Shri S. M. Banerice : Sir. ease I would request you humbly to ask the the 1974-75 Supplementary Demands and Finance Minister to investigate into the 1975-76 estimates of the Gujarat Governwhole matter . It seems that Rs. 50,000 ment. has been collected from them. It is very surprising. I do not blame the customs officers. These people must have been given some presents in that country but when they came to their own country, they were detained for four hours and treated in this way. Sir. I would request you to make some observations.

MR. SPEAKER : Whatever views have been expressed here will be conveyed to the Finance Minister.

13.12 hrs.

GUJARAT BUDGET, 1975-76-GENE-**RAL DISCUSSION, DEMANDS*** FOR GRANTS ON ACCOUNT (GUJARAT), 1975-76 AND SUPPLEMENTARY DE-MANDS* FOR GRANTS (GUJARAT), 1974-75-CONTD.

MR. SPEAKER ; We will now take up items 7 and 8 about Gujarat. As you know, a point was raised yesterday. The Rajya Sabha is adjourning on the 26th. This must go to the Rajya Sabha on the 24th. So. if Shri Sezhiyan agrees, while that point is being looked into, this debate can go on

SHRIH M PATEL (Dhandhuka) : We have to see what the Minister has to say. On the basis of those remarks, we will consider this suggestion

MR. SPEAKFR : Yes. the Minister should say something, otherwise it is very difficult.

SHRI SEZHIYAN (Kumbakonam) : He must satisfy us on the points raised. That is important.

MR. SPEAKER : I am not giving any finding at all, It is a matter of accommodating each other if you accommodate each other, it is all right. Otherwise, the matter is where it was.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI PRA-NAB KUMAR MUKHERJEE) : Sir, yes-

President.

in this terday certain points were raised regarding

The first point related to the provision in the various demands for payment of additional dearness allowance. A lump sum provision of Rs. 5.6 crores was included in the Budget Estimates for the current year under Demand No. 24. This lump sum provision was for meeting the likely increases in dearness allowance in the course of the financial year. As this provision was on an ad hoc basis and ite payment depended on the final decisions taken regarding sanctioning dearness allowance increases, it was considered preferable to keep a lump sum provision in the demands of the Finance Department, instead of providing for dearness allowance increases under various demands. Three increases of dearness allowance have been sanctioned. effectively from 1-1-74, 1-3-74 and 1-5-74. In the Supplementary Demands now before the House, additional funds for the three increases in dearness allowance have been sought under various demands, to the extent necessary. In view of this, the lump sum provision of Rs. 5.6 crores made in the original Budget Estimates will not be utilized.

I agree with Shri Sezhiyan that it was necessary to indicate clearly that the lump sum provision of Rs. 5.6 crores was for likely increases in dearness allowance.

I should also like to clarify that even with the payment of the additional D. A. sanctioned, the expenditure debited to the relevant Demands would not have ekceeded the Grant by now,

Three further D.A. increases effective from 1-6-74, 1-8-74 and 1-9-74 respectively have been announced on 13-2-75 and hence a lump-sum provision of about Rs. 571 crores has been made in the Revised Estimates of 1974-75. Sumilarly, in the next year's budget, a lump-sum provision of Rs 175 croies has been made in Demand No. 12 towards full "Moved with the recommendation of the year's effective of these three dearness allowance increases as well the liabilities

that may arise out of the decision that the State Government may take on the recommendations of the Second Pay Commission. Though hon. Members will agree that there are real administrative and other difficulties in making provisions for these items in the respective Demands, I concede the point made by Shri Sezhivan that, as far as possible, lump-sum provisions should be avoided as it cannuct be regarded as good budgeting.

The hon. Member also referred to some of the items relating to contingency fund advances and viewed that Contingency Fund should not be regarded as a running account and that resort to Contingency Fund should be made with due care. I am in full agreement with this view. While preparing the Budget, every care should be taken to anticipate, to the best extent possible, all likely items of expenditure and to provide for them. While in a strict sense, any item of expenditure not provided in the Budget and found subsequently necessary could be regarded 35 enforeseen expenditure for the purpose of Contingency Fund advance, it is also equally necessary that the recourse to Contingency Fund advance is made The Government of with utmost care. Guiarat is being requested to review the existing practice in regard to granting of advances from Contingency Fund to ensure that the advances are made available only in genuine unforescen items of expenditure.

Member was in regard to the provision of which Contingency Fund has been found. Rs. 1.49 lakhs in Demand No. "49-So- I think, they do not constitute good budcial Security and Welfare". I am inform- geting. In view of the statement made by ed that in determining "new service" and "new instrument of expenditure", the Esti- like to say more about that. mates Committee of the Gujarat Legislature have prescribed certain limits. atnongst others, for "new commissions or committees of inquiry" and "expenditure on new works". As regards "other cases of explanation put forward is that the Esti-Government expenditure", each case has to be considered 0n merits. A limit oř Rs. 2 lakhs has been adopted for non-recurring items of "other

purpose of determining "new service". agree that it requires to be considered whether this practice of fixing a financial limit would be strictly in accordance with the provisions of article 205 of the Constitution and the Government of Guiarat has been requested to look into this aspect.

The hon. Member, in his letter dated 14th March, 1975 addressed to the Secretary-General, Lok Sabha, also requested for information on a number of points. As intimated to the Lok Sabha Secretariat, the Government of Guiarat has been requested to furnish the information required by the hon. Member as expeditiously as possible.

In the light of this charification. I submit. Sir, that the House may kindly take up for consideration the items relating to Gujarat Budget.

MR. SPFAKER: It is all right Now, we will proceed with the discussion.

SHRI H. M. PATEL: I wish to make a submission on the statement made by the hon. Minister.

MR. SPEAKFR: I think, during the discussion, you can speak on this

SHRI H. M. PATEL: How will I be able to do it when we discuss the main Budget⁹ I want to make a submission on the statement.

I do not wish to refer to the first two matters, that is, about the lump-sum pro-The third point raised by the hon. vision of Rs. 5.71 crores and the use of the hon. Minister, however, I would not

As regards the third point, it does call for very much more serious consideration. It is true that the amount is small. The mates Committee of the Gujarat Legislature had advised that upto Rs. 2 lakhs limit, they may proceed even though it may be a new service. But as the hon cases of Government expenditure" for the Minister concedes, this is against the Con-

tee has made a recommendation, it cannot Government, they had done this, although regularise something which is ultra vires it is against the Constitution. in effect. Therefore, it seems to me that we are in no position to concede this and allow this.

MR SPEAKER : You will remember that last fime also we reminded them about this Still, it has come this time

SHRI H M. PATEL : We are only considering the question of propriety. As I said, in regard to the other two points raised by Shri Sezhiyan, in view of the statement made by the Minister. I do not say that we need pursue that matter further The third point is definitely against the constitutional provision, Art 205. Therefore, I cannot see, m what way we can regularise that. If you like, Sir, We can proceed excluding this item.

MR SPFAKER Mr Patel, what I propose is, we go as it is with this and at the same time, the Minister will make a reference to Gujarat Government There is no l'stimates Committee unfortunately, otherwise it could have been referred to them

SHRI H. M. PATEL . I have already said that I would have no objection even to this going forward exactly as the other matters But in so far as this point is concerned. it goes definitely against Article 205 of the Constitution and there is no question of any financial limit being raned where a new service is concerned And that being so, it seems to me that there is no way of regularising this. I atleast cannot say what way there can be. When there is no way of regularismg, I would suggest that we exclude this item.

MR SPEAKFR: The discussion may proceed and in the meanwhile, the Minister may consider this objection.

SHRI H. M. PATEL : It is not a question of considering this matter at all. It is a clear matter. The facts are well known In his own statement, the Minister has said that this is a new service, but that

stitution. Even if the Estimates Commit- because of a practice of the Gujarat

MR. SPEAKER : I think, at that tune the Government of Gujarat did not know that this will be coming to Parliament. They are used to their own ways.

SHRI H. M PATEL : But Gujarat Government of Gujarat did not know tubon. Ignorance in this matter cannot really allow us to proceed against the Constitution.

भी मध् लिसचे (बाका) मध्यक्ष महेरदय, मेरा पोइट झाफ झाईर है। मैं झाप की मलिंग चाहनाह। गजरात असेम्बली का यह जो नियम है जिस को यह फोखो का रहे हैं, मैं उस रून को कोट कर रहा ह

Rule 224 of Guiarat Legislative Assembly Rules

When a demand or any part thereof relates to any new service or new instrument of service or grant-in-aid or loan and the expentiture to be incurred on that service or instrument of service or grant-in-aid or loan exceeds the financial limit recommended by the Estimates Committee for the purpose all material details in respect of that sergrant-in-aid or loan exceeds the finanvice or instrument of service or grant-inaid or loan shall, save in special circumstances, be supplied to all members at least three days before the domand is made "

ग्रध्यक्ष सहोदय, यह केवल एस्टीमेट्स कमेटी की सिफारिश पर चल रहा है। लेकिन मैं झाप की रूलिंग इस बात पर चाहना ह कि क्या कोई ऐस्टीमैटन कमेटी का निर्णय या मसेम्बली का रूल सविधान का जो प्रानधान है उस को सुपरसीड कर मकता है? झरेर इसलिये इस को तो आपकी निकाल ही देना पडेगा सौर ऐप्रोप्रीएसन बिल जो समेठ करना पड़ेगा तभी यह मामला झामे बढ़ मकता है। इयर गांप कहेंगे कि इस को काट दीजिये, एप्रोप्रीएशन बिल मे भी अमेडमेट कीजिये भीर फिर पास कीजिये, तो हम लोग महंग मही बाल रहे हैं। मैं इस बात पर जोर देना बाहता ह कि प्रधान मही एक फाइनेंशियल विचाई की खोज में हैं, लेकिन कम से कम एक बाब डोग जो हमारे सदन में है उन्होंने जिस महे पर झापका आगन बीचा है इस पर भाप का स्पष्ट निर्णय होना बाहिये । यह मेरी माग है।

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR (Ahmedabad): If you look at the Minister's statement today, you will find that it is largely satisfactory because he has conceded many points. But the basic fact remains as Mr Patel and Mr. Madhu Limave have also pointed out

I want to invite your attention to vesterday's proceedings Yesterday the Chair had specifically drawn the Minister's attention to article 114(2). I will read that out

"No amendment shall be proposed to any such Bill in either House of Parliament which will have the effect of varying the amount or altering the destination of any grant so made or of varying the amount of any exnenditure charged on the Consolidated Fund of India . . . "

The Chair specifically asked the Minister to reply to this particular Constitutional provision. But I am sorry to say that in Estimates for 1975-76 provide a lump-sum today's reply there is no mention about provision of Rs. 175 crores. That means, this aspect.

If you look at page No Supplementary Demands in respect of Budget for 1975-76. There again a lump-Gujarat for 1974-75, you will find that sum provision of Rs. 17 crores will conthey have mentioned that the provision of front us. It has been done almost three Rs. 5 60 crores under Demand No. 24 will times. Here I want to invite your attennow be surrendered etc. How 15 this done? tion to the fact that the Public Accounts This is contrary to the requirements of the Committee at the Centre, Constitution. You are asking us to have Sabha, in its Seventh Report, has pointedly some consideration. We are prepared to drawn the attention of the Government have the consideration because we want that lump-sum provision should not be inthe Gujarat Budget to be passed; it will dulged in. This was done in 1958. So, have to go to Rajya Sabha also and passed it is a thing which is very well known to before 31 March. But the question is this, the financial experts and financial secre-Can we, in the process of consideration, taries, Lump-sum provision keeps the

regularise something which is contrary to the letter and to the spirit of the Constitution ? You say that it is an extraordinary situation and all that. But we cannot do something which is contrary to the requirements of the Constitution.

SHRI K. S. CHAVDA (Patna) : Yesterday also I raised the point of order regarding amending the General Sales-Tax Act and so on and so forth. That also violates the Constitution, article 199, which I have pointed out yesterday. It also violates the Act passed by Parliament. Without the Prosident's Act, the Government of Guarat cannot amend the General Sales-Tax Act or the Vehicles Tax Act or any other tax. It is ultra-vires.

SHRI SEZHIYAN (Kumbakonam) . Yesterday I raised three points : one about the lump-sum provision which was provided in the Budget Estimates and later on dropped, the second one about advances from the Contingency Fund; the third, about Rs. 149 lakhs taken under Demand No. 49.

About the first point, this is bad budgeting, as Mr. Patel has put it rightly. But, since there is the point made by Mr Mavalankar, I am not pressing it too far. But he was mentioning that the revised estimates also gave a lump-sum provision of Rs 571 crores; and the Budget we are again violating it Lump-sum provision should not be made. Immediately 1 of the after this, we are going to consider the Second Lok House in derkness. As I have explained about Rs. 5.16 crores, no indication was a matter of opinion. When you look at given that this was going to be utilised for the sort of items for which funds have payment of increased dearness allowance, been drawn from the Contingency Fund. They only gave under item 74 as 'other you will find Rs. 6 lakhs for employment expenditure in the Finance Department', promotion programme. Surely, they must They say that this is the pracice. But know how much money they will require. this is a very bad practice. I am not going Then, they have provided for the share to insist too much now except that they should not resort to lump-sum provision in the future; even for 1975-76, if possible, they should not do it.

SHRI H. M. PATEL ' Lump-sum provision is made again, although the only progress. . .

Mr. SPEAKER : Last time we invited their attention. It has come again.

SHRI H M. PATEL: The only progress this time is that they are indicating the purpose for which the amount is being provided. That still does not get over the fact that it is not an advisable practice.

SHRI SEZHIYAN · I do not want to stall this at the fag end of the year.

Just I wanted to point out this thing

The second item was with regard to the Contingency Fund. There he has agreed that he would issue instructions to the Guarat Government not to resort to this sort of running account with the help of the Contingency Fund. Last year, when I wrote a letter, I got a reply from them. The Contingency Fund is being utilised day laid down by the Estimates Committee of after day, month after month in the most Gujarat, it goes against the spirit and causal way. On a single day, e.g. 15th letter of the Constitution because under April 1974, there have been as many as 7 Art 205 when they say about Supplemenitems met from the Contigency Fund :-- tary Demands for covering new services, Rs. 8.5 lakhs, Rs. 20 lakhs, Rs. 3.32 lakhs, they do not put any financial limit there. Rs. 30 lakhs, Rs. 166, Rs. 42 lakhs, etc I do not want to stall the entire statement They have and quite indiscriminately from this Con- not pass and all the other items we can tingency Fund. The Contingency Fund pass. The Appropriation Bill has not vet has got a purpose under the Constitution, been introduced. Therefore, we can i.e. to meet unforeseen expenditure There- amend that one and introduce an amendfore many of these things. I feel, could ed Appropriation Bill. We can carry on have been anticipated and withdrawals this business leaving this. Demand No. should not have been made from the Con- 49. In the meantime, we can have the tingency Fund as it was done. Though it advice of the Attorney-General. All the is a matter of opinion, still I feel...

SHRI H. M. PATEL: No, it is not capital of a Corporation which is not something for which they should draw upon from the Contingency Fund. Then, soil conservation-Rs. 14 lakhs. This is something which surely they should have unticipated. Contingency Fund is not intended for some such thing. Of course, the Minister said that they are going to advise the Gujarat Government not to continue with this practice . . . (Interruptions) will you please listen to us? We are not objecting, we are only asking that this should not be done in future.

SHRI SEZHIYAN : Here also, I take the assurance of the Minister that the attention of the Gujarat Government will be drawn to this. At the same time, T feel that our own Government, the Central Government, should also take cognisance of this fact that they also should not resort to withdrawals from the Contingency Fund as and when they like.

Regarding the third point, about this Rs. 1.49 lakhs, this may be a small amount But, whatever may be the rules drawn monies very freely and the Bill. Demand No. 24 we need other items we can pass.

219 Guiarat Budget MARCH 21, 1975

1975-76 220

MR. SPEAKER : Yesterday a lot of standards of procedure as laid down in the time was also taken and after a statement, Constitution. But they have been fol-I thought that some ground was clear for lowing it unobserved, undetected and ungoing ahead with this. About this Rs. 149 lakhs your attention has been invited to the rules by Mr. Madhu Limave. If we take out anything, that also is very difficult.

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHER-JFE : Regarding this Rs. 1.49 lakhs I have already explained the position that this is as per the statutory rule in practice in Guiarat with the approval of the Gujarat Assembly. The Gujarat officers have to frame it within the existing laws

That the President's rule was imposed does not mean that all the State laws and rules approved by the Assembly were nullified Therefore, they had to frame within the existing law .

SHRI MADHU IIMAYE The question is : now that your attention has been drawn . . .

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHFR-JEE : Therefore, I would submit that in future we can ask the Gujarat Government not to resort to this sort of prac tice.

MR SPFAKER . You will see that this is not repeated.

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHFR JEE . As you have very correctly pointed out, we will issue guidebnes for future.

MR SPEAKER : As for my ruling, of course I do not want to hazard any oninion on such a Constitutional matter It would have been all right if it were a question of facts; but this question is not so simple-I am very sure about it I have an opinion that this point has been by-passed. I of course agree with your point of view that in the case of new expenditure, the procedure should have been followed. Even if the Estimates Committee took a wrong point of view. that should not go against the accepted

canasht. I should say: but now they are caught and I hope they will rectify their mistake.

But when we say that we will bring in an amendment which has got the effect of negativing it . . .

PROF MADHU DANDAVATE : You may omit it.

MR. SPEAKER : I leave it to him and. in the meanwhile, hereby consider it. If it can be omitted without any difficulty, please do it. Otherwise, assure them that this amount which has been passed will not be touched

SHRI SFZHIYAN . The amount has already been expended

MR. SPFAKFR : I see, I forgot about it.

यह तो झौर भी मश्किल हो गया।

I am sorry I did not see that part of it. So now, we have to take it as it is It is one more of those undetected things which have happened But in future, whenever it is detected, it should not hanpen.

Now we will proceed We have got two hours . .

(Interruptions)

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE : You may omit it.

सर्चा हो गया दो सब उसको प्रोमिट कैसे करेंचे ।

We are all of the same view. We have to find a way out to help the people of Gujarat get this money and not add to their misery.

(Interruptions)

221 Gujarat Budget

MR. SPEAKER : So, I think we nave two hours. Two hours are allotted but, at the same time, some contingent things are also there. If this Gujarat budget is to be gone through, then it has to be gone through fully without any other allied matters being left undecided, so that it may go to the Rajya Sabha in time Whatevet they do, after all, we have to express our views.

SHRI JAGADISH BHATTACHAR-YYA (Ghatal) : My submission is, are we not violating the Constitution?

MR. SPEAKER : Who knows who is violating?

Now please let me know. If we decide that the budget should be passed, then there is no alternative except that it should go as it is.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATF We may omit 149 What is the difficulty about it?

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHFR-JEE . The difficulty is that the whole thing would be negatived

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATF : You can amend it.

MR SPEAKER : Not at this stage

SHRI SEZHIYAN : But the Appropriation Bill has not yet been introduced

MR. SPFAKER : Everything has to go along with this.

