Before, I conclude, I would like to support what my esteemed friend, Shri Krishan Menon, has said that Parliament must not be off. The Parliament should continue to function in this hour of trial so that it keeps up the image of confidence and cool courage of our country.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEF (Kanpur) Mr. Speaker, Sir, as the President of the All-India Defence Employees Federation, I assure my full support to the Prime Minister and to the Defence Minister and I also assure that, as in 1962 and 1965, the Defence employees will rise like one man today and will sacrifice even more to see that the naked aggression of Pakistan is repelled with all force. They will help the army both m the tront and also preparing everything m the rear and help this Government in this hour of trial.

## MR SPFAKER The question is

"That the House approves the Proclamation of Fmergency issued under article 352 of the Constitution by the President on the 3rd December, 1971"

The motion was adopted

MR SPEAKER This Resolution is carried unanimously I am very proud to be the Speaker of this House which has shown so much unity and demonstrated so much determination at this grave hour. We all pray that the nation stands all united as one man with one determination and with one leader. May God be with us

#### 12 21 HRS

### **DFFENCE OF INDIA BILL\***

THE PRIML MINISTER, MINISTER OF ATOMIC ENERGY, MINISTER OF ELECTRONICS, MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS AND MINISTER OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING (SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI) · Sir, I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill

to provide for special measures to ensure the public safety and interest, the defence of Indus and civil defence and for the trial of certain offences and for matters consected therewith.

#### MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill to provide for special measures to ensure the public safety and interest, the defence of India and civil defence and for the trial of certain offences and for matters connected therewith"

The motion was adopted.

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI
introduce the Bill.

#### I beg to move .

"That the Bill to provide for special measures to ensure the public safety and interest, the defence of India and civil defence and for the trial of certam offences and for matters connected therewith, be taken into consideration"

Mr Speaker, I have said a little while ago that we shall be bringing before this House the Defence of India Bill. This Bill seeks only to provide necessary legal sanction as a consequence of the proclamation of emergency made by the President. We have tried to interfere as little as possible with the normal avocations of our citizens. But the country as a whole will have to make great efforts and undergo all sacrifices necessary for our defence

The provisions of the Bill are somewhat similar to legislation undertaken on the last occasion. However, some changes in the light of our earlier experience and judicial decisions have been made. Full advantage has been taken of the laws enacted in the recent years by Parliament. Thus, the Bill does not make any separate provisions for detention. The Maintenance of Internal Security Act has been utilised with some modifications. In order that prompt

<sup>\*</sup>Published in Gazette of India Extraordinary, Part II, Section 2, dated 4-12-71.

[Shrimati Indira Qundhi] action may be taken against activities prejudicial to the defence effort, district magistraies and other specified officers are proposed to be given the power to detain such
persons. The maximum period for which
a person could be detained in the interest
of defence of India or security of India
without consulting the Advisory Board has
been extended to two years. These provisions, however, will be in force only so
long as the Proclamation made by the President under Article 352 is in force.

So far as the modifications in other laws go, our objective has only been to provide more deterrent punishment for offences which in the context our defence efforts would be regarded as serious

Now, I move that the Defence of India Bill, 1971, be taken into consideration

MR. SPEAKER . Motion moved .

"That the Bill to provide for special measures to ensure the public safety and interest, the defence of India and civil defence and for the trial of certain oflences and for matters connected therewith, be taken into consideration"

SHRI A K. GOPALAN (Paighat) ' May we know how much time is allotted for this?

MR. SPEAKER . About an hour

SHRI A K. GOPALAN. This is a very supportant Bill. One hour is not enough We have also tabled some amendments

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND SHIPPING AND TRANSPORT (SHRI RAJ BAHADUR)
This has to be passed by the other House also.

MR. SPEAKER . There will be no lunch hour to-day.

Mr. Pant will pilot the Bill in the

SHRI A. K. GOPALAN : How many hours are allotted for this?

MR. SPEAKER: Two hours, because we have to do other business also.

Because of this Emergency we have to push it through.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour). I want to know whether the provisions of Rule 65, sub-clause 2 have been suspended by you.

SHRI RAJ BAHADUR. That has been done

MR. SPEAKER Everything was done before this came All the formalities were gone into.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU We are not aware of it

MR SPFAKER Because of the Fractgency, everything has to be done at a very short notice

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU If the Speaker has done it, we have nothing to say

MR SPEAKER No, it has to be done

SHRI A K GOPALAN. Mi Speaker, Sir, as far as this Bill is concerned, we have given some important amendments for the Bill. Now that the Declaration of Emergency is passed and also as there are other provisions already existing, we think that as far as the Defence of India Act is concerned, it is not necessary because this Defence of India Act had been passed before

There is a difference between the present Bill and the Defence of India Act that had been passed on the last occasion, because now there are so many provisions in other Acts, such as the Unlawful Assembly (Prevention) Act, the Preventive Detention Act, Maintenance of Internal Security Act etc. under which whatever is required to be

41

done under the Defence of India Act could be done. Besides, there is also the Crimmal Procedure Code, and there has also been a declaration of emergency.

As such, we feel that the Defence of India Bill now is not necessary at all and all the powers that are sought to be taken under this Bill are already available with Government and they can have recourse to those powers. I hat is the first thing that I would like to say.

Secondly, we have got the experience of the earlier Defence of India Act and how it had been used and abused. On the last occasion, the Defence of India Act had been used in such a way that it did not help in the mobilisation of the people. It did not help in the war efforts. On the contrary, it was used by the bureaucrats in such a way that there was discontentment among the people, and the objective which Government had in view did not succeed at all.

As tai as the minorities are concerned, we had got certain experiences in regard to the way they were treated

In spite of the fact that the minorities and their spokesmen here had stated unequivocally that they were with the Government, there were certain instances where the minorities had been arrested. I had brought up those cases in the House, and I had pointed out the cases of certain women who had come back from Pakistan to Kerala after visiting their husbands, and they had been detained. They had done nothing. they had only returned from Pakistan after seeing their husbands. Under the Defence of India Act, they had been detained I had written to the Prime Munister and I had also raised this matter here, and it was only after some time that they were released.

As far as the provisions of this Bill are concerned, they are drastic provisions, and judging from past experience, weare aftard that they will be used against the workers and other sections of the people, and especially against the minorities, and anyone belonging to the minorities may be arrested and detained under this measure. I would submit that if it becomes necessary to detain any person, then he can be detained under the existing laws in the country. At least in the other Acts there is provision to the effect that the person concerned can give a written statement that he is innocent, but here there is no such provision

But under this measure, since the Emergency is also there, the provisions of this measure will be used against the minorities by the bureaucrats according to their sweet wishes and they can be detained. That was our experience last time, and I am afraid that the same experience might be repeated this time also, if this weapon is given in the hands of Government

We have just passed a resolution to the effect that the whole country is behind the Government. As far as the front is concerned, the front is doing its duty properly, but in a war, it is not only the front which matters, but it is the rear also which is important What about the people? Will the Government explain whether what is now happening in West Bengal and other States will continue and those repressions will continue? If we are to mobilise the people, then they must understand that the attitude of the Government has changed because a new situation has come As far as West Bengal is concurred, there is killing going on every day. Will that also continue? When we have to fight the enemy in a united manner, what will the Government do about those things which ard happening in West Bengal and other parts of the country?

Now, I would like to point out certain drastic and draconian provisions in the Bill. Foi instance, in one of the clauses

### Shri A. K. Gopalan]

Government has sought power to ban any paper which criticises the Government.

As far as the rule-making powers are concerned. I shall take a few clauses. Power is given to seize newspapers and ferfeit them. They can prohibit the printing or publishing of any newspaper, news-sheet, book or other document containing matters prejudicial to the defence of India. Then there is a provision about mines, oil fields, factories or industrial and commercial undertakings. There is another clause prohibiting or regulating meetings and assemblies. Suppose we want to mobilise the people for war. For that, there must be processions, there must be meetings, there must be mobilisation. But under item 39 that is now allowed. So there is no question of mobilising the people.

I have already referred to the prohibition of the printing or publishing of any newspapers, news-sheet, book or other document containing matters prejudicial to the defence of India and civil defence. mobilising civil defence. there must be meetings. But even the holding of such meetings can be termed as prejudicial to the defence of the country. Any paper which publishes that can be seized. Then there are provisions for closing down of any press used for the purpose of printing or publishing any newspaper, news-sheet, book etc. This is in addition to forfeiture of its security.

I say that that the Defence of India rules have in the past been used in such a way that nobody could say anything to criticise Government that the mobilisation for defence should be done in a particular way.

Then about collection of defence fund. We know how defence fund was collected last time. We had appointed officers for the purpose. We have seen that this could

be forcibly collected. Defence fund collection comes out of the patriotism of the people; it is out of the love of the people and their determination to strengthen the defence of the country. There is no question of any compulsion in this. But there had been cases of forcible collections brought tonotice last time. Such a procedure will not evoke the sympathy and support of the people.

How are we going to mobilise the support of the people for making sacrifices in the interest of the country? What about prices in the country today? Prices will go up. Immediately the emergency is declared, the prices will shoot up. Even the Finance Minister has openly said in this House even before the emergency that as far, as the rise in prices is concerned, we are not able to control it. Government will not be able to control the price rise.

As far as taxes are concerned, even before the emergency, as a consequence of the Bangla Desh refugee influx, taxes were collected, these taxes have of course been collected from the common man who is suffering. Government already already said that there would be a wage freeze. But will there be a profit freeze? What about those millowners and monopolists who have earned crores and crore ?? Government have till now not touched them. If you look to the figures of direct and indirect taxation, you will find that direct taxation has come down from 1950-51 (50 per cent) to 1970-71 (19 per cent). Indirect taxation has gone up from Rs. 49.1 crores to Rs. 90 crores now. What is the policy now? In mobilising the people, what will be the policy of the Government? According to this Bill, if this power is also given to the Government, in spite of so many powers that are there and which can be used for whatever purposes the Government thinks, it will be burdening the people;

45

he enthusiasm of the people will not be there, and the mobilisation of the people will be very difficult.

So, I say that as far as the Defence of India Bill is concerned, according to our previous experience also, when such a measure was passed, it was used against the minorities. Anybody whom the officers and others belonging to the Government think that he should be arrested, will be arrested. They have been arrested. This had been used very badly against the minorities and this time it will be more.

Also, as far as the people are concerned, more burdens will be placed on the people; the people can never be enthused and if the people can never be enthused, mobilisation of the people to stand behind the Government in their war efforts will not be there. That is the reason why I say that the Defence of India Bill is not necessary. We oppose it.

Also, there are certain sections or clauses in this Bill which have to be taken out. Even today, as far as the ordinance for taking over the closed mills is concerned, it has not come. The legislative measures or benefits that had been there for the last five years for the workers are now not there. In this measure, all the democratic rights of the people are curtailed. You cannot have a procession; you cannot have a meeting; you cannot print a leaflet. How can you mobilise the people without leaflets, without meetings and without permitting any procession if they want to defend our country? I think that as far as this Bill is concerned, whatever has been said by all the parties here-that the whole country is behind the Government in this crisis-it will be difficult of achievement, and therefore, in order to see that the whole country is mobilised behind the Government, it is quite necessary that all the repressive measures that continue today in West Bengal and other States in India

are withdrawn. Instead of this Defence of India Bill, the Government will have to say how, in view of this grave vituation, this measure is in the interests of the country and in the interests of the working people and how therefore it is our duty to support it.

We have seen and have understood before that this measure will be a hindrance to the people, a hindrance to the mobilisation of the people, and the passing of this Bill will not in anyway help them at all. Instead, it will be used as a weapon against the Opposition, against the minorities and other sections.

So, I say I oppose this Bill.

SHRI INDRAJIT GUPTA (Alipore): Mr. Speaker, Sir, at 12 30 last night, immediately after the declaration of emergency but before the Defence of India Bill has been passed, a leading member of our party in Delhi, a member of the Delhi State Council of the Communist Party of India, Mr. Ved Prakash, was arrested from his house at 12.30 last night. Mr. Ved Prakash, # admit, is a thorn m the flesh of the local police for a long time, not only today. He has always been the champion of the locality's people against all types of police harassment, extortion, etc. And no sooner had the emergency been declared, while the Capital city was still lying under a black-out. while Pakistani planes were still raiding our air-fields, the first thing that the Delhi police could think of doing in this Kotla Mubarakpur area, was to go at 12.30 at night and arrest our comrade. Mr. Ved Prakash. Later on they will say that he was some anti-social element or something like that; we know the ways of the police.

I am constrained to mention this case because I along with lakes of people in this country had shared the serious misgivings about the way in which the Defence of

# Shri Inderjit Guptal

India Act and the rules are likely to be implemented, because this is not something that we are talking about vaguely. We have concrete experience of it.

Last time Emergency was declared in 1962; it was not withdrawn till 1968. For six years it remained in force as well as the Defence of India Act and the rules. We cannot orget that. There was no war after 1965; but the emergency was not withdrawn. Between 1963 and 1965 also there was no war but the emergency was not revoked and during that period there were serious misuses and abuses of those powers by the administration, particularly by the police administration.

What is written here can be argued to be very necessary as emergency powers. But the thing we want to bring to the notice of the Government is that we are not at all ressured by anything that has happened so far that similar misuses and abuses will not take place. Mr. Pant may give here all sorts of assurances but Mr. Pant is not personally responsible for administering this Act and rules in various parts of the country. We know very well what the administration at the lower levels is like and how they use this to pay off old scores against people whom they do not like and how unscrupulous elements at the level of villages and towns and so on deliberately try to get certain innocent people framed. Such things have happened on a big scale last time. I would warn the Government that if they allow similar things to happen again it will be very bad for the morale and the unity of our people which should be the paramount consideration at this time.

There are explicit provisions here for the punishment of people who indulge in profiteering, hoarding, black-marketing and adulteration or in other unfair practices in relation to goods procured by or supplied to the Government and so on. But our

experience is that these powers were hardly ever used or used in a very fractional, marginal and superficial way sometimes when there was some public outcry in some particular place. Otherwise these provisions were never used. But the provisions were used in the name of suppressing people who are supposed to be anti-social or pro-Pakistanis and so on against hundreds and thousands of people who were perfectly innocent.

We have referred on previous occasions in this House to the persecution which took place particularly of the minority community. I can speak from first hand experience, of at least West Bengal where several thousands of ordinary people belonging to the Muslim community were indiscriminately arrested and detained without any chance of proving anything or disproving anything because that provision is not there. Later on when hostilities with Pakistan were over they had to be released and they were taken by everybody to have been perfectly innocent. People in various localities who were communal elements went and gave false reports to the police saying that somebody was sitting in his house and listening to the Pakistan radio and therefore he should be arrested or that somebody else was flashing a torch in the night which was to say that he was signalling with an ever-ready torch to the Pakistani Sabre jets! Therefore, he should be locked up. On such false and firmsy grounds a lot of people were persecuted unnecessarily.

I do not say for a minute that any person who is actually engaged in anti-national work and who is acting as a spy or Pakistani agent and indulges in sabotage or leaks out secrets should not be proceeded against. He must be proceeded against, and he will not necessarily always be a member of the minority community. Such people are found in other communities also including the majority community, we know

What is going to be the safeguard? Or, is it the Government's contention that at a time of crisis like this many innocent people must necessarily suffer. I do not subscribe to this philosophy. Other countries have also fought wars, and we do not find this kind of thing taking place there.

