18.19 hrs.

APPROPRIATION (NO, 2) BILL* 1974

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN): I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the services of the financial year 1974-75.

MR. SPEAKER: Now, the question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill to authorse payment and appropriation of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the services of the financial year 1974-75."

The motion was adopted

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN: I introduce the Bill.

I beg to move† that the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the services of the financial year 1974-75, be taken into consideration

MR. SPEAKER: Motion moved:

"That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the services of the financial year 1974-75, be taken into consideration"

Now, Shri Madhu Limaye.

भी मधु लिमये (बांका): प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, ,मने जो मुझे पहले ही लिखकर भेजे हैं उन्ही के बारे में सक्षेप में कुछ बातें कहना चाहता हूं। सबसे पहले म पेट्रोलियम निनिस्ट्री के बारे में एक गम्भीर मामला उठाना पाहता हूं। 16 दिसम्बर, 1971 को हमारे नेवल हेब्क्वार्टर्स ने पेट्रोलियम मिनिस्ट्री के द्वारा उनको जो निकृष्ट किस्म का तेल दिया जा रहा था उसके बारे में प्रपना ऐतराज इस पत्र के द्वारा प्रकट किया है । उस का कुछ हिस्सा में सदन के सामने रखना पाहता हं ।

"Your suggestion to give effect to supply of FFO of higher viscosity limit immediately on issue of the amendment to ISI specification is not acceptable. The very intention of asking for a 2 months period before effecting the change in the viscosity is that during this period, the necessary trials can be carried out before finally accepting the higher viscosity.

In fact one of the I.N. Ships has reported that on 6-8-71 and 11-10-71, FFO of viscosities higher than 80 and less than 100 was supplied at Madras and that the burning of this oil had presented considerable difficulties. The above wrong supply has resulted in continuous emission of greyish smoke from the funnel and various other consequential operational difficulties. Continuous emission of smoke from the ship's funnel apart from giving the positions of the ship to the enemy, can completely hamper the flying operations on an aircraft cariar."

म्रकेसा विकरान्त एक मात्र अहाक है इस तरह का क्रीप के पास ।

"The above experience has made it, all the more essential that extensive trials should be carried out before a decision can be taken.

*Published in Gazette of India Extraordinary, Part II, Section 2, dated 29-4-74.

†Introduced/Moved with the recommendation of the President.

457 Appropriation VAIBAKHA 9, 1896 (SAKA) (No. 2) Bill, 1974 458

The ill effects of burning FFO of higher viscosity in Naval Ships described in para 2 above had already been reported to the Indian Standard Institution by NHQ The Indian Standard Institution has also been requested to hold in abeyance the promulgation of the amendment to IS-1593-60 for the period of the present emergency and iform all the oil companies accordingly In view of the above, it is imperative that the viscosity of FFO supplied to Naval Ships should not be raised at this stage."

ग्राध्यक्ष महोदन, में पहले ही विनती कर बुका हु कि इन दस्तावेजो को सदन के सभा पटल पर रखने की मुझे ग्रनुमति दी जाएे, यह मेरा ग्राधकार है। सदन का भी ग्राधकार है इन दस्तावेजो को देखने का, इसलि रे मुझे ग्रनुमति दी जान्न।

म्राज्यक्ष महादय, इस मतालय के सम्बन्ध मे भौर कुछ बाने में कहना चाहता ह। कुछ साल हमारे एयर फोर्स ने भी पैट्रोलियम मिनिस्टी के सामने ग्रपना विरोध पत्न रखा था कि एयर फार्स का जा ऐवियेशन फ्युग्रल दिया जाता है वह भी दोषपूर्ण ह निकृष्ट है ग्रीर उस में मुधार किया जाये। उस के बारे में पैटोलियम मिनिस्टी ने क्या काय-बाही की कोई पता नही है। स्रोर इस सदन की जानकारी के लिए यह भी गै कहना चाहता ह कि इछापुर तथा मन्य आर्डनेम फैक्ट्रीज मे जो मायल दिया जाता है वह भी इसी तरह निकृष्ट पाया गया है श्रीर उस के बारे मे भी इन लोगो ने अपना प्रोटेस्ट दर्ज किया है। तो मध्यक्ष महोदय, सरक्षा दल का कौना सा विभाग बचता है, झाईनेस, एयर फॉं, नेवी, सब लोग इस व बारे मे एतरा क प्रकट कर रहे हैं। बहुत गम्भीर मामला है। मैं ने सुरक्षा मत्री, श्री जगजीवन राम से विनती की थी, लेकिन ग्रब पैट्रोलियम मत्रा तय से जानना चाहता ह, कि बो तीन साल से मामला पड़ा हुग्रा है इस मे जो बो की ग्रधिकारी है जिन्होंने भाग्त तो सुरक्षा को खतरे मे डाला है उन व खिलाफ़ क्या कार्यवाही की गई है, यह मदन जानना चाहेगा ग्रीर उस की जानकारी ग्राज हम को मिन्नी चाहिए।

