135 Beedi Workers JANUARY 9, 1976 Welfare Cess Bill

STATEMENT RE: ELECTION LAWS (EXTENSION TO SIKKIM) ORDINAÑCE

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (DR. V. A. SEYID MUHAMMAD): Sir, I beg to lay on the Table an explanatory statement (Hindi and English versions) giving reasons for immediate legislation by the Election Laws (Extension to Sikkim) Ordimance, 1975, as required under rule 71(1) of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha.

BEEDI WORKERS WELFARE CESS BILL*

THE MINISTER OF LABOUR (SHRI RAGHUNATHA REDDY): I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill to provide for the levy and collection, by way of cess, a duty of excise on tobacco issued for the manufacture of beedi.

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill to provide for the levy and collection, by way of cess, a duty of excise on tobacco issued for the manufacture of beedi."

The motion was adopted.

SHRI RAGHUNATHA REDDY: Sir, In introducet the Bill.

BEEDI WORKERS WELFARE FUND BILL*

THE MINISTER OF LABOUR (SHRI RAGHUNATHA REDDY): I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill to provide for the financing of

Motion of Thanks 136 on President's Address

measures to promote the welfare of persons engaged in beedi establishments.

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill to provide for the financing of measures to promote the welfare of persons engaged in beedi establishments."

The motion was adopted.

SHRI RAGHUNATHA REDDY: I introduce† the Bill.

12.10 hrs.

MOTION OF THANKS ON THE PRESIDENT'S ADDRESS—Contd.

MR. SPEAKER: Now, we take up the Motion of Thanks on the President's Address. The hon. Prime Minister.

THE PRIME MINISTER. MINISTER OF PLANNING, MINISTER OF ATO-MIC ENERGY, MINISTER OF ELEC-TRONICS AND MINISTER OF SPACE (SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI): Mr. Speaker, Sir, as usual there has been a long debate on the President's Address. But, for the first time, I felt that the speeches tried to argue rather than abuse. Even so many Members were not able to get away from their old narrowness of thinking and some arguments, if I may say so, were rather self-destructive.

Obviously, the President's speech cannot be all-embracing and cover all the points which are of interest to us or to the nation. He spoke specifically of the Twenty-Point Programme and that subject also found

*Published in Gazette of India Extraordinary, Part II, Section 1 dated 9.1.76. †Introduce with the recommendation of the President.

Motion of Thanks PAUSA 19, 1897 (SAKA) 137 Motion of Thanks 138 on President's Address

mention in most of the speeches in discussion. An hon. Member the opposite, Shri Sezhiyan, referring to the twenty-point economic programme asked rather derisively. 'what happened to the Fifth Five Year Plan?' the twenty-point Now. economic programme is not in lieu of the Fifth Five Year Plan nor does it in any way conflict with the basic objectives and goals of the Fifth Five Year Plan.

What is the philosophy of the programme? My hon'ble friend, Shri V. K. R. V. Rao, put it in perspective. The programme has to be looked at as a whole. First, it deals with immediate tasks and long-neglected ones. It has introduced new priorities in identifying programmes of special concern to those at the very bottom of the ladder and to impart a new thrust and vigour to their implementation. Urban people-students and house-wives-have not been forgotten. Then, there are long-term programmes such as those for irrigation and power. It also contemplates a multi-pronged drive against economic evils such as black money and smuggling which erode the resource base of our Plan. If implemented in right earnest and with a due sense of urgency and mission on the part of all the agencies concerned the programme will make a quick and perceptible impact on the lives of our people. It will rouse public enthusiasm and secure a greater sense of commitment of the people to the goals of our Plan. Thus, it will lay the foundations for a speedier advance in the years to come.

The important thing to remember is that at a moment of raging inflation, we have taken up a major developmental programme which does not increase inflation but contains it. I do not think that this can be called a small achievement. It is an ideal expressing itself in real and practical terms. Needs and justice have been sought to be met.

on President's Address

The hon'ble Members also referred to the delay in the finalisation of the Fifth Plan. It is a canard to say that we have given up the Plan. The basic strategy and objectives of the Fifth Plan remain valid as I have said on previous occasions in this House. They are economic self-reliance, the development of domestic resources of energy and the removal of constraints of production such as shortages of power and transport. In fact, these objectives of the Plan have now acquired heightened significance in the light of developments on the international economic scene in the last two years. Unfortunately, the hon. Member opposite and his party came to power by exciting the people on a narrow provincial basis. But, we in this House, cannot ignore the wider aspect. Economic upheavals have thrown the economies of most developed countries into disarray. Countries which have until recently maintained a rate of growth of 10 to 15 per cent. per annum have had to lower their sights are now faced either with a negative or a negligible rate of growth. Countries which used to pride themselves on their record of price stability have become victims of double digit inflation. Was it possible for us to completely insulate our economy against the blizzard blowing across the international economic scene? If there is delay in the finalisation of the Fifth Five Year Plan, it is because, we would like the international economic situation to stabilise a little so as to enable us to plan with a greater degree of certainty and assurance. It is wrong to think that the Annual Plans are ad-hoc exercises. Annual plans are formulated within the framework of the Five Year Plan and seek to further the objectives of the Plan. We have tamed the inflationary forces that threatened to wrech our economy. Prices today are some 7 per cent lower than this time last year. This is an achievement of which we can be legitimately proud.

Our success in the anti-inflationary campaign has given us a new sense of

JANUARY 9, 1976 Motion of Thanks 139 on President's Address

[Shrimati Indira Gandhi]

confidence and has improved the outlook for the Plan. In 1975-76, we provided for an increase of nearly 25 per cent in plan outlays. We are aiming at a further substantial increase in the outlays for the next year. The House should remember that the world situation today is very different from what it was when we began planning.

State plans which are largely concerned with irrigation, power, agriculture and social services are somewhat less vulnerable to the destabilisation pressures generated by turmoil in international economics. Even so, what is the record of the Tamil Nadu Government in the implementation of its State Plan. Now, Central assistance, whether it is high or low, is being released in accordance with certain Some States in the south principles. such as Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka and in the north States like Punjab and Haryana and even a relatively backward State like Madhya Pradesh, have managed to fulfil the Plan outlays which in per capita terms are far higher than that of the Tamil Nadu Government. I should like to remind the hon. member and his Party that during the days of the Congress Government under the leadership of Shri Kamaraj, Tamil Nadu used to be near the top in terms of per capita plan outlays. Today it is somewhere near the bottom. This is the plight to which this State has been reduced during the last 6 years. In the long run, the poor performance of the State in certain crucial sectors will adversely affect the prospects of the talented people of the State. It may fit in with the political strategy of the Party to make out an impressive catalogue of their minor achievements for temporary political gains. But I am deeply worried about the damage caused to the growth potential of an important State in our country or indeed of the Indian sub-continent, for in their public pronouncements, the DMK Government seems unable to forget their separatist philosophy.