Secondly, because an emergency sometimes becomes in the hands of the Government a sort of permanent feature which they would like to keep in force indefinitely. a lot of evils flow from that. For example, we have told you in this House today, other colleagues have also stated, categorically and unconditionally that the workers manning our factories and production units are prepared to day in this hour of crisis to give their utmost to the cause of national defence and they will make sacrifices for that, but what happened last time? Working hours were increased, holidays were reduced. That was all right so long as hostilities were going on, but in the name of the emergency, for years after that, when there was no hostility, these extra hours, reduction of holidays and compulsory deductions in the name of the war fund went on continuing for months and years. They have left a very bad taste in the mouth of many people. So, I am pleading for safeguards against misuse and abuse of these powers. There are no safeguards here.

As a matter of fact, the new section 17A which provides that a person may be detained without obtaining the opinion of the Advisory Board for a period longer than three months, but not exceeding two years from the date of his detention, has been made even more stringent than before. He can be kept in detention for a period of two years without his case being put up for review by the Advisory Board. This is an abnoxious thing. Nobody is saying he should be released if he has done some thing, but when he is detained without

trial, without any charge, without any proceedings, without any chance to prove or disprove anything, should not his case be put up before the Advisory Board which the Government constitutes? It is a monstrous thing, innocent people can be put to such suffering and victimisation that it cannot be tolerated.

As I have said earlier, nothing was done. or very little was done, about these blackmarketeers and profiteers and speculators who I think are the best allies of Pakistan today; the people who help disrupt the rear while our front is attacked by armour and planes, are the profiteers, speculators and blackmarketeers. Will the Minister give us a firm assurance that the powers under this Bill will be unhesitatingly and energetically used against such people who are disrupting the economy today and putting our people to unnecessary privation? Nothing was done last time.

We are all anxious that the total material resources of our country should be harnessed today for the single cause of national defence. Every Member in this House who is connected in any way with the labourmovement knows very well what has been going in the last few years when certain employers, for purposes of their own, have been recklessly closing down units without adequate cause, trying to put the blame on somebody else. Some of them have genuine causes that they did not get adequate orders or raw materials and so, but a large number of the bigger units have been closed down for other purposes. While units are allowed to be closed, only workers are asked to increase production. Workers will, of course, increase production. But what gentlemen who are about those keeping the units deliberately closed? The first thing by which Government's sincerity will be tested is this : They can certainly use these powers to see that these factories are opened. They are mainly

[ Shri Indrajit Gupta ]

in the engineering, textile and chemical industries, all of which have not some direct or ladarect bearing on the war effort. They cannot be allowed to be closed at the whim of the employer. So, Government should take early steps to see through some sort of discussions which can be arranged that in future no employer will arbitrarily close down any unit or unilaterally retrench any worker during this period of crisis. Otherwise, it is all moonshine to talk about harnessing production for the war effort. A large number of people have been victimised. Victimisation cases are pending. If you want to harness the enthusiasm of the working class, it is necessary to review all these cases, whether in the private sector or public sector, withdraw them and allow everybody to work.

I would suggest for Mr. Pant's consideration that this time at least, for the implementation of the Defence of India Act and the rules to be framed thereunder, a sort of supervisory committee should be set up, consisting of non-officials-representatives of various parties at least which are represented in this House. It should be called from time to time or when any member or members of that committee have got any grievances regarding the way this is operated in any particular place or sector, the committee should meet and review the actual progress of the implementation When concrete instances of misuse or abuse are brought to the notice of Government, that commuttee must be permitted to look into them. Otherwise, this business of taking everybody into confidence and wanting all of us to become partners in this effort does not have much meaning, because everything now comes under the umbrella of the Defence of India Act. Therefore, this is a thing which affects the life, liberty and properties of all the citizens and their organisations. The least that can be done is to see that that non-official committee is given a proper status, with supervisory powers and

allowed to review how this thing is actually implemented. We know how the magistrates, SDOs, and other police officers run amuck. I have an instance. Mr. Ved Prakash was arrested last night in Delhi even before the Defence of India Act was promulsated because the police did not like him. He fights for the people's interests in that locality of Kotla Mobarakpur. I want Mr. Pant to see that he is released. If this sort of thing goes on, you will be killing the enthusiasm and morale of the people. We do not want to fight on two fronts-one against Pakistan and another against corrupt and oppressive officials, and employers who want to retrench workers and close down factories. So, it is the responsibility of the Government not only to harness the national effort against the aggressor but also to defend the people against aggression by blackmarketers, profiteers and corrupt officials.

There is not much time. I wanted to voice these serious misgivings we have got. On the face of it, one cannot oppose these powers as they are laid down on paper. But the whole trouble is, with their implementation, with their misuse and abuse. Government must give us more specific and concrete assurances this time that they will see to it that these things are not done

भी भटल बिहारी वाकपेयी (ग्वालियर) यह विद्येयक सरकार को असाधारण अधिकार देता है। लेकिन देश के मामन जो परिस्थित है वह भी अमाधारण है। इस परिस्थित का मामना करने के लिए शासन को जो भी अधिकार चाहिये, यह मदन उन्हें देने से मकोच नहीं करेगा। प्रश्न इतना ही है कि जो अधिकार विये जा रहे हैं उनका बुरुपयोग नहीं होना चाहिये। इस मामले ने पहले का अनुभव अच्छा नहीं है। अधिकार अने स्तर पर काम में नही लाए जाने, उनका उपयोग नीचे का शासन तल करता है। काहीं कही ज्यादती होने का अर है। आवश्य सम्बन्ध हैं कि इसका पूरा अवस्थ किया जाय है

मेरे सिक भी चंद्रजीत गुप्त ने 17 ए का हवाला विया है। मैं इसी धारा का जो भी भाय है, उसकी सीर नवन का ज्यान खीचना बाहता है।

"(b) where such person had been detained with a view to preventing him from acting in any manner prejudicial to the security of the State or the maintenance of public order"

सिक्योरिटी आफ दी स्टंट ता ठीक है। काई न्यक्ति जो राष्ट्र की सुरक्षा के विरद्ध कार्य करे. फर किमी भी फरके का हा, विभी भी दल का हो उसके विरद्ध कडाई से काम करना होगा। लेकिन पहिलक ब्राहर का हम म समावेश करन की क्या ग्रावण्यकता है। कही भगर जन सभा की गई. यह प्रयत्नों में मरकार का योगदान देने के लिए जनता का ग्राह्बान विया गया धीर धावर-यकता पड़न पर सरवार की ग्रालीचना भी की गई और भालोचना करन का अधिकार छीना नहीं जा मक्ता है-यह ठीक है कि आलोचना रचनात्मक हानी चाहिये ऐसी होनी चाहिये जा जनता के मनोबल को बम न बरे, ना ऐसा करन बाल की क्या दणा होगी? यह ता कोई दावा नहीं कर सकता कि जिनके हाथों में यह का गचानन करने वा दायित्व है वे सब टीक ही काम बरेग। उनका जा भन्दाज है उस में गलती हा सकती है। किम समय कौन मा कदम उठाना चाहिये इमने बारे मे भन हो सकती है, यब प्रयत्नो में जनता का सहयोग किस दग से लिया 'जाए, इसके बारे में अलग-अलग राये हो सकती हैं और ये रायें लोकतल में प्रकट करने का पूरा श्राधकार होना चाहिये। श्रप्रेजी मे कहाबत है कि शस्त्रों की शकार में भी विवेक का स्वर रुद्ध नहीं होना चाहिये। शस्त्रों की शकार में भी नामन की ग्रावाज दबनी नहीं चाहिये।

झध्यक्ष महोदय. इस समय देश मे जो बातावरण बना है उसको दृष्टिगत रख कर शासन यह भारवामन दे कि जो अधिकार लिये जा रहे हैं, उनका इस्पयोग नहीं होगा। योडा बहत विवेक का उपयोग करने में गलती कही-कही हो सकती

है नेकिन उसके लिए यह स्थान शक्ता है कि समाद की एक कमेटी गड़े जिसे वास्त्रिकेंट की स्टैंडिंग कमेटी भी कहा जा सकता है जी इस कान्न पर किम तरह के ममल किया जा रहा है, इस पर ९व्टि एख सकती है और अगर कोई सब-मुख में जिकायत होती है, तो उसको देख सकती है।

#### 13 hrs.

यह भी भावश्यक है कि सरकार इस बात पर भाषना दिमार बनाये कि इस समय देश में जो उपस्ताव हा रहे हैं, वे बन्द कर दिये जासे। मीचें पर लडाई ग्रीर इन उपचुनाको की महाई माय-साथ नहीं चल सकती । केवल उत्तर प्रदेश मे नौ उपचनाव होने वाले हैं। उनमे शलग-श्रक्षण उम्मीदबार खडे हैं। इस समय इन उपचनावो मे पाटियो की सड़ाई आगे बढ़ाई जाये, मैं इसकी कोई तक नहीं देखता है। हमें सारी कवित गढ़-प्रयत्ना में लगानी चाहिए, मीटी को जीतने में नहीं। हम ने देश को जिताना है। किसी एक-बाध सीट पर किसी पार्टी के उम्मीदबार की विश्वय का प्राप्त नहीं है।

जनना का सहयोग प्राप्त करते के लिए और विरोधी दला को साथ लेने के लिए उचित पन उटाये जाने चाहिए। उदाहरण के लिए सेंटल सिटिजज कौसल की गाखाओं का राज्यों में निर्माण किया जा रहा है। उन सब में विरोधी दला का पूरी तरह साथ ले कर चलना चाहिए। एक पार्टी का सबध नही है। मगर अभी तक सेट्ल सिटिजन्ज कौसिल का जो ढाचा है, वह विरोधी दलो मे तो क्या, जनता मे भी विक्वास पैदा नहीं करता है। मैं किसी का नाम लेकर नहीं कहना चाहता ह। लेकिन ऐसी व्यवस्था होनी चाहिए कि सब का सहयोग लिया जाये। यह समय छोटी-छोटी बालो पर विचार करने का नहीं है। मुझे यह जान कर दुख हुआ कि बिल्ली में सेट्न सिटिजन कौंसिल की जो शाखा बनाई गई है, उसमे दिल्ली के मेयर नहीं हैं। क्या दिल्ली के मेयर के बिसा दिल्ली के नागरिकों की कोई समिति बन समली है ?

व्य समित पूचन (बीक्रण दिल्ली) दी समितियां है—एक लेवर की कोर एक लेडिटिनेन्ट-नेतर्नर

भी क्रद्रास बिहारी आध्येकी : और राज्यों में बक्स मंदियों की समितिया बन रही है। दिल्ली में भी लोकतंत्र है। दिल्ली का शासन है। क्या यहां अपिटनेन्ट-गवर्नर की समिति बनेगी? यहां बीफ एक्जीक्यटिव काँसिलर की समिति क्यों नहीं बत सकती है? और प्रदेशों में मख्य मित्रयों की समितियां बन रही है। हम 'उन के साथ है। हम ने नोई कर्त नहीं लगाई है। हमें ममिति में लिया जाये या नहीं, देश के प्रति अपने कर्तव्य को हम पुरा करेंगे। लेकिन जनता तो इस बान को जानना चाहेगी कि सब लोग यह-प्रयत्नों में भाग ले सकें, इसके लिए कीन से तंत्र की रचना की जा रही है. सब का सहयोग लेने के लिए क्या किया जा रहा ्है। मेरा निवेदन है कि इस तरह की समितियां केन्द्र क्षीर सब प्रदेशों में हों। सब दलों को साथ लेकर मार्ग बढने की मावश्यकता है।

और क्यू बंडबते (राजापुर): मेयर सो है, लेकिन उस की स्पेलिंग ग्रलग है—एम ए ग्रारई।

्रभी सटल बिहारी बाजपेयी : स्पेलिंग तो एक ही है, लेकिन माजना जारा सलग है। वह भावना इन समय सलग कर देनी जाहिए।

इस बिल में कहा गया है कि जो जमाखोरी करेंगे, भाव बहायेंगे, उन के खिलाफ कार्यवाही की अप्येगी। लेकिन कार्यवाही तभी हो सकती है, जब किसी चीज पर कंट्रोल लगा हो धीर वह बीज कंट्रोल भाव से अधिक पर बेची जाये। केंग्र मिखेदन है कि इस बात की ध्यान में रखते हुए कि लड़ाई सम्बी बलने वाली है, बृतियादी मावक्यकता की चीजें नागरिकों को उचित दर पर मिल सकें, इसका प्रबन्ध सरकार अपने हाथ में लें। सगर जरूरत हो, तो युद्ध के काल में बंद्रील भी लगायें जा सकते हैं, लेकिन इस बात का भरोता होना चाहिए कि उन पर समस्त ईमानदारी से हांगा, वे अनता को राहत पहुंचायेंगे, परेमान नहीं करने। मगर बुनियादी सावस्यकता की चीजें हर एक नागरिक को उचित दाम पर सौर प्राप्ति मावा में मुहैया कराने की किम्मेदारी बासन की हैं और शासन इस विश्मेदारी की ठीक तरह निवाहने के लिए धारी बढ़े। तब घंगर कोई मनुषित मुनाकाकोरी और जमाकोरी करता है तो उस की निन्दा करनी चाहिए, उसके विरुद्ध कठोर कार्यवाही करनी चाहिए।

लेकिन इन सब बातों के लिए देश में एक बातावरण बनाने की प्रावश्यकता है भीर मुझे विश्वास है कि जब वह वातावरण टीक तरह से बनेगा, तब हम विद्याधियों से भ्रपील कर मकने हैं कि इस समय वे कानून हाथ में न लें, हम पंजी-पतियों से भ्रपील कर मकते हैं कि वे भ्रनुचित मुनाफाखोरी न करें, परिस्थित का फायदा न उठायें भीर मजदूरों से भी भ्रपील की जा सकती है कि वे देश के लिए पूरा परिश्रम करें। इसके साथ जहां कानून भ्रावण्येक है, वहां कानून का भी सहारा लिया जाना चाहिए। मैं चाहता हूं कि मंत्री महोदय यह भ्राश्वासन वें कि जो कानून पास किया जा रहा है, उस का टीक तरह से कार्यन्वयन किया जायेगा।

अध्यक्ष सहोदय, समय कम हैं। आप भी लम्बा विवाद नहीं चाहते हैं। लेकिन आप इस सुझाव पर विचार करें कि क्या दोनों सदनों की कोई कमेटी बनाई जा सकती है, जो इस कानून को अमल में लाने पर नजर रखे।

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA (Begusarai): Mr. Speaker, Sir, our Party was of the opinion that this could have been passed without a discussion. But since the ritual is being gone through, I am also doing my part in it.

This measure, as everybody agrees, has been brought under conditions of grave Emergency and we all have completely agreed with the Government that such conditions do exist and, therefore, we have supported the Proclamation of Emergency by the President. As a corollary to this, it was quite necessary to come out with a measure of this kind. Therefore, although one may have misgivings about the

misues of powers under this measure, my Party would like to support it without any reservations. We do not want to presume at this stage that these powers would be necessarily misused.

We are giving these powers to the Government to deal with the enemy. I hope that the Government will also be conscious of the fact that these powers are not given to them to deal with the members of the Indian community who are going to be solidly behind them. If there is any misuse, we have a firm belief that it will have its own retribution. We will expose such misuse at proper time and we have every faith in the people of India that the executive will be heavily punished for it.

As far as the question of the duration of its operation is concerned, I would like to emphasize that it should not be continued for a moment longer than it would be strictly necessary. Last time, no doubt, a great deal of agitation had to be mounted for the termination of the state of Emergency by people in various walks of life. Ultimately, a large number of lawyers led by Shri Setalvad had to come out strongly against the continuance of Emergency and it had to be ended. So, I hope, it would not be continued longer than it would be strictly necessary. But the point that I would like to emphasize is that as such an Act is necessary, corollary to the Procalamation of Emergency, it should also be a necessary corollary that there should be a National Defence Council and should not be a very large or prolific body. It must be a business-like body which should also be concerned, along with other things, with the proper use of powers given under this measure.

