[Mr. Chairman]

Patel concerning the air-conditioned travel facility. This is at the introduction stanc There is another Bill by Shri Barupal which is coming for consideration later on. It is, perhaps, confusing for the Members to know as to which Bill is under consideration. Is the hon. Member prepared to withdraw the Bill ?

श्री मध सिमये: मेरी विनती है कि वह बापस लें।

SHRI MANIBHAI J. PATEL : No. Sir.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is :

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Salaries and Allowances of Members of Parliament Act, 1954."

> The motion was negatived \_\_\_\_

16:53 hrs.

### **REPRESENTATION OF THE PEOPLE** (AMENDMENT) BILL\*

(Insertion of new section 86A)

SHRI S. S. KOTHARI (Mandsaur) : Sir. I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill further to amend the Representatation of the People Act, 1951.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is : "That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Represention of the People Act, 1951." The motion was adopted.

SHRIS. S. KOTHARI : 1 introduce the Bill.

16.53% hrs.

SALARIES AND ALLOWANCES OF MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT (AMENDMENT) BILL

(Amendment of sections 3, 6 etc.) By Shri P. L. Barupal

(गंगानगर) : श्री प॰ ला॰ बारूपाल सभापति महोदव, में प्रस्तान करता है कि संसद

सदस्यों के वेतन तथा भत्ते ग्रथिनियम, 1954 में ग्रागे संशोधन करने वाले विधेयक पर विचार किया जाये ।

सभापति महोदय, लोक सभा के गत ग्रंचिवेझन में संसद सदस्यों की ग्रावश्यकता के जनसार में ने वेतन तथा भरो (संझोजन) अंधिनियम प्रस्तून किया था। उस के बारे में इस सदन के मानेनीय सदस्यों की ग्रलग भलग रायें थीं। हम ने यह कोशिश की कि हम विरौधी दलों का सहयोग लें ग्रीर उन के द्रष्टि-को एग को ध्यान में रख कर हम सब मिल कर इंस बिल को किसी तरीके से पॉस करें। (व्यवधान) माननीय सदस्य अपने भाष को सोशलिस्ट कहते हैं, लेकिन मैं उन से ज्यादा सोसलिस्ट हैं। इस विषेयक के सम्बन्ध में हम लोगों ने एक दूसरे के साथ सम्पर्क स्थापित **किया ग्रोर हमारे कम्युनिस्ट भाई, जिन** में श्री ज्योतिमैय बसू, श्री एच० एन० मुकर्जी,...

भी स्न्द्रजीत मल्होत्रा (जम्म्) : नाम क्यों लेते हैं?

भी पं० ला बारूपालं: ग्रच्छा, में नाम नहीं लेता हं। सब पार्टियों के लोगों ने यूनीन-मसली यह तय किया कि म्रावर्यक एमेंडमेंटस दे कर इस बिल की पास कराने की कोविश की जाये। मैं बुरा नहीं मॉमेरा, लेकिन मुक्ते कहना पड़ता है कि इन की दूरंगी चालें ठीक नहीं हैं।

SHRI UMANATH (Pudukkotlai) : Who is having double standards ?

**भी प॰ ला॰ बारू**पाल : मैं अंग्रेजी नहीं समभता । माननीय सदस्य हिन्दी में बोलें ;

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BASU (Diamond Harbour): On a point of clarification.

भी प० सा• वाक्यांस : मैं चाहता है कि सब माननीब सदस्य मिल कर इस बिल को पास करें ।

\*Published in Gazette of India Extraordinary, Part H, Section 2, dated 26.4.68.

MR. CHAIRMAN : Motion moved :

"That the Bill further to amend the Salaries and Allowances of Members of Parliament Act, 1954, be taken into consideration."

There are some amendments. Mr. Banerice has given an amondment ...

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE (Kanpur) : Please read it out, Sir. Let them know what it is.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The hon. membors will understand it. The amendments of Mr. S. M. Banerjee, Mr. Tridib Kumar Chaudhari and Mr. Jharkhande Rai are for circulation.

Is Mr. Banerjee moving his amendment ?

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE : Yes. Ĩ move :

"That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the first day of the next session."

MR. CHAIRMAN : Mr. Jharkhande Rai is not here.

Mr. Tridib Kumar Chaudhary, Is he moving his amendment.

MR. TRIDIB KUMAR CHAUDHURI (Berhampore) : Yes, I move ;

"That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the 2nd September, 1968."

MR. CHAIRMAN : Mr. Gadilingana Gowd.

SHRI GADILINGANA GOWD (Kurnool): My Party has given freedom of speech and vote on this Bill to all the members of the Party.

About a fortnight ago, an informal Committee representing all parties was constituted and it had about four sittings. This Committee has unanimously agreed to recommend some facilities ...

AN HON. MEMBER : No; not unanimously.

SHRI GADILINGANA GOWD : It WAS unanimous. What can I do if they deny afterwards? I would like to be very frank. Let me be true to my conscience. I would not go back on the unanimous decision that has been taken by the committee. The amendments moved by Shri Tenneti Viswanathan, etc. most of them, have been unanimously agreed.

If you want the Members of Parliament to work honestly and efficiently, to serve the people, all these facilities are necessary. This committee has not recommended either an increase in salary or an increase in the daily allowance. I may submit to you for your information that this committee consisted of Mr. Rabi Ray, Mr. Kanwar Lal Gupta, myself on bohalf of the Swatantra Party, Mr. Kandappau on behalf of the DM.K....This was a unanimous decision. I am now told that most of the recommendations made by this committee can be implemented by the Government by amendind the rules, by referring the matter to the Joint Committee.

I, therefore, appeal to the Government to refer this matter to the Joint Committee on Rules so that proper facilities are given to Members which, I am sure, will enable Members to serve the people more offectively.

Mr. CHAIRMAN You want the Bill to be referred to a Joint Committee.

SHRI GADILINGANA GOWD : Joint Committee of both Houses.

MR. CHAIRMAN : Of Rules ? T wanted to be clear. The hon. Member. if I have not misunderstood him, has made a reference that it should be referred to a Joint Committee on Rules. That is what the hon. Member said.

### 17.00 hrs

SHRI GADILINGANA GOWD : The Joint Committee of the Houses meant for giving facilities to Members of Parliament.

MR. CHAIRMAN : Joint Select Committee ?

SHRI GADILINGANA GOWD : Joint Committee .

MR. CHAIRMAN : Is there any amendment or is it just a suggestion ?

Salaries, etc. of

271

272

SHRI GADILINGANA GOWD : It is a suggestion.

भी मधु लिमये (मुंगेर) : ग्रघ्यक्ष महोदय, इस के लिये जो मेरा सुभाव है, उस को बारूपाल जी भ्रौर यह सारा सदन मान जाय, तो इस पर ज्यादा विवाद नहीं होगा। मेरी यह राय है कि इस शक्ल में इस बिल को न ज्वांइन्ट पालियामेन्टी कमेटी के पास भेजना चाहिये और न लोक मत संग्रह करने के लिये भेजना चाहिये। इस बिल को वापस लिया जाय और यह सदन एक प्रस्ताव करे----उस प्रस्ताव के अनुसार ग्राप 10-11 सदस्यों की एक कमेटी बनायें । उस कमेटी की जो यनैनिमस रिकमेन्डेशन्जा होंगी, उस पर सरकार स्वयं बिल प्रस्तूत करे----यह मेरा सुफाव है इस बिल को केवल बहमत के द्वारा पास करना अच्छा नहीं होगा। इस लिये मेरा अनुरोध है कि आप मेरे सुभाव को मान लें।

SHRI TENNETI VISWANATHAM (Visakhapatnam): There has been some controversy going round this Bill. Originally it was thought that no controversial Bill relating to the Members' emoluments and salaries should be pushed through the House. So this is a matter on which the Government itself should have taken the initiative having regard to the consensus of opinion as ascertained by it. But the Government some how feels that it is all the job of the Members.

So far as the Ministers' emoluments and salaries are concerned, the Members should interest themselves and vote with them, but when it comes to the perquisites of the Members the Government have very nicely said, 'It is all Members' business and if all the Members agree, we will put through any amendment which you desire'.

Very well. Now it has been suggested that a Committee may be appointed. That was what was exactly done. though informally. In the initial stages I was not there. Members representing various Groups were present; there was some difference of opinion on some points; but ultimately, excepting on one or two points, J believe, the amendments that stand in my name and in the name of some others, represent the consensus of opinion. (Interruptions) and also they represent the consensus of language. The language itself was not mine, nor was it that of the hon mover of the Bill.