Motion of Thanks on President's Address

I would not have referred to the poor record of the Tamil Nadu Government on the economic front but for their attempts to mislead the public into believing that the State itself is doing everything it can to further the economic progress of its people, while the Centre is standing in the way. If the State claims to have implemented the twenty-point programme already, it is welcome to nurse this delusion. But for me, the specifics in the twentypoint programme and the spirit animating it are so important that no one who has the welfare of the people at heart can rest content with what has been already attempted or achieved.

There must be a continuous search for programmes benefiting the poor and the weak and a constant endeavour to improve on the quality of implementation of such programmes. I would, therefore, ask the hon, member and his Party not to lay that flattering function to their soul, as Shakespeare said, that they have already done everything that needs to be done to implement the programme and therefore can relax into complacency. It is not those clothed in brief authority but the common people of the State who will suffer the consequences of this grand delusion.

I should like to say a few words about economic achievements under the twenty-point programme in these last six months. Consumer prices for the agricultural labourer are now 8.3 per cent lower; 27 lakh tonnes of kharif cereals have been procured against 14 lakh tonnes last year; 53 lakh bogus cards have been eliminated; public sector production in these six months is 31.5 per cent higher than last year. Industrial production gains are as follows: steel is 15.9 per cent, power generation 12 per cent, coal 12 per cent, fertiliser 48 per cent, cement 11.8 per cent, crude oil 10 per cent, 60 lakhs house sites have been distributed. The programme for the removal of bonded labour is well known as also the establishment of rural banks. Ultimately there will be fifty banks,

140

141 Motion of Thanks PAUSA 19, 1897 (SAKA) Motion of Thanks 142 on President's Address on President's Address

each with 100 branches. Irrigation is being expanded and the Godavary accord is a welcome new and significant step. Handlooms have been given a Ioan of nearly Rs. 5 crores. Urban property evaluation programmes and the confiscation of smugglers' properties are other items. Workers' participation has already been effected in about 75 per cent of our public undertakings and we hope to expedite the programme in the remaining months. In income-tax, 7.3 lakhs of tax-payers are now off the tax range. In the apprenticeship scheme, 1.17 lakhs of seats have already been filled up and work is progressing.

One hon. Member opposite asked: why are people not being tried if they are guilty of treason? We have-I can at least speak for myself-never used this word or questioned their patriotism. The danger is not of individual acts but of the collective challenge which was being exploited by elements within and outside the country. Their political challenge has been politically met and the unconstitutional agitation has been constitutionally repulsed. The Opposition cannot any longer hope to divide the Congress or to play one against the other, nor will the sowing of rumours help them.

It would be ridiculous for any person to claim infallibility. I have never done so. I have always considered myself a humble servant of the country. What I have said is that my assessment of trends in India and the world have so far proved correct as against the assessments made by most opposition leaders who usually echo the assessment of those outside our country. This is not because of any personal quality but because I have learnt from our great leaders to be close to the voice of the people.

When I first mentioned foreign agencies, I was ridiculed within the country and without. How do we, or any developing country for that matter,

prove or dispute the doings of agencies who have had long years of experience in a variety of countries, using e11 kinds of methods, and different sections of their own and the local population, besides, religious groups, journalists, academicians and so on? This is not an ordinary, everyday crime that can come under normal law. To take it lightly does not speak highly of anyone's patriotic instincts. Unfortunately the Member who spoke of this matter has become known for his cleverness in creating mischief and misunderstanding. So long as those antics were confined to this House one could look upon them with some amusement but now he has attempted to do the same in state politics with undesirable results.

I listened with great respect to Kumari Maniben. No one says or thinks that the emergency is a perfect solution or that everything is for the best. There are many imperfections. I am conscious of our shortcomings. I know also that we have people among us who may not be fully in tune with our programmes. Yet we are moving ahead. We have not compromised on any policy matter. She mentioned abuses by the bureaucracy; we are also aware of that. But I should like to point out that those abuses have not suddenly begun since the proclamation of the emergency; they have existed before also. It is our task to discover the faults and to rectify them whenever they are pointed out to us or we get any information. I know also that the difficulties in implementation are not going to be removed merely by our wishing so or declaring the emergency. They will remain because powerful classes and powerful forces at all levels are against the implementation of our policy. We do realise this. All we can say is that these forces must be combated with all the strength at our command and this ` is what we are trying to do. We may have setbacks, but we have moved a forward and we intend to continue to do so. If there are any complaints, if

143 Motion of Thanks JANUARY 9, 1976 on President's Address

[Smt. Indira Gandhi]

anybody knows of cases, I shall be slad to be told of them and I shall certainly follow them up. Kumari Maniben also spoke of people drinking. Now, you know that we are trying to launch a movement against alcoholic drink. But what about that paragon of virtue in this respect—the State of Gujarat? During the Assembly election I heard of liquor being distributed in a tanker. Only the other day we all read of deaths in Surat due to the imbibing of illicit liquor.

Kumari Maniben and her party may not believe in violence and I do not dispute her saying so. But why do they join with those who do? Why do they allow this violence? As hon. Members on our side have mentioned, there were attacks at every Congress meeting held before the Assembly elections. In my meetings, while the attendance was in lakhs, 10, 15 or 25 people would throw stones, or shoes. They would shout abusive and even obscene slogans. I did not once hear any of their leaders objecting or instructing that that should not be done. One of our candidates was sought to be burnt alive and could be rescued only in the nick of time with serious burn injuries. In the recent elections there, there have been three murders of which we definitely know and many cases of violence. I do not want to read from the large number of letters and telegrams which I have received and which have been referred to the Government.

Kumari Maniben spoke of two prisoners. We all know that Shrimati Gayatri Devi and Shrimati Scindia are not political prisoners. They were arrested for something quite different. In fact, the case against Shrimati Gayatri Devi would have been brought, but there is often a delay in such matters and she was arrested because of information that she might country. I know how leave the strongly Parliament feels when some-

76 Motion of Thanks 144 on President's Address

thing like this happens and we would be blamed for not preventing it.

