बन्ध में माननीय सदस्य ने जो सुझाव दिया है, उस पर पूरा विचार किया जायेगा ।

Shri D. C. Sharma (Gurdaspur): Is it not a fact that the doctors wrote a letter to the Director-General of Health Services or whatever he is called, asking for clarification of the terms before they were sent to the Army? I think it was written about 10 or 15 days ago and they have not received any clarification. If there is any substance in this allegation...

Dr. Sushila Nayar: There was no question of clarification. Whatever are their terms of service on the civil side would be applied by the Military authorities. I am not aware of any letter.

Shri D. C. Sharma: Did the Director General of Health Services receive the letter? Did the doctors seek clarification? Was any reply given to them?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: To the best of my knowledge, we have not received any letter asking for any clarification.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: If I have heard the hon. Minister correctly, she has mentioned that the Defence Ministry wanted certain doctors and these doctors have refused to go or are refusing to go. I want to know whether it has been brought to the notice of the hon. Minister that Dr. Sharma, Convenor of the Delhi Doctors Joint Action Council has already written a letter to the Prime Minister in which among other things, he has said:

"Besides this, we will further state that we are keen to join the A.M.C. but only after the Central Health Service rules are finalized to our satisfaction..." [Shri S. M. Banerjee]

He has also pertinently pointed out:

"Contrary to the assurances and promises of the Health Minister, more than forty doctors are being transferred under the old, disputed and unsettled rules of Central Health Service, 1963 and the medical officers are supposed to comply with the same by 8th December, 1965, giving only a notice of two to four days."

I want to know whether this is a fact and if so, whether the hon. Minister or the Deputy Minister has cared to meet a deputation of doctors at this hour when the country needs them so much?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We cannot invite a deputation. No deputation has asked to see the Minister or the Deputy Minister nor have I seen anybody. (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: Order order! let her reply.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: A certain doctor, who has styled himself as the Convenor of the Delhi Doctors Joint Action Committee, has sent a Memorandum to the Prime Minister, a copy of which was sent to us yesterday and in that copy, he has mentioned some of these things. But it has nothing to do with any rules. The rules under which they are being sent were finalised in 1963 after the Chinese invasion.

Mr. Speaker: These are the three members. There was no other signatory, I suppose.

Shri Shinkre.

16.15 hrs.

DELHI HIGH COURT BILL-contd.

Shri Shinkre: Although I fully share and subscribe to the view expressed by my hon. friend, Shri Kamath...

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): I thought he was putting a question on the Calling Attention Notice.

Mr. Speaker: That is over. We are now on the next item.

Shri Shinkre: . . . that this a very important Bill, I regret to say that I do not think that a strong case has been made for the reference of this Bill to a Select Committee. For, after all, what this Bill aims at or intends to do-to put in figurative terms-could be said to be this; instead of a hut or a shed or a barrack that a person has today, he would be given a proper bungalow to live in. I do not know whether there is any case for referring this Bill to a Select Committee on that basis or otherwise also. Presently, the Delhi people have a high Court provided to them in the Circuit Bench of the Punjab High Court. Instead of that Circuit Bench, they will have in future, after the adoption of this Bill and its passage into an Act, a fully constituted High Court of their own. Constitutionally, as you know, it is the inherent right of every citizen to have his own High Court accessible to him as closely as possible, and from the Circuit Bench of the Punjab High Court to a fully constituted High Court at Delhi I think that it is only an improvement. The people of Himachal Pradesh who will be under the jurisdiction of this High Court at Delhi, as is contemplated in this Bill, will also be benefited thereby, because as everybody knows, the judicial commissioner's court is only an excuse for a High Court. Instead of that, they will be having a fully constituted High Court. Since Himachal Pradesh cannot provide for a fully constituted autonomous High Court, it is in the fitness of things that they should be allowed to take advantage of the High Court which is proposed to be set up in Delhi.