is not the office she gave me that made me accept her leadership but her ideas and ways. My resignation from the Cabinet will not adversely affect my loyalty to her leadership. Appointment or disappointment, at best, is an individual affair and the mighty task that the Congress Party has undertaken under her leadership is of overriding importance to me.

13.29 hrs.

STATEMENT RE. AGREEMENT ON BILATERAL RELATIONS BETWEEN INDIA AND PAKISTAN

MR. SPEAKER : The Minister of External Affairs.

THE MINISTER OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI SWARAN SINGH) : ! rose

भी खटल बिहारी बाजपेयी (ग्वालियर): ग्राध्यक्ष महोदय,इस सम्बन्ध में मैंने ग्राप को पत्न लिखा हुपा है। ।स सें पहले कि विदेश मंत्री मोदय कों वक्तव्य देने का प्रवसर दें मैं वाहता हूं कि आप मुझे सनें।

ग्राज्यका महोवय ∶इस वा?े में ग्राप ने मुझे पहले ही सब्सटेंक्षियल मोशन दिया हुम्पा है। ग्राप इत्पया ग्रामी बैठ जायें ग्रीर मिनिस्टर साहब को अपना बक्तल्य देने दें।

भो ग्रटल बिहारी बाअपेयी : ग्राप्स महोदय मैंने ज्ञाप से निवेदन किया है कि पालियामेंट की बैठेक 31 जुलाई को पहले से तय थी तो बैठेक घारम्म होने के तीन दिन पहले ही शिमला समझौते को राप्ट्पति द्वारा रेटिफाई किया जाना, उस पर, दस्तखत किया जाना, पालियामेंट की बैठक के लियें प्रतीक्षा तक न करना यह पालियामेंट का ग्रपमान है, पालियामेंट की ग्रवज्ञा हू। ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, यह सदन कोई मुहर लगानें को मधीन नहीं है ग्रीर भाप भी कोई मिट्टी क(माधो नहीं हैं। इस देज में लोकतांविक परम्पराएं बलैगी या नहीं? मैं ग्राप के सामने कांस्टिट्युलन....

ग्राध्यक्ष महोदय ग्राप तो ऐसे बोलते हैं जैसे बाहर कोई जल्सा हो रहा है।

भी घटल बिहारी बाबपेयी : माप को सुनना होगा में व्यवस्था का प्रश्न उठा रहा हूं। मब यह कहा जारहाहै कि पालियामैंट का एन्रूबल लेने की कोई जरूरत नहीं है। आप को याद होगा कि.....

अध्यक्ष महोवयः ग्राप ने डिस्एप्रूवल का रेजो-ल्यूक्षन दिया हमा है।

भी मटल बिहाली वालपेयी : विरुएप्रूवल की वात तो बाद में मायेगी । यह तो इम्प्रोप्रायटी की गई हैं । यह पालियामेंट के खिसाफ एफंट हैं । पालिया-मेंट की बैटक तीन दिन बाद होने जा रही है लेकिन सरकार ने जिमला समझौते को तुरन्त रैटिफाई कर दिया । हमारा उन से मतमेद ह, हम उस की म्रालोचना करते, लेकिन उन्होंने पालियामेंट के मूंह पर तमाचा मारा है । (व्यवसाल),

आपनें देखाहोगाकि जो दल शिमला समझौतें पर सरकार के साथ हैं, जो झिमला समझोते का समर्थन करने वाले हैं, उन्होंनें भी इ.स. तरह की मालोचना की हुं। उन्होंने कल प्रधान मंत्री की बैठक का बहिष्कार किया है।

मध्यक महोदयः भाप तो भाषण में पढ़ गए।

श्री ग्राटल विहारी वाजपेवी : भाषण नही देंगेतो क्या करेंगे? ग्रागर हाया-पाई करने की बात होती तो ग्रालग बात होती।

भ्रध्यक्ष महोदय : भापने रेजोल्युशन दिया हुमा हु ।

श्री ग्रटल बिहारी वाजपेयी : मुझे बढा खेद है कि भाप सदन की मर्यादा की रक्षा नहीं कर रहे हैं।

झध्यक्ष महोबय: यह मर्यादा तो भापके हाथ में है।

भी घटल विहारी वावयेयी : घगर घापकी जगह कोई घीर घच्यक होता तो सरकार से कहता कि उसने तीन दिन पहले समझौते को रैटिफाई करके इस सदन की घबहेलना की हैं। मैं विदेश मंत्री से कहूंगा कि बह सदन के सामने मॉफी मॉगे। वह कहते हैं कि संविधान में यह नहीं लिखा कि इस तरह का समझौबा मदन के सामने रक्खा जाना चाहिये। मैं डा० अन्वेदकर का कोटेशन घापके सामने रखना चाहता.

्रम्पक महोदयः आप जब बोर्मेंगे, तब उसको कहें।

243 Indo-Pak Agreement (St) JULY 31, 1972

श्री जगननाव राव जोशी (शाबापूर) : आप सुनें तो सही । आप सब नियम ताक पर रख रहे हैं । आ खिर क्या हमको बोलने का भी घष्ठिकार नहीं है ?

