MR. SPEAKER : You want them to come to the Parliament.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE : There is Section 144 which prevents them from coming near Parliament House. I can assure you that this delegation of unemployed youth will be led by responsible persons and not by Naga sanyasis, and so on. There should be no ban and the ban should be lifted. It is no use arresting the unemployed youth. I request the hon. Prime Minister, through you, to say something

MR. SPEAKER : Shri Humayun Kabir was speaking. I think we may allow one hour more for this. The Prime Minister will reply at 3-30 P.M. One more party, Marxist party, has not spoken.

SHRI BAL RAJ MADHOK (South Delhi) : There is some time left for our party.

MR. SPEAKER : 4 more minutes left. 1 will add one more minute to it and give it to you. I will not stinge or deny that for you. I want to give some chance to independents who have not spoken, if they are here. Since we will adjourn for 3 months, those who have not spoken may be given a chance, I thought. Some Congiess Members also, 2 or 3 of them may speak. The points raised by Shri Dwlvedy, Shri Nath Pai and Shri Banerjee will certalnly be replied to by the Prime Minister in her speech when she speaks on this. Unemployment is a bigger problem, a wider question. Naturally. I don't think she should make a speech now and against at 3.30 P.M.

SHRI SURENDRANATH DWIVEDY : Some delegation met her.

SHRI PILOO MODY (Godhra) : Planning is responsible for this unemployment.

MR. SPEAKER : she will give all those details.

SHRI HEM BARUA (Mangaldai): What about the point raised by Shri S. M. Banerjee? You have imposed ban round about Parliamept House.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE : Let her speak on the unemployment situation. About Section 144 also, I want to know. The ban should be lifted. It is impossible for any one to come here.

THE PRIME MINISTER, MINISTER OF ATOMIC ENERGY AND MINISTER OF PLANNING (SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI): I shall refer to the question of unemployment when I speak this afternoon. It is true that I met representatives of the Samajvadi Yauvk Sabha. They have given me a memorandum. Now, some of those points are made carlier also. I assured that that all of us are equally concerned with the very grave unemployment situation in the country, and every effort is being made to see in what way we can help in this situation. It is obvious that the problem cannot be solved immediately but we will centainly give consideration to the points which they have ralsed and which also other Member of the House have also raised.

### 12.39 hrs

## MOTION RE : FOURTH FIVE YEAR PLAN-DRAFT-Contd

MR. SPEAKER : Shri Humayun Kabir will continue his speech.

SHRI HUMAYUN KABIR (Basirhat) : Speaking on the last occasion, I referred to the present situation in the country and said that the situation is due not so much to faults of individuals as to certain wrong policies pursued by the Government ; and in my view, the basic mistake of the three Plans has been the inadequate attention paid to the problem of unemployment. We have increased agricultural production almost twofold in the course of the last 18 or 19 years.

We have increased industrial production almost seven or eight-fold in the course of the last 18 years, but slmultancously we have increased unemployment at an alarming rate. The First Plan provided for an increase of unemployment of three millions; the Second Plan provided for an increase of unemployment of four millions and the Third Plan provided for an increase of
unemployment of eight milliions; but that actually became ten millions so that as a result of these three Plans, there has been an increase of almost twenty millions of unemployed in the country.

In the amendment I have moved, I have said that these Plans have overlooked one of the major Directives in our Constitution. There is inadequate attention to Article 39 of the Coustitution which lays down that every single citizen of India must be provided with the opportunity of earning his or her livelihood through honest labour. This provision of employment has not been met in the Plans.

I think many of the difficulties which we face in the country today are due to thls failure. Today, we hear about conflicts, group conflicts based on language, group conflicts based on religion. Ultimately all these are due primarily to the economic factor, 10 the lack of opportunities. In a community where opportunities are adequate and where every man has a way of utilising his energies in creative employment and finding means of subsistence for himself and his family, these kinds of conflicts do not occur.

### 12.41 hrs.
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If only we could provide employment for everyone, many of these conflicts and clashes will disappear. I am reminded of some-thing which I said to the Bengal Legislative Council, almost 30 years ago, in 1938. I said that the then communal situation in India could be compared with twenty hungry tigers in a circus which are given two pieces of bones and asked to keep peace among themselves. If instead of two pieces of bones, you throw twenty twenty bones, even then there will be some clashes, some grumbling, but on the whole there will be peace- This, I think, is the prime defect of the Fourth Plan Draft as it has been presented to us like also the earlier Plans. I will try to indicate why this is $\mathbf{s o}$.

There are three major reasons for growing unemployment in India. Firstly, it is under concentration on heavy Industries. We have, to the detriment of almost every other sector of economic life, emphasised the heavy industries sector.

That is why we find that in spite of the great set-back of 1966-1968 and the crime of devaluation-I regard devaluation nothing less than a crime against the Indian people-the industrial production in 196869 was 155 on the basis of 100 as base for 1960-61. In spite of these great set-backs. there was this substantial increase in heavy industries in these eight years when there was no corresponding increase in other sectors. In fact there was a definite setback in almost all other sections of the national economy.

The second reason for growing unemployment is our wrong fiscal policies. This fiscal policy bas two aspects. One is increasing the cost of production on account of imposts, subsidy, excise and cnstoms which have pushed our cost of production to a level where we produce things at two or three times the landed cost of the same commodity imported from abroad. Take the case of steel. Japan imports many raw materials from us, they take scrap iron from us and they take ore from us, but the landed cost of their steel is half the production cost of steel in India. Similarly with sugar and almost every other thing. These wrong fiscal policies have pushed up our cost of production. We then add further indignity and injury to our own consumers by giving subsidies in order to make these very commodities avalable at international prices to the foreign buyer. We have, Sir, a truly wonderful situation. We spend one hundred crores of foreign exchange to import superior quality cotton in order to earn Rs. 80 crores by the export of cotton textiles. I do not think there is any other country in the world where they have this kind of wouderful fiscal policy. Similarly, we spend Rs. 22 crores on subsidy in order to earn Rs. 7 crores of foreign exchange by the export of sugar.

These are only some of the glaring examples. It is true that we have been told that exports bave increased. We have been told that they have increased in the last two or three years, but here also the figures are deceptive. At the present prices, the total exports in 1960.61 were Rs. 1000 crores, and today, in 1967-68, the latest figures available indicate that this was Rs. $\mathbf{1 2 0 0}$ crores : in other words an increase of about Rs. 200 crores in the course of
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about eight years. But this is agaln due to the heavy subsidies which I have montioned and of which I have given you two glaring examples." The same thing applies in almost every other field of export.

The position is truly alarming. The annual trade deficit was Rs. 54 crores a year before planning started. During the Socond Plan, the annual deficit was Rs. 400 crores. During the Third Plan it was Rs. 600 crores. When we did not have a five-year plan after 1966, we had only annual plans but in 1967-68. It was a trade deficit of Rs. 750 crores. This is the picture as it was in the past and as it is today,

Thirdly there is too much interference by Government, in addition to wrong fiscal policies and undue concentration on heavy indastries to the detriment of agroIndustries and to the detriment of agriculturo. We find, I am sorry to say, no indication of any change in the new Plan. I shall give you onty one or two figures to show that there is really no change in the Fourth Plan. in spite of certain brave words used by the planners. At page 27 of the Draft Plan, the planners themselves admit :
"Whlle continuing with effort in intensive irrigated agriculture and basic modern industry, it proposes to pay special attention to certain fields of productive activity, particularly in agriculture and related primary production which have been relatively neglected.".
In other words, the Planning Commission admits that agriculture has formerly been neglected. And what is the position that we find in the provision today? We find that in heavy industries, there is an apperent reduction of about one per cent. but if we take transport and communication along with heavy industries-and the two go together-we find that in the Third Plan, the comblned provision was 41.4 per cest, while it is 42 per cent in the Fourth Plan: In other words, an increase and not a: decrease This certainly is not a sign of sbifting omphasis. On the other hand, in the case of village and small-scale industries we find that the meagre provision of 4.1 per cent fa the Third Plan has been reduced further to 31 per cent in the Fourth Plan. This also shows that there is ceatinulag - egloet of agro-industries.

Simfiarly; the provision for agrifultare is apparent little more in the Fourth Plan. It is 15.6 per cent in the Pourth Plan as against 14 per cent in the Third Plan? But if we take irrigation and flood, control which go with agricutture; we find sgains the some story. The comblned provision in the Third Plan was 203 per cent while it is 19.9 por cent in the Fourth Plan.- Therefore, in agriculture, there has been a further reduction.

This neglect has been reflected in the very slow results in progress of agriculture. I would say that increase of productivity in agriculture has been:friztoningly slow. We have been told about the green revolation, about the Intensive Agricaltural Development Programme or I. A. D. P. But: 1 am very sarry to say that the revolution :exists very largely in the heads of thosentho speak about it ..

SHRI PILOO MODY (Godita): :s in the green heads.

SHRI HUMAYUN KABIR : s.tand not in the actual world. It is onty in a dreamland and not in the green land of as our country.

If wo take thereosts; the costs of agriculture in I.A.D.P. areas are about 75 per cent more than for traditional farming, and the cost of traditionat farming itself has Increased by more than 50 per cent during the last eight'years. But the productivity, even to the I.A:D.P. areas has not incerased in a commensurate way. If we take the total productivity, it 1967-68 it was only about 10 percent more than fri 196064 and was aetually less - than that in 1964 65: This is an "aspect ' which I think the Prime Minister and ${ }^{-1}$ the 'other Members of the Planning Commission should take particular note of! ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Why is it that there has been a decrease in the productivity per acre from 4964 -65 ? It is true that in certain selected areas? there bas been an inerease but the overatl prodactivity has not increased more than 10 per cent in eight or nine goars and has actualiy zilecreased since 1964-65.
$\therefore$ Even more alarming is the fact that of the total area under foodgrains it this country- 5 /7th is ander kbarif. $7 / 7$ th is under rabi, 'and 1/7th is under palses-in
the $5 / 7$ th which is under kharif cereals, the total production has not increased by morethan 10 per cent in spite of heavy investments. Thotigh there has been increase in food production, we are still faced with a very serious situation. Food production has almost doubled, but this is due to increese in area. In India, the emphasis should be increased production not through increase of area but by increase. of production per acre.

I think that the policy of mechanising agriculture is entirely mistaken. Goverament are pursuing. a wrong policy which will place heavier burdens on this country ; it will not only place beavier economic burdens but it will create a situation where there will be social explosion by ereating largerscale in emptoymeat in the countrya; side.

I will come back to that in a minute. I will just refer for a second to the question of price. At 1952-53 prices. the wholesale price index of foodgrains in $1960-61$ was only 102 . In other words, in roughly about 7 or 8 years, the increase had been by $2 \%$. In 1966.67, the index went up to 220. Today also it is over 200. Therefore, there has been a sharp increase since $1960-61$. In spite of the increased production and removal of controls, prices have not fallen mainly because-of the wrong policiesfood zones, restrictions on movements which did not allow prices to find their normal level.

Anothar example of the negleat of the rural sector and evidence that Government has not changed its policy is seen from the fact that out of Rs. 2,000 crones provided for power, only Rs. 150 crores bave been earmarked for rural electrification. If we want capied agricultural development, if we want more-agro-iadustries, then we must provide more for rural power.

Now I come to the last part of my speech - my suggestion of remedies. I think the situation is bad but not yet incurable, if only Goveroment will change some of its policies. In the substitute motion I have moved, I have indicated some of the lides on which these policies should change.

The greatest emphasis must be on empleymenat: i: Unless rexou: can inproviden employment to people who are willing and able to work, there will be no peace in this
country. You cannot maintain law and order by the police alone. When millions of young men and women, many of them educated, do not have employment, homilies are not going to work regulations are not going to do much good. I am therefore, frightened by the policy of Government in introducing mechanisation into agriculture on a fairly large scale. I am also surprised at the conduct of some of the so-called progressive parties who are fighting against automation where only 2,000 people may be thrown out of employment. It is a doubtful proposition ; it may or may not materialise; even if it does happen, only 2,000 people may be thrown out of employment in the whole of India. Even when it has been said that alternative employment will be found for them, they agitate. But there is no agitation against the import of a very large number of tractors from all sorts of countries in the world, 25,000 tractors from the Soviet Union alone...

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : Please conclade.

SHRI HUMAYUN KABIR : I am concluding. I am suggesting the remedies.

If we develop agriculture and agroindustries on the basis of small proprietary farms, and if we take up an intensive programme of rural electrification, rural road constructian and rural housing, these are the three things which can provide employment to millions during the period of construction, and when the construction is over to thousands in their maintenance. By electrification, we can convert almost every peasant home into a kind of miniature factory so that on the basis of the family unit itself, we can bave small agro-industries which will largely solve the problem of unemployment in this country.

Then there must be a change in the fiscal policy with greater emphasis on development of the internal market. I am not against exports. Everybody wants exports, but exports. should not be based only on subsidy. We should develop our own exports in the same way as the U.S.A. or the U.S.S.R. did. Buy first developing a vast internal market, the unit of production because large and the cost of produc tion was cut down, with the result that they
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are today able to compete on equal terms in the international market.

There should be far less intefference by Government in every aspect of economic life, except only in those strategic sectors required for dational defence.

There should, therefore be a larger transferance of funds from beavy industry to conservation of soil and water by afforestation, to the revival of dead and dying rivers. There should be proper measures for adequate and timely supply of water and provision for drinage.

J conclude by saying that the plan should be redrafted with special emphasis on the following three things firstly full employsuent or, if full employment is not possible within the next four or flve years, as near to full employment as possible but the aim must be full employment. If we can reduce unemployment by even 80 per cent, most of the major problems of this country will have been solved. There will be an immediate demand for more consumer goods and this will, in turn, help the medium industries, the heavy industries and the metallurgical industries. The entire economic machinery wiil start revolving again.

Secondly, we should aim at rise in productivity, not merely by extension of areas in agriculture, oot merely by multiplication of units in industry. Government establishes one big mill after aoother, but where is the rise in productivity? Unless this is done, our products can never compete in the open market. Thirdly and equally important there must be improvement in quality.

With these words 1 beg to move my substitute motion that the Planning Commission be asked to redraft the Plan, keeping in view the requirements of full employment, full development of agriculture and agro industries and with special emphasis on productivity and improvement in quality, ratner than frittering away our energies and resources on too many things as is being done at present.

धी बन्द्रिका प्रसाब (बलिया) : माननीय उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, माननीय प्रषान मंत्री बी द्वारा जो घोथी वंचवर्षीय योजना का परारूप

देश के सामने रखा गया है, उसका में जोरदार समर्थंन करता हूं। लेकिन हमारे उषर के भाइयों ने यह भालोचना की है कि इन तीन पंचवर्षीय योजनाभों में देशा में र्रगति नहीं हुई है। भ्राप यह देल कि पहले सुई भोर भालपीन भी बाहर से श्राता था, भ्राज यहां पर साइकिल से लेकर हवाई जहाज घोर रेलवे के इंजन भौर दूसरी बड़ी बड़ी महीनें बन रही हैं। इस पर भी भगर हमारे उस तरफ के भाइयों को कोई प्रग्गति नहीं दिसाई पढ़ती है तो हम क्या कर सकते हैं।

कुष्ष भाइयों ने यह भालोचना की है कि चतुर्य पंचवर्षीय योजना में उन्हें कोई पं रशा नहीं मिलती है। इनका काम सिर्फ भ्रालोषना करना है घौर इस तरह से ये जनता में हमारे विरुद्ध विषाक्त वातावरसा पैदा करते हैं। वह तो एक रचनात्मक कार्यक्रम है मोर इसमें सब लोगों को धामिल होना चाहिए और सब का सहयोग मिलना चाहिए जिससे यह महायज्ञ पूरा हो सके। लेकिन मैं यह कहना चाहता हैं कि पंचवर्षीय दोजनाग्रों में जहां देश श्रागे बढ़ा है, उसके सम्पूरां भाग को आ्रागे बढ़ना चाहिए था लेकिन कुछ हिस्से देश के उस प्रगति से वंचित रह गये हैं। उत्तर प्रदेश जो हमारे भारतवर्ष का मस्तिष्क रहा है, वह पूरी तरह से पीक्षे हो गया है। इन्डस्ट्ट्रयल सेक्टर में हमारा प्रदेश इतना पीछे रह गया है कि तीन पंचवर्षीय योजनाभों में जहां श्रोर प्रदेषा इतने भागे बढ़ गये हैं वहां उत्षर प्रदेश बहुत पिछ्छह़ गया है में भ्रापके सामने कुछ भांकड़े रखना चाहता हूँ। पहली प्लान में जहां कुल इन्वेस्टमेंट 45.3 करोढ़ रुपये था, यू०पी॰ में वह निस रहा, सैकेन्ड प्लान में जहां 694.2 करोढ़ था घ्रोर यू०पी० में निल रहा, थर्ड प्लान में 1144.2 करोढ़ था तो इसमें यू॰पी० में 72.1 करोड़ रुपये है। इस तरह से कुल टोटल 1883.7 करोढ़ था तो 72.1 करोड़ रुपया तीनों पंचवर्षीय योजनाभों में उत्तर पदेश को ₹ंउस्ट्रियल सेक्टर में मिला है। इस तरह से छसमें उत्तर

प्रदेषा का हिस्सा 3.8 होता है जोकि बिल्फुल नगण्य है। इतनी बड़ी विषमता है।

हमारे छत्तर प्रदेश की भाबादी सारी भाबादी की 16 भौर 17 प्रतिशत है। हमारे उत्तर प्रदेश की पर केपीटा इन्कम जो सेन्ट्रल इन्वेस्टमेंट हुप्रा है, उसमें 9.5 परसेन्ट है जब कि सारे देश की 45 है। यह भयंकर विषमता है। में प्रषान मंंती जी से निवेदन करूंगा कि कम से कम इस भयंकर विषमता को दूर करने के लिए हमारे यहां सेन्ट्रूल प्रोजेक्ट्स दें जोकि उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार ने मांगे हैं। लाल बहादुर शास्र्री जी के वक्त से उत्तर प्रदेश वंचित रहा है। इसलिए उत्तर प्रदेश में बलिया में हूगः काभ्रापरेटिव फंक्टरी, बनारस के राम नगर में ट्रेक्टर फँष्टरी, घलाहाबाद में पम्प भोर कप्प्रेसर की फंक्टरी, बरेली में हिन्दुस्तान केबिल्स भोर दे हरााूून में सीमेंट फंष्टरी इत्यादि सेन्ट्रल प्रोजेक्ट दिये जायें। मंश्री-परीषद ने ह्नको मंबूर किया है भोर सेन्ट्रल प्रोजेक्ट के लिए लिखा है । देशा में 8 या 10 परसेन्ट इन्वेस्टमेंट योजना में स्कस्ट्रिय सेकटर में लगाने की ब्यवस्था है। इस तरह से हमारे उत्षर प्रदेश को, जो सेन्द्रल प्रोजेक्ट भाज तक मंत्रा हुए हैं, 900 करोड़ रुपये मिलना चाहिए लेकिन भ्रगर उतना नहीं कर सकते हैं तो प्रषान मंत्री बी से प्रार्थना कहलंगा कि छसका ध्राषा भी किया जाए तो भी कम-से-कम 350 या 400 करोढ़ रुपये की व्यबस्था तो उत्तर प्रदेश के लिए करें ताकि हमारा उत्तर प्रदेश जो दो प्लान ीीछे चला गया है बह कम-से-कम दूसरे प्रदेशों के समान तो भाए। भगर उत्तर प्रदेश प्रगति नहीं करेगा तो पूरा देघ प्रगति नहीं करेगा।

मै प्राइवेट सेकटर में विश्वास नहीं करता हैे लेकिन लाइसेसेसग की भापकी उदार नीति होनी चाहिए। जहां पर प्राइवेट सेक्टर लगा हुभा है वहां पर लाइसेस न देकर भाप ऐसी जगह, बंसे उत्तर प्रदेश, लाइसेंस दें जहां पर उदोग नहीं हैं। उत्तर प्रदेश की सरकार ने प्राइवेट स्षेष्टर की एक लिस्ट बना कर मेरे पास

भेजी है म्रोर में इसको सबन की टेबुल पर रसने को तैयार हूं । उसमें लखनक में छ्बोटी मोटरों के लिए फंक्टरी ध्रोर पूर्वी जिलों में स्कूटर के लिये लिखा है। म्रोर भी लिखा हुशा है भौर वह में सदन की टेबुल पर रखने को तंयार हूं। वहां पर भ्राप इनके लाइसेंस दें घ्रोर लाइसँस की श्रापकी उदार नीति होनी चाहिए ।...