SHRI MADHU I IMAYE : The Chair should decide on this. When there is a conflict between a rule and the Constitution, the Constitution prevails

There cannot be any doubt about it.

MR SPEAKER : Please . . . some times . . .

(Interruptions)

11LS5/75-8

MR. SPEAKER : When the Article is there and the rules are framed for further facilitating the implementation of that Article, very often we go by that. But as to how to interpret it and who is the proper authority, you have expressed your opinion and the other side has expressed its opinion. In view of these conflicting opinions. I would only request that they be recorded; and that they re-examine everything in consultation with the gentlemen who have raised it. I do not want to give any ruling on it, because if I give an off-hand ruling, that will further complicate the matter.

(Interruptions)

SHRI SEZHIYAN : No. Sir. One minute I will take.

MR SPFAKER: Now let me know has to what to do. Even with the amendment, it has the effect of negativing it

SHRI SFZHIYAN : No, Sir. It is only an interpretation He says that it is as per the rules of the Gujarat Assembly. The Constitution is very definite. Now, the rules cannot override the Constitution I want to help them this way Suppose it includes this 1.49 then tomorrow if somebody goes to the court, the entire Bill will fall.

(Interruptions)

MR. SPLAKFR: Now, I leave it to them

SHRI SFZHIYAN. That we should not do, consciously. Suppose 1.49 is removed and they get the opinion of the Attorney General in 2 or 3 days, they can again come to the House.

MR SPEAKER Mr. Pranab Mukherjee, it is one budget, it is the whole budget which is constitutionally valid, minus the diseased part which is constitutionally not correct. So, even if it is not valid in itself, on the face of it, if it is deleted, that does not mean that the other part becomes ineffective, because something is negatived. -223 **Guarat Budget**

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHERIEE: It is accordinged with the constitutional It is accordance with the constitutional The other side says the rule (wh requirements of the money bill, if on that commendations the Estimates

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE (Rajpur): I assure you that it will not be blocked . . . (Interruptions)

SHRI H. N. MUKHERJEE (Calcutta-North-East): We have to decide how we can surmount this dilemma. We are on the horns of a dilemma. We have to help the Guiarat people have their budget passed within a certain period of time. We also feel it should be done. If Government inently advised or un-advised legally, as it appears to be, chooses not to accept the suggestion from Mr. Sezhivan, for example, that one or two items might be dropped and modified by a last-minute agreement, they can face the music elsewhere. Parliament would have given them notice. Sir. We have got to get through with this Bill (Interruptions).

MR. SPEAKER : I will try to make an observation and get the clearance for it. After all, it is the ruling of the House that if anything is negatived, the whole becomes ineffective.

DR. MAHIPATRAY MEHTA (Kutch): It does not violate the Constitution, Sir. How to do it? . . . (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER : May I say, "What have you been listening to, then ?" (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER : May I tell you ? If this Is the position taken . . . (Interruptions)

Please . . . I am trying. This is not the Gujarat Assembly. This is Parliament, where Mr. Sezhivan is sitting.

I tell you, if it were an off-hand observation, I would not mind ignoring it. But he seldom raises such things and I am at one with him on this. In this matter, the only difficulty is about Rs. 1.49 lakhs. Now their stand is correct and your position is limitation. Therefore, we will be in a diffialso correct because this has been followed cult situation.

in the Guierat Assembly base d on t ë rule: Committee account that is blocked . . . (Interruptions) might have come out with), in their opin-ion, does not interpret the spirit of the Article. About this, I do not want to go into details, either for or against, I am not going to take that hazard. All right, we may delete or omit that part. But your other objection is that an amendment would negative the whole Bill, while the House says that, according to the rule of the House, it does not.

WHE X

SHRI SEZHIYAN : The Bill has not been introduced; so it is not an amendment.

MR. SPEAKER: We will leave it to him.

Now, your fear is that if this amendment is carried out, it may negative the whole Bill. The House says that if that part is negatived the Bill cannot be negatived because the whole House is of the opinion that the provision of that particular rule does not have that spirit of application so far as this particular point is concerned.

SHRI MADHU DANDAVATE: It can be treated as a new Bill without 1.49.

MR. SPEAKER: You may omit this. Whatever be the position at present, if it is deleted, this House will not object to it nor negative the Bill. The whole House says so. You are badly struck up and this cannot pass off. The Finance Ministry has a hundred and one ways and many knacks of doing what is not permissible under the rules; and now, when the House is prepared to show some consideration, you wanted to throw it away ! I think you should consider this. Whatever it is, I have tried to make the road clear for you.

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHER-JEE: I am absolutely for your guidance and direction, but not only the . Gujarat State but certain other States have also this 225 Guiarat Budget

1975-76

MR. SPEAKER : This will be brought DEMAND NO. 2.-COUNCIL OF MINISTERS to the notice of all the States.

Now, this has been possible because it is a small amount. But afterwards, we would have to sit and examine it, consult the Attorney General and straighten the matter.

Now, I hope the House will be kind enough to dispose of everything regarding Gujarat. (*Interruptions*). I would suggest that we do not take more than four or five minutes each. And then, we should try to clear everything.

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR: We should try to finish it today, but not m a hurry. Or, we can sit on Monday.

MR. SPEAKER: No, no; not on Monday, because of this difficulty; the Raya Sabha is adjourning on the 25th March Everything concerning Gujarat must go on 24th.

SHRI SEZHIYAN I agree with you that we should pass it today.

(Interruptions)

MR SPEAKER \cdot Yes, now, if it is not passed by 3.30, then at the end of the nonofficial day, the rest will be passed after that Yes, thank you

SHRI P G MAVALANKAR · We should not do it after six (Interruptions) Let us go beyond 3 30

MR SPEAKER No., Mr Mavalankar Thereafter, there is private business. (Interruptions) Or, instead of 3.30, we will take up private business a little later and make it up at the end So, the private business will be taken up at 4.30 pm.; and before that, the Minister will have 20 minutes and then, after that, everything will be guillotined, including the Appropriation and all that, and also not only 6, 7 and 8; but he will also introduce 9, 10, Gujarat Appropriations, (vote on account) Bill and 11 and 12; and do this wonderful job in a short time. Hon. Members who are desirous of moving Cut-Motions may kindly send slips to the Table

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved.

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,48,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Council of Ministers'."

DIMAND NO. 3 --- ELECTIONS

MR SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 9,11,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976 in respect of 'Elections'"

DEMAND NO 5 --- GENERAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT

MR. SPEAKER ; Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 22,80,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976 in respect of 'General Administration Department'."

DEMAND NO 6-FCONOMIC ADVICE AND STATISTICS

MR SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 18,48,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect, of 'Economic Advice and Statistics'."

7.-OTHER EXPENDITURE DPMAND NO. LERTAINING TO GENERAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT'

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved ;

នយលា "That not exceeding a. Rs. 7.71.000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs 5,61,000 on Capital DEMAND No 11-PENSIONS AND OTHER Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guiarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st March, 1976 in respect of 'Other Expenditure pertaining to General Administration Department' "

DEMAND NO 8-FINANCE DEPARTMENT

MR SPEAKFR . Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 9,85,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Con solidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March 1976, in respect of 'Finance Department'"

DEMAND NO. 9-TAX COLLELION CHARGES (FINANCE DIPARIMENT)

MR SPEAKER. Motion moved :

* That sum a not exceeding Rs 1.08 10,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guiarat. on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the flst day of March, 1976 m respect of "Tax Collection Charges (Finance De partment)

DLMAND NO 10-TREASURY AND AC COUNTS ADMINISTRATION

MR SPEAKER · Motion moved

"That sum not exceeding Rs 54,74,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the

Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976 in respect of Treasury Accounts Administration'."

REDREMENT BENEFITS

MR SPEAKER : Motion moved

"That not exceeding я sum Rs 1.96.65.000 on Revenue Account he granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guyarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Pensions and other Retirement Benefits' "

DIMAND NO 12 --- OTHLE TAPENDITURE PERTAINING TO FINANCE DEPARTMENT

MR SPEAKER Motion moved :

"That я รมตา not exceeding Rs 7.42 14 000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs 16,14,000 on Canital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st March, 1976 in respect of 'Other Expenditure pertaining to Finance Depaitment' *

DI MAND NO 14-LI GAL DEPARTMENT

MR SPFAKER : Motion moved :

' That 90m not exceeding а Rs 6,52.000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the Hst day of March. 1976, in respect of 'I egal Department' "

.230

DEMAND NO. 15 --- ADMINISTRATION OF JUS-

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,04,40,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976 in respect of 'Administration of Justice'."

DLMAND NO. 16.—OTHER EXPENDITURE PERTAINING TO LEGAL DEPARTMENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 6,85,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 11,51,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat. on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st March, 1976 in respect of 'other Expenditure pertaining to Legal Department'."

DEMAND NO. 17.-FOOD AND CIVIL SUP-PLIES DEPARIMENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 2,36,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Food and Civil Supplies Department'."

DEMAND NO. 18 .- CIVIL SUPPLIES

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 6,69,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the "31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Civil Supplies'."

DEMAND NO. 19 .- FOOD AND NUTRITION

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 61,53,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 68,35,42,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976 in respect of 'Food and Nutrition."

DI MAND NO. 20-OTHER EXPENDITURE PER-IAINING TO FOOD AND CIVIL. SUPPLIES DX-PARTMENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 6,15,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976 in respect of 'Other Expenditure pertaining to Food and Civil Supplie; Department'."

DEMAND NO. 22 .- STATE LEGISLATURE

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 11,92,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujaiat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'State Legislature'."

DEMAND NO. 23—LOANS AND ADVANCES TO GOVERNMENT SERVANTS IN GUJARAT LE-GISLATURE SECRETARIAT.

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 94,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated

231 Gujurat Budget MARCH 21, 1975

Fund of the State of Gujarat, on socount, for or towards thefraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976 in respect of "Loans and Advances to Government Servants in Gujarat Legislature Secretariat"."

DEMAND NO 24-AGRICULTURE, FORESTS AND CO-OPERATION DEPARTMENT

MR SPEAKER Motion moved .

"That a sum not exceeding Rs 6,08,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, m respect of 'Agriculture Forests and Co-operation Department'"

DEMAND NO 25-COOPERATION

MR SPEAKFR Motion moved

"That 9 sum not exceeding Rs 1,16,74 000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs 55.03.000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gularat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st March, 1976 m respect of 'Co-operation'."

DI MAND NO 26-AGRICULTURE

MR SPEAKER Motion moved .

"That a sum not exceeding Rs 3,13,84,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs 63,78,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976 in respect of 'Agriculture'."

DEMAND No. 27-MINOR IRRIGATION, SOIL CONSERVATION AND AREA DEVELOPMENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That exceeding 64(83) aot Rs 4,16,90,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 30,88,000 - lon Capital Account be granted to the Preeident, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976 in respect of 'Minor Irrigation, Soil Conservation and Area Development'."

the DEMAND NO 28-ANIMAL HUSBANDRY of and Dainy Development

MR SPEAKER Motion moved :

"That sum bot exceeding . Rs 1.51.25.000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs 6.67.000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the the year on the 31st March, 1976 m respect of 'Animal Husbandry and Dairy Development' "

DI MAND NO 29-FISHERIES

MR SPEAKER Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs 51,62 000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Fisheries'"

on Revenue Account DIMAND No -30-FORESTS

MR SPEAKER . Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 86,13,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs 31,86,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending of the 31st March, 1976 in respect of 'Forests'."

DEMAND NO 31-OTHER EXPENDETURE PER-TAINING TO AGRICULTURE, FORESTS AND COOPERATION DEPARTMENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

exceeding "That sum not Rt. 40.38.000 on Capital Account be aranted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guiarat, on account, for or towards defraving the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976 in respect of 'Other Expenditure pertaining to Agriculture, Forests and Cooperation Department'."

DEMAND NO 33-EDUCATION AND LABOUR DEMAND NO 37- SOCIAL SECURITY AND DEPARTMENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved .

exceeding "That 9 sum not Rs. 10.81.000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guiarat. on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Education and Labour Department'"

DEMAND NO 34-STATE EXCISE

MR. SPEAKER . Motion moved :

exceeding "That я snm not Rs. 10.81,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'State Excise'."

DEMAND NO 35-EDUCATION

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

exceeding "That sum not Rs. 35.07,43,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 12.50.000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st March. 1976 in respect of "Education"."

DEMAND NO. 36-LABOUR AND EMPLOY-MENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That 8 sum BOt exceeding Rs. 66.29.000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gularat. on account, for or towards defraving the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Labor and Employment'."

WELFARE

MR SPEAKER : Motion moved :

a exceeding That sum not Rs 3,38,95,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 4,89,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st of March, 1976 in respect of 'Social Security and Welfare'."

DEMAND NO 38-OTHER EXPENDITUE PERTAINING TO EDUCATION AND LABOUR DEPARTMENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That я snm not exceeding Rs 24,09,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs 37,63,000 ON: Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976 in respect of 'Other Expenditure pertaining to Education and Labour Department'"

DEMAND NO. 39-HOME DEPARTMENT.

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That . s13109 mot exceeding Rs. 5.45.000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Home Department'"

DEMAND NO 40-TAX COLLECTION CHARGES DEMAND NO. 44-OTHER EXPENDITURE (HOME DEPARTMENT)

MR SPEAKFR : Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 7.23.21.000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of Tax Collection Charges (Home Department)'."

DEMAND NO. 41-POLICE

MR SPEAKER . Motion moved .

"That sum not exceeding 9 Rs 8.88.00.000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Police'."

DEMAND NO. 42-JAILS

MR. SPEAKER Motion moved :

"That not exceeding . sum Rs. 30,72,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Jails'."

DEMAND NO. 43-INFORMATION. PUBLICITY ... AND TOURISM

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

That stim ncit exceeding Rs. 36.51.000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat. on account, for or towards defraving the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Informantion, Publicity and Tourism'."

PERTAINING TO HOME DEPARTMENT.

MR SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That รบกา not exceeding 54 Rs 39,53,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs 82.27.000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976 in respect of 'Other expenditure pertaining to Home Department'."

I'I MAND NO 45-INDUSTRIES, MINES AND POWFR DEPARTMENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That 8 sum not exceeding Rs 3,95,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Industries, mines and Power Department'."

DI MAND NO. 46-TAX COLLECTION CHARGES (INDUSTRIES, MINFS AND POWER DEPART-MENT)

MR. SPFAKER : Motion moved :

"That exceeding sum not Rs. 6,59,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat,

on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976 in respect of "Tax Collection, Charges (Industries Mines and Power Department)'."

LIFMAND NO. 47-STATIONERY AND PRINT-ING

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,34,77,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Stationery and Printing'."

DI MAND NO 48-INDUSTRIES

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That 9 sum not exceding 78.42.000 on Revenue Account Rs. 24,94,000 and not exceeding Rs on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March 1976 in respect of 'Industries'"

DEMAND NO 49 --- MINLS AND MINI RALS

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved .

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 29,06,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the vcai ending on the 31st day of March, 1976. in respect of 'Mines and Mineral's'"

DEMAND NO 50-POWER PROJECTS

MR. SPEAKI'R : Motion moved .

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 36,43,00 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Power Projects'."

DEFMAND NO. 51-OTHER EXPENDITURE PERTAINING TO INDUSTRIAL MINES AND POWER DEPARTMENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 10,66,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 16,02,000 on C.apital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Other Expenditure pertaining to Industries, Mines and Power Department'."

DEMAND NO 52-PANCHAYATS AND HEALTH DEPARTMENTS

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs 8.60,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, In respect of 'Panchayats and Health Department'."

DEMAND NO. 53-COMMUNITY DEVILOP-MENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved .

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,31,52,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Community Development'."

exceeding DEMAND NO. 54-MEDICAL

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs (7639000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Capacificated Fund of the State of Gujarsit, the account, for or itowards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Medical'."

DEMAND NO. 55-FAMILY PLANNING

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 2,27,43,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Family Planning'"

DEMAND NO 56-PUBLIC HEALTH

MR. SPEAKER Motion moved .

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 5,12,25,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs 63,25,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the veat ending on the 31st March, 1976 in respect of 'Public Health'"

DEMAND NO 57-URBAN DEVELOPMENT

MR. SPEAKER Motion moved .

"That a sum not exceeding Rs 73,57,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs 42,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Urban Development'"

DEMAND NO 58-PANCHAYATI RAJ

MR SPEAKER : Motion moved .

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,57,42,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards

defraying the charges during the year ending on the 51st day of March, 1976, in respect of "Panchayati Kaj."

DEMAND NO. 59-DTEER EXPENDITURE PERTAINING TO PANCHAYATE AND HEALTH DEPARTMENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. \$2,29,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 64,67,000 on Capital Account be granted to the Pre ident, State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st March, 1976 in respect of 'Other Expenditure partaining to Panchayats and Health Departpartment'"

DEMAND NO. 61-PUBLIC WORKS DFP-ARTMENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved .

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 11,97,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Public Works Department'."

DI MAND NO 62----NON-RESIDENTIAL BUIL-DINGS

MR. SPEAKER ' Motion moved :

"That 8 sum not exceeding Rs 3.78.46.000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 65 80,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guiarat. on account. for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st March, 1976, in respect of "Non-Residental Buildings'."

exceeding DEMAND No. 63-HOUSING

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,31,000 on Revenue Account and

241 Gujorat Andget

not exceeding Rs. 98,98,000 on Capital the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Housing'.'

DEMAND NO. 64-IRRIGATION AND Son CONSERVATION

"That sum BOL exceeding Rs 15.21.91.000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 20.47.83,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guiarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Irrigation and Soil Conservation'."

DEMAND NO 65 -PORTS

MR SPEAKER : Motion moved .

"That exceeding sum not 2 Rs. 1.80,10,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 1.29.20.000 on Canital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards dafraving the charges during the year ending on the 31st day ot March, 1976 in respect of 'Ports'"

DEMAND NO. 66-ROADS AND BRIDGIS

MR. SPEAKER . Motion moved .

sum not exceeding "That 8 7.53.38.000 on Revenue Account R1 and not exceeding Rs 2,76,94,000 07 Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Roads and Bridges'."

DEMAND NO. 67-GUJARAT CAPITAL CONS-TRUCTION SCHEME

MR Speaker. Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 56.67.000 Account be granted to the President, on Capital Account by granted to the out of the Consolidated Fund of the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of State of Gujarat, on account, for or State of Gujarat, on account, for or totowards defraying the charges during wards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March. 1976 in respect of -- "Guarat Capital Construction Scheme'."

DEMAND NO. 68-OTHER EXPENDETURE PERTAINING TO PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

MR. Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 9,28,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding, Rs 52.01.000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guiarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'Other Expenditure pertaining to Public Works Department'."

70-REVENUE DEPART-DEMAND NO MENT

MR Speaker: Motion moved:

'That a sum not exceeding Rs. 16,05,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or lowards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March. 1976, in respect of 'Revenue Department'."

