[Shri Shyamnandan Mishra] exist, then this Parliament has absolutely no reality behind it. Article 19 grants to the citizens of India the right to assemble peaceably and to freely move within the territory of India.

Now what happened yesterday was that some honourable Members of Parliament and Shri Jayaprakash Narayan were prevented from moving by the rowdies under the protection of the Haryana Police. Therefore. this is a matter involving the fundamental right of the people of India and the Haryana Government making nonsense of civil liberties. That being the situation, whether this House can contemplate it with equanimity and whether we should not take up this matter, it is for you to consider. It is not a State subject.

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: My point of order is on the same article 19.

MR. SPEAKER: Whatever be the fundamental rights, the ordinary machinery for laws and courts is available. We do not determine that here. It is not by shouting at each other that we decide on fundamental rights.

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: Fundamental rights are being abrogated in Bihar....(Interruptions).

MR. SPEAKER: You can have a discussion but not an adjournment motion. Their Assembly is there to decide. I am sorry I cannnot allow it.

We adjourn for lunch to reassemble at 2.30 P.M.

13.20 hrs.

The Lok Sabha adjourned for Lunch till thirty minutes past Fourteen of the Clock

The Lok Sabha re-assembled after Lunch at thirty minutes past Fourteen of the Clock

[MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair].

RE. ADJUORNMENT MOTION—contd

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE: Sir, I rise on a point of order.

भी बटल बिहारी बाजपेसी : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, व्यवस्था का प्रकृत है ।

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: If there are points of order, I will have to hear you.

भी जनेश्वर मिश्र (इलाहाबाद) : पहले सुन ले।

भी मपु लिमये : इतनी जल्दी किताब न खोलिये ।

MR. DEPUTY-SPRAKER: I want to draw the attention of the House to the rules relating to points of order. I will just only read the proviso. The proviso to rule 376(2) reads as follows:

"Provided that the Speaker may permit a member to raise a point of order during the interval between the termination of one item of business and the commencement of another..."

Under this, when Members want to raise points of order, I have got to listen to them. It is not as if you cannot raise a point of order because it does not relate to the business in front of us, and the best way to dispose of this is, when Members have a point of order, to hear it and dispose of rather than get into an altercation which takes more time. I have calculated and found that we are wasting time and are consuming more time in shouts and counter-shouts than if we allow the Chair to listen peacefully and dispose of the points of order. If there are points of order, please mention them, but please be brief. They should be only points of order; nothing else.

SHRI K. NARAYANA RAO (Bobilli): Sir, I want a clarification on your ruling. I want to know whether this point of order should be relatable to the earlier item which was complete or....(Interruptions)

237

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I have read out the rule to you.

SHRI K. NARAYANA RAO; Can it be in a vacuum?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: You are a prominent lawyer. I will read that out again to you: "Provided that the Speaker may permit a Member to raise a point of order during the interval between the termination of one item of business and the commencement of another if it relates to maintenance of order in or arrangement of business before the House". When so many Members get up it definitely relates to the maintenance of order in the House. If I do not listen to them there will be trouble in the House.

भी वधु लिमये उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, समय बचाने के निये

SOME HON, MEMBERS rose.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAEKR: I will hear one by one.

SHRI BHOGENDRA JHA (Jainagar): Will you call my name?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: How can I call you? How do I know who wants to speak. You have to catch my eye.

SHRI BHOGENDRA JHA: I do not want to create trouble.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Now you have not only caught my eye; you have also caught my ears.

बी वयु लिक्बे: उपाध्यक्ष महोदय समय क्याने के लिये ग्राज सबेरे 10 बजे के पहले जो लिखित नोटिस मैंने ग्रापको दिया है वह मैं पढ़ कर सुनाऊंना और उसके बाद दी, तीन बाते संक्षेप में कहूंगा। वह अंग्रेजी में है, इसलियं आपकी सुविधा के लियं पढ़ रहा हं:

"I had informed the Speaker yesterday that I was going to Kurukshetra to make on the spot enquiry into the lathi charge made by the police against the students...

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: Is it in accordance with the rules? You are a very learned Deputy-Speaker. I should like to know from you whether what he says is relevant. He is telling us a story that he was going to Kurukshetra.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: If you allow me to listen to him, within two or three minutes the whole thing will be over.

भी मधु लिमये: मैं महाभारत वाले सजय वा रोल श्रदा कर रहा है।

"The President of the student union Shri Sharma was first rusticated from the university and has now been arrested. I have gathered sufficient information about these matters.

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: Is he an investigating officer? Who has assigned to him that duty?

श्री संधु लिसचे . मैं पालियामेट वा नान-इन्वेस्टीगेटिंग श्रफ़सर ह ।

"It should be remembered that a major part of the finances of the Kurukshethra university like all other universities comes from the University Grants Commission set up under the Central Act and those funds are also part of our budgetary bill."

इसका इस्तेमाल करना पड़ता है।

[श्री मधुलिमये]

239

"When I proceeded to make enquiries into those matters my vehicle was stopped at the Karnal bypass by the so-called youth congress workers who were mainly men and Government servants without uniform. Lathia were rained on the vehicle and the vehicles following in which JP and the Pressmen were travelling. There was a huge deployment of the police at that point but they deliberately kept themselves at a distance from those hoodlums

नहीं तो गलतफहमी में यह मेरे ऊपर इस्सेगे।

The Statesman photographer Raghu Rai sustained injuries This Constitutes blatant interference with my parliamentary duties."

श्रव मैं तीन बाते कहना चाहता हूं।

SHRI K LAKKAPPA. What is a parliamentary privilege? To sabotage the democratic system, is it a lawful duty? Sir, are you allowing all these things to go into the proceedings?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I shall tackle that; leave it to me.

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: Sir, I respectfully submit these things should not go on record.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Going on record is a different thing.