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : The hon. Member may continue his speech after the lunch recess. I want to inform the hon. Members on this side that I want to accommodate two Members who have not participated. So, they should restrict themselves to seven minutes. Then alone I shall be able to do it : otherwise it will be difficult for me to accommodate them.
13.00 hrs .

> The Lok Sabha adjourned for Lunch till Fourteen of the Clock
> The Lok Sabha re-assembled after Lunch at five minutes past Fourteen of the Clock
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MOTION RE. FOURTH FIVE YEAR
PLAN-DRAFT-Contd. PLAN-DRAFT-Contd.

MR. CHAIRMAN : Mr. Chandrika Prasad may continue his speech.

SHRI NITIRAJ SINGH CHAUDHURI! (Hoshangabad) : Sir, under Rule 292, I move that the time for discussing the Plan may be extended by one hour more.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The Speaker has already announced in the House that the time for the debate will be extended by one hour and the hon. Prime Minister will reply to the debate at 3.30 P.M. It is impossible to have any further extension. I am sorry.

भी चनिका प्रसार: सभापति महोदय, चतुर्य पंचवर्षीय योजना में विषेष समस्याभों वाले प्रदेश को विघोष सुविषायें देने की बात कही गई है। दस परसेंट के हिसाब से $\mathbf{3 1 0}$ करोढ़ सारे प्रदेश में बांटने में हमारे प्रदेश को
[श्री चन्त्रिका प्रसाद]
25 करोड़ रुपया मिलने की बात है । मेंरा कहना है कि मेरा प्रदेश जो है उसके सामने श्रनेक समस्यायें हैं प्रदेश में किसी हिस्से में बढ़ भ्राती है, कहीं सूखा पड़ता है, कहीं मथंकर बेकारः है। उत्तर प्रदेश के पूर्वी जिलों में, विषोषकर भांसी; बुन्देलबंड चिविजनों में भूमि की समस्यायें हैं । उत्तर प्रदेशा के पहाड़ी इलाकों में चमोली, उत्तरकाशी घोर fिठोरागढ़ जिनष्षि सीमा चीन से लगी हुई हैं वहां केवल उनकी समस्यायें नहीं हैं, उत्तर प्रदेशा के पूर्वी जिले गोरखपुर से भी चीन की सरहद्दे लगी हुई हैं। हमाऱा प्रदेश सबसे बड़ा प्रदेश है। इसकी माबादी सबसे ज्यादा है। हमारे प्रदेशा से भाषे जो प्रदेश है उनको 40 करोड़ देने की बात कही गई है। मेरा कहना है कि हमारी ग्रनेक समस्याप्रों को :द्देखकर कम-सेफम जो हमारी भाबादी है- $16-17$ फीसदी उसके हिसाब से दें। मेरा माननीय प्रधान मंत्री जी से श्रनुरोष है कि बह हमारा इस योजना में ब्याल करें।

हमारे यद्वां भटामिक पावर स्टेशान देने की बात भ्रलीणढ़ में चल रही थी। लेकिन घ्रभी हमको ःसुनकर बड़ा हुख हुमा है कि चतुर्थ पंत्रबर्षीय योजना में उसको शामिल नही किया गया है । भ्रटामिक पावर क्टेशान महायाष्ट्र को, घांध को घ्रोर 'मद्रास को मिल चुका है । राजस्थान को भी मिल चुका, है श्रोर श्रब में चाहूंगा कि चत्तर श्रदेश को मिलना चाहिए। उप्रर प्रदेका में एक घ्रटामिक पावर स्टेशन लगाना चाहिए।

हमारा प्रदेश कृषि-प्रषान प्रदेश है। हमारे प्रदेष्य के 80 फीसदी लोग कृषि पर काम करते हैं। इस צदेश में बड़ीनड़ी नदियां है, यह नदियों का प्रदेश है, लेकिन वहां पर सिचाई की कोई क्यवस्था नही हो पायी है। हमारे यहां बाढ़. से सूला बरबाद हो जातः है। पूर्वी उतर प्रवेश - के जिले "बाढ़ से हमेक्ष बर्वम्य होते है। पोर। खाढ़: सके सिये धाफ्ने बतुर्थ पंचकर्षीय योज़ाम्बति लितनम सें, श्रणक भ्राष 200 पज पर देबेढ़ों; خेवल करोड़ प्रता: रक्षा: क्या है

5 वर्ष के लिए। हम सममत्ते है कि डेढ़न्दो करोद्ध रुपया प्रति वर्ष खर्त होगा.। जहां से में घ्याता हूँ, बलिया में बाढ़ के लिए भारतषष्ष के चीफ़ इंजीनियर पोर हमारे सिचाई मंत्री जी ने जो योजना बनाई उसमें डेढ़-दो करोड़ क्पया लग र्हा है। दो करोड़ रुपये प्रदेश के एक हिस्से में लगें तो दूसरे हिस्से बैसे ही रह जायेंगे। में भारत सरकार से निवेदन करूंगा कि इस योजना पर 8 करोड़ की जगह पर 20 करोड़ रुप होने चाहिए।

हमारे प्रदेष के पूर्वी जिलों-में नः स्फूल हैं, = न मस्पताल हैं, न हमारे यहां कोई बैंटरतरी कालेज है, न मेंडिकल कालेज है 120 जिलों में 6 करोए़ की प्राबादी है। हमारे : स्वर्गीव प्रधान मंत्रो भंकित जवाहरलाल मेहरू ने यहां की बयनीय दका को देखकर पटेल कमेटी को बैठाया : था। उसके चाषार पर हमें -भ्राष्वासन :बिया: गया बा कि उत्तर अद्रदेश के पूर्वी मिलों के विकस : के लिए क्षारा काम भारत सरकारह: करेगी। लेकिन-भाज तक उस कमेदो की सिफान : रिषें सटाई. में पड़ी हैं। म्में चहूँग कि इस पंचवर्षीय स्रोजना में पटेल कमेटी की सारी :रिकमेंगेरात को प्लान में लागू करने के लिए-। उचित ब्यवस्था की जाए। कहा जाता है कि जब घ्रीन श्रोर-पाकिस्ताम की लड़ाई हुँ तो ये सारी योजनायें रद्द कर दी गई है।

हमारे बुम्देलसंध्र भोर भांसी धिवीजन की $\times$ पथरीली बमीन फी भनेकों समस्पएएं हैं 1 कहींज पर सूबा-पढ़ता हैं;पानी क्र भ्रकाल है तो कहीं पर बढ़ ज्राती है। मिर्जापुर में पानी का प्रकाल है। इन समस्याभों के हल के लिए चोथी वंचवर्षीय योजना में स्रमुचित .ब्यवस्था रहनी प्रावष्यक है।






इलाकों की भी भ्रोर विछोषकर उनके सार्मरिक महत्व के कारा मी चतुर्य पंख्र्षीय योजना में सहायता करनी चाहिए।

भ्रागामी कुछ महीनों में हम देश में गांषी घतान्दी-समारोह मनाने बा रहे हैं। में चाहूँगा कि हमारा प्रदेशे जो कि सबसे पिछ्ठड़ा हुग्रा भोर सबसे कमजोर व गरीब है उसकी दयनीय स्थिति को देसते हुए सरकार उसकी सहायता के लिए विशोष रूप से ब्यवस्था करे। हमारा उत्तर प्रदेश बोकि भारतवर्ष का सिरमोर है भगर वह पीछे पह़ जायगा तो भारतवर्ष श्रागे बहीं होगा 1 घ्रगर देश को बचना है तो हमारा उत्तर पदेशे जोकि दो हिस्सों में है, पूर्वी हिस्सा जिसमें गोरखपुर, बस्ती भोर पशिचमी हिस्से में उत्तरकारी, चम्बोली श्रोर पिथोरागढ़, यह चीन के साथ लगे हुए हैं भ्रोर भ्रगर सामरिक दृष्टिकोरा से हमारी मदद नहीं की गई भ्रोर भगर हमारे प्रदेश पर हमला हुप्रा तो सारा देश गुलाम हो जायगा। इसलिए सामरिक दृष्टिकोएा से सारी योजनाभों को रखने के लिए हमें चतुर्य पंचवर्षीय योजना में परिवर्तन करना बड़ा भापष्यक है।

में इस सिलसिले में यह भी निवेदम करना चाहूगण कि उत्तर प्रदेश ने कभी भी भोवरड्राफ्ट नहीं किया है। घप्ने fिसोर्सेज से जो कि तीनों योजना में रहे हैं उन्हीं से उसने काम चलाया है। भन्य सूबों की तरह से भोवरब़ाप्ट या कर्जा लेकर अ्रया इंइयोरेंस से कर्षां लेकर हमने कभी भी भापकी दिककतों को नहीं बढ़ाया है। घब पगर हम इस तरीके से बंषानिक तरीके से चलते हैं प्रोर श्रापकी कठिनाइयां नहीं बढ़ाते भर्र न कोई संकट पैदा करते हैं तो कम से कम भाप हमारे ऊपर घ्यान देकर हमारी मदद करें ताकि हृमारा प्रदेष विद्धढ़ा प्रदेशा न रह सके। इन शब्दों के साथ में चतुर्य पंचवर्षीय योजना का स्वागत करता हैं ।

SHRI A. K. KISKU (Jhargram) : Mr. Chairman, Sir, I thank you for the opportunity that 1 am getting for the first time in this session to speak and I would like
to use my time to elaborate only two points before this House.

First of all, I would like to bring before the attention of this House one simple line from the Draft Plan on page -334-20.7 where it is said :
"The first step necessary for raising the economic condition of tribal people is protection from exploitation."

Mr. Cbalrman, Sir, do you want us adivasis to beliece this? How many thousand times Government have said so, and what have they done so far ?' This exploitation is from two aspects. One is economic and the other is political. As adivasis we find that the Government has proved to be the most bostile of the exploiters. What have you done in Bibar? There your public sector and private sector projects have taken away the land of the adivasis and they have been made refugees in . their homeland. To verify this you have to took in the areas of Ranchi, Bokaro and other places. Da these projects provide employment for them? Go and find out in Kiruburu Iron Ore area and hundreds of other mining and industrial areas. You will find that adivasis have been deprived in large' numbers from their ordinasy employment. This is the picture almost ewerywhere.

There is political exploitation also. What is happening to about thirty lakhs of adivasis in Goalpara, Kamrup Darang, Lakhimpur, Nowgong,. Cachar and Shibsagar districts and other tea garden areas of Assam? These adivasis are nurturing the tea industry of our country and helping by the sweat of their brow to earn crores of rupees as foreign exchange. But they are being denied the simp'e privilege of being regarded as Scheduled Tribes.

A large .number of these people who stay in plain areas and produce foed for our country are being denied this recognition. A brahmin, wherever he goes, is a brahmin. Why, then, a Scheduled Tribe, wherever he moves, should not be regarded as a Scheduled Tribe and allow him to enjoy all the privileges that have been guaranteed to him under the Constitution? Sir, do you know why this has been done? Because, as the Lokur Committec points out, the Government of Assam is consistently opposed to any change in the status
[Shrl A. K. Kisku]
on the ground that it would seriously distarb the political picture. Is it not political suppression? It has also been reported that the settlers of the tea garden area have tended to lose their tribal characteristic in the new surroundings. This is absolutely false. Therefore, do you want us to believe that the Government is going to set up any machinery for the protection of the tribals?

Shri Minoo Masani has explained this Plan as a plan of stagnation. In our view it is not only a useless plas but it is a dangerous plan which will perpetuate the economic concentration of the group and perpetuation of slavery for us.

In this Plan there is, again, that simple 'musti-bhksha, handful of alms in the form of Tribal Development Blocks and scholarships. But even in the matter of the Trihal Development Blocks we find that the Government have failed to implement the programme proporly. Moreover, the States have been discriminated against. West Bengal has no Tribal Development Block although there are 20 lakhs of Adivasis in large concentrated pockets in West Bengal. Regarding scholarships I had put a specific question to the Minister in a meeting of the Consultative Committee for the Department of Social Welfare as to why the Central Government does not look into the proper and timely disbursement of scholarships. The reply from our Law Minister was "We are helpless." Sir, we are surprised at the most undignified escapermentality that we have ever seen.

Since we are going to adopt this Plan for the entire nation, I would like that the House should specially consider this aspect of production from exploitation. There are millions of unfortunate Scheduled Castes who are still sufforing from horrible untouchability.

You speak of people's participation in this Plan. Have the Adibasis been taken Into consideration in the drafting of the Plan? Have they been given opportunities for participation? Or, does the country think, the planners think that we Adibasis are plan-blind? The whole world was amazed to see the plans of Mohanjo Daro nod Harappa. Who made these plans? They were made by us. the Adibasis, who
are the original inhabitants of this country The democracy that we have today has its origin from us. Even today we maintain those democratic forms in our life. There is "Kulhi-dwrup, i.e., consultation by the villagers, in the "trible belts. Wo have the pargana system, ie., deliberation by the "area-elders.." Yet, in the text-books of tolay the Adibasis are being depicted as barbarous, as Asura, a "Asabhya" or uncivilised. The present government has falled to see and appreciate our rich heritage in art, culture, poetry, songs, language history, agriculture, science and medicine. We are as patriotic as anybody else. Yet, do the Santhals, who first revolted against the British Government under the leadership of two brothers, Siddu and Kano, have a place of honour in our Indian national bistory? The Mudas had fought under the leadership of Biswa Bhagwan. Do they have a place of honour in our national bistory?

Where have this Government kept us for the last twenty years after Independence We are patriots; we love our country. But we have been neglected, not only neglected but exploited in all kinds of ways.

Today, my hon. friend, Mr. Dwivedy, brought before the House that the youth of the country want to see our leaders about the problem of unemplyment. I would like to quote a few lines from one of the recent reports of the U.N. on Youth Unest where it is stated :
"What is being done or not being done for youth, with youth and by youth is perhaps the most important yardstick for judging the effectiveness of economic and social development plans in all the countries of the world. The youth of the world have begun to predominate the world affairs and the world opinion will come to mean the opinion of the youth and the cooflict between the generations will assume proportions not previously imagined unless the impatience of the youth is seen as development potential."
A day has come when this plan must be discussed by our youth in different forums, in the universities, in the colleges and in national forums so that the feel they are partlcipating in this national Plan and

It is for them and for the future generat-ions-and these youth people who will be dominating our affairs in India.

I would like to focus their attention to the national aspect of the Plan. I would like to point out first to the regional imbalance aspect. In the Plan, there is a mention of keeping the regional balance and this is very important. On behalf of the people of West Bengal, I would like to say that West Bengal has a feeling that it has been deprived of its propershare in the last three Plans. Even today I would like to draw the attention of the hon. Prime Minister that in the Govsrnment, in the Cabinet, there is not a single man from West Bengal to represent West Bengal in the Central Cabinet. This is a terrible imbalance in the Government. I would request the hon. Prime Minister to kindly restore this balance.

AN HON. MEMBER : There is Dr. Triguna Sen in the Cabinet.

SHRI A. K. KISKU : I have all respect for Dr. Triguna Sen. He is a Bengali ; he is our friend. But constitutionally he comes from Tripura. I would like to say that for the Members who are elected from West Bengal, a place should be given as soon as possible in the Central Cabinet.

There are innumerable problems of Calcutta. Calcutta has been burdened with all kinds of problems because of the refugees. The refugee problem was not created by West Bangal. It was created by the leaders at the Centre. There is the question of the second bridge across the Ganges; there is still the question of the circular railway; there are problems of busti people, sanitation and drainage of Calcutta and of Greater Calcutta.

Finally, I would like to draw their attention to rural Bengal which needs rural electrification. Unless the rural areas are electrified soon, Bengal is going to suffer and already they are lacking in food production. It is a must. We also need proper share of Plan funds for communication and roads.

Lastly, as I am speaking of Bengal. I would also at the same time like to draw the attention of the Government to an area -I drew the attention of the Govern-
ment in my three previous speeches alsowhich is on the border of Orissa, Bihar and West Bengal-Thragram Sub-division of Midnapore district, Raipur and Raniland area of Bankura district, Burdware and Balarampore area of Purulia district, Singbhum district of Bihar and parts of Orissa. which is a tribal area. Therc are no roads or communications or tribal development blocks. It is only the line of demarcation that has been done across the States and it is artificial for us. I would say that this area should be properly looked after and development plans should be made as soon as possible.

MR. CHAIRMAN : Mr. Raj Deo Singb.

I bave a fairly long list of Congress members. The time at our disposal is very short. They may take five to seven minutes eacb, so that I can accommodate asmany as possible.

धी राबवेव स्तह (जोनपुर) : भापने मुभे चौथे प्लान पर बोलने का मोका दिया, इसके लिए मैं भापको धन्यवाद देता हूं।

हमारे भभी तक जो प्लान चले हैं, वे हमारे संविषान में जो डायरेक्टिव प्रिसिपल्स दिये गए हैं, उन्हीं पर भ्राषारित हैं। सोशल जस्टिस, इकोनोमिक जस्टिस, तथा कंस्ट्रेशन श्राफ वैल्य तथा मीज याफ प्रोडक्रान कुछ एक हाथों में न हो जाए, इस चीज को हमेशा छ्यान में रखा गया है । इन्हीं डायरेक्टिव प्रिसिपल्ज की बुनियाद पर हमारे भभी तक प्लान चले हैं। इसी बुनियाद पर यह् प्लान भी बनाया गया है।

लेकिन सभापति महोदय, मैं समभता हूँ कि जितना काम होना चाहिये नहीं हुमा है। सोण़िल जस्टिस के मामले में हम देखते हैं कि भ्राज भों हमारे देश में छुुमाहूत है भोर बड़ी नंगी शक्ल में यह हमें दिखाई दे रही है। छ़्माध्तू को दूर करने लिए जितने भ्रान्दोलन भाज तक चलाये गये हैं वे बुनियादी तोर से गलत थे। हम जानते हैं कि भद्बूतों की माली हालत भाज भच्छी नहीं है । भ्रगर उनकी हालत, उनकी श्राथिक हालत पच्छी हो जाए तो समाज उ़नको उस हिकारत
[श्रो राजदेव सिंह]

की निगाह से नहीं देखेगा जिस हिकारत की निगाह से बह उनको भाज देखता है। इसलिए भगर भनटचेबिलिटी को दूर करना है जँसा कि हमारे डाइरेक्टिव प्रिसिपल्ज में दिया गया है तो हमें उनकी धाथिक हालत घ्रच्छी करनी होगी, उनके लिए श्राधिक कार्यक्रम बनाने होंगे ।

फैमिली प्लार्निग के लिए इस प्लान में तीन सौ करोड़ रुपया एलाट किया गया है। लेकिन जिस तरह से देशा में फैमिली प्लार्निग का काम चल रहा है, यह रुपया बेकार जा रहा है । भर्थं शास्त्र का यह नियम है कि जहां गरीबी भषिक होती है वहां भाबादी भी ज्यादा बढ़ती है। इस वास्ते मेरा सुभाव है कि तोन सो पचास करोढ़ रुपया गरीबी दूर करने में तथा द्सरे प्रोग्रामों में लगाया जाय भ्रोर पचास करोड़ रुपया विगोरस प्रापेगंडा फैमिली प्लानिग के सम्बन्ध में करने के लिए लगाया जाए। जिस तरह से जिले जिले में फंमिली प्लार्निग का काम हो रहा है, उसको देखा जाए तो पता चलेगा कि कागजों पर ही सारा काम हो रहा है, उसका ग्रसर उतना नहीं पढ़ रहा है जितना पढ़ना चाहिए। इस वास्ते इस श्रोर श्रापको विशेष घ्यान देना होगा ।

इकोनोमिक जस्टिस का जहां तक सम्बन्घ है, यह महसूस तो किया गया है कि देश के तमाम हिस्सों का यूनिफार्म डिवेलेपमेंट हो। प्रोर जो ड्रफ्ट फोर्थ प्लान में है वह इसको एफर्म भी करता है लेकिन इस तरह की चीज़ नहीं की गई है जिससे तमाम हिस्सों का यूनिफार्मं छिवेलेपमेंट हो। हम लोग सोच रहे थे कि प्लान के लागू होने पर ऐसी कार्रवाई होगी जिससे कंस्ट्रकशान श्राफ वैल्थ नहीं होगा ग्रोर मींज्र श्राफ प्रोडक्মन जोकि 75 परिवारों के हाथ में चले गये हैं, उनके हाथ में नहीं रहेंगे, वे बहुत ज्यादा फँलंगे, छ्टिटरेंगे। कंस्ट्रकशान श्राक वैल्य जो हुग्मा है ग्रोर मींज़ ग्राफ प्रोडक्रान जो म्रभी कुछ हाथों में चले गये हैं, इनके लिए जो हमारा इंडस्ट्रियल लाइस्सेंस इशू करने व.रने का तरीका है, वह डिफ़क्टिव है ।

