plete cooperation with the Minister for the opposition and with the Members. We must do that. Otherwise, we cannot get on here. But here I have said just now certain very fundamental constitutional questions have been raised. I must be satisfied that no grave constitutional improprieties have been committed which have gone on into the Supplementary Demands; that is the main point. If certain grave irregularities have gone into it on which the Minister has not been able to satisfy me and the House, then how can we take it up at all? That is the point. The whole thing has to be recast. And if there is a question of time we should have thought about it. If there is the question of time, if the Executive wants us that we should be prepared. then the Executive should be more expenditious to answer the points which Mr. Sezhiyan has asked through the Speaker on the 14th Today it is 20th and six days have passed. Why did they not do that ? Why only this Parliament be rushed through and the Executive goes on in its own snail speed or in its own horse carriage speed? That is the main difficulty.

14.25 hrs.

PONDICHERRY BUDGET. **DEMANDS\*** GENERAL DISCUSSION. FOR GRANTS ON ACCOUNT (PON-DICHERRY), 1975-76 AND SUPPLE-MENTARY DEMANDS\* FOR GRANTS (PONDICHERRY), 1974-75

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Now go on to the next item-Pondicherry. In this also the same difficulty.

SEZHIYAN (Kumbakonam): Mr. Deputy Speaker. Sir, the items Nos: same basis on which I contested the 17, 18 and 19 . . .

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: There are Parliamentary Affairs, with the leaders of two Demands one is on vote on Account. Which Demand you are referring to?

> SHRI SEZHIYAN: I will make my submission on the Supplementary Demands for 1974-75 on Pondicherry. want to make one submission. Whenever I want to make a point, it is not with a view to stall the business of this House; it is for the general benefit of the entire House. As a Member of this House, I want to see that the Constitution and the parliamentary procedures are correctly tollowed.

> MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: You must realise my difficulty. I come to this Chair without prior reading of all these papers. I have never seen the papers before I come here. If I have some background reading. I will be able to follow it. Therefore. I have to listen to you very carefully. This is my misfortune.

SHR! SEZHIYAN: I prepared this one because I thought that it was my painful duty that I should take up this matter not with a view to stall the business of this House, but probably to he'p the House; in that spirit, I am making this point. Now, I am taking up the Supplementary Demands for Grants of Pondicherry. Let us take Demand No. 6 on pages 4 and 5. Here, I am particularly interested in item No. (b) on page 5. It 1975-76 reads as follows:

> "(b) Consequent on the failure of monsoon in 1974, several drought relief measures have had to be taken in the Pondicherry and Karaikal regions of the Union Territory. These measures are estimated to involve an additional expenditure of Rs. 23.55 lakhs in 1974-75 for which this Supplementary Grant has heen sought."

Again, Sir, we are going back to the Guiarat Demands earlier. This had been due to monagon in 1974.

These amounts had not been given in ... the Budget 1974-75.

<sup>\*</sup>Moved with the recommendation the President.

page 5, you will find that this amount of the specific sanction of Parliament. The Rs 23 55 lakhs for which the new Supple- amount has been drawn from the Conmentary Demands asked for comprises of solidated Fund, which goes against the five stems, that is -

- (1) Public Health, Water Supply and Sanitation (Plan) Rs. 3 55 Lakhs
- (2) Agricultural Facilities Rs 3 50 Lakhs
- Rs. 13 50 Lakhs (3) Minor Irrigation
- (4) Relief Works and )
- (5) Other Works Rs 3 00 Lakhs

Sir, if you see that asterisk put for all these four items, and if you read the new sub-heads, the Government themselves concede that these are the new subheads That means they are not being taken care or provided for in the Budget of 1974 75 Therefore, these are all new schemes new services for which-if we see (b) it the bottom which reads as follows: "Consequent on the failure of monsoon in 1974, several drought relief measures have had to be taken in the Pondicherry and Karaikal regions of the Union territory-I am not here to say that we should not attend to those we should have done that.

I am not here to say that we should not attend to the drought areas should attend but we should do it in the proper Constitutional way

You have spent the money on schemes which have not been contemplated in original Budget You yourself concede that these are all new services, but you have spent the amount on them That goes against the principle enunciated earlier namely that without an appropriation made by this House for a specific purpose, if it is not provided in the original Budget, it cannot be spent

One more point The Budget Estimates of 1974-75 was presented in March, 1974, Actually we passed it in April after so much of wrangling. There the original grant provided was only Rs. 27.45 lakes in the Vote on Account is shown on the in Demand No. 6 Therefore nothing basis of one-sixth of the estimated pro-

Sir, If you look at the very drafting at new service has been undertaken without very basis of spending out of the Consolidated Fund only after a provision has been made That is main point I want to make.

> After this comes the vote on Account for Pondicherry. The amount given at page No 2 is Rs 256 lakhs. Here I want to point out a very basic thing which the Government has failed to do Vote Account is given to enable the Covernment to carry on until the Demands for Grants and the passing of the Appropriation Bill As the vote on Account is a formal Business there is no discussion in the House on it, the idea being simply that the Government's functions should not come to a standstill bacause of absence of voting and the authorising expenditure

> As the purpose of the vote on Account is to keep the Government functioning, it cannot be used as a means to obtain Parliament's approval for new services, it is a well established financial procedure that without getting Parliament's sanction for a new scheme no amount should be spent but Parliament may take sometime to pass the general Demands and the general Appropriation Bill Even here it takes us to April or May, but on let April they should have the amount and, therefore, they come m for a Vote on Account when a Vote on Account is passed by this House, an undertaking is given by the Government that the Vote on Account is not intended to be used for expenditure on new services But that undertaking has not been given here

> If you take the Vote on Account for the expenditure of the Central Government for 1975-76, at page 5 in item No. 4 (1) it is stated:

"Although the provision could have been saved. That means a vision or the whole year, the Vote on

Account is not intended to be used for expenditure on new services."

That is the Vote on Account is given without discussion, and, thereore, whenever a Vote on Account is passed, Government gives an undertaking to this House that the Vote on Account will not be taken recourse to for new services because only after the passing of the entire Demands they can go to new services.

In the Pondicherry Budget the last item reads:

> "Proportionate expenditure during the five months, April to August, 1975, is estimated at Rs. 8.25 crores, comprising of Rs. 6-14 crores on revenue account and Rs. 1.61 crores on Capital Ac-The distribution of the estimated amount for the various services and purposes is appended".

But they have not given the undertaking that none of the amounts will be spent towards a new service.

period if they want to use the amounts irrigation relief works and other is not enough. My point is that a mere is passed on these incomplete statements because if we pass the appropriation bill on vote on account, this is based on the other items also, because the Appropriation bill is based on the incomplete state-1975 wherein it is stated that:

posed bill, etc. etc. . . . and also fulfil the requirement of the hon. Member.

the bill will be introduced in the Lok Sabha immediately after the demands for grants on account for expenditure in the Union Territory of Pondicherry for the financial year has been voted."

That means the President on 14th given his consent for incurring this expenditure on the basis of this demand. this demand is defective, then the President has not been given the full material on which he could give his recommendation. Therefore, Sir. unless they give the undertaking here and send a fresh bill to the President and get the sanction of the President on the basis of the full complete background given by them, they cannot introduce the Appropriation Bill and get it passed. Therefore, on these two accounts, I teel that the Supplementary Demand is defective and the vote on account based on the defective statement is also defective. Thank you.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE FINANCE MINISTRY OF PRANAB KUMAR MUKHERJEE): Sir, This is a grave lapse because we are regarding the Supplementary grants I would passing this vote on account for about like to submit, as the hon. Member has five months and during this five-months mentioned about the new items like minor granted by us under vote on account to these are not new services and the total new services, the House can not prevent grants which was originated as the first that. Whereas, I think, the Central Gov- Supplementary, that has not been exceeded. ernment gives undertaking to this effect. Therefore, the point which the hon. Membut that undertaking has not been given, ber mentioned that we have spent some-At this stage, even if the Minister gives thing for which we were not authorised, an assurance that it will not be spent, it does not arise because in the original first supplementary grant for which money was assurance of the Minister is not sufficient sanctioned, that demand was not exceeded that the Pondicherry vote on account bill by creating the new service. These are more or less new items which were brought within the purview of the service. These are not the new services which are created. Regarding the vote on account, the undertaking which the hon. Member has ment and the President has already given mentioned, my submission is that there are his recommendation on the 14th March no new services in the vote on account and I can give the assurance that no new "the President having been informed, services will be brought within the purthe subject matter of the pro-view of the vote on account that may

Regarding the information given to the or not. I invite your attention to article President, Sir, the usual practice of giving 116(1) of the Constitution: the full information is that the facts are submitted to President and after getting it recommended by him, these are placed before the House.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Well, I think, I will have to uphold what the Minister has said, because these are only sub-heads. They are not the new services.

SHRI SEZHIYAN : Even, within subhead, if it is not accounted for, they should provide for it. I would also like to know from the Minister whether there has been a saving within the demand. If there is come forward with the plea of exceptional a saving, you can go further on a conti-grant then. Here it is question of supplenuing service but here there is no saving mentary grant. Let us not mix up the two. and the service is new.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: That is why he has come forward with a Supplementary

SHRI SEZHIYAN: Supplementary demands are not for the amount already spent but for the amount to be spent. Let him give me an assurance that this amount of Rs. 23.55 lakhs is the one which is going to be spent after the appropriation bill is passed.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: That is the crucial point.

SHRI SEZHIYAN: Because it has been suid that consequent to the failure of monsoon in 1974, several drought relief measures have had to be taken, do you mean to say that this going to be taken If it means that due to the hereafter. Failure of monsoon in 1974, drought relief neasures are going to be taken after the 26th of March, I cannot believe that.

SHRI H. K. I., BHAGAT (East Delhi): Personally I entirely agree with the miniter's explanation. But hypothetically speaking, even accepting Mr. Sezhiyan's argument that no provision was made in the original budget, the question would be

"Notwithstanding anything in the foregoing provisions of this Chapter, the House of the People shall have power-

(c) to make an exceptional grant which forms no part of the current service of any financial Vess."

So, even then the Lok Sabha is entitled to vote it.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER:

I have upheld the confention of minister that these are new sub-heads under a service that has already been sanctioned by this House. I would not stretch it further because that would be too much inhibiting the Government. But the only question is whether this amount Rs. 23.55 lakhs which you mention here has been drawn and spent or not.

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHER-JEE: This has been spent out of the savings.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: That sounds a little difficult. As far as I am concerned, I will accept what the minister says. But he himself will be responsible for whatever he says. I would draw your attention to the fact that the original grant is only Rs. 27.45 lakhs. Would you say that there has been saving to the extent of Rs. 23.55 lakhs out of it?

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHER-JEE: The new sub-heads are minor irrigation relief work and other works; Certain items have been spent. I did not say that all the items have been speat. The total amount spent in Rs. 13.7 lakhs.

SHRI SEZHIYAN: There is no saving. whether the Lok Subha can vote this grant Please take the Demands for Grants for The state of the state of the

the Union Territory for 1975-76. you find the budget estimates, the revised Supposing that it is proved by figures that estimates etc. If you take pages 6 and 7, what you are saying is not correct, would Demand No. 6 Revenue, the Major Head it not be better for you to do it that way inis 289-Relief on account of Natural Cala- stead of making a statement here and havmitties. What is the grand total for the ing to retract it? And then, if you make year? The budget estimates for the year a deliberate statement, it becomes serious. 1974-75 is Rs. 27 45 lakhs and the revised Take the easy way out; don't get into estimate is Rs. 61.98 lakhs. there has not been any saving. budget estimate for 1975-76 is Rs 48 lakhs.