श्री मणु लिमये ं बड़ी मुश्किल से खें थि थी थी की गाडी निकली । मैंने उनसे पूछा कि क्या आपको कुछ लगा, चोट आई सो बह प्रमान्त महामागर की तरह भान्त थे, छनके ऊपर बंसीलाल की इम गुंडागर्दी का कोई असर नहीं था

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I would re-uest Shri Limaye to confine to the

point that he was obstructed in his parliamentary work. Let him not bring in other matters.

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN (Muvattupuzha): Sir, may I humbly ask your one thing? Shri Limaye is certainly within his right to raise a point of order and you have correctly given the ruling that any matter which has a bearing on the order of business in the House is a point of order. It is in that sense that he was allowed to raise a point of order. Now he makes some allegations, correct or wrong, we cannot say.

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I shall settle that

SHRI C M. STEPHEN: point of order is not a point of privilege. If he was interfered with in the discharge of his duties, that may or may not come within the purview of privilege, but that cannot be point of order. Therefore, my submission is that this does not relate to the order of business of the House at all He has a complaint that was interfered with while he was discharging his duty as a Member of Parliament So, he can raise a question of breach of privilege, which is a different matter altogether, but he cannot raise a point of order.

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Has Shri Limaye finished?

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE. I have only begun.

SHRI C M STEPHEN: We cannot tolerate this sort of thing.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: If you allow me to tackle this question, I think the whole thing would be settled in another two or three minutes. But, if you don't, it would be difficult.

THE MINISTER OF WORKS AND HOUSING AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI K. RAGHU RAMAIAH): Sir I want to make one submission.

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE: How can there be a submission on a point of order?

SHRI K. RAGHU RAMAIAH: Sir. I rise on a point of order. You were good enough to say that a point of order at this point of time must relate to the maintenance of order in the House and business of the House. Suppose I get up and make various allegations about what happens outside the House and in that finish my beautiful speech, and other members also do like that, even though no doubt you will kindly give your ruling at the end, where do we stand, so far as the regular business of the House is concerned? week we lost one non-official day. there are points Therefore, if order, certainly we will hear them; but let us all bear in mind that the non-official business has to start in time. We should not consume the time meant for that by raising point of order.

श्री मध् लियवे : जब इस सदन का एक सेवक भापकी मेवा करने के लिए भीर ब ब ब कि साउटले का क्या सद्पयोग ही रहा है या दुरुपयोग हो रहा है इसको देखने के लिए एक महान नेता के माथ जाता है तो उसको रोकने ग्रीर उसकी गाडी के ऊपर लाठियां बरमाने, उसको धमकाने का जो काम होता है तो क्या उसका यह उद्दश्य नहीं था कि में कुक्कीय युनिवसिटी में न पहुंच ? अब मैं वहां पहुंचता हूं तो डी० प्राई०जी० बहां के आते हैं मेरे पास और कहते हैं कि भाष भधु लिसमे है ? में कहता हं हां तो वह कहते हैं कि बड़ी खुशी हुई आपको मिल कर। मेंने फिर वह करनाल का इंसीबेंट उनको वताया कि शुक्रकेस विश्वविद्यालय में जाना चाइता या भीर इस तरह से भाप ने यह व्यदंतकाषी की, प्रोत्साष्ट्रन विया ऐसे समाज- बोही तत्वों को तो जन्हींने बहुत ही सिगनि-फिकेंट प्रश्न मुझं से पूछा :

"But, Mr. Limaye, no car seems to have been damaged."

जैसे कि पूरी कार का इंस्पैक्शन करके आये थे।
सतलब मेरा यह है कि हरियाणा की पुलिस ने
और नरकार ने मेरे अधिकारों का हनन करने
के लिए यह सारी कांस्पिरेसी की थी। उस मे
जय प्रकाण नारायण को भी चोट पहुंचाने की
कोंशिण की गई। मुझे पता नहीं क्या उनका
इरादा था। अगर पहले गाड़ी हमारी नही
रहती तो उनके ऊपर क्या बीतती यह मैं नही
कह सकता हु।

मेरा मुख्य महा यह है कि क्या इस तरह पालिमेंट के मदस्य को परेशान करना और बिना यनिफार्म के भीर सरकारी पुलिसमैन के द्वारा निमिटेड वायो स के ारा धमकाना ठीक था? लिमिटेड वायोलेंम, लिमिड एसकेलेशन से इस सीमा तक जाना, डराना. धमकाना क्या भाप इसको सही समझते है? क्या डर के मारे, लिमये, बागडी, जनेश्वर मिश्र, जे० पी०, भाग जायेगे? क्या हम डरपोक लोग हैं ? इनकी गुंडागर्दी से हम लोग दब जायेंगे ? मेरे बिधकारों का हनन हुन्ना है। भगर भापका रूलिंग यह है कि प्रिवलेज के रूप में भ्राप इसको स्वीकार कर रहे हैं ती ठीक है, घगर दंडवते जी का या दूसरे लोगो का घटल जी का एडजर्नमेट मोशन घाप ले रहे हैं तो वह भी ठीक है। में प्रापकी इस मामले मे गाइडेस चाहता है।

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Now, he has raised this point of order. I am seized of it. Let me tell you what I have in mind,

There are two methods to follow. One is that points of order are raised by Member, the Chair is seized of it and the Chair disposes of them. This is one method. There are

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker]

many Members who have various points of order. I feel, this is a better way of running the House, giving my ear to the Members. hearing point of order and disposing of them. There is another, method also If you want it, you can have that I am in your hands Another method is that a point of order is raised, there is a debate on that point of order and the Chair disposes it of If you want that method, it is up to you to follow that If you follow that method, I will allow everybody to make submissions on the point of order and then dispose of it Then, I will allow other point of order to be raised by the Member, allow a debate on it and dispose it of Like that, it goes on

AN HON MEMBER: You hear us also

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER Very well I have heard the point of order I will hear others on it We will have a debate on it

SOME HON MEMBERS No. no

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER I do it only with the cooperation of the House. You follow whatever method you want to follow As far as I am concerned. I would prefer this method of hearing all those Members want to raise various points of order, write them down, each one of them, and, then, dispose of them. But if the House wants the method of having a debate on it if you want make counter points, you can have it....(Interruptions). There many things which are not provided in the Rules of Procedure That is why you have Rule 389 that anything that is not provided in the Rules will be settled by the Speaker Now, this is not provided in the Rules and that is why I put it to you. I would prefer this method. But if you want the method that a point of order is raised and then there is a debate

well, Mr Shivnath Singh, we can have a debate. . .