> DEMAND NO 71-TAX COLLECTION CHARGES (REVENUE DEPARTMENT)

MR Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 98,49,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March. 1976, in respect of 'Tax Collection Charges (Revenue Department)'."

243 Gujarat Budget

1.465

1.1.10

DEMAND No. 72

MARCH 21, 1975

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION

MR. Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum of exceeding Rs. 1,26,43,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of 'District Administration'."

DEMAND No. 73

RELIEF ON ACCOUNT OF NATURAL CALAMITIES

MR. Speaker: Motion moved:

"That not exceeding я sum Rs. 24.30.00.000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 2,30,00,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976 in respect of 'Relief on account of Natural Calamities'."

DEMAND NO. 74

DANGS DISTRICT

MR. Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 94,00,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 2,81,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976 in respect of 'Dangs District'."

DEMAND No. 75

COMPENSATION AND ASSIGNMENT

MR. Speaker: Motion moved:

on Revenue Account and not exceeding defray the charges which will come in

to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guiarat, on account. for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March. 1976 in respect of 'Compensations and Assignments'."

1975-76

DEMAND No. 76

OTHER EXPENDITURE PERTAINING TO **REVENUE DEPARTMENT**

MR. Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 14.83,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 58,78,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President, out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1976 in respect of 'Other Expenditure pertaining to Revenue Department'."

DEMAND NO. 78

MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL SERVICES (GENERAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT)

MR. Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 72,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guiarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Miscellaneous General Services (General Administration Department)'."

DEMAND NO. 11

S'ICIAL SECURITY AND WELFARE (GENERAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT)

MR. Speaker: Motion moved:

'That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 40,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Con-"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 33,96,000 solidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to Rs. 8,34,000 on Capital Account be granted course of payment during the year ending

246

Social Security and Welfare (General Ad- dated Fund of the State of Gujarat to denumistration Department)' "

SIATISTICS

MR SPIAKER Motion moved

' I hat a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs 22.89.000 on Revenue Account be , 1 nted to the President out of the Conrolidated Fund of the State of Guiarat to defray the chai es which will come in course of navment during the year ending the 31st day of Match 1975 in respect of "Lonomic Advice and Statistics""

DIMAND NO 17 - SALES TAX MR SPIAKER Motion moved

'That a Supplementary sum not exceed ing Rs 28.84,000 on Revenue Account be stanted to the President out of the Con solidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to derray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March 1975 in respect of Siles Tax

DIMAND NO 21-FINANCE DEPARTMENT

MR SPFAKER Motion moved

'That a Supplementary sum not exceed ing Rs 170 000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Hund of the State of Guarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of "I manue Department "

ADMINISTRATION

MR SPFAKER . Motion moved :

ing Rs 11,07,000 on Revenue Account be Finance Department---Planning Machinery "

the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of granted to the President out of the Consolifiav the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of DEMAND NO 14-FONOMIC AUVICE AND "Treasury and Accounts Administration"

DEMAND NO 23-PENSIONS AND OTHERS RETIREMENT BENEFITS

MR SPFAKER Motion movel

'That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs 44,95,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of "Pensions and other Retirement Benefits""

DEMAND NO. 25-COLLECTION OF FDUCATION CESS

MR SPEAKER Motion moved

'That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs 10.74.000 on Revenue Account he granted to the President out of the Consolidited Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Collection of Education Cess '

PLANNING MACHINERY

MR SPFALLR Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceed-DI MAND NO 22-TRIASURY AND ACCOUNTS ing Rs 5,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending "That a Supplementary sum not exceed- the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of

DEMAND NO. 28—ADMINISTRATION OF INDIAN PARTNERSHIP ACT AND GENERAL INSURANCE

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 6,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Administration of Indian Partnership Act and Genetal Insurance'."

DEMAND NO. 33-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 13,43,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Administration of Justice'."

DEMAND NO. 35-OTHER ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICE (LEGAL DEPARIMENT)

MR SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 3,000 on Revenue Accourt be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course 'of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Other Administrative Services (Leagal Department)."

DEMAND NO. 38—LOANS AND ADVANCES TO GOVERNMENT SERVANTS IN LEGAL DEPARTMENT

MR SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Suplementary sum not exceeding, Rs. 1,55,000 on Capital Account be

granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Loans had Advances to Government Servants in Legal Department'."

DEMAND NO. 40-CIVIL SUPPLIES

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 1,37,75,000 On Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Civil Supplies'."

DI MAND NO 41-FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES DEPARTMENT

MR. SPEAKFR : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 81,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Food and Civil Supplies Department'."

DEMAND NO 42 --- FOOD AND NUTRITICN (FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES DEPARTMENT)

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs 8,26,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs 5,63,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujrat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of Food and Nutrition (Food and Civil Supplies Department)',"

BRMAND NO. 53 .- COOPERATION (AGRI- DEMAND NO. 58 -- DAIRY DEVELOPMENT CULTURE FORESTS AND COOPERATION DEPARTMENT)

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 1,77,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Cooperation (Agriculture, Forests and Cooperation Department)'."

55-AGRICULTION (AGRI-DEMAND NO. CULTURE. FORESTS AND COOPERATION DEPARTMENT)

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum apt ex ceeding Rs. 12,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 8,18,13,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the ConsolidateJ Fund of the State of Guarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Agriculture (Agriculture, Forests and Cooperation Department)"

DEMAND No 56-MINOR IRRIGATION SOIL CONSERVATION AND AREA DEVELOP MENT. (AGRICULTURE, FORESTS AND CO-OPERATION DEVELOPMENT)

MR. SPEAKER · Motion moved

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs 4,75,00,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding 1.33,00,000 on Capital Account Rs. be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Minor Irrigation Soil Conservation and Area Development (Agriculture, Forest and Cooperation Department)'."

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 94,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Dairy Development'."

DEMAND NO. 59 .- FISHERIES.

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved .

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 1,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Fisheries'."

DEMAND NO 60 .--- FORISTS

MR SPEAKER : Motion moved .

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 8.45,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 1.000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Forests'."

DEMAND NO. 63 --- STATE EXCISE

MR. SPEAKFR : Motion moved .

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 80,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'State Excise'."

DEMAND NO 65.—EDUCATION AND IA BOUR DEPARTMENT

MR SPEAKER : Motion moved .

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 83,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated. Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Education and Labour Department'."

DEMAND NO. 66 .--- FDUCATION

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved .

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 3,39.88,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Education'."

DEMAND No. 69 -HOUSING

MR. SPFAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 10.00.000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Housing'."

DEMAND NO 71.—SOCIAL SECURITY AND WELLARF (FDUCATION AND LABOUR DEPARTMENT)

MR SPFAKER : Motion moved .

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 17,30.000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 15,00.000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Social Security and Welfare (Education and Labour Department)."

AND I A- DEMAND NO. 72,---EDUCATION AND LABOUR DEPARTMENT----PLANNING MACHINERY

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 8,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Education and Labour Department-Planning Machinery'."

DI MAND NO 74-TAXLS ON VEHICLES

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 3,43.21,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March. 1975 in respect of 'Taxes on Vehicles'."

DI MAND NO 75-OTHLE TAXES AND DUTIES COMMODITIES AND SERVICES (HOME DEPAREMENT)

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs 27,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guaarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Other Taxes and Duties on Commodutes and Services (Home Department)'."

DEMAND NO. 76 .- HOME DEPARTMENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 1,05,000 on Revenue Account be

1975-76

granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come m course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Home Department'."

DEMAND NO. 77 .- POLICE

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved .

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 2,88,97,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defrav the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Police'."

DEMAND NO. 78 -JAILS

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 12,19,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect MR. SPEAKER : Motion Moved : of 'Jails'."

DFMAND NO. 79 .--- OTHER ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES (HOME DEPARTMENT)

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 11,16,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Other Administrative Services (Home Department)'."

11 LSS/75-9

Demand No. 82 SOCIAL SECURITY AND WELFARE (HOME DEPARTMENT)

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 32,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Social Security and Welfare (Home Department)'."

DEMAND NO 84 ---- TOURISM

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 1.000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Tourism'."

DEMAND NO. 86 .---

OTHER TAXES AND DUTTES ON COMMODI-TIES AND SERVICES (INDUSTRIES, MINES AND POWER DEPARTMENT)

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs 90.000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Other taxes and duties on commodities and services (Industries, Mines and Power Department)'."

DEMAND NO. 87 .- STATIONERY AND PRINTING

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 22,14,000 on Revenue Account be 255 Guinral Budget

solidated Fund of the State of Gujarat 1975 in respect of 'Industries'." to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Stationery and Printing'."

DEMAND NO. 88 .--- PENSIONS AND OTHER **RETIREMENT BENEFITS (INDUSTRIES, MINES** AND POWER DEPARTMENT)

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 1,03,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March. 1975 in respect of 'Pensions and other Retirement Benefits (Industries, Mines and Power Department)'."

DEMAND NO. 90 .- INDUSTRIES, MINES AND POWER DEPARTMENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved .

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 93,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Industries, Mines and Power Department'."

DEMAND NO. 94 --- INDUSTRIES

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 43,32,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 17,40,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State ing Rs. 1,000 on Revenue Account be of Gujarat to defray the charges which granted to the President out of the Consowill come in course of payment during lidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to

granted to the President out of the Con- the year ending the 31st day of March,

DEMAND NO. 97 --- POWER PROJECTS

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 5.00.00.000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Power Projects'."

DEMAND NO. 105 .- PANCHAYATS AND HEALTH DEPARTMENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 1.43,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Panchayats and Health Department'."

DEMAND NO. 107 .- MEDICAL

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved .

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 1,11,50,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guiarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Medical'."

DEMAND NO. 108 -FAMILY PLANNING

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceed-

257 Guierat Budget

defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year endcourse of payment during the year ending ing the 31st day of March, 1975 in resthe 31st day of March, 1975 in respect pect of 'Loans and Advances to Governof 'Family Planing'."

(PANCHAYATS AND HEALTH DEPARTMENT)

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 6.00,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Urban Development (Panchayats and Health Department)'."

DEMAND NO. 113 .- PANCHAYATS AND HEALTH DEPARTMENT PLANNING MACHINERY

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

ing Rs. 3,000 on Revenue Account be Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat granted to the President out of the Con- to defray the charges which will come in solidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to course of payment during the year ending defray the charges which will come in the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect course of payment during the year ending of 'Housing (Public Works Departthe 31st day of March, 1975 in respect ment)." of 'Panchayats and Health Department-Plannig Machinery'."

DEMAND NO. 116-LOANS AND ADVANCES TO GOVERNMENT SERVANTS IN PANCHAYATS AND HEALTH DEPARTMENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 15.48,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guiarat to defray the charges which will come in

ment Servants in Panchavats and Health Department'."

1975-76

DEMAND NO 110 ---- URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEMAND NO. 119 ---- OTHER ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES (PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT)

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 30,00,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of Other Administrative Services (Public Works Department)'."

DEMAND NO. 120-HOUSING (PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT)

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

'that a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 1.06,34,000 on Capital Account "That a Supplementary sum not exceed- be granted to the President out of the

DEMAND NO. 122 -PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 3.60.000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guiarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Public Works Depart ment'."

259 Gujarat Budget

DEMAND NO.124 --- IRRIGATION

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 1.53,46,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 12.76.76.000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guiarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Irrigation'."

DEMAND NO. 125-PORTS

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 9,50,000 on Revonue Account and not exceeding Rs. 1,00,000 on Capital Account be granted to the Pressdent out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Ports'."

DEMAND NO.126 -GLIDING CLUBS

MR. SPEAKER . Motion moved .

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 1,18,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Gilding Clubs'."

DEMAND NO. 127-ROADS AND BRIDGES

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not ex ceeding Rs. 1,000 on Revenue Account and not exceeding Rs. 2,35,00,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st

day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Roads and Bridges'."

DI MAND NO. 128 --- GUJARAT CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION SCHEME

MR SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs 1,33,00.000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Crugarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of Gujarat Capital Construction Scheme'."

DEMAND NO. 131-LOANS AND ADVANCES TO GOVERNMENT SERVANTS IN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 10,15,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defary the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Loans and Advances to Government Servants in Public Works Department'."

DEMAND NO.132 -LAND RIVENUE

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 44,50,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Land Revenue'."

DEMAND NO. 137-DISTRICT ADMINIST-TRATION

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 42,23,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guiarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'District Administration',"

DEMAND NO. 138 --- MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL SERVICES (REVENUE (REVENUE DEPARTMENT)

MR. SPEAKER . Motion moved .

"That a Supplementary sum not exceed- MR. SPEAKER, Motion Moved ; ing Rs. 4,15,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Miscellaneous General Services (Revenue Department)'."

DEMAND NO. 139-URBAN DEVELOPMENT (REVENUE DI PARTMINT)

MR SPEAKER . Motion moved .

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 1,50,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1075 in respect of Urban Development (Revenue Department)'."

DEMAND NO. 140-SOCIAL SECURITY AND WELFARE (REVENUL DEPARTMENT)

MR SPEAKER : Motion moved .

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 28,99,000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defrav the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Social Secruity and Welfare (Revenue Department)'."

DEMAND NO. 141-RELIEF ON ACCOUNT OF NATURAL CALAMITIES (REVENUE DEPARTMENT)

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceed-

ing Rs. 35,00,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guiarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Relief on account of Natural Calamities (Revenue Department)'."

DEMAND NO. 142-DANGS DISTRICT

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 33.57.000 on Revenue Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March. 1975 in respect of 'Dangs District'."

DEMAND NO. 144-COMPENSATIONS AND ASSIGNMENTS (REVENUE DEPARTMENT)

MR SPEAKER : Motion moved .

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs 10.00.000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guiarat to defray the charges which will come m course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1975 in respect of 'Compensations and Assignments (Revenue Department)'."

DEMAND NO. 146-LOANS AND ADVANCES TO GOVERNMENT SERVANTS IN REVENUE DEPARTMENT

MR. SPEAKER . Motion moved :

"That a Supplementary sum not exceeding Rs 2,00,000 on Capital Account be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State al Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March. 1975 in respect of 'Loans and Advances to Government Servants in Revenue Department'."

The hon Members may move their cut motion.

1975.(6)1

SHRI N. S. CHAVDA (Patan) ; J beg to move ;

"That the demand for grant on account under the Head Election be reduced to Re. 1."

[Failure to hold early elections of the Legislative Assembly of Gujarat (1)].

"That the demand for grant on account under the Head Tax Collection Charges (Finance Department) be reduced to Re 1."

[Mobilisation of fresh tax revenue of rupees 22.89 crores in 1974-75 without the assent of Parliament (2)]

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR (Ahmodabad) : I beg to move :

"That the demand for grant on account under the Head Flections be reduced to Re. 1."

[Deliberate and indefinite postponement of elections for the new Vidhan Sabha in Gujarat. (3)].

"That the demand for grant on account under the Head General Administration Department be reduced to Re. 1."

[Failure to help the Administration in Gujarat to plan and implement the various developmental projects in the State in a proper and balanced man ner (4)].

"That the demand for grant on account under the Head Tax Collection Charges (Finance Department) be reduced to Re. 1"

[Collecting large sums of revenue through notifications and without the proper and prior assent of Parlidment(5)]

"That the demand for grant on account under the Head Education be reduced to Re. 1"

[Failure to provide fully and urgently the funds and the educational framework and the necessary physical facilities for the introduction of the Higher Secondary Education pattern (10+2+3) in Gujarat from June,

"That the demand for grant on account under the Head Education be reduced to Re. 1."

[Failure to implement the earlier U.G.C. revised pay scales (prior to Sen Committee Report) for the teachers of the Gujarat Agricultural University.(7)]

"That the demand for grant on account under the Head Irrigation and Soil Conservation be reduced to Re. 1."

[Signing a limited accord on the Narmada waters at a time when the State of Gujarat has no popular Ministry.(8)]

"That the demand for grant on account under the Head Gujarat Capital Construction Scheme be reduced to Re 1"

[Failure to take proper and urgent steps for the continued development of Gandhinagar, the new capital of Gujarat. (9)]

"That the demand for grant on account under the Head Relief on account of Natural Calamities be reduced to Re 1".

[Failure to give substantial and immediate financial assistance for massive drought relief operations in Gujarat. (10)]

DR. LAXMINARAIN PANDIYA (Mandsaur) : 1 beg to move :

"That the demand for grant on account under the Head Flections be reduced by Rs. 100."

[Postponement of elections in Gujarat against the wishes of the people. (11)]

"That the demand for grant on account under the Head General Administration Department be reduced by Rs. 100."

Indifference towards providing transport and drinking water facilities to the people in backward areas of Guiarat and also towards their development in other fields (12)]

"That the demand for grant on account under the Head Irrigation and Soil Conservation be reduced by Rs 100."

IDelay in settling inter-State water disputes in a just and practicable manner (13)]

"That the demand for grant on account under the Head Irrigation and Soil Conservation be reduced by Rs. 100."

(Delay in providing necessary funds for mter-State water project known as 'Mahi Project', (14)].

"That the demand for grant on account under the Head Relief on account of Natural Calamities be reduced by Rs. 100"

[Delay in providing necessary and adequate relief measures for the droughthit people in Guiarat. (15)]

MR. SPEAKER : The cut motions are also before the House.

MR. SPEAKER : Now. Mr Bhattucharyya

SHRI DINEN BHATTACHARYYA (Scrampore) : The point or order is that it would not be necessary for you to go into all these complicated matters, if they had held the elections before this budget was prepared. So, my first point is this, that there should not be any delay in holding the election in Gujarat. (Interruptions) At the earliest, because there are reports appearing that the electroral roll is complete and delimitation is complete what is the difficulty in holding the election? from Kutch has passionately appealed to The only difficulty that I can presume is the Government here to at least take care that the Congress Party led by Shrimati of these areas so that minimum drinking Indira Gandhi is not yet confident that it water may be provided to them not only to will win the elections there in Gujarat. the people of Kutch but to other areas also That is why it is delayed. (Interruptions) where the people are suffering. I know,

Grants (Guiarat) 266 1974-75

Whether I have cause or not, that does not matter. The people of Gujarat matter and they want their own representatives; but it is sure that at the present moment you will not be in a position to get majority there That is why you are taking this step of passing the budget here in parliament where you have got only 24 Members. whereas in the Assembly, people's reaction could be reflected. So, my first point is this, that the elections to the State Legislative Assembly should not be delayed and that State should not be kept under the Governor's rule any more; and that election should be held. Sir, from the Budget, it appears that as in the General Budget, wherein we have seen that the common people are being taxed, here also the affluent sections have not been touched in the matter of taxation. On the other hand, some concessions have been given to them. On perusal of the Budget which has been placed here, I find that the maximum tax to be realised is from the Sales Tax. It means that the common people will have to share the major burden of taxation to proposals. There are so many other items, but the time at my disposal is short. So, I will simply come to other important points.