I would also like to emphasize that since these powers are not only going to be used for punitive purposes but have also got a constructive potentiality in the sense 14 LSS/71—3

The British British State of the Control of British States of the Control of the

that the largest mobilisation of the country's efforts in various fields of our activities is going to be brought about, the example will have to be set by the Government, by the members of the Government. I am reminded here of what Hugh Dalton said during the course of the Second World War when he was the Minister of Trade He told an audience during the course of the war. "Look here, I have not only two coats and I promise you that I will not have a third one during the duration of the War." And at the end of the War, Mr. Delton was in a position to say. I have kept my promise'. Now, this is the example they the members of the Government, will have. to place before the country, because the country will have to undergo a period of austerity in every sense of the term.

Mr. Speaker, it was found in England that under democratic conditions the mobilisation of people's effort could be more solal than under Stalinist Russia. That is how the sociologists and others have concluded so far as the war effort in England during the Second World War was concerned.

So, with these words I hope that the Government will go ahead with the efforts that the country expects that they will put in for meeting this challenge and they will have no occasion to say that we have come in their way for the prosecution of the necessary efforts in the required direction.

DR. KARNI SINGH (Bikaner): Mr. Speaker, Sir, if I heard it correctly, this morning the Prime Minister said that the Defence of India Act will be co-terminous with the Emergency. Now, the views expressed by hon. Members here are shared by me as well because the powers given under this Act are so wide and deal with individual freedoms and virtually suspend all civil liberties.

If you remember, Sir, as the hon. Member, Mr. Indrajit Gupta, rightly pointed out

& Dr. Karni Singhi

in 1962 following the Chinese war, an emergency was declared that went on wall past the Indo-Pak war. Now, I would request that we should try and evolve some method whereby mimedately when the war is over, within a priod of two months the emergency should be terminated and the Dafence of India Act repealed

I do not want to go into the corruption of officials. This is hardly the time to mention anything. Whether they are officials or politicians or anybody else, a certain amount of danger of misuse of power exists and I do feel that at this period while we are passing through this grave Emergency. such m suse of power may perhaps not take place but, after a year or so, a certain amount of complacency will develop and this power will be misused I can tell you from my own experience and these matters have been brought by me to the attention of hon Ministers and I have to say this now because these powers are now being sought, that even a Member of Parliament has experienced in my own State the misuse of power against us I do feel that as soon as the Fmergency is over the Government should come out with a categorical statement now laying down the span of time by which the Emergency will be withdrawn

I would also suggest that the Members of Parliament here should be taken into confidence by the Prime Minister in whom we have all reposed our confidence to-day, so that, in case of any misuse of power or injustice these matters are brought quickly to the attention of the Prime Minister and perhaps if a parliamentary advisory committee could be created so that wherever any cases of mustice or misuse of power are seen or noticed, the same could be taken up quickly and expeditiously dealt with

Sir, I come from a border State like you do and we are rightly proud of the fighting

material and out brave spidlers that our States have given to our Armed Forioss: It is a pride that we share with our brothers all over the country and you know. Sir. that Punjab, Haryana, Rajasthao, Maharashtra and many and many parts of India have given very good fighting manogwer. I must place before the House the unity that has been felt in many areas by people. and in Rajasthan State people coming from every religion and community have stood solidly like a rock to fight this great threat We produced men like Shaitan Singh and Pooran Singh who during the Indo-Pak war made a great name for bravery and sacrificed their lives and can be ranked with great warmors like Maharana Pratap

I would like to assure the House, although I am a very small man myself, that the State of Rajasthan and the people of Rajasthan would not be wanting in their support to the Prime Minister and to the Government and to our countrymen in discharging their duties as brave citizens to repel the attacks by the aggressor

I would however, say, before I conclude my remarks, that we have twice had a scrap Pakistan or call it whatever you will, in 1947, in Kashmir, we could have driven Pakistan out of occupied Kashmir. but at the last minute, Prime Minister Nehru relented Almost the same sort of thing happened in Tashkent in 1965 when we could have driven the Pakistanis out of occupied Kashmir, and what is more, we could have taught Pakistan a jolly good lesson We do not want to have any more Tashkents, we want to be quite sure that Pakistan which has been bothering India, a peaceful country, and which has started this wanton aggression should be taught a lesson by our countrymen and by our Government and we would like to be assured

and convinced that in another ten days or Afteen days under pressure from the secalled friendly powers we do not once again succumb ourselves to "a Tashkent". This time. Pakistan must be punished properfection of the Manager of the Control of the Control of the

THE MENT OF THE WAS A SECTION OF THE SECTION

I would like to appeal to our Russian friends that they should try and find ways and means of trying to help India. They must prove to us and to the world that the Indo-Soviet treaty is something which is strong and on which not only the Government but the people of India also can depend in this dire hour of emergency that our country is passing through.

SHRI EBRAHIM SULAIMAN SAIT (Kozhikode) : I feel that such measures as the Defence of India Bill become important and necessary at the time of the Emergency. But I also have a feeling that if we could have avoided such a measure it would have been better in view of the solidarity that we have at the time of this emergency throughout the country. I say this because of the lurking fear that I have in my mind about the misuse and abuse of such a measure as had been our experience in the past. In the past, the authorities had misused this measure in 1965 on grounds of personal grudge, political enemity etc. Particularly the minorities were very much harassed under such an Act in 1965, during the Indo-Pak war. You know, Sir, and the Prime Minister and the Government also knows that thousands and thousands of Mussalmans were arrested without any charges against them in 1965. After the 1965 war, the then President of the country, Dr. Radhakrishnan and the then Prime Minister of this country, Shri Lai Bahadur Shastri had expressed their appreciation of the stand taken by the Muslim minority during the Indo-Pak war, and yet thousands and thousands of Mussalmans had been arrested. Innocent Mussalmans, petty businessmen,

ordinary servants and leaders of educational and cultural organisations had been arrested throughout the length and breadth of the country. I remember that Ianab M Muhammad Ismail Sahih, the President of the Indian Union Muslim League and myself had at that time approached Shri Nanda who was the Home Minister then and we told him about the whole matter and we told. him 'In case there was anything against the Muslims of this country or against the Muslim League you can arrest both of us now and here' but Shri Nanda said that there was nothing against the Muslims of this country or the India Union Muslim League, and vet thousands of Mussalmans were arrested throughout the length and breadth of the country. This harassment led to panic and a feeling among the members of the minority community that they were being suspected. Such a feeling in an emergency is really detrimental to the interest of the country, I can say very clearly that nobody has got any right to doubt the sincerity and loyalty of the Muslims of this country. We are not prepared to tolerate anybody questioning our loyalty. Therefore, I only wish that the minorities should be taken into confidence in every region and in every sphere of activity. This is a time of emergency and all the potentialities and abilities of the crores and crores of people of the country must be effectively utilised for the sacred purpose of defending the integrity and security of the country.

I once again appeal to the Government that no harassment should be caused to any section of the population by such a legislation. No panic should be created. They must act in a spirit of confidence in every section of the population and try to get as much co-operation from as many people as possible in this state of emergency. There should be no misuse or abuse of the Act. I would also desire that the Act should be withdrawn immediately after we succeed in the present war.

SHRIP K. DEO (Kalahamii): While supporting the Bill. I will be falling in my duty if I do not point out the various lapses and lacunae whereby there is a possibility of the Bill being misused for partisan ends Cl 1(3) says that it shall come into force at once and shall remain to force during the period of operation of the Proclamation of Emergency and for a period of six months thoreafter. The duration of the emergency will be decided by the President who will act on the advice of the Council of Minis-Our demand is that this emergency should be co-terminus with the hostilities As soon as normalcy prevails, the emergency should go and as soon as the emergency goes, the Defence of India Act should also go. I want a categorical assurance from the Treasury Bonches on this

We do not know how long the war is going to last because Pakistan is trying to internationalise it. There is likelihood of the big powers being sucked into it and the sub-continent becoming the theatre of the third world war. These aspects are there I would request Government to be very tactful Dr Karnt Singh spoke about the indo-Soviet treaty. We can use this to get arms and ammunition from the Russians, we might get these from other friendly countries too That does not mean that the Russians should be sucked into this war, because that will create an opportunity for Chma and America to intervene. We do not want that Immediately Pakisjan Radio started blaring out the news. Peking Radio is echoing the theme that India is the aggressor. There has been a massive aggression on the western front

Covernment should take courage in both hands, insulate this war against any kind of national or international pressure and this question should once and for all be settled.

Sit, the musched that has been done by partition should, we sincerely hope, be scittled once and for all and peace should prevail in this sub-continent, and we hope that there would be no occasion for any friction in the future.

I would like to point out in this regard that our experience in the past has been that the Defence of India Act had been utilised for partisan ends. It should not be an occasion for political victimisation at the apprehension has rightly been voiced by some of our friends. There should be an all-party committee – you may call it by whatever name you like –a national defence committee or a parliamentary committee, which should go into each and every case where a complaint arises.

Coming to the various controls the Government are going to impose, I may say that the second world war brought the worst famine of the century and that was in West Bengal This Defence of India Act was utilised to create an artificial famine in West Bengal I had seen people dying in the streets in Chowringhee and in the arcades of the Grand Hotel in thousands because of the licence-permit-quota ray Mr Ispahani was given the entire power in relation to the procurement and distribution system in West Bengal, such patronage should not be shown This power should be utilised for the benefit of the community so that there will be equal distribution of the wealth according to the needs of the people

Regarding the press, I would like to point out that there is every hope that the press will play its patriotic role which it has been playing all through

AN HON MEMBER Not all

SHRIP K DEO On the plea of the Defence of India Act, no undue restriction should be put on the freedom of the press

I was very sorry that some temarks were made from those Benches regarding the

reactionary and communal elements in this country. This is not the time to quarrel. We all stand united for a common purpose. At the same time, I caution the Government that there are saboteurs in this country. The other day, the accident in respect of the Puri express near Bhubaneswar railway station occurred due to sabotage. So, strong action has to be taken against saboteurs and against such elements who owe extraterritorial loyalties and get inspiration from foreign countries.

Lastly, I would like to point out that there are very good friends, and I make a personal request in this regard to the Home Minister regarding a very dear friend: though he is a Muslim he is a nationalist. I refer to Shri Badrudduja. Shri Badrudduia has been a nationalist, he worked with Shyam Prasad Mukerji and he was a mao who left the Muslim League because he did not agree with the proposal for partition. He is a born leader and a man of the masses, and this Government should release him and should utilise his services for the defence of this country.

With these remarks, I close my speech.

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Dhandapani. Now, someone from the party of Shri Madhu Dandavate wanted to speak, but you must show me the courtesy of informing me previously.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE : I had sent it long before the discussion began.

MR. SPEAKER: That must have been for the previous item; not for this.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE : I had sent my request that I wanted to particinate in this discussion on the Defence of India Bill even before the discussion beman. I sent it in time.

MR. SPEAKER : Oh. it is there-I am serry. Now, Shri Dhandapani.

SHRI C. T. DHANDAPANI (Dharapuram); Sir. on behalf of the DMK party. I wholeheartedly support this Bill. We understand and we are fully aware of the importance of national defence of this country. As far as the DMK is concerned. we sometime ago gave up our vital issue in the crucial period of national security. That was in 1962 when the Chinese wased war against us. The DMK leader Arignar Annadoral declared that their vital demand. though it was culturally and socially and economically just, had been abandoned in the interest of national security. We gave up that idea and stood up for national security. Similarly our party supported measures taken by the Central Government. especially Shrimati Indira Gandhi. Such a Bill is essential at this crucial juncture but there is wide anorehension in the minds of the Opposition that the ruling party might misuse this power...(Interruptions). There is scope for misunderstanding and I should request the Government to look into the matter.

I fully endorse the views expressed by some of the Members here, such as Shri A. K. Gopalan and Shri Indraut Gupta in regard to the publication of newspapers, effective check on black-marketeers and anti-social elements. As soon a war situation arises, profit-minded merchants exploit people. On such previous occasions the Government has not taken any effective measures in this regard. I request the Government to take energetic measures in this regard. Government should use this power to check such people and put them behind the bars. Local committees should be formed where necessary in the State level, district and taluk levels also:

I want to say one thing. There is provision conferring power upon the Central Government or officers and authorities of the Central Government as respects any matter, potwithstanding that the matter is one in respect of which the State Legislature

[Shri C. T. Dhandapani] has power to make laims. I suggest that the State Government should also be taken into confidence.

Special funds can be raised to meet the expenses on the Army Why I am saying this is after the war is over our Government may come forward and say we have no funds for development work now, this is an undeveloped country and we are not in a position to provide the basic needs of the people. That is why I say that we must provide a special fund which we can raise from the big people, big businesshouses who hoard their properties and have black money. These things should be confiscated immediately by using those powers

ha the name of war and emergency all kinds of developments have in the past been postponed or rejected. Liven after the end of the war the Government was not in a position to meet the vital demands of the people.

#### 13 33 hrs.

[MR DEPUTY SPLAKE in the Chair ]

I request the Government that as soon as the war is over, the emergency should be lifted I request the Government to look into these matters. With these words, I support the Bill.

PROF MADHU DANDAVATE (Ramour) While expressing my party's views on the Defence of India Bill. I would take cognisance of the fact that there is a qualitative difference between normal times and a state of emergency, which the political and economic norms are different. Democrats always know how to fight for their rights and opportunities in normal times. but let Yahya Khan also know that in a state of emergency, the democrats and progressives in this country also know how to surrender voluntarily certain rights at the alter of India's freedom and sovereignty t is in this spirit that my haity endorses he Bill that has been moved

I would draw attention to the first that certain provisions of the Bill can be missised. However, after carefully going through the Bill I feel that there are a number of built-in safety valves which can be used to see that if there are any elements which are hkely to disrupt the defence efforts of the country, their activities can be effectively curbed. I only hope that the new sublime atmosphere which has been created in the House will encourage the Treasury Benches and the Government not to misuse the provisions of this Bill and to utilise them to see that the enemies of the people and the country are dealt with

In this connection I would like to quote a very significant experience of mine which is very relevant to the implementation of the Bill before this House I had the opportunity of meeting an ex-army officer who was fighting the Chinese aggression on our borders and was actually imprisoned by the Chinese He happened to come from a Harijan family. When he was in the Chinese camp, the worst hardship to which he was subjected was brainwashing The Chinese asked him why was he fighting and sacrifiling his life. He replied he was sacrificing for his country. He was asked by the Chinese, which country " He said my motherland. They asked hun motherland? Is it the same country in which your mother cannot go to a well in her village and take drinking water because she is a Harijan? He said to me that he had tenacity of will and so he was not brainwashed but a man of lesser calibre and determination would have found it difficult to maintain his loyalty to the country in the face of social injustice. I would like the Government to take very strong steps today for bringing about the spirit of equality in the country. Only then there will be equality of sacrifice for defence. This is a very important aspect to be borne in mind. Therefore, the defence of the country needs more evalitarianism and not

less, more socialism and not less of it I feel that the provisions of the Bill, if they are properly utilised, can be harnessed to freeze the prices and curb the hoarders and profiteers I hope it will be utilised for that purpose.

There have been closures of a number of engineering concerns and this is a matter of great concern to me not only because workers are rendered unemployed. In Bombay and Calcutta there are certain engineering concerns like Alcock Ashdon and Mackenzi, which are vitally linked up with the defence requirements of the country and I would appeal to the Government to see that they are not allowed to be closed down Some are likely to go into liquidation If the Government takes note of the difficulties of the workers put forward by the trade unions, I think the proper atmosphere can be created

During the Indo-Pakistan war in 1965, 1 happened to be the Secretary of the Citizens Defence Committee in Maharashtra and I can tell you very authentically about the proportion of donations received from the industrial workers and those from mill owners and industrialists. The major quantum of contribution for defence efforts came not from the profiteers and industrial magnates, but from the industrial workers and agriculturists. This is the manner in which defence efforts are mobilised often, industrialists are shriking their responsibility and this should be curbed.