SHRI C. M STEPHEN: I am also on a point of order.

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER: If it relates to his point of order, then I shall hear you all. I would everybody Mr. Stephen, I will hear you. Kindly sit down. This is the difficulty in running the House. When the Chair says something, the members talk at the same time and we do not understand each I told Mr Stephen that I would hear him, but he did not hear me. That is the difficulty. Therefore, we have all to raise our voices If you have a point of order, I will have the balance If there are members rising on points of order from this side and from that side then I will call one from here and one from there

Mr Stephen

SHRI C M STEPHEN: I am on a very basic question. The basic question is whether, under the Rules of Procedure or under. Parliamentary practice, ancient or modern, old or dynamic, this practice of raising issues under the guise of point of order is permissible. I have only to read the authority for your consideration. This is from the book by Kaul and Shakdher:

"Any member can and should invite the Speaker's immediate tention to any instance of what he considers a breach of order or a transgression of any law of House, written or unwritten, which the Chair has failed to perceive, and he may also seek the guidance and assistance of the Chair in res pect of any obscurities in procedure. A point of order should, therefore, relate to the interpretation or enforcement of the rules of procedure and conduct of business in the House or conventions of such articles of the Constitution as regulate the business of the House and must raise a question which is within the cognizance of the Speaker. The test whether a point raised is a point of order or not is not whether the Chair can give any relief but whether it involves such interpretation or enforcement of the rules, etc., and whether it raises a point which the Speaker alone can decide."

"The point of order, when raised, has the effect of suspending the proceedings before the House. It can be raised only in relation to the business before the House at the moment: the term 'business before the House' means business included in the List of Business for the day. But a point of order cannot be raised in respect of an item of business after that item has been disposed of..."

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Read that again.

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN: ".. But a point of order cannot be raised in respect of an item of business after that item has been disposed of. However, the Speaker may permit it to be raised during the interval between the termination of one item of business and the commencement of another if it relates to maintenance of order in. or arrangement of business before, the House. Thus, a point of order may be raised during this interval if it relates to the enforcement of Rules in regard to the suspension of a member."

The point that I am raising is this. Now there is a list of business before us. A part of the list of business has been disposed of. We are now to go to the next item in the list of business. We have not passed on to any item of business. No business is now before the House. My submission, therefore, is that it cannot relate to a business before the House. It does not relate to the interpretation of the rules because no rule was applied here. It does not apply to the Constitution

because no Article of the Constitution is applied here. Nothing of this thing has happened. And the rule says that the list of business must be proceeded to whether anything has happened which relates to the list of business before the House or the order of business before the House. I am not referring to what Mr. Madhu Limave has said. But when the House has not gone to any business and when the House is attempting to go on with the business in accordance with the list of business under the Rules, my humble submission is that it is peremptory that we stick to the list of business unless the rule of interruption of business comes is to play which is warranted only in one or two cases. namely, the privilege question. Otherwise, this thing has to be proceeded to.

It is within the right of the members of this House to demand that we proceed to the list of business before the House. And what is now raised does not relate to any of these matters. My humble submission is that this is a misuse of the provision of the Rules and the misuse will not be permitted It is a blatant violation of the rules of procedure. A discussion is being permitted on umpteen subjects without the permission of the Speaker. That is what is taking place. We do not know what is coming on.

A discussion can be raised only under Rule 193. If it is a debate, it can take place only on a motion. A point of order can relate to only a matter which has been spelt out. It is a settled provision. My humble submission is: kindly do not permit the Rules of Procedure to be violated completely. Kindly bear in mind that every minute that is given to sort of exercise means trampling on the rights of the Members of the House and trampling on the rights of the nation as a whole which wants their busi ness to be transacted in this House. We are here for that purpose, not for the purpose of carrying on this futile exercise of going on spelling out umpteen sorts of complaints under the

[Shri C. M. Stephen]

garb of points of order. This is not a point of order. This procedure is not permissible under the Rules. I want a ruling on that. They can come under Rule 377.

श्वी भोगन सा उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मने कार्य-स्थान प्रस्ताव को सुधना दी थी. . .

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER. Only on a point of order, not on the adjournment motion.

श्री शिवनाथ सिंह (शुभुन्) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, पहले भ्राप श्री मधु लिमये के पायट पर सदस्यों को सुन ले भीर उस के बाद दूसरे पायं हम को ले।

श्री भोगेन शा: मैं यह व्यवस्था का सवाल उठा रहा हूं कि मुझे भीर कई हजार लोगों को, जो ट्रेन पर चल रहे थे, से शन पर भर कर "लोकनायक जिन्दाबाद", "जयप्रकाश नारायण जिन्दाबाद".

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER Is there a point of order?

श्री भोनेन्द्र झा : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, पहले मून लीजिये । (व्यवसान)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: You want me to allow him I allowed Shri Madhu Limaye because he said that his right as a Member in the discharge of his duties has been obstructed But if you mention about a train and everything, that is not a point of order.

15.00 hrs.

श्री भोनेग्ड सा . उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, श्राव मुझे मुल सीजिये । मैं इस सदन का या श्रापका समय बर्बाद करने का श्रादी नहीं हूं । लेकिन मैं यह भी कह दू कि भूकि बाप ने मुझे बोलने की इजाजत दी हैं भीर मैं बोल रहा हूं इस लिए श्राप करके श्राप भी उससे दक्ज न दें, यह मेरा जात्रहर्तं । पांच बार मेरे कम्पार्टमेंट में वे धार्य बीर्जते हुए—लीकनायक खिन्दाबाद, जयप्रकाश नारायण बिन्दाबाद, खुरा, काठी, भाला भीर गंडासा लिये ए. . (अवकान) .