There were others who did it though one or two of them are obliged to be silent now. When all this was done and I personally thought that there was some unanimity about this, and if there was any small difference that could be rounded of by the opposition leaders, by the leaders of the various groups meeting together. I am not sure whether that has been done. If that has been done perhaps this controversy would not have arisen. But even now I feel that there are several points on which there is agreement. It is true, Sir, that some of the requests looked like exaggerated claims of these hon. Members. Every day we hear Members say that we are sovereign, we are a sovereign Parliament and all that and Members naturally believe, some of them wrongly believe, that they should also have some decent perquistes. That is the sum of their fault. But if there is opposition, my humble suggestion is it is open to the Government to move the amendment to say that this Bill could be referred to the Joint Rules Committee where these things could be discussed. Miny of the things which have been suggested and which are hardly controverted are things which could be done very well by the change of rules. Amendment of the Act is not necessary for all these things. And if the Committee is unanimous, perhaps to the extent of the unanimity the Government can adopt them. If it is a Joint Select Committee we would have to wait for long time. The Rajya Sabha must open and all these things will have to be done. But what I submit is this, that the Committee can go into the matter. We need not start exchanging words, because it is easy to criticise each other and the game has already started in that corner. I don't want to do that. What I submit is this. If the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs can move an amendment referring it to that committee we need not discuss the details here. The Members are already there in the Committee and they can discuss these things and in whatever they do, of course the

Salaries, etc. of

whole House will agree to it. Only let it not be said by the public or let it not be told by some of our own Members that we are making some exaggerated claims about ourselves.

## 17.07 hrs

#### [Mr. Spcaker in the Chair]

Personally, Sir, I am one of those who believe that we need not have started the salary system at all. Even today I will be quite ready if you say, "You will have no salary at all. You come here at Government expense, live in some hotel, they will give you D. A. and you go home. There are no other perquisites". It is not necessary that they should have perquisites nor is it necessary and proper in that case to burden them with as much work as is demanded of the Members of Parliament. It is easy for us to say that in this poor country we should not claim anything. There are hundreds of arguments and I can argue in that light. But it is also necessary for us to see whether by our own experience we are able to discharge to the best satisfaction of our conscience all the responsibilities that are devolved upon us. Personally. Sir, I can cite my own case. I have been in public life since 1921 or 1922. Even since then I have been spending what I had in my house excepting for a brief period of three or four years; I think we have been most of spendingon our own. There is nothing wrong in so spending because we have come on our own, nobody has invited us to come to public life. However, some Members of Parliaments of the world have believed that Members of Parliament should have some perquisites in order to keep them comfortable, in order to make them a little more responsive, and also to fix responsibility upon them, that they shall discharge the duties which devolve upon them after elections. Therefore it is only in that light that certain perquisites are being given in the Parliaments of the world.

It is true that we are a poor country. It is equally true that many of us are poor Therefore, instead of going into all those details now, I would again request the Minister for Parliamentary Affairs to move an amendment to refer it to the joint committee and whatever is done, whether it is more or less or if the Joint Committee says, no, there shall be no further prequisites, we shall all be happy. If this falls through we will not be sorry. If it passes we need not worry ourselves further on this matter.

MR. SPEAKER: Regarding this Bill, I do not think that the matter can be referred to the Rules Committee. If hon. Members want, they can have it referred to a separate committee consisting of the same Members.

SHRI SRINIBAS MISRA (Cuttack) : A joint meetting of the Rules Committees of both Houses.

MR. SPEAKER : It is not the Rules Committee ; there is already a committee on Salaries and Allowances of Members of Parliament.

SHRI TENNETI VISWANATHAM: I beg to be excused for having given a wrong name to the existing committee.

SHRI SEZHIYAN (Kumabkonam): Sir, without going into the merits of this Bill, which is not desirable at this stage, I want to correct one wrong impression that has been created as if the Members or representatives of different parties worked in a committee and came to certain conclusions and some Members or some parties have now backed out.

As far as my party is concerned, I can explain the position. Regarding the other parties, let them explain their position themselves. Shri S. Kandappan was representing our party, and he came and reported to us what was happening. He told us that such and such were the suggestions put forward. For example regarding the pension scheme of Rs. 250, he said that our party would not accept it. I think he had converted some others also to that view.

AN HON. MEMBER : It is there in the bill.

SHRI SEZHIYAN : I do not want to quarrel over whether it is in the Bill or not. In the amendment given notice of Shri Tenneti Viswanatham and others this persion of Rs, 250 is there. Regarding Salaries etc. of

276

[Shri Sezhiyan]

**PTO**, servant's pass etc. also we had said that we would not agree. I do not want to go into these details at this stage.

I only want to put it on record now that there had been unanimity. Let us not say that all these things had been agree to unanimously.

Even now, I would submit that there is no clear conception in the mind of the hon. Mover or the other Members in regard to the provisions in the Bill. Some say that it should be referred to a Joint Committee, some others say that it should be referred to the Joint Rules Committee and so on. We do not have any precedents for referring a Bill to committee which is not constituted specifically for the purpose of having that Bill referred to it. Of course, if we want to refer it to a Committee I think it could be done.

But I want to urge that there should not be any undue haste in regard to the passing of this Bill. People should not think that we are in a hurry to vote something for ourselves. It is true that we do require certain facilities. What those facilities should be, how they should be given and so on are matters to be gone into. I am not against facilities, but let us not be in a hurry to pass this thereby giving the impression to the outside world that we are very much in a hurry and before the 11th May, we want to vote for ourselves something.

SHRI R. K. AMIN (Dhandhuka) : Who is in a hurry.

SHRI SEZHIYAN : Prof. R. K. Amin is not a hurry. I would submit that many things need careful consideration. In regard to facilities such as telephones etc. also we are agreeable, but how these facilities should be given should be gone into in a detailed way by the representatives of the parties or by a committee consisting of Members nominated by you or elected by the House, so that afterwards Members cannot come and say that this had been agreed to unanimously, that had not been agreed to unanimously and so on. At present, it is possible that somebody might have agreed to something at first but afterwards gone back on it. But as far as my

party is concerned, I have explained the position.

The Bill contains a provision for a daily allowance of Rs. 50. I do not think that anybody has yet agreed to this provision.

Further, most of the things have not been very happily worded. There are some portions in a clause to which we may agree while there are other portions to which we do not agree. So, voting here would be a very difficult affair. Therefore, everything needs very careful consideration. So, I suggest that it should be gone into by a committee appointed by you or elected by the House, and if that is done, we would be agreeable to that course.

श्वी मचु लिमये : प्रघ्यक्ष महोदय, इस विवेयक के बारे में ग्रीर जो संशोधन पेश किये मये हैं उन के बारे में ग्रापनी राय स्पष्ट रूप से रखना चाहता हूं । ग्रंगर किसी के मन में यह बात है कि पालियामेंट के सदस्यों के जो कर्त्तव्य होते हैं, उन कर्त्तव्यों की पूर्ति के लिए ग्रगर उनको कुछ सुविधाओं की जरूरत है तो उनको वह सुविधायें न दी जायें, ऐसा मेरा कहना नहीं है । लेकिन कर्त्तव्य के साथ हमारे ऊपर दायित्व भी बढे हैं ।

एक माननीय सवस्य : मघु लिमये जी बोल चुके हैं।

श्री मधुलिमयेः मैंने प्रस्ताव वापिस लेने की विन्ती की थी। जब ग्राप नहीं मानते हैं तो फिर ग्रब मैं बोलना चाहता हूँ।

ग्रघ्यक्ष महोदय, हमारे ऊपर एक बड़ा दायित्व भी है ग्रौर वह दायित्व यह है कि जनता के प्रतिनिधि के नाते हम लोग प्रपने प्रधिकारों का ग्रौर सत्ता का दुरुपयोग न करें ग्रौर ऐसी सुविधाग्रों को हड़पने की कोशिश न करें जिनका हमारे कार्य से कोई सम्बन्ध नहीं है ।

एक माननीय सदस्य : उपदेश दे रहे हैं।

श्री मधु लिमये : इसमें उपदेश क्या है, मैं तो ग्रपनी बात को रख रहा हूं।'''(व्यवधान) ये जूट में हिस्सा लेने के लिए ग्राये हैं। सब दुनिया देख रही है, कुछ खयाल करो ग्रपने दायित्व का श्रौर जिम्मेदारी का।

तो भ्रष्यक्ष महोदय, तीन सिद्धान्तों को मद्दे नजर रखना चाहिए। एक सिद्धान्त यह कि क्या इस मुविधा से या सुविधाभ्रों से पालियामेंट के सदस्य, उनका जो कत्त्तंक्य है, उसको कारगर ढंग से पूरा कर पायेंगे ? दूसरा सिद्धान्त यह है कि क्या इस सुविधा का दुरुपयोग किया जायेगा। वार-बार बात आई है, मोटर गाड़ियों की सुविधा दी गई, तो 800 लोगों ने तीन साल में मोटर गाड़ियां खरीदी। राज्य सभा में प्रइन का जवाब ग्राया है। कितने सदस्य ऐसे हैं, 800 में से जो गाड़ी का खर्चा करने की स्थिति में हैं। मैं कोई ग्रारोप नहीं लगाना चाहता लेकिन मोटर गाड़ियों के दुरुपयोग का मामला हमारे सामने ग्राया।

SHRI R. K. AMIN : The leaders take big bunglows and the members are given ordinary flats. What about that ?