ग्रहाक्ष महोदय. इस ने मम्बन्ध मे तीसरी बात और कहना चाहता हु हम लोगो क कहने पर गैगा वाटर पोल्यशन इनक्वायरी कमेंडी नियक्त की गई थी 1968 में उस दिन माननीय अन्ल जा थ और उन्होने भी यह मामला हमार साथ उठाया था कि बरौनी मे जो नेल छोडा गया था जिम ने चनने मुगेर मे ग्राग लगी थी, वाटर वर्क्स जल गए थे। इस इनक्वारी कमीशन की रिपोर्ट 1969 में ग्रायी। उसने बाद कैबिनेट ने फैमला किया कि डावी अफ्रमरी को सजा दी जाए। नेविन इस निर्णय पर अमल बरने की जिम्मेदारा जिस झख्य पर थी उम न इस पर ग्रमल नहीं किया। क्या नतीजा हुग्रा बरौनी रिफाइनरी का जो जनरल मैनैजर था उस का सेवा लिवृत हाने का मौका मिला। उम का सजा नही मिनी मौर दूसरे जा माधनारी है उन के खिलाफ भी कोई कार्यवाही नहीं को गई, उन का ऐक्जोनरेट किया गया ग्रौर इस के बारे मे मन्नी महोदय

[भी मधु लिमये]

सक्कई में । योर कैबिनेट के निर्मय को कार्यांग्वित करने की जिस अफ़सर पर जि मेदारी थी उस को आप ने पदोन्नति दे कर प्राई० ग्रो० मी० का चेयरसैन बना दिया । जब कि हक्सर कमेटी इस निर्णय पर ग्रायी ग्राई० ग्रो, सी० के तीन अफ़सरों का इंटरव्यू लेने के बाद, कि तीनां में कोई भी उभ्युक्त नही है इस मर्वोच्च पद के लिए, यानी चेयरसैन, इंडियन ग्रायन कीर-पोरेशन के पद के लिए । तो इस का खुलाशा में पैट्रोबियम मतालय में चाहता हू ।

धष्यक्ष महोदय ने, कुछ मई:ना पहले मैन हैवी इडस्ट्री मिनिस्ट्री का ध्यान टाटा ट्रक्म मे, सभी मंझियों ने एक के बाद एक ने जवाब दिया था, में चाहता ह कि बाधने अपने विभाग के मती जबाब बे, तो हैंथी इडस्ट्रीज मिनिस्ट्री को मै ने पत्र लिखा था भौर उन का ध्यान सीवा था पर 15,000, २० নি रारा ट्रन का प्रीमियम चल रहा है इन दिना। पाच, छ, मर्टन के ग्रन्दर यह प्रीमियम मद 25,000 रू० हा गया हे आर इस बीच] मे मै ने यह भी मती महोदय को कहा था, मुझे खबरे मिली है कि टेलको वालो को कहा गया है कि 500 ट्रक्स कागेस पार्टी के चुनाव के लिए दिए आये मौर डिस्ट्रिब्यूटर उस मे से कुछ हिस्सा रखे और 10,000 २० प्रति दुक कागेस के चन्दे में जमा कर दिया जाए। इस तरह की जो व्यवस्था है वह सुविधापूर्ण हंग से ही इसलिए बिहार मरकार ने गंजट नोटिफिकोशन निकलकर जमशेदपुर के जो बिस्ट्रिक्ट ट्रांपोर्ट माफीसर है जिनको टेम्पोरेरी रजिस्ट्रेगन नम्बर देने का ग्रधिकार था, मध्यक्ष महोदय, ताजुब की बात है कि बिहार सरकार ने गजट मे नं।टिफ़िकेशन कर के आह धालिकार टेलको तक को विया है।