Hon'ble Member, Shri Patel spoke of fair elections. I do not want to go into details. Some of our Members have pointed to what has happened in the Gujarat elections. I shall only mention two cases. One is about the Government official who had put 2# papers in the ballot box for the Janata Front. He admitted doing so when he was caught red-handed and actually had other such marked papers in his hand ready to be put into the ballot box, I believe he is now behind bars. Similarly there was the news which nobody has contradicted that some thousands of Harijans were not allowed to vote. They were surrounded by workers of a particular group. This happened in the recent elections. But before that, after the Assembly election, I think I have referred earlier to this, a colony of Christians was razed to the ground because on being questioned by some students as to for whom they had voted, they replied 'Congress'. Their houses were burnt to the ground. I do not know whether the Government has come to their help now. But for quite some time the Government had not done so. Now, does Shri Patel consider it democratic or constitutional to dissolve a duly elected Assembly by public violence, by Street agitations or a threat to fast unto death? We gave into Morarii only to save his life, knowing that it was a wrong thing to do. Not a wrong thing to save his life, but wrong to dissolve the Assembly because of such pressure and threat. Maniben was perfectly right when she quoted what I had stated about MISA. I did say so, but I would submit that the circumstances which developed later were exceptional and extraordinary. And, when something entirely extraordinary and exceptional takes place, you have to have exceptional measures to deal with it. Who is the best doctor? Is it a panel of doctors, a hotchpotch of people

145 Motion of Thanks PAUSA 19, 1897 (SAKA) Mo on President's Address on Pres

with different views on treatment? One doctor may make a wrong diagnosis, but having a number of doctors, perhaps with different viewpoints-one Ayurvedic, one Unani, one Allopathic, one Homoeopathic, one expert in Tibetan medicine or some other science—are they capable of giving better treatment to the country? Because, this is what it was-a group of heterogeneous elements in which the Jan Sangh was dominating and calling the tune. I don't think that what we have heard in their speeches, what we have witnessed of their actions, can be the right path for this country to retain either its unity to give fairplay to its minorities or to bring about the sort of equality which is absolutely essential not only from the human point of view, but from the point of view of the country's future strength and effectiveness in dealing with problems, pressures, threats and dangers.

Shri Tridib Chaudhuri is a senior and respected member of this House, but I think he would have been more convincing when he spoke against democracy and people taking advantage of certain situations had he raised his voice all these years, when so much hatred and falsehood were being spread. A virulent campaign was mounted with tremendous pressure. What shouting there was! Again and again we are asked, what could a few people of the opposition do when Congress has a massive majority? But they had made it difficult for any member on this side to function, to reply even in a soft voice. Later, I must admit our members also took to shouting and it was not possible for me to stop them. Their standard reply was: when the opposition cannot be controlled, how can you tell only us to be quiet? I had no answer to that. So, this pressure was mounting. As I pointed out the other day, it takes a long time to construct, whether it is a building, whether it is a dam or a project, it takes a long time, it takes a great deal of money and re-

SAKA) Motion of Thanks 146 on President's Address

sources and involves many people. But to destroy, what is needed? Oneperson can throw a bomb. One person can set fire. So, this argument about relative members is irrelevant and un-convincing. All over the world, where the forces of reaction have been able to establish themselves, they have not been in the majority. It is a few who have been able, through noise, and support from outside, by creating a particular atmosphere to suppress the voice of the people. They have been able through rumour-mongering and whisper campaigns to create an atmosphere where the ordinary person is thoroughly confused and does not know what is happening. While he attempts to sort out his thoughts and to find the truth of the situation those other take advantage and establish themselves. This has happened time and again in different countries and different parts of the world. Did Shri Tridib Chaudhuri pause to wonder whether there was any truth in the wild allegations which were being bandied about and hurled across at us day in, day out?

I see in front of me the hon. member, Shri Viswanathan. A short while before I came into the House I heard that after his speech in the House yesterday, some members of a political party—perhaps you can guess which one it is; assaulted him in the lobby. (Interruptions). I am not yielding.

(Interruptions)

SHRI SEZHIYAN (Kumbakonam): I appeal to the Speaker please constitute a committee. If anything has been done by my Party members, I am ready to face whatever consequences you suggest. (Interruptions).

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: I am not entering into any argument. (Interruptions).

SHRI SEZHIYAN: My Party can never indulge in such things. (Inter-

Motion of Thanks JANUARY 9, 1976 147 on President's Address

[Shri Sezhiyan]

ruptions). We have been accused of CIA agents. (Interruptions).

SHRI G. VISWANATHAN (Wandiwash): This House was a witness. when I was speaking I was not only prevented from speaking but they had also used abusive language in Tamil which I did not bring to Chair's notice. Again, they threatened me inside the House. In the Lobby also, they tried to assault me. (Interruptions).

F SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: 7 said: I was told, I was not a witness to the incident and, therefore, I do not wish to argue about it. The word used was 'assaulted'. (Interruptions). Any Member who wishes to do so, can go to the hon. Speaker and speak to him later on. Threats are given quite publicly. Cuttings of what is said and written against many people including myself have been sent to me from Tamil Nadu. I am used to this and we have not complained. But when the falsehoods and threats created an atmosphere which finally led to murder most foul, even then most of the Opposition were content merely to say that this should not have been done. They did nothing to change the atmosphere of hatred which always breeds violence.

SHRI DASARATHA DEB (Tripure East): What has the Congress Party done in Tripura. They have put the Opposition Members inside the jail. Please tell me. (Interruptions).

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: We are now talking what is happening in this Parliament.

Shri Indrajit Gupta commented that there was no point in speaking if it would not be fully reported. I do not know whether the speech has been fully reported or not because I do not read these things in the news-

Motion of Thanks on President's Address

In Parliament do we speak papers. for the benefit of hon'ble Members or do we speak for the public outside?

SHRI INDRAJIT GUPTA: Ali pore): Both. We are sent here by the people. (Interruptions).

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: It is an odd way of looking at it. We speak here to put our point of view before Parliament.

Points were also made about monopolists and monopoly. It is true that we are thinking of changes in the industrial licensing policy, some have been made and these are precisely in order to encourage small and medium entrepreneurs and to prevent a few people from taking advantage even of the controls for their own businesses. Some controls are necessary. We do not think that everybody should be left free to do exactly as he likes in industry, in grabbing economic power. But we must guard against controls becoming self-defeating. Controls in themselves are not synonymous with socialism. We should not confuse the means for the ends. If we find that a particular policy is not yielding the results that we had hoped from it, we must modify it. And when hon. Members opposite spoke about our association with the monopolists I wondered if they considered the Jan Sangh and the Swatantra Party more radical just as China and Chile today are considered more democratic by some?