श्वी सखु लिमये : ग्राप मेरे घर पर चलें, मैंने सबसे छोटा मकान लिया है, कोई बड़ा मकान नहीं लिया है ।

ग्रघ्यक्ष महोदय, दूसरा सिद्धान्त यह होना चाहिए कि इन सुविधायों का दुरुपयोग न हो जैसा कि मोटर गाड़ियों की सुविधा का दुरुपयोग किया गया। यहां पर मकान दिये जाते हैं, कौन नहीं जानता, कई सदस्य ऐसे हैं जो सब-लेटिंग करते हैं। यह लाछन है पालियामेंट की सदस्यता पर।......(ध्यवधान)......

MR. SPEAKER : Let the hon. Member say what he has to say. In this way we cannot carry on the discussion. By shouting and counter-shouting, we cannot proceed.

SHRI SRINIBAS MISRA : I take strong exception to Shri Limaye saying that everybody is sub-letting his flat. श्री मधुलिमये : मैंने कहा है कि — कौन नहीं जानता । कौन नहीं करता — यह मैंने नहीं कहा है ।

MR. SPEAKER : He did not say 'everybody'.

SHRI M. N. REDDY (Nizamabad) : On a point of order. Whether he has used the word 'everybody' or the expression some members or 'most of the members', I would humbly submit to him that as a responsible member who is also the leader of a Party, by making such statements he is reflecting on the dignity of the House and we are not giving a better impression of ourselves and this august House. We talk of this 'sovereign House', 'august House'.

Such a remark is derogatory to the dignity of the entire House. It is better we avoid such phrases to make our points. We can use better language to make our points. I strongly object to this.

SHRI THIRUMALA RAO (Kakinada): My submission is, Mr. Madhu Limaye has already given his advance opinion in a long column in the *Hindustan Times* today on the merits of this Bill. I would appeal to him to confine himself to the merits of the Bill without aspersions and help us to have a smooth discussion about it. There is no question of one being more patriotic than another.

MR. SPEAKER: He did not say all Members; he said some Members. (Interruption) All Members, is it so? (Interruption) No. 1 do not think so. From what I heard you can verify it from the records—he did not say all Members. Some Members have done it. This was raised earlier also; not now only. Shri Hem Barua or somebody had raised it. Anyway, as suggested by Shri Thirumala Rao, let us not try to insinuate anybody. All Members are hon. Members. There is no dishonourable Member. Let us resume the debate, and let Shri Madhu Limaye confine himself to the point.

श्री मधुलिमयेः बिलकुल वही कर रहा हूं ग्रौर वही मैं कह रहाथा ......(ब्यवधान) ग्राखिर यह लोगसब इस तरह से क्यों मुफे [श्री मधु लिमये]

नोच रहै हैं ? जो भी जांच करनी हो उसके लिए हम तैयार हैं ..... (व्यवयान) ग्राघ्यक्ष महोदय, में खुलासा करना चाहता हूँ कि यह मैंने बिलकुल नहीं कहा कि इस सबन के सभी सदस्य ऐसा करते हैं लेकिन इस तरीके के ग्रारोप यहां पर किये गये। कुछ साबित भी हुए.....

एक माननीय सदस्य : क्या सात्रित हुए ?

श्री मघुलिमये : मोटेर कारों के बारे में कुछ सदस्यों को स्रभी माफ़ी मांगनी पड़ी है। ग्राप लोग यह जान-बूक्ष कर मुक्ते उकसा रहे हैं...... (व्यवघान)

MR. SPEAKER : What is this "Madhu Limaye, Madhu Limaye". Is it a market place ? You are addressing 'Madhu Limaye, Madhu Limaye" as if you are addressing a public meeting or some election meeting. You should address the Chair. You should shout not "Madhu Limaye, Madhu Limaye". Even shouting I do not mind, but you are calling him and shouting as though this kind of thing is absolutely parliamentary. For Heaven's sake do not shout like that. You can have your say later on. But let us continue the work in a calm atmosphere. Please do not disturb him. If you do not agree with him, you will have a right to say later on. (Interruption) Whoever wants to speak, he may give me his name through the Whip.

श्री मधु लिमये: सम्यक्ष महोदय, मैं एक, एक जो यहां पर सुक्काव आया है, जिसके कि बारे में कहा जाता है कि सर्वसम्मति से हुमा है जो कि नहीं हुम्रा है, मैं मपनी राय ने दूंगा। बाद में जो करना हो वह करें।

मैं फर्स्ट क्लास ए पास का विरोध करता हूं। यह मौजूदा फर्स्ट क्लास जब तक यह क्लासेज रहेंगे काफ्री है। जिस दिन क्लासेज हटा दिये जायेंगे जिसकी कि हमारी मांग है तो वह भी खत्म हो जाय, लेकिन यह फर्स्ट क्लास ए पास बिल्कूल नहीं देना चाहिए।

पी० टी० म्रोज० वगैरह नहीं होने चाहिये ग्रौर उनका मैं विरोध कर रहा हूँ । इसी तरीके से इन का कहना है कि हवाई जहाज से प्रवास करने की इजाजत दी जाय लेकिन वह जो फर्क है फर्स्ट क्लास ए पास में मौर हवाई जहाज की दर में बह फर्क देकर ग्राप जरूर हवाई जहाज से जायें लेकिन पूरा डिफेंस देकर जाइये पहले दर्जे के रेल किराये में तथा हवाई जहाज के किराये में।

पेंशन का जो सुभाव है उस का मैं घोर विरोध करना चाहता हूँ। वह कतई नहीं होनी चाहिये.....

MR. SPEAKER : What is this pension ? I do not understand. Is it life pension ?

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE (Balrampur): At the age of 60.

SHRI TENNETI VISWANATHAM : In the original Bill itself, it is there.

श्री मधु लिम थे : इन के ग्र में डमेंट में है। विल में भी है ग्रौर इन के संशोधन में भी है। ग्राच्यक्ष महोदय, 12 इन के सुफाव हैं। नूफे उन पर एक, एक वाक्य कह लेने दीजिये।

इस तरीके से एक सुफाव यह दिया गया है कि जो ग्रपने-ग्रपने निजी डाक्टर्स है उन से हम प्रपनी बीमारी वगैरह के बारे में सलाह मशविरा करें। मैं इसके हक में नहीं हूं। जो केन्द्रीय सरकार के ग्रस्पताल हैं चाहे दिल्ली में हों या बाहर। ग्रगर पांडेचेरी में हों ग्रौर वहां इलाज करना हो तो करें लेंकिन निजी डाक्टर से नहीं क्योंकि निजी डाक्टर क्या फीस लेता है इसको ग्राप जानते हैं। उसका खर्चा पालियामेंट को नहीं बर्दास्त करना चाहिए।

श्रव दो, तीन सुविधाएं ऐसी हैं जिनका कि मैं पुरजोर ढंग से समर्थन करना चाहता हूं क्यों कि सदस्यों के कार्य में उस से मदद मिलेगी। प्राज बहुत सारे सदस्य ऐसे हैं जिन की ग्रायिक स्थिति ग्रच्छी नही है। वह डाक पर खर्चा नहीं करेंगे ग्रीर उससे मतदाताग्रों ग्रीर जनता का नुकसान होगा। इसलिये केवल पालियामेंटरी ग्रौर सार्वजनिक कार्य के लिये ग्राप ग्रगर विशेष पोस्टेज का इस्तेमाल करना चाहते हैं तो मैं इसका विरोधी नही हूँ, क्योंकि उस से जनता ग्रौर मतदाताग्रों का कल्यारग होगा।

