[श्री मूल बन्द डागा]

फोर्स जुल नहीं है तो इसकी स्टैट्यूटरी बैर्किंग क्या है । मैं जानना चाहता हू ग्रापका इस तरह की इस्टीटयुगन जो काम करती हैं उनके पास पनिश्रमेट की क्या पार्वस होती हैं ? उनके पास यह पावर रहना बहुत जरूरी होता है । ग्रानने जो प्रेस कौसिल के लिए एथिक्स म्रोर मारन्स रखे हैं उनको कैमे मेनटेन किया जायेगा ? दूसरी बात मै यह जानना चाहना हु कि इसमें जो जनंलिस्ट्स होते हैं, एडीटर्स होते है उनमे बहुमन किसका होना चाहिए ? मै समझता ह बहमत जर्मलिम्ट्स का होना चाहिए । मै पूछना हू भगर ग्राजादी नही रही तो फिर जिन्दगो का मजा हो क्या ह । में पछता ह यह लोग क्या करते हैं ? यह कुछ लोगो की ही खबरे छापते है. उन्ही को बातां को सुनत है लेकिन इस देश के करोडो लोगों की बात कौन मुनेगा ? इमलिए मैं चाहता ह कि इस कौंसिल प्रेस 46T ग्राप इफेक्टिव ग्रीर फोर्मफल बनाये नाकि स्वतन्त्र रूप से जनना के जो विचार है उनको प्रकट किया जा मके ।

SHRI DHARAM BIR SINHA Mr. Chairman, Sir, about Samachar Bharti Shri Limaye has raised certain points I can only say this that he himself is aware that various State Government hold 75 per cent of shares in Samachar Bharts. Although we know that there have been complaints of serious lapses about Samachar Bharts, to which Shri Limaye has made a reference, we could not interfere into the working of it because of the fear of being charged with interfering with it. That is why we have withheld the temptation of action in this regard.

I would definitely seek his cooperation and help, indeed if he also would be able to persuade Shri Jayaprakash. Narain in this connection. We would, also try our best to put Samachar Bharti on healthy lines.

I would like to say one more thing. I refer to what my friend, Shri Pandey said in regard to the newspapers and bias in political reporting. All I can say is that my party the Congresss Party or for that matter, this Government has had no mercy. We ourselves have suffered more from political bias against us from the newspapers. Not only to-day but even during the last three to four years. The problems within my party and the Government are magnified hundred times even thousand times in the newspapers That is all what I haveto say.

MR CHAIRMAN ग्रव मै इस बिला

का मजरी के लिए पेश करूगा !

मवाल यह है.

'That the Bill be passed"

The motion uas adopted.

1649 hrs

STATUTORY RESOLUTION RE DIS-APPROVAL OF INDIAN IRON AND STEEL COMPANY (TAK-ING OVER OF MANAGEMENT) AMENDMENT ORDINANCE.

AND

INDIAN IRON AND STEEL COM-PANY (TAKING OVER OF MA-NAGEMENT) AMENDMENT BILL

MR. CHAIRMAN.

मब मायरन ऐंड स्टील कम्पनी में सम्बन्धित भाडिनॅन्स जिम पर श्री मष्ठु लिमये का स्टैट्यूटरी रेजोल्यूणन है, गौर किया जायेगा ।

St. Res. and Indian BHADRA 7, 1896 (SAKA) (Taking over of 277 Iron & Steel Co.

श्री मधु मिलये।

बी मध् लिमये (बाका) : मैं प्रस्ताव करता ह कि:

"This House disaproves of the Indian Iron and Steel Company (Taking over of Management) Amendment Ordinance, 1974 (Ordinance No. 4 of 1974, promulgated by the President on the 28th June, 1974"

समापति महोदय, यह अध्यादेश इस बात का प्रमाण है कि सरकार कभी लम्बान की इष्टि मे चीजो पर नहीं मोचनी । जब इंडियन ग्रायरन ऐड स्टील कम्पनी के इल्लजाम को मरकार ने प्रपने हाब में लिया तो सरकार का यह अनमाम था कि दो माल के झन्दर बम्पनी को हम लोग ठीक टाक करेंगे । हालाकि जब इन्होंने इस कापनी के मैनेजमेट को लिया तब जो उत्पादन की शक्ति थी उमका केवल 27 प्रतिशन मान्न उत्पादन हो रहा था । तो इनना उत्पादन गिरमे के बाद सरकार को यह मान कर चलना चाहिये था कि इस कारग्वाने को ठीक करने में बहुत समय लगेगा । लेकिन यह दो साल का विधेयक लेकर ग्राये हैं ग्रीर इस का नतीजा हम्मा कि जब यह दो माल की मियाद 14 जलाई, को खत्म होने की स्थिति उत्पन्न हो गई थी तो इन की मींद खली झौर चुकि संगद उस समय रीसेस में थी, इन्ही ने भध्यादेश के जरिये इस की मियाद को मौर तीन साल बढाया । तो मैं मंत्री महोदय, से सब से पहले इस बान का खुलासा चाहता हूं कि जब बजट सुत्र चल रहा या तो क्या मैंनेजमेंट ने या इस्पात

278 Mgmt.) Amdt, Bill

मंत्रालय के लोगों ने मजी जी को यह जानकारी नहीं दी थी कि इंडियन ग्रायरन म्रौर स्टील का मामला म्रभी तक ठीक नही हुन्ना है, उस में ग्रीर समय लगेगा ? भगर उन को जानकारी दी गई थी तो भ्या बजह है कि वजट सब मे हो इस विधेयन को ले कर पह सदन के सामने नही ग्राये ? हर हालन में मझे ऐसा लगना है कि सरकार की कोई दीई-कालीन नीति नही होती है। तो मैं आ। में कहना चाहना है. मेरे शब्दी को नोट कीजिये कि तीन साल मे भी यह मामला ठीक नहीं होने वाला है ग्रीश फिर नया विधेयक या ग्राघ्यादेश ले कर माप को समद के सामने माना होगा । मरी समझ में नहीं झाना जब इस को माप हाथ में ले ही रहे है तो मव वापस देने की बात न मोचिंग प्राइवेट लोगो के हाथ में । इस का हमेश। के लिये लीजिये प्रार हमेणा के लिये यह मामना साप खन्म बर दीजिये।

मनापति जी. ग्रध्यादेश के माथ ग्रोर इस विधेयक के साथ दो साल के इन के काय का रिव्य सदन के मामने रखना चाहिये था उनको कहना चाहिये था कि दो साल में इस को सुधारने के लिये क्या किया ?' पैदाबार बढाने के लिये क्या किया ? जो व्यवस्थापक ग्रोर मजदरों के रिण्ते होते 🛣 उन को मुधारने के लिये क्या किया ? कम्पनी की ग्रामिक और विनीय स्थिति को सुधारले के लिये क्या किया, आधनिकीकरण के लिये क्या किया ? इस की सारी जानकारी सदब को देनी चाहिये थी।