Then I will come to the four individual items. The first item is Public Health, Water Supply and Senitation Plan: the second is Agricultural Facilities Plan and the next is Minor Irrigation Plan. If you take these four items, you will find that none of the items has got any budget estimate. me come to the details Public Health. Water Supply and Sanitation-Accounts for 1973-74 nil, Budget estimate nil; revised estimate Rs. 3.55 lakhs Agricultural Facilittes Plan-1973-74 accounts nil: budget extimate 1974-75 nil: revised estimate Rs. 3,50 lakhs. Minor Irrigation Plan---1973-74 accounts nil; budget estimate 1974-75 nil: revised estimate Rs. 13 50 lakhs Other Works Plan- 1973-74 Accounts nil. Budget estimate 1974-75 nil and estimate Rs. 3 lakhs. So, these are not taken out of any savings. They have been treated by themselves as new items for which no budget provision has been there. Though they have asked for Rs. 23.5 lakhs, even if they spent a pie without the sanction of Parliament, it comes against our Supplementary Demands.

MR. DFPUTY-SPEAKER: May I give a word of advice to the Minister? If a wrong statement is given to the House-I am not saying this is a wrong statemen' - - if it is inadvertent, even then it needs an apology. If it is deliberate, then it becomes a very serious matter, because it becomes a question of privilege. Now, may I venture to give him some advice? Do not give guing on that point. I am arguing on the your answer in a huff "It has been done point of order; I am not touching that point within savings" or "it has not been done", at all-which the Minister has answered and without consulting your office. Go into the you have upheld. What I am submitting figures and come with something definite, is that the whole question is whether the

There Why do you unnecessarily get into trouble? Therefore, trouble. Go into the details and satisfy But the yourself and then come before the House.

1975-76

SHRI PRANAB KUMUAR MUKHER-I can reply to this point if you like.

MR DEPUTY SPI AKER: These are points of order which he has raised and I myself cannot make up my mind here. If you are very strong about it and say "No: this is the correct position". I will take a riskbut it will not be my risk; it will be your risk. If it is proved later on that you have deliberately misled the House, it becomes very serious.

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHER-JI-F: What I was suggesting.

MR DEPUTY SPEAKER: These are points of order. We have not started discussions at all.

भी फुलमान वर्मा उज्जैन . एक घट के लिए हासम को एडजर्नकर दे।

(Interruptions)

MR DEPUTY SPEAKER: I will do it with their consent, I will not be arbitrary.

SHRI H. K. L. BHAGAT : Sir, in any point of order which is raised, the whole question would be whether it goes to the substance of the matter or not. (Interruptions). Let us consider this question dispussionately. The question is whether this grant forms part of the original schemes or not.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: That point has been disposed of.

SHRI H. K. L. BHAGAT: I am not ar-

point of order. How the grant is described They have not succeeded in doing that. is not, to my mind, the real question or Therefore, this is a question where the the crux of the matter; the crux of the satisfaction of certain methodologies prepetent to pass the grant or not (Interrup- its sovereign jurisdiction rights are contions).

not agree, but please listen to me. I am by giving a ruling that you have already saying that the substance of the matter is indicated. that the Lok Sabha is competent to pass this grant under 116 (c). I would respectfully submit that, when a Section says that the Lok Sabha is competent to do it under 116 (c), the law of interpretation is that we should go to the substance of the matter. The carts go to the substance of the matter. whether competence is there or not. They go to the substance of the jurisdiction and not the mere form of it. (Interruptions). That is the law of interpretation, whether in substance the jurisdiction of the court or the House exists or not. If in substance, the jurisdiction exists. I think, there should be no question of point of order.

SHRI H. N MUKHERJEE (Calcutta-North East): I am provoked by what Mr. Bhagat has said in regard to the point of order which you are trying to dispose of and which you have virtually disposed of, You are only being held un:

We are not discussing the theoretical question of the rights of the Lok Sabha. Since yesterday, you have been repeating th your several rulings that the methodology of the exercise by the Lok Sabha of its jurisdiction specially in regard to financial matters is defined in the Constitution in a manner which we have got to follow if we are not to stultify ourselves. being so, if the methodology cannot be pursued on account of a certain lack ou the part of the Government, this matter has got to be postponed. You have very tightly pointed out Mr. Sezhiyan having written an earlier letter on the 14th of This month to which no answer was vouchrafed to him or to the House, through the Minister, not to rush in with these figures be-Speaker. The Government has had an cause I am myself getting confused. Now,

Lok Subha can vote this grant or not entire opportunity of examining this matter That is the question with regard to the and satisfying you and the House about it. matter is whether the Lok Sabha is com- paratory to the Lok Sabha exercising all cerned and, since those things have not been satisfied, you are right in setting up The Chair may not agree and you may a kind of precedent that you are laying

> MR. DEPUTY SPFAKER: Now, let me first deal with Mr. Bhagat's point of order.

SHRI H. K. I. BHAGAT: A submission.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: That is right. You have made a submission to me. must respond to that.

1 should not be misunderstood. I have heard the substance of your submission. What is the substance of your submission? The substance is that this House has the supreme right to vote any grant. That is the substance I uphold.

Secondly, I also uphold that this House can give an exceptional grant. You have pointed out the relevant provision of the Constitution. But my difficulty here is that we are not discussing the exceptional grant. We are discussing a supplementary grant.

Now, let me explain that a supplementary grant can be given in two ways, firstly, by way of additional money for a service that is already in operation because the money is not enough and, secondly, for a new service which will be voted for by this House. The substance is also that not a single pie, more than what has already been appropriated by the Appropriation law can be spent. Therefore, even if it is a supplementary grant, it must first be voted by the House before you can spend. This is a position that we are concerned with.

This is what I was trying to advise the

right, it is also my duty, as the servant of March, 1975 from a Deputy Secretary, this House, to see that everything is put Department of Economic Affairs, Ministry hefore the House in the proper order so that of Finance. They have enclosed the inforthe House knows what it is doing. from the submissions of the bon. Members. it appears that there is something which is not quite in order, how can I say to the House, "Close your eyes and go ahead"?

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHER-IEE: Sir. the hon, Member's contention is that we have spent more than what we are given. My contention is not that. original grant was Rs. 27.45 lakhs; the supplementary grant is Rs. 9.75 lakhs and 24.78 takhs grant is what is being asked for. The total comes to Rs. 61.98 lakhs which has been shown by the hon. Member as the revised estimate. Out of that, the amount which has been spent is 13.7 lakhs. Therefore, it is not a fact that we have spent more than what actually we are given. We are actually given Rs. 27 45 lakhs plus Rs 9.45 lakhs and this we have already intimated to the hon Member when he wanted to have this information.

15 hrs.

SHRI SEZHIYAN: When?

II E: I think, it was on the 18th March.

the letter. What about the new Services? supplementary grant?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Let us be are sub-heads under the Services that the he says. I will accept that. House has already sanctioned. We should not go into that extent to tie the hands of the Government that within the Services they have been granted, they cannot do this and that.

Here is a letter, a copy of which has

accepting that this House has that supreme is what they say. This letter is dated 18th If mation, and have said:

> "On drought relief measures, for which Supplementary Grant Rs. 23.55 lakhs has been sought in Grant No. 6, the expenditure incurred in the current year so far has been as follows:---

				Lakhs	
(1) Public Health, Water Supply and sanitation			1	66	
(2) Minor Irrigation	on .			10	76
(3) Relief works				i	21
TOTAL				13	63

Well, this is what the Minister has contended that the total grant, original and supplementary came to Rs. 61.98 lakhs.

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHER-It F: Including this Supplementary Demand, it will be 61.98 lakhs.

DFPUTY-SPEAKER: MR there is Rs. 27 45 lakhs and Rs. 9.75 lakhs. That is the total grant already given. And he says that he has spent Rs. 13.63 lakhs SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHER- out of the saving. This is his submission.

SHRI SEZHIYAN: if it is true that sav-SHRI SEZHIYAN: I have not received ing is there, why are they coming for this

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I think, the very clear. About new Services, I have points are clear. Point number I is that haid that these are only sub-heads and I the money that has been spent, has been uphold what the Minister says that these spent out of the saving and that is what

> Secondly, if they come for more money because they think more money will be needed, how can we object to that? It is quite in order.

About the Vote on Account, I would just been brought before me, in which the take a lenient view. Although it is neces-Government has given a reply and this sary that the Government should have given has been passed on to Mr. Sezhiyan; this the undertaking that no new Service will be undertaken by this, as they do elsewhere, I would take this as a clerical error and accept what the Minister says on the floor of the House as part of the assurance and we can go ahead with the Pondicherry budget

SHRI H. M. PATEL (Dhandhuka): I would request that at least in your ruling you may not say that this is a clerical error, because it is a matter of considerable significance and ought not to be allowed to occur again. Therefore ...

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Therefore I said that I will take a lenient view. ...

SHRI H M. PATFI: But not as a result of a cletical error It is an oversight of a very serious nature.

SHRI S. A SHAMIM (Srinagar): It is moved: a ministerial error

rectify.

MR. DEPUTY SPFAKER: I hope in future we shall keep this in mind that invariably we shall get this assurance (Interruptions) You know what is definition of a Secretary to the Government of India- a glorified clerk

SHRI S A. SHAMIM: That is true of the Minister. He is only a glorified servant.

#### Demand No 1

# LEGISTATIVE ASSEMBLY

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 2,06,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President on account, out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Legislative Assembly'."

# Demand No. 2 ADMINISTRATOR

Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mation moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 4,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President on account, out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Administrator'."

# Demand No. 3

COUNCIL OF MINISTERS

Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER Motion

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 2,33,000 SHRI H. M PATEL. . which they must on Revenue Account be granted to the President on account, out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect the of 'Council of Ministers'."

#### Demand No. 4

# ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE

Mı. DFPUTY-SPFAKER: Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 6.08,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President on account, out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Administration of Justice'."

#### Demand No. 5

#### ELECTIONS

Motion Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: moved:

on Revenue Account be granted to the Presi- course of payment during the financial year dent on account, out of the Consolidated commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry of 'Taxes on Vehicles'." to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Elections'."

#### Demand No. 6

# REVENUL

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion Mt. moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 20,02,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President on account, out of the Consolidated Furd of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Revenue'."

#### Demand No. 7

# SALES TAX

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mr. moved:

Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry in respect of 'District Administration'." to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Sales Tax'."

#### Demand No. 8

TAXES ON VEHICLES

Mı DEPUTY-SPEAKER: moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,84,000 to defray the charges which will come in

#### Demand No. 9

# SECRETARIAT

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion Mr moved:

'That a sum not exceeding Rs. 8.73.000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President on account, out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Secretariat'"

#### Demand No. 10

# DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION

DEPUTY-SPEAKER. Mr. Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 18,20 000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Motion Rs. 4.29,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President on account, out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of That a sum not exceeding Rs. 3.19.000 Pondicherry to defray the charges which will on Revenue Account be granted to the Presi- come in course of payment during the finandent on account, out of the Consolidated cial year commencing from 1st April, 1975

#### Demand No. 11

### TREASURY AND ACCOUNTS ADMINISTRATION

Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 5.86,000 Motion on Revenue Account be granted to the President on account, out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry 'That a sum not exceeding Rs. 68,000 to defray the charges which will come in on Revenue Account be granted to the Presi- course of payment during the financial year dent on account, out of the Consolidated commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry of Treasury and Accounts Administration."

Demand No. 12

#### POLICE

**DEPUTY-SPEAKER:** Motion Mr. moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 32,92,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President on account, out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Police'."

Demand No. 13

JAILS

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion Mr moved:

dent on account, out of the Consolidated of 'Retirement Benefiits'." Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Jails'."

Demand No. 14

STATIONERY AND PRINTING

Mr. **DEPUTY-SPEAKER:** Motion moved:

Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry financial year commencing from 1st April, to defray the charges which will come in 1975 in respect of 'Public Works'." course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Stationery and Printing'."

Demand No 15

MISCELLANEOUS ADMINISTRATIVE GENERAL MOVED: SERVICES

Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,65,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President on account, out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Miscellaneous Administrative General Services'."