एक ग्रीर बात है जिसका कि मैं समयंन करता हूं । हम को ग्रपने पालियामेंटरी काम के सिलसिले में स्टेनोग्रेफिक सहायता की बहुत जरूरत है जो कि ग्राज उचित मात्र। में हम को नही मिलती है। मैं तो यहां तक कहने के लिये तैयार हं कि पार्ट टाइम स्टेनोग्रेफिक ग्रसिस्टैंटस बिल्कूल मुफ्त कर दी जाय लेकिन मेम्बरों से 100-125 रुपये म्राप लेकर म्रगर फुल टाइम भी चाहिये तो ग्राप दे दें लेकिन उसके लिये पालियामेंटरी कोटा हो, पूल हो, वरना उसका दरुपयोग यह होगा कि हम अपने किसी दोस्त -को, ड़ाइवर को या ग्रपनी बीवी को कहेंगे कि यह हमारे स्टोनोग्राफर है ग्रौर उसकी तनस्वाह हम लेलेंगे। जरूरत इस वात की है कि क्वालिफ़ाइड स्टोनोग्राफर्स का पूल पालियामेंट में हो क्रौर पालियामेंट के पूल में से क्राप लोग लें। पूरा भी मूफ्त करेंगे फुल टाइम तो भी मूमे ऐतराज नहीं है लेकिन ऐसा काम जिससे कि सुविधा के दुरुपयोग होगा वह नहीं होना चाहिये ।

एक सुफाव उन्होंने यह दिया है कि अपने अपने क्षेत्र में या जो प्रपना स्थार्था पता है वहां पर टेलीफोन की व्यवस्था की जाय । इसी तरीके से भाप क्षेत्रीय दफ्तर में या स्थायी पते पर प्राप टेलीफोन चाहते हैं प्रौर जहां तक लोकल कौल का सवाल है कुछ कौल ग्रगर ग्राप मुफ्त कर देंगे तो उस पर भी मुफे ऐतराज नहीं है । लेकिन बाक़ी सारी जितनी सुविधायें हैं जैसे मुफ्त पानीं ग्रौर बिजली, मुफ्त में हम को जीप देना इत्यादि तो जिस तरह से बी॰ डी॰ ग्रोज की बदनामी हो गई उसी तरह हम सब भी बदनाम हो जायेंगे । ग्रलबत्ता 1.50 पैसे मील का चार्ज देकर ग्रगर जीप लेना चाहते हैं तो दे दें लेकिन पूरा किराया उस का देना चाहिये वरना इस बिल का ग्रौर संशोधनों का मैं विरोध करूंगा।

SHRI CHENGALRAYA NAIDU (Chittoor) : To discharge their duties. Members of Parliament should be given some assistance, but the public should not feel we are misusing our powers of legislation. If Members of Parliament are not to be purchased by Americans, Russians or Chinese or by moneybags, they should be paid at least sufficient daily allowance. Everybody cannot afford to spend from his pocket. Some poor people are also there as members. If they are not paid properly, hereafter only rich people will stand for elections and will come here. Others will not be able to come.

But we should also realise that there are so many poor people and low paid employees in the country and we are notable to do much for them. So, there is no right for us to ask for more salary.

This is my personal opinion. I am not talking about the Congress Party. Regarding daily allowance, they are now paying Rs. 31. It is not at all enough here in The cost of living has gone up. Delhi. We get so many guests during our stay here. We have not only to attend to them but even purchase railway tickets for their return journey and send them back to their places. What we request is, instead of Rs. 31 you may give us Rs. 51 as daily allowance when the Parliament is in session. not at other times. I feel that we should not ask for pension. It is not at all good. We have no right to ask for it. By God's grace we have come here.

MR. SPEAKER : By the voters' grace,

CHENGALRAYA SHRI NAIDU : By the people's grace we are here. When we are here with their good wishes we should not claim any special pension for us. House rent comes to about Rs. 75 or **SO**. We should not grudge paying this Rs. 75 for A class accommodation and we should not ask for rent free accommoda-The charges for electricity and tion. water if we use only for our own purposes would not be more tham Rs. 40 or Rs. 50 If it is used by some others also then it

### [Shri Chengalraya Naidu]

will go to Rs. 100 or Rs. 200. We cannot help that.

Coming to postal facilities I feel that service stamps worth about Rs. 50 may be given to the Members so that they may use them to contact their constituencies and also to represent to Government. We are already having a free telephone. In stead of asking for anything more we should be satisfied if wrong claims are not made on us. It is enough if the hon. Minister gives an assurance that wrong bills will not be prepared and the amounts claimed from us.

I do not agree with the suggestion regarding pravision of a stenographer. Tomorrow I may show my cook or driver as my stenographer and claim the amount. I know that everybody will not do that. Every Member is an honourable Member of this House and he will not do such a thing. But it is not only enough if you are honest you must appear to be honest also. It will be enough if some more stenographers are added to the pool of stanographers in Parliament so that we may make use of them whenever required.

A suggestion has been made that we should be provided with tractors when we go to our constituencies. This is not a good suggestion. Everybody is not a farmer. Even if we are farmers, why should Parliament Members get this special facihity. I can understand asking for a car to tour our constituencies, but is anybody going to travel in his constituency in tractors. This is also an unusual demand and should not be agreed to.

SHR1 JYOTIRMOY BASU (Diamond Harbour): The suggestion is to give transport facilities and not tractors.

SHRI CHENGALRAYA NAIDU: A class railway pass should be given. But no extra third class tickets should be allowed. The proposal made is to give travel facilities for a Member's family and dependents numbering six at a time to travel throughout India. This is very bad.

I would like to bring to your notice the need to give air travel facilities. It is not a luxury. If a Member wants to discharge his duties properly and be present here to attend the Parliament Session and also

attend to his constituency during week-ends, the time factor is the most important thing.

Saturdays and Sundays are holidays. If we are allowed to go to our constituencies, not every week but at least once in two weeks, by plane, we can go on Friday evening and return on Monday morning. This should be allowed. Now, as it is, for the duration of three months we are allowed only two trips to our constituency, which is quite inadequate. So, we are now not able to discharge our duties to our constituency properly. So, we should be allowed more trips. If the Members want to go to any other place in the country, they must be allowed to pay the difference between the railway ticket and air fare. Between their constituencies and Dethi more trips should be allowed.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE (Kanpur): Sir, I wish to make it clear on behalf of my group.....

AN HON. MEMBER : Which is that group ?

SHRIS M. BANERJEE: CIP in the Lok Subha. I wunt to make it clear that there was no unanimity, no <sup>14</sup>agreement in the committee and the CPI group met yesterday, considered every aspect of the Bill and we have come to the conclusion that this Bill should be opposed, that it cannot be adopted as it is. Some amendments have been brought to this Bill which are said to be unanimous. I am supprised to not that even by those amendments more things have been added which did not appear in the Bill. Let us analyse the various provisions of the Bill.

MR. SPEAKER : Let him not make a big speech.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: It starts with the allowance. What is the allowance demanded? Rs. 50 per day. After asking for everything, pension, free electricity, free house, allowance of Rs. 50 per day, to give it a Gandhian touch, it is said at the end that each member should have a free third class pass for travel by a widow or somebody else. It says: "Every member shall be provided with two free non-transferable third class sleeping berth railway passes, one in the name of the member and one in the name of his/her spouse, as the case may be, and in the case of a backelor, widow or widowed member..."

We cannot support the Bill as it is, I realise the difficulties of the Members. ł do not say that there are no difficulties. We receive volumes of correspondence and we have to answer them. We have to type the replies. So, we have to engage some stenographer part time, because we cannot afford to pay them full-time. They are necessary. But why should there be a stenographer for each member at a cost of Rs. 200 per month? I charge the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs for not providing stenographers to each group here. This is highly objectionable. Each group, each party should be provided with stenographers."

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMEN-TARY AFFAIRS AND COMMUNICA-TIONS (DR. RAM SUBHAG SINGH): The hon. Member is also a party to the people who took the initiative in this matter. After having encouraged them to come to some conclusions, he now says he opposes them.

SHRIS. M. BANERJEE: There was no unanimity there. Even then, I agree, on some points. Suppose some postal facilities are given; I can understand that. But if there is going to be free trunk call, what will happen? They will go on making trunk calls to Bombay and Madras and that facility will be misused. I do not want any concession which can be misused. Then, they are asking for PTO for six members of the family.