तो मह नारा देकर और एक-एक की पकड़ कर मारना, घड़ी छीनना. . . .

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER, What is the point of order?

भी भोगम हा : माजादी के बाद जो यह एक घटना घटी वह हमने कभी सोची भी नहीं थी। दो भी से ज्यादा महिलाको का कपडा छीना गया, साडिया छीनी वर्ड . . (व्यववान) . . . और इनकी भार०पी०एफ० देखनी रही। एक भौरत की गोद से बच्चा छीन लिया गया . (व्यवधान) . 1940 मे 1942 में जो हरिजन लगातार जेल जाते रहे है, जो बिहार के एम । एल । ए० है उनको चसीट कर छुरे से घायल कर के और चड़ी छीन कर से गयं . . . (व्यवचान) . . एक हजार से ज्यादा मेरे क्षेत्र के लाग है जो बायल किये गये जयप्रकाश जिल्दाबाद और लीव नायक जिल्हाबाट का नारा लगा कर झीर उन को कहा कि पटना नहीं जाने देंगे। (व्यवचान)...... एक हजार से ज्यादा लोग बाबल किए गए (व्यवचाम)

श्री क्यामनंदन निष्म (देगुसराय): श्रीर समृषे बिहार को जो कैद कर रखा है... (ब्यक्षान).... सारे बिहार की 6 करोड जनता को जो कैद कर रखा है उस के बारे में क्यो नहीं कहते हैं जो बेरिणेडस के धन्दर रखे गए हैं... (ब्यक्षान).....

भी जीवेज का: यह अमेरिकी वलालों का देश नहीं ... (व्यवसान).... खबरदार इसे अमेरिकी दलालों का देश नहीं बनने दिया जानगा। इसे | चिली नहीं बनने देगें.. (व्यवसान). सनेरिकी पैसा ले कर.... (व्यवसान) सी भाइ ए का पैसा ले कर... (व्यवधान).. यह नहीं चलेगा।.. करोड़पतियों का पैसा ये पाते हैं....

श्री स्थामनंदन निश्च यह मारी दुनिया जानती है कि कौन किसक पसे में चलता है...

भी भोगव मा उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, आजादी के बाद ऐसी घटना नही हुई थी। मेरे पास भी एक ही गर्दन है और बावू स्थामनदन मिश्र के पास भी एक ही गर्दन है। यह गुडा-गर्दो नलगी तो न कोई सदन के मेम्बर सुरिक्षत रहेगे भीर नदेश सुरिक्षत रहेगा। मैं नही चाहता कि ऐसी घटनाए हो। सगर मध जी के ऊपर किसी ने रोडा फेंका तो उस की निन्दा की जानी चाहिए। लेकिन जिन लोगों ने पटना में गुडा-गर्दी की . (अवस्थान) जो दिहार में हुमा वह विहार के इतिहाम में साज नक कभी नही हुमा था.

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER You have made your point

भी भोगन्न झा: उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, ग्राप जरा मुन लीजिए। उन हरिजन लोगों का नाम भागा है जिन को करौटा स्टेशन पर ट्रेन में खीचकर भीर घमीटकर ले जाया गया, इस के बाद उन्हें ऐक गाव में गुलाम बना कर रखा गया(अधववान)....

म बाहता हू कि मेरे कार्य स्वगन प्रस्ताव को मनूर की जिसे भीर हम को बोलने का मौका दी जिसे । हमारे लिसे बूमना, अपने क्षेत्र में आना जाना यह बहुन ही खतरन क बनना जा रहा है। बाज अपने क्षेत्र में जाना, दिल्ली आना या यहा से बाएस जाना यह सब काम बतरे में पढ़ सबा है। इस बिए खतरे में पढ़ नया है कि हम करोड प्रतियों के हाथ और चोर व्यापारियों के हाथ विकने को तैयार नहीं है क्योंकि हम ने बी-होडिंग कैम्पेन चलाया है, इस लिए उनकी तरफ से यह सब किया जा रहा है। इसलिए में सदन से, आप से और जिनके पास थोडा सा थीं ईमान बाकी है वह घर के लोग हों या उघर के हों, उनसे प्रपील करना चाहता हूं कि ये जो गुडागर्दी की बाने हो रही है ये मामूली बाते नहीं हैं। (व्यवचान) प्राप मेरे एडजर्नमेट के मोशन को मजूर की जिये और उस पर बहस करने की इजाजन ीजिये ताकि देश में जनतन्न वचे और जो समझने है स्याम बाबू कि यह चिली बन जायेगा हमारा देश ता वह चिल्ली हम नहीं बनने ग। (व्यवचान)

भी घटल बिहारी वाजपेमी (ग्वालियर) न हिन्दुस्नान चिल्ली बनेगा न सावियत स्स बनेगा, यह हिन्दुस्नान रहेगा।

श्री भोगन्द्र क्षा सी की रक्षा हम करना चाहने है।

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mr Jha, you have made your point. Kindly cooperate. Please conclude now. Mr. Bhagat.

SHRI H. K. L. BHAGAT (East Delhi). Mr. Deputy-Speaker Sir, as a Member of this House, I have right to say that the House proceeds with its business according to the Rules of the House and, where the time of this House is consumed against the rules of the House, in a way, it is an infringement of my right as a Member of this House.

