Shri I. K. Guitall

all the newspapers, even the Motherland which belongs to my friend's Party...

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE: It does not belong to my Party.

SHRI I. K. GUJRAL: I am glad my friend started denouncing it.

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE: Motherland belongs to all.

SHRI I. K. GUJRAL: That is the basic issue. For instance, whatever specches the Prime Minister made yesterday or day before yesterday, you would find that they have got the same coverage on the Radio as it has got in all the newspapers. Naturally, the nation and the country want to know what is our policy.

SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA · SHRI The analogy of newspapers is completely This is an institution built with wrong public funds...(Interruptions)

13.03 hrs.

ORISSA STATE LEGISLATURE (DELEGATION OF POWERS) BILL

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI K. C. PANT: I beg to move* that the Bill to confer on the President the power of the Legislature of the State of Orissa to make laws, as passed by Raiva Sabha, be taken ino consideration.

dule of the two Houses. difficult for Parliament to deal with the majority in the State Assembly. Article

Leg. (Delegation of 244 Powers) Bill

various legislative measures' that may be necessary in respect of the State. It would be even more difficult in situations requiring emergent legislation. The Bill, therefore, seeks to confer on the President the power of the State Legislature to make laws in respect of the State. It has been the normal practice to underin relation to the take such legislation State under the President's Rule and the present Bill is on the usual lines. Provision has been made for the constitution of a Consultative Committee, consisting of Members of Parliament in this regard Provision is also being made to empower Parliament to direct modifications in the laws made by the President, if considered necessary.

I request the honourable House to accept the legislative proposal before it.

MR SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That the Bill to confei on the President the power of the Legislature of the State of Orissa to make laws, as passed by Rajya Sabha, be taken into consideration."

SHRI DINESH JOARDER (Malda): This subject of take-over of the administration of the State of Orissa as also the dissolution of the Assembly came up in this House as also in the Raiya Sabha a few days back and it was widely condemned by all sections of both the Houses

The necessity of the Bill originates from the Proclamation issued on 3rd March The House is aware that in the Pro- 1973 under Art. 356 of the Constitution clamation dated the 3rd March, 1973, in by the President on the basis of a highly relation to the State of Orissa, the Presi- politically motivated report of the Goverdent has declared that the powers of the nor, Mr. Jatti, whereby the power of State Legislature shall be exercised by or self-rule of the people of that State was under the authority of Parliament. How- snatched away by the Congress Governever, in view of the otherwise busy sche- ment of the Centre for the obvious reason it would be that the ruling Congress Party has lost its

^{*}Moved with the recommendation of the President.

355 of the Constitution was invoked in tion Governments. They have done it in this case. This Article is incorporated in W. Chapter XVIII which enumerates Emer. Bihar, U.P., Punjab, Haryana and now we gency Provisions. But the Governor did consider the case of Orissa. Everywhere not mention in his report about the basis, the Governors have acted as stooges or that is, whether at that time a grave emer- agents of the Home Ministry of the Centhe security of gency existed whereby India of the State of Orissa was threatened whether by war or external aggression or internal disturbances and for that a situation had arisen in which the Govt. of the State could not be carried on in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution. Certainly there was no such situation. Then why was the State Assembly dissolved? Why was President's rule invoked? It was because Congress lost majority and lost the power there.

Sir, the framer of the Constitution-Dr. Ambedkar---contemplated that this provision would not be applied liberally except in a very rare and exceptional case and as if in a dead-letter it. will remain box. There was the decision of the recent Governor's conference wherein it was the consensus or unanimous opinion that the governor would not interfere in the matter whether a particular political party or group has a majority in the Assembly. On the contrary they will ask the parties to test the claim on the floor of the Assembly. But everything, every principle and norm of parliamentary democracy in this regard has been nakedly violated by the Congress Government at the Centre. During the last few years we have seen that the Congress had toppled the Opposition Governments in various States, purchased the MLAs and instigated them to defect and then invoked the President's rule in as many as 32 cases since the adoption of the Constitution. And, in almost all the cases, it was done in favour of the Congress party.

Article 356 was very liberally used mostly in non-emergency situation, that is, in violation of the constitutional concept of these provisions, only with a view to government or rather the Congress party instal the congress directly or indirectly with the help of their agent, Mr. Jatti,

Bengal, Madhya Pradesh. Kerala. tral Government. These Governors are chosen for that purpose and they are appointed by the Home Ministry and for the Home Ministry. They hold office at the mercy of the Home Ministry which advises the President to appoint or dismiss the Governors. We have expressed our opinion several times regarding the matters of appointment of governors. These governors are responsible to none except the President through the Home Ministry. In our country people elect their representativy s to form Government in the respective States, to constitute the Assembly, to form the Government, to run the administration of the State, according to their choice, and they direct the Government to act in the best interests of the said State and the people of that State. But above them, the Governor is installed from the Centre, who is not responsible to the Assembly or to Parliament; he is only to act as agent of the Central Government.

13.10 Hrs.

TIWARL [SHRI К. N. the Chair.]

The governor, who is merely an agent of the Centre, has by violation of the constitutional provisions and abusing the power of its office assumed an unfettered power to throttle the voice of the people, to prorogue and dissolve the elected Assembly at the instance of and for the benefit of the Congress party. These governors are mostly the diehard bureaucrats or the run-out and frustrated politicians. We demand that the present system of appointing the governor should be abolished and they should be elected by and responsible to the Parliament.

In the present case of Orissa the Central in power in the States ousting the Opposi- has with an undue haste and misusing

[Shri Dinesh Joarder].

situation and miscalculating the with motivated purpose deprived the Opposition of the Constitutional right to test their strength in the Assembly and dissolved the Assembly in violation of all democratic norms and practices. The President's proclamation and his rule over the State of Orissa has been extended in a manner which is against all established principles of parliamentary democracy and States, autonomy. The Central government wants to establish an atmosphere of political psychology in our country that the ruling Congress party alone, under the leadership of Mrs. Gandhi, has the right and privilege and the opportunity to rule over the country They want all States to fall at their feet, the judiciary at their command and the people at the point of their bayonet.