So far as I know, there are 19 districts in Gujarat. Out of these 19, 14 are reported to be drought affected and in most of Dinen the places even the drinking water is not available In the Fourth Plan it was decided that they will sink 1,000 tubewells on the basis of 'no resources' As there was no resource of any water anywhere in I am those villages. doubtful whether those 1,000 villages have been covered under 'No resource scheme'. Again 2.000 new tubewells are going to be sunk in those villages I am doubtful whether that will be done or not

Looking to the pitiable conditions there, Then, a Member belonging to the ruling party

4

the relief measures that have been under- cases. There is no reason why they should taken in the drought affected areas are be deprived of these little benefits which most insufficient. I was just talking to have been accorded to them by the Minisome Guiarat Members sitting in the Cong- mum Wages Committee. ress benches, they were also complaining that from the fair price shops very seldom the villages get even the minimum quantity of wheat or bajara and sugar. That is the condition everywhere over there.

In the urban areas a large number of workers are affected because of the closure of the third shift in the cotton mills in Ahmedabad. I have personally seen there that more than 10,000 workers have been without employment for a long time and the management there are raising the plea that stock are being accumulated, so they cannot run the third shift. On enquiry was found that these stocks are fake it stocky, because the management wants to create such an atmosphere in the country as if there is a scarcity of cloth. They are doing this to achieve the purpose of fixing any price for any quality of cloth.

In some mills which are run by the National Textiles Corporation, even the minimum labour was laws are not implemented. That is why a big demonstration was organised by the working class there in Ahmedabad to place all these grievances there.

Another point which I want to mention is that the recommendations of the Engineering wage Board have not yet been implemented in many factories and especially in the factories which are using very mo-These Engineering Wage dern machines Board recommendations are not implemented because the total number of employed persons there is less than 50. This is an injustice to them. I would plead with the Minister to see that the Engineering Wage Board's recommendations are also applied in case of the factories where there are less than fifty workers working.

There is also the problem of the recommendations of the Minimum Wage Com- tution and procedure. I am very greatful mittee for the printing press workers. In that this matter has ultimately been retheir case also even the meagre amount solved. I hope such attitudes do help the recommended by this Minimum Wages House in the long run. Thank you very Committee have not been given in many much.

Grants (Gularat) 268 974-7

14 hrs.

And, regarding the lay-off that has taken place in the textile mills, in most of the cases, the lay-off benefit has not also been given to them as per the law. We have discussed Guiarat only vesterday and we have scon hew often the minimum requirements as per law and as per provisions of the Constitution have not been complied with. They have just forgotten these things. They prepare the budget in the same way. The cases of these poor workers are always forgotten and these people are being deprived of their legitimate rights. I have several other points and I wish to point out a most relevant point. There is one Counsultative Committee. In regard to this presentation of Budget before the Parliament, that Consultative Committee there has not been consulted. They have not done that while presenting this budget in Parliament, which has been prepared by breaucrats there in Gujarat. My question is, what is the objection.

MR SPFAKER , Mr. Dinen Bhattacharyva, just a minute. Before I go, I wish to say this. I am very happy that the suggestions, whatever they were, which were given, have been accepted by the Ministry. I have been informed by the hon. Minister that the Finance Ministry have agreed to issue a corrigendum omitting the amount in guestion. This is instead of an amendement. I think that solves the problem. He says : "The procedure is acceptable to the leaders of Opposition to whom I have talked. The corrigendum accordingly is being issued." This, they have sent for my information. I thought I should share it with you. I am very happy that this was not a question of prestige, but this was a question of applying the points of Consti-

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR (Ahmedabad) : Why opposition only, 'entire House' he should have said,-not the leaders of during that movement and whether Govthe Opposition only.

MR. SPEAKER : Anyway, that has been resolved without much difficulty. The credit goes to Mr. Sezhivan.

14.04 HOURS

[MR DEPUTY SPEAKER in the Chair]

SHRI DINEN BHATTACHARYYA : Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, you have perhaps heard me say this. Regarding the Consultative Committee, I asked, is it a show? It is to be kept only as a show-piece ? When the Consultative Committees are formed, that means when there is no Assembly, that Consultative Committee will be consulted. My question is why the Consultative Comittee meeting was not called when the budget proposal was to be placed before Parliament. Not only this, I have seen that to-day, that is, on 21st, meeting has been called. Here the Speaker gives his ruling that this must continue; and everything regarding Gujarat is not vet finished. The Home Minister has called a meeting to pass two bills. Provisions are also there in the budget. What an anomaly it is " This is the attitude of this Government or the attitude this ruling party is taking.

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR : Mr. Bhattacharyya, four meetings were called but there was not much discussion.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : Order. Order.

SHRI DINEN BHATTACHARYYA : After the meeting, I raised a very per-Let me finish. I again repeat that there tenent question. Whatever you may say should not be any delay in holding the here, your proposals describe that there elections Already people's feelings were disturbances, drought etc., etc., What there. The Government must make an imwere the disturbances there ? I want to mediate announcement about the elections know. The people had expressed their in Gujarat. feelings-their grievances. And as a result of that, you had to dissolve the Assembly I do not know how you can get away with Deputy-Speaker, Sir, Gujarat is enterthis sort of expression that is given here, ing into a worst and most critical phase of When I put a question in the Consultative this fumine that lies before us. Next six

Committee meeting that there were atrocities committed on the ordinary people ernment had taken any steps against any official who failed to discarge their duties they kept quiet.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : Mr. Bhattacharyya, how do you expect the Finance Minister to reply to all these things ?

SHRI DINEN BHATTACHARYYA : He has now come with the budget. I am within the scope of that,

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER : That is a different matter. There was scope when the extension of President's Rule came up before the House. You will here confine ourself to the financial matters.

SHRI DINEN BHATTACHARYYA : But, they have asked for money for the police It was they who committed the atrocities on the people. I asked a question on that. They kept silent and no answer was given to it.

MR DFPUTY-SPEAKER : Now, you will please conclude

SHRI DINEN BHATTACHARYA · May I know how much time I have taken?

MR DUPTY-SPFAKER : I do not know yhen you started. You must have taken more time.

SHRI DINEN BHATTACHARYYA : No. Sir.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : I shall check up.

SHRI DINEN BHATTACHARYYA : are .

SHRI D. D. DESAI (Kaira) : Mr.

months would be quite critical. We have just now seen the urgency for the approval of the budget so that we can meet this situation.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER ; Just minute. Mr. Desai, I am not taking your time. We must work out on the basis of these two hours. Mr. Bhattacharvya was entitled to five minutes but he had taken fifteen minutes

SHRI DINFN BHATTACHARYYA : No. Sir. In between there was a changeover of Chairmen.

MR. DEPUTY-SPFAKER : I am not blaming you. My object in saying it is this. 1 would only request you all to confine yourselves to five minutes each

(Mch-SHRI NATWARLAL PATFL sana) : We should have at least seven minutes.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : Who has allotted ?

SHRI NATWARLAL PATEL ' You have to allot. We request you to allot seven minutes at least

MR. DFPUTY-SPEAKER : All right But, within seven minutes, you must conclude.

SHRI D. D DFSAI : Sir, though there had been some criticism about the way in which the Budget has been prepared, 1 would say that on the whole, the money has been well spent and the Government has been frugal. They have lived within the means and if you go into the details of the expenditure, you would find that there is no real cause for any suspicion or doubts. We had lost a lot of time on technical matters and figures. I am not going into the details.

and certain other difficulties in regard to the eves of the people of Gujarat into availability of foodgrains, water, employ- the sea. Irrigation projects ment and those sorts of things. The ques- dam are held up on acount of shortage of tion is of finance. I would request the Gov- funds even, though erament of India that finance to the extent started. I would suggest in spirit of

Grants (Gujarat) 272 1974.75

you will kindly look into the Budget, you will find that practically no provision or reduced provision has been made for power. This is an important aspect. Today, we may be in a good position in regard to power not only because of better power generation, but because industrialisation in Guiarat on account of the inability of the State Government to provide funds has gone down

The other issue is in regard to public sector projects Sir, Central Government, as you know, is not giving public sector project* to Gujarat of a nature which are related to items other than petroleum. Sir, I would say that for irrigation foi power and for all these items, unless funds are provided it is not possible for the Stote Government, when it is undertaking programmes in regard to scarcity and even famine conditions, to implement the plans in the proper manner.

Now, Sir, the finance sources have been questioned We have the question of roy alty on oil which has been undecided for a long time During the last two Budget discussions. I had suggested that the gain amount should be split. The Government is earning on sale of petroleum products a huge amount of money. Everybody is running to these Arab countries Here. whether it is Gujarat or Assam, in both the cases, the limitation is in regard to funds. I would suggest that instead of allowing the matter to be left at that stage. Goveernment should take immediate measures by which the amount from oil which the Central Government earns or gain is split with the State Government in an equitable manner.

Then, there is the question of irrigation. In our state, famine conditious have arisen on acount of shortage of water. The Sir, presently, we are faced with scarcity water of the Narmada are flowing before like Kadana construction was the necessary should be provided. In fact, if Narmada Partial arrangements in respect

of four projects in Gujarat and four pro- crores. In other words Rs. 300 crores of jects in Madhya Pradesh, Narmada Canals works should be started of the State has left it barren. I conclude immediately. After all, we are sure to build by suggesting that our Government should the Nawagam dam whatever may be the take particular care to see that developheight that may be decided by the Tribu- ment work takes place in nal.

industualisation. Sir, in the State of Guia- House is depleted and as for myself I rat, industrialisation has almost come to a feel rather sickened as I have waited and standstill. Unemployment such an extent that the situation in the State you know very well, because you were in has become almost intolerable. Industria- the Chair most of the time vesterday, it lisation can take place only when funds are was thanks mainly to our friend Era Sezavailable and it is amazing that funds with hiyan the watchdog of the Consolidated the Gujarat State Financial Corporation, Fund of India, we discovered, how not the Guarat Industrial Investment Corpora- only was the House being tion and other financial instituions have granted but also how the House was being dried up. There are no funds with them, taken for a ride and the constitutional Even the promised amounts are not forth- provisions were simply being pushed off coming There are a large number of peo- the table plc-l would not name myself-who have undertaken projects on the assurance of the State and who have gone to the State but we find that nothing is progressing. People are not provided with any funds This is the situation. This has arisen on account of the State having raised and exhausted all the re-ources which are within its nower and which could be given by the people. There is a gult in Agricultural products such as cotton and tobacco. It is common knowledge that in groundnuts the oil millers are losing money. The agencies of the Government of India should enter the market to see that funds are released for these people, I suggest that the Bhavanagar-Tarapur line and Kanadgani-Modesa line should be taken up. I had already requested the Railway Minister ; but that would still require some pressure. The road projects and the port projects are all at a standstill How are we going to develop the State ? Even if this budget it passed, there is no provision in this budget to take care of all the projects. Industrial projects such as the sector project, petrochemicals, tyres, etc. had been put on shelf for one reason or another. The deposits of Guiarat in the 14 nationalised banks stand at Rs. 725 crores or 750 crores whereas advances to Gujarat are Rs. 450 out. The Home Minister has had the

that at least Gujarat State are diverted. The deprivation Guiarat.

SHRI H. N. MUKHERJEE (Calcutta-Now. I would like to mention about North-East) : I am not surprised that the has grown to watched the proceedings on this issue. As taken for

> MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER : The House discovered itself.

SHRI H N. MUKHERJEE : The House has been able to find only a make-shift solution of the matter. The discovery is something on which you have complimented the House and particularly Mr. Sezhiyan. But what worries me is the fact that this is the symptom of the attitude of the Government. I am very sorry for my friend, the Minister of State whom I did not expect to be able on the spur of the moment to answer the kind of question which came up. The matter has been hanging fire since vesterday and I did expect that the Law Minister would come here and at least offer whatever explanation he might have in mind. I did expect this : the Home Minister should have been present on this occasion. For the last five or six years or even more perhaps a custom or a kind of convention has been manufactured so that the Treasury Benches are usually empty when things happen and now in regard to Guiarat we are treated to this kind of spectacle which is a completely sickening spectacle.

I think Shri Bhattacharyya pointed this

sumption to call a consultative committee allocated by the Centre, but the Centre is meeting for this evening while the Budget not ready to do so and the result is that would be a settled fact. He did not have they go on with tinkering little relief meathe common sense to call a meeting of the sures. If that is done they cannot have consultative committee earlier so that at bigger long term scheme into which temleast some of the budget proposals could porary relief measures can be dovetalled. have been discussed. Gujarat is being de- That requires a larger approach of which prived for longer than is essential of the unfortunately this Government is not canaright to self-Government and also good ble-not my friend who happens to be the Government because perhaps good Government is not to be had on this side of very uncomfortable the golden gates of paradise.

But at any rate if the Gujarat Assembly was there they could discuss the Budget. And you tell me to finish in seven minutes. Luckily I do not belong to Gujarat. only take the clue from some of our pairokar friends from Gujarat who tell me something, but that is not sufficient. That is an expression which has just acquired currency. I happen to have got very kind assistance from some of my Gujarati friends, but even so we are not in a position to say anything in this House because bureaucrats are in power. We are told from time to time that the cream of the civil service is looking after Gujarat. If this is the way in which the cream of the civil service works, then God helps our country.

The main point which has to be stressed over and over again is that Gujarat must I way in Ahmedabad nearly 20 years ago have her own Assembly and the elections and I saw a sort of museum where there are being postponed repeatedly. And in the was a map showing how, if the Narmada meantime what they have done is to post- waters were controlled and properly divided pond even the Panchayat elections by seven between the States which were butting on months till the end of the year. Why don't it, wonderful results would ensure. For more they be more honest about it and say that than 20 years the thing has been hanging till next year nothing is going to happen in fire. The Prime Minister had at one point Gujarat? Let them come out. Things being of time said that she was going to take up as they are, I am personally not unready the matter for her own adjudication. She to accept even that kind of suggestion, but did not like the baby over much, she threw they are not honest about it.

and distress conditions, and there is no region. But the main question is that the doubt about it that the Gujarat Government, people of Gujarat must have their own such as it is, is tinkering with relief. Com- Assembly where they can in their own way pared to that the matter of voluntary effort discuss matters relating to themselves, but is a great deal more laudable. And they are Government is proceeding in a manner tinkering with relief because they cannot which seems rather suspicious and shows get such money as they need. A sum of also that they have got a peculiar guilty

Grants (Gujarat) 276 1974-75

Minister of State having a baby to tend, a baby for the time being, it is not his fault, it is the fault of this Government.

I learn also that out of 10.000 villages affected by severe water shortage, water supply through tankers has been arranged for 170 villages or so, At least the problem of water supply could have been met if they had two to three hundred tankers supplying water to the different villages.

I see also that friends over there like Mr. Mehta talk so often about Kutch. I read a long time ago about certain schemes. Kutch was a kind of area where the Planning Commission found it very congenial and easy to set up a cheese making factory. but nothing has been done though years have passed. Nothing happens to get done.

There is the Narmada waters question. it aside. I do not know where it is now and how long it will take for the Narmada We are told so much about the drought waters to be used by the people of the probably Rs. 100 crores is required to be feeling in regard to conducting elections.

PHALGUNA 30, 1896 (SAKA)

Grants (Guiarat) 278 1974-75

mocratic party was proceeding in a princi- are not getting any finance from the Central pled manner to tackle it, obviously they Fund or from the General Budget in the should have been in a position to face the same of famine. Therefore, again, I would people of Gujarat which is traditionally a like to request the hon. Minister to see that Congress supporting State where the likes of adequate finance is given not only from us do not get a voting at all, but they do Plan Budget but from General Budget also. not have that sense of courage and character. They have a guilty conscience and that staple cotton and, as a matter of fact, I is why with the bureaucrats in power every- can say that the people there are being put thing is in a mess and the mess would not to great misery because there is no market

SHRI NATWARLAL PATEL sana) : I must thank you for giving me discussed this matter many times on the an opportunity to speak on the Guiarat floor of the House either in the form of Cal-Bill. Sir, my State is facing an un-prece- ling Attention or in some other form. Anydented drought situation this year. Last way, so far as the Commerce Ministry is year, there were heavy floods in the State concerned, they say that they are very keen and the entire agriculture was damaged, to see that price support should be given Not only that, people living on the banks to cotton growers throughout the country of rivers were the worst sufferers as they and particularly in Gujarat State but they became homeless. Year before last there do not have adequate finance with them. In was a country-wide famine and Gujaral that case, I would request the hon. mance also was affected badly. This year, mon. Minister to see that adequate finance should soon failed miserably. There was no mon- be given to Cotton Corporation of India, soon crop and our poor farmers have to textile mills of this country and Coopelittle source of irrigation for growing rabi ratives so that this problem of long staple crop particularly wheat. They have no irri- cotton especially in Gujarat State can be gation facilities for long staple cotton also, easily solved. Looking at this drought situation in the State, I understand, the Gujarat Government to the notice of this House. About seven has taken a number of steps to fight the lakh labourers are working in relief works situation. This drought situation has created They are working for eight hours but they a number of problems in the State-drink- are not getting even Rs. 3 per day. They king water problem, problem of fodder and are doing very hard work for eight hours. especially the problem of famine. At pre- They are digging very hard lands and still sent, there are some villages where drink- they are not paid even Rs. 3. I have myself ing water difficulties are still there. Gujarat visited a number of relief works and when Government is aware of this. There is no I saw these people working there, I realidoubt about it. But there is a financial sed that it was really a very tremendous constraint and I am afraid, the whole job and they should be given at least Rs. 3 programme initiated by the Gujarat Govern- I understand the Gujarat Government is ment will suffer for want of adequate following some old famine manual. That finance. That is why, I would like to draw famine manual must be revised again so the attention of the hon. Minister to this that these people should be given Rs. 3. very important point. I am afraid, Gujarat per day. And if you do not do this, Government will not be able to face the you are doing a great injustice to the un-precedented situation unless and until poor labourers of this country. This is adequate finance is made available to them. a socialist Government and I must expect That is why, I would like to request the from a socialist Government at least this hon. Minister to give adequate finance from much. We had raised this matter in the General Budget to the people of Gujarat. Consultative Committee meetings and the As a matter of fact, at present, whatever hon. Minister was kind enough to say that

Last year there was an upsurage. If a de- is being given, is from Plan funds and we

In Gujarat, the growers are growing long be corrected till there is a drastic solution. at present there. They are not getting even (Meh- the cost price of the production. We have

One thing more, I would like to bring

ł

MARCH 21, 1975

```
Grunts (Gujarat) 280
1974-75
```

he would look into all our demainds sympathetically. But I would like to say with rearet that none of our demands have been implemented as yet. I would like to bring to the notice of the hon. Minister that mere sympathy will not save the hungry people of our country from dving. Mere sympathy is not a substitute for foodgrains. As a matter of fact, only sweet words and sympathetic words will not be able to solve the present problems of Guiarat State in the time of drought conditions. In that case, again, I would request the hon Minister to see if this Famine Manual can be revised, and the labourers who are working there at least get Rs. 3 per day and this matter should be expedited as early as possible.