Demand No. 16

RETIREMENT BENLETIS

Мr DFPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion moved

'That a sum not exceeding Rs. 9,24,000 on Revenue Account he granted to the President on account, out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in \*That a sum not exceeding Rs 1.68,000 course of payment during the financial year on Revenue Account be granted to the Presi- commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect

Demand No. 17

PUBLIC WORKS

Mr. **DEPUTY-SPEAKER:** Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 87,69,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 56,88,000 on Capital Account/be granted to the President on account, out of the "That a sum not exceeding Rs. 5,21,000 Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory on Revenue Account be granted to the Presi- of Pondicherry to defray the charges which dent on account, out of the Consolidated will come in course of payment during the

Demand No. 18

**FDUCATION** 

Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,38,22;000 Motion on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 13,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President on account, out of the commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect Consolidated fund of the Union Territory of 'Labour and Employment'." of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year, commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Education'."

#### Demand No. 22

SOCIAL WELFARE

Demand No 19

Mi DEPUTY-SPEAKER. Mation moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 22,76,000

# MEDICAL

Metion on Revenue Account and not exceeding DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mr. moved:

on Revenue Account be granted to the Presi- of Pondicherry to defray the charges which dent on account, out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in 1st April, 1975 in respect of Social Welcourse of payment during the financial year fare'." commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Medical'."

to the President on account, out of the "That a sum not exceeding Rs. 71,14,000 Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from

Rs. 13,000 on Capital Account be granted

Demand No 23

# Demand No. 20

# INFORMATION AND PUBLICITY

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mr. Motion moved:

on Revenue Account be granted to the Presi- of the Consolidated fund of the Union ount on account, out of the Consolidated Territory of Pondicherry to defray l und of the Union Territory of Pondicherry charges which will come in course of payto defray the charges which will come in ment during the financial year commencing course of payment during the financial year from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Co-Lo mmencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect operation'." of 'Information and Publicity'."

#### COOPERATION

Mr. DEPUTY-SPLAKER: Motion movca:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 7.50,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 5,32,000 on Capital Account "That a sum not exceeding Rs 3.59,000 granted to the President on account, out

# Demand No. 21

# LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT

Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 5,22,000 on Revenue Account be granted to on Revenue Account be granted to the Presi- President on account, out of the Consolident on account, out of the Consolidated dated Fund of the Union Territory Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry Pondicherry to defray the charges which to defray the charges which will come in will come in course of payment during the

#### No. 24 Demand

MISCEI LANEOUS GENERAL ECONOMIC SERVICES

Mr. DFPUTY-SPFAKER: Motion Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,33,000 course of payment during the financial year financial year commencing from 1st April. 1975 in respect of 'Miscellancous General Economic Services'."

# Demand No. 28

# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Demand No. 25

**AGRICULTURE** 

Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER. Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 37 00,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 2,44,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President on account, out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Agriculture'."

Demand No 26

ANIMAL HUSBANDRY

Mr. DI PUTY-SPFAKER: Metion moved

"That a sum not exceeding Rs 7,19,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 83,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President on account, out of the Consolidated fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1-t April, 1975 in respect of 'Animal Husbandry'."

Demand No. 27

FISHERIES

Mr. DFPUTY-SPEAKER Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 18,75,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 21,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President on account, out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Fisheries'."

Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mution moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 15,22,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 35,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President on account, out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April. 1975 in respect of 'Community Development'."

Demand No. 29

INDUSTRILS

Mr DFPUTY-SPI AKER. Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,78,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 5,22 000 on Capital Account be granted to the President on account, out of the Consolidated fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Industries'."

Demand No. 30
FOOD AND NUTRITION

Mr DEPUTY-SPEAKIR. Motion moved.

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,23,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President on account, out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will some in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Food and Nutrition'."

Demand No. 31

ELECTRICITY

Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion moved:

on Revenue Account and not exceeding lidated Fund of Pondicherry to defray the Rs. 38.16,000 on Capital Account be charges which will come in course of paygranted to the President on account, out of ment during the year ending the 31st day the Consolidated Fund of the Union Terri- of March, 1975 in respect of 'Administratory of Pondicherry to defray the charges tion of Justice'." which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April, 1975 in respect of 'Electricity'."

Demand No. 32

PORTS AND PILOTAGE

Мr DEPUTY-SPEAKER · Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,89,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 1,22,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President on account, out of the Consolidated fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from lst April, 1975 in respect of 'Ports and Priorage"."

Demand No. 34

LEANS TO GOVERNMENT SEPVANIS

Мr DEPUTY-SPEAKER moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs 11,94,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President on account out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charge, which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April. 1975 in respect of 'Loans to Government Servants'."

Demand No. 4

ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE

Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mution moved:

"That a Supplementary sum not exceed-

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. \$4.83,000 granted to the President out of the Conso-

Demand No. 5

FLECTIONS

Motion Mr DEPUTY-SPFAKER: moved:

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs 2,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consohdated Fund of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of Elections'."

Demand No. 6

REVENUE

Μг DEPUTY-SPEAKER Motion moved

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs 24.78.000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of pay-Motion ment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Revenue'."

Demand No. 7

SALES TAX

DEPUTY-SPEAKER : Mr Motion moved:

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 30,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the consolidated Fund of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Sales Tax'."

Demand No. 8

Taxes on Vehicles

Mr. **DEPUTY-SPEAKER:** Motion ing Rs. 64,000 on Revenue Account be moved :

Rs. 7,000 on Revenue Account be granted Accounts Administration!" to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of Taxes on Vehicles'."

# Demand No. 9

# SECRETARIAT

Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion moved:

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 76,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of Payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Secretariat."

#### Demand No. 10

# DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mr. Motion moved:

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 39,000 on Revenue Account he granted to the President ont of the Consolidated Fund of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'District Administration'."

# Demand No. 11

TREASURY AND ACCOUNTS: ADMINISTRATION

Mr. **DEPUTY-SPEAKER:** Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 58,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of pav-

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding of March, 1975 in respect of Treasury and 1985 M. 38

# Demand No. 12

44.4

#### POLICE

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mr. moved:

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 2.89.000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of Payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Police'."

# Demand No. 13

#### JAILS

Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion moved:

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 13,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Jails'."

#### Demand No. 14

#### STATIONERY AND PRINTING

Mг. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion movea:

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 22,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Stationery and Printing'."

#### Demand No. 15

MISCELLANEOUS ADMINISTRATIVE GENERAL SERVICES

**DEPUTY-SPEAKER:** Mr. Motion : moved:

"That a Supplementary sum not exceedment during the year ending the 31st day ing Rs. 8,000 on Revenue. Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of Pondicherry to detray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Miscellaneous Administrative General Services'."

# Demand No. 17 Public Works

Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion moved:

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 7,73,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 1,63,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of Pondicherry to defrav the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March. 1975 in respect of 'Public Works'."

#### Demand No. 18

# EDUCATION

Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion moved:

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 13,27,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Education'."

# Demand No. 19

# MEDICAL

Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion tion'." moved:

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 3,32,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March. 1975 in respect of "Medical"

#### Demand No. 20

#### INFORMATION AND PUBLICITY

Mr. DFPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion moved:

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 17,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Comolidated Fund of Pondscherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Information and Publicity'."

#### Demand No. 21

#### LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT

Mr. DFPUTY-SPFAKER: Motion moved:

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 34,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March. 1975 in respect of 'Labour and Employment'."

#### Demand No. 30

#### FOOD AND NUTRITION

M1 DI-PUTY-SPEAKER: Motion moved:

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 13.000 on Revenue Account he granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Food and Nutrition'."

#### Demand No. 32

#### PORTS AND PILOTAGE

Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion moved:

ment during the year ending the 31st day "That a Supplementary sum not exceeding of March, 1975 in respect of "Medical" Rs. 13,000 on Revenue Account be granted

to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of Payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Ports and Pilotage'."

SHRI ARAVINDA BALA PAJANOR (Pondscherry): I beg to move:

"That the Demand for Grant on Account under the head 'Administration of Justice' be reduced by Rs. 100"

[Need to have a High Court (Indicial Commission) at Pondicherry (1)]

"That the Demand for Grant on Account under the head 'Elections' be reduced to Re. 1'.

[Postponement of elections without any reason withsoever (2)]

"That the Demand for Grant on Account under the head 'Llections' be reduced by Rs. 100"

1Need for holding elections immediately (3)]

"That the Demand for Grant on Account under the head 'Revenue' be reduced by R3 100" [Pay at

[Collection of tax machinery and their failure to uphold the human consideration in view of drought conditions in Pondi cherry (4)]

"That the Demand for Grant on Account under the head "Taxes on Vehicles' be reduced by Rs. 100"

[New taxes on vehicles and then builden on common men (5)]

"That the Demand for Grant on Account ment (13)] under the head "Secretariat" be reduced by Rs. 190" "That the

[Need to remove anomalies arising out of Third Pay Commission Report (6)]

"That the Demand for Grant on Account under the head Treasury and Accounts Administration be reduced by Rs. 100"

[Administration's failure in proper manaresponse of accounts (7)]

"That the Demand for Grant on Account under the head 'Police' be reduced by Rs 100'

[Recrganisation of the Police Force in the Union territory of Pondichery (8)]

"That the Demand for Grant on Account under the head 'Public Works' be reduced by Rs 100"

[Bad conditions of roads in the Union territory of Pondicherry (9)]

"That the Demand for Grant on Account under the head 'Fducation be reduced to Re. 1

[Failure to announce Third Pay Commissions scales for the Education Department (10)]

"That the Demand for Grant on Account under the head 'Education' be reduced by Rs 100"

[Pay anamolies and the poor condition of the teachers and the college professors (11)]

'That the Demand for Grant on Account under the head 'Medical' be reduced by Rs. 100"

[Need for opening of new health centres in every village (12)]

"That the Demand for Grant on Account under the head 'Labour and Employment' be reduced to Re 1"

[Failure to check problem of unemployment (13)]

"That the Demand for Grant on Account under the head 'Labour and Employment' be reduced by Rs. 100"

[Magnitude of unemployment (141)

under the head 'Ports and Pilotage' be re- situation in Pondicherry is favourable for duced by Rs. 100"

[Need for a port at Karakal (15)]

DUPTY-SPEAKER: The cut motions also are before the House.

\*SHRI JAGDISH BHATTACHARYYA representative Government was allowed to without any hesitation that a population of 4,71,707. In my opinion hom money is being sanctioned, it should not take more than 5/6 days preparation to hold general elections there but still elections are not being held there Sir. we would have discussed the Guiarat Budget a little earlier but for the fact that the discussions has been postponed till tomorrow on some constitutional consideration In Guiarat also, as in Pondicherry, elections are not being held. However, in regard to Gujarat the Government have taken the nosition that due to some agitation a political instability prevails there and in addition natural calamities like famine and drought have also been the contributing factors for the postponement of election there. But I ask the Government, what factors, political or natural calamities—are standing in the way of the Government to postpone elections in Pondicerry. The truth of the matter is that the

\*The original speech was delivered in Bengali.

"That the Demand for Grant on Account ruling party does not feel that the present them and they will not go in for elections there unless the situation turns favourable.

Sit, the present budget has been prepared by the bureaucrats who are presently running the Pondicherry administration and in presenting this budget to this House the (Ghatal); M1. Deputy-Speaker, Sir. I rise hon Minister has done nothing more than to speak on the Supplementary Demands serving a cooked dish. But can one deny for Grants on Pondicherry. Sir, it is an that budget do not, in any way, represent irony that the budget has been presented the wishes of the people and their repreto this House for obtaining the approval of sentatives, at no stage, of the formulation this House when it should have been legi- of the budget demands had any say. In timately proper that the budget should have regard to other States whose budgets were been passed by the Pondicherry Assembly passed by this House in the recent past and by the elected representatives of the there are many MPs represented in this people We have seen, Sir, that during the House and through their participation in last two sessions two to three such budgets the discussion they represent the cross for other States were also got passed by this section of the people of the State but in House. After the last elections, a represent the case of Pondicherry we have only one tative Government was formed in Pondi- member in this House and judged from this cherry but this did not last long But there- angle the people's wishes cannot be fully after no elections were held there and no represented here. Therefore, I must say the present be formed. Why should it be so ? After budget does not reflect the hopes, aspiraall Pondicherry is a small place and has tions and the wishes of the people for

> Sir, you are well aware of the functioning of the Aurobindo Ashram in Pondicherry. I have nothing to do with their religious activities but I can say from my personal experience I have visited Pondicherry-that they are doing very good work in the sphere of education. They have drawn up a plan for the rehabilitation of people and are also trying their best to develop cottage industries there. I feel, Sir, that a good work done by an organisation should not only be commended but the State Government should come forward to give some financial assistance to that organisation. I do not know whether any provision has been made in this budget for financial assitance for the activities sponsored by the Ashram but I would suggest that adequate assistance be given to them.