Then comes the question of bonus. This is a controversial question. It is true, that workers in India may not get the sort of wages that are paid in other countries. But I do not know whether the wages of all industrial workers here are very much lower than in every country in the world. When a concern is losing how can it possible get on its feet, if it is forced to pay a particular amount in bonus? My attention was drawn to this aspect the week after our decision on the

148

14'9 Motion of Thanks PAUSA 19, 1897 (SAKA) Motion of Thanks 150 on President's Address on President's Address

b per cent bonus. I happened to go to one of our big public sector undertakings, where I met a delegation from one of the socialist countries; and the first thing they told me that they were astonished at this decision of ours. They could not see how we could hope to build up our industrv. how we could put our public sector on a sound basis, if we continued with this policy. It is not only a question of industry. It is also a question of the workers themselves. An increase in payment leads to greater inflation and an increase in the prices of other goods. They are not merely industrial workers; they are also a part of this country; they have to meet the needs of their familiesthen that increase in bonus does not help them at all. That is why we took the decision. I was a party to the earlier decision. I am not blaming anybody. If I find any decision is wrong, then it is our duty-even if we displease people-to set that wrong right. But we sincerely hope that as our industrial base strengthens and as our economy is on a sounder basis, it will be possible for us to du more for our workers.

Similarly, I share the concern of all hon. Members of both sides of the House at the lay-offs and the retrenchment and so on which have been taking place. The Minister, my colleague, yesterday announced that a legislation would be brought soon. I am told that the apex body which was set up, has been able to solve some questions. (Interruptions).

SHRIS. M. BANERJEE (Kanpur): The employers do not care for the apex body. They care two hoots for the recommendations of the apex body.

SHRI BHOGENDRA JHA (Jainagar): Emergency has not helped. Not a single employer has been arrested under MISA or the DIR.

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: Then we must look at it again and see that the employers are not helped in this manner and that the workers do not suffer. There are no two opinions in this matter.

I should like to take this opportunity of expressing my congratulations to industrial workers. They have shown great discipline and enthusiasm. The figures show improved working in almost all sectors of industry. Recently when I was in Visakhapatnam I found that quantum of work done by the dock workers in the last few months had increased considerably. So, I would like to express my gratitude to them, and also to the armed forces which have shown courage and determination in war and disciplined work in peace. They are to be congratulated on their steadfastness.

Much has been said about the press. I do not want to dilate on that matter. I have spoken on many occasions. I am not against the press. Indian or foreign. What I am against is falsehood and hypocrisy. What has been the record of some newspapers? If I can take the House back, long years ago when I was a girl, it was more important for a pressman to secure a scoop and to get it printed in his paper than to save the life of the Lindbergh baby. We find this attitude increasing not only with regard to Indian affairs, but affairs anywhere, that more and more people want to go for something that is sensational, something that will hit the headlines, rather than constructive, solid work. It does not perhaps matter in an advanced and affluent society, but it does matter in a country which is trying to build itself up in the face of tremendous odds and difficulties. It does matter if you are spreading what I was told by a British Lord who visited us, "alarm and despondency", against which there was a law in England during or immediately after the last World War. It is a serious

151 Motion of Thanks JANUARY 9, 1976 on President's Address

[Smt. Indira Gandhi]

matter for any country which confronted with special difficulties, as our country undoubtedly was. We all know the attitude taken by the foreign press towards events in India, towards drought, the refugee situation, the Bangla Desh war or any other internal or international problem.

Speaking of the emergency some hon'ble Member alleged that we have opened the flood-gates which could be used by despotic persons. Was it the same thing said about our atomic experiment? Does anybody seriously believe that those who do not believe in democracy, those who do believe in the use of atomic power for military purposes, were waiting for India or the Congress to do anything? Would they and have they not, in their countries, taken whatever steps they wanted to take regardless of foreign opinion? We have tried to take certain steps, which may not be ideal, in order to prevent something worse happening to the country, in order to prevent democracy being swept off entirely. Certain curbs have been put, nobody denies that. Nobody says that it is good to do so. At the same time, as many Members mentioned there has to be a feeling of responsibility, some thought of duties along with rights. Unfortunately, we were going further and further away from such a concept, and this has to be reinstated.

The Foreign Minister spoke at length on the international situation. I need not go over the same ground. As our strength increases there is an effort to decry India. This is no time for us to slacken our vigilance. We have believed and we do believe in co-existence. What does that mean? It means that we agree to get along with countries whose systems or methods we may not entirely approve of.

There are countries with whose policies we do not agree. But no country can be pushed off this world on to another planet. Unfortunately

Motion of Thanks 152 on President's Address

there is a new theory (I am not quite sure how to pronounce it) the 'Triage Doctrine' which absolves affluent countries from the bother of helping very poor countries, which are to be left to fend for themselves. Where will they go? Can they be moved from their geographical position on earth? If poverty increases or disease spreads, can other countries be immune? These are far deeper questions. I am not going into them here.

I should like to emphasize our desire and genuine and persistent effort for friendship and cooperation with all countries, near and far. We may not always agree with America, but we know that it is a dynamic nation; it has attracted talent from all over the world including India; the people are dynamic and are constantly giving birth to new and stimulating ideas on education, the arts, science and technology. I should like to give them my congratulations on their bicentennial.

I forgot to mention one point. 1 think it was hon. Member Shri Manoharan, who referred to Hindi. This question has been debated here many times. I repeat my assurancethat there is no desire or attempt to force Hindi on those who do not want it. But we do feel that it could be worth while for all Indians to have at least a working or an understanding knowledge of any one Indian language so that we do not have to converse with one another in English. You and I happen to speak English. Perhaps those on the other side have greater knowledge of it than we have. But, as a whole, those who know English are such a minute minority in the country that it cuts us off from the vast majority of our masses. Therefore, if all over India there is an understanding-it need not be a perfect understanding of Hindi-which does not obstruct the development of Bengali, Assamese, Tamil Telugu or Kannad or any other Indian language. it should be welcomed. We are aware that none of these languages is a

153 Motion of Thanks PAUSA 19, 1897 (SAKA) Motion of Thanks 154 on President's Address on President's Address

dialect; they are all ancient languages with their own history and beautiful old literature. We are doing everything possible to encourage these and help them to grow. But no one language need impinge or tread on the toes of others and certainly we do not want Hindi to do so.