Under these circumstances, this Bill has been introduced to further strengthen the autocratic hands of the Congress government and so I oppose this Bill. I demand that the States' autonomy be restored and the date for immediate election for the State Assembly be announced and the representatives of the people of Orissa be given back their rights to govern their own State.

SHRI BANAMALI PATNAIK (Puri): Sir. 1 cannot understand why mv friend, Shri Joarder, is opposing this piece of legislation. Only recently Parliament passed the Presidential proclamation regarding Orissa. This Bill is coming as a result of that proclamation and whenever there is an opportunity the Opposition tries to take cudgels against Congress. It sufficiently discussed last time. A 1.96 stable government cannot be formed in President's Orissa and that is why the Rule was imposed in Orissa, Now, the question arises after the President's rule has been imposed the President should have the power to consult the consultative committee. Therefore, the question does not arise why President's rule is imposed but it is only about delegating the power Orissa, to the consultative committee.

Leg. (Delegation of 248 Powers) Bill

The second question is whether the elections can be held immediately or not. discussed last time This point was also when we had approved the Presidential proclamation. The Delimitation Commission has been appointed and only after the delimitation of constituencies is completed the elections can be held, There is a proposal to increase the number of seats in Orissa as a multiple of eight to the Lok Sabha seats.

AN HON, MEMBER : Who proposed?

SHRI BANAMALI PATNAIK : We proposed and submitted it to the Election Commission. It may come to the consultative committee for enacting a legislation whether the number of constituencies has to increase by a multiple of 7 or 8. If it is a multiple of 7 it will be 140; if it is a multiple of 8 it will be 160 and if the number of seats of Lok Sabha is going to be increased it may be 147 or 168. Unless the Delimitation Commission completes its work there cannot be any elections. The electoral rolls are going to be revised and unless this revision ic published the elections cannot be held, It may take at least six months. Therefore, the Members knowing fully well are opportunity to malign the taking everv Congress that they have a sinister motive not to have the elections.

Now that President's rule is there. I certain measures to be would suggest enacted. For instance, there was a Land Reforms Bill which was before the Orissa Legislative Assembly in its amended form, but the Assembly was dissolved and it could not be passed. The Congress has taken a decision to implement land reforms all over India. I wish that during President's rule, the Land Reforms Act should be enacted according to the all-India pattern, so that it could be enforced and people will get proper legislation.

I would take this opportunity to bring to the notice of Government that there is scarcity of food and drinking water in Orissa, which should be tooked into immediately.

*SHRIE.R. Mr. Chairman, Sir. President's rule is now has been the role of the ruling Congress in force in Orissa. The State Legislative party in this respect? To give an illustra-Assembly has been seeks to delegate the Parliamentary before the House powers of the State Legislature of Orissa to the President of India. On behalf of the DMK. I would like to say a few words on this Bill.

A conference of all the presiding officers of our country was held in New Delhi on the 7th and 8th April 1968. At that time, the political developments in the States of Punjab and West Bengal were such that they led to a constitutional deadlock which made it impossible for the State Legislatures to function. Reviewing those developments, the presiding Officers conference passed a resolution unanimously. According to that resolution, the conference was of the view that the question whether a Chief Minister had lost the confidence of the Assembly or not should at all times, be decided in the Assembly. The Conference also held the view that if the majority of the members of the State Assembly wrote to the Chief Minister and the Governor that they had lost confidence in the Chief Minister and desired to discuss a motion of no-confidence. then the Assembly should be convened within a week of that request. The Conference further recommended to the Government of India that the Government should take steps to evolve conventions in regard to the powers of the Governors to summon or prorouge the Legislature and to dismiss the Ministries.

It is now five years since the Conference made these recommendations. What is the picture that we see in the country? In the same manner as the Governors are appointed by the Central Government, the ruling Congress party is nominating Chief Ministers of the States. I would like to condemn this practice of the ruling party with all the force at my command as it is a negation of the concept ment have been saying of democracy.

Sir, I would now like to refer to the be brought forward and though

Leg. (Delegation of 250 Powers) Bill

KRISHNAN (Salem) : game of defections by Legislatures. What dissolved. The Bill tion, Sir, I would like to refer to Dindugal by-election to take place shortly. The ruling Congress party has selected one. Shri Cheemaichamy as its candidate. What are his antecedents? He was elected to the Tamilnadu State Assembly in 1967 on Swatantra Party ticket. At that time Swatantra party was a partner of an alliance with the DMK. After his election to the State Assembly he once stated that if the DMK fostered and developed any links with the Indira Congress, then his party, the Swatantra party would review its position in the alliance with the DMK. In 1971. there was a Democratic United Front (Kamraj Congress & Swatantra). He contested on the Swatantra party ticket against the DMK in the 1971 elections from the Dindugal parliamentary constituency and was roundly defeated by a margin of one lakh votes by the DMK candidate, Sir, in 1973 when the by-election to Lok Sabha from the same constituency is to take place now he, Shri Cheemaichamy, has been chosen as the candidate of the Indira Congress. In 1967 he was considered by ruling Congress party as a reactionary and now in 1973 they have adopted the same person and do not hesitate to call him progressive. Shri Cheemaichamy has become a progressive overnight! Sir, is it the way that the ruling party wishes to strengthen the roots of the democracy in our country? One gets an uneasy feeling that so long as defections produce any kind of political advantage to the ruling Congress party, no action will be taken by them to prevent defections.

> Sir, we are aware of the fact that a Committee was constituted to go into the question of defections and to suggest methods to prevent them. The report was submitted by the Committee long time ago. Though the Central Governthat a suitable legislation to prevent defections would many

*The original speech was delivered in Tamil.