सरकार के हाथ में ताकत है, शाक्ति है घ्रोर वह इंडस्ट्रियल एक्ट के तहत कंपिटलिस्ट्स को, इंडस्ट्रियलिस्ट्स को मजबूत कर सकती है वोकेशन के बारे में, छंड्ट्रीप़्र के बारे में । लेकिनु ऐसा म्राज तक नहीं किया गया है। इसकी एक ग्लेर्यरंग मिसाल में देना चाहता हूँ। हमारे देश में तोन कार फैक्ट्रीज़ हैं। बहुत दिनों से इन तीनों की मौनोपोली बनी हुई है। ये फैक्ट्रियां हर साल कार के दाम बढ़ाती जाती हैं। इस साल भी उन्होंने गवर्नमेंट को लिखा है कि वे दाम बढ़ाना चाहती हैं। उनका मैटीरियल लगातार खराब होता जा रहा है। चूंकि गवर्नमेंट छस सम्बन्ष में कई दफा श्राशवासन दे चुकी है, इसलिए लोग यह उम्मीद करते हैं कि चोथी पंचवर्षीय योजना में ₹माल कार या पीपल्ज्ज कार को पब्लिक सेक्टर में बनाने की व्यवस्था की जायेगी, लेकिन हम देखते हैं कि ऐसा नहीं किया गया है।

हाल ही में इंडस्ट्रीज मंत्रालय के सेक्रेटरी के नाम से एक कमेटी बमी थी। उस कमेटी ने जो रिपोटं दी है, श्रभी यह हमारे सामने नहीं है । प्राइम मिनिस्टर ने भी घपने स्पीच में उस रिपोर्ट का जिक्र किया था। प्रेस में इस बारे में जो कुष्ट ग्राया है, उससे पता चलता हैं कि रिपोर्ट में कहा गया है कि कीपिटलिस्टों को इस बात के लिये मजबूर किया जाये कि वे पहले ही चेवेलेप्ड भौर इंडस्ट्रियलाइज्ड एरियाज में, जो कि बड़े-बड़े जहरों के करीब हैं, उद्योग स्थापित करने के बजाय पिछ्धड़े हुए क्षेत्रों में उद्योग लगायें। भर्रा इंड्ट्रीज मंग्रालय ने ईमानदारी से इस पालिसी पर श्रमल किया, तो इस समय देश में जो धलग भ्रग पावर्टी पाकेट्स हैं, वे बहुत हद तक दूर हो सकते हैं ।

हमारा एक डायरेक्टिव भ्रिसिपल यह भी है कि देश में दस साल के भीतर चोटह साल की घ्रायु तक फी भोर कम्पलसरी एत्रकेशंस कर दी जायेंगी। श्याज स्थिति यह् है कि भठारहु

सालों के बाद भी हम उस लक्ष्य तक नहीं पहुंच पाये हैं भोर इस मामले में हम बहुत पीछे हैं।

प्लान में वीषल्ज पाटिसिपेघन की बात कही जाती है । कहा जतता है कि प्लान के प्रति जनता में कोई उत्साह दिसाई नहीं देता है। हम देसते हैं कि राबनंतिक, रिलिजस घ्रोर लिखिवस्टिक प्रशनों के सम्बन्ष में तो जनता बहुत दिलचस्पी लेती है, हजारों लासों की संख्या में सभायें श्रोर प्रदर्षान भ्रादि किये जाते हैं, लेकिन इकानोमिक प्रश्नों पर देश की जनता कोई इंट्रेस्ट नहीं दिख। रही है। इसलिए जहरूत इस बात की है कि गवर्नमेंट ज्यादा स्पेठवकं करे श्रोर प्लान के सम्बन्ध में ज्यादा विगोरस प्रोपेगेंडा करे, ताकि लोगों में चोधी पंचवर्षीय योजना के प्रति घ्रधिक रचि प्रोर उत्साह पंदा हो।

जहां तक पिस्बढ़ेपन का सम्बनच है, यू॰पी० का एक बहुत ग्लेरिग केस है। पिद्बली तीन पंच-वर्षीय योजनाम्यों में यू॰पी० की उपेक्षा की गईें है, उसको इत्नोर किया गया है। 1951 में यू०पी० की पर केपीटा इनकम 259 रतये 62 पंसे थी, जब कि हिन्दुस्तान की पर केंपीटा इनकम 247 रुपये 50 पंसे थी। लेकिन इन अ्रठारह सालों में तीन पंचवर्षीय योजनामों होर तीन एक-एक बर्ष वाली योजनाश्रों के चलने के बाद श्राज यू०पी० की पर कैपीटा हनकम घट कर 254 रुपये हो गई है जब कि हिन्दुस्तान की पर कंपीटा हनकम बढ़कर 315 हो गई है।

यह सफ है कि चौथी पंचवर्षीय योजना में जो प्रोश्रथ रेट डिजायर किया गया है, उस के हिसमब से पू०धी० दो प्लान्ज पीछे है। हमारे देश में यू०पी० सबसे बड़ी स्टेट है, जहां देश की 17 परसंटंट माबादी रहढी है। लेकिन संद्रल एसियटेस में से यू॰ पी० को उसका हिस्सा नहीं मिष्षा है। पिछली पंचवर्षीय योजनाश्रों चोर वालिक योजनमझों में क्ष्ट्टल सेक्टर के लिए दिये गये 1883 करोढ़ हुपये में से यू०पा० को केवल 72. करो्ट़ रुया मिल़ा है। यू॰पी० के स़ाथ यह् बहुत्त बड़ी ज्यादती है । यू०पी० तभी दुकरी

स्टेट्स के समकक्ष श्रा सकता है, जब कि उसे अ्रन्य स्टेट्स से ज्यादा मदद दी जाये।

मैं कुछ फिमर्ज देकर बताना चाहता हैं कि यू०वी० कितना पिद्ध ह़ा हुश्रा है। नम्बर श्राफ़ इंडस्ट्टियल लाहमेंस इस्यूड के दृष्टि से हिन्दुस्तान की तमाम स्टेट्स में यू०पी० का नम्बर 8वां है भौर पर केपिटा कनजम्छान श्राफ इलंक्ट्ट्रसीटी में यू॰पी० 1951 में तमाम स्टेट्टस में तीसरे नम्बर पर था, जब कि श्राज वह तेरहवें नम्बर पर है। ये बातें किसी एरिया के प्रोघथ को जाहिर करती हैं।

जहां तक पर लंख वापुलेघान पक्का रोठ्ज का सम्बन्ष है यू०पी० में 20 किलोमीटर है, जब कि पूरे देश का ऐवेरेज 56 किलोमीटर है। इसी प्रकार प्राइवेट कार की संख्या के सम्बन्ष में यू॰पी० तमाम स्टेट्स में दसवें नम्बर पर है। राबस्थान भ्रोर गुजरात जंसी छोटी रियासतें भी हम से श्रागे हैं। रेडियो सेट्स की संख्या के बारे में भी यू॰पी० तमाम स्टेट्स में घठे नम्बर पर है। ये श्रांकहे़ प्रकट करते हैं कि हम कितने पिछड़े हुए हैं ।

1955 में नेछानल कोंसिल भाफ एप्लाईड इकानोमिक रिसर्च ने देका में सबसे गरीब जिलों को जानकारी प्राप्त करने के लिए 289 जिलों का सरवे किया था। उसमें यह देखा गया कि 146 रुपये से कम भ्रामदनी वाले 29 जिलों में 11 जिले यू०पी० में थे भौर 173 रुपये से कम श्रामदनी वाले 29 जिलों में 11 जिले यू॰पी० थे, जिसका भर्थं यह है कि हिन्दुस्तान के सबसे गरीब 58 जिलों में से 22 यू॰पी॰ में हैं ।

MR. CHAIRMAN : You kindly cooperate with the Chair. Your time is over. Shri Bal Raj Madhok.

धी बलर।ज मषोक (दक्षिएा दिल्ली) : सभापति महोदय, चौथी-पंचवर्षीय योजना के बारे में बहुत कुष्छ कहा जा सकता है, परन्तु चूंकि मेरे पास समय बड़ा सीमित है, इसलिए में कुछ बुनियादो बातों की धोर सरकार का छ्यान सींचना चाहता है. मोर कुछ दिल्ली के सम्बन्ध में भी कहना चाहता हूं।
[श्री वलराज मषोक]
हमारे देश में किसी भी धार्थक योजना या नीति को बनाते समय सबसे पहले यह प्रावइयक है कि हम यह जानें कि हमारे ोेश की घारिंक बीमारी क्या है। भगर कोई भी व्यक्ति प्रावजेक्टवली देखे, तो वह छस निष्कष्ष पर पहुंचेगा कि हमारे देश में दो बुनियादी भार्थिक सवाल हैं : एक बेकारी का मौर दूसरा हमारे देश की सेती के पिछ्छढ़पन का ।

हमारे देश में बेकारी की समस्या 1947 से पहले मी थी। इन योजनामों का पहला उद्दे इय यह होना चाहिए था कि बेकारी को दूर किया जाये घोर लोगों की काम दिया जाये। लेकिन दुर्भाग्यवश जो भांकड़े पहली तीन योजनापों के हमारे सामने हैं भोर चोथी पंचवर्षीय योजना का जो प्रारूप हमारे सामने है, उनके मुताबिक बेकारी कम होने के बजाये बढ़ती जा रही है श्रोर श्रागे भी उसके बढ़ने की सम्भावना है। सरकार कहती है कि हम क्या करे, देश की घाबादी बढ़ रही है। मगर में जानना चाहता है कि संसार के किस देश की श्याबादी नहीं बढ़ रही है। चूंकि भ्राबादी बढ़ रही है, इसलिए बेकार भी बढ़ने हैं, तो फिर ये योजनायें किस मर्ज की दवा हैं।

वास्तव में इन योजनाश्रों को बनाते समय इस बात का ब्याल बिल्कुल नहीं रखा गया कि हम लोगों को काम दें। हमने पब्लिक सेक्टर पर 4000 करोड़ रुपया बर्च किया है, लेकिन उसमें जो नयी फैंक्टरियां मोर नये प्रोजेक्ट घुरू किये ग़ये है, उनका एम्पलायमेंट पोटेंशल क्या है, उसमें नये लोगों को कार्य देने की क्षमता कितनी है, इस भोर कीई घ्यान नहीं दिया गया है। चोथी पंच-वर्षीय योजना में भी उसकी श्रोर ध्यान नहीं दिया जा रहा है। भगर दिया गया होता, तो यह बेकारी कुष्ब कम हो सकती थी।

इतना ही नहीं, हमारे देष में बड़ी भारी इनटर्नल माकेंट है, जो घोल्टठं मार्केँ है, उसके

लिए हम छ्छोटी इंड्ट्रीज पर भ्रहिक बल दे सकते थे । हमने यह नीति बनादें कि कुछ काम, कुछ मैनुफंक्वर, छोटे उद्योगों श्रोर छोटे कारसानों के लिए निहिचत कर दिये, लेकिन उस नीति पर भी भमल नहीं हो रहा है। इसका नतीषा यह है कि बड़ी इंडस्ट्रीज स्थापित हो रही हैं, बड़ी मघीनें श्रा रही हैं श्रोर उनते काम बढ़ने की बजाये कम हो रहा है।

इसलिए मेरा पहला सुभाव यह है कि हम इस योजना पर पुनविचार करें भोर नयी इंछस्ट्रीज लगाते समय इस बात का विशोष ब्यान रखें कि उनमें जितना इनवेस्टमेंट किया जाये, उसके मुताबिक ऐम्पलायमेंट पोटेंघल कितना बढ़ेगा भ्रोर जिन इंहस्ट्रीज का एम्पलायमेंट पोटेशल श्रघिक है, उनकी श्रोर श्रहिक घ्यान दिया जाथे।

हमारे पढ़े-लिखे लोगों में बेरोजगारी के बढ़ने से देश में एक विस्फोटक स्थिति पैदा हो रही है। उनको काम देने के लिए यह श्रावश्यक है कि ऐसी योजनायें बनई जायें, जिनके श्रन्तर्गत सरकार की श्रोर से उन्हें सहायता दी जाये, ताकि वे झपने पैरों पर खड़े हो सकें। छोटे-ब्बोटे को-भ्रापरेटिष्ज के श्राषार पर या उन्हें एड दे कर उनके ढ्वारा छोटी इंडस्ट्रीज लगवाने की व्यवस्या की जाये। लेकिन हम देखते हैं कि छस योजना में ऐसी कोई व्यवस्था नहीं की गई है। बोकारी के लिए भोर भ्यन्य कामों के लिए रुपया दिया गया है, लेकिन बेकारी दूर करने के लिए रुपया नहीं दिया गया है।

कहा जाता है कि हमारे देश में मेंक्रोन रेवोल्यूशन हो रहा है लेकिन वास्तविकता यह है कि भ्राज भी इस योजना में सेती को प्रायमिकता मिलती हमें दिसाई नहीं देती है। खेती की पहली भावरषकता पानी है। मगर हम इस प्लान में देलते हैं कि भ्रषिक बल कंमिकल फर्टिलाइजर्सं पर दिया जा रहा हैं। में उसके महत्व को कम नहीं करता। मगर पानी पहली पावइयकता हैं। हमने राजस्थान कैनाल के

लिए कितना रुपया दिया ? वह मुकम्मिल नहीं हो सका श्रोर उसके मुकम्मिल न होने की वजह से वहां जो भुस्समरी पड़ती है उसके ऊपर करोड़ों रुपया खर्चं करना पड़ता है। इसी को कहने हैं पेनो वाइज एन्ड पाउंड फुलिश। यदि हमने राजस्थान कंनाल को जल्दी मुकम्मिल किया होता, छोटी योजनामों पर ज्यादा रुपया दिया होता, पानी का प्रबन्ष किया होता तो जो रिलीफ के लिए भ्ररबों रुपया ख्रचं करना पढ़ता है बह बच सकता था। इसलिये मेरा यह कहना है कि खेती के लिये जो घ्यान देना चाहिए था। वह इस योजना में नहीं दिया जा रहा है।
14.41 hrs.
[Mr. Deputy-Speaker in the Chair]
दूसरी बात मुमे दिल्ली के सम्बल्ध में कहनी है। दिल्ली देश की राजषानी है मोर राजषानी होने के कार जिस द्रुतगति से दिल्ली की श्राबादी बढ़ी है, वह दुनियां के किसी दूसरे देश में नहीं बढ़ी। श्रष्यक्ष महोदय, मेरे पास घांकड़े हैं, दूसरे शहरों में श्राबादी जिस हिसाब से बढी है बह इस प्रकार है-कलकत्ता 139.72 परसेंट, बम्बई 227.44 परसेंट, मद्रास 140.49 परसेंट ग्रोर दिल्ली 427.74 परसेंट। इतनी तेज़ी से ग्राबादी दुनिया के किसी भी देश में नहीं बढ़ी है। यह जो श्राबादी बढ़ी है इसमें 88.7 परसेट म्रबंन भाबादी है । इतनी परसेटेज श्रबंन पापुलेशान की बढ़ोतरी का कहीं भी नहीं है। इस बड़े शहर के ठेवलपमेंट के लिए सरकार ने एक मास्टर प्लान बनाया। उस मास्टर प्लान के मुताबिक इस घहर के गेवलपमेंट के लिए लगभग 732 करोड़ रुपया चाहिए था। यह मास्टर प्लान सेंट्रल गवर्नेंमेंट ने बनाया। उसमें कहा है कि इसके भ्दन्दर जो ठेवलपमेंट का काम है, जो सोशल एमेनिटीज हैं उनको देने के लिए 732 करोड़ रुपया चाहिए। उस प्लान के भनुसार इस घाहर की भाबादी 55 लाख होनी थी मगर भब वह 67.24 लाख होने की उम्मीद है।

प्लान बनाने वालों को भ्रन्दाजा था कि हर साल श्राबादी 3.5 परसेंट के हिसाब से बढ़ेगी मगर एक्नुप्रली बड़ रही है 5.5 परसेंट के हिसाब से। उसी ग्राघार हर चलें तो भाज दिल्ली के बेवलपमेंट के लिए 1 हजार करोड़ रुपया चाहिए था। लेकिन श्रभी तक केवल 161 करोड़ रुपया खर्च किया गया है। इस चोथी योजना के लिए दिल्ली प्रशासन ने 400 करोढ़ रूपये की योंजना बनाई थी। मगर प्लार्नांग कमीशान के वकिग ग्रुप ने काट करके उसे 218 करोड़ के लगभग कर दिया है। लेकिन श्रब जो प्रारूप श्राया है दिल्ली के लिये उसमें केवल 155 करोड़ रसा गया है। हतने रुपये से दिल्ली की बुनियादी ग्रावइयकता भी पूरी नहीं होगी झ्रोर दिल्ली एक बड़ा भारी स्लम बन जायेगा। सबसे बुरी बात तो यह है कि न केवल दिल्ली को उचित रुपया नहीं दिया जा रहा है बल्कि जो दिल्ली के श्रपने रिसोर्सेज हैं वह भी दिल्ली के विकास के लिये दिल्ली प्रशासन को नहीं दिये जा रहे हैं। इस योजना के मुताबिक दिल्ली के श्रन्दर जो रेवेन्यू सरप्लस होगा वह लगभग 49 करोढ़ का होगा। नये टैक्सेज से हम 16 करोड़ रुए इकठुा कर सकेंगे। इसके श्रलावा इनकम फाम मेनेजमेंट श्राफ नछुल लंख से 5.42 करोड़ ख़या मिल सकता है। छोयर भ्राफ संट्रल टंक्सेज विज इनकम टिक्स, यूनियन एक्साइज एस्टेट ह्यूटी ऐन्ड ऐडीघानल एक्साइज ड्यूटी से 31.18 करोढ़ मिल सकता हैं। शोयर श्राफ यूनियन एमाल्यूमेंट्स से 670 करोढ़, रीइम्बसंमेंट भाफ एक्सपंँडीचर श्रान सेंट्रल गवर्नमेंट ऐक्टिविटीज बीइंग श्रटंडेड दु बाई दि एडमिनिस्ट्रेशन से 6.65 करोड़ थोर लोंस घगेंस्ट रीसिट्स काम नेशनल सेविंग स्कीम ऐन्ड प्राविडेंड फंड भ्राफ एम्प्लाईज से 10 करोड़ रुपया मिल सकता है। इस प्रकार यह जोड़ें तो दिल्ली जो प्रपने रिसोसेंज से रुपया इकट्ठा कर सकता है वह सब मिलाकर 120 करोड़ के करीब भाता है। हम कहते हैं कि जो 218 करोड़ रुपया माना है प्लारिग कमीबान के वकिग प्रुप ने उसके साथ
[绍 क्लरग्ब मषोक] दिल्ली के श्रपने रिसोसेंज भी जोड़ दिये जास़ं तो दिल्ली का प्लान लगभग 350 करोढ़ का बनता है। उसके बजाय 155 करोड़ रुपया दिल्ली को दिया जा रहा है। तो मेरा कहना है कि यह दिल्खी के साथ भेदभाव है। आग्राजल के ग्रन्दर जनसंघ का प्रशासन है। मगर दिल्ली देश की राजधानी है। दिल्ली में तो श्रापका भी शा स्सन है। ग्रगर दिल्ली का विकास होता है तो उसका क्र डिट ग्र्पको भी मिलता है। इसनिए दिल्लो के साथ ऐसा भेदभाव करना क्योंकि जन्संघ का शम्सन यह्रां पर है, मेरी प्रार्थक्त है कि यह बड़ो गलत बात होगी। में प्रषान मंत्री से ध्रोेर गवर्न्नमेंट से प्रग्थर्ना करुपा कि इस प्रारूप पर पुनर्बंचार कीजिए ओर दिल्ली की घावरयकतामों को देशिए। दिल्ली के प्लान के किए जो रुपया मंपूर किया हैं, उसे बढ़ाइए श्रोर दिल्ली को स्लम बनने से रोकिये। घन्यवाद।

उपाध्यक्ष बहोदेवय : श्री मृत्युंजय प्रसाद।
SHEIS. KANDAPPAN (Mettur) : He is coliecting his papers.