AUGUST 29, 1974

[श्री मध् लिमये]

जब भायरन भौर म्डील कम्पनी निजी जेव मे थी तो सब से बडी जिकावत जो मेरे 'पास आती थी वह स्क्रीप के बारे में थी। कहा जाता या कि जो स्क्रैप को मेचा जाता है उसमे बडे पैमाने पर बोरी हो रही है। तो -स्क्रीय को भेजने के बारे में कौन मी नई प्रणालिया, सरकारी क्षेत्र में कारखाना झाने के बाद चाल की गई हैं, उस की जानकारी -मती को देनी चाहिये। लेकिन मैं ने यह न्सूना है कि कम्पनी बाले पहले मोचने ये कि स्क्रीय को बेचने से 80 लाख ए० मिलेगा. लेकिन जब झौक्शन किया गया तो । करोड 41 लाख २० प्राप्त हमा । झौर जब दूसरे लौट का झौबणन किया गया तो उस मे 1 करोड 55 লাৰা হত প্ৰাদ্ধা हम। । तो इस से साफ है कि मौक्शन के जग्वि भ्रधिक आमदनी आप को हो रही है। तो इस ग्रांक्णन प्रणानी को सधारने के लिये ग्रीर क्या कदम उठाये जा सकने हैं इस के खारे में भी सदन को विश्वास में लेना चाहिये क्यों कि इन में लाखों रे० का घोटाला .हमेणा होता रहा है, और उम की मही जानकारी हम को मिलनी चाहिये। केवल कम्पनी सरकारी मैनेजमेट में माने मे स्थिति सुधरनी है, एंसी बान नहीं है । क्या भन्नी महांदय इस बात में इन्कार कर सकते हैं कि मरवेला, जिलाई खोर दर्गापर में जो प्रच्छा स्टील या ग्रच्छे स्टील प्रोपक्ट बनते है उन को इनफीरियर घोषित कर के माल जिकाला जाता है झौर बही माल व्यक मे खेवा जाता है । तो क्या झाई० उस० को०

(Taking over of 280 Mymt.), Ands, Bill में भी इस तरह के काम इन दिनों में चल रहे हैं ? क्या इस को रोजने का प्रयास किया जा रहा है ?

जहां झाई० ध्म० को० मे पैवावार बढ़ाने की झावध्यकता है, उसका उग्योग भी ठीक होना चाहिये। आज सबेरे ही सवाल उठा या कि इल्पात एक ऐसी कीज है कि जिस की हमारे झौद्योकियी तरण के लिये, हमारे कवि की पैदाबार के लिये बडो ग्रावण्यकता है भीर उम का इस्तेमाल ठीक उग ऐसे करना चाहिये । गलन कामो के लिये इस्तेमाच नही होता चाहिये। इस के बारे में झगर मेरे साथी दडवते बोलेंगे तो मब रे बह जो प्रश्न उठाना बाहते ये उस की तफर्मात साप के मामने पेश करेथे । लेकिन मझी महोदय का पहले भी मैं ने पूछा था माज बम्बई जैसे गहर मे 20, 15, 30 मजिल की जो मद्रायिकाये बन रही है उस में सीमेट झौर म्होल का बडे पैमाने पर दूबपयोग होता है मार में नही समझता, राष्ट्रीय हित में, ऐसे कामा का बरीयता मिलनी चाहिये । मन्त्री महोदय ने पिछणी बार मुझ से कहा कि इस के नगर विवार किया जा रहा है। नो मैं आशा करना ह कि जहा वह साई० एस० को॰ में वह पैदावार बढाने की बात कड़ेगे साथ माथ जो म्टोल पैवा होगा सरकारी क्षेत्र मे उसका जो इस्तमाल है वह मही राग में झौर राष्ट्रीय हित को दण्टि से जो झावझ्यक गाम है उन्हों कामों के लिये होगा इस की ग्रोर भी तह ध्यान देगे. लेमी में बाशा करता हू ।

दो उदाहरण मेरे मामन झाये है बम्बई में जी बैक वे रिपलेमेशन की गोजना

281 St. Res. and Indian BHADRA 7, 1896 (SAKA) (Taking over of 282: Iron & Steel Co. Mgmt.) Amdt, Bill

है जिस को मैं यहां कई बार उठा चुका हू इस स्कीम के तहन जो केन्द्र की जमीन है उस की चोरी कर के घौर बडे उड़े लोगों को वह वेच कर यह ग्रट्ट'लिफाये खडी की जा रही है। मभी सभी जो 16 प्लौट्स बेचे गये है उस में 2 करोट २० लाख की रिण्डन दी गई। उस को तो आप रोक नहीं मकने है, नानून मली को होई दिलचम्पी नहीं है। लेकिन यदि ग्राप यह नियम बनाते है कि ेमी ब्रट्टालिकाब्रां के लिये एक किलों भी स्टोल नहीं मिलेगी तो यह जो रिश्वत और चोरी का मामला है यह मब खत्म हा जायगः । तो ग्राज मै मत्रो महोदय में चाहता हू कि इस ने बारे में मरकारी नीनि का वह ऐलान करें कि उत्पादन बढाने का एक काम है ग्रीर जो पैदाबार है उस का मही इस्तेमान यह दुसरा नाम है।

ग्रव दूसरा सवाल मोटर कारम्वाने का है। यह बेबी इडस्ट्री में यह झाता है झाप के मतालय में नहीं है मारुनी लिमिटेर का . (ष्छ मदम्यों के हमने पर) 50,000. माप लोगों को बाला जी या मामती का नाम लेते ही या तो हनी झाती है या काध झाता है । साई० साई० एस० को० Ĥ पैदावार बढाने का काम हम को करना है, लेकिन माथ माथ चुकि पैदावार बडाने की प्रक्रिया पर कुछ न कुछ निमिटेंगन्स या ही जाने है इसलिये स्टील के इस्तेमाल के बारे में भी हम को सोचना चाहिये। माधनि लिमिटेड को पबास हजार मोटर गाडियां बनाने का लाइमेंस दिया गया है । क्या माप यह सोचते हैं कि इस के लिये जो स्टील कोटा

दिया जाएगा यह इस्पात का सदुपयोग होगा ? इसके बारे में आपको, मजारति महोदय, सरकार के ऊपर स्ट्रिज्वर्च पाम करने चाहियें। प्रापत्कालीन स्थिति में इस नरह इम्पात का दुरुपयोग करना कहा नक उत्ति प्रदेश मुनामिब है ? इसलिये मैं चाहना ह कि जहा मत्री महोदय इम्पात के उत्पादन का स्वयाल रखे वहा त्रिनरण के बारे में भी कार्ट कडी व्यवस्था करे।