Now, this is the amendment by my learned friend, Shri Tenneti Viswanatham and others. What does it say ? It says that every Member shall be provided with six PTOs a year for the members of his family and dependants, not exceeding six in number. Sir, we are practising family planning. And we ask PTO for six family members. It is a paradox. I cannot imagine this. What will the people think? They will say, "Look here. The M.P. has a family consisting of six anomabers and they travel wherever they like throughout the country and they ask the people not to produce moore."

Sir, let this Bill be withdrawn and let us appoint a Committee. Let us know the genuine difficulties of the Members of Parliament. Take, for instance, the telephone facilities. 3600 calls are free and they also be free in the constituencies because M. P.'s telephone is a public telephone for everyone.

Sir, I oppose the Bill moved by Shri Barupal. I request you and, through you, the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs to appoint a Committee. (Interruption) Shri Barupal is very angry with me. May I tell him I have not done anything. I have not sold any car with a profit of Rs. 2000 or Rs. 3000? I have not taken any car nor I have sold any car. What I say is let us not lose our temper. Let us not wash dirty linen here. Let us do something which is proper so that the people may be convinced in this country that if we cannot give them a higher wage, we. the M.Ps, do not get much more for ourselves. With these words I oppose the Bill and I suggest that there should be a Committee appointed to consider the whole thing.

श्री गुलाम मुहम्मद बस्ती (श्रीनगर) : जनाब, मैं इस ऐवान के दोनों तरफ़ बैठे हए ग्रपने दोस्तों से यह प्रजी करना चाहता हं कि श्री पन्नालांल बारूपाल के इस बिल श्रीर उस में श्री विश्वनायन के एमेंडमेंटस के बारे में मुस्तलिफ़ मेम्बर साहबान की जो भी राय हो. लेकिन यह हकीकत है कि जहां तक मेम्बर्ज की सैलेरीज, एलाउंसिज ग्रीर दूसरी फैसिलिटीज का ताल्लूक है, उन में इजाफ़ा करने की जरूरत है। वह इजाफ़ा कैसे हो धीर कैसे न हो, में इन डीटेल्ज में नहीं जाना चाहता हूं। मैं न तो पब्लिक प्लैटक़ाम से बोल रहा हं भीर न भाज मुफे बोट्स की जरूरत है। मुफे इस बात की कोई स्वाहिश नहीं है कि लोग मेरे मुतास्लिक यह कहें, "वाह, वाह ! बिल्कूल फ़रिक्ता हम ने भेजा है पालियामेंट में, जिस को न साने की जरूरत है, न पीने की जरूरत है, न टेलीफोन

M P's. (Amdt.) Bill

# [श्री गुलाम मुहम्मद बस्शी]

की खरूरत है झौर न काग़ज की खरूरत है, जो महात्मा बुद्ध की तरह रहेगा झौर घ्यान करता रहेगा झौर ग्रासमान से मन्नोसल्वा उतरता रहेगा।"

मैं ऐवान से यह ग्रजं करना चाहता हूं कि वह 1965, 1966 ग्रौर 1967 की प्राइसिज का ग्राज की प्राइसिज से मुकाबला करे। मुफे मालूम नहीं कि मेरे दोस्त कैसे रहते हैं, कैसे नहीं रहते हैं। लेकिन मैं बहुत साघारएा तरीके से रहता हूँ। मैं देखता हूँ कि हमें 31 रुपये जो मिलते हैं, ग्रगर उन में कम से कम बीस रुपये ग्रौर न डालें, तो महज प्रपना ग्रौर प्रपने साथ रहने वाले एक ग्रादमी का भी काम नहीं चल सकता है। ग्रगर कोई ग्रैबी ग्रामदनी हो, तो ग्रलग बात है, लेकिन ईमानदारी की बात है कि मौजूदा हालत में घर का काम नहीं चलता है।

सैंसेरी को चाहे 500 रुपये से 750 रुपये या 800 रुपये कर लीजिए। लेकिन यह जो बिल लाया गया है, यह इन एमेंडमेंट्स से काम्प्सीकेटिड हो गया है। मेरे दोस्त, श्री बनर्जी, ने कहा कि यह फ़ैमिली प्लानिंग का जमाना है। वह तो आईन्दा को बात है। लेकिन ग्राज जिस के जितने बच्चे हैं, उनका क्या होगा? फ़र्क सिर्फ इतना है कि वह ग्राईन्दा की बात कर रहे हैं ग्रीर में ग्राज की बात कर रहा हूँ। वह ग्रासमान की बात कर रहे हैं ग्रीर में जमीन पर चलने वाला हूँ, इसलिए जमीन की बात कर रहा है।

मैं प्रखं करू गा कि इस मामले में पालिया-मेंट के मेम्बरों को एक दूसरे की नुक्ताचीनी नहीं करनी चाहिए । ग्रौर न एक दूसरे पर इल्खाम लगाने चाहिए । यह मैं मानता हूं कि हम लोग जो चाहते हैं, बदकिस्मती से उस को एक्स्प्रेस नहीं करते हैं । उस में कई एक बातों की घबराहट होती है- यह कि कल प्रैस हमारे मुताल्लिक क्या लिलेगा, कल लोग हमारे मुताल्लिक क्या कहेंगे । ठीक है, प्रैस लिखेगा

# मौर लोग कहेंगे, लेकिन वह एक बिल्कुल डिफ़रेंट बात है।

MR. SPEAKER: Is he proposing some Committee? What is his suggestion? He may give his suggestion. He seems to be going to some other subject.

भी गुलाम गुहम्मद बस्झी : मैं इस का बैकप्राउ ड बता रहा हूं । गवनं मैंट यह जानती है कि कीमतें कितनी बढ़ी हैं प्रौर मेम्बर्ज की जरूरियात क्या हैं । मैं चाहता हूं कि वह दस, बीस उस तरफ के मेम्बरों का ग्रौर दस, पद्रह इस तरफ के मेम्बरों का का न तगैरह पर किनना खर्च माता है ग्रौर उस के मुताबिक वह ग्रन्दाजा लगाये कि मेम्बरों की क्या जरूरियात हैं, बजाये इस के कि वह मेम्बरों को ग्रापस में लड़ाये ग्रौर श्री मधु लिमये उठ कर एक बात का विरोध करें, दूसरा समर्थन करे ग्रौर नीसरा कहे कि मैं यहां तक जाने के लिए तैयार नहीं हूं ।

मेरे मानरेबल फेंड, मिनिस्टर फार पालियामेंटरी एफेयर्ज, महज एक तरफ के जिम्मेदार नहीं हैं, बल्कि उन की जिम्मेदारी दोनों तरफ की है। पालियामेंट की डिग्निटी म्रोर घान को मेनटेन करना भी उन की जिम्मेदारी है। वह सिर्फ एक पार्टी के चीफ व्हिप नहीं हैं। वह मिनिस्टर फार पालियामेंटरी एफे यर्ज भी हैं।

चाहे कोई मेस्बर इस बिल या इस के प्रिसिपल का समर्थन करे ग्रौर चाहे विरोध करे, लेकिन ईमानदारी की वात यह है कि दिल से हर एक इस को चाहता है।

श्री सभु लिमये : हम नहीं चाहते हैं। हम जो चाहते हैं, उस का समर्थन करते हैं और जो नहीं चाहते हैं, उस का विरोध करते हैं।

भी गुलाम मुहम्मद बस्ती : फर्क इतना है कि कोई चार प्राने चाहता है सौर कोई

सोलह ग्राने चाहता है। श्री मधु लिमये चार साते चाहते हैं; मैं सोलह ग्राने या बीस माने चाहता हूँ।

भी सभु लिमयेः यह सिद्धान्त का सवाल है। यह चार माने या सोलह माने का सवाल नहीं है।

भी गुनाम प्रुहम्मद बक्झी : मैं मिनिस्टर फ़ार पालियामेंटरी एफ़ यर्ज से दरख्वास्त करूं गा कि वह तमाम बातों का जायजा ले कर इस देखन में या धगले सेशन में खुद इस तरह का एक बिल लायें। वह इस बिल को मस्मसे में न डालें। इस का नतीना खुरा होगा, सारे देश के लिए भी मौर उन के लिए भी। वह इस बिल के प्रिसिपन को ऐक्सेप्ट कर लें मौर तमाम बातों को देख कर कि क्या क्या जरूरी है, क्या क्या जरूरी नहीं है, खुद एक बिल जायें। मुफ्ते उम्मीद है कि बह हिम्मत से काम लेंगे।