Sometimes a group of people are over enthusiastic on both sides. The Hindi enthusiast try to spread the use of Hindi and some hon. friends outside are over enthusiastic in decrying Hindi, saying that only their language should be encouraged.

Yesterday I replied to Shri Patel when he spoke about some draft of Constitutional changes being circulated. A number of people, known and unknown, legal luminaries as well as others, have sent me notes, suggestions, letters, telegrams, all kinds of things, and one or more of these may be in circulation. I do not know. No such document has been circulated by me or by the Government or Congress Party, so far as I know. But I have said that whatever suggestions come should be considered in depth. We do not believe in change for the sake of change. On the other hand if change is necessary, we should not hesitate to bring it about. But if there is a change, it should be in the direction of justice and fair play to those vast number who are being denied their due

This change should not be for the sake of any party or personal power. We must be concerned with human life and welfare which are as important as human liberty.

Some hon'ble members hove spoken about elections. Elections are certainly not being given up. But elections are not the be-all and the end-all of democracy, or of life. We do look beyond. The good of the country, its unity and capacity to defend itself against aggression or oppression, its ability to produce more and distribute Production more fairly, all these are

on President's Address aspects which cannot be neglected and

we cannot allow a situation to develop where these aspects are jeopardised merely for the sake of an election or of proving to the world how democratic we are.

There was also mention of a roundtable dialogue. I spoke of this yesterday. My attitude has never been one of rigidity. In one of the papers I saw a headline suggesting that "I rule out dialogue" I am not in the habit of categorically ruling out any proposition except when it concerns injustice or matters which go against the interest of our people or the strength of our country. On those matters I must say, I am and always will remain firm. But on questions of dialogue or talking with the Opposition my attitude has not been inflexible. On the contrary, I have always tried to find ways of conciliation. I am afraid, this effort of mine has led us into trouble. When we have gone out of our way to be tolerant and have made friendly gestures, the Opposition has taken it for a show of weakness and they have chosen that moment to threaten and exert greater pressure to exact some more concession out of us. Can you shake hands with one hand? It needs two hands. We have always shown our willingness. It is for the Opposition to give up the path of obstruction and violence and to create conditions for a dialogue.

What is the objection? For the poor people of the country, the goal is to have more; for our workers, it is to have better conditions; for our farmers, to have strength to face the vagaries of weather; for our city people, better amenities, better transport and so on. What of us who are supposed to represent all sections of the people? Can the end be any one or several of these things? Is our task not of a far greater magnitude, of vaster proportions? I spoke earlier of duties. This is the time when we must consider what are our duties and obligations; not only to Parliament but to the country as a whole. Is it not our duty to see that

155 Motion of Thanks JANUARY 9, 1976 on President's Address

[Smt. Indira Gandhi]

peaceful conditions exist? While it is the duty of the Government and the ruling party to allow freedom of expression and association and to allow the legitimate functioning of the Opposition, it is equally the duty of the Opposition to allow the legitimate functioning of the Government. But this functioning of the Government and of some Assemblies was being hampered long before these Ordinances came into force. Along with rights we have to think equally about our obligations, our responsibilities and our duties

Democracy cannot be one-sided. It can work only if all sections subscribe to its conditions and are willing to curb their liberty to the extent that it does not tread on the liberty of others. Only then can democracy survive and function. It is our responsibility to restore hope and confidence. This is what we have tried to do and this is what I meant, when I earlier spoke about the spread of alarm and despondency. I was concerned at the danger to the country and not at threats to a Party or one or more individuals. There was a deliberate effort to spread despair amongst the people, to spread a feeling of hopelessness by proclaiming that nothing has been done, nothing is being done and nothing can be done. This affected our young people, our farmers and others. Therefore, each group thought that it should try to get what it could for itself. If you believe that the country has no future. how can you work for a future? You will then say 'let us grab what we can while we may'. It is only when we have hope and confidence for a bright future that we can say 'let me sacrifice now because the future is on the horizon'.

13.00 hrs.

Bharat Mata is bent by the heavy burdens of colonialism, of imperialism of feudalism. She is suffering visibly not only from the ills of poverty, de-

Motion of Thanks 156 on President's Address

privation and disease but also from mental complexes and attitudes bred by superstition and out-dated habits, by chauvinistic thinking, by narrowness-of religion, caste, creed, language or province-by customs which weigh heavily on the poor. It is our duty today to enable Bharat Mata to become young again, to hold herself erect and her head high-and in this, we would certainly like the help and cooperation of all those Members of the Opposition who believe in the tasks we have set ourselves and who feel that a new way of cooperation. can be found.

Sir, I commend this motion to the-House.

MR. SPEAKER: The 117 amendments which have been moved to themotion are now before the House. Should I put them all together to thevote of the House?

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR (Ahmedabad): I would like my amendments to be put separately.

SHRI RAMAVATAR SHASTRI. (Patna): I would also like my amendments to be put separately.

SHRI BHOGENDRA JHA: My amendments may also be put separately.

MR. SPEAKER: I shall now put Amendments 7, 8 and 9 moved by Shri Bhogendra Jha to the vote of the House.

Amendments Nos. 7 to 9 were put and negatived.

MR. SPEAKER: I shall now put Amendments 16, 17, 19, 24 and 81 moved by Shri Ramavatar Shastri to the vote of the House.

Amendments Nos. 16, 17, 19, 24 and 81 were put and negatived.

MR. SPEAKER: I now put Amendment No. 68, moved by Shri P. G.

