70

वी किय कंकर प्रवाद यावव (वगरिमा): कृष्यकं महोदयं, 24 संगस्त को जवाहरेलाल कृषिवांग्रिटी के एक प्रोफेंसर ने पासपोर्ट के लिये हिन्दी में धावेदन पल दिया था जो यह केह कर लौटा दिया गया कि यह हिन्दी में है, जब तक धारोजी मे प्रार्थना-पत नहीं देंगे तथ तक पासपोर्ट नहीं मिलेगा ।

भी मय् सिमये : बहुत मर्य की बात है, माननीय योजना यंत्री सुन भी नहीं रहे हैं, मौर फिर कहने है, I am being ignored!

भी झिव झंकर प्रसाद यादव : इस सम्बन्ध में मैंने यह एक ध्रत्पसूचित प्रश्न भी दिया था । मंती महोदय इस बात को स्पष्ट करें कि जब देश ग्राजाद है भौर हिन्दी रोष्ट्रभाषा है ती हिन्दी के प्रति ऐसा उपेका का भाव देश के लिये लज्जा की बात है । यखपि और जी इस किस्म का जवाव रोष धा रहा है, मीर इस सम्बन्ध में मैं ने घ्राप की घ्रावेदन पत दिया वा तथा घाप ने ग्रास्वासन दिया वा कि इस को मिनिस्टर के पास बेजेवें ।

श्राण्यका महोदयः मेरे झाक्वासन पर आपंने विक्वास नहीं किया भीरे आप हाउस में कह रहे हैं। मैं आप को मेज दगा।

13.38 hrs.

MOTION RE. APPROACH TO THE FIFTH PLAN-Could.

भ्रध्यक्ष महोदय : प्लान डिस्कशन के बारे में 15 घटे रखे थे, उन में से 4 घंटे 40 मिनट लग चुके हैं घौर 10 घटे 20 मिनट बाकी है।

SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISHNAN (Badagata): The House must be allowed to continue the discussion on the Plan in the acts Setsion.

MR. SPEAKER: It is a very good suggestion. I was very happy when he had fixed three days for this. I thought that the discussion would be finished. But the poor Plan has receded in the background; many other things came up. If you could put it in the background for three days, you can also put it to the next Session.

SHRI G. VISWANATHAN (Wandiwash): Next S:ssion the Draft will come. How can we discuss the 'Approach'?

MR. SPEAKER: That is why we had fixed three days. But you were all not much interested in the discussion of the 'Approach'.

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMEN-TARY AFFAIRS (SHRI K. RAGHU-RAMAIAH). We shall continue today as much as we can and whatever is left over ---and there will be plenty of members left over---we shall continue in the next Session.

MR. SPEAKER: All I can say is that you take as much time as is available today and the rest of the time will be taken in the next Session.

SHRI SEZHIYAN (Kumbakonam): The discussion on Plan is always taken up at the fag end of the Session and then it is postponed to the next Session. It has happened twice—this happened last time also.

MR. SPEAKER: These three days were purely meant for the Plan; we had fixed the tim: for this, but some other things were coming up.

बी सर् लिम रे: प्रष्यक्ष महोदय, ये रा एक सुझाव है। ऐमा किया जाय कि इस बहसं में दो मत्रियों में से एक मंत्री कुठ कहें बीर ज्यादा विरोधों दल के लोगों को मुना जाय । एक मंत्रों का जवाब जरूर ग्रायें बीर ग्रगले सल में जो वहम होगी उस का जवाब माननीय दुर्गा प्रसाद घर जी दे।

भाष्यक्ष महोबयः हरवात का जातहे में नहीं डालते है। SEPTEMBER 5, 1973

AN HON. MEMBER: Mr. Mohan Dbaria can 1cply today.

MR. SPEAKER: It will be seen.

SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISHNAN: He can only intervene.

भी मर्गु लिरवे : हमेशा हुआ है। दो मिनिस्टर हाते हैं तो एक मिनिस्टर इन्टर गेन करता है मोर दूसरा मंत्री भतिम जवाब देता है।

SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISHNAN: He cannot reply now. The reply will componly at the end.....

MR. SPEAKER: Why are you replying on behalf of the Government? Why are you assuming to yourself the powers which you do not have?

SHRI PILO() MODY: Mt. Unnikrish nan thinks that he is the super-Government here.

MR. SPFAKER: Mr. Amtit Nabata. You vill speak after lunch We now adjourn for lunch to reassemble at 230 p.m.

13.38 hrs,

The Lok Sabha adjourned for Lunch till Thirty Minutes past Fourieen of the Clock.

The Lok Sabha re-essentiled ofter Lunch at Thirty-two Minutes past Fourteen of the Clock.

[MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

MOTION RE. APPROACH TO THE FIFTH PLAN-Cont I.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Now we resume the discussion on the 'Approach to the Fifth Plan.'

Mr. Amrit Nahata.

SHRI AMRIT NAHATA (Barmer): It has now been accepted that there is a national consensus that a plan holiday would be suicidal. Those who are the protagonists of a plan holiday plead for themselves the present economic difficulties. We know that these economic difficulties themselves are a product of a plan holiday and a very long period of what we call limping plans. It is precisely because we are passing through a grave economic situation that planning is very essential because only through a planned effort can we overcome the present economic difficulties.....

SHRI PILOO MODY (Godhra): Question.

SHRI AMRIT NAHATA: I know Mr. Piloo Mody is determined to interrupt. But I am talking of a national consensus.....

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN (Muvattupurha):in which he is not a part.

SHRI AMRIT NAHATA i am not talking of aberrations of history, birth and biology.....

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN All the three apply to him.

SHRI AMRIT NAHATA. So far as the objecti es of this document on the Fifth Plan approach go, I think there can be no dispute and no two opin.ous that there cannot be a better approach or a more appropriate approach to a plan and expecially to the Fifth Plan than what we have been presented.....

SHRI PILOO MODY: Question.

SHRI AMRIT NAHATA: One of the objectives of the Fifth Plan Approach is the removal of poverty through a redistribution of consumption levels. Only yesterday my friend, hon. Mr. Maran was labouring very hard to tell us that a reduction in the consumption level of the top 30 per cent might lead to a reduction in the consumption level of the middle 30 per cent. It is a great tragedy of our present-day economic situation that even

72

a Class IV employee of the Government of Inuia is above the poverty line. It is a tact, it is a tragic fact. So, when we task of reduction of the consumption sevel of the top 30 per cent, I would say, the top 20 per cent, that should contribute considerably to what we call the redistribution of the consumption level.

Another plan objective, namely, selfreliance through more exports, through more import substitution and through curb on import of unexcential articles is equally unexceptionable. Now I will deal with the theory of planning in underdeveloped economics. It is still that the Economics of Growth has failed in the developing countries and therefore the World Bank School, the McNamara School, has been claiming what they cal a new approach of justice which would automatically lead to growth.

S.r. Economics of Growth has not failed. Scondly, what is being paraded as Justice is n t Jusice, it is merely what I call, Welfarism, more education, more roads, more health facilities, more social welfare, more nutration etc. This is Welfarism and even welfare activities, welfare-developmental activities are not possible m a social order where growth is stagnant. I am one of those who .s of the opinion today that justice shoud be defined Justice means what? It very clearly means, the 'oiler should get the fruits of his toil. This is justice. A few schools here or a few hospitals there or a few roads do not by themselves continue ros-If the toilers are to be assured of tice the fruis of their labour, I am definitely of the opin on that this kind of justice does contribute to growth. More welfare activities may lead to some investbut that investment is ments in man. productive over generations together and we cannot afford to wait that long. Professor Baran some years ago said that 'Even in order to keep standing. India must run.' Sir, I hope this law of momentum was considered when the Planning Commission did various exercises in variables of growth. fir. Maran was telling us that a growth of 5.5 per cent was sought to be justified on the plea that a higher rate of growth though possible would not be desurable. It is not so simple as that. Various variables of growth models which have been placed before us in the Plan Approach Document show this clearly. Looking to our resource, looking to our need for momentum, the growth of 5.5 per cent should be reasonable and we should aim at that.

The Plan objectives as Jefined in our Approach Document are very much integrated. This is viry 'irst point. The st alogy is correct. The strategy is scienthe. It answers our present requirements. A growth rate of 5.5 per cent would need a marginal rate of saving of 27 rer cent and an annual rate of saving of 18 per cent but we never had all these years an average rate of marginal saving above 12 per cent. From 12 per cent if we have to have 18 per cent saving we have to do a lot. During the sixties our overage rate of growth was only 3.8 per cent. If we want to achieve 18 per cent savings in ni 12 per cent and 5.5 per cent rate of growth from 38 per cent, it would require herculean efforts not only on the part of the Plann.ug Commission but on the part of the Government and the entire nation.

Therefore, I would like to place before you some of the pre-conditions and some of the pre-requisites which are essential in order to attain such a high rate of growth and savings. Even in the Prin document, a certain policy frame-work has been suggested. But, it has not been very clearly spelt out But, by implications, a certain policy-frame work is inherent in the Plan approach. I would like to m ke it explicit. If we have to a tain a growth rate of 5.5 per cent, we have to make explicit some of the policy frames that are incvitable. There are no soft options before us. Hard decisions will have to be taken. The climate of in decision that prevades our country to day will have to be removed and the people will have to be convinced that the Government means business.

What has happened all these years is that on this preject or that pretext compromises are made and accepted principies are compromised and sacrified with the result that in the long run we find that we are far away from the objectives that we had placed before ourselves. We find that

[Shri Amrit Nahata]

even the ministries of the Government of India are working at cross-purposes. After all, the Planning Commission is not just an advisory body. There are constant attacks from the Opposition, especially from the protagonists of the laissez faire that the Planning Commission has become an over-riding ministry, that it is a monster and that it is a super-Cabinet. The result of all this is that the Planning Commission's role has become very much undermined. Its advisory function is left to various ministries to implement or not to implement the recommendations. With such an abdication of the authority by the Planning Commission we can never reach the rate of growth of 5.5 per cent. The Plan approach aims at more employment and a higher rate of growth. The Plan document itself suggests that unless the land reforms become a reality and unless there is a re-distribution of lands and unless we give employment to millions of our villagers in the countryside we won't be able to reach the planned growth. 1 am not suggesting this from a doctrinaire or a dogmatic approach. The compulsion, of growth in the countryside, of greater employment, of more agricultural production demand that land should be given to the actual tiller. It has been established that the modern farm technology is ideally suited to small-scale intensive farming, with the optimum size of the holdings, which shall give us a maximum production with a certain amount of inputs, to be a small holding. In order to give employment to millions of our villagers and in order to have more production in the agricultural sector, the land-reforms are unescapable. Legisaltions have been passed in this regard. But, they all have remained only on paper These land-reforms must become a reality if we want to attain our planned growth. Similarly, a viable, an effective and a very competent public distribution system is a pre-requisite and a pre-condition for the successful implementation of our Filth Five Year Plan. We should establish this public distribution system for all the essential article of everyday life. Through procurement, through levy, through State takeover or through any othe. method or whotever may be the method that may be adopted-I am not degmatic about a parti-

cular method—an effective public distribution system for all essential aniches of everyday life is a must without which prices cannot be curbed and without which, inflationary trends caunot be checked and without which the common people cannot be enthused for making this Plan a success.

Lastly, the most important pre-condition for the successful implementation of the Fifth Plan should be a very rigid, a very hard discipline in all spheres of life-financial, economic and social disciplines. I feel that the clite of our country including you and me....

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I am not an elite. I do not belong to that privileged class of the elite.

SHRI AMRIT NAHATA. The elites are holding our entire economy of the country to ransom

SHRI PILOO MODY, I agree.

SHRI AMRIT NAHAIA: Unless this entire elitist approach is completely eliminated, we shall never be able to fix a rational system of priorities. All the distortions that have come up in our planned growth are because of the compromises made at the level of the elite. They want biscuits, and good biscuits, and, therefore, foreign collaboration is allowed. They want TV they want tape recorders and they want all these luxuries of life

SHRI PILOO MODY: And subsidized canteen food and subsidized tea.

SHRI AMRIF NAHATA. As a well known French oconomist said, these cultural expatriates of our country are holding the entire country to ransom. The tragedy is that some *A* our Ministries also fall a prey to this type of elitiet distortions. That is why we have so many excess allotted for TV, so many cross for sirports, for Jumbo jsts, five-star holds and so on. This kind of elitism will pever help us.

All these years we have been glauning for the public sector, but the investment in the private sector has hever been requlated, and never been plauned with the result that we have umpless cases of 77 Appro

Approach to

BHADRA 14, 1895 (SAKA)

foreign collaboration for luxury articles and we find private capital being invested in unessential items and in undesirable items without any check on it. So long as the entire investment pattern is not set according to hard and fast priorities, we shall never be able to check the distortions. What the nation decides and what the nation requires should be produced. The nation requires coarse cloth, and so coarse cloth should be produced and not superfine cloth....

SHRI PILOO MODY: And not what the people want.

SHRI AMRIT NAMATA: The nation needs edible oils, and so edible oils should be produced and not refined oils. What the common man needs is ordinary soap and not so many liquid soaps, detergents etc.

SHRI PILOO MODY: Everybody wants crude cloth and nobody wants superfine cloth.

SHRI AMRIT NAHATA: Luxury articles need more import component and more import on luxury articles must be stopped.

Then, there is so much talk about people's involvement in the implementation of the plan. People's involvement means popular committees being set up statutorily for the implementation of ceiling, for the effective functioning of the public distribution People's system. involvement means that people's institutions for area development must be set up. People's involvement means that workers' participation at all levels of management of public sector undertakings must be ensured. It also means that the whole administrative machinery has to be restructured so as to professionalise it and so as to lead to effective implementation of our policies.

Lastly, may I say a few words about Western Rajasthan which can contribute considerably to the growth of our country provided the policy of optimum use of water is adopted by the Planning Minister, and not affluence of water on the one side and no water on the other? It should be equitably and justifiably distributed through Jift channels and through small channels to those places where there is no drinking water and there is no fodder. With the involvement of the people of the desert areas I would submit that we could place before the Planning Commission a very integrated area development programme, and we can assure the Planning Minister of people's involvement physically and literally to make this Plan a success.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I have a request from the Congress Chief Whip to allow only seven minutes to each Member from the Congress Party. I do not know how to do it myself

SHRI R. K. SINHA (Faizabad): Extend the debate by two hours.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: It will be extended to the next session. Even if we extend the debate for the whole of tomorrow, it will not be possible because there are about 60 Members from the Congress Benches alone.

SHRI NAWAL KISHORE SHARMA (Dausa): This is a vital subject and members should be given adequate opportunity. After all, we are discussing the fate of the nation.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I cannot agree more with you. I am just putting before you the realities of the situation.

SHRI NAWAL KISHORE SHARMA: More time should be allotted.

श्वी दरबारा सिंह (होशियारपुर) उपाघ्यक्ष महोदय, मैं ने बहुत दोस्तों की स्पीचेज सुनी। मैं बहुत जल्दी में सारी वातें प्रपनी रखना चाहता हूं ? प्लानिंग के बारे में कुछ लोगों ने यह एतराज किया कि प्लानिंग हमेशा डिफेक्टिव रही है और कोई इस का इम्पेक्ट, कोई ग्रसर नहीं पड़ा ? मैं कहता हूं कि पहली प्लान में हम ने फैसला किया था कि इंडस्ट्रिल वैस कायम हो और ग्रोथ रेट ज्यादा हो सके। सेकेंड प्लान में गरीब और ग्रमीर तबके का फर्क जिस हद तक कम किया जा सके उस को किया जाये और केमिकल्स वगैरह को बढ़ाया जाय, यह फैसला हम ने किया

[श्री दरब रा सिंह]

बा। तीसरेप्लान में हमारी डो जंब भी हुई लेकिन हम ने अपने एग्रीकल्चर का बेस बढाया और चौथी मे भी ऐसा हो रहा । मेरा मतलव झर्ज करने का यह है कि गिछने 20-22 साल मे हम ने कुछ काम किया है, देश भागे बढ़ा है। यह कहना कि इम से कोई फायदा नहीं हुमा यह गलत बात है। प्लानिग निहायत जरूरी है। हमारी ग्रोय के लिये यह बहुत आवश्यक है। ये फैक्ट्स मं.र रीझलिटीज है जो में कह रहा हू। भाज कहा जा रहा है कि बहुत सो मुश्किलात का सामना करना पड रहा है। यह सही है। इस मे कोई दो राये नही हैं। लेकिन यह जो पाचवे लान की म्रप्रोच है इस मे कोशिश की गई है, मैं यह नहीं कहता कि यह काम्प्रीहेगिव है, लेकिन कोशिश की गई है कि इस अप्रोच को इस ढम से बनाया जाये जिम से कि ज्यादा से ज्यादा हम भागे बढ मके ग्रीर हमारी ग्राथ रेट बढ सके । जहा हम कहते है कि 5.5 परसेट हमे बढाना है, घगर हम रोग्रालिटोज को फेस न करे झीर कह दें कि 8 पग्सेट या दस परसेंट होना चाहिये तो वह केवल कहने की बात हांगी । उस में कोई वह फायदेमद बात नही होनी ।