17 hrs

इम्पान के जो वारगाने है उनके बारे मे ग्राप जानने है कि कुछ ना भावजनिक कम्पनियों के लिए ग्रीर ग्रन्थ कामों के लिए इम्पान का वितरण होता है---25-30 परमंट इम्पान चाहे वह भिनाई का हो, इगांपुर का हो, राउडकेला का हो था इनकों में बनने वाला हो । क्या यह मही मही है कि 25-50 परसेट आप प्रख्वेट ट्रेड को देते हैं? क्या यह भी सही नहीं है कि कई बार धापका मैनेजमेट घोर रेल मवालय दोनो मिल जाते है आपस मे झौर कर्जो नामों के उत्पर स्टील एलाट कर दिया जाता है, कभी कभी स्टोल एलाट किया जाता है बम्बई के पने पर झौर वह रास्ने में ही उनार लिया जाना है झोर सारा बुलैकमार्किट में चला जाता है ? 'इसको' के झन्दर जो वितरण व्यवस्था है क्या उसके ऊपर ग्रापकी निगरानी है धौर क्या ऐसे कामा के लिए स्टील चला नहीं बाता है ? इसका ग्रापने क्या इनजाम किया है ? कापडिया ग्रुप की ग्रकसर हमारे प्रिय रजन दास मुझी मेरे साथ चर्चा किया करते हैं। कुछ साल पहले

AUGUST 29, 1974

[श्रं: मधु लिमये]

की यह बात है । उनको ड्रम झौर बैरल बनाने के लिए स्टील ग्रीट्स का कोटा दिया गया । झाज भी उनको कोटा मिलता होगा । 'इसकों से भी मिलता होगा । इसका खुलासा मैं चाहता हूं कि झाप करें । क्या यह मही नही है कि ड्रम झौर वैरल बनाने के बजाय यह इन्पात की चादरें ये लोग ग्लैकमार्किट मे बेच डालते है । इस पर दो तीन सौ प्रतिशत का प्रिमियम चल रहा है । इसी तरह न्से मर्जय के बारे मे झाप कडाई बरते । इस्पात के वितरण के बारे मे कडाई बरते, इस्पात के व्रार मे , एड-यूझ के बारे मे भी कडाई बरने ।

'इसको' की जो कम्पनी है उस मे इस वक्त निय्क्तियो को लेकर बहुन विवाद खटा हो गया है । मैं जानना चाहता ह 'कि क्या 'इसको' के मरकार के हाथ मे झाने के बाद कोई नई रिकटमेट पालिसी अपनाई गई है क्योंकि जब निजी झेल में 'इसको' कम्पनी थी उस बक्त कहा जाता है कि इस में तौकरी देने के लिए पांच छ हजार रुपया रिश्वन का लिया जाना था। क्या सरकारी क्षेत्र में झाने के बाद जो नई रिक्टमेंट पालिमी आाग्ने चाल की है क्या उसके तहत इस तरह के गलन काम बन्द हो गए है ? अगर वन्द नही हुए है तो क्या इनत्रैस्टीगेटिंग एजसीज बी मदद से इनको दन्द करने का झापने नाई प्रयास किया ? इसते बारे मे भी खलामा होना नाहिए ।

1974 (Taking over of 284 Mgmt.) Amdt. Bill

इसको कम्पनी में कट्रैंक्ट सिस्टम की एक बहुत खतरनाक व्यवस्था थी जिस के खलते जो कांट्रेंक्ट लेवर रहती थी उनको ठीक मजदूरी बगैरह नही दी जाती थी । झाप इसको तीन माल के लिए झपने हाथ मे ले रहे हैं। मैं तो चाहता ह कि हमेशा के लिए लें। इस कार्ट्रेक्ट प्रणानी को झाप समाप्त करें। झगर मजद्रों को सही मजदूरी मिलेगी, उनका महयोग प्राप्त करने की कोई व्यवस्था झापने की तो झापका उन्पादन भी बढेगा और लेवर भी मन्तुर्ट होगी। विना मजदूरो का दिली महयोग प्राप्त किंग उत्पादन बढाने की जो जिम्मेदारी झापने तरे कर ली है उम जिम्मेदारी को झाप परा नही कर पार्टे।

चेयर सैन की नियबित के बारे में बहुत झगड चल रहा है। मेरी यह राय है कि नियक्तियों ग्रीर टामफर वगैरह के यारे मे हम लोगो को दखल नही देना चाहिये। ग्रगर किमो व्यक्ति का ग्राप किमी विदेशी कम्पनी को छोड कर सरकारों क्षेत्र की मदद करने के लिए बुजाने है तो आपको उसको गोच ममझ कर बुलाना बाहिये मौर व्लाने के बाद उसके साथ दुव्यंवहार नहीं करना च हिए । ग्रगर आपको लगना है कि वह मादभी मयोग्य है, नालायर है नो क्यों आग उसको बुलाने हैं। लेकिन जब प्रावन दे कर बुल, में है तो जनकी टेपट का, गुण वला का पूरा परा आपको इल्लेमाल करना च।हिये । मैं चाहता ह कि मैरिट के फाधार पर , सिदानों ने ग्राधार पर जयन होन. चाहिए ।, नियमितया होनी चाहिएं । लेकिन