बी मृत्युंबय प्रसाद (महाराज गंज) : उपाष्यक्ष महोदय, समय के लिए घन्यवाद। मेरे मित्र ने कहा कि "हो इज क्लेक्टिग स्टेस्टिक्स"। स्टेटिस्टिक्स क्लेक्ट करके क्या करूंगा ? इतना समय तो मेरे पास है नहीं कि में कोई स्टेटि. स्टिक्स रख सकूं श्रोर श्रोर रखने से लाभ ही क्या है ? क्योंक दो एक बातें कहने से काम चलता नहीं है। यह विषय इतना बड़ा है कि ईसके बारे में बहुत बातें कहीनी होंगी घ्रोर वह संभव नहीं है। इसलिए में सिफ़ दो चार बातों की प्रोर सरकार का ध्यान दिलाऊंगर ।

पहघी चीज लो यह्ह है कि किरोषी पक्ष ने छुरूस्से ही प्ह नीचि बनाई, ऐसा लगता है कि बमे ■हो सब ब्वराब। ऐसी नात नहीं है। में तो इसमें निश्वास करता हू कि श्रापको बहुत निरम्षा हुई है, इघ्र योजना से, मुभे ची निराशा है।

हजारों र्वाहिहों ऐसी कि हर ख्वाहि्ञा पर दम निकले।
बहुत निकले मेरे श्ररमान
मगर फिर भी कम निकले ।
यह दूसरी लाइन श्राप भूल जाते हैं। मेरी भी बहुत सी रुवाहिशों बाकी हैं भोर बाकी रहेंगी कोई उपाय नहीं उनको पूरा नहीं किया जा सकता परन्तु साथ ही साथ जो चीज हो चुकी है, जो ग्ररमान निकल चुके हैं, उन्हे भी याद रखें। उन्हें भूलने से तो काम नहीं चलेगा ग्रोर शुरू से ग्राखिर तक सब उलटा ही देखियेगा तो तब तो कोई काम श्रागे चलने वोला नहीं है।

दूसरी तरफ यद् भी हमें देखना है कि यह होता क्यों है ? इसलिए होता है कि ह्मारे पास साधन कम हैं हर तरह के साधन कम हैं 1 पैसे के ही साधन नहीं, ग्रादमी के भी साधन कम हैं । घादमी गिनती में बहुत हैं, काम के कम हैं। ओर यह बात में इसलिए जोर से कह रहा हैं कि मैं देखता है कि ग्राप के यहां या तो टेलेंट्स की कमी है या टेलेंट्स का सदुपयोग जंसे होना चाहिए, बैसे नहीं हो रहा है। में पब्लिक श्रंडरटेक्कग्स का समर्थक हूं, पक्लिक श्रंछ्ठटेकिकज का कुछ्ब श्रनुभव मेरे पास है । मगर साथ ही साथ वहां की हालत देख कर मुभ्के तकलीफ होती है भ्योर में मानता हूँ कि पब्लिक श्रंठरटेकिक्स की शिकायत हमी लोग करा रहे हैं जो उसके समर्थक हैं। इसलिए कि उसके भीतर जो श्रसली कमजोरियां हैं उनको न लेकर केवल किताबी सिद्धांत की बात करने लगते हैं । बात ग्रच्छी है, मगर क्यों ऐसी कमजोरी भाती है ? में सिर्फ दो तीन बातों पर घ्यान दिलाऊंगा। पहली बात तो यह है कि जो कोई प्रारूप बनाता है, प्रोजेक्ट रिपोर्ट तैयार करता है उसे इसकी फिक्र रहती है कि मेरी स्कीम जरूर मंज्रूर होनी चाहिए मौर इसलिए जहां वह दिल में सभभता है कि सी करोड़ का खर्च है वहां बह 50 करोड़ की बात करता है जिसमें किसी तरह मंबूरी तो मिल जाय प्रोर फिर उसके बाद में जहाँ बह्ह भामदती 2 करोड़ की देखता है वहां

4 करोड़ की दिसलाता है श्रपने कागजों में । नतीजा घह छोता है कि सबजबाग दिसला कर प्रारूप मंजूर करा लेता है, काम शुरू हो जाता है । ग्राषी वूर तक पहुँचते पहुँचते हालत यह हो जाती है कि गवर्नंमेंट के लिए कोई चारा नहीं रह जाता है ग्रोर दूसरी स्कीम्स को बरवाद करके जो चानू है उसको पूरा करने की कोशिश करनी पढ़ती है। यहां पर दो बतें श्रा जाती हैं। पहृली बात तो यह है कि जो प्रारूप बनाता है, जो प्रोजेक्ट रिपोट्ट तियार करता है वह कितनी सावषानी से, कितने मनोदोग से श्रपना काम करत। है, ट.ह देखने की दरकार है श्रोर इसमें श्रगर वह क्सर करते हैं तो क्या उन्हें समभाया जाता है कि श्रापने तरीफ का काम नहीं किया, श्रापने शिकायत का काम किया, इसलिये श्रागे से प्रापके ऊपर ऐसी जिम्मेदारी का काम नहीं दिया जायगा ? दूसरी तरफ जो उनकी जांच पड़ताल करते हैं उनको भी तो देखना है यह कहां तक सब्जबाग दिसलाया जा रहा है, कहां सक इसमें तथ्य है भ्रोर श्रगर उस जांच में वह चूकते हैं तो उसकी कीमत सारे देश को देनी पड़ती है।

इषर दूसरी तरफ हमें कर्षं के वैसे fिलते गये श्रोर हमें सहज में पैसे मिले इसलिए खर्चं भी किया। मगर यह नहीं सोचा कि उस कर्ज का पैसा एक दिन हमें चुकाना पड़ेगा। गुकाने का रास्ता दुनियां में एक ही है दूसरा नहीं-प्रोडक्शन बढ़ा करके, जिस काम को हाथ में लिया है उसको पूरा करके, उससे जितना काम निकलना है वह निकाल करके कर्ज चुकाया जा सकता है । मगर इसमें फिर कठिनाई दो तीन तरफ से भा जाती है। एक तो मेनेजमेंट की तरफ से, उसके बारे में मैं बार-ब्बार कह बुका हूं, इसलिए उ्यादा जोर उस पर नहीं दूंगा। सिर्फ इ्वारा कर हूंगा कि जो व्यक्ति एक जगह सफल हो जाता है प्राप मान लेते हैं कि वह सभी जगह सफल हो जायगा। मेरा संकेत श्रापके ऐडमिनिस्ट्रेटिव कैडर के श्राफिसरों के प्रति हैं । वह ऐडमिनिस्ट्रेयन में होगियार होते हैं, उन्होंने भच्छा काम किया नभी इतने ऊंचे पद

पर पहुँचे, मगर इसके माले यह नहीं कि कमरिशयल वेचर्स में भी कंसे सफल हो बैंसे कि ऐङमिनिस्ट्रेशन में हुए। हां, एक्सेने्ड़ंस हैं। उसका एक ही उदाहरसा में सूंगा। श्रापके देशमुख साहब बोटिनिक एक्सपर्ट थे जो फाइनेंस मिनिस्टर थे। मगर यह तो ऐक्सेप्पान है, इसको हम रूल तो नहीं बना सकते, घ्रोर इसी श्रन्दाज से सबको तो नहीं तोल सकते ।

दूसरी चीज यह म्रा जाती है कि श्रापके यहां इसका कोई हिसाब ही कमी भी नहीं लगता है कि जो काम होगा उसका पष्का हिसाब रोज होता जायगा। दूसरे शब्दों में यों कहें कि पर-मेन पर-डे मिनिमम ₹वैन्टम श्राफ वर्क का कोई हिसाब अभी तक नहीं रखा गया है। इसलिये बहुत से ऐसे झ्मादमी अ्यापके पास है जो काम घोढ़ा करते हैं भौर या ज्यादा लेते हैं म्रीर उधर काम में खराबी श्राती है।

तीसरी बात यह सा जाती है श्रापके कारखानों की जो कार्यक्षमता है यानी प्रोठक्रान केपेसिटी के हिसाब से काम बहुत कम होता है । में श्रांकड़ों की बात को छोड़ रहा हू, लेकिन मेरे पास सब श्रांकड़े मौड्यूद हैं-एक जगह पर सिफ 4 परसेंट काम हो रहा है श्रोर 96 परसेंट बरबाद हो रहा है। $30-40$ परसेंट काम तो बहुत जगहों पर हो रहा है। जब इस तरह से काम किया जायगा, ग्रोवर-कीपिटलाइज किया जायगा, इनएकिशियेन्टली मिनेज किया जायगा स्रौर जितनी उसकी कार्यक्षमता है, उससे कम काम लिया जायगा तो ऐसी स्थिति में घाटा नहीं होगा तो क्या होगा ?

इसके साथ ही साथ हम यह मी सोचें कि जितना माल हमने बनाया है. वह पदि बिक नहीं रहा है तो क्यों बिक नहीं रहा है ? क्या हमने काम शुरू करने से पहले मार्केट स्टही की थी, क्या हमने उस माल की संभावित बिक्री का हिसाष्ब लगाया था ? भगर मह़ीं लगाया था तो जिन लोगों ने ऐसी स्कीम बमाई, हमें चक्से पूद्धना चाहिए कि घाप कितना काबिल घपने
[श्री भृत्बुंजय प्रसाद]
को सनभीे हो, उतने काबिल साबित नहीं हुए —हमें यह बात उनको बतला देनी चाहिये ।

चौथी चीज-जहां हमें कुछ करना नहीं है, सिर्फ माल को उठाकर भेज देना है, जसंें माइंज के काम में, वहिँ भी हम नुकसान पर नुकसान उठा रहे हैं। एन०सो०डी॰सी० कई सालों से लौस पर लोस दे रहा है, नेवेली लिगनाइट कारपोरेशन ने लोस दिया, बैलाडीला जहां सिर्फ श्रायरन-ग्रोर उठाकर भेज देना है, वहां भी लोस हो रहा है-श्राखिर यह चीज कितने दिनों तक बरदाइत करते रहेंगे ? जहां ग्रापको कुछ बनाना है वहां उससे मी बढ़कर हैवी लोस हो रहा है, जैसे हिन्दुस्तान स्टील, जिसके नुकसान का श्रन्त ही मालूम नहीं देता, कहां जाकर उसका श्रन्त होगा । दूसरी तरफ ग्राजकल श्रख्वबारों में निकला है कि श्राप स्टील क। लोहा इस्पात का एक ग्रोर कारखाना लगाने की बात सोच रहे है-नया कारखाना लगाकर ग्राप क्या करेंगे जब कि श्राप पुराने से ही पूरा काम नहीं ले रहे हैं, जो माल वहां बनता है, वह बिक नहीं रहा है साथ ही साथ ग्राप बाहर से माल मंगाते जा रहे हैं, जिस माल की ग्रापको दरकार है वह ग्राप वहाँ नहीं ब़नाते हैं-ऐसी स्थिति कब तक चलेगी ।

दूसरी बात गांवों के बारे में है-गांवों में जो हमारे ट्रेडीशनल रोजगार थे, परम्परागत रोजगार थे, वे श्रब छूटते जा रहे हैं, बन्द होते जा रहे है, उनके कम्पीटीशन में हम बड़ो-बड़ी फैक्टरीज खड़ी करते जा रहे हैं-चाहे प्राइवेट सेक्टर में करें या पब्लिक सेक्टर में करें। बाटा ने मोचियों को मार दिया, लेकिन घ्रभी भी शहरों में मोचियों के पास कुछ काम है। ग्राज गांवों में बिना कल के चक्का का घाटा मिलना मुरिकल है, घ्यापको घ।नी का तेल नहीं मिलेगा, ढेंकी का चावल नहीं मिलेगा। इन सबके श्रन्दर जो एक प्रकार का कन्फ्यूजन होता है उसकी भी एक मिसाल भापको देना चाहता हूँ खाण्डसारी मिल्ज पर इतना खर्च ह्रोता दे, मापने छतनी ठ्यवस्था की, मिल वालों

ने इतनी वपवस्था की, इतना कन्ट्रोल किया, फिर भी वे लोग रोते है-इसकी क्या वजह है ? या तो वहां इनएफिसियेन्सी है या भसली फिगर्स भ्यापके पास नहीं भ्राने देते। दूसरी तरफ हुम किसान मारे जाते हैं । दुनियां में कोई ऐसा विर्जनेश नहीं है जहाँ रा-मंटीरियल का दाम कारखाने वाले बिना दिये ले जत्रे हीं, लेकिन यहां पर ऐमा ही होता है। हम श्रपने सिर पर माल ढोकर वहां पदुंचाते हैं श्रोर वर्षों रास्ता देखते रहते है कि दाम मिलेगा या नहीं मिलेगा।

एक तरफ श्रन-एक्प्लायमेंट की बात होती है। मगर यह बात सोचने की है कि क्या हम एग्पलायेबल यूथ को एम्पलावमेन्ट देना चाहते हैं? या श्रन-एम्पल।येबल यूथ को देना चाहते हैं ? क्या हमने ग्रपने यूथ्स को ऐसी ट्रेनिग दी है कि वे एम्पलायबल बन सकें, अ्रपना काम कर सकें ? एम्पजायमेंट के मायने यही हैं कि वे इतना पैदा कर सकें जिससे कि उनका सारा खर्च निकल सके। श्रगर वे श्रपने काम से, उत्पप्ति से इनना नहीं निकाक सकते कि जिसमें उनका गुज़ारा हो सके तो ग्राप सबसिडी देकर तो किसी को एम्पलायमेंट में नहीं रख सकते, यह सम्भव नहीं है। $10-\overline{5}$ वर्ष तो चल जायगा, लेकिन ग्रागे चलने वाला नहीं है। क्या गेनफुल एम्पलायमेन्ट के लिये श्राप उनको ट्रेनिग दे रहे हैं-यह् एक बढ़ा टेढ़ा प्रशन है। इसमें एजूकेघान का सवाल है, टंन्नीकल एजूकेषान का सवाल है ग्रोर इन सबके बाद उनको मनोवृत्ति का सवाल श्रा जाता है ।

श्रब श्राखरो बात में विहार की लेना चाहता हूं। मैंने पहले भी कहा है घ्योर श्रब फिर उसी विहार के रोने को लेता हूँ। कहने को तो बिहार में पब्लिक सेक्टर में घ्रापने काफी पैसा लगाया है। प्राइवेट सेक्टर का भी काफी पैसा लगा हुग्रा है-इस बात से इंकार नहीं किया जा सकता, लेकिन तमाशा यह है कि उसमें हमारा क्या है, बिहारियों का क्या है ? श्राज वहां मजटूरी मिलने में कठिनाई हो रही है । ऊंची

नोकरियों तो दूर की बात है। सिफं हमारी जमीन है घ्रोर जमीन के भीतर जो माल है, वह हमें परमात्मा ने दिया हुपा है, लेकिन हमें उसका क्या लाम मिल रहा है ? सब कारलानों, उखोगों के केन्रीय या मुलूय दफतर बाहर है, कलकता, बम्बई भौर कानपुर में दफ्तर हैं, इंकमटैषस का हिसाब वहीं लगाया जाता है, एम्पलायमेन्ट वहीं से होता है । सरकारी कारखानों के भी ज्यादातर दफ्तर अ्रापके यहाँ दिल्ली में हैं। एक छोटा सा पाइराइट का कारखाना बिह्हार में बचा हुका था, उसको भी हटाने की बात घी, लेकिन टेम्परेरीली उसको रोका गया है। दया करके छ्सका र्याल कीजिए। वही न किया जास जो कम्पलशन मैं ग्रापको करना पड़ रहा है । हमारे पास कोयला है, लोहा हैं, इसलिये ध्रापको दक्षिरा बिहार में कारखाना बंठाना ही पढ़ेगा — ऐसी बात नहीं होनी चाहिये । धिना कम्पलशन के भ्रापने क्या किया, यह बता सोचने की हो जाती है ।

यहाँ बार-बार इस बात को छड़ा गया है, घापकी एस्टीमेट्स कमेटी ने भी कहा है कि बिहार की ड्वेलपमेंट के लिये बहुत जरूरी है कि गंगा में फिर से स्टीमर नर्षिस को शुरू किषा जाय। लेकिन अापके फोर्ष फाइव इयर प्लान में इसका कहीं भी जिक्र नहीं है । ग्रापने घासाम में घह्मपुत्र पर नेशानल बाटर बे मान लिया है, इसके लिये दो जगहों पर-पाण्हू घौर जोगी गोपा में पोर्टंस बना रहे हैं लेकिन मापको यह कुबूल करना पढ़ेगा कि ग्राप उस रास्ते से कलकता तक नहीं पहुंच पाते हैं क्योंकि बीच में पाकिस्तान क्रा जाता है । मगर हलाहाबाद से लेकर हावड़ा तक जाने का रास्ता बना हुमा है-उत्तर प्रदेष, विहार घौर बंगाल तक —जिसमें कोई कठिनाई नहीं है, उसके लिए ध्राप छुछ्ड नहीं सोचते हैं, छसे घाप नेशनल बाटर वे डिक्लेमर कीजिये घौर पटना घौर घ्रन्य दो जगहों पर पोर्टस बनाइये । श्रगर श्राप ऐसा नहीं करेंगे तो भागे घापको कठिनाई घायेगी, स्ट्रेटेजिक प्वाश्रन्ट से भी यह बहुत जरूरी है। इस पार मौर उस पार में बहुत बढ़ा श्रन्तर हो

गया है, घौर हमेशा के लिये यह श्रन्तर रह जायगा, केवल एक या दो पुल से काम नहीं चलेगा।

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI (Madural) : I should like to point out that this discussion of about seven hours on the whole gamut of the plan is supposed to cover all the aspects of planning and the policies underlying the various aspects of planning such as agricultural policy, industrial policy, foreign policy in the sense of foreign collaboration and foreign capital investment and the method and manner of raising resources. If all these aspects have to be discussed in Parliament in speeches of ten or fifteen minutes duration by different Members, it does not lead us anywhere. If real justice has got to be done, it would have been much better if Parliament had divided itself tnto a number of small committees and discussed more intimately the various aspects of planning. Then, wo could also make some impact on the thinking of the ruling party. As it is, the ruling party comes here to defend this Plan : A, B, C, -we will not change a comma. What is the use of this tind of discussion, I wonder. But this is what wo are supposed to participate in ; that is all. Anyway, since this is the only way that we are left with, I will deal with certain aspects of the planning that wo have undertaken, but I do not go into all the aspects of $i t$.

### 15.00 hrs.

The Plan document begins with the objectives of the Plan. It is a reiteration of the earlier plans. What does it say ? They speak that our objective is the socialist pattern of society. What does it mean? They say :
"Bssentlally, this means that the basic criterion for determining lines of advance must not be private profit, but social gain, and that the pattern of development and the structure of socialeconomic relations should be so planned that they result not only in appreciable increases in national income and employment but also in greater equality in incomes and wealth. Major decisions regarding production, distribution,

## [Shrl P. Ramamurti]

consumption and Investment-and in fact all significant socio-economic sp-lationship-must be made by agencles informed by social purposo. The besefits of economic development must accrue more and more to the relatively less privileged classes of society, and there should be progressive reduction of the concentration of incomes, wealth and economic power."
This was the objective proclaimed oven when the second Five Year Plan was promulgated. It is just a copy from the second Five Year Plan. Does the Congress party or those people who drafted this Plan, go into the question how far thls prime objective, namely, the entire decisions to be taken, must be governed by social purpose: private proft, indivisual profit, should not be the main criterion of docisions. But did they at all so into the question whether in the plans that have so far been fulfilled, this objective has been fulfilled; if it was not fulfilled, whether we have gone in that direction, or whether we have gone in the opposite direction. This is the fundamental question and that question is today being burkod, and when the question is put, the Pianning Commission says that unfortunately, with regard to social justice, sufficlent data are not available to come to any conclusion, and yet they want to plan. This is a wonderful tiulng.

15 years after that, they say sufficient data are not available. Why are not sufficient data available? Are they unaware of the fact that the whole country is talking of the 75 families who have amassed wealth? Just the other day, one officer from the Company Law Administration who bad studied it, issued the figures to show that within the course of four years, the House of Birlas had increased its wealth from Re. 293 crores to Rs. 509 crores. The figures with regard to the other familios ase also avallable. The administrative roports are thore. Their exquiry reports are there. And yet, the Government of Irdia comes forward and says we do not know anything about it. There is the Agricultural Labour Enquiry Report, and it has been again and again pointed out that the income of the agricultural labour decreased from Rs. 107 to

Rs. 100 in the course of the Second Five Year Plan. The best way is to stop the Agricultural Labour Enquiry Report itself! In fact, this kind of Committee was never appointed, for a long time because when such committess are appointed, thoy unearth inconvenient facts. Therofore, stop that.
15.04 hrs.