इमके माथ साथ मैं यह कहना चाहना हू कि प्रायरिटीज ग्रव चंज हुई हैं। इम पाचवे प्लान मे बिल्कुल मुझ्नलिफ चीजे हमारे सामने ग्राई है। हमे रूरल डिस-पैरिटीज को टूर करना है। यह तभी टूर होगी जब जो चोजे हमारे सामने हैं हम उनको देख कर हम फैमला करे। लैण्ड लेजिस्सेग्रन मे कोई काम स्टेट्म ने नही किया। स्टेट्म ने बाव से निकसने की कोशिश की है ग्रीर सैण्ड लेजिस्सेग्रन जो किया गया उसमे ऐसे सुशाख पैदा कर दिये गये कि जिससे बड़े बडे जो लेण्ड लाइ स हैं वह उसमे से निकस जामें। उसका नतीजा फूड ग्रेन्स के टेक ग्रोवर के मामले मे हमारे सामने है। तो यह एक कात हम सारी स्टेट्स सर- कारों से भाप कहें कि वह उप पर समस करे। जो वह कहते हैं वह करते नहीं हैं। यहीं भाषका प्लान इकता है। वही वह लोग हैं जो स्टेंट्न में आपके काम की भागें जलने नहीं देते।

भव मैं यह भर्त करता चाहता हू कि जो देहात मे पडा हुमा मजदूर है उमको भी आपको काम मे लगाना है। मैं मानता हूं कि सिर्फ जमीन के बटवारे से हो सारी चीज मिलने वाली नही है। इपके किये समाल स्केल इण्डस्ट्रोज को यूनिय्स फो वहा ले जाने को भावस्यकता है। जो भनस्विल्ड लेबर्रस वहा हैं उनको वहा काम मिलना चाहिये। यह नहीं कि भूमि सुधार पर ही इसको छोड दिया जाय।

समाजवाद हम झाली मोभायटी को रीम्राडंर करने के लिये चरते हैं नाकि उसके साथ साथ हमारा पट्लिन सेवटर बढे । धगर पब्लिक सेक्टर नही बढना है नो नमाम चोजे वही को वही खडी रह आयेगो क्यांकि प्राइबेट सेक्टर आगी तरफ खीचता है। वह मामेज के वैलफेपर के लिये नही काम करता । वह मानी तरफ खीवता है । इसलिये पब्लिक संकटर मे बढावा भावे, इसके लिये समाज गद को इस तण्फ हमे से जाना है । हमारे सामने इक्तिमारी जो चुनौतिया है---मैं बहुत जल्दी में अथनी बात कह रहा हू क्योंकि कोई जस्टिम तो है नही इस सबजेक्ट के साथ--पाच मात मिनट मे----लेकिन में यह धर्ज करूगा वि फारेन एक्मचेज जो है इसके हम डिनैडेंट नहीं रह सवति । इसका हल हमे दूइना है । बहुत सा फारेन एक्सचेज हमे दूसरे मुल्को को देना है। झभी 530 करोड़ हम दे चुके हैं, कई हजार करोड ग्रमी बकाया है। उसके लिये हमको चाहिये कि हम अपने एक्सपोर्ट को बढ़ावा दें झौर इम्पोर्ट की समस्या को अपने यहां हल कर सके। ऐसी ट्रॅनिंग, ऐसी टेक्नीकल नो हाउ की हुने खरूरत है जिससे आगनी जरूरत की चोज हम अपने यहां पैदा कर सहें ।

पापुले कर एक फैक्टर है जिसको हमें स्नावे सामने रखता चाहिये । यह नहीं कि हप इनको न करअन्दाज कर दें । क्योंकि स्नाबाशे हसारो दुनुनो स्तोड से वढ़ रहा है । जो ग्रंथ रेट है उसको वहो हजम कर लेतो है । 55 करे:ड़ ज्ञाज है । पांच साल ताद में सौर ज्यादा बढ़ जायेगी । हैल्थ के नुबते किनाह से लोगों को उग्र भो बढ़ो स्रीर बोमारियां भी कम हुई हैं । फेमिलो प्लाकिंग चाहे जितना जोर लगा ले हमारी पापुलेशन बढ़ती जायेगी । क्योंकि मुख्तलिफ किस्मक लोग वहां हैं जिनके रस्मोरिवाज ऐसे हैं स्रीर उनके सोचने का ढंग ऐवा है कि किसमें चेंत्र स्नाप नहीं ला सकते । हम ध्यारो के ऐंडो बहुन से लोग हैं । इनलिये पापुलेशन बढ़ती ही जायेगी ।

> रूप्ल इण्डस्ट्री वेस को मैं धर्ज वर्छना, आप यह न समझ ल जिये कि विलेज की इकंन मां जन न के बंटवारे से हो दुरु न हो सब ते हैं ! जतने कितने लोगों को आप दे सब ते हैं ! कितनी जमोन आप आज निकाल सब ते हैं ? बड़ी बड़ो बात आपके चीक मिनिस्टर लिख कर आपको भजते हैं ! लेकिन जब बंटवारा होगा तब पता चलेगा कि कितनों जमोन उससे मिलेगी । इसलिये रूपल सेक्टर में ऐसा इफ़ान्स्ट्रक्चर देना चाहिये ताकि उनको थोड़ा बहुत रोजगार वहां मिल सके और जो अनस्किल्ड लेबरर है उसे काम मिल सके ।

इसके बाद में एँग्रीकल्चर के बारे में अर्ज करना चाहता हूं । हमारे 75 फीसदी लोग ऐंग्रीकल्चर पर डिगेंड करते हैं । नेशनल इनकम का 40 परसेंट वह है । 7 हजार करोड़ रुग्या आपने एग्रीकल्चर के लिये रखा है । लेकिन इसमें वह रक्म भी शुमार है जिससे बड़े-बड़े इरीगेशन के प्रोजेक्ट तामीर करने हैं । इसलिये पिछले प्लान के बराबर ही वरोब 4 हजार करोड़ आप खेती के लिये दे रहे हैं। इय के वारे में आपको सोचना चाहिंगे ।

लोग कहते हैं कि बड़ी इकारामां फेल हो गई है। मैं किसी मुल्क का नम लिये वगैर कहता हं कि किसी ने 30 मिलि गर टन लित है, किसो ने चार-पांच मिलितः टन लिया है उसी यू० एस० ए० से जिससे कि वह पालिसी में इक्ष्तलाफ रखते हैं। लेकिन अपने को राहत देने के लिये और आइंदा के लिये अपने को दुरुस्त करने के लिये उन्होंने हजारों नहीं, लाखों नहीं मिलियन्स अ.फ-टन्स लिये है इसलिये कि झाने यहां लोगों का पेट पाल सके तो हमने कौन सा गुवाह कि ग है जो हम अर्नाज बाहर से मंगाकर लोगों को दे रहे हैं ताकि केई मुल्क में भूख से नहीं मरे हैं समाजवाद में अनर हम लोगों को रोटी न दे सके तो सनाजवाद बेइपानी है। अगर लोगों को खाला नहीं जिलता, पहनने का काड़ा नहीं मिलना, रहने को मकान नहीं मिलना तो यह समज्ज-वाद बेंदेमानी है। मकान के लिये भो हमने बंटवारे को कोशिश की है। हर स्टंट में हुआ है, कितना हुआ है यह दूसरी बात है। लेकिन नीयत ठोक है, इंटेगंस क्लोयर हैं ।

श्रव मैं बहुत ज्यादा इम पर न बहकर हायर ईल्डिंग वेशइर्रज के बारे में अर्ज करना चाहता हूं। मैं आपको काणन करता हूं, याइन्दा के समय में यह वेशइरीज किसान के काम में आने वाली नहीं हैं। क्योंकि तीन साल के बाद उसका सीड बेकार हो जाता है। आपकी ईल्ड घट जाती है। आप स्टेर्स के लिये इतने इतने टार्गेट्स मुकर्र करते हैं। लेकिन वह जब पैदा करते हैं उसी बीज को लगाते हैं तो वह बाज उतनी दावार नहीं दे पाना। कम अनाज यैदा होता है। उसकी ईल्ड बहुत घट जाती है। फिर आपको अपने टार्गेट्स री-ऐडजेरट करने

[थी बरवारा सिंह]

पढरी हैं। इसलिये इस बात का आप झ्याम रखिये कि सीड फार्म्स वितने भी आपके हैं उनमें यही हाई ईल्डिंग वेराइटी का सीड यैवा करके दीजिये । नई हायर ईल्डिंग वराइटी निकालने से बेहतर है कि वो आपके पास के हायर ईल्डिंग वराइटीब है उन्हीं को फाउन्डेंशन रख कर भौर वहा से पैदा कर लोगो को वीजिये नाकि लोग ज्यादा उनसे पैदा कर सके । रिसर्च का काम धागे बढ़ना चाहिये ।

इन दिनो में जो खबरे आ रही हैं उनसे पता चस्तता है कि राइस में कोई बीमारी मग गई है। उसकी ईल्ड कम हो गई है। तो उसका इन्तजाम करना चाहिये भौर झाइन्टा भी जो ऐसे पेस्ट्स लगत है उसके लिये पेस्टीसाइट्स भौर दूसरी चीजें मुहैया करने की जरूरत है। प्रापके टागेंट्म बडे हैं केकिन उसके लिये पेस्टीसाइट्स, फीटलाइजर और दूसरे इनपुट्स प्राप कितना दे सकते हैं द्वीर कितना सस्ता दे सकते हैं यह देखना बहुत जरूरी है।

15 00 hrs.

धाव जो किसान पैदा करसा है, जो छोटे कामंसं हैं, माजिनल फामंसं हैं, उनके लिये मुसीबत बनी हुई है। टेक-- बोबर के बाद ये किसान सब से पहले मार्केट में धनाज लाये, लेकिन कोई बैंक उनको कर्डा नहीं देता है। बीसियों फार्म्ब हैं-- लेकिन उन को कोई फायदा नही है। धाप ऐसा फार्म बनाइये, जिस से छोटे फार्म्स को, मानियल फार्म्स को, स्माल कामंस को ज्यादा से ज्यादा फायदा पहुंच सके।

हमारे पावर मिनिस्टर साहव करे गये-बह कहते हैं कि पावर का खिसारा जली जारी रहेना, काफी देर तक वह खिसारा रहेवा, क्वोंकि देसा नहीं मिलसा है। डिप्टी स्पीकर साहब-बाव बरा सोणिये, कहा जाता है कि तील सो एकड़ ख्वीन को एक ट्यूब-बैल पानी दे तकता है-कैरे दे सकता है, विस्कृम नहीं दे इक्रवा है, यह गणह सात है। ये सहते हैं कि इतने टयूब-वैरफ समा विये, इतनी कालेंग औ ज्यावपासी हो जाएगी लेकिन उतनी कालपाबी हो नहीं सकतों। मैं चाहता हूं कि साथ इसके निवाने को त्ये सिरे में रियाइच करें। 300 एकड़ जमीन को एक ट्यूब-वैस पावी दे सकता है---माप का यह घल्टाजा विषकुम गलत है।

आप इस्वाल्वमेन्ट की बात करते हूँ ---सारे राज्यों में पंचायती राज्य है, भ्री-टायर सिस्टम कायम है, लेकिन अब यह टूट रहा है। हर सूवे वाले उसे तोड़ रहे हैं, सिवाय महाराप्ट्र और गुजरात के। वे सारी ताकत अपने हाथ मे ले रहे हैं। ताकत का बटवारा होना चाहिये, डी-सैन्ट्रालाड जेगन होना चाहिये। आप उनको वहिये कि जितनी देर तक भ्री-टायर सिस्टम कायम नही करेने लोयो का इन्वाल्वमेन्ट नही हो सफता, हर जगह पचायते है, उन को बढ़ावा मिलना चाहिये। वहा कोई मो इन्टोचूमन कायम कीजिए, लेकिन जो बनी हुई हैं उनको आज नोडने की कोशिश हो रही है--यह उन के साथ बहुत ज्यादती है।

जितने बडे बडे प्रोजेक्टस हैं, उन के बारे में भयों से प्यान नहीं रखोंगे तो फिर कब प्यान रखेंगे। राजस्थान कैनाल नही बनी, बीम-डैम नही बन रहा है, जितने बडे बडे प्रोजेक्ट्स है, सब मध्रे पड़े हैं-में इस के विमाफ प्रोटेस्ट करताह। भटिण्डा के धर्मल पावर स्टेझल के एक्सपैन्जन के लिये कोयला मांगरी है सो कहा जाता है कि कोयसा मही दे सफ़ते, क्योंकि कोल-पिट बहुत बूर हैं। आप पूस--पिड बनाइये और पिड बना कर जिस को चितनी बरूरत है, उतना दीजिये । को म्लांट बना हुया है, जिस की एक्सऐक्सन हो समती है, उस के लिये माप इजाजत लहीं रे सकत-आप कब चर्मस प्लांट को सँवे, कब पिट्स अनेने इस तरह से तो पायर और इर्लिसन को बढ़ावा मही निम संकता, इस तरह से मुल्क जाने नहीं बढ़ सकता र

इस ने सब से पहले ऐसा किया कि सब को विजली दो, इस से लोगों के दिमागों में रोसनी होगी, सेती में रीझनी होगी, कारखानों में रोझती होगी-इस से काम चलेगा, लोगों को रोखनार मिलेमा।

डिप्टी स्पीकर साहब, कहना तो बहुत कुछ बाहता, था, लेकिन वक्त न भिलने के कुछ न कह मका ।

SHRI RANABAHADUR SINGH (Sidhi): The present Plan that is being discussed today appears to me to have boiled down to the core and in the short time available to me I should try to touch the core of the plan in this obscussion. Planning is a unique mechanism for any developing country to meet the needs of social justice and also to fulfil the minimum needs of the population.

We in this country have for the last 20 years or so carried out the experimentation of this unique mechanism. In this process, while we consider the fifth plan, a few questions pose themselves. The first question is whether in the process of the fifth plan, we shall be able to fulfil these two points--social justice and minimum needs of the people-without bringing in regimentation in train? The second question is, having lived in a village for more than 15 years, I am likely to feel that planning has in the last 20 years reached a stage of ritualism. This ritualism is almost like the old mantras of the Hindu religion which have lost substance and retain only forms.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE (Kanpur): Thank God, no Jan Sangh member is present!

SHRI RANABAHADUR SINGH: 1 am not being disrespectful to the mantres. If they are properly chauted, they may still work.

Also there is a feeling going around that planning inself has developed a sort of a vestes interested in itself. Lastly, I also carry a mispiving that like any human being, on a hational level also a pation can be afflicted by the malaise of nouvers riche. In this country too after independence we have had this malaise and while we basked in the affluence that was available to us as a nation by virtue of foreign aid, we have developed traits of administration and approaches which are pow taking their toll As an hon, member said yesterday meaningfully, there is expenditure to the tune of about Rs. 1000 crores in this country which has no productivity at all, expenditure like having a male work in a big official's house with no provision for a mali in that particular house His pay and other expenses are met from the contingency of the office to which this officer belongs. Other cases too can be cited For example, who milks the cows of the big officials? The person who does it belongs to the cadre which is supposed to run the office. Such unproductive expenditure is the malaise I am talking about.

Apart from this, this nation has carried out the experiment of the procurement of wheat and the take-over of the wheat trade. I know personally that for about three months, the total official machinery of a certain district was kept totally preoccupied by running around in trying to meet the target of procurement. The amount of petrol that must have been wasted in this process, if it was added up to the cost of the wheat procured, the cost price of wheat in that area would have been Rs. 200 per quintal. This expenditure, in spite of the best efforts and plauning, is bound to dampen the efforts.

In the process, a strange situation is developing. Even on the floor of this House there have been many occasions when tangential references have come up wherein, after abolishing the top 20 of the 10 per cent of the most affluent pepple in the country and trying to do away with all the impediments that hold up progress, after this abolition or that pationalization, voices are heard wherein the official machinery will some day be deminded to be abolished or nationalized.

In this context of things I have anly one suggestion to offer, which I hope

87 Approach to

Fifth Plan (M)

[Shri Ranabahadur Singh]

would find consideration, if not acceptanca, by the Planning Munistry. I make this suggestion based on the last five lines on page 62 of the Approach Paper. Referring to the involvement of the people, it is mentioned:

"A necessary condition for such involvement is understanding and appreciation of the Plan objectives, strategy and programme. In this task plan information and education can play an important role. High priority would be accorded towards working out and putting into practice the varied modalities of the peoples' involvement."

All the malaise I have mentioned before point out to the fact that we have for the last 20 years, and now for the next five years too, tried to bring this nation up by one section of the people alone trying to undertake the job whereas the majority of the people, those who are not entrusted with this task of exccuting the plan, they are more or less almost dead weights on the machinery which is supposed to bring them out of the backwardness. This can never work in a country wherein we have the legacies that we have m this country. The only possible method is to involve the people meaningfully. As I have read out, the modalities have been left to be worked out, nd this whole intellec'ual evercise, and I think it is an impressive onc, will again suffer the same fate that has been the lot of the previous plans.

AN HON. MEMBER: Much worse.

SHRI RANABAHADUR SINGH. So, I pose a very simple quest.on. Is it possible to get the people involved in the methods that have been tried so far? Can the people be involved merely by giving them a proper break-down of the Plan projects and a plan of expenditure? Is it possible for the people to feel that this Plan is going to make all the difference to them? I submit it is not possible unles we take heed from two thinkers who happened to take birth in this country and who at this moment, I feel, are gradually becoming irrelevant; I am

talking of Gandhi and Vinoba Shave. As far as peoples' involvement goes, we' cannot get away from the fact that the only possible way in which free people can be involved in any planaing is on the basis of equality. As things stand in the villages today, and I am speaking only of villages in this context, there is no equality between an official and a villager. As things stand today, the Plan as it is worked out in this August House, its execution lies squarely in the hands of the district official machinery, and when things are taken to the village level this official machinery suffers from that proverbial disease which was named in some political theorists' treatises by being called apoplexy at the centre and anaemia at the circumference. The only possibility of avoiding this trouble is to give a certain trial to Vinobhaji's Sarvodaya at the village level. All that it tries to bring about is to put the people at the village level in such a situation wherein the people themselves feel that unless they do something about it, it will not be possible for them to progress.