A little reference to the impending byeelections in UP. My own party is involved in these elections. All said and done, let us take note of the fast that in such a situatron of emergency, elections can never be a unifying force. They tend to become divisive force. Therefore, I appeal to Government to see that these bye-elections are postponed in the present state of emermency.

A word about the National Defence Council. I feel the defence preparedness should be stepped up at a popular level. Something will be done on the mulitary. plane, something on the governmental plane and a lot will have to be done on the neonle's plane. Only recently, I happened to visit the office of the UN Security Council. I found an inscription on the wall "Smoe war begins in the minds of men, it is in the minds of men that the defences of peace are to be constituted". Therefore, the task of building up the defence preparedness of the country heads to be undertaken not only at the military and governmental levels but also at the people's level. I hope the clauses of the Bill will not be misused but will be properly utilized to see that a new climate of defence is created, so that once and for all, we drive out the aggressor Once that is done. we can have any new political pattern in the country In England, for the requirements of war, they had one set of politicians and for post-war problems, another set of politicians were introduced. So, let us not mix up the requirements of war with the requirements of peace.

SURTNDRA MOHANTY (Kendrapara) · Sir, I rise to support this Bill with only one reservation that if should not extend for a period of six months beyond the termination of emergency, as provided for in the Bill The Government is assuming draconian powers and authority under this Bill It is futile and academic to ask whether it will be used properly or its powers misused. There are chances that it may be misused. Therefore, I only join my voice to the proposal that has already been made to form a kind of National Council, which can from time to time review the operation of the Defeace of India Act, 1971.

My charge is that the existing powers available with Government are not being FShri Surandra Mohanty |

used to curb the activities of those who are distoyal to the country. The Home Minisher will kindly forgive me if I invite his attention to a news item which appeared in the Hindustan Times dated 25-11-71 under the caption "Minister in league with saboteurs?" The report says:

"Officers of the Central Bureau of Investigation have submitted a report to the centre on the involvement of two Congress leaders in the subotage attempts by Pakistani agents in Kishangani in Purnos district bordering West Bengal and Noval."

My submission is, the powers he is asking today should not be misused against some trade union workers here or some commonal leader there, but they must look to their own ranks and not rid of the disloyal elements about whom even the CBI has to make such kind of a report.

There is another thing to which I would like to draw the pointed attention of the hon. Minister. It is not as if we have issued the fray to safeguard our territorial interest. We have joined the fray to uphold certain principles, those principle which we have held sacred. What are those?s The principle of freedom of expression, freedom of association and freedom of activity. If in the process we lose these freedoms, these fundamental freedoms, whether we win or lose in other directions will have no meaning and no significance to a person who believes in democracy and burnamen.

It has been said that chauvinistic appeals should be eschewed, freedom of expression should be surbed etc. Here I would invite the attention of the House to what Churchill had written to Cordelbuil, the Secretary of State of USA when bombs were being showered on London :

"Under our present Constitution and war-time procedure we have been cease-

lessly exposed to emotional views of little men on political matters and to chack this undoubtedly would involve His Majesty's Government in a direct attack on the freedom of Parliament and the Dress."

In order to maintain that freedom, I would beg to implore that no curb should be placed on the freedom of the press. There should be free expression of opinion by the press so long as it does not attract the security of the State.

I did not want to divide the House and. therefore, I have not given notice of a formal amendment. But I would plead that the life of this Bill should be co-terminus not with six months after the period of emergency but with the duration of the emergency and this power should not be utilized by the government after the termination of the war, at which point the emergency also will terminate.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI K. C. PANT): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir. this Bill is a logical consequence of the declaration of emergency by the President. I am grateful to the various members from different sections of the House who have supported it. No one spoke from this side of the House in order to save time, but I am sure I am voicing the opinion of the entire section on this side of the House when I say that we all support this Bill fully, not merely because we are the government party but because this is a matter which is above parties, as what is involved is the survival of this pation.

This morning we heard many speeches from different sections of the House which underlined the historical nature of this moment which in a way expressed the sentiments of the people of this country more effectively than in any other way became this morning the voice of Parliament became the voice of the millions of India. It has

both our experience that whenever the country is threatened this Parliament and nation always rise to great heights and it was one such moment which we witnessed this morning, Parliament unmistakably expressed the collective will and determination of this country to preserve this country's freedom and integrity and the values that we cherish.

Therefore, this matter, as Shri Vaipayee said, is not a party matter. It is not a question about which there is any division of opinion in the country It is not a matter in which any differences, in respect of language or religion or province, come Those are all irrelevant because all of them survive if the country survives And nothing survives if the country does not. Therefore, this is a moment in which the right emphasis was placed by Parliament this morning on one country, one nation with one leader and that in this crisis we shall all remain one to face the common danger

This Bill has now been brought before the House because the wanton attack and aggression by Pakistan has created a situation in which it has become necessary. We have exercised a great deal of restraint for the past so many months. That restraint has been favourably commented upon in this country and outside. But restraint has its limits and in no case can neace he defended if restraint and love of peace is capable of being misconstrued as weakness. Therefore, the time has come when we must show unmistakably that we will not be cowed down by the aggression of a military dictator.

At this moment, to a man the whole country is behind our soldiers who are fighting on the front and behind the people living on the border areas. I have been to several of our borders and it is a matter of pride to see the extremely high morale both of our soldiers and of our people on the borders. I know, I shall be reflecting the sentiments of this House if I congratulate our brave pilots who have shot down the Sabre ices and the beave soldiers who have made scrapheaps out of tanks and cannons of the Pakistan arony.

It is against this background that this measure has come before this House. The situation is extraordinary and it calls for extraordinary measures for the defence of India That is its name and that is all that is involved in this measure. While support came from almost all sections of the House certain points were raised in the course of discussion. One of these was that this measure should not extend beyond the period of the emergency. In a democratic country this sentiment is natural and I respect it. I can say that our intention is that this should not extend beyond the requirements of the emergency.

Some fear is expressed about the possible misuse of this measure.

SHRI SURENDRA MOHANTY. Also of not being used

SHRI K C PANT : There was also a reference by Professor Dandavate to the fact that there are certain built-in safety valves—I think, he used that expression—in this measure.

One hon Member referred to 1965 and said that a number of persons belonging to the minority community were arrested at that time. He seemed to imply that the minorities were not trusted. I would like to take this opportunity to state categorically that there is no question of distrust of the minorities in this country. We know it for a fact that the minorities are behind the Government on this matter-as a matter of fact, not behind the Government but behind the country. We have every confidence, and that a doubt should be raised is to me a matter of some sorrow at this juncture. Even in 1965, there was no question of any doubt being raised bases Shri K. C. Pant }

minibrities. If 1965 proved anything and, if such proof was at all required, it was that when the country was threatened by Pakistan, the minorities in this country, the Muslims in particular, whose loyalty Pakistan sought to subvert through broadcasts, newspaper articles, etc., to a man were behind India in that war with Pakistan Therefore, there is no occasion at all to doubt the loyalty of any citizen of this country.

'Having said that, one must admit however that there are a few people who act as spies, saboteurs, agents, etc. One hon. Member said that many of them belong to the majority community. I have seen many cases in which the majority community is involved. When a spy acts as a spy, it is not a question of any community A spy is a spy A man who acts for a hostile country in a situation like this certainly deserves to be handled with all the powers that the State has, and handled with severity so that the interests of the country can be protected. Therefore, any attempt to mix up this question, a certain kind of person who acts as a spy or a saboteur with any community or caste or religion. is doing disservice to the country I would like this House to repudiate this idea completely.

There was some fear that no meetings would be allowed to be held or that no papers would come out or nothing in print would be allowed. That is not correct. It is an enabling clause. But this does not mean that all these things will stop. It only means that when the necessity arises that action can be taken. But, ordinarily, and normally, all these things will continue. I do not think that anyone can say that such powers would not be needed under any circumstances.

Then, my hon. friend, Shri Indrajit Gupta referred to the arrest of a worker, Shri Ved, prakash. I have just made enquiries as to

why he was arrested. I entirely agree that this is not a moment when any political differences should allow any clouding of the main effort that the country has to make I have just made enquiries to see as to why he was arrested...

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour): What are the facts?

14 hrs

SHRIK, C PANT I do not know. He just spoke in the House I just enguned. and I would request you to appre-(Interruptions) I am speaking because I know and I realise that patriotic elements in the whole country and the parties concerned, they are all wanting unity at this moment and I would be the last person to do anything or say anything which would impair that sense of unity. The point that I was making is that one incident, may be a few other incidents that my hon friends know, should, I bog of them, not colour their judgment on the very question of the need of this measure at a time like this. When will an extra-ordinary measure be required if not to-day? What greater danger the country will face that it is not facing to-day? If to-day this measure is not required, at what other time will it be required? All these extraordinary measures are required and even my friend, Mr Indrant Gupta, said that it can be argued that these are necessary emergency powers and this is precisely my case also He said that these powers should be used energetically against those indulging in hoarding and so on and against black-marketeers. I need hardly assure him that this would be done and when I came to the House with the Maintenance of Internal Security Bill. at that time also, when I said that we would use that law against black-marketeers, some scepticism was noticeable. I saw some friends doubting this sentiment or doubting

that statement but we did use that Maintenance of Internal Security Act against blackmarketeers and against those indulging in the distribution of stolen goods in Calcutta and in the Eastern Region alone there have been. I think, hundreds of arrests for economic offences of this kind. So this goes without saying and I would like to assure Shri Gupta that this would be used with severity. I agree with him that in the conditions of to-day if anybody indulges in hoarding, black-marketing or pushing up the prices, that is an anti-national act and it spells destruction behind the lines where our soldiers are fighting the enemy. Therefore, no quarter can be given to such persons or such elements.

One question was raised. What is the safeguard against misuse? I cannot rule it out. In individual cases there may be some misuse. No one can give that assurance because such a large number of persons are handling it. But, the possibility of some misuse has to be balanced against the risk to the country if these powers are not there when the stake is that of survival of the country and the best safeguard is this Parliament. This Parliament is the best safeguard of ail. Quite apart from this, it is necessary, that hon, friends think it is necessary, that Government should look into these things. If they come to me and give me instances. I am certainly prepared to look into those things.

A suggestion has been made that there should be some kind of a supervisory committee and some hon, friends have supported that idea. Now, as I said, I am prepared to look into these cases. The general question of how the leaders of various parties are to be associated with the Government in close consultation in this crisis is a general matter which is under consideration and I believe the Prime Minister has had talks with some of the party leaders also and I do not know exactly what form that will take. Whether it will be a committee or some Council or it will be some body which will meet and perhaps under the chairmanship of the Prime Minister 1 cannot say. For those discussions have not concluded. But that would provide a forum where individual cases could be taken up, I suppose, and where the Members feel strongly, then that feeling and certainly be aired at those meetings. So, I hope that we would be given some more time to finalise our ideas on the best method of associating friends opposite with the Government at this juncture. I do not say 'No', and I cannot say 'Yes'. I cannot commit the Government at this stage to this. But as I say, the general question of the best form of associating friends opposite is under consideration.

All energies must be bent at this juncture on the war effort or to prepare the country. and I think, if I may say so with all humility, the Government must be trusted at this juncture, and the Government's responsibility increases to the extent that it receives trust from Opposition Members. It is a moment when the Government has to take various decisions. It will take those decisions, keeping in mind the sentiments of the House, keeping in mind the requirements of the situation.

There was a question why maintenance of public order had been included. Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee had asked me that question. Maintenance of public order has been included because at this moment public order cannot be allowed to be disrupted: when there is a live situation on the border. we cannot have disturbance of public order behind. in the state of th

He referred to the Delhi Citizens Committee. He said that the Mayor was not a member of it. As I have said just now, it is farthest from our intent that anything should be said or done which would impair the unity of the country at this moment.

## (Shri K.C. Pant)

There cannot be a political approach to this question, and I find that even in respect of the Dethi State Citizens Council, where there are three bodies, namely the apex body, the executive committee and the citizens council, the mayor is a member of two of these three bodies, namely the apex body and the citizens council. I find that he is not a member of the executive committee, but there is no question of deliberately essitting him. He is a member of two of the three committees.

I believe that I have already dealt with the main points that have been raised. As my hon, friend Shri Madhu Dandavate has taid, we should not mix the requirements of peace with the requirements of war, and it is in that spirit that I would like to request the House to give its wholehearted support to this measure.

Before I conclude, may I pay a tribute to Shri Annadural whom I think many of us heard in 1965, and many leaders were present there at the time when he spoke in the half upstairs? It was a memorable speech; it was a speech memorable for its sense of patriotism, for its sense of solidarity with the rest of the country and particularly with the border region which was facing danger at that stage. Again, we are facing a similar danger and this is a moment for the whole country to remember the sentiments of Shri Annadural uttered in 1965.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEARER: The question is:

"That the Bill to provide for special measures to ensure the public safety and interest, the defence of India and civil defence and for the trial of certain offences and for matters connected therewith, be taken into consideration".

The motion was adopted.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: We shall take up clause by clause consideration.
The question is:

"That clause 2 stand part of the

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

Clause 3 (Power to make rules.)

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU : I beg to move :

Page 4.-

omit lines 15 to 30 (2)

Page 7,-

omit lines 22 and 23 (3)

SHRI JHARKHANDE RAI (Ghosi): I beg to move:

Page 7, line 1,-

before "the prevention of hoarding" insert "very severely" (10)

SHRI RAMAVATAR SHASTRI (Patna): I bog to move:

Page 6, line 12,-

after "products" insert-

"at prices fixed by the Government"

(12)

Page 8,-

After line 10, insert-

"(49) prohibiting hoarding, black-marketing and high prices and punishing such traders or hoarders who may indulge in such anti-social acts". (13)

DR. RANEN SEN (Barasat) : I beg to move :

Page 6, line 2,-

after "community" insert-

"and fix fair price for all essential commodities" (19)

Page 7, line 4,-

after "community" insert-

"and lacking physical control of heards and stocks of commodifies assessial for the daily use of the public at large."

SHRI JYOTHRMOY BOSU : Subclause 7(a), (b), (c), (d) should be deleted. We have seen from experience of the performance of this Government in the past, that the same set of people have been grossly misusing these provisions particularly against those who are opposing them politically. I am saving this with regard to what was done to my party. I would like this clause to be removed so that our apprehension is allowed.

घटयका महोदय, मेरा भी रामाबसार शास्त्री सकाधन इस प्रकार है कि पच्छ । पर "ग्राफटर प्राइक्टम" यह जोड़ा जाय---"ग्रेट प्राइशेज किक्ट बाइ दी गर्वतमेट" क्योंकि उसमें प्राइस की बात नहीं कही गई है और धगर भ्राप प्राहम फिल्म नहीं बरेंगे तो मनमाने मन्य बसल किए जाएगे इसलिये ऐसी स्थित से जब कि कीमता की नियक्तिन रक्तने की सब से ज्यादा धावश्यकता है नावि हमारी जो खरीददार जनता है उस को कीई बोझा नहीं मालम पड़े, उसे तकलीफ नहीं हो और वह दिल से पूरी नामन और देशभन्ति के साथ, लगन के साथ अपने दश्मनी वे खिलाफ लढाई में मददगार साबित हो नकें, इसके लिये यह जनने है कि उन्हें हम ज्यादा से स्थादा विश्वास में रका । अगर कीमले आप तय नहीं करेंगे, ऐसे ही छोड देगे तो अतेव: माकटियमं, होईमं श्रीर बोरबाजारी करने वाले जो भी मन में ब्राएगा करेंगे। इस का ध्रमभव पिल्ले दिनो का हमारे मामने है। इमलिये हम बाहते है कि यह समेडमेंट होड कर के यह साप, कह वीजिये कि गर्वनंमेंट ने जो कीवर्ते नय की है उस कीमत पर ही इस तरह की तमाम बीचे ऐग्रीकल्यरल प्रीडक्टस बगैरह विकेशी। इससे ज्यादा पर कोई नहीं बेच मकेगा।

दमना वेज अपर सब-क्याज ४५ के बाद इस चाहने है कि एक नया सब-क्यांज 49 कर के जीहा जाय।

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU . I thought he was speaking only on sub-cl. 7. I have also to say on other sub-clauses of the same clause which I have not done so far.