Leg (Delegation of 252 Powers) Bill

[Shri E R Krishnan]

such assurances have been given by the Central Government on the floor of the House, we find no evidence so far to show that they really mean what they say I have no manner of doubt in my mind that so long as the political climate of our country is not decisively in favour of the ruling party no law on defections would ever be enacted by the Central Government

Sir, the ruling Congress party adopts different yard sticks to suit their convenience throwing all democratic norms to winds In Madhva Piadesh when Shri Govind Natain Singh who was the Chief Minister on behalf of the alliance of Opposition parties, defected to Congress an undertaking was taken from him that he would not seek any office for five years But what happened in Orissa? The defec tors to the ruling Congress party were made the Deputy Chief Minister and Ministers with Cabinet rank What sort of democratic practice the ruling Congress party is following' It is the same defectors who ultimately turned the tables against Shrimati Nandni Satpathy Government

When as a result of defections the Nandni Satpathy Ministry lost the majority in the Assembly what happened in that State? 75 MI As belonging to the Opposition parties went in person to meet the Governor and sought from him the right to form the Government But the Governor in his strange wisdom did not give them the opportunity to form the Government and arbitrarily dissolved the Assembly In fact Sir, as many as 72 MI As have filed writ petitions in the court challenging the acc of the Governor It may be mentioned that the total strength of the Assembly is 140 What has happened in Orissa, where the majority was thwarted from forming the Government, cannot but be described as a mulder of democracy

While the Central Government was too keen to dissolve the Assembly in Orissa they have found it convenient to only sus pend the Assembly in Andhra Pradesh I

would like to know whether such double standards practised by the Central Government can ever strengthen democracy in our country

It seems, Sir, that the ruling Congress party and the Central Government are of the view that ruling Congress party alone is fit to govern the States and that that party alone represents the people of our country We find that even Central Miniters have begun describing the opposition parties as anti-national I would like to ask whether this is the right way of strengthening the foundations of democracy in our country With these words I conclude

श्री फल चन्द बर्मा (उज्जैन) सभापनि महादय, ग्राज हम उडीसा राज्य विधान मध्ल (जनितयों का प्रत्यायाजन) विश्वेयक पर विचार . कर रने है। यह बडे दर्भाग्य का वि∿य है कि उड़ीमा के झत्हर जिम क्षम से राष्ट्रपनि सङोदय ने घपना भामन लाग किया भीर प्रजातन्त के ममस्त मन्गो का तार धर उठा कर रख दिया। उहीमा के ग्रन्दर प्रगतिशील दल सरकार बनाने को स्थिति म था उस का मौका दिवा जाना चाहिय था नेकिन वहा के राज्यपाल ने जा केन्द्र क गट सत्रालय के निर्देश पर काम करने हैं. प्रगतिशील दल का सरकार बनान का मौका नही तिया । इस से स्पाट साबित हाता है कि राज्य-पाल जा राज्यां ने अन्दर गृह मलालय क आदेश मे नियक्त होते है का गह मन्नालय के इझारे पर काम करना पडना है --- यह एक बड़ी दर्भाग्यपण परिस्थिति हे।

इसी सम्बन्ध में मैं यह भी कहना चाऱ्या कि जब उन्होने प्रपनी रिपाट राष्ट्रपति जी का मेची, ता हमारे राष्ट्रपति जी ने उस रिपार्ट पर काई सहानुभूतिपर्वक विचार नही किया, उन्होने उसी रिपार्ट पर प्रपनी माहर लगादी, उन्हान भ्रापन विवेक का उपयोग नहीं किया वेवल १क रबन स्टाम्ग बन कर रह गये। इस प्रकार की प्रवृत्ति हमारे प्रजातन्व के लिथ घातक है। जब बहा पर

राज्यपालो के सम्मेलन में एक बान निज्यित की गई थी कि जहां पर भी इम प्रकार की स्थिति माती है. स्वैधानिक सकट उपस्थित होता है, दल-बदल होने है. बहा राज्यपालों को सपने गबिक मे काम लेकर इन मामलो पर निर्णय करना चाहिये कि यहा पर कौन सा दल मरकार बनाने की स्थिति मे है। मझे दख के माथ कहना पडता है कि मम्मेलन के उक्त निर्णय के बावजद भी उद्यीप। मे जो कछ हडा, वह दर्भाग्यपणं था ।

इस प्रकार की घटनाओं की पुतरावृत्ति न हो, इस के लिये मग्कार बार-बार नदन में झाश्वासन नेती रही है कि हम दल-बदल पर रोक लगाने के लिये इसी सत में एक जिल लाने जा रहे हैं। में आज लग्कार में एक स्पन्ट प्रश्न पटना चाहता र---- रेम सत के ममाप्त होने में केवल एक मग्ताह का समय पह गया है, क्या झाप इमी सप्ताह मे उस बिल को पेश कर रहे है या नही कर रहे है-इम बात को स्पष्ट करें।

मैं यह भी निवेदन करना चाहता ह कि हमे राज्यपालो के त्रियाकलापी के बारे में भी थोडा विचार करना पडेगा । साज प्रान्तो मे जो राज्य-पाल है वे केवल केन्द्र मरकार की मोहर बन कर रह गये हैं और राष्ट्रपति महोदय भी केन्द्रीय सर-कार की रबर म्डेम्प बन कर रह गये हैं--- इस पर सम्भीरता के साथ विचार करना होगा ।

इन झब्दो के माथ मैं इप बिल का विराध करते हुए माननीय मती जी से पश्चना चाहता ह कि क्या वे इसी सन्न में उप बिल को ला रहे है या नहीं ला रहे हैं? यदि नहीं ला रह है ना बार बार धोषणा कर के इस प्रकार देश और सदन को गुमराह करने का बया मतलब है ?

SURENDRA MOHANTY SHRI (Kendrapara) : Mr. Chairman, this being a logical corollary to the imposition being done to us. Even though I had writ-

to be no other alternative left but to support it. It is a travesty of the situation that when people are looking forward to further expansion of the scope and content of people's participation in the government, more and more areas in this country are now coming under the bureaucratic rule of the President.

It will not be out of place to mention in this context that had the Governor of Orissa acted in good faith and had the President taken into account the realities of the situation. Orissa today would not have been denied the right to be ruled by a popular government.

Sir, since the imposition of President's rule in Orissa. Orissa is passing through a traumatic experience. In districts like Mayurbhanj and Keonjhar, people are still dying of malnutrition and starvation. In Keonjhar, water is being sold at the rate of 50 paise a bucket, and it is strange and it is really mortifying to find that the bureaucratic government of Orissa guided by the President is not taking any steps to mitigate the sufferings of the people.