It is about time that burden of development having shifted from the white shoulders to the brown shoulders is shared by the black shoulders in the villages. For this, there shall have to be \pm complete break with the plat. It is not possible in the present context of things. It can only happen when people are given true freedom it can only happen when people are trusted; it can only happen when the functions of the Government are decentralised. For this, a certain amount of trust is necessary.

I am told, when Churchill was asked to give freedom to India, apart from saying that he could not preside over the liquidation of British Emp.re, he also said that the people who are half-fed and halfclothed have no sense to rule themselves and they will tax themselves out of existence. But we became free and we have existed as a free nation for the last 26 years. I plead that it is about time that in our villages too, where people are still half-fed and half-clothed, they too should be taken in trust. The functions of the Government, of the Plan, must be entrusted to them, in their hands, however much we feel that they might be misused to start with.

The question of freedom is such that it cannot be tampered with. Real freedom can only be experimented with and, having been experimented with and mistakes having been committed, it can right itself. But if it were thought that freedom could be trained, that freedom could be conditioned, it is not possible. It has never happened anywhere in the world. So, it is about time that while we make these Plans, while we think about coming out of backwardness, while we think about feeding ourselves, while we think about becoming self-reliant, we should also think about the fact that the execution of these Plans, all these beautiful methodologies, can only happen when the people themselves are partners in equality and in freedom,

Lastly, a little more selfishly, I hope that when this Plan finds its real shape, the Bansagar project will find a place in it. This project seeks to cater to 36 lakhs of people in an area which has no other possibility of water. There is no underground water; the rainfall is gradually going down. It is a project which will not vitiate the existing needs of lower raparian States; it is a project which will finance itself from its own revenues after the third year of its construction and it is a project which contains in itself the whole aspirations of the people of that region.

I wind up by saying that plauning is a question of orchestration and in this orchestration, it is only a good conductor...

SHRI PILOO MODY: But in this case a band-master.

SHRI RANABAHADUR SINGH:.... who is able to retain virtuosity and, in the progress, for such a retention of virtuosity, an old poet is quite relevant when the said:

मुखिवा मंख सम चाहिए खान पान से एक पाले पोसे सकल ग्रंग तुलसी सहित विशेक।

श्री सत्राकर पांडे (चंदौली) : उत्तच्यक महोदय, योजना के माध्यम से जो राष्ट्र को भाग्य लिपि बनाई जा रही है, पहले भो बनाई गई थी ग्रीर सरकारी ज्योतिवियों ने जो भाषा कही थी वह सही लहीं उतरी, उसके जनभव के झाधार पर नथी माग्य लिपि गढ़ी जा रही है। इसमें बताया यह गया है कि गरीबों का सम्मान बढेगा ग्रोर उनके जीवन यापन की व्यवस्था होगी। इस देश में आजावी के पहले या प्रारम्भ से ही जो धन को अपने बैबव का साधन मानत ये उन्हें प्रयम कोटि की नागरिकता प्राप्त थी और जो धन को बैभव का साधन नहीं मानते थे, धन को जोवन की आराधना की वस्त समझते थे उन्हें द्वितीय कोटि की नागरिकता प्राप्त थी। आज आजादी के बाद जी जिसके पास धन है उन्हें प्रयम कोटि की नागरिकता प्राप्त है ग्रीर जो गरीव हैं, गरीब रहन भी चाहते हैं केत्रल जोवन यापन भए के लिये धनाजी करना चाहते हैं उन्हें द्विनीय कोटि की नालीकता प्राप्त है, यह देखकर आण्चर्य होता है कि ऐसी मान्यता के रहते उनका मान कैते बढेगा? योजना बनायी जाती है, तो दांस को बात बहत की गई, और कहा गया कि एम० आहि० एस० ए० लगा देना चाहिये, गोली माए देनों चाहिये। मैं भी इस से सहसत हं करोंकि देश केवल दाम चोरों से ही परेशान नहीं है, बल्कि काम चोरों से भी परेशान है और नाम चोरों से भी परेशान है। वास्तव में इस देश में सर्गत मंगराबाद चल एहा है जो सब के ऊगर इस प्रकार हा गे हो गथा है जिल प्रकार क्षय के कोटाण लोगों पर हावी हो जाते हैं। क्षय से प्रस्त हे सारा देज इस में काम करने वाले को मान नहीं मिल रहा है।

जिस मशीनरी से, जिस यन्त से आप भविष्य के सपनों को मूर्तित करना चाहते हैं वह मशीन जड़ और सड़ीं है इतनी जड़ है कि आप गरम पारे को मोम की नजी से निकालना चाहते हैं और चाहते हैं कि पारा न दूरे। हम लोग 5 साल के बाद साक्षात्कार कराते

करने के लिये देने जा रहे हैं। और मार्श्तीय भाषामां की बात तो छोड़ बीजिबे, क्यॉक बाय कहते हैं कि स्टेट संब्वेक्ट है। अब आम बनला की भाषा गहीं संबन्नते हैं, जनता की मांध्र में भपना तत्व महीं पहचानना जाहते हैं, ती ब तता की कैसे समझेते । मीर वय देवता हूं कि मान जन झाम्बोलन करना बाहते हैं तो मुझे हता स्टती है। धनर जन धान्होलन करना है तो बांधी थीं की मावा सीखिये। सोधी की की बावा में जन मान्दोलन हो सकता है भीर किसी माचा में महीं स्वोंकि इस देस में बन शाम्बोलन यही कर सकता है जो स्थान करे, जी अपना जीवन दे झौर जो बहर पीने के सिबे सैवार हो ; ती हमारे नेता अंहर पान करने का अम्बांस करें, बहु नीलकंठ बनें। बहु सीर सागर में कैयल मस्ती लेने का धायोजन न करें । बीद औ आज मस्ती यानी की दुनियां है सब परेकान हें एक इसरे को समझ नहीं था रहे है । जीवत की विक्रमना है संगित के सोस विद्दे यहे ह्ये हैं, उनका समन्यय नहीं कर पा रहे हैं इसीलिये बरिप्रता है, विवारों की वरिप्रता है भीर सारी भीओं की दरिस्तो है। जिस देख में इन्सान नहीं होता वह देश आगे नहीं बेइतां बरीबी को हजारो बची से इस देख ने इसते हुंसते काटा है सीर सागे भी काट लेगा, किन्त इसाम बनाने के वो कारवाने भाग के 🖁, मावांग्री के बाद झापने उनको खुज पुंज किया है ह भीर जब कटीती करते हैं तो दो भीजों में कटीती करते हैं--एक तो शिक्षा माँर संस्कृति के सम्बन्ध में भीर दूसरी कटोती स्वास्क्य के बारे में करते हैं। जिस देश में विश्वा नहीं होगी तो भर्तहरि के सम्बर में कहा जाव तो भाप भादमी को साहित्य, सवित, कला विहीन पश बनाना भाहते हैं । दो प्रशता की फोर ले जाना चाहते हैं। इस पंचवर्षीय योजना के विधायकों से में प्रस्थ करना चाहता है कि इस प्रम्न को उत्तर अहे स्वयं अपने हदय मे इदें, भौर भनर मावस्थ समझे तो हम सोगों को भी उसका उत्तर t ž i

[बी मुनावर पांडे]

हैं 7,7 माख प्रादमियों से बौर जिनका साधात्कार 5 माटमी करते हैं बह तो \$8 बर्ब तक चलते हैं, भौर जो 7,7 साख भादनियों से सामात्कार कराते हैं वे पांच सास भीर बास्तव में यह 58 सालाजन स्थामी है, हम लोग उन के सवाहकार है। सेवक जब स्वामी हो जाता है, इस राष्ट्र का पुरा इतिहास हैं कि राष्ट्र पतन की भोर गया है भीर हमारे वो भविकांश राज नेता हैं वह ऐसे समर्थ नहीं कि इन सेवकों को उन की हैसियत बतावें । बन तक उन की हैसियत का बोध नहीं कराया वायगा तब तक राष्ट्र की प्रगति की सम्मावना की बात सोचना भी एक दुस्ह कल्पना मात्र होगी। यहां बैठकर भाष जितनी ऊंचाई से बोजना बनाते हैं, वहां तक बद्धा उठ महीं पाती धाप वहां आइवे जहां सोग भूखों रहते हैं। भाप की नगरी से हम सोम मपने क्रेन में लौटते हैं तो जनता तोचती हैं कि सुदामा कुम की नगरी से कुछ मान कर बाया होगा सीर वहां वब पहुंचते है तो बाब की तरह जिस के घर में घुसा म हो. दुकूर ठुकूर लोग हमारी तरफ तानते है, देवते यह जो बड़ी बड़ी नगरियां बन रही है भीर सारे देश का मोषण कर रही है इन का भी विके-म्द्रीकरण कर दिया जाय । यहां दिल्ली में मैं देखता हुं कि हमारे अस्पतालो में विजली महीं है, रोगी की सेवा नहीं होती है, भौर यहा मंतियों के घर पर 21, 21 बत्तियां रात में जल रही हैं। यह कौन सा समाजवाद है, मेरी समझ में गढ़ी बाता, मां? इस में किस गरीब का मान बढाने का आयोजन किया जा रहा है।

25 वर्ष हमारी झाजाबी के हो गये। हमारे स्वतन्त्रता के सवर्ष की माथा जनता की माथा थी। साज वांट की भाषा देश की भाषा बही बन पायी । धोर यह कितनी सज्या की बात है कि अगली योजना में जो आपने प्रस्ताव किया है कि 5 पैसे प्रति म्यनित प्रति माह पाप राष्ट्रमावा को प्रतिष्ठित

ग्रापने योजना में प्राइमरी शिक्षा के प्रसार की वात की है । बडी ग्रच्छी बात है । मेरा तो यह कहना है कि ग्रापने ग्राजादी के वाद साक्षरता को प्रचार किया है, शिक्षा का प्रचार नहीं किया है। क्योंकि यदि शिक्षा का प्रचार करते तो इस देश में जो टूटन है, घूटन ह, जो संबर्सित हैं ग्रापको उसके कहीं दर्शन नहीं होते । ग्राप बडी न्याय प्रियता की बात करते हैं ग्रौर एसी ऊंची ऊंची बात करते हैं कि ग्राप लोगों को साहित्यकार की श्रणी में जाना चाहिये था। लेकिन उतना करने के बाद भी साहित्यकार आपको साहित्यकार नहों मानता क्योंकि ग्राप न्यायप्रिय नहीं हैं।

उत्तर प्रदेश के बारे में आप बराबर कहते थे कि पिछड़ापन है, वहां सब नालायक बसते हैं, योजनाओं का रुपया वापस कर देते हैं। माननीय धारिया बैठे हैं, उन्होंने भी कहा था। लेकिन गत दो वर्ष में इतने कान्तकारी ढंग से हमने कार्य ग्रारम्भ किया कि प्रधान मंत्री को यह कहना पडा कि योजना का जितना ग्रंच्छा काम इधर गत दो वर्षी में उत्तर प्रदेश में हम्रा है उतना ग्रीर कहीं नहीं हग्रा । ग्राप क्या हमें दे रहे हैं, 3,500 करोड़ के बदले में ? 2,100 करोड़ देने जा रहे हैं। ग्राबादी के ग्राधार पर ग्राप नहीं दे रहे हैं, क्षेत्रफल के आधार पर नहीं दे रहे हैं, पिछड़ेपन के आधार पर नहीं दे रहे हैं। आपका आदर्श श्रौर सिद्धान्त कौन सा है इसको समझने के लिये तो स्वयं विधाता जी अवतार ले तो वही समझ सकते हैं, और कोई दूसरा कछ नहीं समझ सकता है।

यह रामयोग उपयोगितावाद, जिसे सामान्य भाषा में ग्रवसरवाद कहते हैं। यह ग्रवसरवाद जीवन को जयंत बनाने वाला महीं होता है। क्योंकि यह देश ग्रवसर-वादियो का नहीं रहा है, बल्कि उनका रहा है जो कि जन मंगल के लिये कफन का टुकड़ा भी सत्य ग्रोर सत्व को देते रहे हैं। मैं हरिश्चन्द्र की चर्चा कर रहा हूं, ग्रौर युधिष्ठिर की बात तो ग्राप लोग जानते ही हैं । ग्राप युधिष्ठिर बनने का नाटक न करें । ग्राप सचमुच हरिश्चन्द्र ग्रौर युधिष्ठिर बने तब इस देश में ग्रापकी ग्रारा-धना होगी ग्रौर इस देश में ग्रापकी पूजा होगी ।

ग्राप पिछड़े हुये क्षेत्रों की चर्चा करते हैं। ग्रभी उत्तर प्रदेश के योजना ग्रायोग के एक ग्रधिकारी ने कहा कि लिंक रोड बना लो, मल सडक न बनायो । तो मैंने उनसे कहा ठीक ही तो कह रहे हैं कि टहनियों को सींचो, जड़ को मत सींचो । नहीं तो मर्ख कैसे कहे जास्रोगे कुछ दिनों के बाद । स्राप हमको क्षमा करें इतिह सकार वडा निर्मम होता है, वह किसी को क्षमा नहीं करता है, ग्रीर कल का इतिहासकार जो ग्रापका इतिहास लिखेगा वह काली स्याही से लिखेगा, सोने के ग्रक्षरों में नहीं लिखेगा। ग्राप न्याय करिये ग्रौर उत्तर प्रदेश में जितना पिछड़ापन है उसको दूर करने के लिये जितने पूल हैं, सड़कें हैं, जितनी ग्रौर सिंचाई की योजना है उसको परा करिये, केवल बात मत करिये। यह देश कर्मजयियों का है। कर्मजयी बनिये जनता ग्रापका ग्रभिनन्दन करेगी, जनता आपका विश्वास करेगी ।

SHRI NATWARLAL PATEL (Mehsana): Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I am very grateful to you for giving me an opportunity to speak on this important subject.

Before I speak anything, I woud like to draw the attention of the hon. Minister that this is a country of farmers, this is a country of villages. 80 per cent people of this country are living in the villages and they are getting their livelihood from the business of agriculture. When we are planning, we are forgetting for whom we are planning. Naturally, so far as the progress of the country is concerned, I understand a proper planning isrequired and for want of proper planning, I think, we have been suffering a lot for the last so many years. I understand one thing. Our planning should be agriculture-oriented. Our planning should be labour-oriented and, according to me, our planning should be 'Garibi Hatao'-oriented.

When we plan we must not forget the millions of poor people in the country who live in the villages. What is their idea? What is it that they want? What is their idea regarding planning? I think before we go tahead we must see clearly about this aspiration in the minds of people; we must try to know their minds and proceed accordingly. For the last so many years we have had the First Plan, the Second Plan and the Third Plan and the Fourth Plan and now we are approaching the Fifth Plan. We have never bothered to understand the minds of these poor people. There is one common genzral m sunderstanding among intelligent people to the effect that those who are living in villages are not intelligent, they are useless people, etc. This is not so, they are not useless people, they may not be intelligent, but they understand things, they know how planning is to be done for this country, they know how the country can proceed and how the country can be well-planned. Mr. Dharia and Mr. Dhar -there is a good alliteration of these words-understand all these problems Both of them are dynamic persons and they are intelligent and I appeal to them: They should never forget that this country is a country of farmers and of villagers. We should not forget that India is a country of agriculture. That is what we should bear in mind when we approach any Plan.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING (SHRI MOHAN DHARIA): I was born in a village and I spent 24 years of my carly life in villages.

SHRI NATWARLAL PATEL: You are an agriculturist, I know that. I am very happy to know about what you said now. That is why I bring this to your kind notice, that our Planning should be agriculture-oriented.

So far as our country is concerned, nearly 80 per cent are living in the villages and our economy is based on agriculture. Agriculture is the backbone of our country's economy and just as you cannot have a body in full strength unless you have full strength in the backbone, so also, in regard to our country's economy, if the economy is to be strong and stable, naturally, we have to see that our agriculture is strong and stable and strengthened. So far as this agricultural sector is concerned there are many problems.

Sir, I do not say that Government has not done anything in this direction. But what I want to say is that this .ector has suffered due to lack of proper planning, if I may put it that way. Therefore, what I say is, when we are approaching this bitth Plan, we should not forget our agriculturists We should not forget our poor villagers, our poor farme's who are hying today in a miserable condition. Mr. Prasannabhai Mehta said that we have miscrably failed. We have not misarably fail'd. I would not like to say that we have surceeded, but we can't say that we have miserably failed. This is my respectful submission. To err is human 1 don't say there cannot be ms al s But we shall have to look at our outlook We should plan in such a way that the poor people in the country get the benofit of such planning.

Mr. Dhar and Mr. Dharia are having socialistic outlook and I have great faith so far as Mr. Dhar is concerned and Mr. Dharia is concerned. And I am sure, in regard to the Approach Paper to the Fifth Plan, they will take into consideration the various suggestions which I have made. My anxiety is this. Members are speaking and speaking, just to focus attention on certain points, and giving suggestions, but these are not always acted upon.

I think that it is a great tragedy to understand that what we are saying is incorrect. If you find that there is any good suggestion that is made by us, you would like to implement it. So far to I am concerned, I am not talking in the name of Natwarial Patel but today I am talking in the name of so many poor people in this country. I am talking in the name of agriculturists; I am talking in the name of labourers of this country. That is why I tell you that so far as our friends are concerned, they should not think that we are not doing anything; in fact we are doing everything just to eradicate the poverty from this country and just to make our countrymen happy in this country. To plan for a big country like ours. I think, is not such an easy thing. Shri Dhar is riding a tiger. I think it is a very risky thing to ride on a tiger. Looking at our resources and looking at the position of our country. I think that he must be bold enough to face the situation and go ahead with the Plan. 1 want you to understand one thing. When a good doctor is giving a good medicine to a patient and if he comes to him again that the medicine is not doing good to him, naturally, a good doctor would change it. He naturally goes by the original prescription. If he is a good doctor, when he is told that his medicine is not giving him relief, he would like to change it. Similarly, we must have an open mind when we look at the Approach to our Plan.