भी रामालगार लास्त्री धन बिल में गरुता चोरो कीर क्यांकाकोरी के बारे में बात कही गई है. लेकिन

बह बतना स्वयद नहीं है। इसलिये में पास्ता हु.कि पह चीज प्रकार कर की खाय कीर प्रक्रीतिक मैंने एक नामा सम्बन्धाः ४० कर्ते वह वर्षेक्षेत्र जीवने का अन्ताम Char Bern

"prohibiting hoarding, black-marketing and high prices and punishing such traders or hoarders who may include in such anti-social acts."

यह बहुन मफाई के साथ कहने की जरूरत है कि जो खीन जोडिय करेंसे, ब्लैक-मार्कीटन करेंसे, प्रस्कृदिवरिय करेंसे या जो अबी कींमल लेंगे जो सरकार ने कीमन तम कर दी उसमे ज्यादा कीमत लेगे तो गैमे कोको के जिलाक सबन से सन्त कार्यवाही की जायगी सीर ऐसे लीगी के बिकाफ इस एलान कर देंगे कि इस तरह के स्रोप नमाजविरोधी नत्व हैं, हमारे बुद्ध के प्रायासी में स्वतंबट डालना चाटने हैं, दश्मन को मचद कर रहे हैं, इसीरियमें क्षप्त जाकरी है कि इस तरह की धारा रक्षकर के स्वाध्ट जनना के मामने यह करें कि इस प्रकार से जी गीलमाल करेंगे गलना चौर या धोरबाजारी करने वाले उनकी यह सजा दी जायगी। इस बान की व्यवस्था स्पन्ट क्य में इस धारा के अरिबे हम करना बाहते हैं। यही नेश संशेखन है। मझे विश्वास है देश के घत्वर जी प्राने मन्भव रहे हैं उन की विमाण में रखने हुए मरकार इस संभीधन की स्वीकार करेगी नाकि हमारा यह का जी वह प्रयास है पाकिस्नानी मटेगों को मजा बखाने के लिये उसमें हम परी तरात से समर्थ हो सकते और पूरे देश की 55 करीड जनना का परा परा महयोग हमें मिल सके, इसी उद्देश्य से यह मेरा संशोधन है।

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mr. 3votirmoy Bosu, as a special case.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU , Thank You have always been kind to us. Now, my amendment is regarding the omission of lines 22 and 23 at page 7. which say, "prohibiting or regulating mostings, assemblies, fairs and processions." What more powers one requires to curb its political opponents? We have seen in the past that these powers also have been misused, and misued to curb the rights of political parties opposed to the ruling

## . (Shri Jyotirmoy Bosul

party, I say that mobilisation of public opinion, and the mobilisation of the working people is the most essential item to fight a war because the armed forces. when Sighting on one front, must naturally have the mobilisation of the people behind. So, I have put in this amendment for omission of clause 3(2)(39), at page 7. Through you. I would request the Government to be considerate enough and delete this particular sub-clause from the Bill

DR. RANEN SEN: On clause 3, on all the amendments, we would like to make a composite speech.

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER: You want to speak on amendments to clause 39

DR. RANEN SEN . I have two amendments to this clause. My first amendment is that at page 6, in line 2, after "community" meert "and fix a fau-price for all essential commodities."

My point is, in this Bill, it is stated that there will be control of trade or industry for the purpose of regulating so many things, for maintaining the supplies and services essential to the life of the community As we see today, and during the Indo-Pakistan war of 1965 also, there was à sudden rise in prices of all essential commodities The Government did not or could not control profiteering. Even today, when there has been normalcy, it was known to everybody that there was a lack of essential commodities such as baby foods which were not available in Calcutta and in fact in the whole of the eastern regions of India. Medicines were not available Whatever was available was selling at a high price So, if the Government is taking such a wide power, which was not necessary in all cases as has been pointed out by Mr. Jyotarmoy Bosu-he has given that amendment which I have not, but which I support-and since the Government have taken such wide powers

in their hands, I think more control would not do. The Government must here and now fix fair orices for all essential commodities. That is the most essential thing. Every Member who sooks in this house today has said that in order to strengthen the front, the rear has to be strengthened. Fverybody has said it and there are no two opinions on it Mr. Pant will also admit that. If that is so, and when it is known that war profiteers are of a special category, and the profiteers who today are thriving on our soil will become war profiteers, if the Government will simply 'control', what about the prices? It is the duty of the Government to fix the prices of all essential commodities, giving a fair price.

So, without making any big speech on this amendment, I come to the next amendment in my name in respect of clause 3. I refer to page 7, line 4, sub-clause 33, where it is said, "the prevention of hoarding, profiteering, black marketing. ." etc. Prevention will mean necessarily taking physical control of the stocks. Otherwise no hoarding or profiteering can be stopped This has been proved throughout the world in the capitalist world. Therefore my amondment is to insert after the word 'community'

"and taking physical control hoards and stocks of commodities essential for the daily use of the public at large".

The Government should take physical control of hoards and stocks and fix up a fair price and then distribute through a proper mechanism. I have not gone into the mechanism. The main thing is that the hoards must be seized and physically controlled.

My two amendments run in tune with the Defence of India Bill and the Government should have no difficulty in acceting them. With reference to Mr. Jyotirmay

Bosu's amendment. I have to say that the provision regarding prohibiting, regulating, mostings, fairs, processions, etc. is very unfair and I support his amendment to that provision. It is such a blanket power which can be used to penalise people who differ from authority. So, while supporting his amendments. I have also moved my to amendments for the acceptance of the hon Manister.

SHRI K C PANT Mr Bosu has referred to 7(a) and (d) which is about prohibiting the printing or publishing of any newspaper, etc prejudicial to the defence of India I need not read the whole of it

It is necessary because of the extraordinary situation in which we find ourselves. I do not think that we need to be apolegetic about it The freedom that the Press in this country enjoys is something which has been recognised throughout the world There is in this country an extent of freedom of speech and writing, freedom of the Press which we all cherish as a necessary value When we talk of deomocratic values, it is one. In this particular situation it is necessary to put in this particular provision because they are necessary in the interest of the larger objective which we have been discussing

As for the general question of taking action against hoarders and the power to seize stocks and fixation of prices, sub-clause 33 refers to the prevention of hoarding, profiteering, blackmarketing or adulteration of, or any other unfair practices in relation to any goods procured by or supplied to the Government or notified by or under the rules as essential to the life of the community These are wide powers In 32 also you will find "the prevention of any corrupt practice or abuse of authority or other mala fide action in relation to the production, storage, purchase, sale, supply or transport of goods for any purpose connected with the defence of India"

DR. RANEN SEN : You have not spoken about taking physical control and fair prices. During the second world War prices were fixed and fair price shops were opened on a large scale

SHRIK C PANT I was referring to sub-clause 32 which gives power for the efficient conduct of military operations or the maintenance of supplies and services essential to the life of the community. General power is taken, many things come If there are suggestions as to which particular rule should be framed in which manner, we shall take them into account

On the question of fixing prices for essential commodities, there is the Essential Commodities Act already in operation under which the States are authorised to fix the prices of essential commodities.

SHRI INDRAJIT GUPTA . You fix prices when the stocks are held by somebody

SHRIK C PANT . Then the question suppose somebody hoards, what arises happens? Action has to be taken against The Maintenance of Internal Security Act also provides for it and we have been taking action under that Act whenever we find it necessary

SHRI H N MUKERJEE (Calcutte-North-Fast) He might remember that since 1962 the Government had given re-. peated assurances on comparable occasions that the price line would be held and profiteering would be stopped etc., and every time they have failed Therefore, this time there is a specific suggestion about a concrete remedy, and not merely an abstract statement about the desirability of preventing hoarding and profiteering, and he fights shy of it That is a very dangerous thing.

SHRIK C PANT . I am sorry that Prof. Mukerjes is not approving of whatever we have brought forward. I think what I have just now read out to the House IShri H. N. Mukerpell

is very wide and it is directed only against hounders, profittens and blackmarketeers. If he roads that, he will be satisfied that we are including this apecifically in the Bill What more does he want?

As regards the general question of rising prices, there are various causes. That is a separate matter and there can be a general discussion on it. So far as this Act is concerned, if we do not provide for it I can understand his anger, but we have specifically provided

DR RANEN SEN in my amendment, the modus operandi has been suggested

SHRIK C PANT That can be taken into account while the rules are framed

SHRJ JYOTIRMOY BOSU The Fimance Minister has repeatedly said that the scope of the Essential Commodities Act will be sufficiently enlarged to arrest the rise in prices. Why is it that you have not given coverage to that in this Bill?

SHRIK C PANT That is a separate Act and it relates to a separate Ministry This is a separate matter

MR DEPUTY-SPFAKER I put all the amendments to Clause 3 to the House

Amendments Nov 2, 3, 10, 12, 13, 19 and 20 were put and negatived.

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER The question is

"That Clause 3 stand part of the Bill"

The motion was adopted
Clause 3 was added to the Bill

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER The question is

"That Clauses 4 and 5 stand part of the Bill" The motion was adopted.

Clauses 4 and 5 were pilded to the Mill.

Classe 6-(Temporary amendments to Acta)

SHRI A K GOPALAN I beg to move Page 10.--

omit lines 30 to 39 (4)

SHRI INDRAJIT GUPTA I beg to move

Page 13, line 15,-

for "two years" substitute-

"six months" (5)

SHRI BHOGENDRA JHA (Jamagar )

I beg to move

Page 13, lines 14 and 15,-

for "longer than three months, but not exceeding two years from the date of his detention.

substitute-

'not longer than three months from the date of his detention but m no case longer than the period of emergency" (7)

Page 13 .--

for lines 27 to 45, substitute-

'applies, sections 10 to 13 shall have effect " (8)

SHRI RAMAVATAR SHASTRI
I beg to move

Page 10,-

omir lines 35 to 37. (14)

SHRI R V BADE (Khargone)
I beg to move

Page 13, line 13,---

for "without" substitute-"by" (15)

Page 13, lines 24 and 25,---

oinit "or the maintenance of public order" (17)

move :

Page 13 .-

for lines 14 to 25, substitute-

"the Advisory Board for three months." (16)

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU : My amendment No. 4 seeks to omit lines 30 to 39 on page 10. The sub-clause I want to be omitted is sub-cluase (3) which reads thus :

- "(3) The Payment of Wages Act, 1936, shall have effect as if after clause (i) of sub-sectin (2) of section 7, the following clause had been inserted. namely :--
  - "(ii) deductions made with the written authorisation of-
    - (i) the employed person; or
    - (ii) the president or secretary of the registered trade union of which the employed person is a member on such conditions as may be prescribed, for contribution to the National Defence Fund or to any Defence Savings Scheme approved by the State Government."

This is one thing whereby the poor workers would be subjected to harassment and pressure. They will be forced to sign papers or pay some subscriptions and contributions against their own will under compulsion. I strongly feel that this clause should not remain. I appeal to Government to prevent pressure and extortion from the poor people and the working classes by removing this sub-cluase from this Bill.

श्री सार्व बीव बड़े : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा पहला समेन्हमेन्ट तो यह है कि वेज 13 पर लाइन 13 में शब्द "विदायट" के स्थान पर शब्द "बाई" रख दिया जाये।

The clause says:

的是可可以被利

"17A. (1) Notwithstanding anything contained in the foregoing provisions of 14 LSS/71-4

SHRI JHARKHADE RAI : I beg to this Act, during the period a of Proclamation of Emergency...any person (including a foreigner) in respect of whom an order of detention has been made under this Act, may be detained without obtaining the opinion of the Advisory Board .. "

> I do not understand the meaning of Advisory Board. In the Public Safety Protection Act passed in Madhya Pradesh, there is an Advisory Board mentioned. Is it that Advisory Board or what ? I do not know.

में बाहुंगा मन्त्री जी बतायेंगे कि यह एडवाइकरी बोर्ड कहां पर किस एक्ट में रखा है?

SHRI INDRAJIT GUPTA : It is the Advisory Board which is mentioned in the Maintenance of Internal Security Act.

भी भार० बी० बहें : भगर ऐसा है तो मेरा श्रमेन्डमेन्ड है कि एडबाइजरी बोर्ड की श्रोपीनियन लेकर ही किसी व्यक्ति को डिटेन किया जाये ताकि किसी प्रकार से कोई मन्याय होने की सम्भावना न रहे।

मेरा पूसरा अमेन्डमेन्ट बहु है कि बलाज 17 ए(1) (वी) में श्राए हुए शब्द "मार दि मेन्टीनेन्स धाफ पब्लिक धार्डर" निकाल दिए जायें 1 हमारे लीहर श्री घटल विहारी बाजपेयी जी ने मेन्टीनेन्स ग्राफ पब्लिक गार्डर पर जो बक्तका दिया था भौर उसका भाषने जो खुलासा किया बह संतोषजनक नहीं है। मेरे विचार में सिक्योरिटी ब्राफ स्टेट में ला ऐंड बार्डर भी बा जाता है । क्या आप समझते हैं कि सिक्योरिटी आफ स्टेट बलग है और मेन्टीनेन्स बाफ पब्लिक बार्डर अलग है ? ऐसा नहीं ही सकता । दूसरे आप यह पावस हिस्ट्रिक्ट मैजिस्ट्रेट और क्लक्टर्स की दे रहे हैं इसलिए इस प्रविकार के दुल्पयोग होने की सम्मावना रहेगी । किसी भी संस्था पर नाराजगी होने पर मेन्टीनेन्स आफ पश्लिक आहेर में वे उस पर एक्शन से सकते हैं और इस प्रकार से इसका दृष्ययोग ही किया जायेगा । इसी कारण

# ंशि सारः हो। वहीं

विके यह बंबोहर दिया है कि बेन्टीनेना धान विकास बार्कर को क्सर्वे है निकास विवा बार्च।

की जारबंधे राज : ज्याच्यक की, वेरी तरबीम का अर्थ यह है कि पहली बार किसी की ठीन सबीने से श्रीष्ठक विदेश न किया बाये बबतक कि बाद में एडवाइकरी बोर्ड की सलाह न से जी बावे । बारण यह है कि प्रधान मन्त्री की राय बेकर हो बीचे काम होगा नहीं बल्कि जी धविकारी हैं बिल्हें मौके पर काम करना है वे प्रनेक प्रकार की बाबनाओं से प्रेरित होकर काम करते हैं। विक्रमे बीनी हमते थीर पाकिस्तान की लढाई के समय में वेटा समुख्य बड़ा कट एहा है। 🗣 धापको स्वयं धपना धनुभव बताना बाहता है। सन 1962 में जब चीन का हमला हुआ ही डिम्ह्स्तान की सरकार से यू० पी० में जिन कम्युनिस्टों की लिस्ट पिरफ्तारी करने के लिए चेंची नई ची-उस समय कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी एक बी, भी ज्योतिमय बसु हमसे धलग नहीं बे--उस सिस्ट में सबसे पहला मेरा नाम था। उस समय ्षु थी में भी बन्द्रभान गुप्त मुख्यमंत्री थे । बहां के बाई० जी०, डी० धाई० जी, सी० धाई० डी॰, होम सेकेटरी और हिप्टी होम सेकेटरी सभी क्षोण यह काहते वे कि मुझे गिरफतार कर लिया कार्य लेकिन चंकि चन्द्रभान गप्त को इस बात का धनुमन था कि कहीं से यह गलत रिपोर्ट हो गई है इसलिए उनके बाखिरी हस्तकोप से वी भट्टे पहले में गिरफतार होने से बच गया । तो इस प्रकार के यामले में यह तो गलती ही बाती है या फिर जानवृत्त कर ऐसा किया जाता है और नीचे स्तर पर इस प्रकार की गस्तियां हो अकती हैं। इसीलिए मैं बाहता हूं कि पहले हीन सहीते से अधिक के लिए किसी को गिरफतार व किया जाये । जब एडवाइजरी बोर्ड सलाह दे ही 6 महीने या 12 महीने या बी भी पीरियड ही उसके लिए किया जाये लेकिन बिना उसके यहने ऐसा न हो । यही मेरा सुनाव है ।

SHRI S. M. BANERJEB (Kanpur) : Sir, I want to speak, on my amendment No. 14 to clause 6. It is said there :

PERSONN VENERAL DESCRIPTION

adeductions made with the written authorisation of-

- (i) the employed person; or
- (ii) the president or secretary of the registered trade union of which the employed person is a member on such conditions as may be prescribed."