285 St. Res. and Indian BHADRA 7, 1896 (SAKA) (Taking over of 286 Iron & Steel Co. Mgmt.) Amdt. Bill

में जानता हं कि पैरवी के स.धार पर बह मरकार चलती है, इनफ्लाएंस पेडलिंग के आ गर पर चलती है और इस में पाचामो नरह के प्रेशर्ज मंत्रालय के उपर धाने है । इन तमाभ चीजो को बन्द के पक्ष में करने हं। एक बात र्विद्वान्त के तौर पर कहना चाहता हं, किसी अपकित की चर्चा नहीं करना चाहता । झाज सरकारी क्षेत्र के लिये ग्रच्छे लोग नहीं मिल रहें हैं। दूसरी बात यत है कि मरकार में यह प्रवृत्ति है कि यह निणय नहीं लेना चाहती 🕏 श्रौर वह इसलिये कि निर्णय लेने में जिम्मे-बारी माती है और माज जिम्मेदारी कोई सेना नही चाहना है। जिम्मेदारी का 'सिदान्त जब तक झाप सरकार में झौर खास कर पब्लिक झडग्टेकिग्ज में जारी नही करेंगे, सुधार नहीं प्रायेगा । भच्छा काम किया तो उसका उसको फल नही देंगें, शाबाश नही कहेने और गलत किया तो उसके लिये दोष नहीं देंगे उसको, तब तक काम सुच। घ ढग से नही चल सकेगा। इस में डडा चलतः हैं। भ्रमरीका मे-उसको फायर किया जाता हैं। लंकिन हमारे भयोग्य यहा व्यक्ति को प्रोमोशन दी जाती है. डी इज किक्ड अपस्टेय में। यह हम लोगो की नीति है। इसमें कुछ नहीं होगा। पब्लिक मंडरटेकिग्ज की जो खराब हालत है इसमें परमनल पालिसी बहत महत्व रखती है। इस वाम्ने बहुत सोच कर झाप सिजेक्शन करें । मझे याद है बहत साल पहने--- यह एड मिनिस्ट्रेटिव मर्विस वगैरह तो था गकी बात है---हमारे बन मे श्री झच्यत पटव कंधन झादि लोग जब हुआ करते थे तो उसी समय मरकार को कहते थे कि इकोनोमिक सिविल सर्विस चालू की जाए और उसमें ऐसें सभी लोगों को लें जिनको दो तीन साल का विजिनेस का मनुभव हो, जवानी में उभको ले, फिर उनको ट्रेन ग्राप करें, पहले वे बाहर थोडा प्रनुभव प्राप्त करे, फिर उनको प्रशिक्षण ग्राप दें, बाद मे उनको मौका दें प्रौर निर्णय लेने की निर्णय शक्ति ग्राप उनमे पैदा करे । इस तरह की सिलेक्शन पालिसी, रिकूटमें 2 पार्टि सी ग्राप प्रपतायगे तो मेरा इयाल है कि इसको जो कारोबाग् है उसमे हम लोग तरक्की वर पायेगे ।

प्यास लगने के बाद कुया खोदने का काम ही ग्राप ग्राज तक करते ग्रा रहे हैं। दो नीन दिनम मैं सरकार को यही सलाह देत_ा ग्रा रहा हू। प्यास लगने के बहुत पहले मे ही ग्रापको कुग्रा खोदना चाहिये। इस बात का ग्राप विचार करें कि प्यास तो इन्सान को लगती है इस वास्ने कुग्रा भी पहले से ही खोदना चाहिये।

MR CHAIRMAN: Resolution moved

"This House disaproves of the Indian Iron and Steel Company (Taking over of Management) Amendment Ordinance, 1974 (Ordinance No. 4 of 1974) promulgated by the President on the 28th June 1974."

THE MINISTER OF STEEL AND MINES (SHRI K. D MALAVIYA): Sir, I beg to move.

"That the Bill to amend the Indian Iron and Steel Company (Taking over of Management) Act, 1972, as passed by Rajya Sabha, be taken into consideration"

AUGUST 29, 1974

MR CHAIRMAN Motion moved

'That the Bill to amend the Indian Iron and Steel Company (Taking over of Management) Act 1972 as passed by Rajya Sabha be taken into consideration"

SHRI SOMNATH CHATTERJEE (Burdwan) Mr Chairman Sir I consider this to be a very important measure because Indian Iron and Steel Company occupies a place of importance in the steel sector of this country Sir when the late Steel Minister announced the decision to take over the management of this Company he said

'Steel production is an area which is crucial to development and the country could not possibly watch the deteriorating state of aflairs in HISCO

and that

The management of IISCO had been unable to halt the steady deterioration of the production prospects apart from bringing about any improvement There has been no recognition on their part of the urgency of implementing schemes for the modernisation of the industry and its expansion "

I fail to understand how all these schemes could be put into effect when the takeover was only for two years Necessarily the Government bad to come forward with this Bill to extend the period of take-over I do not know how within even this extended period of three years the condition of this company which was steadily brought to a decline by the previous management could be rectified, with

(Taking over of 288. Mgmt.) Amdt, Bill

all the complications and all the capital investment required for modernisation etc I hope the minister will satisty us whether three years are sufficient to do this Of course, we have never understood why initially it was for two years We have certainly noobjection to the extention of the period of management, subject to what I say with regard to its actual day to day management and the policy of manageent But it was known previously that two years were insufficient during the course of the management Then why did the Government issue an ordinance instead of bringing a Bill in the last session itself? It is rather unfortunate that this Government takes recourse to issuance of ordinances as a matter of course When an ordinance is issued it is incumbent on the Government to give a statement explaining the circumstances w hich necessitated its promulg ition Tf you go through this statement which has been issued, the main reason given is that it has not been possible to achieve so many things within a period of two years but not a word is mentioned in it to justify any change in the management I wish to emphasise this point. In the garh of seeking extension of the period of management, you are changing lock stock at i harrel the entire principle of management laid down in the initial Act ly the late Shri Mohan Kumaramangalam While suddenly within two years have you changed the very basis of the management? When the intia' Act was passed the Custodian Mr Ray. was then Deputy Managing Director of Metal Box and Co a very well known concern in the private sector He was getting a salary of Rs 7500 per month with a lot of perquisites and was entitled to a pension on the basis of his position of Rs 2500 per month on reaching the age of 50 He was only 42 when at the request of the late Steel Minister he joined IISCO It has been admitted in this statement that

"Since the take-over of the management, a number of steps have been

289 St. Res. and Indian BHAURA 7, 1896 (SAKA) (Iron & Steel Co. Mg

taken to reorganise and streamline the administrative structure, and that substantial progress has been made, but a good deal still remains to be done."

That was when, not even two years have elapsed, or perhaps it has just elapsed.

So from the statement it appears that the management that has been introduced by the first take-over Act has achieved something. So far as the steps taken by the Custodian are concerned, they are in the right direction as appears from the statement issued So, and circulated by the Ministry how unfair it has been that in the Statement of Objects and Reasons of this Bill which was introduced in the Rajya Sabha it has been stated that the arrangement of having a Custodian and the Advisory Board has not been found to be satisfactory and it was proposed that during the extended pethe Board of Management riođ should be constituted to run the management. Nothing has been indicated in the other satement regarding the issue of the Ordinance as to the circumstances, and in what way, the management by the Custodian was unsatisfactory, so far as the Government and the interests of the undertaking are concerned Here I am not pleading for the Custodian hecause he has already left the organisation You say you want to provide good management to these concerns That is why the management was taken over and you invited these people to make sacrifices and come and run Now because of the bureauthem. structure of functioning in cratic Delhi, you throw them away just like that and you do not think of their future. Is this the way you are going to attract good and talented people to these concerns? The basic object of the take-over was good management and proper management. You have not nationalised them; you have taken them over for the purpose of providing better management. Do not forget that. For providing that better 1960 L.S.-12

SAKA) (Taking over of 290 Mgmt.) Amdt. Bill

management you invited talented peopie who were previously associated with good European concerns. Unless they were really talented people, you would not have invited them. Now you cannot treat them like untouchables because they were previously associated with European concerns.