I would like to draw the attention of the hon. Minister as also the attention of the House to one important point. So far as our policy is concerned, we are always thinking of taking over of foodgrains trade by Government; I am not, at the same time, opposed to the State's taking over. So far as our country is concerned, we should take over anything. I think that if Shri Dhar and Dharia want me to be taken over, I am prepared for that! As I said already, I am not opposed to this business of taking over. We shall have to go in for it. But, there are some Members in this House who are always thinking of taking over of everything by Government. But, I am always thinking of Naramada. In this House, I am not opposed to this. We shall have to read the minds of the people of this country as to whether they are in a position to support this or not. That is also to be taken into consideration. If we are not going to look 1827 LS.---4

at this aspect of the problem, I think we would make our people to suffer. Sir, I am a man coming from the rural area and I understand the mind of the agriculturists. I would urge upon the hon. Minister to look into this matter while planning for the agricultural community. Both Shri Dhar and Shri Dhria are dynamic persons and both of them are marching towards the road of socialism. I am sure that this great country, under the dynamic leadership of Shrimati Indira Gandhi, will have a bright future before it. About this, I have no doubt in mind. We have to make the country's future bright with the cooperation of everybody. We are always at the back of the Government and let us hope that everything will be good.

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA (Begusarai). Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, it seems for Parhament, Plan is expendable. Otherwise, how can you explain that it has become the residuary item on the last day of the session? Even so, this item would remain unfinished during the current session, and, by the time we meet during the next session, the draft of the full Plan would be available to the country.

Be that as it may, what I would like to emphasise in the very beginning is that it is rather unfortunate to observe that it is, for the first 'ime, that the Approach to a Plan or a Memorandum on a Plan, has not been presented by the Prime Minister. It is my recollection that with ali the Plan documents, the Prime Minister of India had always been very actively associated in the past. Pandit Nehru always made it a point to place before the country the approach or the strategy of the next Plan. In fact, there could be nothing more important on the agenda of a Prime Minister than planning itself. The fact that it has not been so shows that planning has a very low priority on the agendal of the Prime Minister and that planning has been downgraded.

SHRI G. VINWANATHAN (Wandiwash): Devalued.

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: It is not a question of the Prime Minister not being here today in our midst. For the Prime Minister was here when the approach

[Shri Shyamnandan Mishra]

document was being discussed in the other House, and yet she did not think it fit to associate herself with the presentation of the approach document. Again, it is not only the lack of association of the Prime Minister with this approach document to which I am taking objection, but it seems that the Prime Minister has taken leave of planning as it were; as the chairman of the Planning Commission, she does not seem to be actively involved in the process of planning.

I do not want any kind of pausible reply to be given by the other side, but I would like them to consider whether the process of planning can go on without the active association or the involvement of the chairman of the Planning Commission herself.

The Prime Minister recently said that she had been devoting about fifteen hours of her valuable time every lay to State snatters, but may I ask her whether she has been able to devote even fifteen minutes of her time to this most most important and most vital part of our national activity?

Pandit Nehru attended all important meetings of the Planning Commission, and probably those important meetings during the course of a year; numbered not less than 20 to 24 during the course of a year; even during the course of one month, two important meetings of the Planning Commission used to take place. I really do not know whether the Pinne Minister is able to attend any meeting of the Planning Commission except on ceremonial occasions when photographs are taken and everybody says that she should be present.

But my complaint is not only about the bead of the Planning Commission not taking full and intimate interest in it. The Planning Commission itself as a body seems to be largely on a holiday. By the Planning Commission, I mean the members of the Planning Commission, not those who are working in the various divisions of the Planning Commission. May I sek

how many meetings of the Planning Commission are being held during the course of a year? My information goes, and I cannot be very wrong in this matter having been associated with planning since its inception, that the Planning Commission's meetings are now as few as two or three during the course of a year. May I remind the House that during the days of Shri V. T. Krishnamachari, there used to be an informal moeting of the Flanning Commission every n:orning? Now, those meetings of the Planning Commission are not held at all, and there are only two or three meetings of the Planning Commission during a year.

Then, some members of the Planning Commission do not seem to be functioning at all. The Finance Minister is indeed a key figure in the Planning Commission, but does he figure at all in the process of planning? And so also is the Minister of International Trade. Earlier, Shri Krishna Menon used to have a room in the Planning Commission, although he was not a full member of the Planting Commission de jure. Then, international trade was given so much of importance; now it is not a matter of importance as our objective seriously, then that is a matter of highest importance. Yet neither the Finance Minister not the Minister of International Trade is associated intimately with the Planning Commission.

Parliament would also be entitled to know, and probably it has a means of knowing it also, now many times some of the members have been attending the committee meetings of the Planning Commission?

Again, one of the members of the Planning Commission has joined the Finance Commission. H: was one of the important members of the Planning Commission and an expert in his own field. But now he is only nominally associated with the Planning Commission,

May I further say-this may not be because of my hon. friend, the Planning Minister himself-that now the Planning 101 Approach to

Minister is referred to probably in all the documents of the Planning Commission as Planning Minister and not as the Deputy Chairman of the Planning Commission? So we have neither the Chairman nor the Deputy Chairman of the Planning Commission and we have got the Planning Minister with a large role for public relations or for international affairs. May 1 wish him well in whatever useful activities he is engaging himself in?

SHRI PILOO MODY: And those other activities also.

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA. Because I had been associated with planning from the very beginning, I would crave your indulgence to give me a nutle more time.

The most important 'hing for planning or for that matter any kind of activity is the atmosphere in which that activity has to proceed. And in the kind of aunosphere that has been generated in the country largely by the government, the political leadership of this country, we have now a climate which is very much hostile to planning. Can we detach ourselves completely from the atmosphere in which planning has to function in this country? This atmosphere now is one of pseudo-radicalism. Pseudo-radicalism is, in fact, the one contribution that has been made by the political leadership that bestrides the stage just now.

We have then got this political constellation—here I am speaking of the political constellation which is running the country, a political constellation which is an admixture of democracy and totalitarianism. What I mean is that, really, it is not only the ruling party in a *de jurs* sense which is ruling the country; the main element that has to be reckoned with, in this political constellation, is the CPI, the Communist Party of India.

This is the political constellation. It has got its own economic consequence—they cannot be escaped. The main consequence is that this constellation has destroyed the personalisy and the ethos of planning in the country. Our planning had developed a certain ethos or personality which, I maintain, has been destroyed by this constellation and by the many things being mouthed by hon. members on th other side.

I stand for every achievement that has been made by planning during the course of its first 18 years or so under the leadership of Pandut Jawaharlai Nehru. There is hardly any record in the world which can match the record of planning during its first 17-18 years and I teel proud of that period. But it is that personality or ethos which had been built up by and under the leadetship of Pandit Nehru which has been destroyed by this new political constellation.

Then you have got a particular kind of psychological climate in this country which is one of disillusionment. Planning itself is being discredited. Who will believe in planning when there is more unemployment, when there are higher prices, and when there is greater disparity. And you speak of being the Messiah of socialism! May I say that the Prime Minister declaims like Lenin but performs like Maharani Gyatri Devi? (Interruptions) May I also say that probably, my hon. friend, Shri Piloo Mody, if he had been the Prime Minister of India-even this Swatantra Prime Minister-would have given more socialism to this country than this Prime Minister has? What, after all, have you got today? (Interruptions)

Mr. Deputy-Speaker, why has this disillusionment been brought about in this country? Is this disillusionment the handiwork of the Opposition? As one of the Nobel laureates an economist has recently said "disillusionment is the result of illusionment." And you, that is, the Government, had produced this illusionment.

These people have created, launched on, another element which is producing the hostile atmosphere for planning. That is economic populism which they have been preaching. Economic populism is the exact opposite of economic rationality. It is just the opposite of economic science and economic logic. But planning means rationality, planning means logic and planning means science. If you have created such an

103 Approach to

[Shri Shyamnandan Mishra]

atmosphere of irrationality, you can very well imagine what kind of planning you would be able to operate in this country. Therefore, there is utter chaos and lack of discipline everywhere in this country.

The ruling party does not seem to realise that they cannot skip the basic economic lawser escape the consequences of their operation. What has happened to Allende in Chile, what is happening to Bandarnaike in Ceylon, what is happening to NeWin in Burma, what had happened to Soekarno in Indonesia is bound to happen here, because the same kind of populist policies and economies are being practised.

SHRI PILOO MODY: And Nkiumah in Ghana.

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: Yes, that too. Then the people say, "Why don't you stop it?" We are certainly trying to do it in our own humble way, but when the tower of power radiates irrationality no nook or cranny can remain safe from it. They are radiating irrationality from the tower of power in Delhi and so you find economic irrationality, prevailing everywhere. That is the tragedy of the present situation

But all the same, the point to reckon with is that some political imperatives have been created and they demand economic correlative-how economic correlatives are to be built in order to correspond to the political imperatives is the question. In other words, one has to remind oneself of what Debre Regis said to Allende-the electoral mass has to be turned into a revolutionary mass. And this the ruling party cannot do. It has indeed been able to win the elections on the basis of certain slogans. But now they find that they would not be able to implement those slogans. This is the basic contradiction of the present situation. You can certainly win elections on certain slongans, but if you are not abe to implement them, then those slogans are going to boomerang on you. All this has created a most unfortunate situation in the country so far as planning is concerned.

Now, so far as the approach paper is concerned, may I say that mobody in hit senses, if I may say so with humility, can call it an approach to the Fifth Five Year Plan. For, it can be asked, if there is no Fourth Five Year Plan as such, where is the question of the Fifth Five Year Plan and an approach to the Fifth Five Year Plan. Is there a Fourth Five Year Plan now in existence? And if there is a plan called the fourth five year Plan, may I say that there could be no more a meaningless exercise than this. In fact, the Fourth Five Year Plan is a continuation of the plan holiday which was officially maugurated in 1967.

I do not say so arbitrarily, economic activity during the Fourth Five Year Plan, never picked up the level of 1964-65, when probably my hon. Friend Dr. Rao was the member of the Planning Commission and he, did not allow thing, to go that astray during those days. Investments, in real terms during the years of the fourth plan had never cxcoeded the level attained during the three years of the officially declared planholiday. The Fourth Plan has failed miserably in every vital field. Had it been left to the operation of the free market forces, as my hon, friend Mr Mody would always advocate, probably the result would not have been much different from what you are having now.

SHRI PILOO MODY: They would have been much better.

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA. The shortfalls in the terminal year of the fourth plan are going to be substantial in every field. In fact, the shortfall would be no less than 20 per cent in foodgrains, I think I can put it at even 25 per cent. In steel it would be more than 30 per cent, in cement 51 per cent, in fertilisers 50 to 60 per cent, in clothes 60 per cent and in newsprint 92 per cent. Coal has been stagnating at whatever level it was 8 years before. In the new generation of power the slippage during the first three years of the fourth plan had been more than during the three years of the plan holiday. During the three years of the plan holiday the slippane was of the order of 24 per cent. During the three years of

4

-the current plan it was 43 per cent and in the fourth year of the plan, it may be of the order of 86 per cent. That is the achivement !

The Fourth plan is the launching pad for the fifth plan, but it does not provide the basis for what is being postulated. The fourth plan is in such shambles that the credibility of the fifth plan and its author is bound to be doubted. After all the fifth plan would stand on the shoulders of the fourth plan. The Government which does not take care of the current plan but talks about the next plan in hyperbolic and superlative terms is the one which cannot be credited with any belief in planning. You must take care of the current plan and then alone you can sound credible about the achievements to be made in the next plan or the targets that you are placing before the country in the next plan.

It appears, therefore, that we have been enjoying a plan holiday since 1967 and we would continue this plan holidaythat is my humble submission-even during the Fifth Plan as it has been postulated. May 1 give you a few examples? Perhaps the Planning Minister himself had stated that the Fifth Plan was now going to be reduced to the core or it would be mostly a core plan. He claimed that economies to the extent of Rs. 400 crores were being effected in the expenditure of the Government. What did he imply? It is not only a question of implication; now it is very explicit. It is known to everybody that out of Rs 400 crores, Rs. 340 crores would be the cconomies effected in the plan-expenditure. Only Rs. 60 crores would be the reduction in the non-plan expenditure. If that is the economy he feels the country wanted in the context of the economic difficulties, if faces, I must say that he has wrongly understood the feeling in this country.

16.00 hrs.

[DR. SARADISH ROY in the Chair]

Maybe I am not correct-nobody knows who is going to be correct; the Planning Minister himself cannot usert he is going to be proved correct-but on present indications, it seems to me that the new steel projects are going to be shelved or are going to be taken up only norminally. Secondly, the power programme is going to suffer a sizeable cut. New fertiliser units will be reduced at least by half in number. Goa Refinery seems to have been finally dropped. All these are in the core sector. How are we going to assure ourselves that even the core is going to be protected? If that is the fate of many of the vital projects in the core of the plan, and this is bound to be so. if prices go on rising at the rate at which they have been rising, i.e. 20 to 25 per cent during the course of a year, what will happen? May I conclude this topic by saying that the Fifth Plan is going to be a continuation of the plan holiday even with reference to what is going to happen to industry, that is, the most dynamic sector of our economy? A major portion of the industrial programme for the Fifth Plan would necessarily consist of schemes spreading over from the Fourth Plan. The House knows that about Rs. 10,000 crores have been earmarked for industry, out of which Rs. 7,000 crores are earmarked for the public sector and Rs 3,000 crores for the private sector. Of this, an outlay of Rs. 4,000 crores would be required for the carry-over schemes and for advance action on the fifth plan Rs. 1,000 crores would be required. What remains for the new schemes during the fifth plan is only about Rs. 2,000 crores. Even this would get attenuated because of the price rise. So, you can imagine what kind of plan we are going to have.

Again, the investment ratio which is a very important factor to reckon with is not going to rise during the Fifth Plan according to the indication given in the approach document. It is rather surprising that the approach document does not mention prominently anywhere the investment ratio that would obtain during the Fifth Plan. At one place, only incidentally it is mentioned and the figure given is 16.6 per cent. Probably it is gross. I would not go into the mechanics of the calculation, but by 4 per cent or so. Thus, the net investment ratio would be of the order of 12.6 per cent, even according to the approach document. If that is so, it is not going to approximate even to the level that was reached in 1964. 65. Therefore, it would not be incorrect to say that even the Fifth Plan is going to be a continuation of the plan holiday. So, nobody can call it an approach to the fifth plan; if at all it can only be an approach to a further continuation of the plan holiday.

Be that as it may, at this stage what we are considering is only a technical exercise, a lifeless or headless body. Indeed, I must give a word of praise for the new technique that has been brought in for the formulation of the Fifth Plan. I remember how during the course of the second plan, it was exceedingly difficult for us even to construct an inter-industry table of 12 x 12. This time the inter-industry table that has been constructed runs into 66 x 66, and that, indeed is a great improvement. Much of the document has been produced, not by my hon. friend Shri Dhar or by other members of the Planning Commission but by the new God called computer. It is the computer which has produced this document and may be there is some improvement, so far as the technical formulation is concerned; it is, indeed, a great improvement. But that reminds me of a story in the United Kingdom about a get married. A young man wanted to a girl. He had in front of him the photographs of a large number of girls, about 500 or 600 He had his own ideal of beauty. It was becoming rather puzzling for him and he was completely at sea as to how to select a young girl who will be upto his ideal of beauty. So, he fed into a computer his own ideal of beauty and the data of the girls and asked the computer to give the answer The computer relected a girl on the basis of the data made available to it. But when the girl came to know that she was selected by the machine and not by the man, she was horrified. This is true of the technical exercise here.

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE. (Gwallor): Who is the girl in this case?

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: The country has to select the girl and probably the choice is not very much in this matter.

SHRI MOHAN DHARIA: In any case, Shri Vajpayee has no experience in this matter.

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE: That is why I want to seek the help of the computer.

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: The point I was submitting was that the exercise that has been presented to us is only a lifeless exercise. It does not have the life-giving inputs like the policy frame, the administrative or executive capacity or may I say, even the political commit ment, a word which has been so profusely bruited by the leaders of the ruling party. Have they given the political commitment that is necessary for the implementation of such a plan or to make the plan frame or the 'approach a meaningful exercise? They may say that a formal commitment has been given by the National Development Council. We know how things are presented to the National Development Council and how decision ís secured from that body. In fact, the issues are never posed to the National Development Council in the way in which they ought to be and what results from its deliberations is only a cover for a formal procedure. So, the approach without a policy frame is a body without a soul. It is only a technical approach

May I say that what we mean by approach is not a technical exercise bu' necessarily a political or human exercise? If it is not so, and if it is a technical exercise, it should have the backing and support of the human approach. There is no such thing that we find here. Indeed, the approach should be primarily a political and human document and only secondarily a technical document. The defect of this plan approach is that it is primarily a technical approach and only secondarily a technical approach and only secondarily a human or political document.

Moreover, I would not be prepared to call it an approach to a Plan for another reason. This is for the first time that a plan is sought to be formulated without any backward or forward linkage. It is almost as autonomous Plan. Have we got a prospective in this approach of the next 10 or 15 years? This is for the first time that the Plan is being formulated without any perspective. No planning exercise can be an autonomous exercise. But this is what we find being done here now.