شرى خلام كريمتى (شريكر) رجناب مي اس ايوان ك دولۇن طون بيىغى بور خاپ خدوست س بوران را چا بتا بون كرسشرى بنالال باروپال ك اس بل او اس مي شرى وشو ناتى كر ايميند ميش مح بار ب مي مخلف مجرحا جان ك بويمى داخ بور كين ب معتبت ب كرجهان كم ميرن ك ضرورت ب وه اضاف كيس مو كالعلق ب دان مي اف كرف خرد بي ميان چا بتا بون ريس م تو بيك بليث فادم سے بول را بون اور ت ت محقوش ك خروت ب - محق ان بل ك كونى تو بين بي ميان چا بيا بتا بون ريس د داد و اه - بالكل فرشت بي م مي ميور اي بد مد كاريد ك مودت ب اور تك كاف فروت ب دو بين كا فرورت ب اور تك خذ كارون ت ما ور اي بين ميان ايمين ك خروت ب اور تك خاف فرارت مين مي ميار مين كا فروت س اور ت كار خري ار بين ميون مي مي ميان وارت اي س دورت مين در بين ك من وارت مي در بين اي مين اور اسان

یں ایوان سے ی*رامن کر*نا چا بتا ہوں کہ وہ ۱۹۹۵، ۱۹۹ اور ۱۹۶۷ کا پراکسز کا کی کہ اکسز سے مقا بلد کرے۔ کمجے معلوک منہیں کہ میرے دوسمت کمیے دہتے ہی۔کیے تہیں دہتے ہیں۔ تیکن پی ببت مادهادان طریق سے دیتا ہوں میں دیکھتا ہوں کم جی ۲ اور ویت بولیلتے میں - اگران میں کم سے کم میں روسیت اور ڈیلیں - تو محص اپنا اور اپنے سامت در سنے والے ایک آدمی کا بمی کا منہیں چل سکتا ہے ماگر موجودہ حالت میں گھرکا کا منہیں چل ہے - سیری کوچل ہے - ۵ دوپیے سے ۵۰ کر دوسیت یا - ۰ ۸ دوپتے کر لیتے - لیکن ہے جو بل لایا گیا ہے - دیر ان اسٹتر شکس سے کا میلک پٹر ہوگیا ہے - میرے دوست شری بنری نے کہا کہ یہ فیلی پلانٹک کا دما نہ ہے وہ تو آمندہ کی بات سے دلیک ترج کی بات کر در ہے ہیں اور یمی ذہن پر چلنے والا ہوں راس لیت زمین کی بات کر دہا ہوں -

میں مرض کرونککر اس معلط بیں پادلینٹ سے بیمبروں کو ایک دوسرے کا تنتیجین نہیں کرنی چا بیچ اورز ایک دوسرے پر الزا لکانے چاہیتے - یہ میں ماتا ہوں کریم نوک جوچا ہتے ہیں۔ بدتسمتی سے اسکوانتح پریں نہیں کرتے تین ۔

، س میں کی ایک باتونی کھرا بد موق بر ریک کو برس ممار ۔ متعلق کیا تصح کا کل توگ ہمارے متعلی کیا کہیں گے مطیک ہے۔ برلیس سصح کا ادرلوگ کہیں کے لیکن وہ ایک بالکل ڈوزینے بات س

MR. SPEAKER : Is he proposing some Committee ? What is his suggestion He may give his suggestion. He seems to be going to some other subject.

شرى فلام تحديثى مى اس كابيكرا ذنة بتارم الون كويتنك يوجانى ب كرتميس كتى برهى بى اور عبر ذكى خرورات كما بي مسي جا بتا جول كه وه دمى بي اور عمر ول كاور دس بندوها طوف كے ميرول كا أيك سروب كري كر شيليون بانى - بجلى - مكان وغيره بير كنا خرج آتا ب اور اس كے مطابق وه اندازه لكا ينتركم ميرو كى لاخروريات بي - يجات اس كرك دة ميرول كوآ بي مي لوائے اور شرى مدهولي اس كر أيك بات كا ور وده كري - د و صراب كل جائے اور شرى مدهولي اس كر ايك بات كا ور وده كري - د و صراب كر الم

میرے تر تیل فرینڈ مندشر قام پادیمینری ایفرز بحض ایک طرب کے ذمہ دار سبی بی بلکان کی قدر داری دونوں طرب کی ہے بالدینی کی ڈکٹی اور شان کومینیٹن کرنا سمی ان کی ذمہ داری ہے۔ دہ مرت ایک بار فی کے چید وہ بی بہی بی - وہ مند برفار پارلیمیند طربی ایف بیسرز مہمی تیم ب چاہیے کوئی سیمبر اس بل یا اس کے پڑنیپل کاسمریخن کرےا ور چاہے ورودہ کرے ۔لکین ایماندادی کی بات یہ ہے کہ دل سے برایک اس کوچا بتا ہے۔

भी मधु लिमये : हम नहीं चाहते हैं । हम जो चाहते हैं, उस का समर्थन करते हैं मौर जो नहीं चाहते हैं, उस का विरोध करते हैं ।

شرى خلام تحديث فرق اننا ب كدكونى تجار آ خيتا مبتاب اور كونى سولد آ خي جاميت بيشرى مدهو يسي جارت خياب تي بي سوله آسٹ يا ميں آ نے حاجة اجوں -

भी मधुलिमयेः यह सिद्धान्त का सवाल है। यहचार माने या सोलह प्राने का सवाल नहीं है।

مثرى خلام تحديثة مي منشرفار بالميدشرى الينرز مد ورواست كرون كاكد وه تمام باتون كاجاتزه ك مراس ميتن ميريا المحل مينى مين خوداس طرح كاليك بال التي وه اس بل كوتند مين دايس اس كانتيو برا موتا سار مدنيت ك لتي مي اور ان ك محتري و اس بل كيرنسيل كواليكييد مركس اورتمام باتون كودتي كركر كياكيا ضرورى ج كياكيا حزورى نبس ج رخود ايك بل لايتن - مجمع ام مد مي كرو مهت سكام لي ك .

श्री तुलको दास जाघव (वारामती) : ग्रघ्यक्ष महोदय, जव यह विल इन्ट्रोड्यूस हुग्रा, तो उसके बाद मिनिस्टर फ़ार पालियामेंटरी एफेयर्ज ने सब पार्टियों के नुमायंदों को बुलाया । वहां बैठ कर सब लोगों के साथ चर्चा हुई ग्रौर एक कमेटी एपायंट हुई, जिस में हर एक पार्टी का एक एक नुमायंदा लिया गया ।

MR. SPEAKER : Was the Committee appointed by the House or by the Government ?

DR. RAM SUBHAG SINGH : It was not appointed by my Department.

MR. SPEAKER: I am just seeking a clarification. Was the Committee appointed by the Government or by the House? Who appointed that Committee? What is the sanctity of this Committee? Let us hear the hon. Member. भी तुलको दास आघव : वह एक इनफ़ा-मल कमेटी थी । उस को किसी ने एपायंट नहीं किया । हमने सबको बुलाया ।

एक दफा मोटिंग नहीं हुई बस्कि चार मतंबा मीटिंग हुई। मुफ्ते उस मीटिंग का कन्वीनर करके भेजा। उस मीटिंग में जो बातें भी निइ-चित की गई वह सभी के विचार से निश्चित हुई। जो मीटिंग्ज होती थी उनमें पाटर्जी का कभी कोई नुमाइन्दा या जाता था भौर कभी कोई नुमाइन्दा या जाता था। यह बात जरूर है कि वह कमेटी किसी कानून से बंघी हुई नहीं थी। तो मेरे कहने का तात्पर्य यही है कि उस कमेटी की मीटिंग में इस बिल पर विचार हुमा भौर जो अमेन्ड मेन्ट हैं वह भी सभी के विचार से हुये।

दूसरी बात यह है कि इसमें जो पेन्शन का क्लाज है, मूल बिल में, उसमें कूछ अमेन्डमेन्ट किये हैं। मैं इन सारी बातों की डिटेल में तो नहीं जानी चाहता श्रीरन किसी पर कोई ग्रारोप लगाने का विचार है। जैसा कि बख्सी साहब ने कहा, इसका मतलब यह नहीं है किं अगर हमारी कोई दिक्कतें हैं तो कामन पीपूल से कोई ऐसी मांग करें जिससे कि उनको तक-लीफ हो लेकिन उसके साथ साथ यह भी है कि जब लोगों का काम करना है तो उसमें उनको कोई दिक्कत भीन पैदा हो । जिन लोगों की श्रपनी कोई जायदाद है या ग्रपना क़ोई व्यापार है उसमें से खर्च करें तो फिर जो बाकी म्रानेस्ट वर्कर हैं. जिनके पास कोई साधन न हो तो उनको दिक्कत पैदा हो सकती है और फिर एलेक्शन में ऐसे लोग ग्रा सकते हैं जोकि खुब खर्च करें। यह अनडिमौक टिक बात होगी मौर जो हमारी सोशलिज्म है उसके खिलाफ जायेगी। मैं इस समय ज्यादा समय नहीं लेना चाहता धौर न ही इन बातों की डिटेल में जाना चाहता है बल्कि इतनाही कहना चाहता है कि जो कूछ हमा है वह सभी के विचार-विमर्श से हआ है । उसमें जितने लोग थे उनके ही यह विचार हैं।

MR. SPEAKER : All that the hon. Member has mentioned is good. But that has no legal sanctity. It was not a committee of the House and it had no status.