So far as the Custodian and the previous Advisory Board are concerned, there are certain things which have to be noticed. One is that there has been increase in production after the takeover It is necessary for us to remember that the Indian Iron and Steel undertaking does not consist solely of the plant for production of steel. There are collieries and kulti works which very much form part of the undertakings Now the functioning of the steel sector has not certainly gone down; it has improved. So, far as the functioning of the kulti works and coal mines are concerned, they are very much better. I am sure the hon Minister will not deny all these things.

As Shri Limave has also mentioned, during the management of the Custodian and the Advisory Board, for the first time the recruitment policy has been formulated. So far as the sale of scrap was concerned, it was beenive of vested interests Recruitment was being done without a recruitment policy at the sweet will of persons who were in the old management, some of whom have continued with glorified status. The sale of scrap went up from Rs 80 lakhs to Rs. 141 crores

Here I will quote only one instance. Previously the Company's Cinema was being leased at the rate of Rs 700 per month. Because of the auctioned held by the Custodian and the Advisory Board, it fetched Rs 5.500 per month.

There have been several improvements. So far as the functioning of the erstwhile Custodian is concerned, may I place certain facts before the House because we are considering the

[Shri Somnath Chatterjee]

management also. I would like to quote-I shall indicate the source later on :

"Mr. Ray mainly attempted to set right some of the old, if I may use the word, corrupt practices which undercut the deep-entrenched vested interests and obviously when their interests were injured, they appeared to have entered into a mood of retaliation...

Mr. Ray introduced the system of auction, not only of open auction, but of a systematic way of auction which is prevalent and which always takes care of preventing such corruption or possible corruption.

Mr. Ray obviously got into the bad books of such entrenched interests there who used to buy scrap through negotiation and, therefore, it could be one of causes for the tension that grew there and which caused some situation against Mr Ray "

Then about the system of recruitment. it says:

"Obviously, there was no system recruitment. They appointed of whomsoever they liked. As the House is aware today even in a public sector undertaking it is imposible to appint anybody"

Similarly, various things have been said.

I was quoting our present Steel Minister. This is what he has said only a few days back about the performance of Mr. Ray, the erstwhile Custodian.

How this Custodian and others have been treated by this Government? Unceremoniously, you drive him away. Instead of acknowledging the services he has referred and the substantial contribution he has made by making personal sacrifices to a considerable

292 (Taking over of Mgmt.) Amdt. Bill

extent, you shabbily treat him. Overnight you issue an Ordinance whereby he becomes an ex-Custodian. This is the way this Government has dealt with this gentleman. Overnight, by operation of this Ordinance, he becomes an ex-Custodian. He loses completely his job. He is not even asked to tender his resignation before this was introduced. This is the way it is being done.

What I am trying to submit is that this is not a way in which you can justify your taking over the management of a concern like this which has a definite position in an important sector, the steel sector, of this country. Some sort of an excuse was given that there was another gentleman who was not puiling on well with the Custodian and, therefore, something had to be That was engineered by the done bureaucrats in Delhi It is taken as an excuse for the purpose of finding scapegoats for justifying bad performance of the Ministry at the Centre.

As I said, the present Minister himself has commended the achievements of this concern during the previous management. But, it seems, so far as the management policy is concerned. actually the Minister hiself is a silent spectator. His bureaucratic set-up the Secretary, has become more powerful than the Minister himself. He is very munch under the influence of his ministerial set up.

I would like to know how will the proposed changes help in the improvement of the management which was progressing on somewhat sound lines. If you had guide-lines to give to the previous management, you could have given the guidelines By changing lock stock and barrel, the management that was given not even a two-years trial -you commend them and bring for ward a set of management-how is i. going to achieve better performance m future? I would like to know, how instead of a whole-time Custodian, a part-time Administrator will help in better management of this important

293 St. Res. and Indian BHADRA 7, 1896 (SAKA) (Taking over of 294 Iron & Steel Co. Mgmt.) Amdt. Bill

concern, instead of a whole-time custodian, you have got a partime administrator, who is also Chairman of the Hindustan Steel Ltd., who is also Chairman, I believe, of the Salem Plant He can correct me if I am wrong. How can the same man who has to look after so many important undertakings, including an undertaking like the HSL look after properly the management and the affairs of the Indian Iron and Steel? This is another method of making another scape-goat as they made of the old custodian. They want to make the new administrator another scapegoat, to find excuses to drive him away from the steel sector. I shall come to that as to what is the reason behind the systematic ouster of important and competent people in the steel sector, in the public sector. This is because somebody wants to arrogate to himself ail the powers and functions in the steel industry and constituted himself as the overlord

Everybody knows that in this country as new organisation has been set up, called the Steel Authority of India Ltd. when it was set up, it was said that the proposed holding company would operate as a flexible instrument for the development of the industry, the object of creating this corporate giant was not to enforce rigid control but to bring into existence coordinated thinking and direction for the iron and steel sector; the holding company would give broad directions to the operating units which would have a large measure of autonomy.

So far as this Steel Authority is concerned its Chairman is the present Secretary of the Steel Ministry. What has been the performance of SAIL itself? I am quoting from a well known paper, The Hindu; this had appeared a few days back:

"There have been more antics than determined organisational action to improve production on the part of the top brass in SAIL in the past 11 years. There have been trapeze acts

galore and talk of high aims, But pretty little work has been done to improve the working of the factories on the ground or the coking coalmines underground."

When the entire complex of the national steel industry was brought under the control of SAIL, it was hoped, that besides ridding the industry of the deadening effects of bureaucracy. 1t would provide an institutional framework under which an integrated steel programme would be revived as я national enterprise. But the only thing that the SAIL has achieved so far is to obtain or extract, if I may say so, a better price for steel. Now it has become the biggest monolith in this country.

17.29 hrs.

[SHRI JAGANNATHRAO JOSHI in the Chair]

I say, the SAIL, under its present management, under the chairmanship of its present Chairman has very little positive achievement to show in its performance. It has tended to be enmeshed in bureaucratic joutine and the established grooves. It has encouraged unhealthy competition among the different units. Competition is good but it should be a healthy competition. What is happening is that, so far as the different units are concerned, instead of giving them more and more autonomy, rigid control is being sought to be enforced from the top. So far as SAIL is concerned, it has taken up too much time with the futile and petty exercises to establish alibis for nonperformance and failures rather than with mobilising and galvanising the national resources in manpower and material. I am quoting from another newspaper. So far as this SAIL is concerned, the expectation it held out of making profits has been belied. A price-rise was allowed when they could not increase production and when we are talking of inflation in the country, a price-rise in a most important commodity in the country was allowed so as to show that they were making a

(Taking over of 296 Mgmt.) Amdt, Bill

[Shri Somnath Chatterjee]

larger realisation on steel and getting a higher price.