Sir. Pondicherry has a small cott. The sea is caim. During my visit to Pondicherry I had found that many wine shops have a puring up and foreign liquor is very much in use Where from does this foreign liquor come? Surely they are being smuggled into Pondicherry and this has to be stopped. I must also say that induscriminate issue of liceaces for setting up wine shops has also to be looked into. With the increase in the number of liceaced shops the chances of growth of unauthorised shops for spurious wine also increase. Therefore, it is my submission that the number of such shops should be reduced

I do not want to discuss in detail the different demand contained in the budget because there is nothing new m it We have seen the Central budget as also the budgets of difffierent States. If the people think that these budgets will do them good then the Pondicherry budget will do good to the people of Pondicherry also but I can say with certainly that during all these years of their nower, this Govt, have done nothing which has contributed to the prosperity of the people and the present Pondicherry budget will also go the same way. Through their actions, they have pushed the country towards disaster and nothing better can be expected of them

जी कलक्षक वर्षी (उज्जैन) उपाध्यक्ष महोदय पांडिकेरी में चौधी बार राष्ट्रपति शामन की ध्यक्षि बढ़ाई गई है धौर उसके परिणामस्वरूप बहां का बजट इस सदन के सामने रखा गया है। इनसे पता चलता है कि इस सरकार की मनोवृत्ति क्या है। एसा लगता है कि जिस प्रदेश में सलाक्ष्य वस्त के सनुकल परिस्थित होती है, बहां सरकार चुनाव कराने में एक मिनट की भी देरी महीं करती है।

मैं भापको वाद विकास चाहता हूँ कि जब 1971 में पाकिस्तास से हमारा युद्ध बल रहः था, तो बंगसा देश में डाका पर जिस दिन हवारा महिकार हुआ, उससे केवल एक दिन पहले नरकार की बीर से यह प्रस्तव भागाया कि विश्वाल-तमाओं के बुसाव एक साल के निए टाल विध वाथे। नेकिन कव 3 वाथे डाका फतह का समावार निमा तो प्रधान मंत्री ने पूनरे दिन वोषणा कर दी कि पूरे देस में निधान-मनाओं के ब्नाव होंगे, सब उनको नाल भर के लिए टाला नहीं जायगा। यह नरकार की पूषित मनोवृत्ति वा खोतक है।

पाडियेरी में भी बही स्थित है। बही पर
मत्ताचढ दल की स्थित बडी नामुक और
नमें है। उसको भ्रानेक कठिनाइयो का सामना
करना पढ़ रहा है। वह बाहे किसी भी प्रकार
के गन्दे हचकडे घपना, वह बहा पर किसी
भी कीमत पर बहुमन में नहीं भा सकता है।
इमीलिए वहा राष्ट्रपनि ज्ञासन की भ्रवधि 6
महीने के स्मा बढा दी गई है।

गुजगत के बारे मे अभी मेरे एक पूर्व-वस्ता ने कहा वि बजा स्वाल नया मुखे की बान कहकर जुनाब टाले जा रहे हैं। लेकिन बास्तविकता इससे जिल्ल है। गजरान ने नागरिको को उनके अधिकारों से बचिन रखा जा रहा है। जो बार्य पाडिबेग और गुजरान के विधायकों को बरना वाहिए या, वह काम इस समद से बैठकर इसकी बरना पर रहा है। यह बडे हु च की बात है कि जन-प्रतिनिधियों को उनके अधिकारों से बचिन विया जा रहा है। सरवार बार-बार पाडिबेग में राष्ट्रपनि जामन की अवधि 6 6 सहीने के लिए बढ़ाने के बारे से प्रस्ताव लाती है यह लोकतव के लिए बोर्ड अवधी परिपाटी नहीं है। यह तो लोकतव की जहों को खोखना रहने वाली परिपाटी है।

जहा समास्ट दन को लगना है कि वह दस-बदन कराकर और दूसरे नरीके अपनाकर सत्ता पर टिका रह सकता है, वहां सरकार तुरम्न चुनाव करावेती है। अभी नावा नैण्ड से यही बात बोहराई गई है। इसी प्रकार को चटनाए कई बार और प्रदेशों जैसे बिहार, में भी हुई हैं। मेकिन सरकार को इस बारे में कोई फिक नहीं है।

पांडिपेरी एक बहुन छोटा-मा राज्य है । नेकिन उसके विकास का सवाल बहुत सहस्वपूर्य है। वहां पर मैरिकल फैनिमिटीब और दूसरी सुविधारां वर्धापा नहीं हैं। बहां के मानरिकों को उनके बंधिकारों से बचिन रखा जा रहा है। धगर बहां विद्यान-मधा कार्य करती होती ती वहां के नागरिको को इन सुविधाओं से किसी भी कीवत पर वंचित नहीं किया जा सकता वा । लेकिन पांडिकेरी के नागरिको का यह दुर्भीग्य है कि यहां चौची बार राष्ट्रपति शासन की धवधि को बढाया जा रहा है। गजरात मे भी यही हो रहा है। मैं इसका मक्त विरोध करना ह। तरकार को इस प्रकार राज्यपनि जासन की धवधि बार-बार नहीं बढानी चाहिए। धगर ऐसा करना जरूरी हो तो उसको एक बार बढाया जा सकता है। लेकिन प्रगर उसको फिर बढाना हो तो वहा जनमत-सम्रह कराकर लोगो की भावनाची का जानना वाहिए और उसके आधार पर कोई निर्णय करना बाहिए।

मनर पाडिचेरी में विधान-मधा कार्य करती होती, तो जो बजट यहा पर रखा गया है, बह विद्यायको के मामने भाता. उस पर दिस्कशन होता है और उसकी अच्छाइया बराइया सामने आनी। जनमे पाडियेरी के विकास और निर्माण की गर्नि को खढावा ग्रिलना । देश के ग्रन्थ भागों के साथ-साथ पाडिदेगी के विकास की गति भी बढती। लेकिन इस प्रकार उसक विकास योग निर्मण की गनि का सबस्य किया जा गहा है।

मेग निवेदन है कि पाहिकेरी एक लटवर्नी प्रदेश है. इसलिए उसके बारे में सरकार की श्मीरतापुर्वक विचार करना चाहिए। मरकार का इस बात का ध्यान रखना चाहिए कि इस प्रकार नी स्थिति की पुनरावनि किसी सन्य प्रदेश में न हो। धनर उसने थोडा भी मनावल, नैतिकना और जनना का म्काबला करने का माहम है तो उसको हार धीर जीत की चिन्ता न करने हुए मैदान में भाना चाहिए और प्रजातांत्रिक नरीके से बहां बनाव कराकर जनता के निर्णय को स्वीकार करना चाहिए।

SHRIMATI PARVATHI

the Union Territory of Pondicherry. It 15 only in the last Session we have had discussion here on the Supplementary Demands.

Now, it is one year since the Operation Topple was carried out in Pondicherry and the democratic Government was sent out by the ruling party here in alliance with others We have been demanding that election should be held in Pondicherry and the democratic Government should come into being there so that all the problems in that area could be solved, because it is only such a democratic Government that can solve the problems there. Sitting here miles away from Pondicherry, the Government and the Ministry is totally unaware of what is happening there and how things are being mis-managed and the people are being harassed and are being more and more exploited. That is the reason why this demand is being reiterated and it has the support of all the sections of the public in Pondicherry. Since 1947 people in this country have been having parliamentary and democratic institutions. When you talk here of those who are being led by Shri Jaya Prakash Narayan and the attack on these very institutions, then why is it that you deny to the people of Pendicherry, who are demanding precisely a democratically elected Government through which they would be able to solve their own problems I for one do believe that we should defend these institutions and see that they are properly utilised and not misused nor dismissed away whenever the ruling party or the Government may like. This demand is a demand of the democratic movement of all democratic sections without exception, in this area which is far away in the South and is neighbouring to the State to which I come from.

There are various problems there which have been pestring the people for a very long time the bureaucratic attitude of the Government and the administration in Pondicherry to-day, making it impossible for the people there to get the problems solved KRISHNAN for instance an anomaly that existed in the (Colmbatore): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, implementation of Central Pay Scales for we are now discussing again the Budget for the Government employees in Pondicherry.

Government Press in Pondicherry. Re-budget that he is putting before us. peatedly, one memorandum after another was sent by them and finally it is as late account of discrimination.

sion's recommendations. Here is another case our Constitution. of discrimination. Here again the bureaucratic administration without any democratic content is running the Union Territory of Ponaicherry may be given the opportuand the people have been left to the mercy nity to choose a popular Government of of the bureaucratic administration.

Unless and until the Central Government which to-day is responsible for the conduct of administration and the affairs of Pondicherry takes up these issues and they give immediate effect to them, this discontent will contiume and you should not be surprised if disturbances follow out of this discontent.

the drought conditions, we are also facing when it comes to question of the people's famine conditions. In this State, the pro- wish, I hardly find even those Members vition of drinking water facilities and the who raised some technical points are present.

The Government notified in March 1970 there as well as drought advances and rethat the Central pay scales would apply to lief to the employees of the Government the Government servants in Pondicherry, has become a matter of very prime impor-This was made applicable to all the sections tance and I hope that the Minister will see But still there were some who were left to it that these issues are taken up immediout, for instance the employees of the ately and they become part and parcel of the

1975-76

Sir, in conclusion, I would once again as Nevember, 1974 that a communication appeal that the elections in Poncicherry was sent by the Ministry of Home Affairs should no longer be delayed because unless here to the Finance Department in Ponci- and until those elections take place and cherry that the Central scales would also people are given an opportunity to choose apply to the employees of the Central Go- the government of their liking, I am afraid vernment Press. It is very strange that it that things will go from bad to worse and took 4½ years for the Government to re- this area is going to be neglected. As I move this anomaly and that too after have already seen in my State, Tamil Nadu, repeated representations. Then why is it various forces are making their voices heard that they cannot give effect to this with re- and they are trying to create divisions theretrospective effect? Why should these emplo- by violating the provisions of the Constituyees be penalised and not have the benefit of tion and such a contagion might also be the pay scales from the date the other Gov- reflected in Pondicherry. The people of ernment employees in Pondicherry received Pondicherry have to their credit, a very them? This is a question that the Minis- valiant struggle that they fought in liberatter has got to look into and see that this ing this territory. These people who are injustice is overcome because these employe- loyal to the traditions of our country should es have been suffering all these years on join the mainstream of our country and they should not continue to have the Presidential Rule and extension of this Rule In the Education Department teachers are there. This only means violationg the not getting the benefit of the Pay Commis- fundamental rights that are enshrined in

> I would once again appeal that the people their liking.