I do not agree to this clause (ii) relating to the president or secretary the registered trade union. I also happen to be the President of a registered trade union or federation. But in this matter I feel that the choice should be left entirely with the employee. I am saying this in the light of our experience in 1962 because in 1965 it was a short affair. Sometimes it is reflected in the collection also. When it is a question of national defence there should not be any bikering among the workers or among the trade unions. So, the workers should give authorisation to the management and they should be deducted on the basis of this particular Act. Now that the Payment of Wages Act is being amended. it will come under this clause.

Another point which I would like to refer and on which I want the hon. Minister, Shri K. C. Pant, to say something is this. The National Defence Fund should be utilized really for defence purposes. Our experience in the past was that all the money which was collected was not credited to that fund. Nearly Rs. 7 lakhs or 8 lakhs were collected from the defence employees but only a cheque for Rs. 4 lakhs was presented in Delhi to Pandit Jawaharlai Nehru in the month of November, 1962, Later on, we found that at. sorts of people were collecting money. I am not talking of Shri Atulya Ghosh though he was always the treasurer of any memorial or trust funds or committees.

That was the greatness in him. But am not talking of Shri Atulya Ghesh

Misuse of the National Defence Fund was also visible in U.P. Sir. we had many ex-Chief Ministers. One of them was Shri C. B. Guota who utilised that fund entirely for party purposes in the Uttar Kashi erea, in that particular area. Therefore I say that this money should be utilised properly. There should be proper receipts and it should be made known to the employees who contribute as to what, after all, is going to be the use of this particular amount.

I am talking of the Central Government and defence employees. The textile em ployees are ready to contribute one or two days' wages, whatever it may be, after the authorisation. But when the employees contribute, an equal amount should be paid by the employers also. I request Shri K. C. Pant to declare here and now that if the employees contribute out of their meagre salary of Rs. 100 or Rs. 150 one or two days' wages as the case may be, for the National Defence Fund, the employers should also minimise their profits and pay something to the National Defence Fund. I request the hon. Minister to say something about it.

Then, last time in this House a question was raised that the late Sir Shri Ram. some of whose concerns were also in Pakistan-he was also a millionaire in India-contributed for the defence of both the countries. He paid Pakistan for the defence of Pakistan and he paid India for the defence of India. There should be some sort of patriotism in them. If the worker wants to contribute, the employer should also contribute. Let them show some gesture about national defence.

श्री शोगेन्द्र का : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं संगोधन संख्या 7 और 8 के बारे में मंहना चाहता है। पानी प्रधान मंत्री ने इस विशेषक को पेश करते हुए कहा धीर उन से पहले बोलने बालों ने कहा, हाया सही कहा है, कि हमारे क्यर बाज शहाई असाबी गई है। इस अवार्ड में हम स्टब्स के लिये

कड़ रहे हैं। इस में अपनी श्रम की रक्ता के साथ शाय कुछ मादली की रक्षा का भी सवास है, कुछ मुख्यों की रक्षा का सवास है, झौर वह मुख्य जनतन्त्र के भूरुय है। यह सही है कि भागातकासीन स्थिति में इस जनतमा पर कुछ संकुत मगेगा । इस का श्रवसर यहले भी भाषा है, और यह कानन पहले से भी सागु रहा है। 1962 में और 1965 में यह नागु था और माज तक कोई ऐसा सबत नहीं मिला कि वह नाकाफी या भीर जिस के कारण हमारी सुरक्षा पर सतरा आया हो । आज उस में कुछ ऐसे सकोधन किये जा रहे हैं जिन से गम्भीर बातरे दें उस जनतन्त्र के ऊपर जिस के लिये हम लड रहे हैं । इसी लिये मैंने अपने सकीश्रम सक्या 7 और 8 येश किये हैं।

में कहना चाहता है कि संविधान के 25वें सशोधन विधेयक में धगर हमने श्रपना विरोध न प्रकट किया शीता तो शायद ऐसा विद्येषक पारित हो जाता जिस की न तो हमारे सिद्धांत इजावत देते हैं और न यह देश ही इजाज्य देता । हमारे विरोध के कारण उस की बदला गया । इससे मासूम होता है कि सरकार ने भी परी तरह समझ बझ कर इस तरह के संशोधन नही विधे और उन से विश्वेयक का उद्देश्य ही बात्म हो रहा या । वही बात माज एस विवेचक के सम्बन्ध में भी हो रही है। इस में यह बात कही गई है कि ऐडवाइजरी बोर्ड की राय विमा ही कोई धावमी दो साम तक के लिये बन्द किया जा सकता हैं। इस के मतलब तो यह हैं कि ऐडवाइजरी बोर्ड की राय से ती गायद आप भाजन्म कारावास में ही रख देंगे।

जहां तक बापातकालीन स्थिति का सवाल है उस में भी बिना मकदमा चलाये जैन में रखना हो तो ऐडवाइजरी बोर्ड की राय के विमा भी हो साल के लिये रक्का जा सकेगा इस में इस प्रकार के शब्द है:

Page 13, lines 14 and 15

"longer than three months, but not exceeding two years from the date of his detention"

# [श्री भोगेन्त्र शा ]

मेरा सुझाब यह हैं कि उस के बदले यह रक्जा जायें

"not longer than three months from the date of his detention but in no case longer than the period of emergency"

जब तक आपाल्कालीन स्थिति है उस से ज्यादा किसी भी हालत में न रहे। साथ ही यह है कि ऐडवाइजरी बोर्ड की राय के बिना भी तीन महीने से अधिक नहीं रक्खा जाये। अगर ऐडवाइजरी बोर्ड की राय के बाद भी रक्खा जाय तो आपत्-कालीन स्थिति तक ही रखा जाये। मेरा आग्रह है कि यदि मली महोदय खुद अभी एक दम से स्थीकार नहीं कर सकते तो इस पर विचार कर ले। हम लाग नहीं चाहते हैं कि सदन ने जिम सकट की न्यिति में खड़े होने का निर्णय किया है उस में पिर यहां से ही विभाजन शुक्त हो जाये। मैं नहीं चाहता वि इस तरह का वातायरण पैदा हो।

हम को निश्चय है कि इस तरह का विधेयक पारित हो आयेगा तो इस का बुख्यमेग होगा ही । अयर यह निश्चय न होता तो शायद मैं अपनी बात न कहता । जा लोग मरकार वे किसी मली से कम देशभक्त नहीं है, उन पर भी जरूर इस का उपयोग किया जायेगा, इस में मूझे कोई शका नहीं है । पालियामेट के एक केन्यर हैं उन का 1962 में गिरफ्तार कर के जेल में बन्द कर दिया गया था । कारण वह है कि एँडवाइजरी बोर्ड में मिल-मालिकों के धादमी बैठे हुए हैं । इसी लिये मैंने कहा है कि एँडवाइजरी बोर्ड की राय के बिना तीन महीने से अधिक किसी को न रक्का जाये । इस पर मली महोदय को विचार करना चाहिये और इस को स्वीकार करना चाहिये।

इन के बाद में अपने संगोधन सख्या 8 के बारे वे कहना चाहना हूं। जो कुछ पहले से बा उस में बहुत सी बातें जोड़ कर उस में परिवर्तन करने का प्रयास किया गया है। उन के जरिये से जो भी सीमित मामला था उस को असीमित बताला जा रहा है। बिना मुकदमा चलाये नजर- वन्दी की बात जो वी उस में भवंकर कार्ते जीड़ी जा रही हैं। पहले था:

"Shall within 30 days"

उस के बदले में सब यह जोड़ा जा रहा है

"may, at any time prior to but in no case later than three months before the expiration of two years"

जहा तीस दिन की श्रवधि थी वहा हम दो वर्ष कर रहे हैं। इस सम्बन्ध में भी श्रव तक यह था कि नजरबन्दी के दिन से तीस दिन के घन्दर. उस की जगह यह कहते हैं कि जिस दिन ऐंड-बाइजरी बोर्ड के पास रिफरेस भेजा जाय उस दिन से। यह बिल्बुल उचित नही है। इस-लिय 13 पष्ठ पर जो ए. वी. सी ग्रीर ही सशोधन किय जा रहे हैं पूराने कानन मे, उन को रह कर दिया जाये । उस की कोई आवश्यकता नहीं है। जो कुछ पहले था यह काफी था। मैं भाग्रह करूगा कि ग्रगर मन्नी महोदय एक दम से इस को स्वीकार करने की स्थिति में नही हैं तो वत इस पर विचार कर में तब इस को बबल करे नहीं तो कही ऐसा न हो जाय कि हम लोगो की ही सुरक्षा खतरे मे पर जाये। धाज स्थिति यह है कि मैं अपने चनाव क्षेत्र मे नही जा सकता हैं। वहा पर 144 लगी हुई है। लोगो के जमा होने पर ब्लैकेट बैन लगा हवा है अगर बाज मैं वहां जाऊं और मच पर पहुँच तो पुलिम का ग्रफसर शायेगा धौर मझ को गिरफतार कर लेगा क्योंकि बहा पर 144 लगी हुई हैं । वहा पर ब्लैकेट बैन लगा हुआ है और वह भी एक पक्ष के ऊपर । आज बहां पर ग्रफसर जमीदारों के लठैत बन कर काम कर रहे हैं । वह कहेंगे कि हम वहां गडबड़ वैदा कर रहे हैं। हमारे मझवनी क्षेत्र में जी धारा 144 लगी हुई है उस में है:

"Government officers on duty and landlords and their men" त्या में "शिंडनाडे" का मध्य है सेंडलाडेंस भीर वर्ष के आवित्यों की एम्जेम्ट कर विया गया है। अगर हम जस का विरोध करेंगे तो हम की सरका के नाम पर नजरबन्दी में भेज दिया जायेगा क्योंकि आज भी वह चार्टर मौजद है। इस लिये भेरा आग्रह है कि सुरक्षा कानन के ब्रन्तर्गत लोगो को नजरबन्द किया जायेगा यह कह कर कि देश पर बड़ा खतरा है। उस के बाद जांच की की जायेगी और साम्यवादी ही उस की लपेट में भारोंगे। मैं जो कुछ कह रहा ह वह केवल हवाई बात नहीं है । यह बहत ही संगीन खतरा है । इस लियं मन्नी महोदय को मेरे सशोधनो को स्वीकार कर लेला चाहिये, इस को प्रतिष्ठा का सवाल नही बनाना चाहिए।

SHRI K. C PANT : Sir, the hon. Members, Shri Bade, Shri Bhogendra Jha, Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu and Shri Banerjee have spoken on this.

Coming to Shri Jyotirmoy Rosu and Shri Baneriee's amendment, what it says here 15 :

"deductions made with the written authorisation of-

(1) the employed person,"

Io that, there can be no objection.

"(ii) the president or secretary of the registered trade union of which the employed person is a member on such conditions as may be prescribed."

.... (Interruptions) You are a congenital objector. It is entirely voluntary. I would like to make it perfectly clear. .The employer cannot ask his employee . . . .

AN HON. MEMBER: Then it does not remain voluntary on the part of the employee to contribute.

SHRI K. C. PANT : If they take any action against the wishes of the workers. they will naturally suffer.

SHRI INDRAJIT GUPTA: Then you may say, 'the president or secretary of the registered trade union if authorised by the workers'.

SHRI K. C. PANT: They will suffer as a consequence if they take any action which goes against the wishes of the employees. In a matter like this, whether it is contribution to the National Defence Fund or the defence scheme approved by the State Government, should we take any steps which denies...

SHRI INDRAJIT GUPTA: Last time it happened. It was misused like this. Workers did not want anything like that. In their name unauthorised people are going and saying, 'You can deduct'.

SHRI K. C. PANT: I would agree that if it is possible we shall advise the Unions to pass a resolution m a general body before this can be applied. Are you satisfied?

I might examine in what form it can be done. If it is misused, we will consider....

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU : Can you satisfy the House as to why you require this provision m this Bill? If a man is willing to pay, he pays. He gives an authority. You do not need any provision in a Bill.

SHRIK, C. PANT: It is just an enabling clause. If I have just heard that there have been various misuses. I will try to see if it is possible to insert something. I cannot do more than that at this stage.

SHRI DINEN **BHATTACHARYYA** (Serampore): What is the harm in accepting Mr. Indrajit Gupta's suggestion ? Ii the employee authorises, then the President or the Secretary can deduct.

SHRI K. C. PANT : Or the General Body approves of it. One will have to consider how best it can be done.

Similarly, Shri Bade wanted to know something about the Advisory Board. I think Mr. Indrajit Gupta informed him about that.

## Shri K. C. Pantl

Then Shri Bade Shri Jharkhande Rai and Shri Bhogendra Jha and some others also spoke about the Maintenance of the Internal Security Act. About the maintenance of public order, I have already explained it in my main reply.

SHRI R. V. BADE, : That is not satisfacory.

SHRI K. C. PANT: I cannot guarantee satis faction. I can only... (Interruptions)

SHRIR. V. BADE: There is no question. What is the meaning of public order?

SHRIK. C. PANT: I cannot brush it aside nor am I brushing aside what other hon. friends said with regard to taking away the jurisdiction of the Advisory Committee on this matter.

But in a warlike situation many occasions may arise where one cannot allow this to be submitted to the scrutiny of the Advisory Committee. But, in both these cases. whether it is the use of this Act for purposes of maintenance of public order or where there is misuse of any other kind. I have already said that I am prepared to look into this. I am also prepared in case there is misuse and if it comes to our notice, I am even prepared to consider revising this period of two years. Unless I get all the implications examined. I cannot agree. In fairness to me, the House should not ask it now. If, after examination, an amendment is called for, I will come forward.

SHRI BHOGENDRA JHA: \*If my amendments are accepted, how will the defence efforts be adversaly affected?

SHRI K. C. PANT; I have already explained the position.

SHRI INDRAJIT GUPTA: Indirectly, the implication here is that the Government have no faith in the advisory board

That is what it boils down to. If the advisory board examines the papers and is convinced that the continued detention of the person is justified, they will say so, and otherwise, they will say that the person should be set at liberty. It is a matter of personal liberty of a citizen.