The wonderful management of SAIL has been that while there is a scarcity of steel in the country for important development projects, there was a glut in stocks of steel with the plants. There could not be any movement. At one point of time five lakhs tonnes of steel piled up in the different plants and with a view to get rid of this stock, it was planned that exports of steel should be allowed now. This is the working of SAIL under which the steel industry is put and of the production which was expected to be only 5.4 million tonnes which is a modest estimate, now the revised estimate is 4.3 million tonnes-please correct me if my figures are wrong-which is lower than the figure of 1972.

So far as the hon. Minister, Shri Malaviya is concerned, he has himself said and I am quoting him as it appeared in the newspapers and I did not find any contradiction:

"The holding companies have become too big. They have to shed some burden and more powers and responsibility would have to be given to individual units."

Now, what has been done is that a new brain-wave has come m SAIL, namely, now to kill the HSL, it is proposed to be split up into three organinisations for the management of the three plants at Bhilai, Rourkela and Durgapur. The reason which has been put forward is that the units will become more autonomous as centres for decision-making, it will isolate bad performance from the good one and it will generate a healthy competition among the companies. These are usual arguments which will appear in any management text-book which are never translated into action much less the SAIL has any intention or capacity

to achieve it. It cannot do it. A very curious thing, the reason which is put forward is that the HSL has become a monolith. It is just like the pot cauing the kettle black. The SAIL has become a bigger monolith having an enormous control over the entire steel industry including the inputs industry. I am told that now almost the day to day decision m regard to HSL are being taken at Delhi and in fact the headquarters of HSL has been transferred to Delhi because SAIL wants to control the day to day activity and control and management of HSL. It is not a question of giving more autonomy to the units but they want to arrogate more and more powers and they are not given even the minimum autonomy to take decision for the purpose of running the industry. Instead of developing what is known as an industrial culture, the SAIL has brought about and perpetuated a civil service culture in the steel industry in the country. The SAIL has miserably failed in the sphere of developing a federal structure and in this regard, has achieved notoriety in creating muddles m coal, power, transportation and the steel industries.

So far as the Board of Management is being constituted. I would like the hon. Minister to explain to us, as I indicated, how the new change could bring about a better result in the performance, how a part-time administrator could do it better and what was the difficulty in the Advisory Board and the Custodian. I am making it clear, not that you must continue Mr. X or Mr. Y or Mr. Z. I am not on that. I want the hon, Minister to satisfy the country that you have done it for the real benefit of this company and the country, not fer satisfying the fads of any particular individual, however powerful he may He might occupy an important be. position in the steel set up on the sectoral set-up that you have created ٥ľ but that cannot be the only sort justification for tinkering with this

297 St. Res. and Indian BHADRA 7, 1896 (SAKA) (Taking over of 298 Iron & Steel Co. Mgmt.) Amdt. Bill

problem. I think the hon. Minister will go into this aspect and try 10 satisfy us on this point. And, about the new management board constituted, we would like to know whether members are to be given specific jobs, whether they are technically qualified etc. and what sort of activities they will be entrusted with. We would like to know what guidelines will be given from Delhi. Don't bring in SAIL in that. So far as labour representation is concerned, a particular gentleman is selected, I have nothing against him personally. What we wish to point out is only this that you have to find out as to who is true + representative of labour. Let not Gov. ernment decide beforehand who will be the leader, whom they want to represent and all that. Let them introduce a system of finding out either by vote or by ballot who really represents majority of the workers. I am not asking you should select particular union, X or Y or Z or give weightage to particular trade union. What we say is that proper weightage should be there who has majo rity support. Whatever outstanding problems of workmen are there should be looked into and the contract labour should be abolished. Proper recruitment policy should be there; such a policy should be properly formulated and continued Jobs should not be given either as a matter of political favour nor should it be left to vagaries of particular individuals however powerful they may be.

We support the Bill in so far #3 it provides for extension of the period of management but we think that even three years will not be sufficient. You should have sufficient foresight even now. But as far as the Bill seeks to interfere with the previous management we are not satisfied that what is being now introduced will be better. I request the Minister to consider all these points and to see that the management is done properly.

Mgmt.) Amdt. Bill MR. CHAIRMAN; The total time litotied is two hours. If the hon.

alltotted is two hours. If the hon. Members keep this m mind, we may be able to accommodate more hon. Members. Mr. Swaran Singh Sokhi.

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMEN-TARY AFFAIRS (SHRI K. RAGHU-RAIAH): We are very much short of time. The Bill has to become an Act and receive the President's assent.

SHRI SOMNATH CHATTERJEE: No more slip.

SHRI K. RAGHU RAMAIAH: It is my duty to mention. I would be grateful if it is passed tomorrow.

SHRI SOMNATH CHATTERJEE: Yes.

SHRI K. RAGHU RAMAIAH: It is not so easy, tomorrow is Friday and our official time is limited. I request the cooperation of the House to see that this Bill is passed, tomorrow. I will be very grateful indeed for this.

SARDAR SWARAN SINGH SOKHI (Jamshedpur): This is an Amendment Bill to amend the taking over of Indian Iron and Steel Company Limited (Takmg over of Manage-1972, to which I have ment) Act. given four amendments. Seeing the statement of the Minister, explaining the circumstances which necessitated the promulgation of the Indian Iron and Steel Company Amendment Ordinance 1974, I an: really surprised why again the hon'ble Steel Minister has come forward for extension of three years with effect from the 14th July, 1974,-perhaps under pressure from the Secretary of the Steel and Mines Ministry, who has made a mess of the steel plants.

Sir, the Steel Minister has stated recently that the Indian Iron and Steel Co. Ltd., Burnpur was established fifty years ago, that is, some time

[Sardar Swaran Singh Sokhi]

in 1924, which is incorrect. I do not know who gave him this information. Actually the IISCO's Steel Plant at Burnpur was established 10 years later than the Tata Iron and Steel Co. Ltd., in the year 1917 and TISCO at Jamshedpur in 1907.

This is still written on the Gate of the Plant, just opposite Burnpur Rollway Station. I think he never cared to visit the Plant through that gate, which is generally used by the workers and labour of the IISCO Plant.

Sir, when the taking over the Management Bill, of Indian Iron and Steel Co., at Burnpur came up for approval of Lok Sabha in 1972. I objected to the taking over of this Plant for two years which is a matter of record and can be ascertained from the proceedings of the House. My point of objection was, why the Government is taking over this Plant only for two years, instead of nationali-ation Λt it straightway in public interest. But, the then Steel Minister did not care to listen to me. I clearly told the House at that time that in two years, the management cannot even repur the machinery of the steel plant, even it may spend any amount of money on it, because 1 know the Indian Iron and Steel Co. Ltd., since 1948 and 115 functioning as well since then

The result is that the Steel Minister, Shri K. D. Malaviya, has come for approval of this august House for extension of three years more on certain grounds which, according to me are baseless. I do not agree with the statement that the takeover of the Steel Plant at Burnpur was only due to deterioration in the condition of the Plant, and replacement over a period of years.