## [Mr. Speaker lo the Chatr]

For example, do not the Governament know that the blegest concentration of wealth, as far as this country is concorned, where the majority of the people are concerned, namely, the agricultural population, is the biggest concentration of wealth in the hands of a few people? Do they not know that? Do they not know about their own reports? Are there not reports, even by the Planning Commission's Panel, which go to show that all the lawn that have so far been enacted by various Governments with regard to the tenure of land and all these thinge bave resulted not in security of tenure but they bave resulted in the eviction on a large scale which has never been seen even daring 100 years of British rule ?

A HON. MBMBER : What forcible occupation of land?

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI: You want to enict the people whth the help of Government. Therefore, people retaliate by using force against you. There is no other way. Coneentration of land has gone on. Blackmarketing has been going on all these years. Still the Planaing Commiarion says, we de not heve facts.

Instead of lessening, disparities have in fact grown on a colosal scale. Mr. Masant was rigbt whet he pointed out some of these thinge; though his conclusions are not correct. But Mr. Masani should not be angry with the Government, bobause the plans have oply helped the class he represents here. He said, the targets of the plars have not been fulfilled. But I shall make an amendmont in that. All the physical targets the industries have not been fulifled, but some targets have not only been faffilled, but ovor-fulfilled.

For example, the amounts of taxation budgeted for bave been over-fulfilled. On whom has this taxation fallen? From Rs, 50 crores in 1947.48, the excise duties have gone up to Rs. 1,500 crores in 1967-68. Now it is much more. Deficit financing target also has bean over-fulfilled. The first plan budgeted for a deficit financing to the extent of Rs. 290 crores, but the actual deficit financing was Rs. 420 crores. In the third plan, the budget was for deficit financing to the extent of Rs. 550 crores, but the actual amount went up to Rs. 1,200 crores. Therefore, so far as taxing the common man and putting more and mora burdens on him is concernec, in the name of the plan, it has been over-fulfilled.

Today we are in a dilemma. Aftor fleecing the people so much, oven the consumer goods indastries like tentiles are in doldrums. People are not able to buy cloth. The consumption has fallen from 16 yards to 14.70 to 80 mills: have closed down. Cloth produced in this country does not find a market. Wher these basic questions are not taokled, Mr. Mabani should not be angry about what the plan. ners have done earlier. After all, theee plans have helped them. Our country is not like Great Britain or France which coutd develop on a capitalist basis, on the basis of the loot of the ompire. Unfortunatoly, our capitalists do not have any other country to loot. So, capital formation here is done by looting the commonr people. The entire policy pursued by the Government of India during the last three plane has been to transfer the wealth created by the common people into the hands, of the richer seotions of the community like Tatas and Birtas. Hence this deficit financing aad burdoas of taxation on the common people.

Despite tax evasions galore, the Government of Indfa did not arrest and put in- joil a single persor-all these 15 years for tax-evading. Even their names should not be published in the papers. That is the law ! Now, how mueh is due from the differeat poople is beise: published. What is the use of Gighting over corruptiong which bas become so rampant? When so much black money is there, naturally corruption will increase bundredfold That way we try to enrich these people so that they can get capital for starting
industries. Shri Masani would be glad about that because otherwise they would not have got the capital.

Whom do the governmental institutions help ? Whom do the so-called nationalised Life Insurance Corporation help ? Birlas alone got Rs. 30 crores from them. If you go into the investment portfolio of LIC you will find half a dozen houses got more than 50 per cent of the investible funds. All the other financial institutions created by the Government help only some of these people. They guarantee the loans for which these people enter into agreements with foreign countries. They guarantee ever so many things for helpiag them to enter into collaboration.

What type of collaboration agreements do they enter into? They enter into collaboration agreements that would require permanent import of intermodiary goods costing us heavy foreign exchango. The Government of India says, we wlll go and take loans from foreign countries so that your needs for import of these intermediary goods would be met. You will be able to find that Government has been helping them in every possible way.

The same is the case in the matter of export. How is export promotion to be done? It is not due to any patriotism. They have no patriotism. Even for export promotion you must give them incentive. What is the type of incentive given? They are told they can import a certain kind of scarce goods and sell them at a premium of 60 per cent. That is corruption legalised by the Government of India in the name of export promotion.

Sir, this is the type of things that bave been going on. What is the result of alt that today? Today the Government of India does not know when its dependence on foreign countries will stop. The Prime Minister makes a virtue of the fact that in the Fourth Five Year Plan which they have brought the total investment by means of these foreign loans will be only 8 per cent and therefore it is very much less. I am reminded of the Samskit proverb vridha naree pativrata-an old woman' is lways a virtuous woman.

AN HON. MEMBER : What about an old man?

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI : You can take it the other way. He is also virtuous. Rs. 4050 crores is budgeted for and if a major portion of it goes for paying old debts and interest charges on that and therefore what you have is a very small amount which could be invested, what is the fun of making a virtue of that? There is no other go. Dependence on foreign countries depends only on this. If for mere return of old loans and payment of interest charges on old loans we take more loans, just like the peasant in our country who goes and gets loans in order to pay back his old loan to mahajans, how will our dependence on foreign countries go ? It will not in any way be lessened.

We have been getting aid through foreign collaboration. How has it helped us? Shri K. C. Pant gave a statement In the other House according to which for the last four years the total amount of money given by way of Investment in this country amounted to Rs. 92 crores whereas the out-flow from these companies by way of interest, by way of dividends, by way of profits and various other items has gone up to Rs. 165 crores. This is in addition to the intermediary goods that you have permanently to import into this country. Therefore, this does not mean lessening of the drain on foreign exchange from this country. On the other hand, foreign exchange problems have been created as a result of this policy of collaboration that we have been having all these years. How have our capitalists helped us? How they helped us to lessen this dependence? No. The type of collaboration agreements they have entered into, the terms of such agreements are such that we have perpetually to depend on those countries for the import of intermediates and things of that nature. That is why when Birlas in 1946 entered into an agreement with Nuffields, a British firm, Mahatma Gandhi, when informed of it, came forward denouncing Birlas for enterling into such an agreement. Today, in the centenary year of the same Mahatma Gandhi who denounced foreign collaboration agreements, here is a government which, while claiming itself to be the follower of Mahatma Gandhi, has made this anti-national act of foreign collaboration the sheet-anchor of its policies. That has been done and that
is why you find yourself in this terrible difficuity.

Now, what is this wonderful collaboration? Do we get the know-how? No, not at all.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA (Delhi Sadar) : What about Tito ?

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI ! I am not a follower of Tito. I am"speaking as an Indian.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA : I am glad to know it.

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI : Now, what is the ${ }_{2}^{r}$ wonderfuliticollaboration, agreements that we have entered into and what are the results? (In ouref owneic conntry, our own scientists are starving. They are not able to do or get any work. The other day I gave the example of aluminium industry with respect to the, manufacture of aircraft. It is a well-known fact that every country produces aircraft ${ }^{\text {ti }}$ with the raw "material available in that country. Aluminium is not a raw material which we have got in abundance. Yet, whenever we enter into collaboration agreements for the aircraft industry, we agree to make them with aluminium merely because those foreign countries which manufacture aircraft have plenty of aluminium in their countries. In tiat way, we become dependent on that country for our raw material for such a vital and strategically important industry as the aircraft industry. On the other hand, we have chromium in abundance in this country. Our scientists have discovered the mothod of using chromium for the manufacture of aircraft. Yet, our government do not held these people to produce it on a commercial scale.

I pointed out the other day how our scientists have developed even electronic computers on a small scale. Our country does not need big electronic computers at present because we are not developed so much. If these people are encouraged to develop the electronic industry, in the next ten years they will be able to develop big electronic computers when we have developed so much and we need them. But our government is not prepared to do it. Why? Because of the pressure of big American eleetronic companies on our government.

Those companies want to ensure that our scientists and our technologists do not develop the industry here because that will affect their market. Yet, our government is not helping our scientists und technologists. That is why I say that our basic policy is wrong and that is why all our Tbree Plans have collapsed. Yet, we do not think of going into the reasons for the failure of our Plans so that we can rectify them at least in the Fourth Plan.

For want of time, I do not want to go into other questions. As far as this Plan is concerned, as Shri Maran has pointed out, it is oriented more towards private industry. He has given facts and figures to substantiate his contention. Shri Vasudevan Nair has also gived facts and figures. 1 will not repeat them. All that I want to point out is this; that unless these policies are basically changed, unless our dependence on foreign countries, particularly capitalist countries, is ended, we will not be able to make a success of the Plan, especially when we are in the grip of a big crisis.

Shri Masani was talking about the French indicative plan. I do not know what Shri Masani is going to say about the fact that the French franc itself is today in terrific doldrums and the French economy is in a terrible crisis. Are we to copy France for that kind of indicative planning ? Or, are we to copy Britain, especially when we know the state of British economy? Therefore, these things do not give us any guide.

Again, with regard to future, with regard to concentratton of wealth, what is the answer given here? The Plan says that there is a dilemma, big people will be able to develop industries immediately, but if you do that, there will be concentration of industry : or long-term goal is to smash up such concentration ; therefore, in order to have immediate industrial development, we will help them. That is what you say. But once you begin to holp them, they will get flcher and richer and there will be more and more of concontration of wealth and economic power. It is well-known that oconomic power leads to political power. Therefore, even though you say that you will go on onrichihg them and, later on, you will smaeh them what will really happen is that thoy
will smash you. That is what is golng to bappen.

Therefore, unless at least some basic policles are changed with regard to land problem, with regard to concentration of wealth, social control of banks and so on, we cannot sacceed.

We know how LIC investment portfolio is functioning. Therefore, I dare say, these banks themselves ultimately in the hands of the officials who are today to exercise that social control will themselves be controlled by these big monopolies. We know that. That is why we are talking of public sector and the deficiencies in the pablic sector.

I want to point out that so long as these big monopolies continue to exist. nothing is going to happen. They have created in this country nothing but profit motive. That is the dominant thing. "Make money" is the dominant thing. The entire society is caught up in that. Even our Deputy Prime Minister who invested Rs. 86,000 from small savings in some company, when he was asked why did he do it, said, "Because I will get more money". Even a person like our Deputy Prime Minister is being corroded by the desire, by the greed, of getting more money. When this is the sort of society that is being created, what is use of blaming these high officials in the public sector, when side by side, they see the terrific greed for profit and an opportunity for profit. They also want to do that. That is why, when an opportunity comes, they are not intersted in running the industries but, on the other hand, they are interested in making as much money as possible during their tenure of office.

That is why, I say, both these things cannot go together, big monopolies and public sector. That is why, I say, unless we are prepared to break these big monopolies, and not go on encouraging them, all talk of social jnstice beieg fulfilled and our being freed from the ills from which this country is suffering cannot happen.

What I would turge upon the hon. Prime Minister is this. It is possible for us to stop this drain on our foriegn exchange ; it is quite possible to do that. Let us today declare here and now that we do not want any more foroign ald- After
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all, it is $\mathbf{8}$ per cent even according to our Prime Minister. Let us make a declaration, that we are not going to take a pie of foreign aid and, simultanoously, it is possible for us also to declare that for at least another 10 to 15 years, wa are not going to pay back our foreign commitments. Let us declare a meratorium. Thoy will not give us money. We do not want that money. And that would mean at least a saving of Rs. 500 to Rs. 600 crores of foreign exchange and our country will be able to quite manage some of those industries which are dependent on import foreign exchange material. Let them also, if they want to continue, do research with our scientists and let them find a substitute. So long as you do not do that, alt talk of substitution is going to be bunkum becauso vested interests have been created in that.

I know, for example, during the last four or five years, when we have in our country enough capacity to produce all the thermal plants necessary for thermal sta: thons, in spite of that, Rs. $\mathbf{3 0}$ crores of foreign exchange has been wasted on importing material because there are vested interests, people get commissions, the Government of India officials get commiesions, and other people get commissions. Therefore, the vested interests have been created in gour foreign exchange affairs. That is why, I say, all talk of stopping, foreign excbange drain and all talk of dependence on ourselves, will be just a mirage so long as we have created terrific vested interests in all these things. Therefore, unless we are able to do something in all these things and think seriously of this, we will not be able to go forward. Otherwise, what will beppen les, as I pointed out the othor day, just a Jack-pot rece, Nothing is clear. As far as the Planning Commission is concerned, on no. question do they aesert that such and such a thing will happen.

Somebody said that this is a Plap of tagnation. I say, it is not a Plan of stagna tion, which means you stay where you are, but it is a Plan of intensification of the criqis from, which our country it, suffering becquse ith, dependence is going. to further and further intenify. As a mattor of fact, all the foraign exchange difficultios aro going to in infonsify and concontration, of mealth
is going to intensify. Therefore, this is a Plan which is going to intensify the crisis.

This Plap does not even talk as to how much employment opportuaitics are going to be created. With regard to unemploymeme, for examplo, they say, there are mo reliagle figures. All the figures that have been given so for by the Goverament- of India in the Second Plan and in the Third Plan, according to them, are unreliable. Very conveniently, they say so. Because, inconverient facts have got to be hidden. That is why, I say, here is a Plan which cannot enthuse a single individual. This Is a Plan which intensifies the crisis which will bring more poverty to people. As a matter of fact, with regard to the resources, this is exactly the direction in which the resources portion is going. After so many of these things, what do they say? How are they going to have additional resources?

After providing for increased small savings, after providing for all the other things, they say that additional resources have got to be found by Rs. 1,600 crores of taxation by the Central Government and Rs. 1, 100 crores by the State Governments. This is in addition to Rs. 200 crores that the Central Government will give the State Governments by way of additional taxation. That means, Rs. 1800 crores of additional taxation during the next five years, as for as the Centre itself is concerned and over and above that, another Rs. 800 crores of deficit fipancing. 1 dare esy, judging by the experiongo. of the past three Plams, this is the only tagget which is geing to be not only fulfilled but overfulailled. That moang, all the ansumption undorlying the Plan are going to be a buakum ; no price stapility is. going to be there; and the commen people. are going to bo asked more and more: Therofore, why should we be a party to this kind of plapninge? We should not be a party to this kind of Planning. Tha Prime Minister the other dey asked, 'lf you are not goling: to be a party to this kind of planning, what will you do:? That is a difibrent mation The State Governments, af thoy exist, have to fuaction within the preeent framoswork. Why should they take the morel reapenatblity.of empporting the Rlaprwhomar angote-
mentals, they know, are something which Is going to attack our people and which is gefing to lincrease otr depeindence on foreign countries ? Why shoutd we tecept that? We do not want to take the moral responsibility for that, but, on the other hand. we will fight on every occassion, we will mobilise all the resources at our com-mand-the resources of the common people -and will fight tooth and nail against every one of these anti-people policies.

SHRIMATI SUSHILA ROHATGI (Bilbaur) : It is indeed gratifying and heartening to hear our learned Member, Shri P. Ramamurti waxing eloquent on a subject in which, I thought, he had hardly any interest or belief. I thought, the words 'planning' and 'security' were alien to him. But today I find that, in spite of his resistance to these, he is proving to be eloquent on these matters...

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI : Why are you so ill-informed? No communist is opposed to planning as such.

SHRIMATI SUSHILA ROHATGI : Kindly glot me an opportunity and I shall demolish your whole theory. The type of planning that you believe in will be clearly brought out by an example which I am golat to illustrate.

MR. SPBAKER : I do not believe in plasning. Please go ahead.

SHRIMATI SUSHILA ROHATGI : What I wanted to say was this. Only last year the Chief Minister of Kerala had conveated a conference or a seminar on plannitg. It was undoubtedly a chaotic planning. What were the resuilts that emanated from that Seminar? There were three maln demands which came out of that Sominar. The first was that there should be a transfer of power from the Centre to the States, there should be absolute transfer of fiscal powers of the Centre to the States. I would like to know how that will react in tho present context of things that we are facing now. The second demand was that the expenditute on defence should be cat down. I dd not know what is this concept of planaing. This does not tally with the concopt that we have, and in the present emorgency, we cantuot
afford to cut down any expenditure on defence since it would be suicidal. Thirdly -this was the main part-was their temand of right to strike. I would like to recall the incidents that are taking place in West Bengal now. Even in West Bengal, which bas a sophisticated industrial base, the entrepreneurs are finding it extremely difficult to exist at the present time and bence they are not endeavouring to put up any new enterprise or industry. That may be Mr. Ramamurti's conception of plamning. There ugain, I would say, there was a demad for the right to strike by the labourers. If the same amount of indiscipiline was allowed to prevail, if the same sense of insecurity was allowed to prevail there, there would be no planning for which we and some parties on the Opposition side stand for. (Interruptions)

SHRI J. B. KRIPALANI (Guna) : You have not replied to him.

SHRIMATI SUSHILA ROHATGI : That shows his intertst in planining. His interest is in his party and in tho other. The Congress Party plans not owly for his party but for all the other partibs afso which may clash with its ideoldyically, atid for the nation as a whole. In this plitibsing we have an integrated approach. We plan for the nation as a whole.

It was rather surprising to hear Mr. Ramamurti talking on security. Does he not remember the blot and the stigma which he and his party put on the entire womanhood of India by the incidents which had taken place at the Rabindra Sarobar Stadium? He and his party are assoctated with that and I still say :

## वृद्धा नारी पतिव्रता

I would like to ask him how far he believes in this dictum. What right do he and his party have, by any chance what so ever, to hurl abuse or this sort of thing at others ?

Then I also fail to understand whether he is abusing the Centertets Patty or the plan which has been givets to tus by the Congress Party or whelber he is abisling the Swatatra Party and tamintid Mt. Masani all the tides. It is ranhor surptiting bow *ith their liasic ideological difforences the various section and vartorid parties in the
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Opposition can still work together so unitedly at certain times. (Interruptions).

AN HON. MEMBER : You do not know.

SHRIMATI SUSHILA ROHATGI : I think their planning is not working. It is only on occasions of no confidence against the Government and for ulterior reasons that they unite together.

SHRI PILOO MODY: Not even on that point.

SHRIMATI SUSHILA ROHATGI : It is only this year. I would recall what happened 2 years ago when they all united. They refuse to unite with each other and work as a united opposition which any responsible opposition Party wishes to do anywhere.

It is true our planning is neither the directive planning of Russia nor the indicative planning of France, but it is according to the genius most sulted to our own people. We have accepted democratic socialism and wo have successfully achieved the three Plans.

One point which I fail to understand was raised by Mr. Masani the other day. He blamed the Fourth Plan as being a process of continuity. I would like to ask bim what is planning if it is not a continuous process at all. Planning is formulated with a vision right in the beginning. It is a continuous procoss where various links are united together.

A point was raised by an SSP member who accused the holders of the privy purses as 'Gaddar'. He had accused the Prime Minister also. (Interruptions)
माननीय सदस्य, श्री भा, का ही जिक्र है। उन्होंने ही यह बात कही थी।

SHRIMATI SUSHILA ROHATGI: I want to say that at a certain period in our political hlstory certaln pooplo $\mathbf{c o n}$ operated and surrendered their suzorainty, for the national honour and for the unity of the country. Should they be called 'gaddar'? 1 do not understand that at all.

भो शिबषन्त्र भा (मधुबनो) : भाजादी की लड़ाई में राजा-महाराजा ग<्द्धार ही तो थे।

## SHRIMATI SUSHILA ROHATGI :

 He has accused the Prime Minister of squandering the national wealth in going about various Latin American countries. I would say that in the present context, no country can afford to live in isolation. It is in the international context and for building bridges of friendship that we have to carry and emanate the spirit of goodwill everywhere and this cannot be counted in terms of money but in terms of moral and political strength. I would only say that there is a very happy coincidence in the launching of the Fourth Plan which synchronises with the Gandhi centenary year. Both the Father of the Nation and the founder of the Plans worked for a common objective that was to improve the status of the 'Daridra Narayan'. I am extremely glad that we find a remarkable succoss during the last three plans and the three annual plans. We find that there has boen a diminishing dependence on foreign aid. Against $25 \%$ of foreign aid we recelved in the Third plan, we shall eventually be shifting to $8 \%$. Apart from that there is an amount of self-confidence which has been generated in the public because no plan can succeed without men, money and material or momentum and that can only come by co operation, co-ordination and the goodwill of all the people concerned. With these words I have great pleasure in supporting the Fourth Plan.SHRI J. B. KRIPALANI : Mr. Speaker, Sir. It is rather hard on me to be called upon to speak when two very eloquent speakers bave already spoken. And also, Sir. if you call me in the end, I forget my speech. I shall try to do my best.