नतीजा यह हुआं कि यह टेम्पोरेरी रजिस्ट्रेशन इन के नाम से है भीर बो साल के अन्दर ट्रक ट्रांसफ़र करने के बारं मे काम जो हुआ है वह मोटर कट्रोल आईर के तहत गैरकानूनी काम है। इस के बारे मे अब जानफारी नही मिल सकती। फिर भी विश्वस्त सूत्रों से बार केसेज मै ने पकडे है। जिस दिन चेमिन को टैप्पोरेरी रजिस्ट्रेशन नम्बर मिला है 24 घटे के अन्दर यह ट्रक गैर कानूनी ढग से ट्रासफर किए गए है। मंत्री महोदय ने कुछ कार्रवाही नही की है। पहले उन कौं सूचना देने वे वाद में उम मामले का यहा उठा रहा ३। यह नम्बर उस प्रकार है,

BHA/6872 BHÁ/6951 BHA/7351 BHA/7134

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, में जानना नाट्ता हूं ति 500 ट्रक्स च् कि टेलका ने चुनाव के लिए दिए थे क्या उमीलिए इस का जाच नहीं की जा रही है। कम में कम जा चार नम्बर मैं ने दिए है क्या ग्राप का स नर्घ्य नहीं है कि आप उस की जाव कर थे मुझ को अबाब दिया जाए अगर इम तरह के कार्यों को प्रोन्माहन देंगे तो उम के बहुत खतरनाक नतीजे निकलेगे।

ग्रध्यक्ष महादय, 4व व्यापार मत्नालय का जहा तक सम्तन्ध हे व्यापार मत्नी ने कई बार इस गदन का ग्राण्यासन दिया था वि बिड़ला परिवार के सेवृरी ऐनका ग्रौर उसी तरह बागड परिवार के श्री गिथेटिक्म का बीलेन्ट्री प्राइस कंट्रोल स्कीम में लाया जाएगा। यह ग्रगस्न मं ग्राण्वास्ता दिया था विगत माल मेर द्वारा सवाल उठाय जाने पर ग्रौर माज है ग्रप्रैल का माखिर ग्रार ग्रर्भा तक श्री सिथेटिक्स बागड परिवार ग्रीर सबुरी एनेका, चिडला परिवार को ग्राप ने बौलेट्री प्राइस कट्रोंल के तहत नही लाया। क्यों फि वह लोग चुनाव का चदा देते हैं इर्मालए ग्राप उन के साथ रियायत कर रहे हैं, लेकिन हजारों छोटे ब्नकरों को बडी परेणानी हो रही है।

वित्त मत्नी महोदय हमेणा रेवेन्यू की खोज में रहते है। कम से कम 55 60 गरोंड रू० कैसे रेवेन्य बढाया जाएगा वह में उन को बताना चाहता हू । अध्यक्ष महादय गृगरा में पब्लिव सैक्टर में दो हमारी कम्पनिया है-एक इडियन पैटां कै। मकरम झीर दूसरी गुजरात ग्टेट फांटलाउजर ग्रीर कौरपोरेशन । इन वे डागा क्रमग 20000 टन कैप्रान्तैक्टम ग्रीर 20 000 टन डी॰ एम॰ टी॰ ग्रब पैदा निया जाएगा एक साल में डी० एम० टी० में पोलि-मैस्टर फाइवर द ता है ग्रीर कैंग्रो-लैक्टम में नाइलान फिलेमेन्त मार्न पैदा हाता है। अन्त रूम से जा हम कैपोलैक्टम आरेर कच्चा माल आयात बण्ते है उस पर ऐक्साइज ड्युटी लगा कर का दाम हा जाता है 38 000 र० पर टन विकी दाम। ग्रौर ग्रव ग्राप ने इस सरकारी कम्पनियों के दामों के बारे में ऐसा धपला विया ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, इसके ऊपर ऐक्साइज इयुटी नही लगने वाली है, जब कि रूम में जो माल स्राता है उम पर ब्युटी लगेगी। नतीजा यह हागा कि रुम से म्रायान किया हम्रा कैप्रोलैक्टम या डी० एम० टी०, इस के दामों में आरेर जो हमारी कम्पनियो में कच्चा माल बनेगा उसमे प्रति टन 18.000 रु० का जर्क होने वाला है। स्रोर प्रति टन 18,000 रु० की छुट झाप लोग सेचुरी ऐनका,