ग्रध्यक्ष महोवयः अ।पको मौका मिलेगा। जितना चाहेंगे मोका मिलेगा। (ध्यवधान)

श्री घटस बिहारो बाजपेयी : शिमला समझौता अखबार में छप चुका है, शिमला समझौते पर विदेश मंत्री बहस हो रही है, शिमला समझौते पर विदेश मंत्री भाषण दे चुके हैं। आखिर इस सदन की कोई मयौदा है या [नहीं ? सदन की बैठक के तीन दिन पहले समझौते को रैटिफाई करना सारे सदन की प्रवहेलना है। म डा॰ अम्बेदकर का उद्धरण आपको देना चाहता हूं:

"If the executive is honest in working the Constitution, then that executive is bound to obey the legislature without any kind of compulsory obligation laid down in the 'Constitution."

भूं कि कांस्टिट्यूशन में नहीं लिखा कि इस तरह का समझौता बिना संसद की पुष्टि के लागू नहीं किया जा सकता, इसलिए संसद की अवहेलना नहीं की जा सकती है। जब सुप्रीम कोर्ट झौर पालियामैंट का झगडा होता है तब पालियामैंट सुप्रीम हो जाती है, पालियमैंट सावरेन हो जाती है, लेकिन जब जीती हुई जमीन देने का सवाल आता है, जब जवानों के बलि-दानों पर पानी फेरने का मौक। झाता है तब सदन की प्रतिष्ठा नहीं रक्खी जाती । मेरा निवेदन है कि उन्होंने सदन की अवहेलना की है। आप उनसे कहें कि वह सदन में माफी मांगें। झगर वह माफी नहीं सांगेंगे तो सदन की कार्रवाई नहीं चलने दी जायेमी। (व्यवधान)

श्री कूलचम्द वर्मा (उज्जैन): देश के साथ विश्वासघात किया गया है।

श्री झटल विहारी वाजपेयीः यह सदन की मर्यादा का प्रश्न है। (व्यवधान)

श्री कूलवन्ध वर्माः शिमला समझौता रद्द करो। रक्षा मन्त्री ने देश के साथ गदुदारी की है। देश को वेच दिया है। (व्ययखाल) ग्राध्यक्ष महोदयः पालियामेंट में काम बहस और आर्गू मेंट से चलता है। इस तरह से बाउट करके काम नहीं चलता है। अगर कोई समझता है कि बाउट करने से काम चल सकता है तब वह बड़ी गलतफड्सी में है।

श्री झटल बिहारी बाजपेयी : मैंने आपको पहले लिखकर दिया हुआ है। (क्यवधान) मैंने लिखकर दिया है लेकिन आप बोलने की इजाजत नहीं देते हैं।

प्राध्यक्ष महोदय : आपका रेजोल्युशन है।

श्री म्रटल बिहारी बाजपेयी रेजोल्यू शन अलग चीज है। वह शिमला समझौते के बारे में है।

SHRI P.K. DEO (Kalahandi) : On a point of order, Sir.....

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE : He is raising a point of order.

MR. SPEAKER : What is the point of order ?

SHRI P. K. DEO : Regarding my point of order, I would like to submit that the Swatantra Party welcomes the Simla agreement.....

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE (Kanpur) : What is the point of order ?

SHRI P. K. DEO: Now, the way it has come to this House, we strongly object to it. It is highly improper on the part of the Government to come before us with a FAIT ACCOMPLI: It has been ratified by the President. Even in Pakistan where we say that there is dictatership, they took the National Assembly into confidence and their National Assembly ratified it. Then it came to their President. Here, I regret to say, this is a futile exercise in this House. I beg to submit, there is absolutely no use to have a discussion when this has already been ratified. (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER : He has the right to do so; he may move a Motion.

SHRIP.K. DEO: There is no occasion; the question does not arise; there is no occasion for the statement by the Foreign Minister. I want a ruling from you, Mr.

Indo-Pak Agreement (St) 244

Speaker. What benefit will accure by a discussion of this type, Sir? (Interruptions).

MR. SPEAKER : There is no right of order; there is no point of procedure This is your view. That is all. What is the ruling required ?