Sir, this Plan is in the same category as our three earlier Plans. The pattern has not changed. This follows the same pattern of the three earlier Plans.

I would not go into the social objectives of this Plan. Everybody can see how far we have reached the social objectives, that we have got more educated idiots than before and we have also more refined crimbnals on account of this education than we.
had before, and also we know where our morals stand today - whether in the political field, in the administrative field or in the field of Commerce and Industry. These are our social gains. The whole atmosphere is full of cunning and violence.

I will confine myself merely to the economic objectives of the Plan. In that Sir, as I told you, I won't dabble in figures because figures can be given and manipulated on both sides and moreover, whatever figures I had collected. I had forgotton in the meantime I will state only plain truths.

My hon. friend from the Communist party, Shri Ramamurthy gave the House the objectives of the Plan in a very elaborate quotation from this Plan, which has repeated what was written in the Second Plan. I would only say that the objective of our Planning was to remove the poverty of the masses. How was that poverty to be removed? By giving them gainful employment, by at least diminishing the amount of unemployed man and partially employed. That would be the simplest way of stating the proposition.

Now, can anybody who has a con-science-of course, not the private conscience, but who has a public consciencesay, that we have been able to give more employment to people? Can anybody say that the weaker sections of our society have got more than what they used to get, not in money, but in real terms? In the Third-five Year Plan itself it has been written that the real wages of the weaker sections of the society have diminished. Their opportunities for work have diminished. I wish my lady friend had not talked of Gandhiji's Centenary. He was a man who worked only for the poor. The rich could take care of themselves.

Sir, the backlog of unemployment has been increasing after every Plan. Can any congressman deny that ? I will only say those things which the congressmen themselves have stated in the course of their apeeches it is also written in the Plan that they have not been able to absorb the new labour that comes into the market.

Then there is another thing. Have we been able through our Three Plans and through our non-Plan three years to diminish poverty? Have we been able to improve the standard of living of the poor?

In this I am not talking of myself, nor of the Communists nor of the Swatantra Party. I would tell them what Jawaharlal Nehru said. He once said in this House that it is a fact that though the poor have not grown poorer, the rich have grown richer. A former President of the Congress, Shri Dhebar, said that the poor have grown poorer. He did not talk of the rich. It is not a question of this Party or that Party. It is a question of hard facts.

Then there is another thing. Have the prices been increasing or diminishing ? They have been increasing. Will the standard of the poor people that way rise? It is not possible. Sir, they claim that they have greater production and they also say that the prices are rising, and black-marketing is also rising. Indirect taxes are also rising ? Can anybody deny that ? Can any Congressman deny that indirect taxes fall more sharply and more dangerously upon the poor than upon the rich ? Also inflation has been increasing. I said once and I repeat it that this inflation is pick-pockoting. You may give it whatever respectable name you like; in fact you are taking away people's money. This is the most dangerous way of taxing people. The sooner we stop it, the better. The Finance Minister said that he would stop it which he never did and then he said he never talked of it.

Foreign loans have been so dangerously increasing that we have to ask for a moratorium. If planning goes on like this, I do not khow where we will be? I think we are the greatest beggars in the world. There is a reason for this, because our, great Rishis also lived on begging. Begging is not only in our blood, but it is considered a respectable profession.

Then, Sir, it is said that we have prospered. One Congressman said ; Look, how many cars are there? The Congressman talking of cars ! It took my breath away. These cars are signs of our poverty. This is pressure on the poor people. These cars are purchased at the cost of the poor people, whether we have them or others have them.

They say that another objoctive jof the Plan was to reduce the gap between the rich and the poor. The gap between the rich and the poor has enormously increased. At whose expense? At the tax-
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Payers' expense. My friends, the Conmonists, gave the figures and said that they have given loans to the capitalists. All right. If you give loans to the capitalists, why don't you turn them into share capltal so that at least you get a little profit from these loans?

You will not even have those big loans turned into capital, that is, the loans which you have invested in their industry, but you want them to carry on and to grow rich at the expense of the poor, at the expense of the taxpayer and at the expense of the country.

I am not the one who is going to blame any capitalist. A capitalist is not a phllanthropist ; he has not opened bustiness for philantbropic purposes. It is the blindless of those who allow him to become rich. I say that if I were a capitalist I would do the very same things that these people are doing. They have proclaimed from the house-tops that they are not philanthropists. Those who are in charge of the country have to see that they do not give them the opportunities by whict the gap between the rich and the poor could increase.

So, when we talk of socialism, I say that we talk with the tongue in our checks. It is better that we never talk of socialism and never talk of diaminishing the gap between the rich and the poor ; it is beiter that we may as well plaimy say that ours is comptitive society in which anybody who can make wealth would be allowed.

What are the reasons that are given to us by Government? The first is natural calamities like drought and floods. Our planners are so poor in the study of history that they do not know as yet that India is subject to drought and floods poriodically. If the planners do not make allowance for these periodic droughts and floods, then they are no planners at all.

Another reason given is war. Is any nation not prepared for war? Have not nations to undertake war? They have got to. That also must be something which the planners must take into consideration when they are planning.

The third reason that they have given Is increased Population. There are many wise men among us who have not increased
population. But does this country conslst of those few wise mea or many foolish people who go on increasing the population? Did the planners think that after Independence, there will be no increase in population? Then they should have taken immediate measures to see that it was not possible for population to increase.

What is wrong with these plans ? These plans are fundamentally misconceived. They are absolutely mo plans at all. When people asked Gandhiji, Have you any objection to big industries and to mechanised industries? He said 'This is a misconception about me as there are many misconceptions about me; I am not against electricity ; I am not against shipbuilding; I am not against iron woris, but in my scheme of things, the pattern must be changed ; the emphasis must be changed.

We have a very big population we have not as big a population per squre mile as Japan has. How does Japan manage its industry? We bave got all the faw materials that are necessary for industry ; we have iron, we bave coal, and we have oil, but the Japancse bave none of these things. They get iron are from us: they got coal from somewhere somewhore else and they set petrol from yet another plase. Yet, they are able to foed 900 souts per sq, mile while we are sot able to foed 400 souls per sq. mile. Their population per sq. mide is mare than double our population per sa. mile and yet they ane able to maintain that population. Why?

Because of decentralised industry, because their emphasis is not on centralised industry. What have we done? Wo have followed the pattern of Europe after the Industrian Rovolution. We thitak there can be ao other madusmquisation tbet the ose as it wook place in the west. We have moo fottowed the Rustan theition. Our plans are anade cupted-intensive wind not qatouritrensive. We have in etr labeor the capital we if only we with othise $\%$. We do not mante our tabotr.

Then we go for foreign loans. Even European economists after whom we fashion our plans have said that India and Asia cannot follow the same pattern of industrialisation that took place at the end of
the 18th century, what is called the Industrial Revolution, or even the Russian morhod; we will have to choose methods of our own.

The great Swedish economist, Prof. Myrdal, has written three volumes of what is called The Astatic Drama. He has talked of India and other countries of Asia. He has clearly said that our economy must be job-oriented, not capital oriented. When you have a big industry. it requires about Rs. 75,000 or Rs. $1,00,090$ to engage one labourer.

## SHRI HYMAYUN KABIR : One

 million rupees.SHRI J. R KRIPALANI: 1 happen to be connected with the khadi industry. We have a production worth Rs. 2 crores. We give employment to 4,500 organisers : we give employment to 25,000 craftemen; we give employment to 2 lakh spinners. This is the potential of a decentralised industry. And what is our captial? Very small.

I asked a mill agent: 'Supposing you cou!d have Rs. 1 crore worth of cloth production, how much labour would you require ?' You will be surprised to know that he said, ' 1,500 people'. I asked : 'Supposing you take the distribution also in your bands, as we have it in our hands. how many more will you take?' He said: '500 more'. A labour of 2,000 to be employed on a production of Rs. 1 crores. We are producing Rs. 2 crores worth of khadi and we give employment to labour as I referred to. We do not understand the potential of decentralised industry.

Let me cite the evidence which will be more conclusive to my communist friends than anything else. What did a leading Polish economist say? He said : 'Planned socialis econemy is more chaotic and anarchic than private capitalist economy'. He said that the Polish people are thinking what would happen to their investment, whother they should not chapge their pattern of investment. It is in a communist country that they say that planned soojalist economy is more chaptic and more anarchic than capitalist economy in private hands. This is the evidence from people who have experinesced it.

All right. Even these plans-have wo
made them carefully ? Seo the plan targets, the physical targets. They are never reached. But the financial targets is over-spent. Double the money has been spent to that budgeted $f_{\text {or }}$ and half the work has not been done. Sometimes we have introduced machines which are working half the time ; we do not need that much which is produced, and we have been suffering losses. Then who manages our industry ? Not any industrialist. Before independent, we used io say that when we would be indepeudent, we would have an economic cadre. We have no economic cadre at all.

We rely upon the bureaucrats to manage the industry. Can industry and commerce be mamuged by bureaucrats? You patronise the post office. It is a commercial organization. Yet the clerk there will speak as if he is a bada sabib; he will say! stamps are not available, please come tomorrow. They will never say : please. Go to an employee in the Railway. Or take the telephone; they get money from us. It is a commercial institution. It is so organised in other places that they talk to you politely and they will give a call three thousand miles away in two minutes, while you have not even kept down the recaiver. But this is how we are carrying on our industry. If we carry on like that I do not know what will happen to our country. And even our Prime Minister said the other day that we had done better in the non-planned three years than we did in three plans so far as agriculture was concerned. I hope I am reporting her correctly. Therefore, I cannot see what necessity is there for this planning when for three years we had no planning and nobody ever complained ; we were going on better. Give us a little more non planned economy so that the country can breathe more freely than it had done upto this time.

भी जहमब भागा (बारामूला) : श्रघ्यक्ष महोदय, में एक पसमान्दा रियासत की नुमाइंदगी करता हूं, इसलिये उम्मीद करता हूं कि श्रानरेविल मेम्बर्ज मेरी छ्बोटी सी श्रावाज को जरा गोर से सुनना गवारा करेंगे। यह तो बिलकुल ना-दुस्त बात है कि हम इन्हिकेटिव प्लान की तरफ जायं ; रहा सोश्शिलिज्म की राह हमारे
[श्री श्रहमद श्राग़ा]
हमारे कम्यूनिस्ट मेम्बर साहब ने, जिनका में बहुत एहतराम करता हूं, उन्होंते नम्बूबरीपाद साहब के भालटरनेटिव प्लान का बह़े जोरों से जिक्र किया । लेकिन केरल के चीफ मिनिस्टर साहब एन०डी०सी० के मेम्बर हैं, वे श्रपनी बात को वहां रख सकते हैं, लेकिन सिर्फ हस बिना पर कि हम इस प्लान को यहां लाये हैं मोर इसमें इम्प्रूवमेन्ट की कुछ गुंजायस है, इसलिये हम इसकी मुखालफत करें। यह भी ना दुरस्त है । यह प्लान जो कि हम सामने लाये हैं -इसकी इ़कतिताही तकरीर में हमारी प्रषान मंत्री जी ने कहा था कि हम गेरबराबरो को खत्म करना चाहते हैं, हम मोनोपली हाउसेज को बढ़ने नहीं देंगे। उनकी इफतिताही तकरीर में यह भी दर्ज था कि हम एक सोशालिस्टिक जिन्दगी की तरफ़ कदम बढ़ा रहे हैं। श्रगर ये सब चीजें इसके श्रन्दर हैं तो जाहिर है कि हम एक दुरस्त राह् पर है।

में इस बात को मानता हूं कि वाकई कुष्छ ऐसी बातें हो गई हैं कि जिनसे कुष्ब समस्यायें पैदा हो गई हैं। यानी हम भाज संलर्ज माँें में है, बायसं माकेंट हम पैदा नहीं कर सके हैं। यह ठीक है कि 75 विजनेस हाउसेज ने मोनोपली कन्ट्रोल किया है, मगर कौंप्रेस सरकार ने खुद घस बात का पता लगाया श्रोर ह्मने मोनोपली छून्ववारी कमीघन बनाया धौर हम एक बिल भी यहां पर ला रहे हैं । यानी हमने श्रपनी श्रांसों को बन्द नहीं किया हुश्रा है, हुम देख रहे है श्रोर जो कुछ भी गलतियां हुई हैं उनको हम जरूर ठीक करेंगे प्रोर भ्रागे बढ़ेंगे।

यह ठीक है हमारे छोटे किसानों ने फायदा नहीं उठाया, ऊंचे दर्जं के लोगों ने ही फायदा उठाया। हमने लंड रिफार्म किया, लेकिन उसके बाबज्यूद भी ऐसे लोगों की बहुत बड़ी तादाद है जिनके पास जमीनें नहीं हैं। हमने इस प्लान के एश्रोय में, भ्रपने मकसद में यह बयान किया है कि हम इस चीज की तरफ तवज्जह दे रहे हैं भौर देंगे कि यह बड़ी भच्छी नीयत से भाया

है भ्रोर ऐसा किया जायगा कि वह नाबराबरी को कम करेंगे, लंंड लंस टिलर्सं का स्याल रलंगे भौर छ्छोटे किसान को फर्जा मिलेगा प्रोर इसके लिये घगर जरूरी हो तो सरकार को ऐसा कदम उठाना पड़े कि जिससे रुपया फराहम हो सके तो मुभ्षे उम्मीद है कि सरकार उस पर गोर करेगी। हो सकता है कि हमको छम्पोर्ट भोर ऐक्सपोर्ट तक को नेशनेलाइज करना पड़े। प्लान एक रेंगुलर प्रोसेस है। हम भागे धीरे-बीरे जा रहे है। हो सकता है कि हम बैंक्स को भी नेशनेलाइज करें। सोशल कंट्रोल तो कर ही रहे हैं, हो सकता है कि उसमें कामयाब हो जायें। मतलब यह है कि हमारा कदम भागे की तरफ़ बढ़ रहा है ध्रोर हम भागे की तरफ जा रहे हैं । मायूस पिक्चर नहीं है। यह कहना कि कुछ नहीं हुम्रा है गलत बात है। हमारी सनती तरक्की तिगुनी हो गई है, स्टील मिल्स भी हम कायम कर रहे हैं। तो यह कहना कि कुछ नहीं हुभा यह गलत बात है।

### 16.00 hrs .

में कदमीर के मुताल्लिक एक, दो बातें कह कर खर्म करता हूं। सबाल यह है कि करमीर पसमांदा जगह है। हमसे कहा जाता या कि हम सोश्शलिज्ञ की तरफ कदम बढ़ायें, हमने पंडित जी से इंसपिरेशन लिया भीर भागे जाने की कोशिश की। हमने लंड रिफार्म किया, लैं टु दी टिलर दिया प्रोर कर्जे स्केल डाउन किये भोर वह सारी जायदाद जो घायद कर्जे में बा रही थी उसको बचा लिया। मगर हमारा मसला क्या है ? हमारा मसला यह है कि हम ऐसे लोग्रों की तरह हैं जिनके पास कुष्ब भी तो नहीं। जंसे एक किस्सा है कि किसी को एक बोढ़े की नाल मिल गई तो उसने फहा कि भब मुमें सिफ तीन नाल घोर एक घोड़ा चाहिये । तो हमारे करमीर का यही हाल है। हमारे पाष रिसोसंज कहां कि भागे बढ़ सकते हैं।

SHRI DHIRESWAR KALITA (Gauhati): You know Kashmir is sinking now 1

SHRI AHMAD AGA : I know it. We will all sink or swim together : remember that, and remember that for ever.

घ्रघ्यक्ष महोहय, हजारों बरस से हम इस मुल्क का हिस्सा रहे हैं, अ्रशोक के जमाने में वहां बुद्धिस्ट कान्फेस हुश्रा करती थीं। 1947 में गंधी जी ने कहा कि कइमीर हिन्दुस्तान का हिस्सा है .्रोर उसको हर सूरत में बचाया जाय । हम नहीं सिक कर रहे हैं ग्रोर न करेंगे, हम इसी मुल्क में हैं झ्योर हजारों बरस तक रहेंगे ।

तो मैं कइमीर की बात कह रहा था कि हमको श्रागे जाना है श्रोर यकीनन जाना है। मुमे प्रषान मंत्री से बहुत उम्मीदें हैं। हम जो तरक्की नहीं कर पाये हैं उसके चन्द वज़हात हैं, इसमें हमारा कसूर नहीं, में सेन्टर को ब्लेम नहीं करता। सवाल यह है कि हमारे पास पावर नहीं । हमारे लोवर भेलम, श्रपर सिंष श्रोर सलाल के पावर प्रोजेक्ट्स खत्म होने में नहीं भ्राते। हमें पावर चाहिये उसके वगैर वहां इंडस्ट्री नहीं हो सकती। हमारे पास बोक्साइड है, ग्र्रफंाइट है लेकिन हम उसकी निकासी नहीं कर पाते हैं क्योंकि पावर नहीं है प्लानिग कमीरान ने करमीर को मदद दी, प्लान फंड्स देता रहा, मगर 1964 से पहले जो वह्रां की स्टेट थी वह तो दूकानें किरायाकशी के लिये बनाती थी, लेकिन ग्राजकल तो ऐसा नहीं हो सकता, श्राज तो प्लान से सेल्फ जनेरेरेटिंग इकोनामी बनाना है । भगर किसी साहब के दिमाग में टी०बी० घककर मार रहा है तो गलत है। हमको पावर की जरूरत है। बोक्साइट श्राप बाहर से इम्पोटं फरते हैं। करमीर से क्यों नहीं लेते, 100 हजार मिलियन टन वहां मोजूद है। वहां जंगल हैं उनका सर्वे कीजिये wood based industries कायम जिसकी वहां बहुत बड़ी इंड्ट्री हो सकती है।

भाप कहते हैं कि फलां शाए्ब ने जलसा किया तो इतने हजार श्रादमी इकट्ठा हुए। कोई भी भा जाय, में ही चला जाऊ तो 20,000 भादमी इकट्ठा हो जायेंगे कोई चला जाय तो भादमी ₹कट्ठा हो जायेंगे लोगों के जमा होने

की यह बजह है कि उनके पास साल में फुल दो, तीस महीने ही काम रहता है, बाकी वक्त उनके पास काम नहीं। इसलिये खाली श्रादमी क्या करे । में चाहूँगा कि श्राप वहां लोगों को काम दीजिये। कइमीर की कोई समस्या नहीं हैं, कोई पोलिटिकल समस्या नहीं है यह में बिल्कुल भच्छी तरह से जनता हूं। वहां की समस्या सिर्फ इक्तसादी समस्या है, वह सियासी नहीं है। मैंने डेढ़ बरस से देखा, रोज कइमीर की बात होती हैं मगर सिफ सियासी बात होती है, इक्तसादी कोई नहीं करता। इसलिये मुमे उम्मीद है कि श्रब वहां ये सब काम किये जायेंगे श्रोर उसके लिये प्लानिग कमीहान जहर इंतजाम करेगा। मुभे प्लानिंग कमीशान से एक गिला है फेम वर्क बन जाता है भोर वह स्टेट्स के पास चला जाता है वह खाना पूरी करती हैं, यहां श्राता है श्रोर ड्राफ्ट मंतूर हो जाता है श्रौर रिपोट्ट सामने श्रा जाती है। प्लार्निग कमीशन को हर चीज़ के लिये जिम्मेदार होना चाहिए। प्लारिंग कमीशान को कहमीर के लिए घौर दूसरे पसमांदा इलाकों के लिये एक वकिग ग्रुप बनाना चाहिये जो वहां जाकर हालात को स्टही करें, या भपनी एक सब कमेटी भेजे भोर यह देषे कि वहां ठेवलपमेन्ट क्यों नहीं हो रहा है। सह़कों का जाल तो बिछ्वा दिया है मगर इसके साथ श्रोर कुछ्ब नहीं हुश्रा। में प्रघान मंश्री जी से दरस्वास्त करता हूं कि वह एक छोटी सी ऐसी कमेटी बनायें खुद या प्लानिग कमीशन को कहें जो जाकर देखें कि हमारी तरक्की क्यों कर हो सकती है। अभी तक क्यों तरककी नहीं हुई। भागे कैसे हो सकती है, उसके लिये क्या करना है । इसंके लिये यह जरूरीं है कि एक घ्रलग से कमेटी इस काम के लिये खासतेर पर मुकर्रर की जाय।

प्लानिग कमीशान की यह रिपोट है इसमें से 2,4 बातें पढ़ना चाहता था लेकिन वक्त नहीं है। कहते हैं कि एक साह्व करमीर ते यहां भाये, पहली बार भाये। उन दिनों में उकोटा हवाई जहाज चलता था इसलिये सफदरजंग एयर पोर्टं पर भागये किसी कान्मे स

को ख्रहंन्ड करने के लिये श्रीर जब यहाँ से वापस गये तो लोमों ने पूद्धा कि क्या क्या देखा । उसने कहा कि जब मैंने लंड किया तो सफदरजंग एपर पोर्ट में लाउन्ज देखा, गोल-मेल, फिर चोराह्हा देक्र्र्र वह भी गोल-येल, फलनियमेंट हाउस बेक्षा मेल-पोल, कान्फॅस टेबल घोल-गोल यइ वसत्तों करते हैं गोल-गोल। तो बह जो प्लन हैं, इस प्लानिका कसीकन की रिफेट है इउमें इस तरीके के लिख्ब देते हैं कि ईमपलोमेंटेश्रन की स्टेज पर एक चीज के कई साने होते हैं । हस लिये में खर्ड करना ज्ञाहता हैं कि इसको हर बांत कानक्रीट़बी दिसानी चाहिये कि किस तरह से कम माया तवका भ्रोर किसान ऊपर उठे भोर एक ऐसा जरिया इस्तेमाल करना है कि बीकर सैकशन श्राफ दी सोसायटी कपर श्राये ।
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SHRI DHIRESWAR KALITA: You know Kashmir is sinking now.