बिडला, मादि जे० के० पंजीपतियों को दे रहे हैं। ग्रीर में जानना चाहता ह कि इन दोनो का अगर विकी मल्य समान किया जाएगा और उस के लिए अगर ऐक्साइफ इयुटी लगायी जाएगी तो जो 5, 6 कम्पनिया है, जिन में मोदी जी भी है।, पीलु मोदी जी नही, बल्कि गजर मल मोटी जिन को श्रीमती इन्दिरा जी ने पदमभूषण की पदबी दे रखी है, तो यदि इस तरह की ऐक्साइज इयुटी लगायी जाएगी झौर दोनो का बिकी दाम समान किया जाएगा तो कम से कम 55 करोड ६० इन को मिल जाएगा और एस ० टी० सी० का कमीशन, जा रूस से मायातित वच्चा माल है, एस० टी० सी० को मिलता है वह भी यदि ग्राप इस के ऊपर लगायेंगे तो गौर 5. 6 करोड ह० ग्रीर ग्राप को मिल जाएगा। तो इस तरह लगभग 60 करोंड रूपया जो ग्राप को मिल सकता है, वह ग्राप क्यों खो रहे हैं। इस के पीछे रहस्य क्या इस में भी जुनाव की राजनीति है।

SHRI S. R. DAMANI (Sholapur): I would like to ask one question. What is the annual production of these companies?

श्री मधू झिण्णये। इन की बात का क्या जबाब देना है। मैं तो मत्नी महोदय को जवाब दगा।

प्रध्यक्ष महोदय कई महीने पपले मैं ने बैको के कार्यों के बारे में यहा एक चर्चा उठाई थी ग्रीर कुछ दस्तावेज के ग्राधार पर मैंने यह साबित किया था किकानपुर मैं नया गज में.जो शाखा है पंजाब नेवनल बैंक की, कोई गलती से न छपे में पंजाब नेवनल बैंक की

[श्री मधु लिमये]

चर्चा कर रहा हूं, उस में जे० के० ग्रुप कम्पनी के 70 चैंग ठाऊस हो गए ग्रीर में ने यह सवाल उठाया था कि इस तरह से चैक वाऊस हो जाएगे तो क्या इस को क्रिमिनल आफेम माना आएगा तो इस का यह जबाब दिया गया है कि हम विचार करेगे। 70 चैंक बाउस हो गये हैं लेकिन उस के बारे में सही जानकारी सदन के साम ने नहीं आई है। साथ साथ में यह जानना चाहता हुं कि इस बैक का मैनेजर ज० के० मुप के हथियार के रुप में काम कर रहा है ग्रीर पंजाब नेशनल बैंक के हैंड-क्वार्टर से उन्हे प्रोत्माहन मिल रहा है। तो इन ग्रधिनान्यि को तन्ताल सस्पेन्ड करने का काम कीजिए ताकि रिजव बैंक का जो डिपो-जिट है, वह इस तरह से खत्म न हो ।