SHRI SAMAR GUHA (Contai) : I want to draw your attention to this aspect of the matter. Though not a constitutional obligation, we thought, you in your wisdom you would have felt that this Simla Agreement should be brought up here in the House before ratification. We thought, you in your wisdom, would have felt that such historic document, such as the Simla Agreehaving far-reaching consequences ment, and implications, affecting the whole future generations, would have been brought up here before ratification. They ratified the agreement just two days or three days before the sitting of this august House. It is almost derogatory to the honour and the dignity and the moral right of this House. If they had been waiting for 15 days or 20 days, why could not they have waited for 2 more days ? (Interruptions) If this Agreement is discussed in this House before it is ratified by the Government, that would have been a more dignified course and that would have been. I should say, in consonance with the spirit of democracy. Now, I regret to say, it is redundant, it is meaningless, for this House to consider this, when the whole agreement has been ratified by them. They just want to get the mere seal of approval of the House, a sort of consolation for this House. What they are doing now is violation of not only the moral convention, but the democratic functioning of the Parliament, the democratic functioning of this House. And also, this has been done, in a kind of dictatorial manner. There are many who have approved it, of course; there are some shades of differences; but this sort of doing things which concern the future generations, is not the right thing to do. So many of our jawans sacrified their lives and shed their blood for the sake of the country. They should have brought this up before the House before it was ratified. I object to the Minister's statement. (Interruptions)

भी बगन्नाथ राव बोशी : मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है (व्यवधान)

THE MINISTER OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI SWARAN SINGH) : Mr. Speaker, Sir I have the honour to lay on the table of the House a copy of the Agreement between the Government of India and the Government of Pakistan signed at Simla by the Prime Minister of India and the President of Pakistan on the 2nd July, 1972.

Pakistan on the 2nd July 1972 [Placed in Libraty. See No. LT-3179/72]

The Agreement is a first step towards establishing durable peace on the subcontinent....(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER : Let those hon. Members who are standing around the Table go back to their seats. (Interruptions)

SHRI SWARAN SINGH : It provides a framework which, if faithfully worked out, can bring about an altogether new relationship between India and Pakistan....

MR. SPEAKER : Let those Hon. Members who are standing near the Table go back to their seats. (*Interruptions*)

SHRI SWARAN SINGH : The experience of the past 25 years shows that outside agencies and third party involvement have made the solution of problems between India and Pakistan extremely difficult.

Recognising this, it was agreed by both sides that they will settle their differences by peaceful means through bilateral negotiations or any other peaceful means mutually agreed upon between the parties. This trend of bilateralism which is showing itself in other areas of the world is a healthy trend and we welcome it. The idea of providing for a "self executing machinery" which would automatically bring in involvement of third parties or outside agencies, has thus been given up.

Another important features of the Agreement is that both sides have agreed that the basic issues and causes of conflict which have bedevilled relations between the two countries during the last 25 years shall be JULY 31, 1972

[SHRI SWARAN SINGH]

resolved by peaceful means. Both sides have further agreed that they will refrain from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity and political independence of each other. Both Governments have agreed that pending the final settlement of any of the problems between the two countries neither side shall unilaterally alter the situation. They have further undertaken that both sides shall prevent the organisation, assistance or encouragement of any acts deterimental to the maintenance of peaceful and harmonious relations.

A number of steps have been proposed for the normalisation of relations under Articles 3 of the Agreement. They include communications, travel facilities, trade, cooperation in economic and other agreed fields and exchange in the fields of science and culture.

14 hrs.

Once these principles for the establishment of durable peace were accepted by Pakistan and Pakistan further agreed that in Jammu and Kashmir, the line of control resulting from the cease-fire of December 17, 1971, shall be respected by both sides without prejudice to the recognised position of either side, we agreed to the withdrawal of Indian and Pakistani forces to their side of the international border. Action regarding withdrawals to the international border and delineation of the line of control in Jammu and Kashmir will have to be taken simultaneously. A smoothi mplementation of this will generate the necessary confidence for the growth of friendly and peaceful relations between the two countries.

I would like Hon'ble Members to look at the Agreement in the proper perspective of history. This Agreement comes after a long period of conflict and confrontation between the two countries and opens up the possibility of establishing normal and cooperative relations on the sub-continent. The Agreement is based on the principle of equality of sovereign nations and not in the spirit of a victor dictating his terms to the vanquished. We have kept our

promise which we had made before, during and after the last war that we do not have any designs on Pakistan's territory. We hope that Pakistan appreciates this and will reciprocate by adhering faithfully to the letter and spirit of this Agreement. The Agreement is only a first step, a beginning in the process of establishing peace, friendship and cooperation. The success of this Agreement and of the processes it has initiated will depend on its faithful implementation. As far as India is concerned, we are prepared to treat the new Pakistan as a friend. The things that unite the interests of the people of India and Pakistan are far more important and lasting than the things that seem to devide us. It is in this spirit and in an effort to serve the long term common interest of the people of the sub-continent and this region that we have signed this Agreement and it is in this spirit that we would like it to be judged.

14.30 hrs.

Motion re. Statement on Agreement on Bilateral Relations Between India and Pakistan

THE MINISTER OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI SWARN SINGH) : I beg to move ;

"That this House do consider the statement made by the Minister of External Affairs in Lok Sabha on the 31st July, 1972, regarding the Agreement on Bilateral Relations between India and Pakistan signed at Simla on the 2nd July, 1972".

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That this House do consider the statement made by the Minister of External Affairs in Lok Sabha on the 31st July, 1972, regarding the Agreement on Bilateral Relations between India and Pakistan signed at Simla on the 2nd July, 1972".

14.04 hrs.

The Lok Sabha adjourned for lunch till Fifteen of the Clock.