SHRI ARVINDA BALA PAJANOR (Pondicherry): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I feel so much pleased that so many Members have taken so much interest in Pondicherry. The Members from the Communist Party (Marxist) also expressed their sentiments for the people of Pondicherry. Sir, I am the lone Member of Pondicherry but I am Similarly, you know, Sir, that throughout very much amused that ouring the last the South, we are to-day having very severe session, practically, for so many days, they drought conditions and side by side with were mentioning about Pondicherry. But, need to give drought relief to the people I do not know whether the ruling party

and to none in asking for the elections power in majority of municipalities and there. But, so far as democracy is con- Commiune Panchayats. It virtually amouncomed, I am forced to believe that we are to to going back to 1968. I think the same abusing the spirit and are only trying to principle may be adopted here and they keep the form. I can very well tell my may extend the Parliament by five years friends who are speaking from this side and postpone the elections of Parliament that simply asking for the election. Every- also for five years and say popular demoday we are asking for the same and we cracy is well kept in our country. have sumbitted memorandum after memorandum. We are speaking very high about the people's rights, fundamental rights to it seems Members are interested only in have their popular Government and all that, certain terminology or scandal but not in But, finally, if they do not decide and if they the welfare or sufferings of the people. do not make up their minds to have elec- I am thankful to Mrs. Pavathi Krishnan for tions, I do not think that there will be highlighting certain real problems of my elections to uphold the true democracy in State. this part of the country. Pondicherry may be a small terrtory. I am not in agreement with Mr. Sezhiyan's argu- there. Some people say that it is because ments regarding the constitutional is of bureaucratic Government over there sues that he raiseo. It is governed diret that our problems have been neglected. I ly by the Government of India now, am of the view the young officers or the During the last two occasions, we have administrators over there are forced to do been pressing that Pondicherry must be certain duties. If they do not do their treated as a State. Every time we will duties they will be sent off. I will hold speak about unity of the country but fail the Central Government responsible for it to see Pondicherry has a pecular character and will not blame the bureaucracy alone. unity in itself. People speaking different As far as they are concerned they are putlanguages from Andhra Pracesh, Kerala and ting in their best. Naturally, they cannot Tamil Nadu are here and, practically, the respresent the viewpoint of a particular entire South is represented in Pondicherry place as a Member can do in the legislative territory.

I think somebody from that side at ernment free from this anomaly. least should get up and say that they are all for the election and Vote for my cut motions. In to-day's papers I saw that should be recognised as a State. The Govsome very responsible Member from Pondi- ernment of India have been giving certain cherry belonging to the ruling party said reasons to oppose this demand. When we that their image has now gone up there. met the Home Minister he told us about In the recent elections they were able to the financial viability and when we met capture a majority of the seats in the the Finance Minister he was giving us a Municipality. But, Sir, I would like bring to the notice of this august House that the elections to the Municipality took place some time in the year 1968.

year even prior to that they suspended the sideration. The matters which were sent Municipal Chairman and kept the elections to the Central Government long back are in abeyance for one year. Now, those who still pending. Sir, I will try to show how were elected as Municipal Councillors in negligent this Government has been towards 1968 have conducted the Municipal Chair- Pondicherry. Sir, this year is known as

members are going to support. I am sec- men elections and thereby have captured the

Sir, so far as Pondicherry is concerned

Sir, I go not blame the administration Assembly. So, we cannot criticise the authority over there leaving the Central Gov-

Sir. I am of the view that Pondicherry to different answer.

Sir, we have to go to the Home Minister as far as our personal problems are When they dissolved this Assembly last concerned but they are not given due conInternational Women's year. The dejure for the Inolan pay scales so that you can transfer took place in 1962 the Indian have a better administration and they will Succession Act has not been extended to also be satisfied. Since these people are Pondichery so far. In Pondicherry there in a minority, they cannot press for their are certain people who have renounced their democratic rights. Even if they are right, civil status and they are governed by code it is thrown into the dust bin. As a Memcivil and for their women their rights are ber of Parliament, I can press for their governed there. For people who are direct- rights only here and the Government of ly governed by code civil there is no prob- India would do the needful to these innolem but people who are Christians and not cent and poor people. renounced and not governed by code civil the Hindu Succession Act is not applicable. Also the Hindu Marriage Act is not applicable. So, you must realise the Christian women who have not renuounced their civil status are not having right in their property and this is a very primitive position. Sir, even this simple point we have been urging over a number of years and the Central Government is not considering the same.

Sir, Mrs. Parvathi Krishpan mentioned on last year's budget I suggested appointment of a Commission to rectify these anomalies. The entire Education Department, Press and some other departments have been left out and even where pay scales have been announced there are very many anomalies. So, they can send Commission and rectify this anomaly. Unconsequences will be unpleasant.

vants, I must think of the ex-French staff sented this matter, they explained away also Sir, in the year 1954, they never con- that it is not economical for us to run templated as to what their future will be? trains to these small towns. If the train ser-So, they simply opted for the French pay vices are untimely, how can they be econoscales and some of them opted for the micul? The train services suit neither the stu-Madras pay scales. Subsequently, Sir when dents nor the officers nor the busithe Central scheme was introduced, it was nessmen. The beneficial to them. So once again, an option them. was given to them and many of them opted come before the House with the statistics for the Indian pay scales. Now, Sir, in the that out of the 1500 trains which were canvear 1968 or 1969, when it was reopened celled, about 1300 trains have been restored before the 1970 Commission, to Goa, they and only about 200 remain cancelled. My gave one other option to opt for Indian complaint is that, so for as Pondicherry is pay scales. Now I would plead with this concerned, they are not paying any atten-Government that they should give one more tion considering the welfare of the people. option to the ex-French staff of Pondi- That is the reason why they are not even cherry, Karaikal, Mahe and Yanam to opt thinking of conducting elections.

When the Railway Minister was sneaking on the other day, he was speaking about the extension of rail services and other things. But Sir, for one full year, to my State, not a single train was run. When I represented, they never cared for it. Pondicherry is the Headquarters of my Union Territory; Karnikkal is another territory; Make is another portion and Yanam is another portion. No train was run to these places. Now, they speak about the reasonableness of extending the train services to about the pay anomalies. While speaking We have to go by train from Madras to these places. What is the time involved? Pondicherry. Some Member was speaking about this and he said that we can go by train from Madras to Pondicherry. We cannot go by a through train. One has to get down at Villipuram, wait for eight hours, get another train and that will take another eight hours to cover a distance of about 25 less this is done in an urgent manner the run Now, to Kuraikkal, a train starts at miles. This is the way the trains are being about 9.45 AM at Mayman and that resthes at 12.15 PM and the distance cover-Sir, then I talk of the Government ser- ed is only about 20 miles. When we repretrain services strit 11 is alright for them to

the nower position. In regard to power, licence scandal ?' That is how they ask. I we are mainly dependent upon Tamil Nadu, feel very much annoved when they ask We have to go with a begging bowl to like this. Tamil Nadu to get power. In regard to power that is coming from Kerala via Tamil certain amount of administration and for certain staff. There are no plans and there are no progressive ideas. I do not even proper spirit and serve them. But they point in discussing about the constitutional threw us out of power. Now the people niceties for a long time forgetting about are saying, 'Let progressive-minded people the people and their interests.

Then, Sir, Ariyanguppum river is another project. Some Members spoke about the port facilities at Pondicherry. When it is a question of port facilities, instead of unloading the fertifiser at Madras and Cudda- When I speak about this, I do so without for them to pass on a certain quantity if we are sincerely interested in the people, But, we do not even find this scheme in of our rights, members' rights, Parliament's the Plan. They have provided only Rs. rights as the supreme authority and all 4 lakhs or Rs. 5 lakhs for the port ferti- that But if you see the condition in our lities. These are only eyewash program- territory, what is the position? If we take mes. When I go back to my constituency, one after another of the items m the bud-I am not able to say anything to my peo- get, I find hardly a single item of interest ple. They ask, 'what have you achieved'? to me. That is why I am sorry to say I can only say that I had been to Delhi this that I do not know whether we are and I saw the big tamasha there, that being misled. A sum of Rs. 23 lakhs is they spoke for so many days about our mentioned in connection with drought re-State but there has been no benefit. Some lief. I do not know from where it has of them are so ignorant that they ask 'Are come. I am not interested in argument

New, I come to my next point regarding you that Member who is involved in the

If this is the way we are going to func-Nadu, we have to first beg Tamil Nadu tion, how can we go ahead? We are setand then send our begging bowl through ting stuck up in technicalities. As an ad-Tamil Nadu to Kerala. Sir, four years vocate I have been bored for 15 years back, as a matter of policy, they proposed whenever there is a hair-solitting argument that we should have a thermal plant. When going on about whether it should be melvathis was proposed and when the report ram or whether it should be hudivaram. was sent as early as 1973, no reply came New even in Parliament that disease has from the Central Government. Today, crept in. This is the kind of thing that from the Budget papers, I find that they has been the real block for our progress. are not contemplating the setting up of Those days are over. We must go to the this thermal plant under the Plan. The spirit of the thing. What for have we unfortunate thing is, they cannot take up been sent here? Last time, when I faced new schemes on their own. Sir, I will election, Shrimati Indira Gandhi along with not take your time unnecessarily. Practs. Shri Kamraj who is not here, but who cally, 75 per cent of the amount is spent is always available in the Central Hall, on overheads. They just provide for a along with other people contested against us and got defeated. Then people thought that at least they would take it in the consider this as a Budget. There is no joined together in an unbody manner and get together and come to us. We will once again elect you. We will see to it'. But I do not know what is in their mind and why they are postponing elections, thus denying the people their right by this kind of undemocratic methods.

lore, it is better and economically viable any political motivation. I say this because to Pondicherry also. Sir, if the Ariyangup- we must go back to them with a clean pam river is fully utilised we can bave conscience and a clean slate. I am very berths and so on and they can unload it, proud that you, Sir, expressed in favour

was drought and people were suffering. The Bala Pajanor. My father was a devotes Government came forward with a begging of the Ashram. But this matter will not Central Government said they would give of it. You must take full interest for the to Rs. 23.55 lakha.

When it is a question of spending, they you. say 'It is a matter of policy. We will not give you. You must adjust within the plan to say. They spent it and it is for a good dards. eause and the people are happy about it. But at the same time, this is a Union Territory. You are directly governing it. Therefore, when you speak about policy matters, you have to take it in your own sphere as part of your own territory. It is a backward territory. You must give the amount from your pocket, either from the consolidated Fund of India or from some other source and not ask to adjust it within our own funds. If you are going to ask us to adjust within our plan, then we will ask for Statchood. We are not like Shri Karuwho is asking for autonomy. We are asking for our own administration, a better administration which will manage in a better way. If you are not going to do it, I am afraid you will be encouraging separatist tendencies. Already the separatist idea is welfare of the people on the whole.

is unfortunate that I see here only the democracy, not the spirit of it. Minister of State for Finance. This is a subject in which every one of you should be interested. This is the Territory that speak about. So far as the Pondicherry has given the unifying colour for the State, public servants are concerned, the Health You speak very highly of it. But all the Minister said this morning that they are trytime, you are only interested in the Ashram, ing to unify the pay scales. I am happy You forget that there are many other places about it. Even seven months ago, we sent

because that is not the main idea. There in the Ashram. My name is Aravinda bowl to the Central Government. The end there. We must take a composite view Rs. 38 lakhs. Subsequently it was reduced entire territory. If you do that for Pondicherry. I am sure you will do it for other States also. This can be a model for

Last time also we had asked for a High itself'. Because of that, our Government Court. When you have a Judicial Commiswas forced to adjust this drought relief sioner's court for Goa and other places, fund within the plan. Where from they you are denying this to us, I do not know have taken this amount, I am not competent for what reason. You have double stan-

> Then I request you to send a Commission to our Territory because it is a backward Territry industrially. In the Plan. I see you are having an Industrial Development Corporation. But the amount you are allotting is more like the time allotted to my party in this House. I am very grateful to the Deputy-Speaker that he has given me quite a long time. But if I have to speak on the Railway Budget. I am given only 3 minutes.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: This is nanidhi, the Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu, special case because you come from that area. You are the lone representative of the area.

SHRI ARAVINDA BALA PAJANOR: I am very grateful to you for giving me more time. I can only mention this now. gaining ground which is opposed to the The Business Advisory Committee should unity idea which alone can promote the see to it that a minimum of 5 minutes is allowed to a party. I am allowed only 2 minutes on Steel and Mines and 3 minut-Once again I appeal to the Prime Minis- es on Shipping and Transport. Within this ter who, I think, will be listening from time, what can I express? That is why I somewhere and to the other Ministers. It say we are only adhering to the form of

I have a number of other matters to than the Ashram. I am also interested a representation to the Health Minister stating the anomalies. A technician in the Willingdon Hospital is paid two times the emoluments of his counterpart in JIPMAR hospital. An assistant is paid not even three-fourts of his counterpart in Lady Hardinge Hospital. Within the same country, you are having this kind of discrimination. If you are not going to solve this problem for which we sent a petition, do you expect us only to speak in this Parliament which will be just playing to the gallery?