Even the Tamil Nadu Plan-frame is superior to your Plan-Approach of the Central Government? I say, even a State Plan is superior to your Plan. In many ways, I will credit them with a much greater statesmanship and intelligence than the Central leadership here. In the Tamil Nadu Plan, they have got a perspective of 10 years. They seek to double their State income during the course of next 10 years. But there is no such perspective given in the Plan Approach here. Yet you ask us to call it a Plan Approach. It is. something difficult for us to indeed. stomach.

Now, if the Plan Approach lacks credi bility. If the people takes it less seriously, it is because the Government is taking it less seriously. In fact, it is the Government which has made the future of the Fifth Plan rather bleak by not attending to the problems of the Fourth Plan. What the Government ought to have done in the given situation was to attend the problems of crisis management. The econmoy is in the grip of a serious crisis at the present moment. The last two years of the Fourth Plan should have been devoted mostly to the crisis management. But they have not done anything of the kind. So, the shortages plague the economy; the soaring prices plague the economy. The Fourth Plan is indeed, as somebody has remarked, like a cousin in the family who has gone astray and nobody wants to mention his name in the family. Nobody in the Govt. is talking about the Fourth Plan. This is the Government to whom we have entrusted the responsibihity of formulating a Plan and implementing a Plan.

The crisis can be managed or tackled if we deal with its root, namely, the impasse or the stalemate in the policies. We go os discussing endlessly growth vs. distribution, the problem of big kulaks vs. small peasants, public sector vs. private sector. When will this impasse end? When will we make up our mind finally? Unless this impasse ends, there can be no growth. The most indecisive animal in the world is the Central Government. It will never make up its mind about anything. If there is no decisiveness in thought, there can be no decisiveness in action. Therefore, there is complete paralysis of will, thought and action.

What was expected of the Approach to the Fifth Plan was a break-through in the stalement of the policies, and that breakthrough in the stalemate of the policies is not visible anywhere.

At this rate, the way in which they are mismanaging the economy thoroughly to their hearts' content, the Fifth Plan too, even before it is launched, will be a non-event and the obituary notice, as somebody has said, will pre-empt the announcement of its birth.

There are four or five objectives of a conventional nature that have been placed before the country. There is absolutely no new-ness or freshness about them. One objective is growth in national income at the rate of 5.5 per cent—a very sanctified objective in almost all the Plans. In respect of agriculture, the annual growth rate is of the order of 4.5 per cent or so. In industry, eight to nine per cent, and export is expected to grow at seven per cent.

Before I deal with any other item among these objectives, I would like to detain the House for whole on the export objective. May 1 say that, if this Governcent practises so much of hypocrisy and tries to regale the country with statistics which are completely wrong or hollow, then they cannot operate any planning truthfully or meaningfully? They have been telling the country that we have exceeded the plan target in the matter of exports. But that is not so. We have been chalking up a growth rate in exports of not more than five per cent. but they have been claiming figures like 17 per cent, 18 per cent-really, I do not remember-, perhaps 22 per cent. The back-door devaluation of the rupce and the export to Bangladeah to the extent of Rs 150 crores for which we had to make a grant to the same

III Approach to

[Shri Shyamanandan Mishra]

extent and so on-all these have been credited in the matter of exports. But, this Government is not taking the country into confidence about all these things.

So far as the increase in national income at the rate of 5.5 per cent is concerned, I am one of those who think that this is not a high figure. In fact, I would advocate that the country should be in a position to achieve a rate of growth in national income of the order of seven per cent per annum. That is indeed possible, in this country, to achieve. During the course of the last 20 years or so, I have been able to locate eight years during the course of which the rate of growth had been near seven per cent. What we had been able to achieve during the course of those eight years out of 20 years should be possible for us to achieve during the course of the next five years, if you are able to duplicate the same conditions which prevailed during the course of those eight years. And those conditions can be duplicated, can be brought about, if you are able to learn the lessons from the past. There are three or four lessons which should have been learnt from the past.

The first is this. The dependence on agriculture on seasonal conditions 15 now to the extent of 80 per cent That has to be reduced. If you are able to reduce it significantly, then you would be able to bring about a much greater growth in national income also.

The second is that the industrial production had been depending much too heavily on the traditional industries which have got a lesser rate of growth. That dependence also has to be reduced.

The third is that we went in for heavy and basic industries which have long gestation period. I do not regret it, but this long gestation was matted by mismanagement. Therefore, the effect of this could not be felt in the stipulated period.

The fourth factor, which we very sadly neglected, was education. Education in this country is an anti-development force; it is not a development force. Recently when the eminant economist, Prof Collin Clark, visited this country, he used to us what he heard from an Indian representative at a UNESCO Conference. The Indian representative had said;

"The British gave us an unbalanced system of education; we have made it worse."

If you make education worse, do you require to ask the stars why we are facing such a deployable situation in this country?

The much-trumpeted objective of this Plan is equality. The hallmark of the approach is that this Plan would be able to bring up the level of the standard of living of the bottom 30 per cent by reducing the consumption standards of the top 30 per cent Now one really does not know what is the concept of the bottom 30 per cent and of the top 30 per cent. We really knew the bottom 40 per cent and this also seems to have increased considerably. One estimate puts that the bottom 40 per cent would have increased to the extent of 70 per cent due to the recent price rise. The top 30 per cent were those gentlemen-ladies and gentlemen included in this category---who have a consumption standard of Rs. 24 per month and above It is these who are included m this. So, I really do not know what is the kind of equality which they want to bring about even among those who have got this standard.

What is the present position of consumption? The top 5 per cent enjoy 18 per cent of the national consumption and the bottom 40 per cent enjoy only 20 per cent of the national consumption. 80. the consumption of the top 5 per cent is almost equal to the consumption of the bottom 40 per cent. Almost equal, because they enjoy a consumption of 18 per cent and the bottom 40 per cent enjoy a communption of only 20 per cent. If you include the top 10 per cent, then their consumption standard is 27 per cent. Should we not ask the Planning Minister why in this process of equality-which is

indeed a desirable process and which has to be stopped up-has be not confined his attention only to the top 5 per cent which enjoy a consumption standard equal to the consumption standard of the bottom 10 per cent? But, if that is not desirable, why has he not included the top 10 per cent? Why has he included the top 30 per cent? Frankly I am not able to understand this process of equality.

Lastly a word about 'Garibi Hatao' and self-reliance....

SHRI G. VISWANATHAN: Where 15

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: If this Government were really serious about their 'Garibi Hatao' programme which was announced in the year of grace,---1969 when Mr. Subramaniam served a notice on 'Garibi' m this country at the Bombay session-"Quit Poverty"-, if the Government were really serious about this programme, then it would have had 2 'Garibi Hatao' programme extending over 8-9 years since 1969. After having wasted three years of their valuable time and done absolutely nothing during this period, they want the country to believe that they are going to achieve it in the next five years. Therefore, in this country you find not a 'Garibi Hutao' programme but a 'Garibi Bhulao' programme. The very key-note of the 'Garibi Hatao' programme was placed before this country by Mahatma Gandhi

Prohibition has been dismantled by this Government. So I want to say with all the emphasis at my Command that this Government which dismantles the programme of prohibition is going to bring about much greater poverty and misery in this country.

This Government is also going to capitulate before the Americans during the course of the next two or three months...

SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISHNAN (Badagara): It is your Mr. Nijalingappa who abolished prohibition in Mysore

SHRI PILOO MODY: Nobody would have that courage on your side.

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: Let them not talk of self-reliance. What I wish to say is that during the next two or three months this Government is going to capitulate before the Americans because the problem of balance of payments is going to be extremely difficult. They are going to import more food. They will have to import more fertilisers, more steel and more petroleum and at higher prices. They have made a provision of only Rs. 240 crores which would be available to them from foreign aid--only Nett Rs. 240 crores. My calculation is that they would require in the place of these Rs. 240 crores, not less than Rs. 3000 crores. So. instead of Rs. 45 crores per year, this Government would require Rs. 500-600 crores per year That is my calculation.

Let us compare notes after sometime and see what happens. Then it will be seen that what I have said borne out by facts. What they are talking about, namely, self-reliance is a sham and hypocricy. All in all, this approach to the Fifth Plan is truly not of much use.

SHRI KARTIK ORAON (Lohardaga): Mr. Chairman. Sir, the very first Chapter in regard to the Approach to the Plan has directed itself to certain basic objectives. These basic objectives are:

> "Removal of poverty and attainment of economic self-reliance—these are the two major tasks which the country has set out to accomplish."

We have had four plan periods. Even in the First Plan the central objective of Planning has been to initiate "a process of development which would raise the living standards of the people and open out to them new opportunities for a richer and more varied life". Obviously the economy has strengthened over the years, but it has not gone to the people as a whole, it has not been able to create confidence in them. The purpose of planning should be to proceed ahead, a step forward, and at the same time it should give us promise of botter days ahead. That is what the purpose of planning is and should be.

115 Approach to

[Shri Kartik Oraon]

What we find is that in regard to various programmes of development, our people still live below the poverty-line, they are underemployed and unemployed, The thinking today is naturally directed towards making a new approach to planning and bring it into focus with programmes of development which will immediately help the large masses of our people who as a consequence of unemployment and underemployment are below the poverty line. According to Mr Dandekar the people who do not consume enough food to satisfy the minimum nutrition requirement of 2250 calories per day are assumed to be below the poverty line. I belong to a community who even today even after two decades of planning, are living on the barks and leaves of trees let us think about them. Let us know what Planning has given to them. They say that 50 per cent of people live below poverty line. 1 say, below, below, below that. It is a very serious problem. What is the idea of Planning? We should see that Planning generates some interest in the masses. People expect, with new planning something new would emerge. If this expectation is not fulfilled, we are not going to solve the problem at all. Planning is a basic instrument of social change but mere change in approach alone is not enough, that will not produce the desired result unless it is adequately supported by constructive and practical measures. The need for a timebound programme cannot be over-emphasised.

Our Prime Minister has been stressing time and again immediately after the heavy massive mandate that she received from the people in the mid-term poll of 1971 and 1972 as follows: I quote:

> "We do not have all the time in the world. We must make a deat in the next three years, or four, at the very most."

Where are we now? What does the Fifth Plan stand for? This Fifth Plan is for a period up to 1979. We must find

out what are the priorities that should be given. So far as we are concerned, we do not want a five year plan at such. We want a sub-plan for two years. But, we must give priority to the items like powers, irrigation and agriculture. We want quick results. You start talking of linking the Gaagee water with the Cauveri water. Ganges flow right by the side of Sahibganj and Rajmahal which are most backward areas in our country. What have you done during the last twenty years? What the people of this region want is proper development in the matter of irrigation, power and agriculture. Power development, as you know, is necessarily to proceed ahead of expansion in all sectors. It will only then that we shall be able to do something to fulfil the ambitions of our people. The point I wish to make is that we have to divert a large part of our resources to the items under the heading 'Irrigation, Power and Agriculture'. We should try to do something in this regard within a couple of years. The people cannot afford to wait for five years We cannt go on waiting for an indefinite period in terms of Five Year Plans. My suggestion is that our planning should be made in such a manner that we should not wait for an indefinite period for the development in all spheres. I do not know how far Shri Dhar would be able to see as to what extent he could implement the constitutional provisions made for the welfare of scheduled tribes. I understand-I do not know whether I am correct or not; I may be wrong perhaps;---that he has issued a directive to all the Chief Ministers of the States that they must prepare one sub-Plan for the development of tribals and the development of tribal areas. I think this is a step in the right direction. He has strained his nerve to find out how best he could help them. He deserves congratulations

I would like to invite the attention of this House to Article 275(1) which says:-

"Such sums as Parliament may, by law, provide, shall be charged on the Consolidated Fund of India in each year as grants-in-aid of the revenues of such States as Parliament may determine to be in need of assistance, and different sums may be fixed for different States:"

Why is it so? It further says:

"Provided that there shall be paid out of the Consolidated Fund of India as grants-in-sid of the revenues of a State such capital and recurring sums as may be necessary to enable that State to meet the costs of such schemes of development as may be undertaken by the State with the approval of the Government of India for the purpose of promoting the welfare of the Scheduled Tribes in that State or raising the level of administration of the Scheduled Areas therein to that of the administration of the rest of the areas of that State:"

That means that Parliament has no authority. They cannot question; it is not for them to consult Parliament. But, the States and the Central Government are supposed to have made such arrangements by which they can get financial assistance from the Central Government for the development of tribal areas. What have you done for these people during the last twenty-five years? They have been wanting these constitutional provisions for the scheduled tribes. What have they done for them? I would like to quote, as a matter of clarification, what Shri H. M Patel has said in his letter dated 16th 17th October, 1956 when he was Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Finance, to the Secretary, Finance Commission, New Delhi. He says:

"The duty of the Commission to make recommendations to the President is in respect of grants-in-aid as to which Parliament can make a law under clause (1) of Article 275. It is a cardinal rule of inter-pretation that a proviso to a particular provision of a statute carves out an exception to the main provision to which it has been enacted as a proviso. The effect of provisos to clause 1 is thus to carve out an exception to that clause by taking away the power conferred on Parliament under its substantive part so far as grants-in-aid covered by the said provisos are concerned. As the payment of such grants is made obligatory by the Constitution itself...".

When we come and ask why we are not being given these grants-in-aid, we are told that it is because of financial stringency, this, that and the other. In this way, they have been taking away what we are entitled to in the Constitution. Today, we are paying bonus even without there being a profit, and we are paying dearness allowance, salaries and we are providing air-conditioners. In fact, the times demand not air-conditioners, but life-conditioners for millions of people in our country. So, from that point of view, it is very necessary that provision ought to have been made for the tribals in this regard, but I am sorry to say that even the Constitutional provision has been flouted in this regard.

Again, in the First Plan, they had made a provision of 0.85 per cent of the total outlay of the Pian for Scheduled Castes and tribal welfare. But now it has come down right up to 0.25 per cent. I do not know why Actually, it should have gone up, and they ought to have allocated more money for the tribals, but it has come down to 0.25 per cent of the total outlay. I request that in all fairness to the tribals of India and the backward communities in India, they should make the provision at least 1 per cent. It should be a minimum of one per cent of the total capital outlay and that would work out to Rs. 520 crores for five years. We had committed an offerce against these people for the last 20 years, and we had been playing with the lives of these people, probably under the belief that these people do not know the Constitution, and therefore, one could do whatever one likes. Therefore, I would like to draw the attention of the hon. Minister to this aspect.

SHRI B. V. NAIK (Kanara): It should be 5 per cent because the tribals constitute five per cent of the population.

SHRI KARTIK ORAON: Another wro blem is that of land alienation. This question has not been properly sorted out

(Shri Kartik Oraoa.)

After during the last twenty-five years. Independence, we have actually lost more land rather than gained. That is the only benefit that we have gained after these 25 years of independence. The problem of alienation of tribal lands has assumed very serious proportions. The lands of the tribals are being transferred to non-tribals, in flagrant violation of the rules that no such transfer of tribal lands to non-tribal fands can take place without the previous permission of the deputy commissioner. But now the tribal lands are lying mostly with the non-tribals. So, they cannot have the crops and therefore they have no means of living. They are not educated and therefore they cannot get jobs. Their lands are taken away and they are suffering. Government have utterly failed and they have done nothing in this regard Another point that I would like to point out is that when the crops in their lands are damaged either by floods or by drought etc. Government pay compensation for the damages suffered. But what about the tribals who have lost their lands? Their means of producing the crop have gone away from them because their lands have been alienated. Therefore, they cannot get any compensation. Therefore, I would request the hon. Minister to see that there is some provision made for the restoration of their lands to the tribals once again. We have made request after request in this regard, but nothing is being done. The officers are doing nothing in this regard, and even the Chief Ministers are sleeping and doing nothing. We say that it is the responsibility of the Centre to solve this problem. When we raise it here, we are told that it is the responsibility of the State Government, but when we raise it with the State Government they say that it is the Centre's responsibility. Thus, the tribals are tossed like a shuttlecock between the Centre and the State Government. Government should make some arrangement for the restoration of the lands back to the tribals so that they could get some compensation for the cross damaged. I would suggest that the hon. Minister must make provision for a land mortgage bank in the States so that there could be restoration of lands to the tribals again. In our tribal areas, only 2 per cent of cultivable lands have been brought under irrigation, even after 20 years of planning. This shows that nothing has been done for improving cultivation in the tribal areas. In this connection, 1 would like to read out one quotation.

The first sentence in Grotnian's imperishable work runs as follows-...it deals with what is justice:

"Justice is the constant and perpetual wish to render to every man his due."

Then it says:

"If a man owes a debt and God has flooded his field or the harvest has been destroyed or the corn has not grown through lack of water, then in that year he shall not pay corn to his creditor. He shall dip his tablet in water and the interest of that year he shall not pay."

Therefore, when today we the tribals in India have responded to the call of our Prime Minister and given her a massive mandate—almost all the tribals are under the banner of the Prime Minister—it is ou_{T} duty, it is the duty of Members of Parliament, to respect, regard and heed the demands of these people. It is the will of the people which will decide the future of this country the future of the poorest people in our country. With these words I once again request the non. Minister to pay special attention to this mute population of India.

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN (Muvattupuzha): I shall try to examine and evaluate the Plan from three points of view. one the goal of the plan, two the strategy of the Plan three, the instrumentation of the mechanism for the implementation of the Plan. Regarding the goal, the Plan has set forth a goal which is different from the goals that have been set forth in the previous plans. Very specifically, the Plan has set forth as goals the removal of poverty and consolidation of self-reliance. Although in the previous plans big statements were made that inequalities must be removed, it is for the first time that I could remember that the concept of poveriy and the removal of poverty is given a definite definition, a very clear dimension. Specifically, the Plan says what exactly it means by the removal of poverty. It says that the aim is to raise the lowest 30 per cent of the population from a consumption level per capita of Rs. 26 to Rs. 38 whih is above what is stated as the poverty level based on 1971-72 prices This very clear definition and shift in the emphasis of the Plan is a new thing.