भी तुलशी बास बाधव : पालमेन्ट की यह कमेटी है, ऐसा मैंने नहीं कहा है । मेरी रिक्वेंस्ट है ग्रापसे ग्रौर पालमेन्ट्री ग्रफेयसं के मिनिस्टर से कि ज्वाइन्ट कमेटी ग्रान सैलरीज ऐन्ड ऐला-उन्सेज ग्राफ मैम्बर्स ग्राफ पालमेन्ट से या किसी ग्रौर कमेटी से जिसमें सभी पार्टीज के लोग हों, इसकी जांच करवा लें ।

SHR1 SAMAR GUHA (Contai) : 1 do not want to make a claptrap speech nor do I want to speak with a split mind. I have no doubt that the Members of this House draw benefits and emoluments far less than many of the clerks in many of the Parliaments of the world. But keeping in view the millions of moving skeletons in our country whom we represent and also keeping in view the fact that we have the sovereign right to increase benefits for ourselves, my party is opposed to increasing any benefit, any emoluments or salaries just to give a better facility for living our life in a luxurious atmosphere or to increase the so-called standard of our life or to increase our comforts.

But to serve the people, to serve, as I have put it, the millions of the moving skeletons of our country, to serve them in better way, I do feel that our efficiency should be increased.

I want to relate my personal experience. Fortunately, I have not been born with a silver spoon in my mouth. I have taken leave from the University without salary and come here to serve the people, just trying to serve them in an honest way. After 12 years. I will frankly tell you I feel fatigued, tired and sometimes irritated. I work upto 12 midnight and rise in the early morning to start my work at 6. But what do I find ? Everyday such bundles of letters and papers are coming in as I cannot tackle. What can 1 do? I do not know what is the experience of other friends. I will again frankly make this admission that it is not possible for me even to go through a small fraction of the parliamentary papers we receive ; for the last six months, I have not been able to read even a book or write an article. Therefore, trying to serve the people as much as I could, I feel almost irritated now because I find it has become impossible even to acknowledge the letters we receive. Therefore, we are proving discourteous not only to our electorate but even to ourselves as parliamentary functionaries.

294

Some of my friends like Shri S. M. Banerjee have their own view on this. He is fortunate in being a trade union leader. I am a Bengali. I get dozens of Bengali letters. If there is one sccretary from the pool available, how will he be able to go through the Bengali letters and answer them? Therefore, I feel that every member should be provided with a stenotypist secretary.

Secondly, as has been suggested, free telephone and postal facilities should be allowed.

Thirdly, those who come from rural constituencies—they know how difficult it is to go there and to tour our constituencies and maintain contacts with the electorrate—should be provided some sort of transport facilities. This should be provided at least to those who represent rural constituencies.

Lastly, I do not want to go into the merits of this Bill. In my opinion, it has to be changed almost lock, stock and barrel. But I want that a Committee should be constituted taking advantage of your initiative or there may be a Select Committee so that it may go into the merits and see how best we can increase our efficiency to serve the people. This is a matter that has to be coolly thought over and considered as to what are the lines on which we can increase our efficiency to serve our people.

MR. SPEAKER : From what I could gauge from the speeches of all the parties as far...

SHRI UMANATH (Padukkottai) : Not all the parties.

MR. SPEAKER: I know he has not spoken. All are opposed to the Bill as it is. On that, there is no difference of opinion. Shri Jadhav spoke about an informal committee having made some suggestions. But that has no legal sanctity. It was not a regularly constituted Committee of the House or anything of that kind or

## [Mr. Speaker]

even of Government. They have made an effort and suggested certain things.

But from the speeches made, it is clear that some facilities are necessary. That is the theme. We do not want pension; we do not want travelling allowance for families and all that. But some facilities, whether it be postal facilities and so on are required. But these cannot be discussed and considered on the floor of the House. Which facilities are necessary, which are not—I do not think it will be possible to consider that here.

If all of you are agreed, some facilities --not salaries or finances or anything --and what those facilities are can be considered by a small committee as suggested. May I request Dr. Ram Subhag Singh to have his say. Let us hear him. Let us clinch the issue.

## 18.00 hrs.

SHRI R. D. BHANDARE (Bombay Central): I would like to speak-

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Bhandare, before you came here, all the others have been getting up. Mr. Umanath, representing his party, has not spoken yet. Even his party has not yet spoken.

SHRIR. D. BHANDARE : It is not a question of party. It is a question of a rising new class-conscience, and it should be effectively met. What are the principles underlying the suggestion of giving facilities should be discussed. Only two or three minutes.

MR. SPEAKER: That is what I am saying. They are all unanimaous on this: all of them are opposed to the Bill as it is.

SHRI R. D. BHANDARE : I am not opposed to the Bill at all. Therefore I am speaking :

MR. SPEAKER: There is no question of your speaking. I have not permitted, you. Will you kindly sit down? There are other Members who have been waiting. I do not think I have given them a chance. Even the Congress party seat me a list. I have not given them a chance. We have already spent nearly two hours on this. One party has not at all spoken yet. (Interruptio<sup>m</sup>). Shri Umanath.

SHRI UMANATH: Sir, at the outset I would like to say that all these things should not have been raised. Our Member, Shri Jyotirmoy Basu, as soon as he saw in the press that our party's name was mentioned and that all parties have accepted certain proposals, clearly stated that this is absolute distortion. That is the first point.

Secondly, he has told that committee--we have to make our position clear----and the members of that so-called committee that whatever proposals were discussed there would be submitted to our party and after the approval by our party will be accepted by us. That has been made clear. When this is the position, this sort of proceeding with the matter was very, very wrong.

So far as the Bill is concerned, we tooth and nail oppose this Bill. I am giving just an example. They want two third-class sleeper passes — given one TA, one first-class additional TA and one third-class additional TA. If I want to bring my wife, I can purchase a first-class ticket and bring my wife bero. (Interruption).

MR. SPEAKER : He is saying on behalf of his party : not your party.

SHRI UMANATH : So, we cannot accept basically all the provisions of the Bill, such as second-class pass, pension, rent-free accommodation, etc. So, we have to oppose it tooth and nail.

Then a proposal has been made that the Bill can be referred to the Select Committee. We cannot accept that proposal because reference to the Select Committee means we have to refer *this* Bill to the Select Committee which we cannot accept at all. It will be wrong. We have no objection to the proposal you made, namely, to have a separate committee with the full sanction of the party as such. Now that this question has come before the House, whatever that committee unanimously decides—that is more important—

## 297 Salaries, etc. of VAISAKHA 6, 1890 (SAKA) M.P's. (Amidt.) Bill 298

can be brought forward here as a Bill. (Interruption). I am making my position clear so far as my party is concerned. There are certain things to be considered. For example, there is the question of telephone. Whatever facility we are getting here in Delhi, if that is extended to our constituency, that would be a thing which would enable us to surve the people better. Similarly; there are the postal facilities. But so for as the amount is concerned, there should be certain provisions by which misuse will not be made. Strong restrictions on that should be placed.

As regards the question of a stenographer, our position is that no stenographer should be provided to each Member of Parliament as such. But we will have no objection if a stenographer is provided to the recognised groups in Parliament as such, just as recognised groups have been provided with officerooms here.

Copies of uncorrected debates are given to recognised parties. Like that stenographic assistance also can be given. For that, as you said, there can be a committee and their unanimous recommendations can be accepted.