Motion of Thanks PAUSA 19, 1897 (SAKA) Motion of Thanks 157 158: on President's Address on President's Address Mavalankar, to the vote of the House. Patel, Shri Nanubhai N. 'I'he question is: Reddy Shri B N. "That at the end of the motion. Roy, Dr. Saradish the following be added, namely:--Saha, Shri Ajit Kumar 'but regret that there is no Saminathan, Shri P. A. mention in the Address about the daily and increasing mutilation of Sequeira, Shri Erasmo de the several political and democra-Sezhiyan, Shri Era tic rights guaranteed to all citi-Shastri, Shri Shiv Kumar zens by our Constitution.'" (68). Singh, Shri D. N. Let the Lobby be cleared. The Lok Sabha divided. Sinha, Shri C. M. AYES Sinha, Shri Satyendra Narayan. Division No. 2] Ulaganambi, Shri R. P. [13.09 hrs. Yadav, Shri G. P. Bade, Shri R. V. *Yadav, Shri R. P. 1 Berwa, Shri Onkar Lal Yadav. Shri Shiv Shanker Prasad: Bhattacharyya, Shri Dinen NOES Bhattacharyya, Shri Jagadish Achal Singh, Shri Bhattacharyya, Shri S. P. Aga, Shri Syed Ahmed Chaudhuri, Shri Tridib Agrawal, Shri Shrikrishna Deo. Shri P. K. Ahirwar, Shri Nathu Ram Dhandapani, Shri C. T. Alagesan, Shri O. V. Durairasu, Shri A. Ambesh, Shri Dutta, Shri Biren Appalanaidu, Shri Gowder, Shri J. Matha Arvind Netam, Shri Haldar, Shri Madhuryya Awdhesh Chandra Singh, Shri Horo, Shri N .E. Azad, Shri Bhagwat Jha Joarder, Shri Dinesh ۲: Aziz Imam, Shri Kiruttinan, Shri Tha Babunath Singh, Shri Krishnan, Shri M. K. Bajpai, Shri Vidya Dhar Lakshmanan, Shri T. S. Balakrishniah, Shri T. Lakshmikanthamma, Shrimati T. Banamali Babu, Shri Mayavan, Shri V. Banerjee, Shrimati Mukul Mehta, Shri P. M. Barua, Shri Bedabrata Mukherjee, Shri Samar Bhagat, Shri H. K. L. Mukherjee, Shri Saroj Bhattacharyyia, Shri Chapalendu Parmar, Shri Bhaljibhat Bheeshmadev, Shri M.

*Wrongly noted for Ayes.

Motion of Thanks **JANUARY 9, 1976** Motion of Thanks 160 159 on President's Address on President's Address Bist, Shri Narendra Singh Gangadeb, Shri P. Gautam, Shri C. D. Brij Raj Singh-Kotah, Shri Gavit, Shri T. H. Chakleshwar Singh, Shri George, Shri A. C. Chandra Gowda, Shri D. B. Ghosh, Shri P. K. Chandrakar, Shri Chandulal Gill, Shri Mohinder Singh Chandrika Prasad, Shri Chaudhari, Shri Amarsinh Giri, Shri V. Shanker Chaudhary, Shri Nitiraj Singh Godara, Shri Mani Ram Gogoi, Shri Tarun Chavan, Shri Yeshwantrao Chellachami, Shri A. M. Gohain, Shri C. C. "Chhotey Lal, Shri Gokhale, Shri H. R. Chhutten Lal, Shri Gomango, Shri Giridhar Chikkalingalah. Shri K. Goswami, Shri Dinesh Chandra Choudhury, Shri Moinul Haque Gotkhinde Shri Annasaheb Daga, Shri M. C. Gowda, Shri Pampan Dalbir Singh, Shri Hansda, Shri Subodh Dalip Singh, Shri Hari Kishore Singh, Shri Darbara Singh, Shri Hari Singh, Shri Das, Shri Anadi Charan Jadeja, Shri D. P. Das, Shri Dharnidhar Jha. Shri Chiranjib Dasappa, Shri Tulsidas Jitendra Prasad, Shri Daschowdhury, Shri B. K. Joshi, Shrimati Subhadra Deo, Shri S. N. Singh Kadannappalli, Shri Ramachandran Desai, Shri D. D. Kader, Shri S. A. Dhamankar, Shri Kailas, Dr. Dhillon, Dr. G. S. Kakoti, Shri Robin Dhusia, Shri Anant Prasad Kale, Shri Dinesh Singh, Shri Kamble, Shri T. D. Dixit, Shri G. C. Kapur, Shri Sat Pal Dumada, Shri L. K. Karan Singh, Dr. Dwivedi, Shri Nageshwar Kaul, Shrimati Sheila Engti, Shri Biren Khadilkar, Shri R. K. Gaekwad, Shri Fatesinghrao Kisku, Shri A. K. Gandhi, Shrimati Indira Kotoki, Shri Liladhar Ganesh, Shri K. R. Krishnappa, Shri M. V

Motion of Thanks PAUSA 19, 1897 (SAKA) Motion of Thanks 161 162 on President's Address on President's Address Kureel, Shri, B. N. Pandey, Shri Sudhakar Kushok Bakula, Shri Pandey, Shri Tarkeshwar Lakkappa, Shri K. Pandit, Shri S. T. Lambodar Baliyar, Shri Pant, Shri K. C. Mahajan, Shri Vikram Paokai Haokip, Shri Mahajan, Shri Y. S. Parashar, Prof. Narain Chand Maharaj Singh, Shri / Parthasarathy. Shri P. Mahishi, Dr. Sarojini Paswan, Shri Ram Bhagat Majhi, Shri Kumar *Patel, Shri H. M. 1 Malaviya, Shri K. D. Patel, Shri Prabhudas Malhotra, Shri Inder J. Patil, Shri Anantrao Mallanna, Shri K. Patil, Shri C. A. Mallikarjun, Shri Patil, Shri S. B. Mandal, Shri Jagdish Narain Patnaik, Shri Banamali Patnaik Shri J. B. Manhar, Shri Bhagatram Maurya, Shri B. P. Peje, Shri S. L. Prabodh Chandra, Shri Melkote, Dr. G. S. Qureshi, Shri Mohd. Shafi Mirdha Shri Nathu Ram Raghu Ramaiah. Shri K. Mishra, Shri Bibhuti Mishra. Shri G. S. Rai, Shri S. K. Rai Shrimati Sahodrabai Mishra, Shri Jagannath Raj Bahadur, Shri Modi, Shri Shrikishan Rajdeo Singh, Shri Mohan Swarup, Shri Raju, Shri P. V. G. Mohanty, Shri Surendra Ram Dayal, Shri Mohsin, Shri F. H. Ram Sewak, Ch. Murmu, Shri Yogesh Chandra Ram Singh Bhai, Shri Nahata, Shri Amrit Ram Surat Prasad, Shri Nanda, Shri G. L. Ramshekhar Prasad Singh, Shri Negi, Shri Pratap Singh Ranabahadur Singh, Shri Oraon, Shri Tuna Rao, Shri Jagannath Pahadia, Shri Jagannath Rao, Dr. K. L. Painuli, Shri Paripoornanand Rao, Shri M. S. Sanjeevi Pandey, Shri Krishna Chandra Rao, Shri Nageswara Pandey, Shri Narsingh Narain Rao, Shri P. Ankineedu Prasada Pandey, Shri R. S. Wrongly noted in Noes.