Sir, it is now very interesting for us to note what Shri Limaye said. I

thought that he was sometimes fantastic (Interruptions) I could not think that he could be that fantastic he is terribly fantastics-I agree with this It is very interesting when he said that as a Member of this House his right was impinged upon and because of that, the order of the House is being affected It was for us to hear from him that lathis were rained on the car But, there was not a scratch on the car-it was managed but the lathis were ramed upon it This is the logic of Mr I maye The lathis were made of rubber I am saving that he is trying to infringe the rights of the other people Now you are threatening to gherao the entire Parliament. I say that Mr I image being a party to this decision to gherao the House is gulty of cicating disorder in this House and is guilty of breach of privilege of the House The MLAs of Bihar have ilso a right to move on the roads

Your people are using violence and using bombs and throwing stones and if your car is surrounded by a few people and few black flags are shown, don't become jittery

My friend I tell you that you are gring to reap the harvest of what you are sowing in Bihar and in this country. You are infringing my right

श्री सदल बिहारी वाजपेयी उपाध्यक्ष महादय प्रश्न-काल की समाध्यि के बाद, जिसे जीरो-प्रावर कहत है उसमें सम्बर्ग करु सामले उठात है। वह विसी नियम के अनुसार है। अब हमारे बाबेम के मिल इस पर प्रापत्ति कर रहे हैं। उपाध्यक्ष महोदय मेरा निवेदन यह हैं कि उस समय जो मामले उठे ये वे मामले अभी चल रहे हैं, बीच में सब स्रा जाने से मामला खत्म नहीं हुआ। हम इसम कोई नई बीज नहीं उठा रहे हैं। मुझे पता नहीं—साप उस समय सदत में थे या नहीं में यदि में तो मैं भ्रापको स्मरण दिला वू.

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER. Make your point of order Do not remind me of anything

भी सटल बिहारी बाजपेबी हम न एक नाम रोको प्रस्ताव की मूचना दी थी जिममें हम ने स्पष्ट लिखा था कि देश में लोकतव खतरे में है और इसके मैंने दो मुबून भी दिये थे थी जय प्रनाशनारायण के उपर कारि-लाना हमला करने की कोशिश और दूसरे नरोरा में जो सत्ताक दन की बैठक हुई उसमें मुख्य मही द्वारा यह बहना कि आल इण्डिया रेडियो दारा विरोधी दल वालों को बहुन ज्यादा ममय दिया जा रहा है आल इण्डिया रेडियो उस तरह म चलाइये जैंस भास्को रेडियो चलता है या पाकिस्तान का रेडियो चलता है। टाहिस्स महादय इस देश में मिविधान चनगा या नहीं? मूनभून ग्राधनारा की रक्षा हागी या नहीं?

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER Tell me, is this a point of order?

श्री सटल बिहारी वाजपेशी यह त्वाइल आफ आंडर इस लिय है कि सभी पुराना मामला खत्म नहीं हुआ। हमारे कार्सेस के सेक्बर कह रहे हैं कि यह बीच में कहा म सा गया? बीच मैं इस नियं भा गया कि यह मामला पहले स चल रहा था। बीच में लव सा गया यह मामला बीच में नहीं आया भीर लच के बाद इस पर आपका हमें सभी मुनना है।

में एक जानकारी दे दू-श्री जयप्रकाण नारायण जब हरियाणा जा रहे थे उन स्थय हमे यह प्राप्तका थी कि उनके उपर हमना होचा। इस लिये श्री पीलू मोदी ने प्रधान मती जी को एक पक्ष लिखा कि जयप्रकाश नारायण जी की रक्षा का इन्तेजाम किया जाना चाहिए। प्रधान मनी जी ने उसका जवाब की दिया कि पूरा इन्तेजाम किया जायेगा। लेकिन कैसा इन्तेजाम किया गया, यह हम ने कुदक्षेत्र के रास्ते में देखा। उन पर हमला किया गया भीर हमला करने वाले लोग पुलिस के थे मुप्ती कपड़े में थं।

मेरा निवेदन है— अगर भागेन्द्र झा जी कोई एडजान मेन्ट मोशन लाना चाहते हैं तो उन्हें जरूर इजाजत होनी चाहिए लेकिन हम खुद एक दूसरे को बाटे—यह ठीक नही है । ये कहते हैं कि पालियामेट का घेराव करन का पैसला किया गया है हम लोग हिसा भट्टका रहे हैं—हम ने अभी इन्दिरा त्रिगेड का गटन नहीं किया है . (ध्यवधान) उपाध्यक्ष जी हिन्दुरतान की जनता को अधिकार है कि पालियामेट के सामन आये और निष्पक्ष चुनाव के लिये भ्रष्टाचार को

SHRI K LAKKAPPA Will you allow this Parliament to be gheraoed? (Interruptions)

शोकने के लियं और मन्यां वो वस करने के

लिये इम पालियामेट को दरवाजा खटखटाय-

यह वैधानिक काम होगा और यह हम उरेगे।

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE I defend that view

ं भी शियनाथ सिंह (अझुन) उपाध्यक्ष महोदय व्याद्वन्ट प्राफ धार्डर के नाम से जो की अभी मधु लिमय ने रखी हैं वह त्याद्वन्ट प्राफ धार्डर नहीं है। इस प्रवार के व्याद्वन्ट प्राफ धार्डर से मदन की कार्यवाही के वाधा पहुच रही है। धाप ने जयप्रकाश जी का नाम लिया—मै धाप से निवंदन बरना चाहता है—जयप्रकाश जी की उच्च का लिहाज रखते हुए देश ने बहुत सयम रखा है, लेकिन सयम रखने की भी हद होती है, (अध्वयान). वे राजस्थान गये प्रवार गये दिल्ली धाये—

लोगों ने प्रपनी भावना को दबा कर रखा ग्रौण जो बर्ताव उनके साथ होना चाहिए था वह नहीं किया। लेकिन कल जब वे हरियाणा गये—हरियाणा के लोगों की भावनाग्रों को जब ठंस पहंची ग्रौर उन्होंने काले झण्ड से प्रदर्णन किया—एक तरफ ग्राप उनको बदनाम करते हैं, कहते हैं काले झण्डे निकालते हैं—जब वहा के लोगों को महन नहीं हो मका ग्रौर उन्होंने ग्रपनी भावनाग्रों का प्रदर्णन करने के लिए वाले झण्डे निकाल—तो ग्राप उसको महन नहीं कर मके—यह क्या बात है।