That will not serve any purpose.

Once again I appeal to you: please send us a Commission so that it can go into the anomalies and rectify them and also look into other matters which need looking into and do the needful so that we can have a better administration there with good and conferred government staff so serve the people as is expected in a democracy.

**इ:० कैलारा (बम्बई बंकिक):** उपाध्यक्ष जी. पाडियेरी के बजट पर कुछ बोलने की मेरी इच्छा इस कारण ने हुई कि मुझे यह सीधाव्य प्राप्त हथा था कि मै पांडिचेरी तीन बार जा चका ह भीरवहा के निवासियों की अपनी पाखो से दुवंशा देखा नका ह। जिस चेहरे को मैंने देखा मैंने यह पाया कि उसे शायद पूरा भोजन नहीं मिलता उसके पान वह साधन नहीं है जिन से बह इस्पने परिवार को पाल मके। श्री एस • एस • सीलम अब वहां के लैफ्टीनेट गवर्नर थे तो मुझे बार, पाच दिन वहा रहने का मौका मिला था। श्री सरकिन्द घोष, जो मारतीय स्वतवता के इतिहास के एक बगमगाते मितारे हैं। उनके प्रति भी मेरी श्रद्धा है भीर उन्होंने जिम प्रकार वहां पर आश्रम बनाबा है, उसमें किस प्रकार के कार्य चल रहे है, वह देश के विकास का साबद एक उदाहरण हो सकता है तो मुझे यहां जाने की कई बार इच्छा होती रही । जिस प्रकार बहा पर किसा का सचालत एक सम्पर्ध राष्ट्रीय ढंग से किया जा रहा है, जिस प्रकार वहां पर कुटीं र उद्योग या छोटे उद्योग काम में लागे जा रह है, व्यक्तियों को उनमे लगाया जा रहा है.

तो मुझे भी भाषा भी कि वहां पर ऐसी लरकार, भी सोगों भी सरकार ही, तो वह मायद उन सोगों के दुख वर्ष को दूर कर सकेगी, जो कि प्रशिक्षण भें नहीं किया जा सकता है।

जब बहा पर श्री बी॰डी॰ जती साहब लेपटीतेट गवर्नर वे मैं पाच छ दिन के लिए वहा
गवा था और जो मैंने 8 वर्ष पूर्व लोगो के
चंहरे पर एक गरीबी की तस्वीर भीर चिल
देखा या ठीक वही माननीय जती माहब के
जमाने में देखकर प्राया था। नो मैं दिल मजी
जी ने जानना चाहता हू कि क्या कारण है कि
हम भ्रमी भी वहां पर राष्ट्रपति का शासन रखने
जारहे हैं? क्या वहा पर डीलिमिटेशन रही हो
पाया है? भ्रमर डीलिमिटेशन की जो पंत्रिया पूरी
करनी चाहिए, वह भ्रमर इनैक्शन कभीशन
ने पूरी नहीं की है तो उसे भ्रवस्य पूर, किया
जाना चाहिए,

15,47 hrs. [Shri Dinesh Chandra Goswami in the chair]

जब मै वहा पर नट पर गया तो मैंने देखा कि नेज चलने वाली इस प्रकार की वहां नार्वे आ गही थी और वहा मे माल उताराजा रहा था तथा सामान साराम से इधर उछर भेजा भी जारहा याजिल से ऐसा भाषाल होता था कि कोई पछने बाला नहीं है कि या यह सामान कहां मे बाया और किस प्रकार आया। स्मर्गालय का मैं ऐसा मानता ह वह एक छोटा बहुडा भी ग्हा. भीर जब सारे देश में हमने स्मगलर्स को पकड़ा तो पाडिचेरी का भी एक सक्छा कराक भाया है। वहा पर भी हमने कुछ व्यक्तियों को जो म्मर्गालग करने वे पकड़ा है। ऐसी जगह पर हम वहा के लागों की दुर्दशा को बदलने के लिए एक प्रच्छा पोर्ट बना सकें जिसे हम पाइनर पोर्ट कह सके तो धक्या या प्रगर मीडियम पोर्ट वहा पर बन जाए-मैं जानना ह कि यह तो टेक्निकल एडवाइन पर निर्भर करेगा - तो मैं ऐसा मानना ह कि पाडियेरी का डेबन्यपमेट इत चनि के हो जाएगा ।

इन्डस्ट्रियल डेबलपमेंट कारपोरेकन के दफ्तर में भी मुझे जाने का मौका मिला था । बद्धपि में ऐसे बक्त वहां पर गया था जबकि में पालियामेंट का सदस्य नहीं था। मै एक कार्य-कर्ता के रूप में वहा पर गया था और इस नाने बहा गया था कि अबिन्द आश्रम मे क्या कार्य बल एडा है उस को देखने के लिये गया या ताकि उस का देखकर शायद में बस्बई नगरी में कुछ काम कर सक । मैंने वहा पर देखा कि इन्डस्टि-यल डेवलपमेट कार्पोरेशन के लिये वहां पर जो चनी हुई सरकार थी. जो कुछ भी वह रुपया मागती थी, वह उस की पूरी तरह से नही जिलता था। जो उस मध्य बहा के मध्य मत्री थे, उन से मैं मिला और उन मुख्य मुती ने मझ में वहा वि मुख्य मन्नी होते हुए भी मुझे दिल्ली घडी-चडी जाना पड़ना है और होम सिनिस्टर के मार्गे-पीछे धमना पड़ना है भीर भनग-भनग मिवयो मे प्रार्थना करनी पहती है लेकिन जा हम मागले हैं, उस की पूर्ती नहीं हो पानी । तो इन्डॉस्ट्न डेव-लपमेट कार्पोरेशन का खर्चा हम क्यो कर रहे हैं। इतना ज्यादा खर्चा कर क ग्रगर हम व्यक्तिया को बहा पर उद्याग में नहीं लगा पाते हैं. तो उस सम्था को मिटा दनाचाहिये और उस का हटा देना चाहिए । यहा जा से देन प्रकार बाल रहा ह वह दुख के बारण बाल रहा है। मै कभी नहीं चाहगा कि इन्डस्टियन डेबलपमेट कार्पेरिशन का बहा से हटाया जाए लेकिन जब बहा कार्ट काम मही रहा सौर वह वहा पर रह, ता में भन्नी जी से जानना चाहना ह कि इन्डिस्टिशन डेवल्पमट कारपॉरेशन ने वहा पर क्या काम विद्या है, उन को किनना रूपया भाप ने भेजा है, किनना रूपया बहा पर ग्रान्टम के रूप में दिया गया ग्रीर कितना सोन के रूप में दिया और कितनी वहा पर व्यक्ति-यो की ग्रॉजिया बाई भीर इस प्रकार कितन लागो की भ्राप ने भ्रजिया पास की यह सुचना विल मत्नी देने की कृपा करे।

मैंने बहा की तिक्षण सस्याधों को भी देखने का प्रमाण किया धीर मुझे बड़ा पुख हुआ, वहा ने धध्यापकों की दुर्वशा को देखकर , वहा ने विद्या-धियों के रहन-सहन को और उन के कपड़ों को देखकर ! यह साफ दीखता था कि ने जिस परि-बार से आते हैं या तो उस परिवार की धामवनी बहुत कन है और वा बहां की सरकार के नांत पैका सही है। केमा में उसी की बड़ेबर बे रखा है जो हमारे कानून में है, काल्टी-ट्यूशन मे जो उस का बर्जा है, उसे तो हम अवलें नहीं सकते, तो में यही चाहता हू कि <sup>(</sup>जब हम देश को एकता के सुख में बांबना चाहते हैं और हम इत को साइकोकोजीकली बांधवा चाहते हैं और फ़ेन्च की वहा पर कुछ भी करूबर रही हा धगर हम पाडिचेरी की जो कुछ भी कल्चरल एक्टिबिटीज हैं, उन को अगर हम सेफ रखना चाहते है, उन को हम बिगडना नही चाहते हैं, ता उसे स्टेट का दर्जा दिया जाना चाहिए। यह एक छोटी सी बात जा मे कह रहा है, ऐसा लगता है कि बिना साथे समझे मैं बोल रहा हू । स्टेट बनान के लिये उसकी कितनी भामदनी है बितनी श्रामदनी वह कर सकेगा यह एडमिनिस्ट्रे-टिवली वायएबिल युनिट बन मक्तमा या नही बन मकेगा यह सब बाते तो प्रलग है लेकिन राजनीतिक दुष्टिकाण से हमारे नैतिक दुष्टिकाण से भीर बस्चरल दिष्टकोण से इस एक्सपेरीबेट की झगर हमन बड़ो पर शर किया उसे स्टेटहर दे बर, तो वहा के चीफ मिनिस्टर का यहा पर दौड़ कर झाकर बन्द्र का प्रणाम नहीं करना पहेंगाधीर उसे जो दश्वन प्रणाम यहा ग्रावर करना पडता है, वह शायद बन्द हा जाएगा धौर वहा के लोगां की जा दुरका 27 वर्षा की भाजादी के बाद भी चल रही है, वह कुछ हद तक दर हा जाग्मी । तो में समझता ह कि उसे स्टेटहड देने के लिए प्राज नहीं तो बल निर्णय लेना चाहिए । यह छोटा मा प्रश्न नहीं है। इस पर निर्णय लेने के लिये काफी समय चाहिए, लेबिन मे बढ़ी जी से प्रार्थना करूना कि वे कृपा करके इस पर विचार करने के लिये मुबह्याम्यम जी मे वह कि कैविनेट में इस की वर्षा हो । इस हर सूरत में इस को स्टैटहुड देने है सो इस में बमारी जीत रहने वाली है. हवारी र्मानग पार्टी कांग्रेस के राजनीति दुष्टिकीन से यर बहुत ऊची चीज होने बाली है। इस में कोई शय नहीं है।

गक बात में और कहना चाहता हूं । यहा<sup>व</sup> पर जो चीजे पैदा होती है, उन चीजो का एक्स- 263

पोर्ट की काफी कक्की साक्षा से किया का सकता है। मैं जानना बाहता है कि बना हमारे सजी जी ने इस पर कभी ध्यान दिया है कि वहां पर एक्सपोर्ट की क्या पानिस्तितिक हैं । वहां पर नारियल पैदा होत्य है और काफी भी पैदा होती है । मैं ऐसा समझता ह कि इस के लिये भी उन को तैयारी करनी चाहिए ।

भीर ज्यादा न कहते हुए से यही प्रार्थना कम्मा कि साप ने जा बजट देश किया है, भगवान म करे कि प्रेसीडेट रूल को भीर भागे वहा पर बक्षाना पड़े . उस का जब मैं ने अध्ययन किया, तो मैंने पाया कि भाप ने बजट का 70 परनेन्ट सार्चा जो है, यह नान-मान में लोगा की तन्तवाही के देने में ही चला जाना है और 30 परमेन्ट जो बनता है वह कन्टीन्यहर स्वीम पर प्राप का खब करना पटना है। इस नरह स आप काई नई स्कीम नहीं भने है और वह इसलिये नहीं रख पाने क्या कि वहा पर प्रेमिडेट रून सारी पावर व्योगक्सी के हाथ में है जिन को टेबिल पर बैठ कर काम करन ने भानन्द भारा है। जनता मे जावर उनके विचारा का जान कर नई कस्पना सामन रखना उस की बादन नहीं है। इसलियें जल्द से जन्द वहा पर चनाव किये जान चाहिये भीर स्टटहर उसे मिलना बाहिए उस के बारे में साच विचार वर के उन्हें देने का प्रयत्न करेंगे. ोभी मैं भागा करता है। इस के भलावा ज्यादा से ज्यादा उन का ग्रान्टम इस कार्यकाल ने दे कर यह बनान का प्रयत्न करेंगे कि केन्द्रीय गरवार ने धपने राष्ट्रपनि शासन के दिनो से इनना अच्छा काम किया है भीर केन्द्र से इन प्रकार पैमा बाने में लागों का शला हवा है।