SHRI AHMAD AGA : I know it. We will all sink or swim together ; remember that, and remember that for over.
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प्रफ्रय मंबत，क्रत्यु च्रक्ति संस्री तथा कोजना मन्न्री छीमली घ्वि्दिा गाधी ：में कोशिश कसुषी कि योल गोल बात न करूं।

Sir，the debate itself must have convinced the hon．members how very difficult it is to have a plan that will satisfy all sections of the House．Many of the members have themselves replied to most of the points raised by other members．As usual，the criticism has been mutually contradictory in many important respects． Perhaps the owe point on which many members opposite agree is that trey emphasise the negative aspect of the plan， that is，what has not bappened．Very few of them take any look at all at what has been achieved．

We have，as is not unusual in this House，one extrame－hon．members sitting opposite there and Shri Masai，who makes a strong plea for the private sector．At first，we were called only fellow－traveliess， but now we have been pushed even further and we have been coupled with Marxists， including my good friend，Dr．V．K．R．N． Ray and others．

SHRI NATH PAI（Rajapur）：He does not seem to be unhappy at that．He seems to be enjoying．

SHRIMAT1 INDIRA GANBHI ：At the other end there are some of our hen． friends sitting on that side who have link． ed us with the capitalists and who are：for much greater control．On one site they want abolition of controls and on the
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other side they want greater control and they see a curtailment of the public sector in the present Plan. At the same time, from our side many constructive suggestions have been made and some from the other side also.

It is an indication of the rising frustration of the Swantantra Party that one of their older members, the first speaker, should bave descended to abusive and strong language. I shall not tire the patience of hon. Members by referring to some obviously misleading and fatuous remarks. Hon. Members from the other side have never hesitated to criticise the Government in the strongest possible terms. We are well able to look after ourselves and do not orject to such criticism; however, it is not in the tradition of the House to criticise those who are unable to defend themsolves here and, if I may say so, I thought it was in bad taste to mention the Deputy Chairman and members of the Planning Commission by name and to make regrettable personal attacks in such unrestrained language.

It is typical of the hon. Member, Sir, that of all animals he should have chosen to allude to the mule. His reference was in terms of popular uninformed prejudice rather than the real qualities of the animal. I must admit that I used to spesk of the mule in rather the same language myself until I had occasion to go to Bhutan with my father and to ride the mule for five to six days crossing four very high ranges of the Himalayas. The manner in which the hon. Member spoke about it is the proof that he has approached the Fourth Plan and indeed the very question of planning with a closed and prejudiced mind. Does Shri Masani not know that the mule has been of invaluable help to our army over the years. This animal......

SHRI PILOO MODI: Sir, is the speech a defence of the animal or a defence of the Plan?

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI :
This animal has proved to be a strong dependable creature, steady, sure-footed and advancing towards the set goal, along difficult and steep tracks which are too difficult fọ any other animal or mode of
transport. All over the world the mule symbolyses hard work, strength, dependability and dogged determination.

The hon. Member once again introduced into this report, his own argument about what kind of planning we should have in this country. He wanted us to adopt indicative planning as it exists in France. A reply to that has been made but, nevertheless, I would like to say that it may be an example of planning suited to an economy which is industrially highly developed and the central structures of which remain capitalistic. The hon. Member is a widely read person and he should have read-perhaps he has deliberately chosen to ignore such reference in this House-that there are also French planners who have indicated that their model is not necessarilv suitable for developing countries which are faced with multiple problems such as we have in India.

There is today a large measure of common ground in the techniques of drawing up plans and in the methods of executing them. While, on the one hand, there is recognition that market mechanisms, decentralised decision-making and indirect controls have their value, on the other hand, there is increasing willingness to acknowledge the utility of control and public action which were formerly considered to be an anathema. Now, planning does not permit of any wholesale and unthinking importation of foreign models, whether of one kind or another. Planning has to take into account our own condition, our own circumstances and, as one of our members said just now, the genius of our own people. Our planning has to be, and it shall be, Indian planning. We do not follow either the Soviet method or the French or any other method.

SHRI PILOO MODY: We believe in family planning.

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI : The nature of Indian Planning is unique and pioneering in its wake, because we were the very first to attempt planning within the framework of parliamentary democracy. No other country, large or small, which is following our political system, had undertaken any such effort, when we embarked
on planned economic development in 1950-51.

SHRI J. B. KRIPALANI : Then, why are the rich growing richer?

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI : We have made progress in the past 18 years despite our very special circumstancesFirstly, there is the democratic set up which rules out authoritarian methods, the federal set up and sub-continental dimensions of the country calling for reconciliation of Centre-States point of view, the problems of far-flung areas, problems of disparities in levels of development between regions etc. and, of course, the growing population which does erode the fruits of progress. Then, there were exceptional difficulties, for example, the Chinese aggressior of 1962, two successive aggressions and two years of unprecedented drought. While I have the greatest respect for Acharya Kripalani, I do not think that anybody could have anticipated these developments. It is true that we have been having drought in India in one part or another for many years. But the sort of drought which we experienced in the last two years we have not had in India for over a hundred years. It was something which was entirely unprecedented in nature and unknown here before. So, one should not take these things lightly. These are realities which cannot be ignored, however much it may suit some of us to do so.

Defence expenditure alone had to be stepped up from a little over Rs. 300 crores a year to over Rs. 1,000 crores a year. In five year period this meant an unavoidable and inescapable diversion of very scarce resources to the extent of Rs. 3,500 crores. Similarly, in two years of drought we lost something like 30 million tonnes of food and the impact of this major set back should be well-known to hon. Members of the Swantantra Party, because when that impact was felt only last year, the representatives of industry were amongst the loudest to demand sezabie incroases in the public sector outlay so as to broaden the demand for their products and to sustain them through the recession. These are hard facts and realities which we simply cannot ignore.

The hon. Member used certain figures,
rather selectively, in an attempt to show that people have become poorer. Now, had he taken the base year 1950-51, a year before the commencement of planning, and worked out changes in 1967-68 over the base year, he would have presented a different picture. To present the case in perspective, may I cite a few figures ?

As compared with 1950-51, in 1967-68 the per capita availability of foodgrains increased by 16 per cent, of edible oils by 18 per cent, of cloth by 37 per cent, of sugar by 43 per cent and of coffee by 40 per cent. This has taken place despite a large addition to our population. The annual rate of increase in population has gone up from 1.25 per cent In the First Plan to 2.5 der cent in recent years. Much as one appreciates the thoughtfulness of those who have not added to the population, I might say, some of the increase is not due to the efforts of individuals but is due to certain health measures, that is, substantial fall in the mortality rate by 45 per cent and increase in the longevity of life. This is no mean an achievement and cannot but be attributed to our planned efforts.

The question which has distributed many Members here, and as mentioned by Acharyaji also, is the question of our debts and in particular why we should ask for re-scheduling of debts. But this need arises from the same consideration as the need for fresh foreign loans or direct foreign investment, that is, the imbalance between exports and imports. This is one way and a very effective way of reducing our obligations in regard to our debt payments. The justification for debt relief derives from the fact that large proportion of our export earnings is now being required to meet the debt burden, leaving insufficient foreign exchange for our necessary imports. It is recognised today by all developling countries that they need substantial foreign aid in the transitional stage of development to be gradually reduced and, finally, eliminated once the imbalance in foreign trade has been corrected. It is also widely recognised that foreign loans should carry very low rates of interest and that the period of repayment should be long. However, a fair proportion of our earlier loans carriod a relatively high rate of interest with a relatively shorter period of payment. This has put considerable
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strain on our balance of payments at a time when our export capacity is not high enough to bear the burden. The negotiation for re-scheduling is primarily to gain some relief in this matter and it has the effect of easing the burden of old loans as well as new ones so that the neods of developing countries are better met.

Acharyaji said something about our old tradition of begging. But today this is not old tradition but a contemporary situation which does not apply merely to us or to other developing countries like us. It is something which is also faced by the developed and advanced countries. In fact, there is not a single country in the world which does not face it. Developed countries seek debt relief though camouflaged in different forms.

There has been great hardship, of course, by the rise in prices and I would be the first to admit it. It is not something which we can ignore or which we can gloss over. But it has to be seen in perspective, Between 1950-51 and 1964-65, the price levels had risen at an annual compound rate of 2.5 por cent in India and this compares favourably with many other countries. It is only since then that there has been a sharp rise in prices due to the unprecedented drought and the consequent decline in agricultural production. With the improvement is agricultusal production, a measure of stability in prices is being restored. This stabitty will be maintained in the Fourth Plan in view of the emphasis on agricultural production and building up of buffer-stock of foodgrains.

Many Membess have spoken about the outlay on agricultural production not being suficient. The other day, when I was talking about our increased emphasis on agriculture, some Members raised unnecessary doubts as to how the figures I gave compared with the corresponding figures in the Third Plan.

I have indicated that, if one were to put together all the direct as well as indirect outlays on agricultural production, one would arrive at the figure of Rs. 4,606 crores, constituting 32 per cent of the total outlay in the pubic sector. In a sense, even this figure does not fully reflect the investments contenplated in the Plan for the augmentation of agricultural production.

To give just one instance, we should further include a portion of the investment on the generation and transmission of power which relates to agricultural preduction programmes.

Coming to the earlier Plans I should like to say that. according to a detailed analysis of the Planning Commission, the corresponding or comparable outlay on schemes benefiting agricultural production in the Second Plan was of the order of Rs. 1,278 erores or 26.6 per cent of the public sector outlay. The comparable figures for the Third Plan are Rs. 2,112 crores or 28.1 per cent of the total outlay.

It is thus clear that greater emphasis has been laid on agricultural production as compared with the earlier Plans, and investiments have been substantially increased both in absolute terms and as per centage of the total outlay contemplated in the Fourth Plan.

We should also remember that a significant portion of the increase in incomes accruing in the agricultural sector will remain in private hands and will be utilised for investment in agricultural improvement. The Fourth Plan estimates such direct investments in agriculture and allied programmes in the private sector at Rs. 1,800 crores as against only Rs. 800 crores in the Third Plan.

If we take a composite view of the outlays in both private and public sector it will be clear that the Fourth Plan accords a higher priority to allocation of resources for agricultural development as compared with the Third Plan.

Many members also expressed concern about the comparative neglect of the needs of the rural areas in our Plan and have called for a shift in bias from the urban to the rural areas in our strategy of economic development. Development outlays in many rectors such as power, transport and communications benefit the national economy as a whole. It will be difficult to apportion the benefit as between rural and urban areas. However, we have already indicated that the new Plan attaches greater importance than the earlier Plans to agricultural production which constitutes the sheetanchor of rural economy. From a quick analysis of the programmes and the social sprvices such as edcation, medical
relief, publlc health and welfare, and welfare of backward classes, benefiting the rural areas, taking to the outlay on agricultural productiou and other related programmes and the rural component of social services, tt is seen that the public sector outlay in the Fourth Plan benefiting the rural areas will be of the order of Rs. 5,666 crores. This will constitute 39.3 per cent or very nearly 40 per cent of the total public sector outlay in the Fourth Plan. A very substantial portion of the outlay on the building of economic overheads such as power, transport and communications is not included in this figure because of the difficuties of apportionment. The comparable figures for the Third Plan are Rs. 2,560 crores or 34.1 per cent, and for the Second Plan, Rs. 1,532 crores or 31.9 per cent.

Prof. Kabir and some other hon. members mentioned rural electrification. The provision for rural electrification has been increased from Rs. 75 crores in the Second Plan to Rs. 105 crores in the Third Plan, and for the Fourth Plan a provision of Rs. 363 crores is proposed apart from the funds to be made available by the Rural Electrification Corporation and other institutions.

AN HON. MEMBER : A drop in the ocean.

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI : With regard to the position of fertilisers, pesticides and tractors, the provision has gone up from Rs. 72 crores in the Second Plan to Rs. 125 erores in the 'Third Plan, and now to Rs. 854.5 crores in the Fourth Plan. The example of pump sets is significant because they are directly relevant to agricultural production, just as fertilisers are. The number of pump sets which was 5.13 lakhs at the end of the Third Plan is expected to increase by nearly 5 times that figure to $\mathbf{2 3 . 1}$ lakhs at the end of the Fourth Plan. Therefore, it is not really correct to say that the rural areas have been neglected. However, it is indeed true that India lives in its $5 \frac{1}{\mathbf{2}}$ lakh villages and the true test of development in thls country lies in the beterment of the rural masses, specially those in the backward pockets and those which have been under-privileged in the past. It is not easy to wipe out the disparites or suddenly raise the level of those
who bave been most backward and who have been discriminated against in many ways.

Special mention has been rightly made of our brethren from the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. Every effort is now being made to help them and to see that although the disparity cannot immediately be bridged, at least it should be lessened and they should be given better opportunities to move ahead.

An hon. Member spoke of the public sector enterprises as laggards and drones. I do net think that this description is at all justifiod. The present investment in Central Government industrial and commercial enterprises over 80 in number is of the order of Rs. 3500 crores. Out of this the investment in 55 running concerns including Hindustan Steel accounts nearly to Rs. 3200 crores. During 1967-68 these enterprises showed a profil of over Rs. 48 crores. Substantial profits were earned by Indian Oil Corporation, Hindustan Aeronautics Ltd., Fertiliser Corporation of India Oil and Natural Gas Commission, Bharat Electronics Ltd. as well as 25 other corporations. Many enterprises have declared dividends ranging from $5 \%$ to $15 \%$. How ever, it is true that 24 public enterprises showed a net loss of Rs. 35 crores. Government are not at all complacent about the situation and are fully aware of the great need to improve the working and profitability of these undertakings. Some points were made about the appointments of experts rather than bureaucrats. The old policy is being changed and we are going in this direction. We are trying to use expertise and knowledge wherever it may exist.

I am rather sorry to see that some attempt was made to try to bring in a north-south element in this debate. I would like to assure my hon. friend opposite that the distribution of Central assistance is made on the basis of objective criteria arrived at by consensus among the Cbief Ministers themeselves. Tamit Nadu was represented at this meeting by a Minister, Shri Madhavan. The consensus formula was proposed by the Chief Minister of Kerala and second by the Chief Minister of Maharashtra and agreed to by all as the best possible in the circumstances. Within the framework of this formula, there was
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hardly any scope for the exercise of discrimination against the States. Only $10 \%$ of the Central assistance was left to be distributed among the States with reference to special problems, and subjective judgment was involved in the distribution only of this amount. Now perhaps the hon. Member will be interested to know that Tamil Nadu is one of the few States which will have a significantly larger Fourth Plan than its Third Plan.

Tamil Nadu will, in terms of aggregate outlay be the third biggest. The only two States which will have bigger plans are Maharashtra which has a strong resourcebase and U. P. with nearly $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times the population of Tamil Nadu. Tamil Nadu should therefore not have any special grieva-nce-apart from the general grievance of all States and all Central Ministries that the Plan should be larger.

Some questions were also asked about Central assistance. As I have said on a previous occasion, the Central assistance will be released in terms of block loans and grants under broad heads of development and will not now be tied to specific schemes.

There was one very important point made regarding employment and practically all Members rightly showed their concern. This morning as you are aware, Sir, a deputation of the Samajvadi Yuvuk Samaj met me. This deputation was accompanied by some hon. Members. They presented a Memorandum and they wanted Government to do more to solve the unemploment problem. In fact they asked for a Commission to be set up to study the problem, and also suggested some other steps.

As I explained to them and as I have said already in this House, we are very deeply concerned about the unemployment problcm and it is an anxiety which we share with every parent, with every teacher, in fact, with every young person. Some of the more acute forms of educated unemployment are directly related to recession. But, on the whole, the problem is the result of growth of general education at a faster rate then of the economy as a whole. The House knows that the Committee of Experts of which Professor Dantewala as Chairman and Professor Raj and

Shri Lahiri as Members has been set up to examine certain aspects of this important problem : but we need not wait for the report of this Committee, because our entire approach to development has had a deliberate employment bias.

We have not been advocates of big machinery for agriculture but have emphasised the use of small implements by the small farmers and agriculturists and workers to help new trades and the small enterpreneurs as for the land army, and this is one of the proposals of the Momorandum. I myself have always been attracted by the idea but I feel that it will need to be very carefully considered in its practical and other aspects.

I have told the Members who came to see me that we will certainly give consideration to the suggestions which they have made. Some of them are already being looked into in depth.

My hon. friend from the Jan Sangh wanted a Swadeshi Plan. The hon. Member knows that this is an aspect which I have been stressing all along, even before the Draft Outline came out. I feel that the Plan is already swadeshi in that it puts the whole emphasis on self-reliance. The net foreign aid in the Fourth Plan will only be $8 \%$ of the total investment. The Plan also seeks to put a stop to the import of foodgrains on concessional terms within two years.

The Plan lays emphasis on the role of developing machinery and equipment as also domestic know-how and expertiec.

I think it is rather unfair on the part of the hon. Member opposite to say that Delhi has been specially discriminated against. As he rightly pointed out, all of us are very much concerned with the development of Delhi, but in this particular situation, everybody is discriminated against in a manner of speaking, because of our lack of resources.

Now, several Members have drawn attention to the needs of various areas and different classes of people. It is natural that each Member should draw attention to the special noeds of his own State.

We are very conscious of the lacunae in the Plan and the needs to help each State.

Each State feels that if it could have more irrigation, it could feed the whole country, if it had certain industrial schemes, it could provide employment to vast numbers and they are right in thinking so. With all our sympathy with these demands and aspirations, it is not possible to provide for all the deslrable things which need to be done. The Plan is a design of development which has to define objectives very selectively, and formulate measures which must be adopted to realise these objectives. While the goals are important, the means to realise them are not less important. We have heard a lot about how many more necessary things should be done, but not so much thought has been given nor are steps proposed for the achieving of these objectives. In the months and years ahead, Parliament will have the opportunity of discussing these measures. One of the Hon. Members said that the time here was much too short. We want the entire country to discuss these questions...

AN HON. MEMBER : You have imposed Sec. 144 in Delhi. How can people here discuss?

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: As we have said, the Plan will be reviewed from year to year and certainly if anything can be added to it or new programmes be taken up, there will be no hesitation in doing so.

The Plan must lead the country forward with greater vigour and determination to its goal of a more prosperous and economic order. Sir, the venarable Acharya ended his speech by saying or by asking : where is the country going? I do not know about the hon. Members. But I personally have no doubt at all...

SOME HON. MEMBERS : Where is it going ?

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: It is going towards progress and prosperity and towards lessening of disparity. But the journey is hard and long and it is going to take courage and determination and cooperation of all of us together .... Interruptlons). It is upto Shri Piloo Mody to find out what kind of Government can have the people's support. But I doubt very much if it is his Party.

MR. SPEAKER : There are a number of substitute motions before us.

SHRI HUMAYUN KABIR : The motion of the Government is only for taking this into consideration. We have already taken it into consideration. Now no further action is necessary.

MR. SPEAKER : Some have moved substitute molions. For instance, Shrl Vishwa Nath Pandey has moved a substitute motion.

First I will put Shri Masani's substituto motion seeking disapproval of the Plan to the vote of the House.