So far we had been told that the goal must be a growth in the national income It was assumed that the growth in national income would result in abridgement of the inequalities. Now growth in the national income is not the main thing, but becomes an ingredient in the strategy in the battle for the removal of poverty. Repeatedly, almost m every page of the plan document, we find this emphasis, and for, transferring to the plan document this national mandate I must compliment the Planning Commission. They have shown an awareness of the mandate the people have given Government.

With respect to self-reliance also the emphasis again is on removal of inequalities because the document says that even for the purpose of consolidation of selfreliance, inequality has got to be removed It is a mutually reinforcing process I am repeating this to emphasise that there is something new in this Plan, namely, the emphasis with respect to the goal.

Coming to the strategy, what exactly is the strategy of the Plan that you have in view? The strategy is, according to the Plan, to bring down the consumption level of the highest and bring up the consumption level of the lowest, and for doing this, certain modalities are prescribed. Pausing for a moment, I am also confused with your definition of the richer section. You put 30 per cent in the richest bracket. You have mentioned that the average must be Rs. \$4 and whoever has not an average consumption of Rs. 84, comes in the richest bracket. If the average must be 84, it is very clear that there would be a very large section which is consuming less than Rs. 84. Otherwise, it cannot be

an average This highest 30 per cent will include the Tatas, the Birlas and the multimillionaries of this country also. Therefore, if it is to be 84, the Plan is absolutely silent as to what exactly is the consumption level of the lowest brucket vis a vis the richest 30 per cent. If you say that the consumption level, which would on an average bring it up to Rs. 80, will reach the rich consumption level, then, is it really consumption level? Where the richest exactly is the margin, and what exactly was your calculation? What is your lowes: consumption level? We are not concerned about the 'average. What exactly is the lowest consumption level? And for bringing that up, I am not very clear about your strategy.

You say that the strategy is to give incentives to them to invest goods. But with respect to that there is nothing specific except a pious wish. I suppose that in a plan document, it is not merely a pious sentiment that is expected but we have got to spell out for the guidance of the different Ministries what exactly are the specific incentives we have got in mind and how exactly the Finance Ministry or whatever Ministry is concerned with it, is to proceed. Absolutely nothing is forthcoming

You have mentioned incentives for in vesting; incentive for saving; incentive for investing in non-corporate enterprises. the second thing is, non-availability of iuxury goods. It is a highly laudable statement. 1 must certainly congratulate the Commission for highlighting this. In different paragraphs and in different contexts, this Plan document is emphasizing as a corner-stone and as a key-matter in the entire planning frame, that lanory goods must become unavailable in this country. I entirely compliment them on it. But again, I ask you, is the Planning Commission satisfied by making that statement? What is your concept of huxury goods? You know what goods are available here; which of them are lugary goods? For instance, is TV a luxury good? Is photography a luxury good? Or, is a motor-car a luxury good? What exactly are the juxury goods? May I also

[Shri C. M. Stephen].

ask, do the goods only constitute lusury items?

Take, for example, cabaret dance; is it or is it not a luxury matter? Five-star hotels-is it or is it not a luxury matter? is it only the corporate things or things wherein you enjoy the perquisites, luxury matters? Are not things which the common man cannot possibly have and which are in contradistinction and contradictory to the culture of this country, also luxury matters? For instance, a particular person having three or four or five guest-houses in different parts of the country enjoys himself and shifts from place to place; is it or is it not a matter of luxury? One shifts his family to Simla and they stay in his own house there, or one flies to Kodaikanal for staying in his own house there. Does it not become a luxury affair? If it does, then how can you limit yourself by mentioning that luxury goods must not become available. So, about the essence of the whole Plan, there, the Planning Commission is absolutely vague. What exactly are the luxury goods that are going to be banned in this couptry? Nothing specific is forthcoming.

It is for the Industries Ministry to decide what exactly to be given and what not to be given. That should not have been the approach to the whole plan. I want to emphasise this particular aspect of the whole thing. This luxury matter has got to be completely innited. By your standard of Rs. 84 per head it comes to Rs. 420 for a family of five persons. Rs. 130 is the average you have struck then it comes to Rs. 560 per family. That is all that this country can afford. If Rs. 560 is the only consumption limit that under the conditions prevailing in the country a family can afford then, are you or are you not prepared to say you may have any income and any salary but anything beyond Rs. 565 will be taken away and put in savings. Can you say that with respect to Members of Parliament, with respect to Ministers of this country or with respect to the officers of this country? If you expect a perion to spend only Ra. 565 where should we start from. See the picture of Maintuna Gandhi going to Harijans He took away his dress; he put in the dress of the common man, be identified himself with the common man and went to the bungi colony and he said; J shall identify myself completely with the common man and inspire the common man. If this country is passing through a period of difficulty, the beginning must be with us. Rs. 565 must be the consumption. Let us start it from here. There must be some mechanism, pious wishes will not take us anywhere at all.

There mechanisms are contemplated. One is the national minimum that is guaranteed to the people, namely, education, housing and drinking water. This is the promise which the Congress Party had given to people in Bhubaneswar. Years have gone by. We are now seriously at it. Certain amounts have been allotted. But the relevant proportions in this allotment are the same as they were ın the previous plans. The percentage of allocation for housing, the percentage of allocation for drinking water etc. remained the same as in the previous years. Nothing more. The amounts are higher; may be your evaluation is correct. Let it be so.

Coming to the employment, very correctly you have said that if a person's living standard has got to be raised the only answer is employment. Otherwise you just cannot distribute. What exactly is the strategy for employment? Here again I find certain difficulty in understanding. You have divided this into: Wage carning employment and self-cmployment. For their wage carning employthere 378 certain sectors. ment The first solution is that when development takes place proportionately employment also increase. A certain percentage is stated. That is all right. Coming to technical personnel employment is possible. Thirdly you say employment will become available in the agricultural sectors through extension. On this I should like to get some clarifications. 85 per cent of the entire arable land in the country, as was stated in the fourth plan is under plough. Nothing more is possible,

You have of course stated that bv agricultural operation you could bring in some acres of land. I do not know from where. If the cultivated land remained what it was, by intensive cultivation and by putting in more fertiliser the yield may raise. I do not know how by that process employment can increase. Employment can increase only if there is extensive cultivation. Extensive cultivation is limited. From the limited extensive cultivation much additional employment cannot possibly come. You can by your new innovations and all that, made a cultivator richer and his life more pleasant and more livable. Additional employment may uot be available from the agricultural sector except from small irrigation large irrigation and public sector works.

You have laid considerable emphasis on construction because of its employment potential. Correct, but the allocation for construction is just Rs. 100 crores out of Rs. 51,000 crores. Then according to para 7 in page 4, in the terminal year the additional wage employment that will be created will be less than the new entrants into the employment field and the backlog of unemployment will still remain. Your solution i8 self-employment. Correct but for that we rely on the agricultural sector because two-thirds of the entire population is in the agricultural sector. So. self-employment must arise there. You say rightly that land reforms must be implemented for that purpose, so that those persons who are employed there may have the wherewithal to carry on. You also lay emphasis on handicrafts, villages industries, animal husbandry etc. You are going back to the Gandhian philosophy, quite correctly. We have forgotten him so far and it is time we remind ourselves about him. But then, Gandhiji said, machinery must enter only to augment production and not to displace human labour. But we find that machinery has entered to displace human labour. You want soap. Is it necessary that Tatas should be allowed to make fragrant soap? The common man can use the common type of soap. Why not limit soap industry to the villages? In large areas where human tabour can produce what this country uceds, there machinery has been allowed to come in, with the result that millions of persons are remaining unemployed as a heavy load on the shoulder of the nation. The huge human resources which can be a gold mine remain untapped, sit ting as a parasite on the shoulders of the small producing section in this country.

Your strategy is in regard to the distributive system. I do compliment you for emphasising this. Nobody has got the right to appropriate what the society is producing. Money is given by the banks. Resources are given by this country and labour is given by the worker. The product is a social product and that is for society. Nobody has the right to appropriate it. It is not in the producing area that socialisation must necessarily take place. What is more, it is in the distributive area that socialisation must take place. Whoever may produce, society must get a hold on it and distribute it. The common man must be assured of the elementary necessities of life to exist. I do not say you have to achieve it by tomorrow or the day after. This is not a matter for hustling. Things must be permitted to proceed. Wheat whole-sale trade has been taken over and certain difficulties have been encountered.

17.00 hrs.

The position has got to be assimilated and the machinery has to be 2volved. Any attempt by anybody to hustle through this matter should not be allowed. The emphasis must be on the distributive system. At least the commanding heights of the distributive system must be with the public sector; if not necessarily the monopoly, the commanding position must be with the government. I do compliment them for emphasising these things.

Now what are the king-pins of the Plan we have before us? The first is nationwide land reforms. The Plan contemplates that it should be achieved before December 1973. Secondly, the Plan wants the slashing down of the luxurious spending and reducing the avenues of spending. Thirdly, there should be encouragement of

[Shri C. M. Stephen]

labour-intensive industries. Fourthly, there should be expansion of village and small scale industries. Then there should be restructuring of the administrative framework and strengthening of the public distribution system. These are the king-pins stated here.

Are we sure that these king-pins can be achieved? The results are subject to so many "ifs" and "buts". If there is land reform, if the public distribution system can be made available, if the production of luxury goods can be curbed, if labourintensive technology is accepted in preference to others, if expansion of small and village industries is allowed, if all these things are there then the Plan will succeed. If these things are not there the strategy of the Plan is such that the growth will be stunted. Therefore, it is not enough that we state it. According to me we have to identify the enemies of planning and we have to start attacking them. Whoever is against land reforms or a public distributive system is an enemy of the plan. Whoever is in favour of luxurious spending is a criminal and an enemy of the plan. If any Minister is issuing a licence against the strategy of the Plan, he is No. 1 enemy of the plan. The enemies must be identified. The process must start with the identification of the enemies of the plan. That alone will give you the proper background for getting the plan through. From the Ministry presided over by my dynamic friend Shri Mohan Dharia I would expect proposals for restructuring the administrative machinery.

You say that the workers must cooperate and sacrifice. For whom is the production? Unless you are able to assure that production is for the country and the common people, the co-operation of the people will remain a far cry. Unless you assure the worker that it is for his benefit, his co-operation may not be forthcoming. Why not make the worker a participant in the process of production? Now whether it is the public sector or the private sector, he gets only his wage and the fruits of production are taken away.

His wages are low even when the prices are moving up; even when the prices of raw materials are low, the prices of finished products are moving up. Who is moving it up? The middle agency moves it up. This country has got a right on that. Therefore, unless two things are ensured, firstly the participation of the producing element, the worker, no emotional identification by him will be possible because he will still be feeling, in spite of change in the political structure, that he is working for wages only and not by himself and identification will not be possible and, secondly, the socially produced goods must become the property of the society.

Here, I am reminded of the saying of Mahatma Gandhi who said, "I am a socialist who believes that any product which is produced by more than two men and by hard labour must remain the property of the society." That was the philosophy Mahatma Gandhi spelt out. The human labour is a sacred thing. Let not any machinery displace the human labour These fundamentals have been violated by us and we stand in a particular crists.

Let us go back to the fundamental philosophy, name the enemy, start the battle against the enemy and come to a cohesive arrangement with different Ministries. You put it before them "Are you accepting it or not?" If not, tell it to the people. And let us proceed with another Plan. Without this coordination, the Plan will result in another disillu-ionment.

May f say that this Plan will not result in disillusionment because the Plan as such has before it a very clearly conceived, a very clearly spelt out, a very clearly analysed, strategy. Unless this strategy is accepted by the whole country and the different Ministries, it will not succeed. Let the Plazming Ministry have some more decisive deliberations of the matter in evolving a policy which is agreed to by different Ministries.

With these words, I support the strategy speit out in the Plan. All I am asking is the implementation of it. The implementation has got to be by the people from top to the bottom, from the top to begin with and to reach the bottom later.

I have done and I resume my seat.

SHRI PILOO MODY (Godhra): Mr. Chairman, Sir, I am one of the enemies of the Plan. After listening to the debate, I am convinced that this Plan has more enemies than friends.

It has been such an unreal debate that in many ways it reflects a sort of mind of the people involved in passing judgment on a process with which they are totally alien. Planning takes place somewhere in India and still we do not know where. I have not seen the inner sanctum sanctorum of the Plans in this country. If I have not seen that, I certainly do not imagine that many people in this House, not to speak of 60 crores of people outside, could have seen this planning process. Therefore, what has been said that there is a total lack of identification of the people with the planning process in this country is perhaps a very true tlyng.

I have been listening of late, not only to this debate, and I really wonder why such a debate should take place. What are the rules and norms which govern the sort of debate that should take place. We find that the debate is taking place with some of us over here trying to analyse what the Plan has been and some of them over there. About 60 of them are going to speak on the Plan. Each one of them has been given 5--7 minutes and most of them steal many more minutes. I find that even the debate to a very large extent represents what the Plan is.

It is a series of disjointed thoughts collected together and worded out by a super draftsman. I can just see Mr. Dhar giving final touches, the polish, the erudite phraseology, the articulate structure that this thing has. But, as my hon. friend, Shri Shyamnandan Mishra, mentioned a little while ago, the Plan in a very real sense is worse than a monster. The reason why it is worse than a monster is because 1827 LS.---5

the monster has no past. But he still sas the hope of future. This Plan has neither a past nor a future; it is a document in isolation which is likely to remain in suspended animation like the Assemblies of Uttar Pradesh and Andhra Pradesh. What has been said really when I bear some of the quotations that have been picked out from history, culture and mythology? People just love to quote Gandhi all the time and they try to justify that all the crimes they are committing in the name of Plan had something to do with what Mahatma Gandhi had in mind about how this country should develop. I think, this is just a little too much.

There was one member here---I do not see him-who said that the Plan should proceed on the basis of what Mahatma Gandhi and Vinobha Bhave advocated. Unfortunately there was a slight difference of accent. This Plan is very much a Gandhi Plan and not a Vinobha Plan but a Gandhi Plan and a baba Plan which proceeds very much on the basis of a new phraseology, a new philosophy known as Maruti socialism. If you find anything in the Plan which is typical, which is really a description of the planning process in India today, it can only be described as 'Maruti socialism'. Of course, 1 have always believed that all forms of socialism are bad-the Kosygin and Brezhnev variety, the Indrajit Gupta variety, the Dange and S. M. Banerjee variety, the D. P. Dhar and Mohan Dharia variety, Shrimati Indura Gandhi and Saniay variety. Therefore, there is no reason why I should applaud the Maruti socialism at all. All forms of socialism are bad. Socialism. quite frankly, is a croked way to a promised prosperity in the distant future, I do not know of any socialist country where you can describe prosperity. You and I know it, Sir, we would not consult the others. There are five things that are common to all socialist countries without exception I think, I said this earlier 08 also and the professor, I hope, has learnt them by now. They are high taxes, high prices, shortages and queues for everything black markets and the brain drain. Therefore, we have socialism: It makes 107 difference whether it is Keeyzie socializer

132

[Shri Piloo Mody]

or Maroti socialism, mamma and baba socialism, or Gandhi and Vinobha socialism We have the fruits of socialism in ample evidence in this country.

Now I am going to describe in a kindergarten fashion what the planning process in this country should be, not the high phraseology of the plan document, not the high phraseology of many of the speeches that are made, but in terms of the common things that the people of India are very sorely in need of, things that we should be thinking about, not the parameters of planning, how the upper 30 per cent should be lobbed up to the bottom 30 per cent, who constitute the upper 30 per cent, and who constitute the lower 30 per cent. In any plan, certain basic needs are to be fulfilled, and if I may spell them out, it is the first lesson of an economics book....

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE (Kanpur); Why don't you give a rhyme for a kindergarten book?

SHRI PILOO MODY: I might. I want that, ultimately, you should digest it.

It is food, clothing, shelter, education, medical care and public transport. This is all that we have to deal with and I would hope that for the next 20 years in this country the planners, the pseudoplanners, the Bengali planners, and the non-Bengali planners, all of them would forget everything else and concentrate on these.

Now, let us see what the position of food has been. After all this is more basic than all your philosophy. And the position of food has been that in the last few years—I am now talking about the era of Maruti socialism—in the last eight years the position of food has been that the consumption—ultimately food is related to the intake of a man....

SHRI DINEN BHATTACHARYYA (Serampore): Not like you.

SHRI PILOO MODY: Not like me, but like you. Therefore, to improve such miserable specimens, it is necessary that the intake of fond be stepped up. Now, what has happened in this era of Maruti socialism? The foodgrains intake, that is, the consumption has gone down by 11 per cent. The pulses consumption has gone down by 24 per cent. So, you find that whether it is *dhal* or whether it is *roti*, the people of India are today per capita eating 11 per cent and 24 per cent less respectively....

SHRI K. S. CHAVDA (Patan); But liquor consumption has increased.

SHRI PILOO MODY: Don't import something extraneous into the debate.

Long live the Scotch withkey. I would be very happy if every man in this country would have a drink because it would give him the requisite calories which he would not get from his roti and dhal.