1900 LS_6

Motion of Thanks **JANUARY 9, 1976** Motion of Thanks 163 on President's Address on President's Address Sharma, Shri Madhoram Rao, Shri Pattabhi Rama Sharma Shri Nawal Kishore Rao, Dr. V. K. R. Varadaraja Sharma, Dr. Shanker Dayal Rathia, Shri Umed Singh Raut, Shri Bhola Shastri, Shri Raja Ram Ravi, Shri Vayalar Shastri, Shri Sheopujan Ray, Shrimati Maya Shenoy, Shri P. R. Reddi, Shri P. Antony Shetty, Shri K. K. Shinde, Shri Annasaheb P. Reddy, Shri K. Kodanda Rami Reddy, Shri K. Ramakrishna Shivnath Singh, Shri Reddy, Shri M. Ram Gopal Shukla, Shri B. R. Shukla, Shri Vidya Charan Reddy, Shri P. Narasimha Siddheshwar Prasad, Prof. Reddy, Shri P. V. Singh, Shri Vishwanath Pratap Reddy, Shri Sidram Sinha, Shri Dharam Bir Richariya, Dr. Govind Das Sinha, Shri Nawal Kishore Rohatgi, Shrimati Sushila Sohan Lal, Shri T. Roy. Shri Bishwanath Saini, Shri Mulki Raj Sokhi, Sardar Swaran Singh Stephen, Shri C. M. Salve, Shri N. K. P. Sunder Lal, Shri Samanta, Shri S. C. Surendra Pal Singh, Shri Sangliana, Shri Swaran Singh, Shri Sant Bux Singh, Shri Tayyab Hussain, Shri Sarkar, Shri Sakti Kumar Tombi Singh, Shri N. Sathe, Shri Vasant Tula Ram, Shri Unnikrishnan, Shri K. P. Satish Chandra, Shri Venkatswamy, Shri G. Satpathy, Shri Devendra Verma, Shri Sukhdeo Prasad Savant, Shri Shankerrao Virbhadra Singh, Shri Savitri Shyam, Shrimati Yadav, Shri Chandrajit Sayeed, Shri P. M. Yadav, Shri D. P. Yadav, Shri Karan Singh Shafquat Jung, Shri MR. SPEAKER: The results* of the Shahnawaz Khan, Shri division is: Shailani, Shri Chandra Ayes-38; Noes-225. The motion was negatived. Shankaranand, Shri B. MR. SPEAKER: I put amendment Sharma, Shri A. P. No. 77 moved by Shri Sezhiyan. The Sharma, Dr. H. P. question is:

164

*The following Members also recorded their votes: AYES: Shri Murasoli Maran, Shri H. M, Patel and Shri Surendra Mohanty; Noes: Shrimati Premalabai Chayan and Shri R.P. Yaday.

Motion of Thanks PAUSA 19, 1897 (SAKA) Motion of Thanks 165 166 on President's Address on President's Address 'That at the end of the motion. Saha, Shri Ajit Kumar the following be added, namely:-Saminathan, Shri P. A. "but regret that in the Address Sequeira, Shri Erasmo de no mention has been made for Sezhiyan, Shri Era immediate withdrawal of the Emergency, for release of the Shastri, Shri Shiv Kumar leaders and for restoration of the rightful freedom of the Press."' Singh, Shri D. N. (77). Sinha, Shri C. M. The Lok Sabha divided. Sinha, Shri Satyendra Narayan [13.12 hrs. Ulaganambi, Shri R. P. AYES Yadav, Shri G. P. Division No. 3] NOES Bade Shri R V. Berwa, Shri Onkar Lal Achal Singh Shri Bhattacharyya, Shri Dinen Aga, Shri Syed Ahmed Bhattacharyya, Shri Jagadish Agrawal, Shri Shrikrishna Bhattacharya, Shri S. P. Ahirwar, Shri Nathu Ram Chaudhuri, Shri Tridib Alagesan, Shri O. V. Dhandapani, Shri C. T . Ambesh, Shri Dutta, Shri Biren Appalanaidu, Shri Gowder, Shri J. Matha Arvind Netam, Shri Horo, Shri N. E. Awdhesh Chandra Singh Shri Joarder, Shri Dinesh Azad, Shri Bhagwat Jha Kiruttinan, Shri Tha Aziz Imam, Shri Krishnan, Shri M. K. Babunath Singh, Shri Lakshmanan, Shri T. S. Bajpai, Shri Vidya Dhar Lakshmikanthamma, Shrimati T. Balakrishniah, Shri T. Maran, Shri Murasoli Banamali Babu, Shri Mayavan, Shri V. Banerjee, Shrimati Mukul Mehta, Shri P. M. Barua, Shri Bedabrata Mukherjee, Shri Samar Bhagat, Shri H. K. L. Bheeshmadev, Shri M. Mukherjee, Shri Saroj Parmar, Shri Bhaljibhai Bist, Shri Narendra Singh Patel, Shri H. M. Brij Raj Singh-Kotah, Shri Patel, Shri Nanubhai N. Chakleshwar Singh, Shri Reddy, Shri B. N. Chandra Gowda, Shri D. B. Roy, Dr. Saradish Chandrakar, Shri Chandulal

167 Motion of Thanks on President's Address Chandrika Prasad, Shri Chaudhari, Shri Amarsinh Chaudhary, Shri Nitiraj Singh Chavan Shrimati Premalabai Chavan, Shri Yeshwantrao Chellachami, Shri A. M. Chhotey Lal, Shri Chhutten Lal, Shri Chikkalingaiah, Shri K. ٦. Choudhury, Shri Moinul Haque Daga, Shri M. C. Dalbir Singh, Shri Dalin Singh, Shri Darbara Singh, Shri Das. Shri Anadi Charan Das. Shri Dharnidhar Dasappa, Shri Tulsidas Daschowdhury, Shri B. K. Deo, Shri S. N. Singh Desai, Shri D. D. Dhamankar, Shri Dhillon Dr. G. S. Dhusia, Shri Anant Prasad Dinesh Singh, Shri Dube, Shri J. P. Dumada, Shri L. K. Dwivedi, Shri Nageshwar Engti, Shri Biren Gaekwar, Shri Fatesinghrao Gandhi, Shrimati Indira Ganesh, Shri K. R. Gangadeb, Shri P. Gautam, Shri C. D. Gavit, Shri T. H. George, Shri A. C.