What is more disappointing is that this bureaucratic government, in spite of its best intentions, is not able to bring the healing touch which can only be expected of a popular government. Against this background, we are really very anxious to know when the elections for a new Orissa Legislative Assembly are going to take place. Article 82 of the Constitution enjoins upon the Government for delimitation of constituencies, but speculations are thick that the Congress is out to force a snap election on the people for its own political ends. It is strange that this matter was raised under rule 377; letter had been written to the Home Minister; but I am sorry to say that the Home Minister had not even the courtesy to acknowledge the letter much less to reply to its contents. As Members of Parliament we are entitled to receive replies to the letters that we address to in-Bill dividual Ministers; it is no favour that is of President's rule in Orussa, there seems ten a letter to Mr. Uma Shankar Dikshit

[Shri Surendra Mohanty]

about a fortnight ago pointing out this anomaly, it is my misfortune and regret that he has not the courtesy to acknowledge the letter. This matter had been raised also under rule 377. We would categorically like to know whether the Government is going to circumvent article 82. under which delimitation should be ordered, and force a snap election, or, whether the election is to take place after delimitation of constituencies and after the electoral rolls are properly revised I hope that this is the occasion when the hon. Minister should dispel all misgivings and doubts and should afford a real opportunity for a fair and free election in Orissa, so that the peoples' rule, the representatives' rule, is reinstated in Orissa and the wrong that has been done. that has been inflicted, is mitigated.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA (Contai) : if the office of the governorship was not amendment; I do not know whether you turned into political dustbin of Congress waste, perhaps today there would amendment but my point is that, if not by Governor's or President's rule. I do not committee should meet at least once a know in which other States this will happen, month to discuss non-legislative and legis-Nowadays, the position of the governorship lative matters. has been turned into a sanctuary of a political dustbin-a strong word, I believefor the discredited and discarded and also disgruntled Congressmen. Certain recent appointments as Governors indicate this trend very clearly.

I would like to draw the attention of the be given by the hon. Minister hon. Minister to one point, namely, that amendment, that the Committee Orissa is a small State and so the revision meet every month at least. of the electoral rolls will not require much time there. Therefore, the elections should ment should not try to spring a surprise it came only today. I am not allowing it. on the people just by trying to have a snap vote. I remember when there was a nationall the Opposition leaders met the Prime

Leg. (Delegation of 256 Powers) Bill

election. I do not know what will happen in the case of Orissa. If really the Government wants to have an election, it in possible that an election can be held as early as possible and as quickly as possible, because the electoral rolls can be revised very swiftly; at least, some time should be given to the people to make up their mind to know when the election is coming.

Secondly, there is a provision in the Bill to constitute consultative committees, and in this matter, the Government should consult the consultative committee for drawing up legislation. But my past experience of consultative committees. particularly in West Bengal and other States also, is that it meets once after two or three months and Members do not get an opportunity to discuss anything except legislative business. Non-legislative business does not get any Sir, serious consideration. I have given an the will allow it, nor do I want to press my have been a popular government instead of law at least by convention, the consultative

> Orissa is a poor and backward State; there are a large number of tribals. Starvation deaths have taken place there. Members of the Committee should get an op portunity to place before the Governor and the Government problems affecting the people. So, at least some assurance should on mv should

MR. CHAIRMAN : The amendment be held as early as possible, and the Govern- which you sent was not received in time;

SHRI CHINTAMANI PANIGRAHI al emergency at the time of Indo-Pak war, (Bhubaneswar): I rise to support this Bill There is hardly anything to Minister at her residence, and she herself discuss de novo about the charges levelled suggested that there would be no elections by the Opposition against the Governor within one year after the declaration of and the Congress Party/as hon. Minister emergency. But as soon as the Indo-Pakis- Pantii had already answered them in the tan war ended, there was a snap assembly previous discussions. The Governor has

acted most democratically and he was left take up some immediate measures to see with no alternative but to recommend that these problems are tackled to a certain President's Rule.

The purpose of this bill is to constitute an advisory committee consisting of 30 members from Lok Sabha and 15 from the Raiva Sabha to advise the Government on legislative business. Orissa faces some special problems and we hope that during the short spell of President's rule the hon. Minister would be responsible to the hopes and aspirations of the people of Orissa and these problems would be tackled, as the problems were tackled in West Bengal during the President's Rule.

Forty per cent of the people in Orissa are adivasis and Haritans and the Central Government has a special responsibility and during the President's rule these people should get special treatment **\$**0 that their economic conditions improve. In Orissa again, 65.2 per cent of the people live below the poverty line and it is a great challenge to the Home and the Finance Ministries and the Union Government. During the President's rule there should be a massive attack on the poverty of these people which is so glaring.

Government has decided to work the nickel plant at Sukhinda. I do not now why its head office should be in Delhi. If some bureaucrat is trying to get the head office here so that they can recruit people from here rather than from Orissa, it. will defeat the very purpose of our programmes. I request the hon. Minister to see to it that the head office is at Bhubaneswar or at the plant site itself.

Orissa is suffering from a severe drought today. Drinking water is not available and the price of rice and wheat has risen so much and I request the hon. Minister to pay some special attention so that something is done to relieve the distress of the people.

This State is usually affected by cyclone, drought and floods. This is a chronic disease here. The Central Government should

Leg. (Delegation of 258 Powers) Bill

extent during President's Rule.

ARIUN SHRI SETHI (Bhadrak): Mr. Chairman, Sir, within the limited time I would like to draw the attention of the hon. Minister to the serious condition that is prevailing in the State of Orissa due to scarcity or short supply of foodgrains, especially, to the backward areas dominated by the adivasis in Mayurbhani, Keonjhar and some portions of Balasore The people here are in a very precarious condition they arc not getting employment. As a result of all this, their conditions are very much precarious to-day. I have with me some photographs which have been published in our Oriya Daily-an independent daily-and I can show from the photographs the conditions of the people living there. Especially, the photographs here are of adivasi children and their families mainly. Their condition is causing a very serious concern. So, I would like to request the hon. Minister to take immediate steps to tackle this situation.

The administration of the State also is not functioning properly. They are not taking cnough measures and are not in a position also to deal with the situation effectively. I would, therefore, request the hon. Minister to direct the State Government so that they can take immediate action to save this situation from further deterioration.