As you know, Sir, any steel plant or any Mill cannot run for half a century or more without proper

(Taking over of 300 Mgmt.) Amdi, Bill

maintenance. You cannot even run a bicycle without proper maintenance, even for a year. The Plant has got most uptodate Blast Furance, Coke Oven and other Mills, much more modern than TISCO. How TISCO at Jamshedpur is running which was established ten years earlier than IISCO. In that case, why don't you take over Tata Iron and Steel Co. Ltd., at Jamshedpur too when you are going to give money for expansion to TISCO very shortly which is much more older than IISCO and giving more production than this steel plant to their rated capacity.

Sir, it is not that the Plant only iuns itself, but there should be proper Management having good labour relations, and not as the bureaucrats are running the public sector plants today.

Sir, after takeover about Rs. 10 crores were spent, but in spite of that, the production has gone down by 50 per cent. Why? I want to know from the hon. Minister and he should give a categorical reply. It is public money you spend. What sort of reorganisation and streamlining you have done after take over. The old corrupt officers are still there, I am told. Why has there been no increase in production? What substantial progress has been made there-whether it is only on paper? Who made the as sessment of the entire plant before takeover, because now you have stated in the statement and I quote,

"On actually taking down some of the items of the equipment, the condition was found to be much worse than anticipated."

Such officers who are responsible for such wrong assessment, should be suspended forthwith pending enquiry and should be dismissed.

I hereby again suggest that this plant should be immediately nationalised instead of wasting more time and money on it.

301 St. Res. and Indian BHADRA 7, 1896 (SAKA) (Taking over of 302 Iron & Steel Co. Mgmt.) Amdt. Bill

Another point that I have is this. One member of the Management Board should be nominated from amongst the labour. That means "actual worker" who may be an elected member of the only one recognised labour union of the company.

With these words, I support this Bill.

*SHRI E. R. KRISHNAN (Salem): Mr. Chairman, Sir, I rise to express my views on The Indian Iron and Steel Company (Taking over of Management) Amendment Bill, 1974.

originally the control Sir. of management of IISCO was taken over by the Government for a period of two years. It was not unknown to the Government that this two-year period would lapse on 14th July, 1974. During this period, the Government, while administering this undertaking, have come to know the deficiencies in the day-to-day working. In soite of that, the President promulgated an Ordinance only on 28th June, 1974 extending the period of Government's control by three more years. If the Government had brought forward a Bill of this nature during the last Budget Session of this House, which was not impossible for them to do. the Presidential Proclamation could have been avoided. I condemn the Government's way of issuing Presiden_ tial Ordinances at the last minute.

I regret that the Government have not, even now, come with a Bill nationalising IISCO. I also wonder from where the Government are getting novel ideas of legislating. Now the Government's two-year control period is over. Since the Government feel that they should control the management of IISCO for a further period, it is being extended by three years. After three years also, if it is felt necessary that the period of control should be extended, it will be extended by two years by a directive and again by two years, if

ĩ.

necessary. However, the total period of control would not be beyond 10 years. This is the substance of Clause 3 of this Amending Bill.

Sir, this Government are committed to the establishment of egalitarian society in the country. I wondor whether the Government are determined to achieve this laudable objective only in this manner.

While replying to the date in the Rajya Sabha, Shri K. D. Malavia enumerated the problems that beset the Government in nationalising HSCO. He has pointed out that there are a large number of shareholders and the Government, at this juncture of unprecedented financial stringency, do not have that much resource to pay to the shareholders, I would like to make a suggestion here. Recently, the Government have chacted a law on compulsory deposit. On the basis of this law, the money that should be given to the sharebolders can be put in a compulsory deposit. Taking recourse to this, the Government can nationalise IISCO immediately.

There is also no need to pay any compensation to the erstwhile management of HSCO. Shri K. D. Malavia has himself stated in the Rajya Sabha that at the time of taking over the control of management of HSCO., the unit was a junk. So there is no need to pay any compensation to a junk.

I would like to know what the Government propose to do after 19 years. I want to know this from the hon. Minister because of certain reasonable apprehension: The Government propose to invest a sum Rs. 50 crores for rejuvenating HSCO during this period. Will the Government, after 10 years, hand it over to the cratwhile management or will the Government nationalise it? In case the Government decide to nationalise

*The original speech was delivered

in Tamil.

(Taking over of 304 Mgmt.) Amdt, Bill

[Shri E. R. Krishnan]

it, then they will certainly be confronted with certain problems. After repairing and modernising the unit. after augmenting substantially the production, naturally the value of assets would have gone up. What would the Government do, if the management demanded a huge compensation on the basis of the current value of the assets of the undertaking? Similarly, the value of shares of IISCO would also have picked up Naturally the Govconsiderably. ernment would have to pay many times more than what they would now pay to the shareholders. If Government decide to hand it over to the erstwhile management, then all the benefits would accrue to the management,

Sir, I would like to know how the Government would solve the problems which are sure to arise later. When I see a Bill of this nature, I begin to feel whether such a legislation is being brought forward by the Government in the interest and general welfare of the common people of our country or in the interest of capitalists and monopoly industrialists of nur country. If the Government do not want to waste tax-payers' money, if the Government want to ensure that the core sector steel industry is in the public sector, if the Government want to augment steel production substantially and meet the growing needs of the country-steel is always in short supply--then, the Government must bring forward a Bill in this very session of Lok Sabha for nationalising IISCO.

With these words. I conclude

SHRI VASANT SATHE (Akola). I want to make only one point and it is this. Yesterday, we were discussing the Industries (Development and Regulation) (Amendment) Bill asking for extension of time. At that time also it was stated that this policy of piece-meal take-over under some Act and then asking for further extension was self-defeating, because one cannot make any investments in these undertakings during this period. If one makes any investments, that adds to the assets of the undertaking and increases its value. And tomorow when you want to nationalise, you will have to pay heavier compensation as in the case of the textile mills, for example. So, I would like to know what protection is there.