JANUARY 9. 1976 Motion of Thanks тбз on President's Address Ghosh, Shri P. K. Gill, Shri Mohinder Singh Giri Shri V. Shanker Godara, Shri Mani Ram Gogoi, Shri Tarun Gohain, Shri C. C. Gokhale Shri H. R. 1 Gomango, Shri Giridhar Goswami Shri Dinesh Chandra Gotkhinde, Shri Annasaheb Gowda, Shri Pampan Gupta, Shri Indrajit Hansda, Shri Subodh Hari Kishore Singh, Shri Hari Singh, Shri Jadeia, Shri D. P. Jha, Shri Chiranjib Jitendra Prasad, Shri Joshi, Shrimati Subhadra Kadannappalli, Shri Ramachandran Kader, Shri S. A. Kailas. Dr. Kakoti, Shri Robin Kale, Shri Kamble, Shri T. D. Kapur, Shri Sat Pal Karan Singh, Dr. Kaul, Shrimati Sheila Khadilkar, Shri R. K. Kisku, Shri A. K. Kotoki, Shri Liladhar Krishnappa, Shri M. V. Kureel, Shri B. N. Kushok Bakula, Shri Lakkappa, Shri K.

Motion of Thanks 169 on President's Address Lambodar Baliyar, Shri Mahajan, Shri Vikram Mahajan, Shri Y. S. Maharaj Singh, Shri Mahishi, Dr. Sarojini Majhi, Shri Kumar Malaviya, Shri K. D. Malhotra, Shri Inder J. Mallanna, Shri K. Mallikarjun, Shri Mandal, Shri Jagdish Narain Manhar, Shri Bhagatram Maurya, Shri B. P. Melkote, Dr. G. S. Mirdha, Shri Nathu Ram Mishra, Shri Bibhuti Mishra, Shri G. S. Mishra, Shri Jagannath Modi Shri Shrikishan Mohan Swarup, Shri Mohsin, Shri F. H. Murmu, Shri Yogesh Chandra Murthy, Shri B. S. Nahata, Shri Amrit Nanda, Shri G. L. Negi, Shri Pratap Singh Oraon, Shri Tuna Pahadia, Shri Jagannath Painuli, Shri Paripoornanand Pandey, Shri Krishna Chandra Pandey, Shri Narsingh Narain Pandey, Shri R. S. Pandey, Shri Sudhakar Pandey, Shri Tarkeshwar Pandit, Shri S. T. Pant, Shri K. C. Paokai Haokip, Shri Parashar, Prof. Narain Chand Parthasarathy, Shri P. Paswan, Shri Ram Bhagat Patel, Shri Prabhudas Patil, Shri Anantrao Patil, Shri C. A.

PAUSA 19, 1897 (SAKA) Motion of Thanks 170 on President's Address Patil, Shri S. B. Patnaik, Shri Banamal Patnaik, Shri J. B. Peje, Shri S. L. Pradhan, Shri Dhan Shah Qureshi, Shri Mohd, Shafi Raghu Ramaiah Shri K. Rai, Shri S. K. Rai Shrimati Sahodrabai Raj Bahadur, Shri Rajdeo Singh, Shri Raju, Shri P. V. G. Ram Dayal, Shri Ram Sewak, Ch. Ram Singh Bhai, Shri Ram Surat Prasad, Shri Ramshekhar Prasad Singh, Shri Ranabahadur Singh, Shri Rac. Shri Jagannath Rao, Dr. K. L. Rao, Shri M. S. Sanjeevi Rao, Shri Nageswara Rao, Shri P. Ankineedu Prasada Rao, Shri Pattabhi Rama Rao, Dr. V. K. R Varadaraja Rathia, Shri Umed Singh Raut, Shri Bhola Ravi, Shri Vayalar Ray, Shrimati Maya Reddi, Shri P. Antony Reddy, Shri K Kodanda Rami Reddy, Shri K. Ramakrishna Reddy, Shri M. Ram Gopal Reddy, Shri P. Narasimha Reddy, Shri P. V. Reddy, Shri Sidram Richhariya, Dr Govind Das Rohatgi, Shrimati Sushila Roy, Shri Bishwanath Saini, Shri Mulki Raj Salve, Shri N. K. P. Semanta, Shri S. C.

Motion of Thanks 171 on President's Address Sangliana, Shni Sant Bux Singh, Shri Sarkar, Shri Sakti Kumar Sathe Shri Vasant Satish Chandra, Shri Satpathy, Shri Devendra Savant, Shri Shankerrao Savitri Shyam, Shrimati Sayeed, Shri P. M. Shafquat Jung, Shri Shahnawaz Khan, Shri Shailani, Shri Chandra Shankaranand, Shri B. Sharma, Shri A. P. Sharma, Dr. H. P. Sharma. Shri Madhoram Sharma, Shri Nawal Kishore Sharma, Dr. Shanker Dayal Shastri, Shri Raja Ram Shastri, Shri Sheopujan Shenoy, Shri P. R. Shetty, Shri K. K. Shinde, Shri Annasaheb P. Shivnath Singh, Shri Shukla, Shri B. R. Shukla, Shri Vidya Charan Siddheshwar Prasad, Prof. Singh, Shri Vishwanath Pratap Sinha, Shri Dharam Bir Sohan Lal, Shri T. Sokhi, Sardar Swaran Singh Stephen, Shri C. M. Sunder Lal, Shri Surendra Pal Singh, Shri Swaran Singh, Shri Tayyab Hussain, Shri Tula Ram, Shri Unnikrishnan, Shri K. P.

Venkatswamy, Shri G. Verma, Shri Sukhdeo Prasad Virbhadra Singh, Shri Yadav, Shri Chandrajit Yadav, Shri D. P. Yadav, Shri Karan Singh Yadav, Shri R. P.

٩.

MR. SPEAKER: The result[•] of the division is: Ayes-35; Noes-224.

The motion was negatived.

MR. SPEAKER: Now I put all the other amendments to the vote of the House.

All the other amendments were put and negatived.

MR. SPEAKER: Now I put the main motion to the vote of the House.

The question is:

"That an Address be presented to the President in the following terms:—

"That the Members of Lok Sabha assembled in this Session are deeply grateful to the President for the Address which he has been pleased to deliver to both Houses of Parliament assembled together on the 5th January, 1976."

The motion was adopted.

MR. SPEAKER: The House stands adjourned for lunch to meet again at 14.15 hrs.

13.15 hrs.

The Lok Sabha adjourned for Lunch till fifteen minutes past Fourteen of the Clock.

 The following Mcmbers also recorded their votes: AYES: Sarvashri Surendra Mohanty and Shri Shanker Prasad Yadav; NOES: Sarvashri Jagdish Chandra Dixit and Genda Singh.