With these words. I support the Bill and I request the hon. Minister again to take immediate action in this regard.

श्री झारखंडे राव (घोसी) ' मभापति जी, हमारे देश ने प्रजातन्त्र की पद्धनि स्वीकार की है भीर ससदीय प्रणाली का भनगमन हमारा देश कर रहा है। ऐसी प्रणाली में बिरोध पक्ष का एक ग्रनिवार्य ग्रौर ग्रपरिहार्य स्थान है । उसका सम्मान, उसका झादर झौर उसके प्रति यमचित मात्रा में निष्ठा जनता को भी भीर सरकार को भी करनी चाहिए । झाजकल एक झौधड पथ चला है, उसको हम दल बदल कहते हैं, भावाराम गयाराम भौर

Leg. (Delegation of 260 Powers) Bill

[थी झारबडे राय]

1967 के बाद इसमें बहन नेज रक्नार से बहीतरी हई है । बहे पैमाने पर प्रदेशों म इधर से उधर, जधर से इधर प्रौर कड़ी के कड़ी लोग दल बदल किए हे जिसमें मरकारा में झस्थिरता पैका हई भौर हमारी समबीय प्रणाली का खतरा भी हमा। प्रजानन्त्र के प्रति बहन लागो में सनाम्था भी पैदा हई है। यह एक शाव्दीय स्तरा है जिसकी झोर जनता का जनप्रतिनिधियों का उनमें काम करने बाले दला का भीर सरकार का भी समजित माता में ज्यान ग्राइग्ट हाना ग्रपरिहाये है । माने सौर यर 1969-70 क राष्ट्रपति विरि के चनाव के बाद इमार देश में दा प्रकार की राष्ट्रीय धाराये बास रही हैं----एक ता जनवादी प्रगनिशील भीर समाजवादी दण्टिकाण बाली बाहने है और दपरे का इतिगामी प्रतित्रियाबादी ग्रथवा यथास्थितिवादी हम कह सकत है। इन दो मन्य धारान्नों में बटा ्या याज दण चल रहा है । हर राष्ट्रीय सवाल पर, चाह गण्टपति गिरि का चनाव हो या तोन जजा की मीन्यिस्टि का तोड कर भौथे की नियकित का पवाल हो माटे तौर पर हमारा देश दा भागा में बटा जा रहा है। इसने कभी कभी मस्पर्यता हा जाती है। यह कभी कभी मयक्षित मात्रा म माफ नहीं होता है । कुप्ट दल अनामिर, ल्ल सथवा वन्छ म।क्लया ऐसी है जिनकी समय ममय की नीति से अप हाता है। लेकिन माटे तौर पर दो धाराये हमारे देश में पिल्ले तीन माला से चल रही है । मान्यवर उडीमा म श्रीमनी नन्दिनी सतायी का जा चनाव हम्रा था उस से विमेष प्रकार की राजनीति का इजहार हमा भीर उप प्रकार की राजनीति का इजहार बहा की उस समय की विधान मभा में नहीं हो रहा था। बिश्चान सभा जब चनी गयी थी तब से जा परि बर्टन हाग थे देश में उस का रिफलेक्शन, उस का इजहार विधान मभा में नहीं हा रहा था। दिनाम मभा का गठन और प्रकार उस ममय जा था मौर श्रीमनी नदिनी सनपथी के चनान से जा भावना पैदा हई, उन दोना का मामजस्य नही या, बल्कि टकराव था। इसलिये वहा पर चुनाव तो करना ही पड़ता, चाहे देर चाहे मबेर । लेकिन जिस तरह की शक्तियों की एक रूपना बड़ा पैदा हई उस के

फलस्वरूप बहां विधान सभा मध की गयी, उस सरकार ने इस्तीभा दिया, राज्यपाल ने सिफारिज की ग्रीर उस के मताबिक बहां की विधान सभा भग करके सारी जन्मिन राष्ट्रमति ने घ्रापने हाथ मे ली। कोई भी बला में रहे माज तो सला में हैं कल विरोधी पक्ष में जा सकने हैं. झौर जो झाज विरोधी मझ थे है, हो सकता है कि कल वह सत्ता मे चले आये. लेकिन कोई ऐसा काम किसी को नही करना चाहिये जिस से प्रजातन्त्र घीर ससदीय प्रणाली में लोगों की झनात्था पैदा हो । यह एक राष्ट्रीय प्रम्न है जा दलों से उपर है। बहा पर बिरोठी पक्ष के लोग सगठिन रहे, जब सरकार गिरी, वह मही चल सकी ती वहा के राज्यप्राग को चिराधी पक्ष के लोगो को बलाकर, बातचीत कर के इम सभावना का पता लगाना चाहिये या कि वैकल्पिक सरकार बहा बन सकती है कि नही ? ग्रयर नही बन मकनी थी. निहायन मजबुरी म नही बन सकनी थी, तभी उस विधान मभा को भग करना चाहिरे था। बिना उप का प्रयास किंग भग कर देना झौर राज्यपाल को मिर्भारण बहा स्वीकार कर लेना मैं समझना ह इस से तानाजाडियन की ग्रार लोगा की जो णिकायन है उस को बल मिनना है। गेमा काम सरकार का नही करना चाहित जिसमे हि शिकायत करने वाला का मोलिक माआर मिने और जनना ना भी बिश्वाम करने का मौका मिने। इसलिये वा चोड हई है उम में एक कमी रह गयी थी ग्रीर उस कमी को दर कर के ही बहा का विधान मभा का भग करने का नाम करना चाहिरे था। ऐसा मागे नही हाना चाहिये ' बहन बडा हमाग देश है। बहत नग्ह को सनम्बलिया दें अलग धातग पाटिशा का गज्ध राज्धों में चते ता। ऐसी स्थिति में के 4 की आरार से ऐम। कोई क न नही होना चाहिये जिम से कि कैन्द्रीय मरकार अपने अधिकारो का प्रयोग कर के अपने दल का गान्त्पति या राज्यपाल के बल पर चलाती रहे। यह भावना जनता में नही जानी चाहिने । झगर जायगी तो देश ग्रौर ससदीय प्रणाली को चोट लगेगी जो हम सब के लिये हानिवारक होगा। थी हकन जन्द कछवाय (म्रीना) मा-घबर रो उणीसा के बारे में बिल लाया गया है मैं