बाप ने मुझे कुछ ज्यादा समय दिया है, इस के लिए में शांपकी धन्यवाद देता है।

SHRI P. G MAVALANKAR (Ahmedabad) Although the discussion on the Budget Demands for my own State of Gujarat has been postponed vet another time, and I hope it will now be held tomorrow, I am glad that the Deputy-Speaker who was then presiding took the

interests of the rights of Parliament over the executive and ruled that although the Demands for Gujarat were important, the overall importance of constitutional propriety hand to prevail I am also sure that my friend Shri Sezhiyan and muny others on this side who interjected m the various points of order and debates on that important constitutional issue never meant to stall anything. Anyway, I am glad that the Deputy-Speaker took a lenient view when the Pondicherry Budget came, and, therefore, we are proceeding with this discussion

It was very heartening to hear my good friend, Shrimati Parvathi Krishnan-I do not see her just now in the House-tell us in so many words that the election in Pondicherry must be held as early as possible. In fact, she said it should be done immediately Equally encouraging were the observations of my esteemed elder and friend, Di Kailas, who said that Pondicherry must have new elections immediately. Then I wonder why my friends from both the CPI and the ruling Congress say that no elections should be held when it comes to the question Guiarat The CPI had voted against my amendment on the President's Address in which I asked for early electrons in Guiarat But, Sir, I am glad that over such a short period of time, just after some days, wisdom has dawned upon our friends both in the CPI and also in the Ruling Congress in regard to the election in Pondicherry, and I hope they will now annly the same yard-stick tomorrow when they come to the question of elections in Gujarat

16 hrs.

DR. KAILAS : Why not?

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR: My friend, Shri Aravinda Bala Pajanor, has made a very moving speech. He comes from Pondicherry and naturally he felt more agitated and disturbed on a number of points I need not repeat these points nor have I the direct knowledge in terms of speaking on those points. But like correct constitutional view in the larger Dr. Kailas, I also had the good fortune

of visiting Pondicherry mainly for the in trying to increase the people's welfare tolerate, the glaring constrast that pre- welfare cannot remain at standstill. vailed there. On the one hand, in the Ashram, we had all the facilities and comforts and also a kind of spiritual atmosphere. But outside, almost at the close doors of the Ashram, I saw such a glaring contrast and Shri Arvind Bala Pajanor as also Dr. Kailas are right when they ay that Pondicherry is continously neglected. Sir, I would have liked many hon, Member belonging to various regions of our great country to come forward and participate in this discussion. It is not only the responsibility of the hon. Member from Pondicherry to talk in terms of the difficulties and problems of his State, it is the concern of all the people of the country, and of the whole people of the sub-continent.

Now, if elections are not held, what is the reason? Are the electoral rolls not completed? I am told they are completed. Is the Election Commission not ready? I um told the Election Commission is ready. The people are wanting elections. My friends from the South tell us that the elections are very much wanted by the people. Then what is the obstacle? Then what is the hinderance ? Sir, the tragedy of the situation is, not only in Pondicherry but in many other parts of this country, that quite often, what I may call, vicious politiking dominates and problems of peoples welfare are put into secondary considerations, in third and fourth categories. Sir, democracy means people's welfare. Are we interested in putting this person or that person, this party or that party in power? Are we only miserable and college professors are not in depriving group interested this of power ? or that group interested in We are continued efforts aimed at the increased ively than they can do with the bureauwelfare of the people ? It is possible that cratic machinery. I understand, the Central

purpose of visiting the great Aurobindo one party may be in power today, but Ashram, Sir, I remember vividly the ex- that same party may be in Opposition totreme poverty in the eyes of the ordinary morrow. How does it matter ? What people, commoners, children, people living matters is whether people's welfare is proin huts, small cottages and I must say that moted. If people's welfare is promoted and I felt extremely distressed, because I could for that 'A' or 'B' party is to go out, well not really relish, much less could I even that party will have to go out. People's

> Therefore, I feel that Pondicherry must have elections very soon. Secondly, I would like to make a mention about the drought. It is very serious. In Pondicherry, the conditions of drought are very disastrous. So also in Tamil Nadu and in other parts of South India. Last month I was in Madras, and got the people's views, and I also saw for myself the conditions there. I was told that Madras had been facing an acute problem in regard to drinking water.

Fortunately, rains have come and there is some relief in other parts of Tamil Nadu except Madras City. If the people of Pondicherry or Gujerat are distressed by drought, should the argument be that because there is drought. therefore you will not have a popular Government? Rather the argument should be that because there is a problem of such gigantic dimensions, only the elected representatives of the people will be able to deliver the goods. I have nothing against officers. If thev are competent exeperienced in the it is all the better for the administration. But by the very nature of things, no bureaucracy can function with any kind of direct cantact with the people. So. I cannot accept this argument that elections should be postponed because of the drought, and that normal healthy democratic processes should be kept in abeyance.

As in Gujarat, teachers' conditions are getting adequate pay in Pondicherry. If Or, there is a popular Government, the people making can make representations far more effectGovernment has already sanctioned a to know what steps have been taken to see that this is expedited. It is no use giving an assurance and sleeping over it.

The conditions of the roads are extremely poor and inadequate m Pondicherry. The number of health centres is less than what is required, especially in the rural areas. The minister should give more attention to having good roads and more employment, because that is nothing peculiar to Pondicherry alone but is common to the whole country. If that is a consolation to my young friend, I can offer him him. that.

to spend a little more time, money and attention on the development of Karaikkal, which can be developed into a good, useful port to serve Pondicherry and South India

As I said, we want to give an impression that all the Members of Parliament are interested in the proper development of all the areas of the country. Pondicherry has had the privilege of having the Aurobindo Ashram. It has also the privilege of having one of the world's very interesting experiments—a new kind this are pouring in from UNESCO and dence motion, we do not want the elecmany international and private agencies tions to take place afterwards. all over the world. We want it to develop, we were the ruling party. Then in the but should we allow this glaring contrast- elections we got defeated. Aurobindo Ashram and Auroville, which which came to power was defeated by a are receiving the attention of the peoples no-confidence motion. But that is no and the Government of India and the excuse for postponing the elections and, peoples and the Governments of the world consequently, the functioning of the deon the one side, and the lack of care and mocratic government there. When attention for the common people of Pon- elections are held, my party may or may dicherry on the other? This is my appeal, not come to power. That is not the conand I hope that the Government of India sideration. Personal or party gain should will see to it that Pondicherry becomes not be the consideration; we should follow famous, not only through these two big the democratic norms and procedures institutions, welcome as they are important Otherwise, we will have autocracy in as they are, but by steady and sustained place of democracy, always being ruled and, I will even say, speedy development by the Contre. If the Centre feel that and progress of the wast members of poor representative government is not suitable people who reside in Pondicherry.

SHRI SEZHIYAN : Mr. Chairman, 1 central university in Pondicherry. I want agree with the other hon. Members who preceded me in saying that there should be immediate elections in Pondicherry and representative government should allowed to function there. Whatever might have been the reason in March 1973...

1975-76

M RAM GOPALA REDDY SHRI (Nizamabad): You are the reason.

SHRI SEZHIYAN : If Shri Reddy health centres. I will not talk about un- wants to enter into a dialogue, I am prepared for that.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You please ignore

SHRI SEZHIYAN . If an hon. Mem-I should like the Government of India ber of this House, an elderly gentleman, says something. I will not ignore that He said that I am responsible for it. I accept it Because, on the 27th March 1974, at the first meeting of the Assembly, a no-confidence motion was adopted, the Ministry was unscated and President's Rule came. But I must say that the Congress Party to which Shri Reddy belongs, joined with us in supporting that no-confidence motion. In fact, he is more responsible because his party had a greater strength than my party.

I am not here to suggest that merely of institution called "Auroville." Funds for because we participated m the no confi-The for Pondicherry for some time to come, the

same argument can be applied to all the States and all of them can be knought under President's Rule, and every day Skri Mukherjee will have the honour of coming before the House with supplementary demands or excess demands.

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHFR-JEE: And being stalled by you.

SHRI SEZHIYAN: My first plea is that the elections should be held at the earliest possible moment and every party should be given the opportunity to go to the people of Pondicherry, because the people have the constitutional and fundamental right to have administration by their duly elected representatives

In this context, we have three Presidential Orders, issued on the 27th March 1974, 26th September 1974 (after the expiry of six months) and the third on the 7th March 1975 What do they say in these Presidential Orders? When the first order came, we approved it immediately. The Order of the 7th March is a replica of the Order of 26th September 1974 It says:

"Whereas I have received a report from the Administrator of the Union Territory of Pondicherry and after con sidering the report and other information received by me, I am satisfied that the situation in the Union Territory continues to be such that the administration of the Territory cannot be carried on in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution and for further administration of the Union Territory it is necessary that the operation of the provisions should be continued

He says the same thing in respect of the report for 1973-75 also. There also he says:

"Whereas I have received a renort from the Administrator of the Union Territory of Pondicherry and after considering the report and other information received by me, I am satisfied . . etc."

Now he has referred to two documents received from the Administrator of Pondicherry and, based on the reports, he has taken the decision. Whenever a Presidential Order is imposed on the States. invariably, the report of the Government is placed on the Table of the House along with the Order. In these circumstances. when two reports have been received in which he requested the President to continue the President's Rule-once in September and again in March-in all fairness to the House, we should have reports from the Administrator addressed to the President -that means, addressed to the Executive here-so as to know what were the grounds put forth by the Administrator, and what was the speciality that was there which made the Union Government and the President to take a decision that President's Rule should continue as recommended by the Administrator

On the 14th March I wrote a letter to the Speaker about these things and asked that the two statements sent by the Admimistrator should be made available to the House so that the House would be in a better position to appreciate why the Presidential Order is being continued for the third time. Whenever a State is brought under aegis of the President's Rule, this House ought to function as the Assembly of the particular State; so we should know why we are doing the iob which is meant to be done hy the another Assembly. It comes to this. only are you usurping the powers of the House, but the House is made to usurp the powers of some other Assembly This is not a democratic procedure

Coming to rules, you may sav that nowhere in the Union Territories Act is it said that the Administrator's Report should be laid on the Table of the House. That would probably be technical but not democratic.