मै भ्राप में निवेदन करना चाहता हू— जयप्रकाण जी के लिये पूरा सम्मान दिखाया गया—लंकिन भ्राज जिम रास्ते पर वे चल रहे हैं इमोकेमी को खत्म करने के लिये इस लिय जरूरी है कि उनका जवाब उन्हीं की टस्ज में दिया जाना चाहिए । वहां की मरकार ने अपनी जनता के लिये जो काम किया है उनके उत्थान के लिये जो काम किया है—उमका दिट में रख कर ही उन्होंने भ्रापनी भावना का प्रदर्शन किया है।

इस लिये मेरा निवेदन है कि मधु लिसये जी के किसी प्वाइन्ट आफ आई र धौर प्रिवेन ज मोशन की इज्ञाजन न बीजिये क्योंकि वहा की जनना ने अपनी भावना का प्रदर्शन किया है जयप्रकाश जी को उन्हीं का नक्शा दिखाया है।

भी जनेदवर निश्व उपाध्यक्ष महोदय प्रापको याद होगा भौर इस सदन को भी याद होगा—जब इस सदन का पिछला स्गन चल रहा था मैंने एक चिटठी का हवाजा दिया था। कल मैं वही देखने के लिय गया था। जब मैंने यहा उस चिटठी का हवाला दिया था तो उस समय सेलारूढ़ दल ने बहुत हल्ला सचाया था। उससे लिखा हुआ था कि हरियाणा से मुख्य मत्री ने जयप्रकाश जी की हत्या का पूरा-पूरा इन्तेजास कर लिया है।

[थी जनेश्वर मिश्र]

इस पर प्राप लोगों ने बहुत हल्ला मचाया था भौर कहा था कि इस चिटठी को सदन की कार्यवाही में से निकाल दिया जाय लेकिन वह नहीं निकाली गई। जयप्रकाश जी पहली बार हरियाणा जा रहे थे भीर चूकि मैंने उस चिटठी को यहा रखा था इसलिये मैं देखने के लिये गया कि बसीलाल की नीयत क्या है . . .

भी शिवनाथ सिंह: उन्होंने खुला निमतण दिया था श्रीर कहा था कि शाइये, हम श्रापका स्वागत करेगे।

श्री जनेदबर मिश्रः जब मै वहा पहुचा तो मैंने रास्ते में हर जगह देखा--- जैसा माननीय मधु लिमये जी ने बताया-पुलिस के सिपाही सादी वर्दी में काले अण्डे लेकर, जिसमें मोटी मोटी लाठिया थी वे जयप्रकाश जी श्रीर जितनी श्रन्य गाडिया उनके साथ जा रही थी सब पर हमला कर रहे थे। हम लोगों की गाडियो पर भी डडा चलाया गया।

उपाध्यक्ष भी, काले अण्ड दिखाने का मै बरा नहीं मानता। लेकिन हम विरोधी पार्टी के लोग जब ग्रापके खिलाफ मीर प्रधान मती के खिनाफ काले झण्डं दिखायेग नो वह हमारा विरोध प्रदर्शन होगा। नेकिन ग्राप सरकार चलाते हुए, बाले झण्डे या इन्तजाम वरेग--ता वह किम लिय ? यही तानाशाही कहलाती है। जो मरकार चलाना है, जब बह काले झण्डे केरर चलता है. तो वह तान (शाह है। आप हम को दानाशाह कहते हैं---जो सभा का इन्तजाम करते है, शान्तिपूर्ण हम स विरोध प्रदर्शन करते हैं-या वे लाम माह है जो लोगों को सभा में जाने से रोकते हैं. लोगों को में जाने बामी बमों के परमिट कैन्सिल कराते है. मधा के आयोजकों को बिरपतार करते हैं-आप बतलाइये-कीन तानाशाह है ? उपाध्यक्ष महोत्रय, यह बड़ा गम्भीर सदाल है-

बार-बार काग्रेस पार्टी के लोग जिनके हाथ में ताकत है, वे कहा करते हैं कि इस सदन की मर्यादा होनी चाहिए, यहां शान्ति होनी चाहिए, यहा रूल्ज का आब्जवेंशन होना चाहिए। लेकिन इस सदन के बाहर केवल इस सदन के सदस्य ही नहीं, बल्कि हिन्दस्तान की ग्राजादी क लड़ाई लड़ने व.ले जितने लोग बैठे है. जयत्रकाश जी ने किसी से कम तकलीफे नहीं जठाई है, किसी से कम गिरफ्तार नहीं हए-उनके ऊरर लाठी बलेगी, तो क्या यहा रूत्ज चनेगे, इस सदन के रूत्ज को लिकर हम च, गे-क्या ? हम मतारूढ़ दल को चेतावनी देना चाहते है-यदि जयप्रकाश जी को काले झण्ड दिखाना चाहोगे तो प्रधान मती हिन्द्रस्तान में कही भी जायेगी, हर जगह काले झण्डे से उनका स्वागन किया जाये गा-यह देश की जनत काफैसला है।

SHRI K. RAGHU RAMAIAH: Let us come to the business of the House Otherwise, this debate will go on the whole day. May I humbly request you to come to the business of the House? Where are we going? (Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Order, please. Just a minute. I think this is a very appropriate question put by the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs. (Interruptions)

श्री अने स्वर मिश्र वाहर लाठी मारेंगे ग्रोप यहा विजनेस चलायेंगे--दोनों नहीं चलेंगे।

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Order please. The point is this. He has put the question: Where are we going? I can say this: I will go wherever the Members want to go.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE:
Just now we are in hell!

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: If you think we have had enough of this, then let me dispose of the point of order. I say I fully agree with what Prof. Mukherjee has said. He has quoted so many times during the last few days that the Speaker has no eyes; he has no mouth; he has no ears, except what is given to him by the House.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: He has a soul.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I have never realised the truth of this better than today. Whatever I do I will do only with the consent of all of you. If Mr. Raghu Ramaiah asks me this question: Where are we going, I will tell you: I will go wherever you go, wherever the majority go.