Leg. (Delegation of 262 Powers) Bill

उस का विरोध करता हू इंग्लिंग् कि जिस प्रमय वहा सरकार टूटी तो जो प्रयतिक्रील विरोधी क्ल था उस को वैकल्पिक सरकार बनाले का मौका नहीं दिया गया । जब काग्रेय पक्ष का क्रोर्ड हिन हो को सरकार टूमरे सोगो को मौका नहीं देवी है ।

मानसीय सत्री जो ने नया सरकार ने धनेको बार घोपणा की है कि हम दल बदन का कालन लाना चाहते है, झौर इस सेवान में लायेंगे, ऐसा माप ने कहा है। मैं जानना चाहना ह कि वह बिल कब झायेगा ? 14 नारीख को सेवान समाप्न होने बाला है। पिछले कर्म सालो ने बिचार चल रहा है कि ग्मा बिल माना चाहने हैं जिम में दल बदम रोका जाय। सारे दंग नी जनना झीर विरोधी पक्ष के लोग उप बिल की झाकाक्षा कर रहे है कि वह बिल कब झायेगा।

यह जो बिल मली जी लागे है, मै कहना चाहना ह कि जब श्रीमती सनपत्री की सरकार वहां गिरो तो आप ने अपन अधिकारा का उग्योग कर के दनरे लोगों का मौका नहीं दिया। यदि दमरों ना मौका देने ने। यह बाम निश्चित थी कि ग्राज जा स्थिति है वह स्थिति न होती. धीर बहा पर प्रगनिकील दल की मरकार निक्रियन अननी । ऐमा हमारा विण्वाय था। लेकिन सरकार ने मौका नही दिया और राज्यपाल ने जो अपने अधिकारों का उगगेन किया वह अन्चित तरीके से किया। राज्यपाल खिल्कल बधे हा है केन्द्र से। यहा से प्रधान मनो ने सकेन के बिना राज्यपाल कोई काम नहीं करना । इसलिये मैं चाहना न कि इस प्रकार की साजिश किसी राज्य में नहीं, ग्रीर जा बिल माप लाने वाले है उस के बारे में मली जी म्पण्ट बनाये कि वह बिल कब लायने । इन सेशन मे लाने वाले हैं या तही? यवि इप सेलन में नही लाने है तो हमे मजनग हाकर झौर काथवाही माथे करनी होगी मिल कर ।

SHRI K. C. PANT : Sir, many hon. friends who have spoken have referred to the promulgation of President's Rule in Orissa and the circumstances attending

that. This is a matter which is being discussed in this House already at some length and the whole argument has been gone into both by freinds opposite and by those of us who spoke from this side of the House. I do not want to repeat all the arguments that were put forward on that occasion. I would simply say that if my friend would recall the statement of Dr. Mahtab, which has been quoted by the Governor in his report, they will understand the whole situation in Orissa at that stage without further reference of the details of that report. Because, Dr. Mahtab is reported **#**have told the Governor that unless he acts quickly there may be re-defections. He urged the Governor to take action quickly so that there may be no possibility of re-defections. This, in one sentence, sums up the kind of situation that existed and the apprehensions entertained by Dr. Mahtab, who was himself interested in the formation of an alternative government. He felt that if there was a delay of two days, then those who had defected from the Congress may redefect. In this kind of situation it was for the Governor to apply his mind and consider whether a Government formed under such circumstances would be stable or not. In fact, there was re-defection. Shri Patnaik had claimed that his party had a majority of X members. Even from that list there was re-defection within a few hours of his statement.

SHRI DINESH JOARDER : Those letters were written by the MLAs under duress. They were compelled to write such letters to the Governor that they were willing to support Shrimati Nandini Satpathy.

SHRI K C PANT : I would request the hon. Members to apply their commonsense to the situation. If the majority was still with Shrimati Satpathy, if she was in the Government, I could have understood the argument about pressure being used, force being used and these members succumbing to that force. But that Government had fallen and others were trying to form a Government Under those

[Shri K. C. Pant]

circumstances, would any reasonable man think that force can be used by that party? Even if force is used, what is it that a man is likely to suffer if he does not submit to force? The objective situation was that the Government did fall. The Ministry had resigned. Therefore, it is no use saving that under these circumstances the MLAs were susceptible to pressure from the Government which is falling. It does not stand to reason. I can understand it if the argument is that the other party used force to bring about that situation. But I am not commenting on that.

Then, even assuming for the sake of argument that with a majority of one a Government may be formed-of course, even that is doubted-what kind of Government it would have been with so many defections and re-defections? After all, we have to consider the welfare of the people there.

SOMNATH CHATTERJEE SHRI (Burdwan) : Who will decide the mainritv?

SHRI K. C PANT: I think Dr. Mahtah's statement reflects the razor thin nature of the majority. I do not have to go beyond that. It is not capable of having two meanings. Even without going into other facts, that statement alone gives the whole situation in one sentence. After all, the formation of Governments and bringing down of Governments is not an end in itself. If a stable Government is provided, the problems of Orissa can be tackled. These matters have to be considered also in that light. I am sure, the hon. Members will consider these matters in a broader perspective of what is good for the people there.

Then, there was the usual criticism of the Governor, that the Congress used the Governor for its own ends, and so on. I would like to say only two things. One is that whether it is the who Governor recommended it, the ultimate decision was taken by the Central Government. We came before the Parliament and the Par-

Leg. (Delegation of 264 Powers) Bill

whole country. Through a discussion in Parliament, the whole country has a chance to judge the pros and cons of the issue. We take the responsibility for the decision. Why blame the Governor for it? The Government does apply his mind and give the judgment of the situation. But ultimately we take the decision. We differ from the Governor's report where it is necessary. I think, you should not bring in the Governor here. You may have your own opinions. Ultimately, we took the decision. You criticise us, if you like, Why bring in the Governor in the arena of the dispute or bring in the President in the arena of the dispute? We are capable of answering you and trying to convince you. Certainly, we take the full responsibility. I would request you to not to bring in the name of the Governor...