So far as Gujarat State is concerned, we are producing plenty of oil. So far as this oil is concerned, Rs. 50 per tonne royalty should be given. My State is deficit, as far as finance is concerned. We want more money. We do not depend on the Centre, As far as our demand is concerned, not less than Ra. 50 should be given as royalty so that our State becomes self-reliant in future and we do not depend entirely on the Centre.

I would like to make one point and that is a very simple point but a very important point As far as Gujarat State is concerned, we have taken some irrigation projects already in hand like Kadna and Dharoi, etc. These projects have been suffering for want of adequate finance. If adequate finance is provided, we will be able to complete these projects. I would request the hon. Minister to give us finance specially to finish these already taken in hand.

डा॰ लडनीनारायम पांडेय (मदसीर) उपाध्यक्ष जी, इस नरकार में न तो जम भावना के प्रति आस्था है धीर न प्रजातन्त्र के प्रति इस की कोई आस्था है। सह सरकार संविधान का रोड मजाक बनाती है। इसी गुजरात बजट के सम्बन्ध मे जिस प्रकार संविधान के सम चिलवाड किया गया बह हमने देखा, इस सरकार की संसय के प्रति भी बहुत क्यांचा झाल्या नहीं है, धनेर वही कारफ है कि विस बचट को गुजरात के निवांचित प्रसि-निश्चियो को पारित करना चाहिये था उसे हम वारित कर रहे हैं। इखते हुर्मान्यपूर्च बात प्रीर क्या हो सकती है। गुजरात की जमताने बार वार यहा हे सकती है। गुजरात की जमताने बार वार यहा की कि हमारी निवयित सरकार हो, लेकिन उस को किसी न किसी बहाने से ठाल कर वहा पर राष्ट्रपति झासन को लागू रखा वा रहा है, घीर एक लम्बी घवधि से यह कह कर कि वहा पर निवर्शिन ग्रमी नही हागा, यह वजट यहा उपस्थित किया वज्वा है। मैं कहना चाहूगा कि जहा झाप ने निर्वाचन के लिये या सरकार के दूसरे खर्चों के लिये वजट से इन्छ गांगि मागी है वहां सब से पहले घाप निर्वाचन कराइये।

खहा तक गुजरात की बित्तीय स्थिति का मम्बन्ध है और अनेकानेक समस्याघो का सम्बन्ध है उन के सम्बन्ध मे घी पिछले ममय से इस सरकार ने किसी प्रकार का कोई उचित और ठोस कदम नहीं उठाया। बहां बाढ आयी, सूखा आया और जद इन विव-दाघो से गुजरात के 20 लाख लोग पीडित हुये उन मे से केवल 5 लाख के करीब लोगो को यह सरकार काम दे मकी, योब लोग घनी घी परेक्षान है। न उन के रहने का स्थान है, न उन की रोवा रोटी की समस्या का समाधान हो पाया है। बिबक लाग घायन्त मकट मे हैं।

सरकार ने 15 करोड र०का प्रावधान किया है, लेकिन सूखा प्रौर बाढ़ से प्रभावित लोगों के लिये प्राप को कम से कम 50 कराड़ द० प्रौर प्रधिक खर्च करना चाट्यि। यदि प्राप ऐसा कर सकते तभी ग्राप बाढ़ ग्रौर सूखा पीढित लोगो को किसी प्रकार की सहायता पहुषा सकते है. प्रन्यमा नहीं।

भव में सिचाई मौर विजली योजनामो के बारे में कुछ निवेदन बाहता हूं। नर्मवा योजना का मध्य प्रदेश जीर युजगत से सम्बन्ध है। लेकिन वह मामलाभी मभी बटाई में ही पढा हुमा है। पहले नर्मवा के मामले को ट्रिस्यूनल को सींपा गया, फिर प्रधान मली के पास बना गवा। जीर मेंब फिर से यह मामला टिक्म्यूनल के पास बना गवा

है। सच्य प्रदेश की और गुजरात की जनता चाहती है कि इस का लाभ उन को बीझ मिले। यदि इस विवाद का हल हो जाता है, मच्य प्रदेश को भी साथ होगा तथा गुजरात को भी मारी लाभ मिलेगा। भारी साला में कच्छ की भूमि की तिग्वाई की व्यवस्था की जा सकता है। लेकिन खेद है कि धमी तक वह मामता हल नही हो पाया है। मेरी-माग है कि इस मामत का जल्दा से जन्दी तिग-टाया जाना चाहिये।

जहां तक उकई योजना का सम्बन्ध है छठे विस प्रायोग ने कहा है कि इस के लिये काफी पैसा देना बाहिये। लेकिन सरकार ने उस दिशा में कोई प्रधावी कदम नहीं उठाया। इसी प्रकार माही योजना के बारे में भी, जो गुजरात मौर रायस्थान के बीच मे है, कोई कदम नहीं उठाया गया। यदि इन योजनाओं को पूरा कर लिया जाय तो इन से गुजरात को काफी नरक्की हां सकती है निवाई की सुविधाये बढ़ सकती हैं जिस से गुजरान का तेजी से विकास हो मकना है। गुजरात को बिजली की प्रत्यन्त प्रावश्वकना हैं। उसे शोध हल किया जाना बाहिये।

जहा तक काडला मनन व्यापार क्षेत्र का सम्बन्ध है इस सरकार ने उम दब्टि से कोई काम नहीं किया है जिस से लोग वहा जायें मौर उम का लाभ ग्रजरात सरकार को मिले, देश को मिले । खेद है कि इस बारे में भी मरकार ने कोई कदम नही उठाया है। परिणाम यह है कि काडला मक्त व्यापार क्षेत्र के लोग अपने उखांग बहां से हटा रहे हैं। मैं पून कहना चाहता हं कि गुअरान की जनता की बर्तमान में काफी कप्ट है, लोगों के लिये पीने का पानी नही, पत्रुग्रो के लिये चारा नहीं है। चारे के सभाव में पश मर रहे हैं। इसलिये सरकार को तरन्त समचित व्यवस्था करनी बाहिये झोर झनाज तथा चारे के सकट को दर करने तत्काल प्रभावी कदम उठाने चाहिये। इन सब बातो के विद्यमान रहते हुये केवल योडा बहत पैसा दे कर बहा के लोगो को झांत नही किया जा सकता है। इसलिये यदि झाप को मजरात की समस्याओं को निपटाना है तो माप को मारी माता में चनराशि देनी चाहिये।

जहां तक लेवर प्रोबलम का सवाल है वहां पर टैक्सटाइल मिल्स के अन्दर काफी कपड़ा जमा है जिम की बजह से सजदूरों को निकाला जा रहा है। इम से मजदूरों में भी भयंकर असतीथ व्याप्त है। सरकार को उम बारे में भी घ्यान देना बाहिये। आज वहां के श्रमिकों के सावने एक भारी संकट खड़ा हो गया है। मेरी राय में इन मब नमस्याम्रो का अगर कोई हल हो सकता है तो वहा पर निर्वाचन हो मकता है और जन प्रिय सरकार द्वारा ही इन समस्याम्रों का समाधान इडा जा सकता है। यह मरकार दहां की मयस्याम्रों को सुनम्राने मे असमर्थ रही हैं।

मेरी मात्रा है कि मैंने जो विजसी, सिंबाई योजनाधो के बारे मे भौर छठे विस धावोग ने निफारिम की है तथा गुजरात की लेवर प्रावलम्ध के बारे मे, सूखा प्रस्त और बाढ़ प्रस्त खेत्रो के बारे मे बाते उठाई हैं उनके के बारे मे मजी महोदय प्रवण्य ही प्राण्वामन देगे। तथा ठोम कदम उठायेंने वह यह भी बताये कि वास्तव में गुजरात मे विस्थापितों को किस प्रकार धाप बनाना चाहते हैं भौर फिर से उस इनाके को हरा भरा देखना चाहते हैं। इम के बारे में निण्चिन ही धाप कोई प्राण्वासन देगे। धीर प्रन्त मे एक बार पुनः वहा जीधनिशीध निर्वाचन कराये, इस मान को दाहराता ह।

DR. MAHIPATRAY MEHTA (Kutch): Sur, this is a routine budget. There is nothing special in it.

MK. DEPUTY SPEAKER : Something very special has taken place.

DR. MAHIPATRAY MEHTA : The only special thing was with regard to the procedural matter. Otherwise, there is nothing special. People are mainly concerned with the relief that the budget will give. Unfortunately, this is a deficit budget to the extent of Rs. 3.27 crores. It is said that they are carrying this deficit from the last budget.

The main question is the unprecedented drought prevailing in Gujarat today. Up to this time, Government has spent only Rs. 33.50 crores. In this deficit budget. It is we people who have deposited the they have asked only for Rs. 15 crores most in the small savings in the whole of and the total at the most will come to India. Take any district. In spite of the Rs. 50 crores. I think this is something difficulties, the Kutch people have gone which does not inspire any confidence in everywhere in the world and by their blood the people. Even during the last famine, and sweat, they have brought wealth to the amount spent was Rs. 81 crores and our country. It is we people, let me tell that was at a time when the foodgrain you, who have made the largest public prices were not so high as they are today When there is famine, the people should be paid according to the prevailing prices of foodgrains. As has been rightly pointed out by some friends, people do not get even Rs. 3. I toured the whole of my taluka with the concerned Government officers and newspaper men. There was not a single earth-work where the people used to get Rs. 3. I do agree that in the DPAP and forest works, people used to get Rs. 3, but not for earth-work. Even tools are not supplied. How do you expect the people to dig out the earth ? I find that even this Rs. 50 crores thev are going to spend from the plan estimates.

the mose misunderstood Guiarat is State, with the most imbalance in development. There is huge disparity If you go to Gujarat, you will find that from Ahme dabad to Surat, that 200 miles strip is being developed. There are 21 per cent scheduled castes and scheduled tribes. The rest of the entire Guiarat State remains backward. Government themselves appointed to a committee to go into this matter. That committee has prepared a master plan ne recently as December, 1974 from which I quote :---

> "Still the fact remains that Kutch is one of the 10 backward districts of the State. However, even amongst the backward States, Kutch is so economically and industrially backward that it goes well below the least developed of the other 9 backward districts. Therefore, considerable efforts and investment will have to be made to bring it to the least level of the average backward district."

and I should like to know what you are language. But for the sake of the tradition going to do about the recommendations. of Gujarat of which we are a part, we do

contribution. In the whole of India, Kutch stands as the first district in small savings.

It is not only that. We do not want money. I have said repeatedly that we do not want money. Let us please have infrastructure. This booklet is here. It has made some recommendations. The government has agreed to those recommendations. There should be a Development Board for Kutch It is our right. It has to take up the responsibility to develop Kutch. When they handed it over to Gujarat, in spite of our desire not to go there, we never wanted to go but we were forced to go into these different geographical conditions of India, that has marred our development. Firstly, we went with Maharashtra. As I was saying, it is our right. The Joint Select Committee of both the Houses of this hon. Parliament has given a guarantee. It is not the Boundary Commission that has given the gnarantee. You will find that this has been put in Article 371(2) of the Constitution and that provision should be implemented immediately and Kutch should be given the Development Board. The same thing was in Maharashtra There are three divisions here-Kutch. Saurashtra and Gujarat. Gujarat is made up of three units. Maharashtra also is made up of three units.--Vidarbha. Marathwada and the rest of Maharashtra. The Chief Minister traditionally comes turn by turn. In the last Ministry you will find that Kutch had no representation, not a single Member for it. I want you to see the attitude and the mentality. We are being neglected absolutely because we are a small State Let me tell this from the floor of the House. If you want us to speak the language of the people of Andhra we are prepared for it. People should not think This is the finding. There are reports that we do not know how to speak that ness. If you are to do so and if there is necessity for such an imposition. When the no other go then we will be forced to Proclamation of President's rule is placed speak that language.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : I hope the Minister takes note of that.

fore, I want to suggest to the young linance port to the President. This doubt has arisen Minister to convey to the Government our in my mind because of the fact that such feelings. As I have said in Raiva Sabha, if India lives, Kutch lives. That is our attitude. But we should not be taken for granted. We have had enough of sufferings This drought situation has taken out the blood from every villager and if you vist bad): It is a fact that no Report of the the villages you will find skeleton. Therefore, my only request is that whatever Government recommendations are there. they should be implemented. In the matter of planning, in this budget, the districts are not taken as a unit. The main thing that should be taken for consideration is: how many families are living below the poverty line? The budget should be framed on that basis.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER ' You have made a very telling point Don't dilute it.

DR. MAHIPATRAY MEHTA : There is another plan which has come here.

DEPUTY-SPEAKER : Let MR. the warning given by you stay in the mind of the Government. That is important. So, larties which have been committed by the please conclude with that,

Shri J. M. Gowder.

Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I am thankful with the points raised by Shri Sezhiyan. to you for giving me an opportunity to The hon. Minister of State for Finance has express my views on behalf of my party also conceded the constitutional validity of the Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam on the the points raised by Shri Sezhiyan. In view Budget of the State of Gujarat for the year 1975-76.

Sir, the State of Gujarat is under the second spell of President's Rule. The President's Rule is imposed on a State or it is extended for a second term only on the report submitted to the President by

not do so. It shall not be taken as a weak- the Governor of the State. giving out the on the Table of this House, the Report of the Governor is also appended to that. Here, when the President's Rule in Gujarat has been extended, I do not know whether DR. MAHIPATRAY MEHTA : There- the Governor of Gujarat submitted his Rea Report of the Governor has not been placed on the Table of the House along with the Presidential Proclamation extending the President's Rule in Guiarat.

> SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR (Ahmeda-Governor has been placed on the Table of the House.

SHRI J. MATHA GOWDER : I would like to know whether it is not the constitutional duty of the Government to place on the Table of this House the Governor's Report along with the Presidential Proclamation. I am sure that the entire House will express its displeasure over this serious lapse of the Government. I would also like to know why this constitutional about Kutch. duty has not been discharged by the Government.

Coming now to the Budget of the State of Gujarat, you know the situation much better than all of us My party leader, Shri Era Sezhiyan, has brought to your notice yesterday and today the constitutional irregu-Government in the preparation of Gujarat Budget. You were good enough to uphold his view-point. Today the hon. Speaker *SHRI J. MATHA GOWDER (Nilgiris): also stated that he was in full agreement of the fact that the people of Gujarat would be put to great difficulty if the Budget is not approved by this House, Shri Sczhiyan has agreed to the suggestion of the hon. Speaker in regard to the necessity for approving this Budget today.

Here, I would like to point out that Shri C. Subramaniam, the senior Minister of "The original speech was delivered in Finance, is conspicuously absent. He should have been present in this House to answer

11 LSS 75-10

Tamil.

to the questions of constitutional irregulari-giving an impression to them ties in the Gujarat Budget instead of placing he is the overload of all the finances in Finance Minister in the State of Tamil from this kind of serious situations.

It is known to all of us that when the State of Guiarat is under President's rule, it is the responsibility of the Union Finance Ministry to formulate and present the State Budget in this House. It should have become clear to all the Members in this House that the Union Finance Minister has not cared to go through the Budget of Gularat which has been presented to this House. He does not know how the finances are being managed in the State of Gujarat under the President's Rule. This is the type of interest which he takes in the finances of the State of Guiarat which is under the President's Rule and which has been afflicted by serious drought.

But the Union Finance Minister, Shri C. Subramaniam is keenly interested in other things. I do not want to criticise the allocation of Rs. 25 crores as Central Assistance to the State of Gujarat for drought relief measures. I am saving this only to show how Shri C. Subramaniam acts and reacts to the political needs of the ruling Congress Party. In Gujarat he is keeping mum and he does not say a single word about the supervision of the accounts of Central Assistance to drought relief. He knows how to butter his bread. If he does that in Gujarat, the people of Gujarat will not support the ruling Congress Party; he will not be able to win over the people of Gujarat to the side of the Congress Party. But in Tamil Nadu, where the Opposition D.M.K. Party is in power, he wants to kill two birds with one stone. He wants to discredit the ruling Opposition Party in the State by saying that the Centre has the right to supervise the accounts of the Central Assistance of Rs. 7 crores given to Tamil Nadu for drought refief measures. Simultaneously, he wants to create a place for the Ruling Congress Party in the State of Tamil Nadu by

Grants (Gujarat) 288 1974-75

that his iunior Minister in an awkward predica- the country. He is an adept in adjusting ment. I know Shri C. Subramaniam person- himself to the political exigencies. All that ally for three decades. I was also in the he wants is, he should retain his power at Congress Party. I have seen him as the any cost. That is possible only when the Congress Party comes back to power in Nadu. He knows how to extricate himself Tamil Nadu. Without a base in Tamil Nadu, he is not likely to last long at the Centre.

MARCH 21, 1975

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : You are speaking on Shri C. Subramaniam, and not on the Guiarat Budget.

SHRI J. MATHA GOWDER : I am trying to point out that the financial interests of the States in the country are not going to be protected so long as Shri C. Subramaniam continues to be the Finance Minister at the Centre. When he is not genuinely interested in helping his own brethren in Tamil Nadu, when he wants to exploit the miseries of his own kith and kin in Tamil Nadu for his political ends, you can well imagine whether the State of Gujarat will ever get justice in his hands. When he wants to prove to the people of Tamil Nadu that he is the only guardian of public money, he is absent in this House when our DMK. leader. Shri Sezhivan has proved to the hilt that in the Budget of Gujarat under the President's Rule, for which Shri C Subramaniam is responsible. there are serious constitutional irregularities and the public money has been spent without proper sanction of this House. This also shows that Shri C Subramaniam will not hesitate to stoop to any level to achieve his political ends.