SHRI K. RAGHU RAMAIAH: We want to go to the business.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: If you want that we should do some business I would request you all: Let as stop here. Let me dispose of whatever questions have been raised. Then we shall proceed to the business if you all agree. ... (Interruptions). Order, order. This is the consensus you have all agreed. Kindly give me only three or four minutes to dispose of the points that have been raised. I shall first deal with what Mr. Stephen has said...

(An Hon, Member: Bogus member). Every Member is a genuine member and is an hon. Member; kindly do not use these expressions... (Interruptions.) has He read elaborately from our book, practice and procedure. I say I do not dispute all that. He has also read in the long quotation that he referred to that in between two items points of order might be raised relating to the maintenance of order in the House. May I point but to him another rule. the last rule in our rule book which says that anything that is not speci-2712 LS-I1.

fically provided for by these rules, or the detailed working of these rules must be regulated by the speaker. I had also gone on record many times in the past that those rules have become very inadequate. I had also said that we had a democracy that was an elitist democracy. I had also said that now we have a mass democracy and whatever happens finds a reflection here. I had also said that the Chair can run the House only with the consent of the Members. When a sizeable section of the House is very agitated about something, you have to take note of it. Otherwise it is not possible to run the House. That is where the feelings of the Members have relevance to the maintenance of order. That 18 why I take note of these things.

point of order I think the only raised is by Shri Madhu Limaye. In a different may Mr. Jha also raised the same point of order ... (Interrup-The fundamental question is tions.) this: Whether a Member of Parliament is obstructed in any way, any-A Member of Parliament where does not cease to be a Member of Parliament when he goes out from this House. That is why he raised this question, whether when he goes out he can be obstructed anywhere in any form Mr. Limaye has said that he was obstructed when he went to Haryana to investigate about the affairs of the Kurukshetra University. He has also said that because this House gives a lot of money to every University, we have voted some money to the Kurukshetra University and, therefore, we have a resonsibility. He had gone there as a Member of Parliament to enquire into these things and he was obstructed by the police. That was his point.

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: The only lapse on his part was that he did not inform the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs; otherwise, he informed the Chair already. SHRI S. M. BANERJEE (Kanpur): We have to fight a Kurukshetra war.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: May be, we are going to fight another Kurukshetra war very soon; I do not know. But who will be the Pandavas and who will be the Kauravas, we do not know.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: What will be your Karna?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I can visualise the role for myself, because I come from that particular community. I can visualize only the role of Karna.

Shri Bhogendra Jha also, in a different way, said that he was obstructed. He was coming in a train, he was obstructed by some people raising lathis. It comes to the same question.

I think we have certain precedents on this question of privilege. Unless this House decides otherwise later on, I cannot rule that out, we have to go by certain decisions which have been accepted by this House. It has been a well-laid convention that if a Member proceeds to attend Parliament as a Member of Parliament and if he is obstructed in that process, then it is a privilege.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: In other words, the journey from Kurukshetra to Delhi will attract privilege.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Now we have to remember this that Shri Madhu Limaye is a much bigger man than a Member of Parliament.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: Why?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: As a Member of Parliament we have certain functions in this House. But. as a leader of standing, he has other responsibilities.

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: A leader without any following.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: What I am saying about Shri Madhu Limaye, I say about you also.

SHRI S. A. SHAMIM (Srinagar): What about me?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: About you also. I think it was you who brought Haji Mastan to the limelight. And you did that in your capacity, not as Member of Parliament, but in some other capacity. Therefore, you are more than a Member of Parliament. I concede the same thing about Shri Lakkappa.

SHRI S. A. SHAMIM: Then I withdraw my statement. I am no more a leader. I stand on an ordinary pedestal.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Members of Parliament, as leaders, we have many other duties that call us everywhere, and the bigger the person the more the duties. When we go out of this House and perform our duties as political leaders, we encounter difficulties. Whether that comes within the purview of obstructing a member from doing his duty is a new question which I think the House must go into very carefully. There is a Rules Committee for that. So, I repeat, that question is open and it cannot be a question of privilege at this stage.

Now, if you agree with me, we will hurriedly proceed with the formal business.

श्री ग्रटल बिहारी वाजपेयी: उपाध्यक्ष जी, जयप्रकाण जी पर हमला किया गया उसका क्या हवा ?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I will deal with that too because that point was also raised. I am seized of it. But I thought that this matter was disposed of in the morning. I saw the proceedings just before coming here. An Officer of the Table gave

me just one minute before coming here. This is about the question of alleged assault on Shri Jayaprakash Narayan...

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE: It is a fact.

उनकः गार्डः पर हमला होते हुए मैंने इपने अस्त्रों से देवा है

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Let me change that word, instead of "alleged", "reported".

Now, this was raised in the morning and the Speaker said, "You can have a discussion but not an adjournment motion".

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE: Why not?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I do not know. I am just reading it out.

This point was raised by Mr. Shyamnandan Mishra. I would read out from the proceedings:

"Now what happened yesterday was that some honourable Members of Parliament and Shri Jayaprakash Narayan were prevented from moving by the rowdies under the protection of the Harvana police. Therefore, this is a matter involving the fundamental right of the people of India and the Haryana Government making nonsense of civil liberties. That being the situation, whether this House can contemplate it with equanimity and whether we should not take up this matter, it is for you to consider. It is not a State subject.

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: My point of order is on the same article 19.

MR SPEAKER: Whatever be the fundamental rights, the ordinary machinery for laws and courts is

available. We do not determine that here. It is not by shouting at each other that we decide on fundamental rights.

"SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: Fundamental rights are being abrogated in Bihar...(Interruptions).

MR. SPEAKER: You can have a discussion but not an adjournment motion. Their Assembly is there to decide. I am sorry I cannot allow it.

We adjourn for lunch to reassemble at 2.30 P.M."

So, I cannot reopen this. Let us go on with the business....(Interruptions)

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: The Parliament has no reality behind it if fundamental rights are denied...(Interruptions).

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE: We stage a walk-out.

