218

periods indicated against each:

F		-	
(1)	Rani Lalit	a Rajya	Laxmi

- (2) Shri Lalit Sen
- (3) Shri K. R. Ganesh
- (4) Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah
- (5) Shri Tayappa Hari Sonavane
- (6) Shrimati Ila Pal Chaudhuri
- (7) Shri N. K. Somani
- (8) Shri K. Jaggaiah
- (9) Shri M. N. Naghnoor
- (10) Maharani Vijayamala Rajaram Chhatrapati Bhonsale
- (11) Shri Madhu Limaye
- (12) Shri Pashabhai Patel
- (13) Shri S. R. Damani
- (14) Shri Ebrahim Sulaiman Sait

22nd July to 30th August, 1968 (Fifth Session)

24th July to 24th August, 1968 (Fifth Session).

11th November to 20th December, 1968 (Sixth Session).

11th to 26th November, 1968 (Sixth Session).

11th November to 20th December, 1968 (Sixth Session).

11th November to 6th December, 1968 (Sixth Session).

11th to 29th November, 1968 (Sixth Session).

11th November to 15th December, 1968 (Sixth Session).

11th November to 20th December, 1968 (Sixth Session).

11th to 30th November, 1968 (Sixth Session).

11th to 25th November, 1968 (Sixth Session).

11th to 26th November, 1968 (Sixth Session).

13th November to 10th December, 1968 (Sixth Session).

11th November to 13th December, 1968 (Sixth Session).

I take it that the House agrees with the recommendations of the Committee.

HON. MEMBERS: Yes.

MR. SPEAKER: The leave is granted. The Members will be informed accordingly.

12.37 hrs.

POST OFFICE (AMEND-INDIAN MENT) BILL*

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND COMMUNICATIONS (DR. RAM SUBHAG SINGH): I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill further to amend the Indian Post Office Act, 1898.

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Indian Post Office Act, 1898."

The motion was adopted.

DR. RAM SUBHAG SINGH: I introduce the Bill.

INDIAN TARIFF (AMENDMENT) BILL*

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMERCE (SHRI MOHD. SHAFI QURESHI): On behalf of Shri Dinesh Singh, I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill further to amend the Indian Tariff Act, 1934.

भी शिव चन्द्र हा (मधुवनी): मध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं इंडियन टेरिफ़ (एमेंडमेंट) बिल का विरोध करता है।

MR. SPEAKER: The hop. Member will please confine himself only to the Constitutional point. He can oppose the Bill later. At this stage, he may speak only on the Constitutional position; that is all.

Published in Gazette of India Extra-ordinary, Part II, Section 2, Dated 16.12.68.

219

भी शिव चन्द्र साः हकीकत में यह संशोधन टेरिफ कमीशन की सिफारिशों को सामने रख कर नहीं लाया जा रहा है, बल्कि उनको नजर-मन्दाज किया जा रहा है। टेरिफ़ कमीशन की सिफारिशों को कार्यान्वित करने से कुछ उद्योगों का, जिन में मोटर उद्योग भी है, विकास होगा। लेकिन उन सिफ़ारिशों को लाग नहीं किया जा रहा है। मिनिस्टी माफ कामसे द्वारा इंडियन टेरिफ़ (एमेंडमेंट) बिल के बारे में जो नोट सर्कुलेट किया गया है, मैं उसमें से मोटर उद्योग के मुताल्लिक एक वाक्य को पढ़ कर सूनाना चाहता हं:

> "For these reasons the Commission has concluded that there is no practical alternative but to let the industry continue under the present scheme of protection."

इस बिल के पेज 7 पर कहा गया है:

"In respect of products of the automobile and automobile ancillary industries. . . the Bill seeks to discontinue the tariff protection with effect from the 1st January, 1969. . .'

कमीशन का कनक्लूजन यह है कि मोटर इंडस्टी को प्रोटेक्शन की स्कीम के अन्तर्गत रहने देना चाहिए, लेकिन इस विधेयक में उसको डिसकन्टिन्यु किया जा रहा है।

मोटर एन्सिलरी इंडस्ट्री के बारे में टेरिफ़ कमी मन की रीक में डेशन में कहा गया है कि उसके डेवेलपमेंट को वाच करना चाहिए. लेकिन विधेयक में उसके बारे में भी संशोधन लाया गया है। इस स्थिति में इस विधेयक से देश का भौद्योगीकरण भौर मोटर उद्योग का विकास नहीं होने जा रहा है, बल्कि उस से विदेशी उद्योगपतियों को छट दी जा रही है, उनका रास्ता प्रशस्त किया जा रहा है कि वे भपने माल को हिन्दस्तान कै बाजार में लायें भीर मनाफ़ाखोरी करें। चंकि यह विधेयक टेरिफ़ कमीशन की रीकमेंडेशन्य के खिलाफ़ है भीर सदन को गुमराह करने वाला है, इसलिए मैं इसका विरोध करता है।

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Indian Tariff Act, 1934."

The motion was adopted.

SHRI MOHAMMAD SHAFI QURESHI: I introduce* the Bill.

12.39 hrs.

MATTER UNDER RULE 377

SHADOWING OF M.Ps. BY POLICE IN ORISSA

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BASU (Diamond Harbour): Under Rule 377 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha, I beg to ask for your permission to raise the following:

We three Members of Parliament, namely, Janav Syed Badrudduja, Shri J. M. Biswas and myself had been on a tour to the riot affected areas of Cuttack with the following objects in mind:

- (1) To collect first hand information, on the affairs leading to the riots;
- (2) Role of the State Government and others: and
- (3) To ensure that proper compensation was given to the victims and they were rehabilitated properly.

We regret to say from the very biginning we were shadowed by a band of police (Special Branch) people. They were, doubt, deputed to record our conversation with the people in general. It is clear that the Government's intention was to discourage. and even by implied intimidation, Members of Parliament from performing their duties by securing first hand report as to what has happened on such a deplorable happening in Cuttack. As stated above, we were on fact finding mission and in our mission we were prevented to find out as to what has happened in the affected areas because of the constant presence of watchful police officers, which to a great extent deterred people to come forward and narrate their experience before us.

[•] Introduced with the recommendation of the President.