With these words, I conclude,

श्री श्वरविम्य एम० पटेल (राजकोट) : गुजरात में विरोधी दलों के पूर्ण सहयोग भौर समर्थन से नव निर्माण का म्रान्दोलन दो साल पहले चाल किया गया था। उस आन्दोलन के बाद गुजरान की आज की स्थिति पर हमें विचार करना चाहिये । इस धान्दोलन के बाद शिक्षा के क्षेत्र में धौर प्रायिक क्षेत्र में जो दर्भाव पैदा हमा है उस पर यदि विचार किया जाए तो हमारे विरोधी दलों को स्वीकार करना होगा कि गुजरात को इस स्थिति में

289 Sypplementary PHALGUNA 30, 1896 (SAKA) demands for

साने के लिए उनका बहुत बढा हिस्सा है। गजरात की माधिक स्थिति एक तो सबों की बजह से मौर वसरे इन लोगो द्वारा चलाए गए मान्दोलन की वजह के विगढी हई है। इसलिए गजरात पर विशेष ध्यान देने की जरूरत महसूस हो रही है।

में हो तीन बातो की घोर प्रापका ध्यान खीचना चाहता ह । लघ मिचाई की व्यवस्था करने पर गजरात और खास कर सौराष्टा पर आपको अधिक ध्यान देना चाहिये । वित्त मली ने मपने सामान्य बजट में यह उल्लेख किया था कि निचाई को हम देश में महत्व देंगे । यह मराहनीय बात है गज-रात से झगर मौराष्ट के क्षेत्र को झलग कर दिया जाग तो गजरान में तो बडी बडी नविया रह जाती हैं ग्रीर जो नैवरल स्थिति है उसकी वजह से मौराष्ट को बडी नदियो वा लाभ नहीं मिल सकता है ग्रौर न ही मिला है। मौराप्ट को बारिश पर ही निमंर रहना पडा है। मेरा सुझाव है कि सौराष्ट्र के लिए एक सौराष्ट्र इरिगेशन बोर्ड की रचना की जाए श्रीर वह इसका मध्ययन करे कि उम क्षेत्र मे लघ सिंखाई की आवश्कयनायें क्या है और उनका সৰঘ কই। 🖣

में समझता ह कि गजरान घौर सौराष्ट मे धगले दस साल में प्राधिक विषमना धौर धायिक असमानना बहन ज्यादा पैदा हो जाएगी । उस आर ग्रभी से मरवार को ध्यान देना चाहिये। रूदरन ने गजरात को बडी बडी नदिया दी हैं जा एक भ्रच्छी बान है। लंकिन उसी राज्य में जा दमग क्षेत्र है जहा काई बडी नदियां नही है यह क्षेत्र मगर पिछडा ग्ह गया तो उससे जो माथिव मसमानता श्रीर विपमता सौराष्ट्र श्रीर ग्जरात, दोना क्षेत्र के बीच खडी हा जाएगी. उसके परि-णाम विपरीत हो सकते है और इस वास्ते ऐसी स्थिति पैदा न ही. आपको अभी इम पर ध्यान देना बाहिये। सौराप्ट को लघ सिंचाई का लाभ मिल सके, ऐसी व्यवस्था भाषकों ग्रभी से कर देनी चाहिये।

ग्रयले साल की फसल पैदा करने के लिए बैलो की कमी महसूस होगी । झाज गुजरात मे बैल नही रहे है। झगर बैलो का प्रबध नही किया 1974-75

गया तो अगले साल चैत जोतने में बढी दिक्कत होगी, बड़ी कठिनाई होगी । इसलिए बैल खरीदने के लिए वहा किसानों को कुछ राहत दी बानी बाहिये या खद भरकार की बैलो का उनके लिए प्रबंध करना चाहिये ।

मापने बहुत भच्छा बजट पेश किया है. झौर इसके लिए मैं झापको बधाई देना ह झौर इसका ममर्थन करता ह। मैं इतना ही निवेदन करना चाहता ह कि सौराष्ट इरिगेशन बोहं की स्थापना करने पर सरकार को गभीरना से विचार करना चाहिये। इतनी ही मेरी विनती है।

SHRI H. M. PATEL (Dhandhuka) : Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, first of all, I would refer to the points raised by Shri Sezhiyan and say, how greatful this House should be to him for his having drawn our attention to the fact that a certain amount of indiscipline- that is not what he called-but that is what it amounts to--has gradually crept in our administration and it is deplorable. It becomes particularly deplorable when it enters the Finance Ministry The lump sum provision and the use of contingency tunds in the way in which they appear to have done can only be deprecated because they constitute really bad budgetting I would not like to say more on this subject; it has ben discussed enough and I have no doubt that adequate attention will be paid.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : And the the Government has made amends.

SHRI H. M PATEL ' What I feel very concerned about is the attitude of the Government and other Members of this House They look upon this as merely technical errors, as if there is no substance involved I would oniv sound a of warning that in financial note matters, the provisions of the Constitution and the powers of this House are most important for the preservation of our democratic system and I hope that meticulous attention would be paid by the Finance Ministry to them. We have the President's Rule in Gujarat and the interets that the Central Government takes in the

Grants (Guiarat) 290

these could be settled by some agreement, why could they not proceed and settle something in regard to the main project of the Narmada also? Could they not say "Let us get on with the business on some basis" which would mean progress. Because, when we take up this project in hand, it is going to take not less than ten years to implement it. Yet, how much is Narmada's completion going to mean to the country, not only to Gujarat but to the country. 70 lakhs acres of land would be provided with assured water supply. A few days back the Government stated that 117 irrigation project :

PHALGUNA 30, 1896 (SAKA)

are held up because of inter-State river disputes. These 117 projects are expected to irrigate also roughly 70 lakhs acres of land. This one river project in Gujarat alone

would mean 70 lakhs acres. The Drojects in Madhya Pradesh would mean another 30-40 lakhs acres of land. That shows how important this project is It is astonishing that a project of this importance should be held up for over nearly 15 years now . . .

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : What can the Gujarat budget do about it? It will come under Irrigation.

what it can do.

SHRI PILOO MODY (Godhra) : You are doing the same thing today.

SHRI H. M. PATEL : I will confine myself only to the Budget and I will point out how in every way it is relevant

factor also is relevant.

patiate more on that then, except point out that the Narmada has as much dicated what they intend to do and how water as the three rivers in the north, much money they propose to provide for viz., Sutlej, Beas and the Ravi which carry it. I see nothing of that in this Budget as much water-and most of which is which would give fortunately used as the Narmada carries that there will be adequate funds. I see regularly to the sea. I hope that the nothing in this Budget which would show conscience of somebody in authority that the vocational institutes in the rewould prick.

I would like to refer to one other matter . . .

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : And that should be the last.

SHRI H. M. PATEL : All right. I would now refer to the question of education.

SHRI PILOO MODY : Kindly, sit back and enjoy yourself.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : I wish I had the avoirdupois that you have,

SHRI H. M. PATEL : Sometime back there was a question in this House and in reply to that question, the Education Minister had said that the Government of Gujarat had received the John Committee report. The John Committee report was in regard to certain University problems. When he was asked how long it will take to give effect or take decisions on the recommendations of that Committee, he said, 'I shall ascertain, but it will be done as speedily as possible.' We still do not know what has happened. And it is well over a year that the Government has received this report and no action is taken on it. I do not know they should not take a decision why when they are taking decisions on matters SHRI H. M. PATEL : I will point out like the new scheme of higher secondary education viz. 10+2+3. In regard to that too, the State is going ahead. But, here, I think the absence of the Legislative Assembly is most felt. The people are definitely very much concerned about the implementation of this new pattern. The Government has taken a decision that it is to be implemented as from 1976. But MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : The time the concern of the people arises from the fact that all the steps necessary to be SHRI H. M. PATEL : I will not ex- taken before it is implemented have not to yet been taken nor have they clearly inone some assurance will be provided. All quired number

affairs of Gujarat is adequately shown by But the number of such meetings that the virtual non-attendance on the Trea- have been held is very limited. Today surv Benches. No one seems to be particu- we have a meeting in the evening at 6.15. larly interested in the affairs of Gujarat. To consider what? Two pieces of legis-Two hours have been given for this debate lation: one, to amend the Panchayat Act and each one of us has to finish within and the other, about ground-water. I do a few minites. It is not very easy to do not know what is the urgency. But about justice to the problems that need to be the Panchayat Act, there is an urgency

for instance. In this report of the Presi- more in order to prepare for the elecdent's Rule during 12 months, it is pointed tions for the panchayat. Now, 1 ask you out that they have raised the resources this. Panchayats have been superseded. of the State to the extent of 34 crores. There are no district panchayats or taluka This is an important matter. Resources to panchayats for the last one year. the extent of Rs. 34 crores have been raised was the administration doing during this by methods which are barely correct. The period? Could it not people have no voice in this matter. It may mind to the preparations necessary to bc, to give an illustration, by increasing hold the elections earlier? Must they all the electricity tariff. But where do the be postponed along with the General people have the chance of protesting against Elections? I feel that this is a matter at ? Within these two hours ? Where else for great dissatisfaction. can they protest ?

15 hours.

It is said in this report that the Governor, in his wisdom, called two meetings of the Members of Parliament of Gujarat When were they called ? In March 1974 and May 1974. Thereafter, he has been too busy to meet the Members of Parliament His predecessor, Mr. Shriman Narayan. held a number of such meetings But the important point to note in regard to these two meetings is this. The Governor never indicated what he thought of the various problems; whatever points were made whatever grievances were put forward by the Members of Parliament, were merely listened to; that was all : he never indicated what he had in mind, what he would do in regard to the various problems which faced the State. In other words, this was an to what exact work has been done during odd way of keeping which, even during President's rule, it is important that the Governor should do

Committee of Parliament. But how often there is no way of pushing it forward. has it met? You will find that it has not It is true that recently a curious decision met often. Certainly, in that, a mass of was taken. Four small projects on the information is furnished to us in reply Narmada have been sanctioned for Mato points raised by Members of Parliament. dhya Pradesh and four for Gujarat. If

MARCH 21, 1975

Grants (Gujarat) 292 1974-75

raised; we can barely mention a few facts. because they want to give to themselves a further period of time. They themselves I would like to refer to this report, say that it would mean seven months or What have applied its

> I would then say that this report makes no mention of the progress of two of the most important irrigation projects in hand-the Kadana Project and the Dharoi Project. The Kadana Project is being delayed, but there is no mention of that It is supposed to be completed by a certain date, but it is not going to be com pleted by that dute; it is possible that another 12 months or even two years might be taken. Yet, there is no mention of that, there is no mention as to what sort of difficulties have arisen.

About the Dharoj Project, we know nothing except that they have come to some settlement about land But is that a proper indication of whether the progress is according to schedule? Is that taking the House into their confidence as demoratic contact this period on these vital projects ?

1 am not referring to Narmada because It is true that there is a Consultative that is something which is held up and

these matters, I think, call for a great effort. But this serious effort is not endeal of preliminary action which the ough and it will not be able to help the Government of Gujarat has not yet taken. State and through the State Government Certainly they have got the programmes, the people of Gujarat, to evercome this schemes, etc., but, until they are esta- drought situation which in some parts of blished on the ground, the implementa- the State is so serious that you tion or starting of this new scheme would soon have to not only ask for migration be a great mistake. It would mean that of cattle but even of men. we are introducing something to which rightly great importance is being attach- ber of villages that are affected or the ed as a measure of educational reform number of workers that are coming on in a way in which it cannot succeed, scarcity work will not give the true picture If you do not implement it in the right of drought that Gujarat is facing. If you way, the people soundness and yet it is a very worth- villages have been facing this sort of situawhile educational reform. It would be a tion for one year, two years, three years, great pity if the two streams that begin it is only then you will know what parts after the 10th standard are not adequately of Gujarat are facing the situation more arranged, for the vocational institutes must seriously. I mention this specially now be established on the ground. It is not because I have been asking the State enough to say that they will be established Government for the last two years that in the urban areas. It has to be remembered that more than 50 per cent of the Prone Area Development Board. Only if high schools exist in the villages and in they have something of this soit of the every village it will not be easy to provide Scarcity Commissioner's post is converted a vocational institute. Therefore, a great into this Board's Secretary's deal of thought has to be given to this State will be able to know which are the matter.

I do not have enough anything more but I would finally urge that the Gularat Government should take the people more into its confidence as to what steps it has taken, what has actually been done, in fact on the ground, and what funds are going to be made available in order to see that it is capable of being properly implemented from 1976.

SHRI D. P. JADEJA (Jamnagar) : Because of limited time at my disposal I will restrict myself only to the most serious problem that the State of Gujarat is facing. The nation is aware that Gularat today is facing a very serious drought of situation-something that the people Gujarat have never heard of or could have never dreamt of and it is in this labour-oriented? Most of our plan projects respect that I would like to compliment are not labour-oriented. And even the the State Government for doing an appre- works which we are carrying on in the ciable job based on limited available re- scarcity area today are not such as were sources. I mention this more because going to come-up in those plan projects. the

MARCH 21, 1975

Grants (Gujarat) 296 1974-75

mav

The figures given now about the numwill lose faith in its go into a greater detail to know how many what Gujarat requires today is the Drought post, the areas requiring more assistance and which are the areas requiring a programme time to say whereby the drought situation could be brought under control in that region

I would also like to mention about the financial assistance that the Government of India are giving to Gujarat to fight the drought situation. It is said that this is being debited to the Plan projects that we are going to have in future. I would not like to be a party which is going today to make use of the finances of future protects in that State and later on the people of Gujarat made to suffer whereby those plans will not be implemented because today we are spending the finances which are supposed to be spent for those plan projects only. I would like to know how many plan projects do we have which are Government is making a serious And it is in this respect that I would like

to draw the Government to this point. Unless they give a generous aid, as they gave some two years ago, something like about Rs. 80 crores, this State of Gujarat will not be able to survive this serious drought situation I say this because in those plans there are medium and minor itt igation projects and these medium and minor irrigation projects offer the only solution to save the country or that State or that region from serious drought situation But in none of these areas do we find minor or medium irrigation project coming up today Of course we are doing well Government is making a serious attempt to give employment to as many as possible but they have not been able to implement these projects which are going to save this drought area for the future I would like to give an instance of my area Jamnagar is facing a very serious situation This city, with a population of three lakhs. is finding much difficulty in having drinking water today The summer has just set in We have another five months to go, before the monsoon rains can be available to us. This city may have to be evacuated. I know Government is thinking that something should be done But that something which they are thinking is only for this year Why cannot they think of something whereby this solution can be made permanently for the city? There is an irrigation project only thirty miles away called the Und project I request the Minister to take down that name That project is costing Rs 120 crores But this project is going to be a saviour not only for the city but for the entire droughtprone areas of that district I am not asking for major projects. But what I am asking is that the Government should give some reconsideration because I know this project is not even included in the next five year plan I would like the Minister to give serious thought to this Und project and include it in current budget.

The State Government will have to give an account of how many water tankers are available in the State. I know in my area the figure of the number of water thing during this period I am sure that tankers is quite big But when you go to Government has seriously taken note of the

PHALGUNA 30, 1896 (SAKA)

Grants (Guiarat) 298 1974-75

attention of the Central the area you will find that half of them are not working. I don't know why they cannot be repaired. Instead of giving this or that figure, let us now pay our attention to satisfy the people who are today suffering from want of water.

> Next to Jamnagar city a serious situation has come about in a small town Bedi port which is having a population of 15,000. This city depends upon the municipality for Water But not a drop of water comes to this township from the municipality What is the reason? The reason is, there is no pressure in the pipeline to bring water to Sometimes what we find it, the village at 12 O'clock in midnight they go to dig up a pipeline which is bringing water. I don't blame them for doing this The SRP has been brought in there to prevent the people from taking water from this pipeline How do you expect people to remain calm when not a drop of water is available anywhere in the vicinity?

It is these types of places I would like the State Government to be more cautious about when they are thinking of giving scarcity relief.

One more point which I would like to add here is this The Government are giving implements to the scarcity works for the labourers But, today, the State is facing a shortage of implements I do not know why The workers are asked to bring their own implements with them if they want to go for the scarcity work. What is the result of this? It is only the upper class workers who can go to the work. I find that genuine workers who really need the help, the wages etc, are not able to get that for going to these works. Implement is the first thing that Government will have to provide to these workers in that area.

In the end, I would request the State Government to formulate a scheme whereby they can give subsidised food and subsidised grass to the scarcity-hit areas. It is this class of people who really need food in the State. So, we have to do someMARCH 21, 1975

Grants (Gujarat) 300 1974-75

SHRI K. S. CHAVDA (Patan): Deputy-Speaker, Sir, there is unprecedent- hand over the cattle to the State of Gujarat. ed drought this year in the State of Gujarat. Out of a total number of 18,604 villages, the Government have so far declared scarcity and famine hit areas in 11,694 villages. Government has opened more than 4.130 relief works as on 1st March. 1975. That means only about 5,000 villages will get relief work. What about the remaining villages? Government, on the one hand says that no relief work will suffer for want of funds and, on the other hand, it does not open relief works in these 7,000 villages. During 1972-73, drought, Government of Gujarat spent Rs. 94 crores on relief works out of which Rs. 82 crores were given by the Government of India as central assistance Government say that drought in Gujarat is worse this year than in 1972-73.

SHRI PILOO MODY : Worst ever.

SHRIK. S. CHAVDA : Therefore, Government should give more central assistance for relief works. When I raised this question while speaking on the General Budget debate, the hon. Minister, Shri Subramaniam said that only Rs. 4.5 crores as Central assistance is given and the rest will be given as plan advances.

Sir, there is no representative Government in the State of Gujarat and voice of Shri Castes and Scheduled Tribes are concerned Sarin or Shri Sataravala is not heard, the Minister said in his speech that Govern-After all, they are government servants. ment has undertaken special programmes That is the reason why the Opposition and the rate of scholarships for the Sch-Members here just now are also saying that eduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes students the people of Gujarat demand early elec- has been increased. In this connection 1 tions of Legislative Assembly in the Gujarat would like to say that in the scheme of post-State.

there are several villages and several towns Up till now they were entitled to scholarin the State of Gujarat which are suffering ships but now it is being stoppped. Secondly, a great deal of hardship or suffering in the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes getting water. So, Government should pay students are not awarded scholarships for special attention to solve this problem on training courses like Air Craft Maintenance a war footing and on a priority basis. There Engineer's Course, courses at Training is another problem also regarding fodder Ship Dufferin courses of training at the

entire situation and they will try to see for cattle. Several cattle are dying every that this poor class of society is helped, day in the State of Gujarat. The cattle owners say that if the fodder is not made Mr. available to their cattle, then they will all

> So far as foodgrains demands of Guiarat are concerned, only about 30 to 40 per cent is met by the Central Government. In a nutshell, the Government, under President's Rule has miserably failed to meet this situation. The drought situation can better be fought by the representative Government. I gave a call attention notice on the 10th regarding the silent and peacetul procession on 6th March led by the veteran leader. Shu Majaunohai Desai 10 Rai Bhavan in Ahmedabad to present a citizens' mandate to the Governor of Guarat demanding early elections to the State Assembly, civic body and panchayats in Guiarat.

> If elections are held in Guarat then there will be 182 MLAs including the Ministers headed by the Chief Minister, 19 District Panchayat Presidents and 119 Taluk Panchayat Presidents to fight the drought situation there. Therefore, the demand of the people of Gujarat is that the Government should tell the Election Commission to announce the time-schedule of election of the Legislative Assembly within two to three days. If it is not done then, I think, the people of Gujarat will teach a lesson to Government of India and the ruling party.

So far as the problems of Scheduled matric scholarships it is now mentioned that students who are in full employment will So far as water scarcity is concerned, not be eligible to receive any scholarship.

Dehra Dun, courses Military College, at Pre-examination training centres of all-India and State levels and trade courses at the Industrial Training Institutes. Further, now only two children of the same parents/guardian will be entitled to receive scholarships Over and above that the means test which was not applicable to scheduled tribe students earlier is going to be applied now

According to the budget speech of the Minister of State Government has also taken various steps for removal of untouchability In this connection I may say that only a State level Committee has been appointed and only one meeting of that Committee has so far been held In the same way there is State level Advisory Board regarding welfare of scheduled castes and scheduled tribes but no recommendation of this Board has so far been implemented by the Government I am happy to know that the Government is going to set-up a Harijan Development Corporation for the socio-economic uplift of the sche-May I know how much duled castes amount is provided for this Harijan Development Corporation during 1975-76 ?