श्री जिव नारायस (बस्ती) : मध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं माननीय सदस्य श्री मन्नालाल बारूपाल द्वारा प्रस्तूत संसद सदस्यों के वेतन तथा भत्तं ग्रविनियम, 1954 में जाने संखोधन करने वाले विघेयक का इनटोटो विरोध करता है। यह कोई ऐसी बात नहीं है कि सिर्फ हम लोग ही इस सतह के बिल के पक्ष में थे और यह सहलियतें संसद सदस्यों को प्रदान करना चाहते बे ग्रपित जो एक सर्वसम्मत फैसला डणा था उसमें सभी लोगों की राय ली गई थी भीर सब की राय से यह फैसला किया गया था ग्रौर हक़ीकत यह है कि सब के मुह में इन सहूनियतों को लेकर पानी झा रहा है लेकिन मैं कहता हूं कि इस बिल का इनटोटो विरोम करता है मौर इस के प्रस्तायक श्री पन्मालाल बाख्याल से दरस्वास्त करता है कि वह अपने बिल को वापिस ले लें।

MR. SPEAKER : 1 am trying to put together all the suggestions. There is

already a committee for salaries and allowances of members. You need not refer it to that committee. You may refer it to some other committee. But not an impreasion be created here that we are quarrelling over salaries, etc. Let it be considered by a committee having some constitutional validity like a committee of the House where all parties are represented.

DR. RAM SUBHAG SINGH : This Bill has proved to be controversial from the very beginning. It had to be introduced after a division. In the speaches made so far today we do not find any unanimity. Mr. Banerjee said about something which goes against the very principle. He was within his competence to say that this Bill be opposed, though he did not altogether rule out some concessions. Mr. Umanath also suggested that giving stenographic assistance to recognized groups will be a healthier practice. So, this principle of concession has is virtually accepted by everybody. Mr. Limaye also said that some telephone facility at the permanent residence and some stenographic allowance ...

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE : I did not say allowance ; I said a post of stenographers be created.

DR. RAM SUBHAG SINGH: He said some stenographic assistance and postal concession may be given. But they would not be dropped from the sky. They will have to be given in terms of money.

SHRI PILOO MODY (Godhra): The idea is people outside may not know it !

DR. RAM SUBHAG SINGH: 1 accept it from our Chairman.

There was no committee constituted either by you, Sir, or by Government. Mr. Barupal, Mr, Jadhav and certain other members belonging to various parties did meet and discuss this problem, and perhaps arrived at some decisions. I am not sure, because I was not a party to that discussion. That committee did met once in my room in my presence, but I left it there. The suggestion given by Bakshi Ghulam Mohammad is worthy of examination. He is a practical member...

SHRI UMANATH : Others are impractical ?

SHRI RAM SUBHAG SINGH: That is why I mentioned you first. But you mentioned concessions after opposing the Bill.

J know, Sir, the difficulties of hon. Members. I am not totally unfamiliar with the conditions of Members of Parliament because I have been a Member of Parliament here since 1950. We have also to look after our family members (*Inierruption*) In my humble opinion the suggestion given by Bakshiji is worth being examined. He suggested a study made of the conditions of Members of ail group and parties and see in what conditions they are living.

DR. MAITREYEE BASU (Darjeeling): What about independent Members? We also need stenographic assistance. But there is always discrimination against independent Members.

DR. RAM SUBHAG SINGH : We may include independents also. I know definitely that conditions of Members are very deplorable. Therefore, I want to be guided by the advice given by hon. Members here. 1 know their feelings I know the feelings of every Member, even the feelings of those who have not participated in the debate. Therefore, this question as to what concessions and facilities may be given to hon. Members may be gone into by a committee. As you said, there does exist a committee in regard to salaries and allowances of Members. We can have virtually the same personnel. We might with your permission add the name of Shri Barupal and, if you like. I can also now become a member of that Committee. That Committee consists of Members of both the Houses. Six of the members are from Rajya Sabha. They are named by the Chairman of that House. The names of the Members as the Committee is at present constituted are : Shri P. C. Adichan, Shri Kamalnayan Bajaj, Shri A. E. T. Barrow, Shri Himatsingka, Shri N. P. C. Naidu, Shrimati Padmavati Devi, Shri M. B. Rana, Shri Bishwanath Roy, Shri B. S. Sharma, Shri A. Sreedharan. With your permission we may add the name Shri Barupal and also my name.

SHRI SHIVAJIRAO S. DESHMUKH (Parbhani): And also the name of Shri Bakshi Gulam Mohammad.

MR. SPEAKER : You may put an Independent also.

DR. RAM SUBHAG SINGH: All right, we may have the name of Shri Bakshi Gulam Mohammad. Half of the concessions like medical facilities, housing facilities, telephone facilities, postal facilities and others will have to be gone into by that Committee. Therefore, I want to be guided by your advice. I do not want to impose my will on anybody. I am only saying that it will be convenient if we have the guidance of that committee. But this will be a separate committee altogether.

Not that committee.

SHRI C. C. DESAI (Sabarkantha); Shri Gowd from the Swatantra Party should be in that committee, because now he is not in that committee.

SHRI SEZHIYAN : There is no member from the D.M.K. there... (Interruptions)

SHRI RAM SUBHAG SINGH: The Committee would be constituted by the Speaker and we will request the Chairman of Rajya Sabha to nominate half the members from that House. The membership of every party would be reflected in this Committee.

SHRI RABI RAY (Puri): In that committee the decision should be unanimous.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA (Delhi Sadar) : What is the suggestion ?

DR. RAM SUBHAG SINGH: This will be referred to a Committee to be constituted by the Speaker. In view of this, I would appeal to Shri Barupal to withdraw his Bill.

SHRI UMANATH : About the committee I want to know... 301 Salaries, etc. of

VAISAKHA 6, 1890 (SAKA)

MR. SPEAKER : The Committee will come into being only after the Bill is withdrawn. The Minister has requested the hon. Member to withdraw his Bill in view of his explanation.

भी प॰ ला॰ बारूपास : प्रघ्यक्ष महोदय, मैं संसद सदस्यों तथा सदन की भावनाओं की कद्र करता हूं । मैं मानता हूँ कि सदन ने भेरे विघेयक की भावना को मान लिया है कुछ संशोधनों के साथ । इस लिये मैं ग्रपने विघेयक को वापस लेता हैं।

MR. SPEAKER : Has the hon. Member the leave of the House to withdraw his Bill ?

SOME HON. MEMBER : Yes.

The Bill was, by leave, withdrawn.

#### 18 17 hrs.

## MOTION RE : SALARIES AND ALLOWANCES AND OTHER AMENITIES TO MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMEN-TARY AFFAIRS AND COMMUNICA-TIONS (DR. RAM SUBHAG SINGH) : I beg to move :

"That the question of providing further amenities and facilities in the matter of salary, allowances and other amenities to Members of Parliament in the context of the debate in Lok Sabha on the 26th April, 1968 on the Sılaries and Allowances of Members of Parliament (Amendment) Bill, 1967 by Shri Panna Lal Barupal be referred to a Joint Committee of the Houses to be nominated by the Speaker of Lok Sabha and the Chairman of Rajya Sabha, for examination and report;

that the Committee shall consist. of 21 members, .14 from 'this House and 7 from Rajya Sabha ;

that in order to constitute a sitting of the Joint Committee the quorum shall be one-third of the total number of members of the Joint Committee; that in other respects the Rules of Procedure of this House relating to Parliamentary Committees shall apply with such variations and modifications as the Speaker may make; and

that the House recommends to Rajya Sabha that Rajya Sabha do join the said Joint Committee and communicate to this House the names of 7 members nominated to the Joint Committee by the Chairman of Rajya Sabha."

MR. SPEAKER : I will now put the motion to the vote of the House.

SHRI UMANATH (Pudukkottai): Sir, I have an objection to the wording of that motion. I am sorry I have to object to that. The motion is for the reference of this matter to the Committee on Salaries and Allowances.

MR. SPEAKER : No, no; it will be referred to a new committee to be constituted.

SHRI UMANATH: Then, my second objection is this. The motion refers to 'salaries and allowances, amenities and facilities'. It should refer only to 'amenities and facilities'. The words 'salaries and allowances' should not be there They must be removed. I am very clear on that ...(interruptions).

MR. SPEAKER : Only the name of the Committee is 'Committee on Salaries and Allowances'. That does not mean that every time the Committee meets the salary or allowance is increased. That Committee is existing all these years. It will go into the amenities for members. Now, I will put the motion of Dr. Ram Subhag Singh to the vote of the House. After it is adopted, it has to be sent to Rajya Sabha for their concurrence.

The question is :

"That the question of providing further amenities and facilities in the matter of salary, allowances and other amenities to Members of Parliament in the context of the debate in Lok Sabha on the 26th April, 1968 on the Salaries and Allowances of Members of Parliament (Amendment) Bill, 1967 by Shri Panna Lal Barupal be referred to B Joint Committee of the Houses to be