The question is:
That for the original motton, the following be substituted, namely :
'This House, having considered the "Fourth Five Year Plan 1969-74Draft", laid on the Table of the House on the 21st April, 1969, disapproves of the Draft Plan for the following reasons :
(a) the Draft Fourth Plan clearly indicates that the Government has evidently learnt nothing from the failure of the Second and Third Five Year Plans; and the targets of the Plan are as speculative as those of the Second and Third Plans and are as little likely to be achieved;
(b) undue emphasis on Heavy Industries at the cost of Agriculture continues to be a feature of the Fourth Plan ;
(c) it ignores the fact that the high cost economy caused by inflation and the distortion caused by the "rupee countries" racket dooms the hope of exporting non-traditional commodities to disappointment ;
(d) it concedes that, as wastoful expenditure cammot be curtalied further excessive taxation and a continuing resort to defielt Anance will become necessary ; farther taxaticn and the diverstion of the people's savings are fatended to finance increased expenditure on inefficient and unprofitable governmental undertatiogs ;
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(e) agricultural activities are threatened with institutionalisation : and
(f) even while conceding that controls and the licencing system are not functioning satisfactorily, there is no readiness to turn to the obvious
aiternative of decontrol and increased competition ; and the Plan neglects the interests of the consumer'. (14)

The Lok Sabba divided :
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Patil, Shri T. A.
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Shambhu Nath, Shri
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Shastri, Shri Sheopujan
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Snatak, Shri Nar Deo
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MR. SPEAKER : The result* of the division is :

Ayes: 33 ; Noes 163 ; Abstentions 39.
The Noes have it ; the Noes have it.

> The motion was negatived.

SHRI SURENDRANATH DWIVEDY: There are more abstensions than 'Noes',

SHRI VASUDEVAN NAIR (Peermade): Shri Ramavatar Shastri's substitute motions No. 5 and 6 may be put to vote separately.

SHRI SHIVA CHANDRA JHA . My substitue motion No. 3 may also be put to vote separately.

MR. SPEAKER : The Lobbies have cleared already. I need not have them cleared again.

The question is :
That for the original motion, the followIng be substituted, namely :-
"This House having considered the "Fourth Jive Year Plan 1969-74Draft" laid on the Table of the House on the 21st April, 1969, is of the opinion that -
(a) the basic principles underlined In the alternative drafted by the State Planning Board constituted by UF Government of Kerala be made the basis of the Fourth Plan in order to give it the shape of public welfare Plan ; the points raised by the Kerala Chief Minister and West Bengal Deputy Chief Minister in the last meeting of National Development Council be included in the Fourth Plan;
(b) the policy of non-capitalistic development be adopted after rejecting the policy of capitalistic development ;
(c) banks basic industries, tea-plantations, foreign trade, foreign oil companies, wholesale trade of foodgrains be nationalised to break the increasing monopoly of 75 capitalist families on the country's economic life and immediate steps be taken to look into the charges and corruption alleged against Birla brothers;
(d) immediate drastic stops be taken to end the loot let loose by profteers, grain speculators and hoarders in order to chock the rise in prices of essential commodities ;
(c) guarantee of need-based wages, merger of DA with pay, neutralisation of full dearness allowance, safeguarding the Trade Union rights and scrapping off anti-strike and other repressive legal provisions in order to enable working classes of the country to take active part in the economic development works of the country be included in the Plan ; and
(f) more financial provision be made for uniform progress of Blhar, Eastern UP, Orissa, Rajasthan, Gujarat and other backward States : concrete and effective steps be taken to remove regional imbalance and basic industries be extended in the puhlic sector in the country ;".

That for the original motion, the followIng be substituted, namely :-
"This House having considered the "Fourth Five Year Plan 1969-74Draft" laid on the Table of the House on the 21st April, 1969, is of the opinion that -
(a) effective steps be taken to implement legislation regarding land reforms with a view to increase agricultural output so as to make country selfdependent in the matter of foodgrains : to distribute free waste land and Government fallow land among agricultural labourers and poor farmers and to make avaliable fertilizers and seeds at cheap prices and electricity be provided in villages at cheap rates :
(b) agricultural labourers be provided with land for cultivation and the minimum wages be assured to them ;
(c) effective steps be taken to remove unemployment ;
(d) land revenue on the uneconomic holdings be abolished and slabwise agricultural income-tax be introduced ;
(e) funds allocated for the public sector in the Plan be increased and

[^0]those allocated for the private sector be reduced; and
(f) funds allocated In the Plan for irrigation, social welfare, education, electricity, agriculture, foodgrains, development of industries, development
of roads and highways, house-building, water-supply schemes, development of backward classes and labour welfare be increased." (6)

The Lok Sabha divided.
Diviafor No. 20]
AYES
[16.51 brs.
Molahu Prasad, Shri
Nair, Shri Vasudevan
Nambiar, Shri
Nihal Singh, Shri
Pandey, Shri Sarjoo
Paswan, Shri Kedar
Patil, Shri N. R.
Ramamurti, Shri P.
Saboo, Shri Shri Gopal
Satya Narain Singh, Shri
Shastri, Shri Ramavatar
Shastri, Shri Sheopujan
Umanath, Sbri
Yadav, Shri Jageshwar

NOES
Agadi, Shri S. A.
Aga, Shri Ahmad
Ahmed, Shri F. A.
Arumugam, Shri R.S.
Awadesh Chandra Siagh, Shri
Babunath Singh, Shri
Barua, Shri Bedabrata
Barupal, Shri P. L.
Basumatari, Shri
Baswant, Shri
Bhagat, Shri B. R.
Bhagavati, Shri
Bhakt Darshan, Shri
Bhandare, Shri R. D.
Bhanu Prakash Singh, Shri
Bhargava, Shri B. N.
Birua, Shri Kolai
Bohra, Shri Onkarlal
Buta Singh, Shri
Chanda, Shri Anil K.
Chandrika Prasad, Shri
Chatterji, Shri Krishaa Kumar
Chaudhary, Shri Nitiraj Singh
Chavan, Shri D. R.
Chavan, Shri Y. B.
Choudhury, Shri J. K.
Damani, Shri S. R.
Dasappa, Shri Tulsidas
Dass, Shrl C.
Deoghare, Shri N. R.

Desbmukh, Shri B. D.
Deshmukh, Shri Shivajirao S.
Dhuleshwar Meena, Shri
Digvtjai Nath, Shri
Dinesh Singh, Shri
Dwivedi, Shri Nageshwar
Ering, Shri D-
Gandhi, Shrimati Indira
Ganesh, Shri K. R.
Gautam, Shri C. D.
Gavit, Shri Tukaram
Ghosh, Shri Parimal
Gudadinni, Shri B. K.
Gupta, Sbri Lakhan Lal
Hajarnawis, Shri
Hari Krishna, Shri
Hem Raj, Shri
Himatsingka, Shri
Iqbal Singh, Shri
Jadhav, Shri Tulshidas
Jadhav, Shri V. N.
Jaggaiah, Shri K.
Jagjiwan Ram, Sbri
Jamir, Shri S. C.
Jamna Lal, Shri
Kahandole, Sbri Z. M.
Karan Singh, Dr.
Kesrl, Shri Sitaram
Khan, Shri M. A.
Krishoa, Shrl M. R.

Kureel, Shri B. N.
Kushok Bakula, Shri
Lakshmikanthamma, Shrimati
Lalit Sen, Shri
Laskar, Shri N. R.
Laxmi Bai, Shrimati
Mahajan, Shri Vikramchand
Mahida, Shri Narendra Singh
Mahishi, Dr. Sarojini
Malhotra, Shri Inder J.
Mandal, Shri Yamuna Prasad
Master, Shri Bhola Nath
Mehta, Shri P. M.
Melkote, Dr.
Menon, Shri Govinda
Minimata Agam Dass Guru, Shrimati
Mirza, Shri Bakar Ali
Mishra, Shri Bibhuti
Mohsin, Shri
Mrityunjay Prasad, Shri
Mukerjee, Shrimati Sharda
Mulla, Shri A. N.
Murti, Shri M. S.
Nahata, Shri Amrit
Nanda, Shri
Nayar, Dr. Sushila
Oraon, Shri Kartik
Pahadia, Shri Jagannath
Palcboudhuri, Shrimati Ila
Pandey, Sbri K. N.
Pandey. Shri Vishwa Nath
Pant, Shri K. C.
Paokai Haokip, Shri
Parmar, Shri Bhaljibhai
Partap Singh, Shri
Parthasarathy, Sbri
Patel, Shri Manubbai
Patil, Shri Anantrao
Patil, Shri C. A.
Patil, Shri Deorao
Patil, Shri S. B.
Patil, Shri S. D.
Patil, Shri T. A.
Poonacha, Shri C. M.
Pramanik, Shri J. N.
Qureshi, Shri Mohd. Shaffi
Radhabai, Shrimati B.
Raghu Ramaiah, Shri
Raj Deo Singh, Shri
Rajni Devi, Shrimati
Raju, Shri D. B.
Ram Dhan, Shri
Ram Dhani Das, Sbri
Ram Sewak, Shri Choudbury

Ram Subhag: Singh, Dr.
Ram Swarup, Shri
Rapa, Shri.M.B.
Randhir Singh, Shri
Rao, Shri Jaganath
Rao, Dr. K. L.
Rao, Shri K. Narayana
Rao, Shri Muthyal
Rao, Shri J. Ramapathi
Rao, Shri Thirumala
Rao, Dr. V. K. R. V.
Ready, Shri P. Antony
Reddy, Shri R. D.
Rohatgl, Shrimati Sushila
Roy, Sbri Bishwanath
Saha, Dr. S. K.
Saigal, Shri A. 8.
Saleem, Shri M. Yunus
Sanghi, Shri N. K.
Sankata Prasad, Dr.
Savitri Shyam, Shrimati
Sayeed, Shri P. M.
Sen, Shri Dwaipayan
Sen, Shri P. G.
Sethuraman, Shri N.
Shambhu Nath, Shri
Shankaranand, Shri B.
Shashi Bhushan, Shri
Shastri, Shri Biswanarayan
Shastri, Shri Ramanand
Sheo Narain, Shri
Sher Singh, Shri
Shinde, Shri Anpasahib
Shukla, Shri S. N.
Siddheshwar Prasad, Shri
Singh, Shri D. N.
Snatak, Shri Nar Deo
Sinha, Shri R. K.
Solanki, Shri S. M.
Sonar, Dr. A. G.
Sanavane, Shri
Sudarsanam, Sbri M.
Supakar, Sbri Sradhakar
Swaran Singh, Shri
Tarodekar, Shri V. B.
Tiwary, Shri D, N.
Tiwary, Shri K. N.
Uikey, Shri M. G.
Ulaka, Shri Ramachandra
Veerappa, Shri Ramachandra
Venkatasubbaiap, Shri P.
Verma, Shri Balgovind
Virbhadra Singh, Shrl
Vyas, Shri Ramesh Chandra

MR. SPEAKER : The result* of the division is: Ayes 29 : Noes 168 ; Abstentions 33. The Noes have it; the Noes have it.

The motion was negatived.
MR. SPEAKER : What about Shri S. C. Jha's substitute motion?

SHRI SHIV CHANDRA JHA : I press it to division.

MR. SPEAKER : What about Shri Humayun Kabir's substiftute motion?

SHRI HUMAYUN KABIR I I press it to division.

MR. SPEAKER : There must be some limit. I thought the' House had agreed that on one or two substitute motions there would be division. Insistence on division on every substitute motion by the mover of such a motion is something $I$ am hot able to understand. It takes some time for the voting machine to work again. I cannot put the next motion to vote by division immediately.

I shall now put No. 3, substitute motion by Shri S. C. Jha to vote. Let the Opposition have the satisfaction.

The question is :
That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely :-
'This House, having considered the

Division No. 21]
Atam Das, Shri
Banerjee, Shri S. M.
Biswas, Shri J. M.
Daschowdhury, Shri B. K.
Gupta, Shti Ind rajit
Jha, Shri Bhogendra
Jha, Shri Shiva Chadidra
Kalita, Shri Dhireswar:

AYES
"Fourth Five Year Plan 1969-74—Draft", laid on the Table of the House on the 21st April, 1969, is of opinion that-
(a) the plan is glaringly devoid of any scientific philosophy of Planning ;
(b) it has not pointed out the pressing need of the nationalization of the commanding heights of the economy, such as, banks, wholesale foreign and internal trade, steel and coal and has failed to show how the qualitative change in land-ownership be brought about ;
(c) it has made no provision for the workers' participation in the management of the public undertakings and that the income ceilings to the ratio of one to ten be immediately put into effect ;
(d) the P.L. 480 funds retained and used by American Embassy in India be immediately frozen;
(e) it has not pointed out how the full employment in the country would be brought into being; and
(f) it has failed to point out how the peoples participation in the Plan implementation be possible without planning the press, in the shape of Planned Press and Party Press.' (3)

The Lok Sabha divided.
[16.54 hrs.
Krishna, Shri S. M.
Kushwah, Shri Y. S.
Molahu Prasad, Shri
Nair, ShriVasudenan
Nihal Singb, Shri
Pandey, Shri Sarjoo
Shastri, Shri Sheopujan

## NOES

Adichan, Shri P. C.
Agadi, Shri S. A.
Aga, Shri Ahmad
Ahmad, Shri F. A.
Arumugam, Shri R. S.

Awadesh Chandra Singh, Shri
Babunath Singh, Shri
Barua, Shri Bedabrata
Barupal, Shri P. L.
Basumatari, Shri

[^1]Baswant, Shri
Bhagat, Shri B. R.
Bhagavati, Shri
Bhakt Darshan, Shri
Bhandare, Shri R. D.
Bhanu Prahash Singh, Shri
Bhargava, Shri B. N.
Birua, Shri Kolai
Bohra, Sbri Onkarlal
Buta Singh, Shri
Chanda, Shri Anil K.
Chandrika Prasad, Shri
Chatterji, Shri Krishna Kumar
Chaudhary, Shri Nitiraj Singh
Chavan, Shri D. R.
Chavan, Shri Y. B.
Chouwdhury, Shri J. K.
Damani, Shri S. R.
Dasappa, Shri Tulsidas
Dass, Shri C.
Deoghare, Shri N. R.
Deshmukh, Shri B. D.
Deshmukh, Shri Shivajirao S.
Dhuleshwar Meena, Shri
Dinesh Singh, Shri
Dwivedi, Shri Nageshwar
Ering, Shri D.
Gandhi, Shrimati Indira
Ganesh, Shri K. R.
Gavit, Shri Tukaram
Ghosh, Shri Parimal
Gudadinni, Shri B. K.
Gupta, Shri Lakhan Lal
Hajarnawis, Shri
Hari Krishna, Shri
Hem Raj, Shri
Himatsingka, Shri
Iqbal Singh, Shri
Jadhav, Shri Tulshidas
Jadhav, Shri V. N.
Jaggaiah, Shri K.
Jagjiwan Ram, Shri
Jamir, Shri S. C.
Jamna Lal, Shri
Kahandole, Shri Z. M.
Karan Singh, Dr.
Kesri, Shri Sitaram
Kban, Sbri M. A.
Krishna, Shri M. R.
Kureel, Shri B. N.
Kushok Bakula, Shri
Lakshmikanthamma, Shrimati
Lalit Sen, Shri
Laskar, Shri N. R.
Laxmi Bai, Shrimati
Mahajan, Shri Vikramchand Mahida, Shri Narendra Singh Mahishi, Dr. Sarojini

Malhotra, Shri Inder J.
Mandal, Shri Yamuna Prasad
Master, Shri Bhola Nath
Mehta, Shri P. M.
Melkote, Dr.
Menon, Shri Govinda
Minimata Agam Dass Guru, Shrimati
Mirza, Shri Bakar Ali
Mishra, Shri Bibhuti
Mohsin, Shri
Mrityunjay Prasad, Shri
Mukerjee, Shrimati Sharda
Murti, Shri M. S.
Nahata, Shri Amrit
Nanda, Shri
Nayar, Dr. Sushila
Oraon, Shri Kartik
Pahadia, Shri Jagannath
Palchoudhuri, Shrimati Ila
Pandey, Shri K. N.
Pandey, Shri Vishwa Nath
Pant, Shri K. C.
Paokal Haokip, Shri
Parmar, Shri Bhaljibhai
Partap Singh, Shri
Parthasarathy, Shri
Patel, Shri Manubhai
Patil, Shrl Anantrao
Patil, Shrl C. A.
Patil, Shri Deorao
Patil, Shri S. B.
Patil, Shri S. D.
Patil, Shri T. A.
Poonacha, Shri C. M.
Pramanik, Shri J. N.
Qureshi, Shri Mohd. Shaff
Radhabai, Shrimati B.
Raghu Ramalah, Shri
Raj Deo Singh, Shri
Rajni Devi, Shrimati
Raju, Shri D. B.
Ram Dhan, Shri
Ram Dhani Das, Shri
Ram Sewak, Shri Dhowdhary
Ram Subhag Singh, Dr.
Ram Swarup, Shri
Rana, Shrl M. B.
Randhlr Singh, Shri
Rao, Shrl Jaganath
Rao, Dr. K. L.
Rao, Shri K. Narayana
Rao, Shrl Muthyal
Rao, Shri J. Ramapathi
Rao, Shri Thirumala
Rao, Dr. V. K. R. V.
Reddy, Shri P. Antony
Reddy, Shri R. D.

Rohatgi, Shrlmatl Sushila
Roy, Shri Bishwanath
Saboo, Shri Sbri Gopal
Saha, Dr. S. K.
Saigal, Shri A. S.
Saleem, Shri M. Yunus
Sanghi, Shri N. K.
Sankata Prasad, Dr.
Savitri Shyam, Shrimati
Sayeed, Sbri P. M.
Sen, Shri Dwaipayan
Sen, Shri P. G.
Shambhu Nath, Shri
Shankaranand, Sbri
Shashi Bhushan, Shri
Shastri, Shri Biswanarayan
Shastri, Shri Ramanand
Sheo Narain, Shri
Sher Singh, Shrl
Shinde, Shri Annasahib
Shukla, Shri S. N.
Siddheshwar Prasad, Shri
Singh, Shri D, N.
Sinha, Shri R. K.
Snatak, Sbri Nar Deo
Sonar, Dr. A. G.
Sonavane, Shri
Sudarsadam, Shri M.
Supakar, Shri Sradhakar
Swaran Singh, Shri
Tarodekar, Shri V. B.
Tiwary, Shri D. N.
Tiwary, Shri K. N.
Uikey, Shri M. G.
Ulaka, Shri Ramachandra
Venkatasubbaiah, Shri P.
Verma, Shri Balgovind
Virbhadra Singh, Shri
Vyas, Shri Ramesh Chandra
MR. SPEAKBR : The result* of the division is: AYES : 15 ; Noes 164 ; Abstentions 29.

The motion was negatived.
MR. SPEAKER : I shall now put substitute motion No. 12 by Shri Kabir to the vote of the House.

Substitute motion No. 12 was put and negatived.

MR. SPBAKER : I shall now put substitute motion No. 17 by Shri Tenneti Viswanatham to the vote of the House.

## Substitute motion No. 17 was put and negatived.

SHRI CHANDRIKA PRASAD : I am not pressing my substitute motions Nos. 15 and 16. I seek leave of the House to withdraw them
Substitute motions Nos. 15 and 16 were, by leave, withdrawn.

SHRI JAGANNATH RAO JOSHI (Bhopal) : The doors are continuously closed and members are held up outside.

MR. SPEAKER: 1 know that was agreed. 15 or 18 makes no difference.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE (Kanpur) ! People should think that there is sec. 144 inside.

MR. SPEAKER : I shall now put substitute motion No. 7 by Shri Shri Chand Goyal to vote.

Substitute motion No. 7 was put and megatived.

MR. SPEAKER : All the substitate motions are now disposed of. Wo now proceed to the next item on the agenda.

SHRI RANGA : Therefore, the plan has been dismissed.
16.55 hrs .

## COMPANIES (AMENDMENT) BILLconsd.

MR. SPEAKER : The House will now take up further consideration of the Companies (Amendment) Bill. Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta was on his legs...(Interruptions.)

SHRI SURENDRANATH DWIVEDY (Kendrapara) : So, the Plan has not been approved by the House.

MR. SPEAKER - It is only motion for consideration of the Plan.

AN HON. MEMBER: What is the time for general discussion?
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[^0]:    *The following Members also recorded
    their votes for 'NOES' :
    Sarvashri Buta Singh and V. B. Tarodekar:

[^1]:    *The following Mémbers also recorded their votes for AYES; Sarvashri Shiva Chandra Jha and Viswanatha Menon.

[^2]:    *The following Members also recorded
    NOES ! Sarvashr! C. D. Gantam and