SHRI P G MAVALANKAR (Ahme-Mr Deputy Speaker, Sir, the dabad) President's Rule in Gujarat has been now going on for more than one year It is very sad that such a State as Gujarat which has lot of potential for industrial development, a flair for democratic processes and is also taking strides towards. The further point is that nobody from economic expansion and educational oppor- Gujarat is in the Cabinet Nobody from tunities should be denied the basic right Guinrat is a full fledged Member of the of popular Government and an elected As- Union Cabinet sembly Sarin, earlier this month in a speech at Parliamentary representation, inadequate re Baroda said that the President's Rule is presentation in the Cabinet and added to not undemocratic. He also said that Pro- that, comes this additional injury and insident's Rule is not a care-taker Govern- sult Sir, for more than one year, my ment Of course, it is not a care-taker Gov- people and my State have been denied the ernment whenever the constitutional machinery in a ment and a popularly elected Legislative particular State breaks down there shall be Assembly in their State Therefore, I say President's rule Constitution provides for President's rule for urgently It is a crucial issue a continuous period even when there is no emergency and no law-and-order abnor malcy ?

PHALGUNA 30, 1896 (SAKA)

Grants (Gularat) 302 1974-75

Sir, the whole point is that if President's Rule is continued beyond the necessary minimum-and, I submit, the Constitution provides only for the necessarv minimum period, not a day longer, not a minute longer--- then it is absolutely clear that there is the danger that the continuition of President's Rule leads to the growth of officialdom, it leads to all kinds of hureaucratic practices taking deeper roots in the soil and this is against the spirit and tenets of democratic functioning In Therefore Sir, it is no use this country it is not a saving th it care-taker Government, that it is not an undemoratic Government, that it is being continued as per the wishes of Pailiament and there is a Consultative Committee and so on Sir. the Consultative Committee has met only four times in the past year, and met for two or three hours each time and not many points were discussed If this is the kind of Parliamentary consultation which he (Mr Sarin) thought of, I do not know what kind of Government under President's Rule he is thinking of The basic point is that President's Rule should not be continued for more than what is absolutely essential Sir, Gujarat is al ready suffering because of inadequate and poor Pailiamentary representation Not only that we are few in numbers, but, the people from Gujarat who have been m Parliament are not able to focus the attention of the Centre on vital problems and projects of Gujarat for many years Therefore, Sir, already The Adviser to Governor, Shri we are suffering in terms of inadequate Constitution has provided that legitimate right of a democratic Govern But may I ask whether that we must ask for elections to be held

> Sir the Congress (O) is perhaps soing ahead with its plan for a popular movement

in Guiarat for carly elections I do not know how far it will succeed, after all, the point is, and let us be frank about that aspect also, that people are by and large apathetic when it comes to resisting authority. It needs an extraordinary situation or it reutires an extraordinary pull to bring out the entire mass of people from their apathy, trom their sleep, and mobilise them and make them work and fight for certain basic fundamental rights. All the same and sin. I wish that the Congress(O)'s movement will turn out to be a more genuine and a popular and a wide spread movement. But, the point is, apart from the elections to the Gujarat assembly, why is the by-election in Broach, in South Gujarat, not taking place? Sir, it is unfortunate that one of our colleagues died last year. the late Shri M. B. Rana. It is nearly one But, there is no by-election. When Vear. the President became President, I am referring to Shri Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed only by way of illustration and I am not going into the details, a vacancy arose in Barpeta and the by-election was held there. But, in regard to an event which had happened before that, there is no by-election. Certainly, South Gujarat is not faced with Most of the areas which drought. 5110 faced with drought are areas in Saurashtra, in Kutch and in North Gujarat. But. South Gujarat is absolutely free, happily and fortunately, from scarcity and drought. But, there is no by-election in Broach.

Sir. another important point is about the question of scarcity expenditure. If you take this scarcity expenditure, you will find that in 1974-75, the amounts provided for scarcity relief were as follows. Rs. 37.70 crores were provided for, were budgeted for expenditure on scarcity. If you add to that, Rs. 10 crores which we got for seeds and fertiliser, as short term inputs, from Government of India, that comes to Rs. 47.70 crores. If you add to that the amount of Rs. 4.25 crores as DPAP (Drought Prone Assistance Programme) assistance-I am glad that the DPAP assistance has come without the conditions of a matching grant from the State-plus Rs. 9.89 crores as advance plan assistance, the total comes to

Grants (Gujarat)

304

Rs. 61.84 crores. Out of it, you will have to substract Rs, 4.55 crores on account of the provision as per the Sixth Finance Commission's recommendation. Now the point is that scarcity operations began from 1st September, 1974 and already Rs. 56 crores have been spent. Out of this Rs. 56 crores. Central assistance is roughly about Rs. 24 croies. So, the rest has had to be spent by the Gujarat Administration. Now, if you take 1975-76, in this year's Budget alone, Rs. 11.60 crores have been provided under non-plan and Rs. 15 crores under scarcuy plan. This makes up a total of Rs. 26.60 crores. Add to this, Rs. 6 crores for DPAP assistance. This makes up a total of Rs. 32.60 crores. Is that an adeguate amount? That is my point. I would like the Minister to reply to these specific questions. Is that an adequate amount in your opinion? Is that adequate for meeting the challenge of drought and scarcity which you yourself say is so grave that you cannot have elections and that you must go to the people and relieve their misery first? But reliveing misery how? With what? With mere words or with solemn assurances in Parliament or with active and concrete financial assistance which will come from here to the people in Gujarat? It should not be forgotten that this particular scarcity situation is going to last till at least the end of the monsoon this year. Therefore the amount will have to be much larger than what was available last time.

Next, I want to invite the attention of the House to a report published in the Indian Express on 15 February 1975. It is by Suman Dubey. The heading of the report reads: Centre to underwrite deficit in UP Budget. You Mr. Deputy Speaker, were telling us yesterday about the importance of this Parliament acting as a kind of guard and watch on the executive irregularities. This particular report says:

"The Central Government is to underwrite the entire current year budgetary deficits of Uttar Pradesh and Bihar. . . The Government's partiality to UP and Bihar, both of which are politically vital, has come in two stages. The first was quietly to allow them overdrafts con-

trary to its earlier instructions to the Reserve Bank of India and contrary to the advice of the Finance Ministry..... the added burden on the Centre's own budget from these two States will be of the order of Rs. 150 crores. The Government has already sanctioned Rs. 50 to Rs. 60 crores of drought relief to affected States contrary to the recommendations of the Finance Commission".

My only point is that if the Centre can underwrite the deficits of UP and Bihar, I do not know why the Centre cannot do either of these two things for Gujarat: write off the advance plan assistance amounts provided in the budget: or, if you cannot do that, at least shift it to 1976-77 and onwards. Next. I want to draw the attention to taxation by notification. I do not know what is your ruling, Mr. Deputy-Speaker, My friend Mr. Chavda raised that point. Even if it is constitutional, is it proper? It is a question of propriety. They get as much as Rs. 34 crores in a full year. The Gujarat Government is in need of more money and because various laws provide in their schedules that certain things can be raised upto a ceiling approved by the legislature, they have done it: Is it proper? I hope you will give some guidance later on, if need be,

Now, Sir, the Explanatory memorandm of the Guiarat Budget for 1975-76, on page under revenue expenditure in 1974-75. save that there is a decrease of Rs. 105.38 lakhs which is due to a ban on taking up new works on roads and bridges as an economy measure. On the other hand, in another place, it is said that this is the year in which drough has been severe in 12,000 villages out of 18,000 villages in Guiarat. The vote on account for expenditure of the Government of Gujarat for 1975-76 says, (Demands No. 66, page 8) that an additional provision of Rs. 210 lakhs under revenue section and Rs. 160 lakhs under capital section will be required for scarcity works. In 1974-75 when there was serve drought in Gujarat there was a ban on new works on roads and bridges and a Adivasis and the Police because of a

PHALGUNA 30, 1896 (SAKA)

Grants (Guigrat) 206 1974-75

sum of Rs. 105.38 lakhs was surrendered In the same breath in 1975-76 the Guiarat Administration has provided for the same kind of thing Rs. 370 lakhs. I want to know whether that ban had been lifted. During the President's rule for the whole year 1974-75 there was a fall in revenue to the tune of several lakh : there was also a fall in expenditure. From all this you will see that the Gujarat administration requires to be given more financial assistance. I hope the Sixth Finance Commission's recommendations would be put aside. This is what the Former Chief Minister Shri Ghanshyam Bhai Oza and your own party President Mr. Madhavsinh Solanki have also publicly stated and demanded.

The Second Pay Commission, the Desai Commission, have recommended some different grades for employees of the State Government. The report was presented on 13-2-1975. This budget makes a provision for it of only Rs 10 crores; but it is going to be nearly Rs. 25-30 crores. Where is the money going to come? I will not mention Narmada project because Mr. H. M. Patel has already mentioned it The height of 530 feet for the dam at Nawagam must be looked into carefully by the tribunal. The V. V. John Committee report must be given out soon, whether the Government accepts it or not. I now come to zila and taluka panchayats. There is talk of nominations.

That is a dangerous practice. Don't let the nominations come in the place of elections for Panchayats of talukas and zila levels.

The textile labourers in Ahmedabad are in difficulties and the textile industry is also facing a lot of crisis. I hope, therefore, these matters will also be looked into.

We want central assistance in more ways for the Ahmedabad Municipal Transport Service, but that also is not being given.

Then, about the Panch Mahais. I am sorry, my friend Mr. Piloo Mody is not here. This is a very alarming point. In Panch Mahals, there was a clash between

minor incident of a theft of one buffalo and the Police could not find the buffalo alive; they found the bones and the report says that because of the dire conditions of scarcity, the Adivasis, perhaps, are the buffalo fiesh ' These are the difficulties and these are the lessons of Panch Mahals in the midst of acute scarcity in Gujarat. Therefore, I hope the Budget provisions will be augmented and the people of Gujarat will be up litted from their miseries.

भी मध लिमये (बांका) ' उपाध्यक्ष महोदय. मैं सिर्फ दो, तीन महो पर बोलना चाहना हं। पिछली बार जब गजरात कसल्टेटिव कमेटी की बैठक ब्लाई गई थी तो श्री ब्रह्मानन्द रेडी के नाम से मैंने एक प्रतिवेदन भेजा था, उम को कमेटी में पढ कर मैंने स्नाया भौर जब ग्जरात मे तत्काल चनाव कराने के बारे में मझे कोई म्पष्ट झाक-वासन नही मिला तो मैंने सभा त्याग किया था। उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, सरकार की नीति मेरी ममझ में नहीं झाती है। क्योंकि जब गुजरात के दणिक ग्रीर प्रकाल के बारे में हम लोग सवालो को उठाते बे तो प्रधान मली ने भ्रपने बक्तव्यां के द्वारा यह कहा कि थिरोध पक्ष के लोग जनना को गमराह करने के लिये इस तरह का प्रचार वरने है। बास्तब में गजरात में झकाल या दर्भिक्ष नाम की कोई चीत्र नही है। लेकिन जब चुनाव की बात मायी तो श्री ब्रह्मानन्द रेड्री ने कहा कि गजरात मे दांभक्ष है, कई लोग एक जिले से दसरी जगह चले गये है नाम की खोज में इमलिये चनाव नही कराया जा सकता । मेरी माग है कि गुजरात में तत्काल राष्ट्रपति शासन को समाप्न करना चाहिये झौर गजरात की जनता को जनप्रिय मरकार चनने का ग्रवमर देना चाहिये। सरकार चनाव को इस-लिये टाल गही है क्योंकि कांग्रेस पार्टी की गजरात में हालन बहन दयनीय है । आपम में इनने झगडे है कि प्रधान मली सोचती हैं कि एक दिल से चनाव की चनौती का मुजरात की मला काग्रेस सामना नहीं कर सकती । मेरा यह कहना है कि इस बजट की यजरात ग्रसेम्बली के सामने ग्राना वाहिये था। सोक सभा के द्वारा इस बजट को यास करने का कोई ग्रीचित्य नहीं है. और इमलिये

MARCH 21, 1975 Grantz (Gujarat) 308 1974-75

में इस बात पर जोर दे रहा हूं कि गुजरात में राष्ट्रपति जासन को तत्कांच तसाप्त किया जाये । केवल कांग्रेस पार्टी की सुविधां का ड्याल करते हए जुनायों को टाला न जाय ।

जहां तक द्भिक्ष का सवाल है हम लोगो ने पहले से ही यह कहा है कि ग्जरात में प्रकाल की स्पिति है । भौर सरकारी आकडों से ही यह साबित होता है, कुछ जिलो के बारे में ही सरकार द्वारा जो हमे खबर दी गई थी उस के माधार पर में कह रहा ह, सब से पहले झाप कच्छ को लीजिये। कच्छ में 1,124 जाम हैं झीर इन में से 1,118 गामो में प्रकाल और दर्भिक की स्थिति है। उसी तरह जामनगर जिले में 684 प्राम हैं जिनमें से 680 ग्रामो में दींभक्ष ग्रीर झकाल है। उसी तरह राज-कोट जिले को लीजिये, मरकारी झाकडा के झन-मार 869 गाव हैं और 862 ऐसे साम है जो म्रकालप्रन्त हैं । यही सरेन्द्र नगर, बनामकाठा, पचमहाल झादि जिलो की हालत है। कुल गजरात में 18,604 ग्राम है भीर इन में में 12,140 ग्रामो में दुर्भिक्ष झौर झकाल है । झब इस के बारे में में एक बात कहना चाहना ह कि 1966 में जब दर्भिक्ष झौर झकाल का सवाल उठता था तो उम ममय के खाद्य मली श्री सब्रह्मण्यम साहब से हम लोगो ने पूछा था कि क्या भाजादी के बाद भारत में कभी झकाल नहीं पडा ? केवल बिहार को छोडकर झकाल की घोषणा काग्रेसी झासन मे कही भी, नही की गई ? तो उस समय उन्होंने कहा कि हम लोगो ने कुछ कसौटिया बनायी हैं ग्रीर फनल का भगर 75 प्रतिशत नष्ट हो जाय तो भकाल की घोषणा करनी चाहिये । भौर भगर 50 प्रतिशत फमल नष्ट हई है तो दर्मिक की स्केयसिटी की घोषणा करनी बाहिये । झौर झगर 25 फीमदी कमल मारी गई है तो उस को निम्न द्रभिक्ष का इलाका कहना बाहिये । लेकिन यहा नटबर भाई अपनी गर्दन हिला रहे हैं, मैं उन से जानना चाहता ह कि जिन सामी में आपने स्केय-सिटी भौर सेमी स्केयसिटी की घोषणा की है 12,140 में, क्या इनमें कोई बाम ऐसा नही है जहा सम्पर्ण फसल मारी यह है ? 75 प्रतिवत मारी गई है ? तो फिर झाप ये बेईमानी क्यो कर

309 Supplementary PHALGUNA 30, 1896 (SAKA) Demunds for रहे हैं। मेरा मतलब उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, भाप से बन्द करने के नहीं बल्कि सरकार से है। और बहा मकाल है जब तक प्राप

वहा भोषणा क्यो नहीं होती ?

साथ ही साथ मैंने इस सदन से कई बार कहा है कि छठे विश्व आयोग ने अकाल और बाढ़ के बारे में, कुवरती सकदो के बारे में जो रवैया अपनाया है उस में आपको परिवर्तन करना पडेगा क्याहि मैंने कई बार कहा है कि नैचुरल कैसे-मिटीज भीमन के आधार पर नहीं माती । इसलिये यह कहना कि बिगत 20 साल का भीमन पकड कर हम लोग राशि निर्धारिन करेंगे भीर जो यात्र नाये होगी उमी मे कर्जे के रूप में हम उस को दगे, मेरी राय मे यह कहना ठीक नही है ।

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER We have decided on not more than seven minutes You have exceeded that time

श्री मधुलिमये लेकिन यह तो साढेचार बजे तक चलना था। मैंदा मिनट में खत्म कर दगा।

तो मैं यह कहना चाहता हू कि छटे फाइनेन्स कमीणन को सिफारिशो का झाप बदलिये झौर बुजरात जैसे राज्य का समुचित बेन्द्रीय सहायना दीजिंग, जिंग में लोग इन मंहटका मुकाबना कर सके।

माय ही साथ मैं भाप से यह कहना चाहता हू कि जब तक खरीफ की नई फसल नहीं भाती है तब तक भाप इम रिलीफ के काम को बाल रखिये। सिनम्बर के मन्त तक भाप इस को बालू रखिये, नहीं तो भाप सोबेगे कि जून में बारिश हा गई है भीर यह वाम बन्द कर दिया जाए । यह नहीं होना चाहिए ।

और मन्न में मैं एक बात कहूगा कि साइकिल रिक्शा वा पाप जो फैलाने वा निर्णय धाप ने गुजरात में किया वा, उस के बारे में श्री उमा सकर वीशित ने, जब वे गृह मझी थे, आश्वासन दिया वा कि झहमदाबाद मे तो यह वालू हो गया है लेकिन और मन्य जगहो मे इस को बालू नही करेमे 1 ता मैं जानना बाहता ह कि झहमदाबाद मे बन्द करने के बारे में घाप क्या सोच रहे हैं ? जब तक प्राप कोई निर्णय नहीं लेते हैं तब तक प्राप उन को प्राटो-रिक्सा या दृमरे साधन वीजिए, यह नेरी राम है प्रौर मानवता की दृष्टि से मैं प्राप से इस बारे में प्रपील करना चाहता हूं।

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE FINANCE (SHRI MINISTRY OF PRANAB KUMAR MUKHERJEF) Mr Deputy Speaker, Sir, I am grateful to the hon Members who have taken part in the discussion on Gujarat Budget Sir, I agree with the hon Members that it will be more appropriate if the Budget and other financial legislation should have been taken on the floor of the House of the Gujarat Assembly instead of having a discussion here By and large, we have not got the opportunity to give that much of time and attention which it deserves In this connection, I would like to spell out one or two points which have been mentioned by many hon Members particularly regard mg the election A resolution for extending the President's Rule was brought before the House Hon Members had the opportunity of discussing that issue too The Home Minister also explained the position which I would like to reiterate It is like this "In view of the extreme situation which is prevailing there regarding scarcity and drought, practically the entire State administration is to be engaged in the relief works and other meaures As a result of which it may be said perhaps this present time is not congenial' I would like to reiterate the assurance which has been given by the hon Home Minister that he would take the earliest opportunity to hold the election in the State and to see that people's representatives get the opportunity of running the State administration in the State itself In this connection, I would like to point out one more aspect which has also been highlighted by some of the hon Memburs about the assistance recommended by the Sixth Finance Commission for the natural calamities. When they went into this aspect of this question, they had ascertained

MARCH 21, 1975

the view points from the various States, for famine relief or for other types of Not only the memoranda, other things natural calamities. The question is how were also presented before them. In their that is to be spent and whether it is to be linkwisdom, after reviewing the entire position ed with the developmental aspect. Even there in its perspective, they arrived at this deci- the question may arise whether this much sion. In the report itself, they had spelt of money is adequate. Perhaps it is not out so many reasons why they have arrived at this type of decision. Our experience has been, almost without exception in every State that the assistance given in the form of drought relief, flood relief etc. has been spent on ad hoc purpose and no substantial developmental work has taken place by investing that money. The result is. money has been spent without corresponding results having been vielded. Perhaps because of that and other reasons, the sixth Finance Commission has decided that this should be treated as part of the normal plan development. This point has been discussed many times on the floor of the House and during the general discussion on the budget, the Finance Minister also expressed his viewpoint on this

SHRI H. M. PATEL : He savs, the Finance Commission went into this and has said that the money previously spent on famine relief was not resulting in development Is that any reason for not providing enough money for relieving the distress of the famine-stricken people ? If you require Rs 50 croies and only Rs. 4-1/2 crores are provided where is the jest of the money to come from ?