SHRI K. C. PANT: I fully understand that. That is why I am saying that if I find it necessary, I shall come forward with an amendment. But I do not want to take any step which in an actual situation of confrontation on the field, may create difficulties. Suppose we come across cases, it may create difficulties. Under the Maintenance of Internal Security Act, not much time is given for the preparation of the documents and the data etc. etc. and they will have to be given to the advisory committee...

SHRI BHOGENDRA JHA: For that, three months are sufficient.

SHRI K. C. PANT... All those processes are built into it. At a time like this, whether one can allow the administrative machinery so much time or whether more time would be required etc. are all matters which have to be examined. I cannot off the cuff make a commitment.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I shall now put the amendments to clause 6 to vote.

SHRI BHOGENDRA JHA: I would like amendments Nos. 7 and 8 to be put to vote separately.

SHRI INDRAIT GUPTA: Let the hon. Minister restrict it to (a) and leave out (b).

SHRI K. C. PANT : I shall have it seamined.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Now, I shall put amendments to clause 6, excepting Not. 7 and 8, to the vote of the House.

Amendments Nos. 5, 14, 15, 16 and 17 were put and negatived.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I shall now put amendments Nos. 7 and 8 to the vote of the House

SHRI BHOGENDRA JHA: I want to press for a division on these amendments.

SHRI INDRAUT GUPTA: This is in our opinion the most vital part of the whole scheme, because it concerns the personal liberty of the citizen. There must be proper safeguards.

15 hrs.

SHRI K. C. PANT: I have just had a talk with my friends opposite. As I said, I do appreciate the sentiment expressed. I appreciate the apprehension voiced. But I also know the dangers which the country is passing through. I have said I would be prepared to consider an amendment, if it becomes necessary, and shall then come before the House. Therefore, I would appeal to the mover not to force the House to divide on this issue.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Yes.

SHRI BHOGENDRA JHA: I hope that the amendment will come earlier, not after we go to jail.

SHRI K. C. PANT: May I express the hope that before three months we shall have victory and then the argument will not remain?

SHRI INDRAJIT GUPTA: I hope after the victory, the emergency will not be continued.

SHRI BHOGENDRA JHA: The amendments may be put to a voice vote.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I shall now put amendments Nos. 7 and 8 to vote.

Amendments Nos. 7 and 8 were put and

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The question

"That clause 6 stand part of the Bill".

The Motion was adopted.

Clause 6 was added to the Bill.

Clauses 7 to 40 were added to the Bill.

Clause 1—(Short title, extent, application, duration and savings).

SHRI BHOGENDRA JHA: I beg to move:

Page 2, lines 6 and 7,—

omit "and for a period of six months thereafter" (6)

SHRI JHARKHANDE RAI: I beg to move:

Page 2,-

for lines 7 to 24, substitute—
"not more than three months." (11)

SHRI RAMAVATAR SHASTRI: 1 beg to move:

Page 2, lines 6 and 7,-

omit "and for a period of six months
thereafter," (18)

भी भोषेण का : उपाम्पक्ष महोवय, मैंने क्याप 1(3) में यह संगोधन संस्था 6 दिया है। केरे खयान में विधेयक का यह हिस्सा सायप्र किना पूरी सरह विभार किये हमारे सामने रख दिया गया है। इसमें कहा गया है कि यह विधेयक शुरन्त सामू हो सायेगा और वह संकट-कालीन स्थित के वौरान और कार ह वीरियक साम किया मन्यून वेबरसावकर सामू रहेगा। इस सब-वनाज में कहा गया है कि इस विधेयक के मन्त्रगत यो भी कार्यवाही की सायेगी, यह संकट-सालीन स्थिति के सामान्त्र होने के बाद भी कार्यम रहेगी। इस सब्लोखन के बाद भी कार्यम में मिए स्थाय रहें और साहे के सिए सायक रहें और साहे के स्थाय सब्लोखन के स्थाय है। यह कार्यवाही साहे के सिए सायक रहें कि इस सब्लोखन के स्थाय है। इस सब्लोखन के स्थाय स्

DECEMBER 4, 1971

- बिर्म की मेना कार्न

अन्तर्गन नजण्यन्ती वगैरह की को कार्मवाही की जायेगी, या सन्ता दी जायेगी, नह संसट-कालीन स्थिति के समाप्त होने के छ महीने तक जारी रहेगी । इसलिए मेरा बायह है कि "एन्ड फार ए पीरिएड प्राफ सिक्स मन्यस देयर-आपटर" शब्दो की हटा विया जाये । मेरा कथन यह है कि सकटकालीन स्थित के दौरान इस विधेयक के अन्त-र्गत जी कार्यवाही कर ली गई है, वह तो चाहे बाद में भी जायव समझी जाये, लेकिन सकट-कालीन स्थिति के अन्त के क महीने बाद भी नई कार्यवाहिया होती रहे. यह मुनासिब नही है। यह जनतत्र के भी खिलाफ है भीर राष्ट्रीय हित के भी खिलाफ है। इसलिए मझे श्राक्ता है कि मुखी महोदय मेरे इस संगोधन को स्वीकार कर सेंगे।

भी रामायतार शास्त्री उपाध्यक महोदय, इस विधेयक की घारा 1 (3) में कहा गया है कि यह विधेयक तुरन्त लागु हो जायेगा भीर वह सकट-कालीन स्थिति के दौरान भौर उसके बाद भी छ महीने तक-"फार ए पीरियड माफ सिक्स मन्यम देयरमापटर"-लागु रहेगा। में समझता हं कि इस विधेयक की सकट कालीन स्थिति रे अला के बाद भी छ महीने तक लागु रखने की कोई जरूरन महीं है । अब तक लढाई है, अब तक इमर्जेन्सी है, तब तक बहु कानून लागु रहना चाहिए । जिम दिन लढाई खत्म हो गई, उसके दूसरे दिन इमर्जेन्सी की, और इमिलए इस कान्त की भी, कोई जहरत नहीं है। मही महोदय ने यह नहीं बताया है कि सबट-वालीन स्थिति को छ महीने बाद तक कायम रखने की क्या प्रावश्यकता है। में समझता ह कि उसकी कोई मावश्यकता नही है। कुर्ज की जिए कि कल लडाई खत्म हो जाये और परसा हिन्द स्तान के किसान, मजदूर या ग्राम जनता किसी बडे सवाल की लेकर सरकार के खिलाफ कोई मान्दोलन करना बाहे. सो उन्हे उसके लिए मौका नहीं दिया जायेगा, जनको छ महीने तक इन्तजार करना पढेगा। मान लीजिए कि रेसवे या कारखानों के मजदूर भान्दोलन करना बाहते हैं, या किसान अपनी जमीन की लढ़ाई लड़ना बाहते हैं. तो उनको छ महीने तक वकना पडेगा । इसलिए मैं इस संशोधन के द्वारा चाहता है कि "एड फार ए पीरियड आफ़ं सिक्स मृत्युस देयरप्रापटर" शब्दों की हटा दिया आये। अब तक सड़ाई रहे, तब तक तो इस विधेयक की लायू रखने का भौचित्य है, लेकिन उसके बाद इसकी छः महीने के लिए जारी रखने की बाबक्यकरा नहीं है, क्योंकि वह एक जनतव जिल्लाकी स्टेप माना जायेगा

भी झारखन्डे राव : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, बनर मंत्री महोदय इन दो तरमीमो को स्वीकार नहीं करना बाहते हैं, तो मुझे आशा है कि वह मेरी इस तरमीम को जरूर स्वीकार कर लेंगे। धारा 1(3) में कहा गया है कि यह विधेयक संकट-कालीन रियति के समाप्त होने के छ महीने बाद तक लाग रहेगा । मैंने यह समोधन दिया है कि इस श्रवधि की "नाट मोर दैन भी मन्यस" कर विया जाये। श्रगर सरकार उसको तुरन्त समाप्त न बरे, तो वह उस को अधिक से बधिक तीन महीने के लिए लाग रखे। इससे उसका मनलब पूरा हो जायेगा धीर हम लागो को भी जनता के धान्दोलनो को छेडने का धवसर मिल जायेगा । दैसे तो सकट-कालीन स्थिति के खत्म हाने के बाद इस कानन को दो दिन, एक हफ्ते या एक महीने म भी खत्म किया जा सकता है। इसलिए "नाट मोर दैन खी मन्यस" तक इस कानन को जारी रखने की बात का मान लेने मे कोई दिक्कत नहीं होनी चाहिए । मझे प्राशा है कि मली महोदय मेरे इस सशोधन को स्वीकार कर लेंगे।

SHRIK C PANT I would have certainly considered accepting Shri Jharkhande's amendment were this not related to the Constitutional provision, article 250, which relates to the power of Parliament to legislate with respect to any matter in the State list if a proclamation of emergency is in operation Sub-clause (2) of this article says, "A law made by Parliament which Parliament would not but for the issue of a Proclamation of Emergency have been competent to make . ." etc, and . . cease to have effect on the expiration of a period of six months after the Proclamation has ceased to " So, this is related to operate . this particular provision of the Constitution.

SHRI BHOGENDRA JHA. That is the maximum limit What is the interpretation? SHRI K. C. PANT: ". . . coase to have effect on the expiration of a period of six months after the Proclamation has consect to operate." May I explain it? I have practical reasons for this also. In the last Defence of India Act, there was a provision for gold control rules. After the Defence of India Act came to an end, when the emergency ended, the Centre had to legislate thereafter to put the Gold Control Act on a permanent footing. Therefore, a certain period is required thereafter. This is the purpose why this is included.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Shall I put the amendments to clause 1?

श्री कोगेन्द्र का उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा कहना यह बा कि जो विश्वेयक है उसके श्रनुसार ऐसी कार्यवाहिया जो वह इस बीच में किए रहेंगे वह तो आगे भी जायज रहेगी, उसके बारे में मेरा सभो-धन नहीं है। मेरा कहना यह है कि भाषात कालीन स्थित में 6 महीने बाद तक यह कार्यवाही करते रहेंगे, मई कार्यवाहिया करने रहेंगे, उसके लिए मेरा निवेदन है कि वह चीज निकाल देनी चाहिए।

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Shall I put the amendments to clause 1 to the vote of the House.

Amendments Nos. 6, 11 & 18 were put and negatived.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The question is:

"That clause I stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause I was added to the Bill.

The Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRI K. C. PANT: Sir, I move: "That the Bill be passed"

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion moved:

"That the Bill be passed."

SHRI A. K. GOPALAN; Sir, I would not have taken this opportunity at the third

reading stage to oncose this Bill. But I have heard the speech of the hon. Home Minister; and he has not accepted the amendments moved by us. If these amendments were not accepted, it means that the powers will be certainly misused. There was a verbal promise that it would not be misused. But I am myself a victim of that misuse; there are so many others who were also victims. I was detailed for four years and I filed a writ petition and the court released me saying that I was detained without any reason. When I left the court premises. I was arrested again. Will there be no such misuse again? I came outside the Madras High Court, to the gate of that building after I was released but I was rearrested and detained. After the Defence of India Act is passed here, the District Magistrate or somebody else will say: I am convinced that there will not be public order and so this man should be arrested, even when a person is released by the court The Home Minister may have good intentions. But we have seen how the Act was implemented.

I am in this Parliament since 1952. There are others. What we have seen is that inspite of the fact that so many promises were made that the Act would never be misused, they had been misused; there is a legitimate apprehension that it will be misused again.

As far as the minorities are concorned, a promise was given here. But it is not the Home Minister who is acting; it is the bureaucracy. They say: we are convinced that such and such a person should be detained. He may be an innocent man. But these powers were used against the minorities. They say that they want these strong powers under the Defence of India Act. Having got those powers, anybody who wants to misuse it can do so.

There are the fundamental rights. Some local authority may say that there is no

### [Shri A. K. Gopalan]

maintenance of public order. Even today, when there is no declaration of emergency in so many places the elementary right of processions and demonstrations and meetings are banned. Needless to say that even the elementary rights will not be allowed when there is the Emergency and the Defence of India Act comes into operation.

As for workers, an amendment was sought to be moved saying: do not compel them. As for their contribution, you will get it. Even that amendment was not accepted. The phrase: maintenance of public order is very wide indeed; that can be used in each and every case. If two drunken people have a brawl, it can be said there is no public order and they can be detained.

Maintenance of public order has been used before in many cases against those whom they wanted to book, and it will be done again.

As far as prices are concerned, what is the difficulty in the Government saying that hoarders, profiteers and blackmarketeers will be punished under this Act? If Fundamental Rights can be curbed, why not say that these people will be punished?

I oppose this Bill very strongly because some of the Clauses are likely to be misused by the bureaucracy and the officials, and the people, instead of being enthused to fight the enemy, will become discontented. Not only the fighting front, but the rear is also important. People will give money in the interest of the country, and they should not be forced to give. This is not the method of enthusing the people. Even this minor amendment has not been accepted. That means that every opportunity is given to those who are going to implement the Act to misuse it, to take revenge against their enemies. So I hope at least this amendment will be accepted. If it is not accepted, L strongly oppose the Bill.

SHRI PILOO MODY (Godina): I avenonthise with Mr. Gonalan's liberty although I cannot sympathise with his philosophy. The Home Minister, in fact Parliament itself, the Government, the Prime Minister has received only this morning unanimous support from all sections of the House. All of us, in spite of the acrimony of only this week's debate, have unhesitatingly, unstintingly and wholeheartedly joined our ranks in support of the Prime Minister in the arduous task of keeping the wolves away from the door. In a situation like this I should have thought that the Home Minister himself would have felt a certain sense of humility not only in the confidence that has been placed in him and his Government but also in the nature and manner and the electrifying method by which this tremendous support has been given to this Government.

There are certain points that have been made in the amendments which were patently just. After all, this is not a new Bill that has come into our life, it is a Bill that we have lived with not only since Swarai but even before, and many of us have witnessed the injustice and misuse of such a Bill. On each occasion the injustice and misuse have been pointed out, and on each occasion the Home Minister, whoever the incumbent. has given assurances of what a good boy he is and each time these assurances have been laid to rest. Only a few months ago a substitute for the P.D. law was also enancted by this Parliament. At that time I said to the Minister that wherever necessary, in order to maintain integrity and even law and order, we would give the Government whatever powers it desired. We will give Government whatever power it needs, just power, but let it not ask for more than it needs, because this is not a need; it is agreed. Therefore, although today on this occasion, I will do nothing to upset the disestion of the Home Minister and the Government, I would only like to advise him to year his. demands to his needs and to his preeds. Let him do something more than just giving assurances. Let him satisfy us that the powers that are being given to him right now will not be subjected to misuse.

SHRI H.N. MUKERJEE (Calcutta-North-East): Sir, I feel it is a great pity that even on this occasion. Government has not had the grace to come forward and accept some of the amendments which were suggested. Earlier today, this House witnessed a rare occasion of a kind of national unanimity, which should have made some genuine impression on the mind of Government. But when I heard my friend. Mr Pant. replying to the discussion on the different clauses. I was beginning to have a suspicion that perhaps this Government, graceless as it appears to be even on this day of days when all our hearts are overflowing with a sense of patriotic endeavour and dedication. seem to be attempting to exploit the euphoria of this morning for petty political purposes. I say so, because as my friend, Mr. Gopalan pointed out, we have been long enough in this House, to have found out how on such very serious occasions, assurances given by the Government were belied and remained merely on paper.

I had no mind earlier today to intervene, but I got up just because I wanted to point out how earlier on many occasions in 1962 and again in 1965, Government promised to hold the price line but egregiously failed. On this occasion, when a concrete measure was suggested, not that Government could actually implement it, but it was to be put on the statute-book, regarding a genuine, material and concrete way of securing the price structure, he refused to concede the point. The ugly word "public order" makes its reappearance and it makes me feel rather apprehensive about certain things.