SHRI SURENDRA MOHANTY : Can it be taken that the decision was taken irrespective of the Governor's report?

SHRI K. C. PANT : What he save is wrong. I did not say so. There is no question of taking a decision irrespective of the Governor's report. Certainly, we took into account fully what the Governor had said. He gave the assessment of the whole situation. We attach the highest importance to the statement of the Governors because they are the people on the spot. Certainly, they are in the best position to advise us. I am only making a point which is illustrated bv Manipur, that we need not in all circumstances accept all that the Governor savs or recommends. This is a simple point I am making.

After the promulgation of the President's Rule in Orissa, the other instance we have before us is Manipur. What happened in Manipur? In Manipur, the Government fell. It was an Opposition Government and the Congress was in a position to form a Government. The Governor recommended that the Assembly need not be dissolved. The ruling party, at one stage, sent a letter to the Governor reliament discussed it. It went before the commending that the Assembly need not be

dissolved and that it may be kept in suspension. But the Chief Minister recommended that the Assembly may be dissolved. My friends will recall that the Governor's recommendation at that stage was not to dissolve the Assembly. And yet we dissolved the Assembly because we thought the situation had a parallel with Orissa where the Government may not be stable, the party may consist of a number of persons who may have defected and re-defected and, therefore, it may not provide a kind of Government that Manipur needed. Taking the totality of the circumstances into account, we deviated from the Governor's recommendation to the extent that we dissolved the Assembly and we created situation in which the people of Orissa will have a chance afresh to elect their own Government in due course.

This is what we did in Orissa. Certainly, we attach the highest importance to the Governor's report. We will be guided by that. All that I say is, we do not hide ourselves behind the Governor. We do not want to expose the Governor to the kind of criticism which is made in the House. Why don't you criticise the Government? I am here to defend the Government? I am here to defend the Government. But to bring in the name of the Governor is not fair.

The other question that was raised by some hon. Members was in relation to the date of the election.

SHRI DINESH JOARDER : In article 174 of the Constitution it is clearly laid down that the Governor is the sole authority; he may, from time to time, prorogue the Houses or either House and dissolve the Legislative Assembly. But he cannot recommend to you to dissolve the Assembly. You have just now suid that you dissolved the Assembly. Nowhere in the Constitution is the Central Government given the power to dissolve the Legislative Assembly.

SHRI K. C. PANT : If, after what I have said, my hon. friend has not understood it, my repeating it will not make him understand it.

) Leg. (Delegation of 266 Powers) Bill

SHRI DINESH JOARDER : He recommended dissolution of the Assembly. He cannot do that. Either he shall have to dissolve or he shall have to act according to his own discretion, but he should not secure advice from you, should not act as your agent.

SHRI K. C. PANT : Another point that was raised was in relation to the date of election. On that, one hon. friend, I think Mr. Patnaik, has said that now that the census operations have been completed, certain procedures will have to be gone through before the next elections-delimitation of constituencies, preparation of electoral rolls and, incidentally, he mentioned the possibility of increase ot the number of Parliamentary seats from Orissa which will have its effect on the Assembly seats and so on. Whatever it is, as far as I know, the Constitution requires that this process be completed before the next elections can be held. Therefore, this process will have to be gone through. This will take a certain amount of time. I can only hope that it does not take much time and we can hold the elections as early as possible. We are as anxious as any section of this House or any hon. member to have the representative government again in the saddle in Orissa as early as possible...

SHRI SURENDRA MOHANTY : With delimitation or without delimitation?

SHRI K. C. PANT : I think, it is a Constitutional requirement...

SHRI SURENDRA MOHANTY : It is not your subjective understanding that we want here.

SHRI K. C. PANT: I am telling you what my subjective understanding is. It a specific question is put, I will consult the Ministry of Law. But, at this stage, I can only give you my subjective understanding. This is my understanding without consulting the Law. I will consult them if you send me a notice...

STIRENDRA SHRI Under rule 377. I have already written a to understand this question. I do not think letter.

SHRI K. C. PANT : That does not make it compulsory for the answer to be wiven in the course of the debate.

There was a reference to the Deputy Chief Minister of Orissa and in that context to the Anti-Defection Bill by many non, friends. The only thing that I can say is that we have completed our consideration of that Bill and we would like to bring it before the House, to introduce the Bill, before the end of this Session. Regarding the question of Deputy Chief Minister's party joining the Congress at a particular stage, it raises an important usue which hon. friends will consider while they go into the provisions of the Anti-Defection Bill. Here was a _ party which merged into another party. and whether this can be treated as defection or not is a serious question for you to consider. Such situations will arise in future and this may well not be a case of defection I am not anticipating the discussion on this, I am merely posing the problem, because this will be one of the questions with which you will be faced. Similarly, my hon. friend belonging to D. M. K. raised the question of an ex-Swatantia member now fighting the election on behalf of the Congress. I do not think he is a Member of the Assembly Therefore, now. he is completely free to join any Party he likes and to contest the election. That is not a defection. Suppose somebody to-day contests in the name of one Party and he goes over to another Party, the law does not stand in his way. Even in the Anti-Defection Bill we are not providing for that. The Committee which went into this question never suggested that nobody in this country should be allowed to change the Party. That will make the whole democratic functioning a verv static and we have to provide for some changes. It may be that a Party may later on decide to change its loyality to another

MOHANTY : set of programmes. This is how we have it is right for him to say that he is a defector who is contesting. That will not he a correct interpretation.

14 Hrs.

My friend from the D. M. K. mentioned that all the time we, in the Congress Party, cannot have our own Governments in all the States. It is completely correct and I hope he will remember that we did help his party to win the elections. Memories in politics are very short. But I do not think he could have forgotten that if his Party is in power to-day, we have not hindered but helped the process ...

SHRI I. MATHA GOWDER (Nilgiris) : We also helped you.

SHRI K. C. PANT : You might have helped us in respect of Parliament but you have not helped us in relation to the Assembly. You have the government not in the Parliament but in the Assembly...(Interruptions)

directing my remarks I am to my in the DMK should friend who remember . . .