म्राध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा माखरी मुद्दा यह है कि डी० जी० एस० एण्ड डी, डाइरेंस्टर जनरल म्राफ सप्लाइज एण्ड डिस्पाजल, यह सप्लाई मिन्ट्रि के तहत में माता है मौर इस के द्वारा टिफेन्स मत्रालध की जा कर ड़ो रुपये की माग हाती है, उस को पूरा किया जाता है ? मब में बडी माग डिफेन्म की होती है 250 वरोड रूपये की झौर झघ्यक्ष महोदय, सूरक्षा मत्रालय की कमल्टेटिव कमेटी में यह भी मामला उठा कि क्या कुछ सुप्लायसे के द्वारा डिफोन्म की सप्लाइज के ऊपर 60 प्रतिशत का मुनाफा कमाया जाता है, तो यह सामना सेकेटरीज से जुड़ा रहता है। जब सुरक्षा मती और सुरका राज्य मती ने उन को डाटा, तब जा कर यह बात निकली कि यह बात सही है कि कुछ सप्लायसं 60 प्रतिशत मुनाका कमाते

हैं । माज जान बूझ कर इन्फार्मल कंस्स्टेटिव कमेटी की बात में यहां रख रहा हूं क्योंकि रघरमैया जी का मादेश जलता है झौर हम रघुएमैया जी की डिक्टेटरशिप को यहां मानने वाले नही है । यह कोई पालियामेंटरी कमेटी नही है । मगर वह बन्द करना चाहते हैं, तो कर सकते हैं । हमारे पास विशेष जानकारी है । इमलिए में सिर्विल सप्लाइज की बात कहना चाहता हूं लेकिन खाडेलकर साहब का पता नही है । इसलिए मैं यह जानना वाहता हूं कि इस के बारे मैं कौन सी कार्यवाही वे कर रहे हैं क्या कोई वसेटी नियुक्त की जार्येगी कि स्प्लाइज में वितना मुनाफा कमाया जाएगा प्रौर उचित मनाफा वितना होगा?

इत शब्दों के साथ मैं भ्रपनी बात खम कर रहा हू।

मध्यक्ष महेदय, डिपार्टमेंः इत कमेटीज में जो बाने हेर्ति है, मगर उन का माप रेफोर्स परेो, नाफि व बान इन्फ्र मैल की रहेर्ता?

श्वी मधु सिमये . क्या वे गुप्त है। वे चीं जीं को दयाना चाहते हैं ग्रीर जब पूछने हैं तो कहते हैं कि ग्राप के पास क्या जानकारी है।

श्वध्यक्ष सहोदय वे मीटिंग तो इन्फार्मल होती है ग्रौर उन में जो चीजे पता चलर्ता है, वे ग्रगर बाहर जाने लगी, तो वे वहा नही ग्राया करेंगी।

465 Appropriation VAISAKHA 9, 1896 (SAKA) (No. 2) Bill, 1974 466

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE (Kanpur): Mr. Speaker. Sir, with your permission, I would like to mention three or four poin's. Three or four days back, we had a meeting, members of the Standing _ouncil of JCM. They met the Finance Secretary and his other collegues and come decisions were take 1 to remove the anomalies found in the Third Pay Commission Report. Some good decisions have been taken. Une of the decisions is that the Pay Commission's recommendations regardof children's allowance ing grant which adversely affected the Central Government employees should he withdrawn and should not be implemented in the case of the existing employees. That was really a good decision. The Finance Ministry was waiting patiently for the Finance Minister to reach India back from Malaysia and issue a statement. would request the hon. Finance Minister to make a statement in this House so that the necessary orders might be issued for the benefit of the Central Government employees. Certain other decisions were also taken. I hope the hon. Minister will take this opportunity to make an announcement regarding them also in this House. But no decision could be taken rearding house rent allowance and city compensatory allowance. Our demand is that the Pay Commission's recomregarding house rent mendations allowance should be implemented in all fairness from 1st January, 1973. The railwaymen are going on strike. I hope that they will definitely welcome any decision if it is implemented from 1st January, 1973. I hope the Finance Minister will kindly hon. make the statement as early as possible.

During the time when Shri Uma Shankar Dikshit was replying to the debate on the Home Ministry's Demands. I wanted to invite your kind attention to the letter issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs. Shri Uma Shankar Dikshit wanted to convince the House that Government were very 655 LS-17. sincere in arriving at a negotiated settlement with the railway employees. I welcome that, I take his statement as correct and honest, But if that was go, why was the letter issued from the Home Ministry on the 7th April, 1974 on the threatened all-India strike by the railway employees wherein it has been stated how the leaders should be arrested and put in jail under MISA? The relevant portion of the letter reads:

"The provisions of the Maintenance of Internal Security Act (MISA) could be profitably invoked while undertaking preventive action."