Two more things, and I will be done. Regarding Plan allocations. Pondicherry has been given a very raw deal: it has not been given its due shere. In the other institution. Those who want Fifth Five Year Plan it was orginally get a promotion go there for a period of Rs. 32 crores and then, due to the in- six months and, once the promotion is crease in the Triand Pay Commission's confirmed, they want to revett back to scales etc. it was expected to go to Rs. 40 Delhi and manipulate from here. This crores. This was the agreement between idea of using Pondhicherry as a sormethe Union Territory of Pondicherry and board or a play-ground for training the the Planning Commission and this was persons without benefiting the particular agreed to by the Centre. So, on the base State is not conducive to the interest of of 40 crores for the Fifth Five Year Plan the State. Otherwise, in course of time, for the years 1975-79, on an average they may insist on a separate cadre for Rs. 8 crores should be allotted for each Pondicherry itself. If these things Rs 10 40 crores on the whole So, out for the people of the State. of the allocation of 40 crores, for the first two years they have allotted only cent Therefore I would appeal that this mands for Grants for Pondicherry. Plan allocation should be raised

observation-without going into details Pondicherry, somehow or other, is used by the Administrator and the officials here as a spring board for promotions. Supposing an Under Secretary wants a you, Sir and the hon. Members, the cupromotion, he is immediately shunted cumstances under which the elected Govto Pondicherry He does not have any ernment of the Union Territory could not involvement there He goes there on function It is no use trying to find out promotion as Deputy Secretary and the location of responsibility for the failhe comes back here confirmed in that post He does not have any involvement with the problems of the 1974 State I um not against integration I do not say that the Pondhicherry admithey want.

year. But I find that for the year 1974- done, the persons who want promotion 75 they have allotted only Rs 529 crores are sent there for a short period and and for the year 1975-76 only Rs 511 brought back here on the same posts, this crotes have been allotted-amounting to is neither good for the administration nor

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE Rs. 104 crores which means that the MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI PRAbalance of Rs 30 crores is to be spent NAB KUMAR MUKHERIEE) . Mr. in the next three years which I very much Chairman, Sir, I am grateful to the hon doubt. For the first two years you have Members for making their observations on given only 25 per cent instead of 40 per the Budget and the Supplementary De-

I agree with the hon Members that it Secondly, I want to make a general would have been more appropriate if the problems of the people of Pondicherry could have been discussed and sorted out on the floor of the Union Territory Legislative Assembly But it is known ure of constitutional machinery which hardly could exist after the elections of

Regarding early elections, the issue has nistration should be manned only by been raised not only on the floor of this persons of Pondhicherry. Why not have House but on other occasions also and some cadre which has got some involve- the position of the Government has been ment in that State? After all, the bureau- made quite clear. We are not opposed cracy is there to serve the people It is to hold elections early. But at the same not the people who are to serve the time the very basic problems of the Union bureaucracy by giving them the posts that Tefritory should be looked into. The unprecedented drought which is prevailing there and the various relief measures You take any institution there, whether which have been undertaken are to wel it is the secretariat or JIPMER or any through and, at the same time certain normalcy should be brought back in the consideration of the Union Ministry which the elections could be held.

I would not like to make any observations which may appear to be extra-sanctioned. neous. In this country, we find that two types of demands are raised. On the after that, on the other hand, immediately be allocated; other things are also there. they raise a demand for having a fresh elected Assembly. This is their concept of democracy, that is, an elected Assem- kindly ask the Minister to request bly should be dissolved before its stipu- colleague in the Education Ministry lated period and, after that, immediately expedite this. there is a demand that there should be a fresh elected Assembly. That is a polithe Opposition. But I can assure the my colleague. House, as it has been pointed out by my colleague in the Home Ministry, that the elections will take place as soon as the situation is normal there.

problems related there.

Shri Mavalankar has raised a question when it will be finalised, but it is under at a decision.

of Education.

AN HON. MEMBER : It has been

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHERone hand, a demand is raised for the dis- JEE: There is a gap between the samesolution of the elected Assembly and, tion and implementation. Money has to

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR : Sir,

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHERtical angularity of the hon. Members of JEE: I will pass on your suggestion to

Regarding deficit of the Union Territory, it is known to the bon. Members that unlike the States, the entire deficit of the Union Territory is being met by The hon, Member from Pondicherry the Central Government. So far as the has made a very forceful speech and has revenue deficit is concerned, it is met highlighted particularly the problems of straightway by assistance; so far as the the people there. I agree with him that capital deficit is concerned, it will be the problems of the people of Pondicherry met by loans. Even for the present Plan should be looked into in its proper pers- allocation, I appreciate that the size of pective and that Pondicherry should not the Plan has not been up to the expectabe known as merely the location of the tions of the people of Pondicherry, but in Ashram there. The Ashram plays an the limited situation of so many constraints important role not only in the life of in our economic life, it has been possible Pondicherry but also in the life of the for us to increase the Plan outlay of the entire India. Apart from that, the peo- current year by a few lakhs from the last ple of Pondicherry have also their own year. Last year it was of the order of 4 45 problems and those problems should not crores; this year, it has been 5.14 crores. be over-shadowed by the Ashram or the It is coming either as the grant-in-aid or as loan assistance.

Two or three projects have been menregarding the Central University. Already tioned particulary by some hon. Members. a proposal is there. But it has to be In regard to the Thermal Power Project. kept in mind that if the Central Univer- we expect that the detailed project reports sity is established by the Education Minis- will be ready by the end of this month. try, the entire expenditure is to be horne After that the Government will be in a by the Education Ministry; Pondicherry position to take a decision. Preliminary Administration or the Union Territory has studies in regard to the composite project nothing to do with it. It has not yet of Ariankuppam Port Project are also been finalised. That is why, it has not under completion and as soon as the whole been possible for me to say at this stage, thing is finalised, Government will arrive

projects If these two Central sector where investment is much more are taken hon. Members who have taken part in this in hand, undoubtedly, the entire assistance discussion. will have to come from the Central Government. It will not be possible for the Union Territory Administration to bear any part of the expenditure.

Regarding other measures of development and other things, certain points have been regarding the conditions of the raised drought relief etc. I hope, the Union Territory administration will take care of these and certain allocations have been made in the State Annual Plan. But the basic question remains. If we cannot augment the Plan allocation, we cannot take care of all the areas which deserve our attention.

Before I conclude, I would like to highlight one more point which has been raised by Shri Sezhiyan about the laying of notification and the report received from the Union Territory Administrator to the Home Ministry on the floor of the House. On an earlier occassion, I explained the legal position about it on the floor of the House. When a State is under President's rule under Art. 356 of the Constitution. notification and the Governor's advice to the President are laid on the Table of the House, but if it is being done under Sec. 51 of the Union Territories Act, that legal requirement is not necessary. And in this connection, the position has been explained in details in a letter that has been sent to Shri Sezhivan under Home Ministry's Memo dated 18th March, 1975. If he has not received it by this time, he may get it. It is in connection with his letter to the Home Ministry that the position has been explained in detail.

SHRI SEZHIYAN: We will have a separate discussion after we have received that letter.

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHFR-JEE: No. no. Which you wrote to the Home Ministry? I am not concerned with that.

With these words, Sir, I again thank the

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr Pajanor, want your cut motions to be put to vote?

SHRI ARAVINDA BALA PAJANOR: I am particular about cut motion No. 2 which may be put to vote.

MR. CHAIRMAN: All right. I will put cut motion No. 2 of Shri Aravinda Bala Pajanor to the vote of the House.

Now, the question is:

"That the Demand for Grant on Account under the head 'Elections' be reduced to Re. 1."

[Postponement of elections without any reason whatsoever(2).]

THE LOK SABHA DIVIDED:

Bhattacharyva, Shri Dinen

Division No. 8

16.39 hrs.

# AYES

Bhattacharyya, Shri Jagdish Bhattacharyya, Shri S. P. Chavda, Shri K. S. Deo, Shri P. K. Horo, Shri N. E. Joarder, Shri Dinesh Mavathevar, Shri K. Pandeva. Dr. Laxminarain Parmar, Shri Bhaliibhai Roy, Dr. Saradish Saha. Shri Aiit Kumar Sezhiyan, Shri Shakya, Shri Maha Deepak Singh Shastri, Shri Ramavatar \*Shastri, Shri Sheopujan Singh, Shri D. N. Verma, Shri Phool Chand

<sup>\*</sup>Wrongly voted for AYES.

#### NOES

Ambesh, Shri

Ansari, Shri Ziaur Rahman

Arvind Netam, Shri

Balakrishniah, Shri T.

Banerjee, Shrimati Mukul

Barupal, Shri Panna Lal

Basumatari, Shii D.

Bhatia, Shri Raghunandan Lal

Bist, Shri Narendra Singh

Daga, Shri M. C.

Deo, Shri S. N. Singh

Dhamankar, Shri

Dharia, Shri Mohan

Doda, Shri Hiralal

Dwivedi, Shri Nageshwar

Ganga Devi, Shrimati

Gavit, Shri T. H.

Gopal, Shri K.

Hari Singh, Shri

Joshi, Shri Popatlal M.

Kailas, Dr

Kapur, Shri Sat Pal

Karan Singh, Dr.

Kasture, Shri A. S.

Kaul, Shrimati Sheila

Kotrashetti, Shri A. K.

Lakshmikanthamma, Shrimati T.

Mahajan, Shri Vikram

Mahajan, Shri Y. S.

Majhi, Shri Kumar

Mallanna, Shri K.

Mallikarjun, Shri

Mirdha. Shri Nathu Ram

Mishra, Shri Bibhuti

Mishra, Shri G. S.

Mohan Swarup, Shri

Murthy, Shri B. S.

Naik, Shri B. V.

Negi, Shrì Pratap Singh

Orson, Shri Tuna

Painuli, Shri Paripoornanand

Pandey, Shri Krishna Chandra

Pandey, Shri R. S.

Pandit, Shri S. T.

Paokai Haokip, Shri

Parashar, Prof. Narain Chand

Peje, Shri S. L.

Raghu Ramaiah, Shri K.

Raj Bahadur, Shri

Ram Dhan, Shri

Ram Singh Bhai, Shri

Ramji Ram, Shri

Rao, Shri M. Satyanarayan

Rao, Shri P Ankineedu Prasada

Ravi, Shri Vayalar

Reddy, Shri M. Ram Gopal

Reddy, Shri P. Ganga

Richhariva, Dr. Govind Das

Rov. Shri Biswanath

Samanta, Shri S. C.

Sankata Prasad, Dr.

Sarkar, Shri Sakti Kumar

Sathe, Shri Vasant

Sethi. Shri Ariun

Shambhu Nath, Shri

Shankar Daval Singh, Shri

Shankaranand, Shri B.

Sharma, Shri A. P.

Sharma, Shri Madhoram

Sharma, Shri Nawal Kishore

Sharma, Dr. Shanker Dayal

Shivnath Singh, Shri

Shukla, Shri B. R.

Sinha, Shri Nawal Kishore

Stephen, Shri C. M.

Sudarsanam, Shri M.

Suryanarayana, Shri K.

Tiwary, Shri D. N.

Unnikrishnan, Shri K. P.

Verma, Shri Sukhdeo Prasad

Vikal, Shri Ram Chandra Virbhadra Singh, Shri

Yadav, Shri Chandralit

MR. CHAIRMAN: The result\* of the division is:

Ayes: 18; Noes: 83,

The motion was negatived.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I am putting the rest of the Cut Motions together.

Cut Motions Nos. 1 and 3 to 15 were put and negatived.

Now I am putting the Demands for Grants. The question is:

"That the respective sums not exceeding the amounts on Revenue Account and Capital Account shown in the third column of the Order Paper, be granted to the President, on Account, out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year commencing from 1st April, 1975, in respect of the heads of demands entered in the second column thereof-Demands Nos. 1 to 32 and 34."

The motion was adopted.

Now I am putting the Supplementary Demand for Grants. The question is:

"That the respective Supplementary sums not exceeding the amounts on Revenue Accounts and Capital Account shown in the third column of the Order Paper be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry to defray the charges which will come

\*The following Members also recorded their votes:

AYES: Sarvashri Ram Deo Singh, P. G. Mavalankar and Madhuryya Haldar.

NOES; Sarvashri Sidram Reddy, Narsingh Narain Pandey, K. Ramkrishna Reddy and Sheopujan Shastri. in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975, in respect of the following demands entered in the second column thereof

Demands Nos. 4 to 15, 17 to 21, 30 and 32."

The motion was adopted.

16.32 hrs.

PONDICHERRY APPROPRIATION (VOTE ON ACCOUNT) BILL 1975\*

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHERJEE): I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill to provide for the withdrawal of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry for the services of a part of the financial year 1975-76.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is.

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill to provide for the withdrawal of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Textitory of Pondicherry for the services of a part of the financial year 1975-76".

The motion was adopted.

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHER-JEE: 1 introducet the bill.

SHRI ARAVINDA BALA PAJANOR (Pondicherry): I could not send the slip to you earlier.

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHER-JEE: I beg to movet that "the Bill to provide for the withdrawal of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union Territory of Pondicherry for the services of a part of the financial year 1975-76, be taken into consideration."

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the bill to provide for the withdrawal of certain sums from and

\*Published in Gazette of India Extraordinary Part II, section 2, dated 20-3-1975.

fintroduced/Moved with the recommendation of the President.