भी हकम जन्द कछवाय: जहा विराध्नी सरकार बनती है वहा ग्राम के सहयोग से बनती है ?

श्री करण चरह परस ग्रव हम क्या करें जब आप इनने कमजार हैं ? यह तो ग्राप के लिग बडी बुगे बात है और यह कहना ग्राप का, आप की ताफन नहीं बढाएगा । देश इसको केसे ममझेगा जब आप खुद कहेने कि हम सण्कार नही बना सकने

भी हकम चन्द कछवाय : नही, हम तो यह नहीं कह रहे है, आप कह रहे हैं।

SHRI K. C. PANT : अभी आप ने यही कहा । Some reference was made to the development of Orissa. It is quite true that Orissa is an economically backward State. It has a large percentage of Adivasi and

Harijan population, a reference to which are being indentified on the basis of the was made by Mr. Panigrahi and other industries now developed for this purpose. friends also referred to the backwardness The major thrust of the Fifth Plan would of Orissa. and yet it is endowed with rich natural Mr. Panigrahi made a motion of this. resources and if one could properly ex- Particularly by the ploit those natural resources, then Orissa irrigation we are bringing an additional area should certainly become an economically of 14.37 lakh acres. The State Governprosperous State. It has rich mineral ment has taken over the procurement and wealth and it has a coastline which can be used. Ports can be developed and in recent years something has been done in that direction. Industries have come up. The One steel plant is there. Iron å Steel considering Ministry was 10 have another steel plant. Mv friends know this. Therefore, the potential is there and we have to see how best to develop this potential and to re- measures. But over the years we have lieve the poverty of Orissa and tackle its developed a certain convention whereby problems.

SHRI CHINTAMANI PANIGRAHI: You were also one time in the Steel Ministry. You should know better.

SHRI K. C. PANT: Yes, even when 1 was in that Ministry, I had gone on record that the site in Orissa is one of the best in the country. That is on record.

One specific point raised is in relation to the land reforms Bill in Orissa. This progressive measure is one which we would like to take up as early as possible.

question of drinking water and water for are below the poverty-line. It is quite irrigation comes up. These points were true that we will have to take measures mentioned by hon. Members. I know the to ameliorate their condition and there problems of Orissa in relation to this prob- are also other problems of this kind. Shri here of water for drinking and water for Panigrahi said that I am sensitive to the irrigation. We will look into this question special problems of Orissa. Truly I am in the context of what can be done in the most sensitive to those problems. I hope This is our approach. We you will pardon a Fifth Plan. are about to start the Fifth Plan. The Once when my father went to a tribal special feature of the Fifth Plan in Orissa area in Orissa, he came back and told me is area-development. Tribal areas, back- that this is one of the most poverty-ridden ward areas, areas constantly affected by areas he has seen in the whole country. floods, about which Shri Sethi made a re- When he said this, we have to keep in ference, areas of drought, areas which have mind that we ourselves come from a poor special growth potential, all these areas hill area and are accustomed to see poverty

Leg. (Delegation of 270) Powers) Bill

It is economically backward be to increase the area under irrigation. development of lift marketing of kendu leaf and a suitable organisation is set up to give assistance in this regard. These are some of the points to be tackled in the Fifth Plan. These can be discussed in the Consultative Committee. Some Members said that Consultative Committees discuss only legislative measures. It is true, the Consultative Committee is meant to discuss legislative other matters are also taken up and we do not restrict discussion on matters relating to development of the State.

> References were made to reduction of disparities within the State and in relation to other parts of the country. This is part of the whole wider question of development of the whole State to which I had made a reference earlier. Within the same States there are pockets which may require special assistance and special attention; in fact this is one aspect which is being covered by the area development programme which I had already mentioned.

A number of references were made to On the development programmes, the the point that large number of persons personal reference.

[Shri K. C. Pant]

and if the poverty in that part of Orissa is something striking, you can very well magine what kind of poverty that must have been.

I think I have covered most of the About Nickel Plant referred to points. by Mr. Panigrahi, I cannot go into this; I don't have the information with me. But I would certainly hope that regardless of whether the H. Q. is in Orissa or in Delhi, the usual guidelines will be followed for providing maximum employment opportunities to local persons, the people of Orissa, who certainly need this assistance. who certainly have to be provided with employment opportunities, and this is of course, particularly true of those who would be in jobs below Rs. 500 per month. You know the All-India policy in this regard and I need not elaborate further.

Now the only point that I wish to mention is that the Governor's rule is a temporary rule and it should be there only for a few months. These problems are such that in respect of some of them, they will require a very long period during which continuous efforts will have to be made before we can find adequate solution or satisfactory solution for all of them. Therefore, I may be excused if I cannot promise here and now that all these problems will be solved during Governor's rule.

It would not be accepted by my intelligent friends even if I give an assurance to that effect. All I can say is we would from the Food Ministry so that the consulike in all sincerity to be of some assis- mers are able to get wheat. tance to the State during a difficult period and to be able to take some measures. however small, to laying the foundation been made to it by some other hon. Mem-House.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the Bill to confer on the President the power of the Legislature of the State of Orissa to make Leg. (Delegation of 272 Powers) Bill

laws, as passed by Rajya Sabha. be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

MR. CHAIRMAN : There are no amendments to any of the clauses and therefore I am putting all the clauses, the long title and the enacting formula to the vote of the House.

The question is:

"That clauses 2, 3 and 1, The Enacting Formula and the Title stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2, 3 and 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Rill.

SHRI K. C. PANT: I move:

"That the Bill be passed."

SHRI CHINTAMANI PANIGRAHI : Here 1 only want to bring it to the notice of the Minister that there is a serious shortage of wheat and the Orissa Government has written to the Central Government for immediate despatch of wheat. I would only request the hon. Minister that he should see that it may be expedited

SHRI K. C. PANT: Sir, reference has for its development and amelioration of ber also. I am not aware of this letter its difficulties during the Fifth Plan. With from the Orissa administration. Perhaps these words I commend this Bill to the it has gone directly to the Food Ministry. But I shall look into it.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the Bill be passed."

The motion was adopted.