It is really a sad commentary on their assurances. When negotiations are going on in the country, and all the leaders of the workers are trying, whether it be the convener of the Coordination Committee, Shri George Fernanades or the President of the All India Railway Workers Union, Shri S. A. Dange or the representative of the other federations and associations. when they are honestly trying to have a negotiated settlement, this letter dated 7th April 1974 was issued. At that time, there were no negotiations, and only statements were being issued that it was a politically strike. Further, motivated orders have been issued in the letter to arrest the following categories of persons:

"Activists and militants amongst the railway employees who are known to be taking prominent part in planning and organising the strike and who are likely to indulge in intimidatory activities against other railway employees who may not be willing to participate in the strike."

Persons other than the railway employees who are influential-among railway employees or actively instigating them to participate in the strike."

[Shri S. M. Banerjee]

So. not only employees but others also who are trying their utmost to bring about a settlement are also sought to be arrested.

In all fairness, I would request that this better should have been withdrawn by Government. The hon. Minister should have explained to this House the necessity of having issued that letter. The MISA is already in their armoury, the DIR are in their armoury, and there are other nefarious pieces of legislation also in their armoury, under which people can be arrested, workers can be arrested without assigning any reason whatsoever. When their armoury was full of these arms, where was the necessity to issue this letter of 7th April 1974?

Let me make it very clear that the railway employees and their leaders are genuinely for a settlement. But unless something is done and there is a step by Government, it will be difficult for anyone to suggest to them not to go on strike. The Railway Minister is trying his best. I have no doubt about it; I compliment him for that But what about the other Ministers?

MR. SPEAKER: You gave only one point, about the Pay Commission recommendations.

SHRI S. M BANERJEE: No I was not allowed to speak on this. I was told not to interrupt. So I did not interrupt him.

MR. SPEAKER I wonder if that could be connected with this. Here is a definite point which you wanted to raise.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: I wrote about bonus also.

MR. SPEAKER: This is what is given here.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: I will conclude in two minutes.

So is the Cabinet prepared to consider this. If the unanimous recommendation of the bonus Review Committee is that those who are in the Departmental undertakings should also be given Bonus, how can Bonus be denied to the railway employeeswhen there are so many working in the public undertakings who are given bonus? Unless this matter is decided and a solemn assurance given, it will be difficult for anyone to suggest to the railway employees that they should not go on strike. I am one of those who sincerely want a settlement. But I would urge upon the hon. Minister to rise above the suspicion that it is politically motivated. The railway employees are not indulging in politics. The leaders might be connected with politics. It is the demands of the railway employees which are troubling them for going on strike. The railway employees helped in 1962, in 1965 and in 1971. They stood like one man, whether it was the defence employees, railway employees, P & T employees or other employees. They will again unite for any eventuality. So let not the strike be castigated as politically motivated. That is what I would request him.

Today is the 29th. The strike will take place on the 8th. Negotiations are going on. I urge upon Government to realise the gravity of the situation and not damn the strike as politically motivated.

Another point. I have already written to the Home Minister. I took a letter and representation from the UP Census Employees Association, Lucknow. The services of 200 census employees are going to be terminated on let May 1974. I took up the matter with the Cabinet Secretariat six months back. Their services were extended and they were given 3 months extension. But on 1st May, 1974, the day on which Parliament

will not transact any business, May Day, a day of international solidarity of the working people of the world and of the country, these 200 employees are going to lose their jobs. They have been working in their own departments. Only to accommodate the deputationists, these 200 people are going to be thrown out. I make a fervent appeal on their behalf. I have already written to him. I wanted to interrupt him and get some sort of assurance. But I did not do it. Now in all humility with all earnestness and honesty at my command, I appeal on behalf of these employees who have worked for more than 21 or 3 years. Due to their labours, the They are Census report came out. come working so efficiently. They from ordinary middle class families. I would request you to convey these feelings to the hon. Home Minister and see that their period of service is extended.

With these words, I thank you very much.

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN Both the hon members have raised usues, most of which were raised previously also. I do not think even they would expect me by way of answer to go into details.