Take the edible oils. What happened here? The consumption has gone down by 25 per cent. What about sugar? 17 per cent down. Last year, we passed what we call the century in the import of foodgrains. India has now really the distinction of having imported 100 million tonnes of foodgrains by the end of 1972. The cost of 100 million tonnes of foodgrains -most of it came free-was Rs. 5,000 You know what we could have crores. done with Rs. 5000 crores to the rural areas in this country? We could have 40 fertiliser factories. We could have supplied drunking water to everyone of these 1,52,324 villages in this country which do not have even a glass of water to drink after twenty-six years of Independence. A nation which manufactures locomotives an acroplanes and what not, but cannot provide drinking water to 1,52,324 villages in the country. I get this monthly account from the Ministry year after year as to what is the number of villages without drinking water. With this amount we could have augmented our food production at least by another 50 million tonnes. Instead of that, we gave it away to the transport companies We gave it away to the American farmers, the Canadian fatmers, the Australian farmers. We are prepared to give everything to everybody but

not to our own farmers because we have created in this country a society in which you hate Indians. You hate Indians because you hate success. You hate anything that leads to success. The only value in your society is the value of being poor. So, if you are ignorant, then everybody will come and embrace you. This is the value and ethes of your society.

What do you expect? 60 crores of people, getting up every morning tired, dejected, frustrated, you want them to go out and build the nation? You see the condition of the College students. They come out of the college wandering all the time what their future will be and whether they will get jobs or not Whether he or be a nuclear scientist biologist or a photographer, all end up ultimately as Bank bahus getting a job from a bank and trying to hang on to it, to the best of their ability.

This is the sort of society you have planned and produced. You talk about planning? You talk about unemployment? What is your performance? The Second Five Year Plan advertises that at the end of the Second Five Year Plan there would be nine million unemployed. What did the Third Plan advertise? That by the end of the Third Plan there would be 12 million unemployed. Who the hell you are planning for? Are you planning for human-beings, guinea pigs, dogs, animals, birds, or really Congressmen? The Second Plan did not succeed. It was a total flop. So, in spite of having targeted 12 million unemployed, you have 15 million. Today, you have 31 crores of people unemployed, by your own statistics. If you go into the truth, it will be much larger.

Whom the bell are you planning for? I heard Mr. Stephen say just now that at the end of the fifth year of the Fifth Plan --this fictitious thing. Fifth Plan,--we will just have overcome the inflow into the unemployment market by the provision of jobs. What ridiculous non-sense it is! There is, no hope of ever achieving that. Therefore, I say, if in the document you have said, this year we have nine millions unemployed, sext year we will have 8 3/4 unemployed, I would have said, it is slow, it is sluggish, but it is a certain step in the right direction and I would have supported your planning. But when planning advertises saying that unemployment will go on increasing, from plan to plan, I think the time has come to ask certain pertinent questions.

I want to know from the Minister after how many Five-year Plans everybody in India will be unemployed.

Now, what about clothing? The per capita consumption of clothing has gone down by 11 per cent. Are you aware of that? Do I see any less material on you than you are used to in the past? I know that the material I wear will go on increasing but at least on you it should stop. I am not involved in the planning process as you are. So, as far as clothing is concerned, the per capita consumption of cloth is going down.

What about shelter, that is, housing? Housing is almost unheard of in this country. The only housing that has been done in this country is by speculators. I would pin the Padma Bhushan on all of them although I dislike their black-marketing. their cheating, I dislike their speculation. But one thing we should say that, but for the speculators who built these buildings in Bombay, Calcutta and other urban areas the people would have been stranded on the streets. Government could not provide these housing facilities. But hey had the energy, the virility the vitality and the potentiality to build these things. These people should have been harnessed by he Government to build good houses, social houses, houses with a social conscience, if I were to use your own phraseology. In spite of the laws and the tax structure and various difficulties, they have done that. What have you done? You cannot even compare yourself with these speculators. You are worse than that.

Then, what about Education? We all know, Educational standards have dropped. We find education which is socially unrelated to the needs of the country, to the needs of development, even of the science

135 Approach to

[Shri Piloo Mody]

and technology that we already possess in our country, not to speak of the science and technology which exist in the West and which the Soviet Union is buying from the USA right now. Only a few years ago there were only 20 crores of illiterates. Today there are 35 crores of illiterates. And therefore, I would like to ask the Planning Minister. How long will it take before the whole country becomes illiterate?

You talk about medical care. The only major step that has been taken in the realm of medicines in this country has been the D.D.T. It was invented somewhere and was imported and indiscriminately spread all over the place, increasing the longevity of people, saving millions from dying from malaria, including many over here, and I don't think they will get malaria any more. But nevertheless this is the only significant health measure that has been taken, because, if you just look at the figures, about the further allocation made in this behalf, you will find, it is woefully inadequate.

Now, as for Public Transport, we have not even heard of this thing I do not know of any significant scheme for Public Transport in this country.

Nobody can imagine a society wanting to grow by talking in terms of an outlay of Rs. 51,000 crores m a Plan, They have not heard of what a public transport is. They have been fiddling about the underground railways in Calcutta, God knows for how many years more it will take? There are some urban areas which require some sort of public transport. What is happening here? You would have heard of DESU, DUSU, and what not which are occasionally burnt by the students because. that is all, one can do with that junk that masquerados under the name of public transport, And in this respect, I shall say with some pride about Bombay, Bombay is one city in the whole of India which has some concept of public transport. My Keralite friend just now said that it might be true with regard to Med-TRS also.

Now I come to the question of poverty. After all, we have to work within the spheres of plan activities. New lat us see the end-results of what you had been doing. In the year 1966 when Shrimati Indira Oundhi of garibi hatao fame came to power, two out of four Indiana were below the poverty line. This was the position under her garibi hatao programme. Now she talks about higher prices and so on. Talking about poverty, eradication of the same is not a difficult matter. It may be a total secret to those who are advecating the garibi hatao. But, if you shed the slogan of 'garibi hatao' then poverty can be eliminated By distribution of wealth I say you will only distribute poverty. You may ask any student who is 15 years old. He will tell you that distribution of wealth will not eradicate poverty. There are some simple methods for eradicating poverty. You can, for instance, distribute the work that will eradicate poverty; for instance, you can make use of science and technology which will eradicate poverty. In fact, poverty can be eradicated by making a man productive. I do not know of any other method by which poverty can be eradicated. I shall give you one example. I shall explain it to many of these people who have not yet grasped it. That is this. If a man is given a phaura he can dig up 100 cubic feet of earth; if you can give him a tool and just enough knowledge and understanding to be able to maintain and utilise that tool, by virture of it, he can double the daily wage from Rs 4 to 8. This is the direction in which poverty can be eliminated. If you were to look over the population in a demographic movement in the world over, you will come to this conclusion. Take for instance U.S.A. In 1900, a majority was involved in agriculture labour; in 1940, a majority of them was involved in the industrial labour; but, by 1960, a single intgest group was involved in management and service. But, by 1980, they will form a majority of the population of the USA. Here you have an example which was not created through Five Year Plan. This is a country which is lifting itself up with its boot straps increasing the levels of productivity of its population as it goes up to a scale of prosperity and higher standards of living. Has it been done here? This is a simple method by which poverty can be eradicated—not through a Plan.

Here we only hear the speeches of the Minister. Look at the way by which this massive document is produced. There is not a single line in this document by which poverty could be eliminated. This document speaks about 'garibi hatao'. What is the credibility of this Government? That does not even grasp the simple concept of how to build a nation. They have demonstrated how they can ruin a nation. Let me give them one little inkling cn how a nation can be built. You can only build a nation on human aspiration.

AN HON. MEMBER: By reading The March of the Nation.

SHRI PILOO MODY: If people would have it that way, then I would say that you cannot build a nation unless you read The March of the Nation. How do you build a nation? You build a nation building human aspiration, not by by destroying the hopes of men but by building human aspirations. You want people to bounce out, you want people to go out and conquer the whole world. You want people to think that there are no ceilings on their capacity to grow, except those imposed by their own capability and their desire and energy for work. This is the sort of attitude that you have to engender in the people.

It is human aspirations that have built these societies, not planning processes. The word 'planning' belongs to people who have ideas, courage and the energy to back them up, not to people who higgle-haggle with statistics and go on saying that for three more years, let us take something from here and put it there. This is not how nations are built.

How have the great nations of the world been built up? They have been built up purely by human aspirations. I shall tell you the most patent example, and that is France. France was the sickest country in Europe. Nothing in France ever worked. The economy was dead, the people were dead, and even the population was going down. The administrative services did not function. The only thing that ever moved was the government, and the government changed every two weeks or three weeks. This was how France worked till De Gaulle came along. De Gaulle said 'Don't be silly. You are not just a bunch of French people, but you are French people de grande'. Then, he produces a fire cracker and bursts it in the Sahara desert, and immediately every Frenchman walks on six feet of air and his chhati goes up, and everyone then says that the French economy shoots up, and everybody starts talking about the French miracle, making it the most spectacular miracle in reent history, more spectacular than the German or Italian or the Spanish or the Japanese miracle. How did it happen? The arrangement was the same, it took place with the same administrative services, the same judiciary, the same economy and the same resources, and everything the same. It was just that pride that de Gaulle gave to Frenchmen in being Frenchmen.

So, it is human aspirations that will build up society, not these niggardly planning processes that you have accepted. Liltimately, you have problems of unemployment, the problems of price-rise. the problems of education and the problems of poverty. All this requires bold thinking and not the niggardly approach that I have been hearing over here. What my hon. friend Shri Stephen who has studied his documents very well would say is that this document is based on a bunch of 'ifs'. But even if it was a bunch of 'Buts' it would not have made any difference. You can implement all your 'ifs' but it will not make any difference, unless you can make human beings in India think that they have a stake in this country, that they have a future in this country and that this country appreciates honesty, hard work and prudence and detests very much crookedness, corruption stc. Unless you create those values for your society, you

140

[Shri Piloo Mody]

can go on planning, spending monumental sums of money on your Yejanas and Yojana Bhavans or whatever it be, but it is not going to yield any results. Therefore, I suggest that you can go on with this exercise; after all, it will be very difficult for you to swallow the sins of 25 years; you can go on with that exercise, but let it be what it is today, an illusory plan for an illusory purpose; but move forward with the economy by creating hope in the people of India.

SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISHNAN: An illusory Opposition leader like Shri Piloo Mody.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I think we have not heard any lady Member up till now So, I shall now call upon Shrimati Savitri Shyam to speak. Let us hear the lady's point of view.

17.35 hm.

[SHRI K. N. TIWARY in the Chair]

पिछले साल करने बैंक के झध्यक ने कहा था कि जिनने बढ़ने वासे देज हैं, डवेलपिंग

कर्न्ट्रांच हैं, उन सबनें हिन्दुस्सान ने बड़ी तेजी से तरकती की है---इसलिये सजेवाई से घांचें बन्द कर सी जायें, तो उसके लिये मूछ नहीं कहा जा सकता । हा इतना अकर हो सकता है कि बीजनाओं से बहा हम हैं, तरक्की हुई है, उसमें ससमानतायें, डिस्पै-रिटीज भी बहत पैदा हुई हैं। साज जिल्बनी के हर क्षेत्र में, हर पहुलू में हमें वे असमामतायें, बिस्पैरिटी दिखाई देती है। छोटा किसान भीर बड़ा किमाग, छोटे उच्चोग धम्खे बाले मौर बडे उद्योग घन्छे वाले, यहा तक कि माथ हमारे वालकों की शिक्षा के भी दो टुकड़े हो गये है। आआदी के पहले हम नही देखते ये कि एक बालक दूसरे से बहुत भिन्न था. लेकिन इस माआदी के बाद झौर योज-नाम्रों के यूग में हम देखते हैं कि एक बच्चा पब्लिक स्कूल में शिक्षा पा रहा है, उसे बहुत से अवसर प्राप्त हैं, इसरा बच्चा जो प्राइमरी स्कूलों में णिक्षा पा रहा है, उसके लिये कोई धनसर उपसम्ब नही है ।

पंच वर्षीय योजना के झन्दर इस प्रकार का प्रयास होना चाहिये कि ये असमानतायें दूर हों। इस एप्रोच पेपर के प्रारम्भ में ही यह दिया हुमा है कि हम गरीबी हटायें और आत्म-निर्घर बने----लेकिन में इस से भी ज्यादा महत्व देती हू कि असमानतायें दूर की आये। माथ जो पावर्टी लाइन 40 फीसवी है, उसका कारण यही है कि जो समर्थ बे, बे इससे लाभ उठा सकें, लेकिन जी असमर्थ बे, वे इससे लाभ नही उठा , सके और हमारी गरीबी की लाइन बढ़ती गई

असी पिछले हुछ दिनों में मैंने देखा-----रिजर्र बैंक झाफ इण्डिया की रिपोर्ट के झनू-नार-----हम देश के 299 उच्चीमपरिवों का प्राफिट 1968--- 69 में 45 करोड़ बर, जो 1970--71 में बढ़ कर 97 करोड़ हो गया, मौर सब तक तो और ज्यादा बड़ा होना । ऐसा किन कारणों से हुआ ? थिसनी केडिट इस्टीजूडान्स थी, उन्होंनेहुरनसे फायदा उठाना, एसीआर्ड यार्केट, टैकनीकल फीरेन कालावो-

रेवन उनको सिला, हमारे देश के मजदूरों का बन भीर पसीना मिला, उनकी सहायता से बह साथ बढ़ा, लेकिन इसका फेबर-डिस्टी-ब्यूकन विलकुल नही हुछा। मैं यहा तक कहना बाहती ह कि प्राइबेट संकटर के मन्दर भौर पब्लिक सैन्टर के प्रन्दर ग्राप देखे----कहा नीकरी बड़ी है। प्राइवेट सेक्टर के म्रन्टर बराबर नीकरियों की कमी होती चली जा रही है। भौरतो को कुछ वैनिफिट्स मिल हैं----स्टेट्स भौग सन्टर के कुछ एक्ट्स के द्वारा----लेकिन उनकी भी छटनी हो रही है। कोई सामाजिक धाब्लीगेशन, मेश्रल धार्ब्सगेशन उनके कार नहीं है। उनके लाभ ग्रन-लिमिटंड बढ़ते चले आ रहे हैं-- पह समाजवाद के लिये हा या विकास के लिये हो या गरीबी हटाने के लिये हो, इस तरह से इस देश के अन्दर कभी गरीबी हट नही सकती, यह समाधवाद चल नही सकता, यदि भारत की धर्ष-व्यवस्था पर कुछ गिने-चने लोगो का अधिकार रहेगा, इस तरह से माप डवेलेपमेट नही कर सकेगे, इसवा इसरा रूप नहीं बना सकेंगे ।

इस योजना के झन्दर झापको बहुत परिवर्तन करना पडेगा । जिन समय यह डाक्य मेंट प्लानिग कमी झन मे तैयार हथा, उस समय हमारी यह स्थिति नहीं थी, हम नही जानते वे कि सुआा पडेगा । हम समझते वे कि झन्न के मामले में हम भारम निर्भर हैं, सेकिन घब 450 करोड रुपया गल्ले पर बर्च करना है। यह जानते हुये कि पे-कमीमन अपना काम कर रहा बा-हम नही ममझते वे कि इतना वडा बोझ इस देश के कन्धों पर मन्सार 200 करोड़ रुपया प्रतिवर्ष हम बार्च करने जा रहे हैं। हम सही आनते थे कि जो उद्यान प्राइवेट सैक्टर के मन्दर हैं----चाडे डाल्डा हो, सीमेंट हो या भीर कोई कीज हो, में मनमाने दाम पर सरकार के सामने रखेवें धौर सरकार को मजबूर करेंगे कि उनकी शीजें सहने दानों पर बरीदी आये सीर जनता पर महगाई का बोझ पडेया ।

माज 30 परसेन्ट महनाई हमारे सामने है । मापका यह जो 51 हजार करोड़ का प्सान है उसमे निश्चय ही मापको परिवर्तन करना पढेगा। राज्य सभा मे श्री डी० पी० धर ने जो वाक्य कहे हैं उन्ने मैंने पढ़ा है । उन्होंने कहा है

"We will not allow plan to die; the core sector will be kept intact."