श्री स्रटल बिहारी वाजपेयो: हरि णा में जो कुछ हुआ है मीर जी क्लिंग दि गया है उसके प्रति विरोध तथा स्रसन्तीय प्रकट करन के लिए इस बाहर जा रहे ह

[Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee and some other hon. Members then left the House.]

SHRI SAMAR GUHA (Contai): Sir, before I withdraw, I want to draw your attention... (Interruptions).

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR (Ahmedabad): I have got these photographs on attack on J. P. and his motorcade. If you permit me, I would lay them on the Table of the House.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Give them to me.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA: Just now. you read out that the Speaker had expressed his willingness to have a discussion on that. I have given a motion in the morning according to Rule 388, suspending the business of the House and using the residuary powers of the Speaker under Rule 389. A motion was given that this House condemns the attack on J P. and urges upon the Government to take all measures for protecting his life. I want to know from you whether, according to the direction of the Speaker or according to what he has said in the House that a discussion may be permitted, you are going to permit a discussion on the motion I have given notice of tions).

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER. Let me first deal with his point of order. I will read out the relevant rule because he wants the rules to be suspended. The rule says:

"Any member may, with the consent of the Speaker, move that any rule may be suspended "

It can be done only with the consent of the Speaker. In view of the fact that the Speaker has agreed to have a discussion, I do not see that this motion...

SHRI SAMAR GUHA: Sir, I had given this motion in the morning. The Speaker has indicated his willingness; he is prepared to have a discussion. And the motion is already there. If it is not being taken up now, at least we should know when this motion will be taken up. I am within my rights to know from you. I have given notice.

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The business of the House cannot be arranged ad hoc off the cuff, by the Chair. The rules say that the Secretary will arrange the business from day to day under the direction of the Speaker who does it in consul-

tation with the Leader of the House. What you have said is there on record. He has agreed to a discussion. But we cannot run it in an ad hoc way that we must have a discussion tomorrow or the day after. There is a certain procedure for that.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA: I shall tell you the reason why I requested the Speaker to suspend the rules. Yesterday this inc**id**ent happened in Haryana. Today the same thing may happen in Delhi because Mr. Jayaprakash Narayan is in Delhi today. Tomorrow he will be leaving for Patna. The matter is so urgent, Sir. We are taking things very lightly. The direction in which things are moving, I think, Government should take a note of it I think, we should also take a note of it. It is our duty to draw the attention of the Speaker and through the Speaker, of the House that the way things are movang, it will lead to a situation of civil war in the country, and no life, however protected it may be by police or military, will be safe in future: if things go on in this way and if Shri Javaprakash Narayan's life is endangered, I warn the Government, that we are heading towards a civil war and no life will be safe in this coun-As a protest, because you are not allowing this now, I stage a walk-out.

Shri Samar Guha then left the House.

सी एन० एम० बनर्जी: जी कुछ हरि । जा में हुआ है उसकी हम सीर्ट नहीं करते हैं। लेकिन वहा हाइडन वर्कड, टीचर्ड, लेडें। टीचर्ड के साब जो व्यवहार किया गया है वह जिल्कुल नाजायब है, गलत है।

भी रामाचतार शास्त्री (पटना) : जिन्दम्मान में सा वरावर हैं।

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR: The adjournment motion has been disal-

lowed by the Speaker but he has said that there will be a discussion on this important issue. My only point is that that discussion must take place at an early date because many important and subtle issues involving democracy are involved Therefore, we should have the discussion as early as possi-

15.40 hrs.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

MERCHANT SHIPPING (WRECKS AND SALVAGE' RULFS, 1974

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF SHIPPING AND TRA-NSPORT (SHRI H M TRIVEDI) I heg to lay of the Table a copy of the Merchant Shipping (Wreck, and Salvage) Rules, 1974 (Hindi and English versions) published in Notification No GSR 1218 in Gazette of Pidia dated the 16th November, 1974, under subsection (3) of section 458 of the Merchant Shipping Act 1958 [Placed 19 Library See No LT-859 741

ANNUAL REPORT OF FOST-GRADUATE INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL FOLCATION AND RESEARCH CHANDIGARM FOR 1972-73

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FA-MILY PLANNING (SHRI A K M ISHAQUE) I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Annual Report (Hr.di and English versions) of the Post-Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research Chandigarh, for the venr 1972-73, under section 19 of the Post-Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, Act, 1986 | Placed in Library. See No. LT-8595/741

NOTIFICATION UNDER IRON ORL MINES LABOUR WELFARE CESS (AMENDMENT) ACT, 1970 IRON ORE MINES, LABOUR WELFARE CESS (AMENDMENT) RULE, 1974. EMPLOYLES' STATE INSURANCE (CLNTRAL) 2ND AMENDMENT RULES. 1971 AND APPRENTICISHII (AMEND-MENT) HULES, 1974

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR (SHRI BAL-GOVIND VERMA) I beg to lay on the Table-

- (1) A copy of the Notification No GSR 1006 (Hindi and English versions) published in Gazette of India dated the 14th September, 1974, issued under section I of the Iron Ore Mines Labour Welfare Cess (Amendment) Act 1970 [Placed in Library Sec No LT-8596 74]
 - (2) A copy of the Iron Ore Mines Labour Welfare Cess (Amendment) Rules, 1974 (Hindi and English versions) published in Notification No GSR No 1007 in Gazette of India dated the 23rd September, 1974 under sub-section (4) of section 8 of the Iron Ore Mines Labour Welfare Cess Act, 1961 [Placed in Library See No LT-8597 74]
 - (3) A copy of the Employees' State Insurance (Central) Amendment Rules, 1974 (Hindi and Frighsh versions) published in Notificat in No GSR 1122 in Gazette of India dated the 12th October, 1974, under sub-section (4) of section 95 of the Employees' State In-Placed in surance Act 1948 Labouru. Sec No LT-8598 74]
 - (4) A copy of the Apprenticeship (Amendment) Rules 1974 (Hindi and English versions) published in Notification No. CSR Gazette of India dated the 16th November 1974 under sub-section (3) of section 37 of the Apprentices Act, 1961 [Pleced in Library See No. LT-8599 747