 A_S for the points raised by Shri Madhu Limaye, one or two are directly conceined with my Ministry. I would like to inform him that as far as the question of the cheques which bounced is concerned, it was discussed during a call attention motion given notice of by him sometrine in 1973. It is a fact that a number of cheques were refused to be accepted The Punjab National Bank has certainly gone into it. As for some person or officer that he referred to, I do not know what defect I can find in him, because he rightly refused to accept the cheques.

As far as the accommodation, etc., are concerned, I tried to get information from the bank, and their point is that cases relating to the enhancement of limits or the problems of readjustment of accounts or fresh limits in respect of any large account are invariably placed before the Board of Directors and it is not a Branch Mahager who takes up these matters for the sake of a decision at his level. I would like to say that the Punjab National Bank is certainly aware of it and I think they have sent some instituction team which has also gone into some of the irregularities which are being rectified.

As far as the general question whether we can make the bouncing of cheques per se a crimina offence, is concerned this was one of the questions which he had raised before and I had told him of the difficulty that if at all we have to make it a criminal offences, it is necessary to have some sort of criminal intention in giving that cheque with a view to defraud somebody. That is what called the presence of mens rea.

SHRIS M BANERJEE: I can produce a cheque tomorrow, for Rs. 25,000 issued by Alok Udyog to a poor contractor which has bounced I can bring it if you want

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN. It is quite possible. I am not saying yes of no. This is a matter which requires very careful consideration. Automatically when you make a law that when a cheque is bounced, it will be treated as a criminal offence, then it would be unjust to a large number of new people coming into the field of banking

भी मधुलिमयेः किमिन्जरं उ∃णन हाला चाहिए यह बात ठीक है।

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN: That is the major difficulty. This question, I think, has been looked into by the Committee headed by Dr. Rajamannar: it is being examined and I think I will have to wait for the recommendations of that Committee.

[Shri Yeshwantrao Chavan]

Then. there were three or four points, mentioned by the hon. Member.

श्री सखु सिमये: 55 करोड एक्साइज इयूटी के रूप में ग्रापको देना चाहता हू। मरकार की ग्रामदनी बढ़ाने का मैं जरिया बता रहा हू। एक्साइज इयूटी ग्रीर कस्टम इयूटी को ग्राप इक्केलेट बना दे, इडी-जिनस प्रोडकशन ग्रीर इम्रार्ट की हुई चीजा पर। ग्रापको भचास करांड कम करा मिल जाएगा।

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN. When he is making a profitable suggestion I must take advantage of discussing this matter a little in depth with him Certainly I would welcome this suggestion because I am in search of such resources.

भी मधुलिमये वर्ष्टराम्ते बनाए हे लेकिन उनको इम्प्त्रीसंट भी किया टे प्रापने?

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN: As far as the other suggestions are concerned, I cannot undertake to give a detailed answer. I know these are some of the cases where the facts have to be verified, and I do not want to make a statement which would unnecessarily commit the Government one way or the other.

भी मधु लिमये : 1967 में एक मती ने जबाब दिया था। इनको दो दिन का नोटिस दिया था मैने शनिवार को दिया था । इनको नयार होकर माना चाहिए था। यह श्रास डेर्रेलिक्शन माफ ड्यूटी है। माप हमें प्रोटेक्शन दे।

सन्यक महोदय इनको ग्राप झाल्त से सुनें। क्यो इंटरप्ट करते हैं ऐसे जेने कमेटी मीटिंग चल रही हो। श्री मब लिसये व्यापार मर्ता जवाब दे

पेंट्रोलियम मली गायब है। क्या करे हम लोग?

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN: As far as the Petroleum and Chemicals Ministry is concerned, I think this question was raised by the hon. Member with the Defence Ministry also, and they have told him that they will go into the case and find out the facts.

As far as the truck business is concerned, he mentioned certain specific cases; four specific cases.

interruption

MR. SPEAKER. Let him answer,

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN: Naturally I got notice this morning and I tried to get as much information With the things that I as possible have, I cannot give a detailed and satisfactory answer, but I can give him some information on the points he mentioned He referred to 'some specific cases about the trucks. My information is that these cases have been referred to the State Governments for investigation and I hope we will get a report as soon as possible. As far as the resale of trucks at a premium is concrned, I may say that this matter has been considered by the concerned Ministry and they have issued orders restricting the resale.