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHER-JEE : I did not dwell on the aspect whethere the quantum is adequate or not. I only referred to the point as to how far it has been linked up with developmental programmes So far as Gujarat is concerned, as per the recommendation of the Finance Commission, Rs 4 crores was due to the State But because of the dimensions of the problem, it was thought that this sum was not enough to cover the entire area and Rs. 6 crores were given in addition to the drought prone area pro- JEE : I do not claim that all these can gramme. Rs. 15 crores have been given take care of the entire scarcity area. There as plan outly. Rs. 116 crores have been are limitations and with the limited reearmarked for the 1975-76 budget. It is sources, with the constraints, we have to

Grants (Guiarat) 312 1974-75

enough, but the question is whether we are in a position to spend more. My contention is, whatever has been possible for the Government of India to spend on this, they have taken care to spend it. During the general discussion, it was said that a constant review is being made of issues like this.

The question was asked as to what is the actual programme of drought relief 1 will give some figures Here too periodically a review is made, sometimes even from week to week. For instance, the number of villages declared as scarcityaffected was 11,988 on 8-3-1975. The figure was 12.140 for the week ended 15-3-1975. 152 villages had been brought within that scheme For the week ending 8-3-1975, the number of productive works was 3516; and the number of other productive works was 1058 for the week ending 8-3-1975 and 1057 for the week ending 15-3-1975. For the attendance on productive works, the figures are 4,93,904 and 5,10 179 and attendance on other relief works-total taken together-would come to 7,08,537 and 7,26,798 for the respective periods The number of persons on cash doles was 45,316 and the number of villages being supplied water by tankers was 245 and by bullock-carts it was Rs. 25. The number of old wells deepend during the current scarcity was 572 and the number of wells dug during the current scarcity was 80

16 hrs.

SHRI H. M PATEL : In these 243 villages. . .

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHERnot that adequate money will not be given work and within the limited situation it

is being attempted to give relief as much as possible

Regarding the financial allocations, Mr Mavalankar has pleaded that Government of India should spend more money on it So far as the relief part is concerned I have already tried to explain the position So far as the Central assistance to the annual plan allocation is concerned. he is aware of the fact that this year Government of India has decided to limit the plan assis tance to the annual plan in the order which it was in the last year Therefore, in that category. Gujarat State has also been provided with assistance to the extent of a little over Rs 32 0 crores from the Central Government It is in the order of the assistance as it was given in the last year But in spite of that it has been pos sible for the State Government to augment its resources and as a result of that, some slightly better allocation will be made in the annual plan of the Gujarat State for the current year

SHRI P G MAVAIANKAR Are the Government ready to write off the advance loan given or at least postpone the repay ment till 1976 77 $^{\circ}$

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHER JEE This question requires a good deal of consideration It is not possible for me to give offh ind on the floor of the House whe ther the amount will be written off or whe ther the Government will take decision It depends on the situation which will prevall then It will require a good deal of exercise also

SHRI P G MAVAIANKAR There were certain deficits in Bihar and Uitar Pradesh where this was allowed Indeed, the Centic is underwriting these state deficits

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHER JEE You have quoted something from the newspaper I do not know whether it is correct or not This is the first time you are bunging this my notice It will not be fair on my part to make any com ment on this MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER It will not be possible for him to give it now Naturally when you have brought it to his notice, he must look into it

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHFR-JEE Mr Dinen Bhattacharyva. while making observation suggested one big I do not know whether there is thing any precedent that the budget is placed before the Consultative Committee The whole object of the Consultative Committee attached to the Home Ministry, particularly when the State is under President's rule, is to help the Parliament and the Central Administration for the legislation and to keep in eye on the affairs of the State concerned But I do not know whether in any other Committee the budget is being placed before it is being placed on the Table of the House Even when the budget wis placed on the Table of the other House I had to tender an apology for having placed it first on the Table of the Raiva Sibha I do not know what would have been my fate if I had placed the budget before the members of the Consultative Committee

Regarding the two or three projects which have been mentioned by Shri Patel and cert un other hon Members I would like to emphasize that it is not the desire of the Government to ignore or neglect the interests of Gujarat State by entering into limited agreements on the Narmada w tern In order to expedite the projects which ite on hind a limited agreement was arrived at by the concerned State Covernments and it is without prejudice to the award to be given by the Tilbunal which I am sure, will be to the full satisfaction of the Government of Guiarat Perhaps it would not be wise to take the stand that is there is no popular govern ment there so even developmental projects. like major and minor irrigation projects. will have to wait till responsible government assumes power and clears them The speedy development of the State could not have been helped by such an attitude

Coming to industrial development while presenting the budget I have tried to

MARCH 21, 1975

Grants (Gularat) 316 1974-75

been improvement, so far as industrial the labourers engaged in famine relief work climate of the State is concerned. It has are concerned, they are not getting even been possible to generate more power with Rs. 3.80 per cent of them are getting the commissioning of the two new units, only Rs. 2 after doing work for eight one in the middle and the other at the hours. Have you made any arrangements end of last year. Therefore the industrial for them ? position has been improved. Undoubtedly, there are some difficulties. Even within the State there is some sort of regional imbalance and ill-balanced development, as mentioned by the hon. Member representing Kutch. He has highlighted the miseries and sufferings of the people of Kutch. Similarly, the difficulties in Saurashtra area have been highlighted by another member. disposed of all our problems in 16 minu-It would be the endeavour of Government tes. I referred to education in my speech. to see that balanced development takes He has not considered that at all. The place not only between States but within the States. If there are any back- til the elected Government comes ward areas in a particular State, it would power in order to proceed with many schebe our endeavour and serious effort to see mes relating to education. that balanced development takes place in those areas.

SHRI PILOO MODY : It has been your serious effort for the last 27 years.

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHER JEE : We have done something for the last 27 years and we hope to do something more in the next 27 years.

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR : All by you alone ?

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHER-JEE : We hope to.

SHRI PILOO MODY : Every man has a right to hope. Go on hoping.

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHER-JEE : Every man has a right to frustrate other's hopes also.

I hope the State administration will also look into those points and the requirements of those areas; particularly, the requirements of Saurashtra and Kutch will be taken care of. I think I have covered most of the points.

highlight certain areas where there has been touched by the Minister. So far as

SHRI K. S. CHAVDA : It is said that the Government is going to set up a Harian Development Corporation. I want to know how much money has been provided in the budget, because I am unable to find anything.

SHRI H. M. PATEL: The Minister has also Government of Gujarat should not wait uninto

> 1 referred to the VV. John Committee's report which has been with the Government for nearly 12 months now. They have not published it. They have not taken any decision on it on the ground that this is a matter for the elected Government. Just as, he said just now about the Narmada project, that we need not hold up all development, I would urge very strongly that the Government now functioning should not hold up any matters relating to education.

> SHRI D. P. JADEJA: I had mentioned about Drought-prone Area Development Board. Nothing has been said about that. I also mentioned about supplying subsidised foodgrains and fodder to the labourers working in the scarcity areas.

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR: I mentioned specifically the problem of the A.M.T.S. The Government of India had put a special levy on petrol and had promised that the public transport system in four important metropolitan cities of the country will be given assistance. Calcutta, Bombay, Delhi and Madras have accordingly been Why is it given such assistance. that Ahmedabad which is the fifth or the sixth SHRI NATWARLAL PATEL: I have largest city in the whole of the country is made an important point, which has not denied this right of getting assistance for

augmenting its fleet and depots? In 1975-76 the amount required is Rs 25 crores Will he kindly reply to this point also?

SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHER JEE So far as the point mentioned by the hon Member, M1 Mavalankar, is conceined, he himself has answered at It is the decision of the Government to give assis tance only to four cities. Ahemed ib id does not come in Until the Government changes that decision and extends it to further areas how could Ahmedabad be brought within the purview of that ? Therefore, it is not a matter to be decided here and now

Regarding other points I have already mentioned in my Budget speech and the detailed statement Those points have already been covered

SHRIK S CHAVDA I specifically asked What is the amount provided for the Harijan Development Corporation ? He the Supplementary Demands to vote, I will is silent on that Why is the Government giving filse promises to the Scheduled Caste. It will not be there and Scheauled Tribe people of Gujarat? You kindly ask the Minister

MR DEPUTY-SPFAKER How can I ask the Minister ? It is upto him. If he docs not satisfy you there are other means open to you What can I do about it?

I know what I am doing Don't be in a hurry You are in safe hands. If you proceed in the right way you will find that you are in the safe t of hands But if you try to trip me you cannot get round me

SHRI PRANAR LUMAR MUKHER JFF I have no such intention

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER I will put the Demands on Account to vote In this connection I would like to say in advance so that we can proceed expeditiously that Mr Mavalankar has written me a letter saving that he would like to make some observations on the Appropriation Bill on the Demands on Account He has given certain 18 points May I tell him that it is the practice, the convention, m this House that we do not discuss the Demands on Account at all far less the Appropriation Bill on Demends 11 LSS 75-11

on Account for the simple reason that these are only very temporary grants given to the Government just to carry on and the Government also is expected to give an assurance that they will not undertake any new service under the Demands on Account? Therefore, it is a formal matter The regular Demands for the whole year will be coming and he could make these points then Don't insist on this here

With regard to the Supplementary Demands I think, the Speaker made an announcement this morning that in response to the points made by Mr Sezhivan and other hon Members, the Government will withdraw Demand No 49 by issuing Corrigenda to this In this connection, I have got some paper from the hon Minister relating to the Appropriation Bill which will be in the nature of Corrigenda to that Bill We will accept them Therefore, when I put not mention the Demand No 49 at all

SHRI PILOO MODY You say 'demands with corrigendum'

MR DEPUTY SPEAKER That is to the Appropriation Bill If the Demand is not voted it will not go in the Appropriation Bill

There are cut motions 1 will put them to the House Mr Chavda do you want your cut motion No 1 to be put separately ?

SHRIK S CHAVDA Yes

SHRIP G MAVALANKAR I would like to combine my cut motion No 3 with Shri Chayda's cut motion No 1

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER It cannot be donu

SHRIP G MAVALANKAR Then my cut motion No 10 may be put separately

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER The question

"That the Demand for Grant on account under the head Elections be reduced to Re 1

[Failure to hold early elections of Mahajan, Shri Y S the Legislative Assembly of Gujarat(1)]" Mahaj. n, Shii Vikri Majhi, Shri Kumar The Lok Sabha dijidad

Division No 9]

16.25 hrs.

Division No 9]

AYES

1 Bhattacharyya, Shri S P 2 Chandrappan, Shri C K 3 Chavda, Shri K S 4 Gowder, Shri J Matha 5 Joarder, Shri Dinesh 6 Mavalankar, Shri P G 7 Mody, Shri Piloo 8 Parmar, Shri Bhalubhai 9 Patel, Shri H M 10 Roy, Dr Saradish 11 Sezhiyan, Shri 12 Somasundaram, Shri S D 13 Shakya Shu Maha Deepak Singh NOES Ambe h. Shu Banerice, Shrimati Mukul Barua Shu Bedabrata Chaturyed Shii Rohin Lal Chhotey Lal, Shri Darbary Singh Shu Das Shu Anadi Chu in Desar Shii D D Dhamankar Shri Dinesh Singh, Shri Doda, Shu Hualul Dumada, Shu L K Dwivedi, Shii Nageshwar Ganga Devi, Shrimati Gautam, Shri C D Gopal, Shri K Jadeja, Shii D P Kasture, Shri A S Lakshmikanthamma, Shrimati T

Mahai, n. Shii Vikram Maihi, Shri Kumar Mandal, Shri Jagdish Narain Mandal Shri Yamuna Prasad Mirdha, Shri Nathu Ram Mohsin, Shri F H Murthy, Shri B S Negi, Shri Pratap Singh Nimbalkar, Shri Painuli, Shri Paripoornanand Pandey, Shri R S Pandey, Shri Tarkeshwar Pandit, Shri S T. Patel, Shri Arvmd M Patel, Shu Natwarlal Patil, Shri Krishnarao Patil, Shri T. A. Peje, Shri S L Righu Ramainh Shri K Rao, Shii P. Ankincedu Prasada Roy Shri Bishwanath Rudia Pratap Singh Shri Salve Shri N K P Saminital Shiri S. C. Sanghi Shri N K Sangluma Shri Sothe Shri Visint Savitri Shyam, Shrimiti Sethi, Shri Arjun Shailani Shii Chandra Shankaranand Shu B Sharma Shii Nawal Kishore Shukla, Shri B R Sinha, Shri Nawal Kishore Sokhi, Sardar Swaran Singh Stephen, Shu C M Subramaniam, Shri C Sudarsanam, Shri M **Fiwary**, Shri D N Vekaria, Shri

321	Supplementary Demands for	PHALGUNA	30,	1896	(SAKA)
	Demanas Jor				

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : The result of the division, as shown, is : Ayes 13; Ambesh, Shri Noes 60.

To the Ayes we may add Mr. Dinen Bhattacharyya's vote and Mr. Shyamnandan Mishra's vote; that will make it Ayes 15 and Noes 60. The Cut Motion Chhotey Lal, Shri is lost. Darbara Singh. Sh

The motion was negatived

MR. DEPUTY-SPFAKER : 1 now put Cut Motion No. 10 by Shri P. G. Mavalankat to the vote of the House.

The question is :

"That the demand for grant on account under the head 'Relief on account of natural calamities' be reduced to Re. 1.

[Failure to give substantial and immediate financial assistance for massive drought relief operations in Gujarat, (¹⁰)]"

The Lok Sabha divided .

[Division No. 10] AYFS [16.27 hrs.

Bhattacharyya, Shri Dinen Bhattacharyya, Shri S. P.

Chandrappan, Shri C. K.

Chavda, Shri K. S

Ganga Devi, Shrimati

Gowder, Shri J. Matha

Joarder, Shri Dinesh

Mavalankar, Shri P. G.

Mishra, Shii Shyamnandan

Mody, Shu Piloo

Parmai, Shri Bhaljibhai

Patel, Shri H. M.

Roy, Dr. Saradish

Sezhiyan, Shri

Shakya, Shri Maba Deepak Singh

Somasundram, Shri S. D.

"Wrongly voted for AYFS

NOES

Banerice, Shrimati Mukul Barua, Shri Bedabrata Chaturvedi, Shri Rohan Lal Darbara Singh, Shri Das, Shri Anadi Charan. Desai, Shri D. D. Dhamankar, Shri Dinesh Singh, Shri Doda, Shri Hiralal Dumada, Shri L. K. ac- Dwivedi, Shri Nageshwar ac- Gautam, Shri C. D. Gopal, Shri K. Kasture, Shri A. S. Lakshmikanthamma, Shrimati T. Mahajan, Shri Vikram Mahajan, Shri Y. S. Majhi, Shri Kumar Mandal, Shri Jagdish Narain Mandal, Shri Yamuna Prasad Mirdha, Shri Nathu Ram Mohsin, Shri F. H. Murthy, Shri B. S. Negi, Shri Pratap Singh Nimbalkar, Shri Painuli, Shri Paripoornanad Pandey, Shri R. S. Pandey, Shri Tarkeshwar Pandit, Shri S. T. Patel, Shri Arvind M. Patel, Shri Natwarlal Patil, Shri Krishnarao Patil, Shri T. A. Peje, Shui S. L. Raghu Ramaiah, Shri K. Rao, Shri P. Ankineedu Prasada Roy. Shri Bishwanath Rudra Pratap Singh, Shri

323 Supplementary Demands for Grants (Gujarat) 1974-75

Salve, Shri N. K. P. Samanta, Shri S. C. Sanghi, Shri N. K. Sangliana, Shri Sauhe, Shri Vasant Savitri Shyam, Shrimati Sethi, Shri Arjun Shailani, Shri Chandra Shankaranand, Shri B. Sharma, Shri Nawal Kishore Shukla, Shri B.R. Sinha, Shri Nawal Kishore Sokhi, Sardar Swaran Singh Stephen, Shri C. M. Subramaniam, Shrl C. Sudarasanam, Shri M. Tiwary, Shri D. N. Vckaria, Shri

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER ; The result* of the division is : 16; Noes 58.

AYES

The motion was negatived

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER ; I shall now put the rest of the cut motions to the vote GUJARAT APPROPRIATION of the House.

All the other Cut Motions were put and negatived.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : The question is :

"That the respective sums not ex. ceeding the amounts on Revenue Account and Capital Account shown in the third column of the Order Paper be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat. on account, for or towards defraying the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1976, in respect of the heads of demands entered in the second colunm thereof-

Demands Nos. 2, 3, 5, to 12, 14 to 20, 22 to 31, 33 to 59, 61 to 68 and 70 to 76"

*Shrimati Ganga Devi recorded also her vote for NOES.

MARCH 21, 1975 Gujurat Appropriation 324 (Vote on Account) Bill, 1975

The motion was adopted

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : Now, I will take up the Supplementary Demands. will not mention Demand No. 49. That should clear the position.

The question is :

"That the respective Supplementary sums not exceeding the amounts shown in the third column of the Order Paper be granted to the President out of the Cosolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March 1975 in respect of the following demands entered in the second column thereof-

Demands Nos. 8, 11, 14, 17, 21 to 23, 25, 27, 28, 33, 35, 38, 40 to 42, 53. 55. 55. 58, to 60, 63, 65, 66, 69, 71. 72, 74 to 79, 82, 84, 86 to 88, 90, 94. 97, 105, 107, 108, 110, 113, 116, 119, 120, 122, 124 to 128, 131, 132, 137 to 142, 144 and 146."

The motion was adopted

16.26 hrs.

VOTE ON ACCOUNT) BILL*, 1975

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI PRA-NAB KUMAR MUKHERJEE) : 1 beg to move for leave to introduce a bill to provide for the withdrawal of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Gujarat, for the services of a part of the financial year 1975-76.

SHRI PILOO MODY (Godhra) : As corrected.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : Not yet. That is where the whole confusion comes.

Now, the question is :

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill to provide for the withdrawal of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Guiarat for the services of a part of the financial year 1975-76,"

*Published in Gazette of India Extraordinary, Part II, section 2, dated 21-3-75.