I remember in 1962, on the day that our party, which was then undivided, extended our unstinted support to the Defence of India

Bill, the General Secretary of bur Party, Shri E. M. S. Namboodiripad was arrested and I had to refer to that thing in the course of my speech, in which of course, I again reiterated the entire and unconditional support of our party, to the Defence of India Bill. On the next day another solleague of ours, who had spoken in support of the Bill, Mr. Nambiar, was stowed away in jail. Many other instances have taken place of which I do not have the time to go into and at this point of time, I also do not want to introduce any acrimony into the debate today at any rate. But this is the sort of experience which we have had.

In regard to the minorities particularly the Muslim minority, I am glad Shri Pant said some very warm-hearted words in regard to them. But there again, I would like to pin them down to a very much more concrete assurance about what is to follow. I recall that in 1965, during the Indo-Pakistani confrontation, the first award of this country of Param Vir Chakra went to Havildar Abdul Hamid Khan of the Indianarmy.

The first award of Mahavir Chakra in this country has gone to Brigadier Usman during the Kashmir campaign in 1947-48. I remember how Muslims have come forward in this country to say that this country belongs to them, I remember on one occasion a Muslim leader, even before independence, even while supporting the idea of Pakistan had said that when a Hindu dies his body is burht and the ashes are thrown into the river to be carried God knows where, but when a Muslim dies he needs six feet by three feet of Indian soil, as he belongs to this country in life as well as in death. This is the attitude with which some of our fellow patriots among the Muslims are enthused. I remember one of our colleagues in the last Parliament, Shel Baddruduja. I cannot to this day conceive of or believe that he is a man with traition ous intentions to this country. Even

and the street of the second state of the seco

# (Shot H. N. Mukerjee)

though we have been assured in this House that he would be brought to trial, he is rotting in tail without trial month after month. This is the way the assurances siven about all kinds of considerations are honoured and this is the human behaviour meted out to the minorities.

I am not going to put a spoke on anybody's wheel and I do not want to sound a discordant note. We are not going to oppose this Bill because this country has got to stand together to fight the menace and win over as soon as ever that is possible. We have been always yearning, hungering and thirsting to find out more news about what is happening to this country, particularly in the Bangladesh deliberation, which is something very near to our heart.

I do not want to waste the time of the House nor do I want to enter into an acrimonious discussion. Even so, I had some expectation that this government would have the grace to accept some of the things suggested. But, somehow or other, that grace seems to be absent. I do not know' why we cannot get rid of this habit even on this kind of occasion when everybody's heart is over-flowing and when everyone is behind the nation as one man. We do not see that it is only by extending the ambit of genuine popular freedom, it is only by strengthening and fortifying the rear of our country, the morale of our working people, it is only by seeing to it that they are not put to any kind of avoidable jeopardy, it is only in that way that the glow of freedom can burn in the hearts of our countrymen. But that glow would not come if the government happen to persist in this kind of attitude. I am very sorry to have to bring in something like a note of discord, but we are naturally apprehensive of the intentions of the government because of government's egregious behaviour in not accepting at least some of the amendments which would have placed some control on the executive.

SHRI V. K. KRISHNA MENON (THvandrum): MR. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I am at a stage when whatever I say would not make any changes in the Bill. So, I say this in the hope that the Ministers would think about it in the execution and implementation of these matters.

There are four specific points that I want to raise here. Firstly, this Act will not take away the fundamental rights. It is a mistake to think so. It will only take away the remedy to the denial of fundamental rights; that is to say, after the repeal of this enactment, we could fight for remedies against the government unless they indemnify themselves. Of course, that is a long way off. But that does not take away the fundamental right. That is the main thing. But we cannot get the remedy.

Therefore, certain safeguards have been imported into the much abused judicial interpretation. The first of it is that any imprisonment that takes place, any action that takes place under these laws are violation of natural justice. That will be taken notice of by the courts, and natural justice m our laws, as it has grow, is no longer a nebulous conception. We know what is natural justice. If there is violation of natural justice, then there is a cause of action. I am putting it as briefly as possible. The second aspect of this point is that a charge in natural justice would include for example that the man who is charged against should have an opportunity of repudiating the charge at the earliest possible moment. You cannot have something written behind the back by the same man who has put him under imprisonment, because that is denial of justce.

Secondly, the charges cannot be vague according to the court. That is to say, without giving the date, without saying where he saw, whom he saw, simply stating that he was in such and such place, he talked such and such thing, without giving the

names, without giving the courts any idea of assessing whether it is true or not, if the charges are so vague, then the man would be released.

Equally, if four charges are made and one of them happens to be of a defective character, the whole thing goes. In the case of a large number of offences, which the whole House and the most ordinary people regard as heinous, like smuggling or something of that kind, the courts have definitely laid down that for what is coming under the ordinary penal laws you cannot use the Defence of India Act There was a case somewhere from Kerala where a man was selling kerosene at a high price and he was put m jail under the Defence of India Act. Justice Gajendragadkar who presided said. "You cannot use a hammer to kill a fly." So, if there are four charges and one of them does not come under public security or public order, all other charges are vitiated.

What happens is that one small trader is disliked by his rival; he gets the help of a policeman and says that he was talking to a Pakistani or that he was smuggling gold. and he is put in prison It is quite true that he might be smuggling gold. But you cannot put him in prison under the Defence of India Act because there are penal laws for that purpose. So, in a mixture of charges if one of them is defective or questionable, the whole thing goes and he has to be re-

Thirdly, when the advisory committee telis a person what the charges are, there must be an opportunity to the person to explain his own position, not necessarily through lawyers. I am one of those people who think that the fact that you have appointed a man who has been a High Court Judge before, is no guarantee of objectivity. The tribunal must be impartial in the sense that it must apply its mind and adopt quasi-judicial procedures. It must be built into the Judge that he will not take to star

chamber methods. To my mind, if a settred High Court Judge, may be out of patriotism. is prepared to accept procedures whereby you can try people under star chamber methods, taking secret evidence which cannot be shifted, he forfeits his character of judicial independence. So, to me just because a person has been High Court Judge for some years, that is no guarantee at all.

Fourthly, the present state of our law. irrespective of whatever you may say about nonjusticiability, still makes a thing justiciable when it is brought before the courts if it is a fraud on the Constitution. Fraud on the Constitution is what is called malice in law; using one law for another purpose. If the law is intended for one purpose and you use it for another purpose, it is a fraud on the Constitution. If it is a fraud on the Constitution or colourable action or mala fide, it has to be brought before the courts.

All these things really refer to the Law Minister who is conveniently absent. I hone, the Prime Minister is listening as she always does. It is no use appealing to the Home Minister. With great respect to him, he is the most cynical minister I have met in regard to the liberty of the people. The other day, after beating eleven people to death, he turned round and said, "We are going to have prison reform." That is a little bit too thick.

The last thing I want to say is that in view of the circumstances, the two Home Ministers sitting here, who have the responsibility for this, should give directions to administer whatever treatment is required to their executive officers, particularly the police, in the way they should handle it. After all, other people are being deprived of various things. That set of directions should more or less go as quasi-judicial directions.

I will conclude by saying that I am quite aware that whatever assurance the Minister or the Government gives in Parliament has no validity in law. Any speeches that they

116

' Ishri'V. K. Krishna Meteni

make have no validity in law. Therefore, merely environ that they will do this or even siving solemn assurances will not do. It must be written down in the statutes or rules of business or directions. If there is anything given in writing, there will be some security for the harassed citizens who in this very large country are under the octopus of a very large administration.

SHRI JYOTTRMOY BOSU : Sir, we have learnt later that the Speaker in his wisdom has suspended two Rules which reguired the Bill to be circulated a certain I do not expect the time in advance. Government to undermine this House and allow it to function with certain adjustments if it is necessary. If you are not thinking in terms of mobilisation of people, particularly, working people and public opinion. you are making a great blunder here. That I must point out.

Please tell us what was the need of this Bill. You have sufficient powers under the existing Maintenance of Internal Security Act and the Prevention of Violent Activities Act. The whole nation is behind you. Why is it that you want to assume extra powers? Under the Maintenance of Internal Security Act, you have arrested not less than 1500 people in West Benkal and under the Prevention of Violent Activities Act, you have detailed about 4000 people. It is more known for its misapplication than for its proper application.

Comrade H. N. Mukerjee talked about the arrest of Syed Baddruduja who was twice offered a Cabinet post here. Because he was not willing to join the Congress, they have now put him behind the bars. The High Court has released him. They have again arrested him and prosecuted 'him under the Official Secrets Act although he had never held any office of profit under the Government. Although he was arrested on lat June, till today, he has not been given

a charge-sheet. This is nothing but misapplication.

In 1965, although an assurance was given on the floor of the House, as I read from the proceedings, you had arrested not less than 9000 minority Muslims in this country without trial with one stroke of pen and, after some time, you released them with one stroke of pen without showing any rhyme or reason. As a result, many small people and big people also and their families got themselves completely ruined.

In the whole of the country and specially in West Bengal, the same set of oppressive bureaucratic machinery is functioning and they have become more powerful than they were in 1965. You can very well imagine what will be the fate of those who will not be liked by the bureaucratic machinery and the Government.

Look at this Bangla Desh issue even. I have a paper clipping which says that Rs. 2 crores have been misappropriated by the Rehabilitation Department under the account "purchase of tents" You know your bureaucracy; you know your official machinery. In spite of that, you want to give them more powers, etc. etc.

May I point out that sacrifice has to come from the upper strata. The very word "black money", the very word "black market" came into existence during the Second World War. The Government has failed in checking hoarding, profiteering, all these things, for the last so many years. During the last three years, the rise in prices They have has amounted to 35 per cent. utterly failed. As regards the expansion of the scope of the Essential Commodities Act in this Bill, they have not done it. That goes to show that they will completely surrender themselves in the hands of the profiteers, hoarders, etc.

Comrade Indrajit Gupta mentioned about the arrest of Comrade Ved Prakash. He has been arrested only 5 or 6 miles away from Delhi. It will take just 15 minutes to give a ring and find out why he has been arrested. You have not done it so far. I suspect there is a skeleton in the cupboard. There are many cases and the present machinery of bureaucracy is basically anti-people and, unless there is strict check on that, everything will be misused.

There will be occasions when this will always be applied against weaker sections of society. We have seen how policemen and jotedars have been joining hands in evicting bargadars in West Bengal. these powers will be utilised under the blanket powers they have taken Also. coming to the insecurity of the political opponents (Interruptions)

MR DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order, order. Kindly be brief.

I am only concerned with the time of the House. This has got to go to the other House also I am making a request to you to be brief

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: There is no indication The Government has not come out with an austerity programme on the Government itself. The expenses on Ministers, conservation of resources, all these things-there is no mention about that. We want that this should be undone. This Act should have a natural death within fifteen days time the war is over.

Last thing. In many States, Citizens' Councils have been presided over by a nonofficial. But, in West Bengal, why is it that you have planted an official to head the Citizens' Council?

SHRI K. C. PANT: Many of the points that have been made in the Third Reading have been made in the course of the First and Second Reading as well and I hope my hon. friends will permit me not to refer to them again because it will mean the repetition of the same arguments.

I would only like to put the things again in the perspective. Firstly, this is an extraordinary measure which is required in an extra-ordinary situation. It is not a nemnanent measure on the statute book. It is there to take care of the emergency that has arisen and for which this whole House and the whole country feel greatly concerned. It is a matter which involves the very survival of the country and, therefore, there can be no occasion of allowing any risk to be taken. Even if the measure appears somewhat stiff, somewhat sever, it has to be viewed in the light of the situation that exists on the borders and in the light of what is going on in Bangla Desh and in the light of the aspirations of the people of India. In regard to what is going on on the borders and inside Bangla Desh it cannot be torn away from the context in which we are discussing it. Once the context is kept in mind. I think much of what has been said would have been said in a different manner.

The second point I want to make is that this is more or less as the Prime Minister said, the same measure that came before the House in 1962 and it is more or less the same.

SHRI DINEN BHATTACHARYYA : No. no.

SHRI K. C. PANT : Largely, I can tell you I know, it is largely the same.

The third point is that so many friends seem to think that the Maintenance of the Internal Security Act is being brought in through this measure. It is not correct, Some provisions relate to the Maintenance of Internal Security Act and some of those have been taken objection to by some friends. But the Maintenance of Internal Security Act is on the statute book quite apart from this measure. This is also to be kept in mind.

I am very sorry that I have not been able to win the approval of Mr. Krishna Menon. When I was replying to the question the other day, somebody asked me, 'what have you done about reforms in prisons?" and in relation to that particular incident I answered in that context. If he reads all the questions and my answers, he will get the total picture.

So far as my hon, friend, Mr. Mukerjee is concerned for whom I have the deepest respect. I am sorry he has used the words "petty political purposes". "The Government has brought forward this Bill for petty political purposes.' I don't think that defence of India is a 'petty political purpose'. That is the only purpose which we have in mind in bringing forward this measure. But, I agree with much of what he said about the minorities, about the need to pull together, about the need to take severe and stringent action against hoarders and black-marketeers, about the valour of Abdul Hamid as well as Brig. Usman to which he has referred. I agree with all that. I would appeal to him. I would appeal to other hon. friends opposite that even though they may have certain apprehensions regarding certain measures, it is in a spirit of all of us pulling together in this emergency that we must regard this measure and I have promised and I hold to that promise that I shall, while framing the Rules, consider all the suggestions that have been made and, if necessary, I shall come before the House with an amendment. That I have said. I mean it because I do realise that this is not a motion on which the House should divide and if over some clause or sub-clause we have differences of opinion, we can certainly sit down and iron out the differences which we cannot do on the floor of the House because of the time factor. We can certainly sit down and discuss with the hon. Members and we can come to an agreement. It is in that spirit that I would like you to take this.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : The ques tion is :

> "That the Bill be passed." The motion was adopted.

4.45 h rs.

JAYANTI SHIPPING COMPANY (ACQUISITION OF SHARES) BILL-contd.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: The House will now take up further consideration of the following motion moved by Shri Raj Bahadur on the 3rd December, 1971, namely:---

"That the Bill to provide for the acquisition of the shares of the Jayanti Shipping Company Limited in order to serve better the shipping needs of the nation and to facilitate the promotion and development, in the interests of the general public. of national shipping and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto, be taken into consideration."

श्री झारकाढे राय (घोसी) : उपाध्यक महोदय, में जयन्ती शिपिंग कम्पनी (ऐक्बिजन बाफ शेयर) बिल के मलाधार का समर्थन करता हं। पिछले मध्या-बाध चनाव के पहले कांग्रेस पार्टी और उसके नेता ने इस बात को स्वीकार कर जिया कि राष्ट्रीयकरण का ही रास्ता है जो समाजवाद की मोर देश को ले जा सकता है। बही उसके मार्ग को प्रशस्त करता है, भीर उसके आधार पर चौदह बैकों का राष्ट्रीयकरण किया गया था। भार-तीय कम्यनिस्ट पार्टी ने उसका हाविक समर्थन किया था। लेकिन मुझे यह कहते हुए दुःख हो रहा है कि बहीं पर कदम इक गया । देश की जितनी मैं किंग इंडस्टीज हैं उनके पास कुल जमा पंजी बाज 50 करन रुपने से कम नहीं है। यह सबकी सब कलम की एक ही नीक से ले ली जानी चाहिए थी। लेकिन कदम भी उठा ती सावा उठा । इसी बात की धिकायत हमको रही है और मान भी है। पौने तीन सी बैकों को भाज भी सरकार ने अपने