'It is therefore felt that it would be advasable to impose comprehensive control on the sale and redistribution of commercial vehicles. However, it was considered with a view to securing equitable distribution and availability at a fair price of commercial vehicles, a ban should be imposed on resale within the period of two years from the date of the original purchase. Accordingly the Government issued an order called the Commercial Vehicles Restriction and Resale Order of 1974 under the Industrial Development and Regulations Act of 1951 on 11th January 1974."

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE: It is daily being violated. I gave you four instances.

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN: Now you have raised a new point. I have explained the Governmnt policy. I quite agree that it would have been good if the Minister could be present here. but I would certainly convey the points that he has raised.

Mr. Banerjee has been tirelessly raising the important points about the implementation of the Pay Commission Report.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: We are waiting for you to make the announcement.

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN: I need not repeat all those things. As far as Class II, Class III and Class IV are concerned, detailed instructions and notifications have been issued.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: That was not my point. In the meeting of the Anomaly Committee, some decisions have been taken and I want you to make an announcement about them.

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN: Some of the points were discussed at that meeting with the representatives of both sides. I shall find out what is the conclusion of the meeting. I can tell the hon. Member that before we take a decision in this matter, I am going to discuss it.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: It was decided at the meeting that you would make an announcement in Parliament about certain decisions which were already reached. We are waiting for you to announce that.

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN: There is no difficulty about announcing the decisions. I thought that if the Government were to make a statement, it should make a statement on all the issues, whatever points were accepted and whatever points were

655 LS-18.

not accepted. Before saying that we are not accepting any point. I wanted to have some discussions with them on the different problems. If you want an announcement about the agreed points we can consider that also.

MR. SPEAKER: I think that in future when a notice is given in advance. the Minister concerned should be present in this House. It is embarrassing for the Finance Minister, because he can answer on behalf of his own departments and not others.

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA (Tumkur): There was no notice.

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE: There was. How do you know?

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: During the debate, he mentioned all the names. (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: I made the observation. That is over.

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMEN-TARY AFFAIRS (SHRI K. RAGHU RAMAIAH): Sir, in this case, I understand notice was given only to the Finance Minister. I, myself, have no notice. May I request you that in such cases you may direct the office to give notices to all the Ministers concerned?

MR. SPEAKER: I really do not know where the fault lies. I will examine this and we will set some procedure for future.

SHRI K. NARAYANA RAO (Bobilli): Sir my humble submission is this. If notice has been given relating to a particular item concerning a particular Ministry, this should he confined only to the Ministry concerned and he should not bring in all points covering the entire grant of the working of the Government. That cannot be.

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE We are passing demands relating to all the Ministries

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA Sır, you should give specific...

MR. SPEAKER: Don't assume my powers also. I am in a better position. I must say that there are many points which do not concern the Finance Ministry; they also concern the other Ministries. We will see and set some procedure for future There is nothing of a sort of a dispute. Why should you be worried about it?

Now, the questions is:

"That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain sum_s from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the services of the financial year 1974-75 be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

 W_e shall now take up the Bill clause by clause.

The question is;

"That Clauses 2, 3 and the Schedule stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2, 3 and the Schedule were added to the Bill.

Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the 1 tile were added to the Bill.

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN: Sir, 1 move:

"That the Bill be passed."

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That the Bill be passed."

The motion was adopted.

19 01 hrs.

RE HALF-AN-HOUR DISCUSSION

MR SPEAKER What about Halfan-hour Discussion" Mr. Shenoy do you want that this should be taken up now?

SHRI P R. SHENOY (Udipi). No objection if it is taken up tomorrow

MR. SPEAKER: This will be taken up to morrow if w_0 find time Otherwise, this will be taken up on a subsequent date.

19.01 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned i.il Eleven of the Clock on Tuesday, April 30, 1974/Vaisakha 10, 1896 (Saka)

MGIPND -M-655 1 S.-27-6-74-978.