इसके साफ माने हैं कि कुछ भ कुछ परिवर्तन करेगे लेकिन उनको यह महमास है कि जो बेसिक चीजें हैं उनमे कमी नहीं झायेगी । बेंसिक चीज किसको मानत है ? मेरे विचार से वह एग्रीकलचर हैं, इर्रीयेज्ञन है, एलेक्टि-मिटी है, फॉटलाइजर है, स्टील है भीर साथ में मैं फीमली प्लानिंग को भी कोर सेक्टर मान्ती हू । मैं जानती हु इसका उल्पादकता से कोई मम्बन्ध नही है, प्रोडक्टिविटी से कोई सम्बन्ध नही है लेकिन इसका उत्पादकता पर, प्रोडक्टिविटी पर प्रभाव है । कैमिनी प्लानिन की कन्मल्टेटिव कमेटी में कल ही बताया गया है कि 1972-73 में 76 करोड रुपया था लेकिन 1973-74 में 38 करोड रुखा रह गया। अभी इस देश के लोगों को कुछ इस बात का घहमास हुआ ही वा कि परिवार नियोजन से हमारे घर की उन्नति हो सकती है, हमारे देश की उन्नति हो मकती है, हम अपने परिवार को अच्छा बन। सकते हैं लेकिन उसमें बराबर रुग्या घटता चला गया तो इससे इस प्रामाम में कमी मायेगी। मेरा निबेदन है कि जब माप मोजना को रिकास्ट करें. रिक्रेप करें तो कोर सेक्टर में फेमिली प्लानिंग के प्रोग्राम को महत्व दे क्योंकि हमारी अर्थ व्यवस्वा से इसका महत्व बढ़ा हमा है।

इसके साथ-साथ में बह थी कहना बाहती हूं कि जितना भी इश्या घाज तक व्लान्स में खर्ब हुया, पहली योजना से लेकर बीबी योजना तक जोकि खरम करने जा रहे हैं, करल सेक्टर में 15 परसेन्ट क्षमा

[जीमती साविजी श्याम]

प्राप्त हुआ है जबकि 75 और 80 परसेन्ट लोग हमारे गांचों में ही रहते है, अहां पर कि हमारा हिन्दुस्तान बसता है बहा पर इतनी गरीबी है, बेरोजगारी है और कोई उच्चो नही हैं। और जहा पर 75 फीसदी पैसा हमारा खर्च हुआ वहा उतनी कोई तरक्की नही हो सकी । इस प्लान भाउटले मे करस सेक्टर को अलग करके उसके लिए डिमाफोंगन करना पडेगा कि हम यह रुआ्या गाबो मे खर्च करेये, वहा के लोगो की तरक्की के लिए खर्च करेये, वहा पर उद्योग-ध्रघो को खडा करेंये, उनको माल्म निर्भर बनाये भीर मजने पैरो पर खडा करेंगे ।

इसके साथ साथ छेन्ट्रल ग्रसिस्टेन्स के पैटर्न को भी बदलना पडेगा। भाजकल सेन्टल प्रसिस्टेन्स, केन्द्र से जो प्रायिक महायता दी जाती है उसका कोई पैटर्न नही है, उसका कोई फार्म्सा नही है । जो स्टेट ताकनवर हई, जिस स्टेट का प्रेशर पड गया, बाहे झफसरो का प्रेणर या पोलिटिकल प्रेशर उनको यह सहायता मिल गई धौर बाकी स्टेटम को नहीं मिली। इस तरह से सेन्टल असिस्टेन्म का कोई माधार नही है---- न पापुलेशन का आधार, न बैकवर्डनेस का आधार इनलिए इसके पैटन को भी सायको बदलना पडेगा और यह देखना पडेगा कि बोकर स्टेटन को झागर बाल्यम आफ असिस्टेन्स दी जाये । यही कारण है कि उत्तर प्रदेश माज तक इतना पिछडा हया रहा। उत्तर प्रदेश एक प्रदेश नही एक देश के बराबर है, उसके 36 जिले माज बैकवई हैं। उत्तर प्रदेश की पर-कीपिटा इनकम हर प्लान मे नीचे घटती चली अ।ई है । माज उत्तर प्रदेश बिहार, राजस्वान, दो एक प्रदेशों को छोड़कर जिल्कूल बाटम में हे । यदि सेन्टन प्रसिस्टेन्म का फार्मुला वही रहा तो बहा की अर्थ-म्यवस्था किस प्रकार सूधरेगी ? मैंने देखा कि उत्तर प्रदेश

के प्लान में झाप 14 सी करोड़ रुपये काटने बा रहे हैं। यदि इस प्रकार से उत्तर प्रदेश का प्लाम बटता गया तो उत्तर प्रदेश कभी भी बढ़ने वाला नहीं है । या तो प्रदेश में इतनी शक्ति नहीं भी कि वह प्लानिंग कमिशन को और केन्द्र के मंत्रियों को विण्वास दिला सकता, वे जानते नहीं वे किस तरह से इपया मांगा जाता है या फिर उत्तर प्रदेश के साथ अन्याय हुआ है लेकिन इसको हम सहने वासे नहीं है, इसके लिए जहो-जहद करनी पडेगी। जो कुछ रूपया फाइनेन्स कमीजन की सिफारिक पर मिलता है झौर कुछ रत्या प्लानिंग कमीशन की सिफारिश पर मिलना है, दोनों में कोई कोमार्डिनेशन नही है । हम देखते हैं फील्ड में इसके भन्तगंत जो योजनायें चलती हैं उनमें झोवर-सैंपिंग होती है । उनमे बेस्ट होता है इमलिए उनके झन्दर भी कोभाडिनेशन होना चाहिए ।

एक बात मैं भोर कहना बाहती ह कि हमने योजनाये प्रारम्भ की भौर समाजनाद घोषित किया लेकिन हमारी सॉबमेज पर कोई मोरिएन्टेशन नही हथा हम बही ट्रेडीशनल सविसेज से ही काम ले रहे हैं, बही पूराने रूप्स भीर प्रोसीजर का तसीका चल रहा है भौर कोई मन्स चाफ चरजेन्सी नही । इस प्रकार प्लान्स के वरिए से 8 समाजनाद का लक्ष्य हरगित प्राप्त होने वास। नही है । जब तक हम इस टॅन्ड को नही बदलेगे, सॉबनेज मे झोरिएम्टेजन मही लायेंने तब तक हमारा काम होने बासा नहीं हे । में प्राई० ए० एस० के विज्ञान नही हु, मैं उसे इस देश में कोधाझिनेटर मानती ह, देश का बिल्डर सहीं मानती । साइटिस्ट्न, डाक्टर्स, इजीमियसं का उपहास भौर भगमान हो रहा है, उनके साम अन्याय किया है, वे कवीजन ने, मैं प्लालिंग कनिजन और सरकार से कहना वाहती हं कि सेन्द्र समिसेय में पैरिटी साने की कोशिश की आवे जिससे इनका कस्टेशन मिट बाये।

तमापति जी, एक महिसा होते के नाते में कहना चाहती ह कि चीजा की महंगाई का बोझ हमारे ऊरर है. माप लोग तो बाने वारो हैं, बनाने वाले हम है । जी एसेंशियल चीजे हैं. जो जिल्टगी के लिए गावश्यक चीजें हैं वह ठीक कीमत पर मिलनी नाहिए भीर जरूर मिलनी चाहिए । माज हर भीज महगी ह. हम बेबसी में घरो को बला रहे हैं। इसलिए जब माप प्लान को रीकास्ट करे तो कम में कम जो प्राने प्रनमव हैं उनसे लाम उठाये। देश में जा वास्त-विकता है उसका देखवार ग्राप प्लान का रीकास्ट कोजिए । आप म्य माधनो को डंडें और देखें किन रिमोसेंज को टेप कर मकते हैं।

सायल को मालवीय ती न एक नयी दिशा दी, झायल कमी झत ने मिकारिया की थां कि झापमारे डिलिंग करानी चाहिये, वहा पर झायल है लेकिन उस दिशा में काई प्रयाम नहीं किया गया। जितनी कमेटी ड झोर कमी झम्स बनते हैं वह किसा झमिप्राय से कायम किये जाते है लेकिन अगर उनकी सिफारिसो को कोल्ड स्टोरेड म फैक दिया जाता है तो सब बेकार हो जाता है। मैं यह बात मानने के लिये तैयार नही हू कि जो मवी लोग तय कर दे वही एक बात तय हो जाये, सोगो के पास भी दिल दिमाम है उनके सुझाबो में भी फायदा उठाना चाहिये। बस इतना ही मैं कहना चाल्नी हु।

को अवगम्ताच मिश्च (मधुवनी) मभा-पति महोदय, जितनं मदस्यो के नाम था भुके हैं उन्हें तो कम से कम 5 मिनट का भ्रवसग जरूर दिया जाये। मैं केवल पाच मिनट ही बोलने के लिये नैयार हु?

अमापति महीवय . हाउस 6 बजे उठ वायेगा, विनको बोलना होगा नह मैक्ट सेसन मैं बोलेंगे । शिनिस्टर ने कह दिया है कि नैपस्ट सेमण में ने बोलेंगे लेकिन इतनी रिप्र्येस्ट मैं अकर कर्डना कि जो लिस्ट बनी हुई है उसको नेगढेन करें ।

श्री रिछारिया ।

डा० ग विन्व दास रिफारिता (झासी) सभापति जा, पाचवी योजना का दृष्टिकोण जो यहा पर पेश है, में ममसता ह निश्चित तौर से लोकतन्व कं ग्राधार पर समाजवाद तक पहचने के रिये, गरीबी मिटाने के लिये वह पहला कान्तिकारी कदम है । लेकिन इसके भाष साथ में मर्ताजी से निवेदन करना चाहता ह कि योजनाओं के कियान्वयन मे, जिम ५र योजनाओं की सफ उता निर्मर करती है. ज्यादा में ज्यादा जन महयोग लेगे. जनता को मम्बद करेंगे क्योकि जितना अधिक से अधिक यह हा सकेगा उतनी ही मधिक मफलता प्राप्त हो सकेगी । इसके लिये ग्रावश्यक है कि ग्राप देखें कि सार देख मेप वायनोरा ज-गावसमाये. क्षेत्र समितिया जिला परिषदे बन चकी हें या नहीं । यदि नहीं बनी है नो पाचवी योजना र पहले मावस्यक है कि यह तोन स्टेप्स गचायत (राज के वन जाये । जिस प्रदेशों ने पचायतीगाज आभी नही बनाया या बना रहे है या आधे मन में बनाया है उसमें भी मुधार करने की सावम्यकता है । जिस तरीके में महाराष्ट्र भीर गजरात में पचायती राज की स्थापना की गई है उसी तरह से सारे देश में पचायती राज की स्थापना करे झौर योजनामा का कियान्वयन पूरा का पूरा उनके जिम्मे कर दे । प्रापकी योजना माबो से बननने की प्रावश्यकता है। जो योजना प्रायकी बननी है बह पचायती राज के जो तीन स्तर है वही गावो से उनको बनाने की ग्रावस्यकता है। उस के बाद बुलाक स्तर गर, फिर उस का कार्यान्वयन जिला स्तर पर बुलाक स्तर पर भीर गाव स्तर पर हो सकेगा भौर तभी सारा राष्ट्र ज्ञामिल हो सकेना । इस के साब साथ यह प्रावश्यक है कि योजनायों की प्राथमिकता पर निहिमत तौर से विचार करें। संहवाई पर निवंत्रण करें। माप पैदासार बहाय सीर इस के माथ जो मनुष्य की सावस्थक बल्दवें हैं उन का वितरम गरीब बादमियों तन को कि 25 मतिसत हैं और 25 प्रतिबत

[धीमती साबित्री रयाम]

मञ्चयर्षीय हैं, उन तक जीवन की झांबस्थक बस्तुयें सही दाम पर पहुंच सके, इस को पहुंचाने के लिये झाप को सार्वजनिक सहयोग स कमेटियां बनाने की झावस्यकता है ताफि सारी की सारी वितरण व्यवस्या ठीक हो सके। तथी आप योजना का कार्यान्वयन कर सकते हैं।

इसी के साथ प्राथमिकता देनी है। बिजली उत्पादन है, जहा बिजली उत्पादन का सम्बन्ध है, फिर सिंचाई झौर बाढ नियतण इन तीनो की एक साथ योजनाये बनानी है । जहा बाद झाती है वहा नदियां का पानी नियवित कर के बिजली पैदा कर सकते है । इसी तरह से जहा सिवाई होनी है, बड़ी बडी नदियों पर माज जो विवाद पल रहे हैं, उन को झाप शीध तय करे झौर जल को राष्ट्र की सम्पत्ति घोषित करे तथा उस को प्रदेशों के ग्राधिकार में न दे। ममंदा, कावेरो, मोन आदि नरिया बहती आसती है और प्रदेश आपस में सगड रहे है। पह झावस्यक है कि पाचकी योजना शुरू होने से पहले जल का राष्ट्र की सम्पत्ति घोषित करे और प्रदेशों के प्रधिकार से उस को छोन लें ताकि नदिया को बाध कर सिचाई हा सके, जहां धावस्पक है वहा बिजलो पैदा की जा सके । यह एक बडा महत्वपूर्ण कार्य है जिस पर जल्दी से जल्दी विधान में परिवर्तन कर के धमल करने की झावम्यकता है ।

इमी तरह से भूमि के सप्वन्ध में जितने कानून बने हैं उन पर प्रभी ठीक से प्रमल नहीं हो पाया है। हम ने जा जमीन निकासी है सीलिंग के द्वारा वह भूमिहीना या हरिजनो तक नहीं पहुंच सकी है। इस पर प्राप का फिर से विषार करना चाहिये। उसकी जांच करनी चाहिये। ग्राप के पास रकवा उतना ही है, दो भूमि को समतल कर के. मुखार कर के भूमि संरक्षण के द्वारा खेती के झन्त-ग्रंत चेती लाने के लियें जदम उठानं चाहियें।

इस के साथ एक और प्रावश्कयता है । आप की योजनाओं के दुष्टिकोण में असमानता है । जो पिछती चार कोवनायें हें भगर भाग देखेंगे तो निश्चित तौर से भाग पायेंगे कि कुछ प्रदेश पीछे हो गये हैं, कुछ युझे हिस्से हैं जो पिछड़ गये हैं। किसने हो ऐसे जिले पीठे रह गये हैं। इस का क्या कारण है यह माप को देखना चाहिये। माप ने प्रति व्यक्ति को पैसा खर्च किया है वह जिला स्तर तक नही पहुंच सका है। झाप की योजनाएं केन्द्र या प्रदेशों के हैडक्वाटंर से बनी हैं। भाषश्यक है कि धाप की योअनाओं का पैसा सिद्धान्त के झाधार पर जिले तक पहुचे झोर जिले से उन का कार्यान्वयन हा। जहा तक मै समझता हू पैसा बाटने के दा सिद्धान्त हो । एक हा आवादी का आधार भीर दूसरा हो कृषि का रकबा। जितनी योजनाये भूमि से सम्बन्ध रखती हैं उन का पैसा रकवे के ग्राधार पर बाटिये। जितनी योजनाये मनुष्य से सम्बन्ध रखतो हैं उन का पैसा धाबादी के भाषार पर बाटिये। इस तरह से यदि भाष जिले तक पैसा बाटेंगे तो जा जिल पीछे रह गये हैं, जा प्रदेश या प्रदेश के कुछ हिस्से पाछे रह गये है बह झागे वढ़ स हेगे। जहा मनुष्य में समानना लानी है, वहा धावश्यक है कि क्षेत्रीय समानता का दुष्टिकोण योजना म रखे । आप को मालुम हे उत्तर प्रदेश, मध्य प्रदेश, बिहार, उडीसा ऐसे प्रदेश है जा चार याजनाओं के बाद भी प्रति व्यवि ग आय में पीछं हो गये हैं दूसरे प्रदेशो के मकाबले में। इस लिये निश्चित तौर से पाचवी योजना मे ऐसो व्यवस्था करनी है जिस से इन बडे प्रदेशों का जिनकी धाबादी और संलफन बहुत है, इन को सन्य विकसित प्रदेशों के समान स्तर पर ला मकें। यह व्यवस्था ग्रति ग्रावस्थक है।

जहा तक उत्तर प्रदेश का सम्बन्ध है उस में बिजली की कमी हो चुकी है, फॉटलाइझर की कमी है। इस के लिये ग्रावस्यकता है कि पाववीं योजना के इस तरफ ध्यान दें। झाय ने उत्तर प्रवेश की कुछ योजानयें विजन्ती और खिषाई की मन्धूर की हैं, लेकिन घसी मौर भी बहुत हीं योजनायें पड़ी हैं उन का शोध स्वीकृति प्रदाव करें । उत्तर प्रदेश ने फटिलाइ अर के कारखाने मांगे हैं जिन को घाप पायवी बीजना में दें जिस से असमानता बूर हो सके । मैं भ्राय का भ्राधारी हूं कि श्राप न मुझे घपने विषार रखने का घवसर दिया ।

MR. CHAIRMAN: Shri Age.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATI. (Raja pur): Sir, I want a clarification. I understand that this discussion is going to spill over to the next Session. In that case, would the speakers be called in the same order listed here?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Naturally.

SHIRI SYED AHMED AGA (Baramulia): If it is going to be continued the next Stassion, I can as well speak then.

MR. CHAIRMAN. He was start now

SHRI SYED AHMED AGA. Mr Chairman, I am grateful to you for givung me an opportunity to take part in this discussion.

MR. CHAIRMAN. He may continue his speech when this subject is taken up the next Session.

17.56 hes-

STATEMENT RE. DOCTORS' STRIKE IN DELHI AND BOMBAY

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY PLANNING (SHRI R. K. KHADILKAR): Mr. Chairman, the hon-Member, Shri K. D. Malaviya raised the issue of doctors' strike in Delhi and Bombay. I have got some information which I am going to give to the House.

As hon. Members are aware, the junior doctors in the teaching hospitals in Bombay and Poona and other places have been on strike from the 17th August 1973.

Efforts to settle this strike have not succeeded so far The junior doctors of Delhi consisting of interns, house surgcons, registrars and post-graduates held a specting on the 2nd September, 1973 in the Sufdarjung Hospital and passed a resolution in which, among other things, they have decided to observe a token strike for 48 hours commencing from 8 a.m. on the 5th September 1973, that is, today. This strike is entirely in sympathy with the demands of the junior ductors of Maharashtra and there is no local demand linked up with the same. This resolution demands the immediate reinstatement of all the victimised doctors of Maharashtra, unconditional withdrawal of all charges against those doctors, immediately settlement of issues in a peaceful manner, condemnation of the alleged atrocities committed on the doctors of Maharashtra and a request for intervention by the Prime Munister.

Consequent to this notice the junior doctors working in the different hospitals of Delhi have gone on strike this morning. I will just briefly state the position in each hospital

In the All Indua Institute of Medical Sciences the normal intake of patients, even emergency and outdoor, is maintamed

In the Safdarjung Hospital there is always a great rush. The number of out-patients attended to each day in that hospital is 2,500. The bed strength is 1,220 and another 25 were admitted, making a total of 1,245. The discharge till the morning is 340. There are 30 wards.

18.00 hrs.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE (Rajapur): One request to you. After the statement is completed, according to your usual procedure, don't declare that the House stands adjourned. We would like to have some clarifications.

MR. CHAIRMAN: After the statement, there is no question of clarification.

SHRI R. K. KHADILKAR: I can point out the conditions in Saidariung