Government then say that they cannot give money to the shareholders. As the hon. Minister himself had stated, the value of the shares had come down practically to zero when they had taken it over first. Why did they not think in terms of taking it over completely? The hon. Minister says that the machinery was junk, according to him, and the written down value of the assets must have come down to zero by that time and so also the value of the shares. So, why did they not think in terms of completely taking it over, or what is called, nationalising this concern? Thev could have prepared a plan, a plan of good management, a plan of investment of capital in machinery and a plan also for having workers' participation and cooperation, a full-fledged plan in short to boost the production which is such a dire necessity in the country today. Why are Government not thinking in these terms" It appears so clear to commonsense that this ought to be done not only in IISCO but in the case of the other undertakinge also,

The hon. Minister had asked some of us to go and see Durgapur. We have gone and seen Durgapur also, and we feel that in all our steel mills today, if only we could achieve even 75 per cent of the rated capacity, we shall be saving Rs. 700 crores in terms of foreign exchange. What tremendous things it would mean! I am asking only for 75 per cent of the rated capacity to be achieved. In regard to

305 St. Res. and Indian BHADRA 7, 1896 (SAKA) iron & Steel Co. M

whatever coordination they want, the major factor is the labour problem.

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE: He is not asking for 100 per cent?

SHRI VASANT SATHE, I am prepared to be satisfied with even 75 per cent foday.

The most important factor is participation of labour. It is labour alone which can accomplish the miracle. For heaven's sake, as I have been repeatedly saying, the best thing to have this is by cuting across trade union rivalry. We can do this by asking the employees to forget their bias for this or that union; let us give an opportunity to the employees and ask them to elect their own representatives right from the shop evel to the management level. After they come into the management, we must tell them that thereafter their wages or remuneration or bonus would be linked with production. Let 45 put this responsibility on them and take the employees into conadence and they will be able to accomplish the miracle No wizard whether in the SAIL or any other place can do this. The only people who can do it are the employees or the workers. So, please for heaven's take, let the hon. Minister take them who confidence when they want to corganise the management, and nationalise it as soon as possible.

भी मोगेन्ड झा (जयतगर) : यह जो विधेयक झाया है इसने मुझे बंहुत निराझा हुई है । झाणा यह वी भौर जैना कंसलटेटिव कमेटी में भी एक मन से यह तय हुझा था भौर सरकार से मफारिण की गई थी कि इसका राष्ट्रीयवरण कर दिया जाए लेकिन बैसा न करके उसी टेक भोवर को झाप झौर झाने बढ़ा रहे हैं। इसकी वजह से जो हुटियां झभी है, पूंजी लगाने में दिक्क हैं वे बनी रहेंनी बौर बाद

896 (SAKA) (Taking over of 306 Mgmt.) Amdt, Bill

में जब राष्ट्रीकरण का सवाल ग्रायेगा गौर मुग्नावजा देने का सवाल ग्रायेगा तो उसमें भी दिक्कने होगी, ग्रगर यही व्यवस्था रह गई तब यही संरकार रह मई तब । ग्रवण सरकार बटल गई तब दूसरी बान है । इस वारते में समझता हू कि मौजूदा टेक ग्रोवर को ग्रागे बढाने का जो प्रस्ताब है वह निराणाजनक कदम है ग्रीर यह काम जो हमने उम्मीद की थी उसके मुताबिक नहीं है ।

कुछ दिन पहले तक हमारे देम मे जं। करोडपनि है उनके प्रचार ग्रीर उनके ग्रम म ये सब थे झीर धाम तौर में ये प्रक्रिक. मेक्टर के खिलाफ बोलना ग्रंपना कर्मका ममझने थे। लेकिन कपडा मिलों की सिमाल ही ग्राप लें। मौ में ऊपर जो मिल ली गई है वे सभी बेकार पड़ी थी कोई दो साल मे कोई चार माल में और कोई बाठ माल में। लेकिन पहले ही माल हमने देखा है कि मरकार इारा उन मिलो को भवने हाथ थे मेते ही गडवडी, बदइन्तजामी और अष्टाचार बादि मबके बावजुद लगभग मभी कपड़ा मिलो ने मुनाफा कमा कर झापको दिया है। चुंकि ये जिले बेकार पडी थी इस वास्ते यह एक राष्ट्रीय क्षति हो रही भी । करोड़पतियाँ को भी इम कारण में म्नाफा नहीं हो रहा था क्योकि वे बेकार पड़ी थीं। माथ ही ग्राप यह भी देखें कि पब्लिक सेक्टर बंडर-टेकिंग ने पिछले माल के मुकाबले में अपना म्ताफा दो गुना बढाया है। ऐसी भवस्था में---मै ममझना हं कि---बात्म विज्वास के साथ कदम आगे बढाले की आवज्यकता थे.

[र्श्वा भोगेन्द्र झा]

ग्रीर राष्टीयकरण करने की मावश्यकता बी। लेकिन रास्ते में आप कहते है कि कुछ बाधाये हैं। मेरा शक है इसमे एक बहन बडी बाधा टिसको की रही है टाटा एक बहुत बडी बाध। प्रापके रास्ते मे रहे है। टिमको कबाब में हड़ी के ममान है। बहा पर टाटा का व्यक्तिगन प्रवन्ध है, उमक व्यक्तिगन मिलकियत है जमगेदपुर मे । यब उसके एक झोर राउडकेला है वसरी तरफ बोबारो है तीसरी तरफ दुर्गा-पर है। ग्रब चकि इन तीनों के बीचोबीच जो स्मक्तिगत प्रवन्ध है उसके चलने जो हमे बोहो बहत खबरे मिलनी है उनके आधार पर हम कह सकते है कि पब्लिक मैक्टर के इम्पात कारसानो का लाभदायक तरीके में बल पाना बडा कठिन है। कारण यह है कि पब्लिक सैक्टर की झोर में कोई प्राइवेट मैक्टर दाले को कुरप्ट नहीं कर सबना है जब कि प्राइवेट मैक्टर बाला जो है वह भ्रष्ट लरीके ग्रपना करके पब्लिक मैटबर वासे को कूरप्ट कर मकता है । अगर मरकार को टाटा में इननी ज्यादा महत्वन है तो में चाहता ह कि जिम तरह में झापने एयर इंडिया का चेयरमन टाटा को बना दिया है उसी तरह में स्टील प्रायोरिटी साफ इंडिया का वेयरमन प्राप देखे कि कुछ दिन पहले प्रधान मंत्री न कहा था कि कलकस्ता में भाषण देते हुये कि एक बहुत बडे नरोडपति ने मुझे धमकी दी है कि उनार ग्रगर हमने मुक्दमा मही हटाया तो बह हमारे लिए बिहार में मुसीबल में डाल देगे । हम जानने ही है कि बिहार में उपद्रब हुये है । उस करोडपति का नाम नही लिया था उन्होंने लेकिन मैं ले रहा हू । बिहार में उपद्रवो के पीछे उनका हाथ था । क्या मालवीय जी तथा भारत मरकार को डम बात का पत्ना नही है ? श्री राम नाथ गोयनका ने क्या बह धमकी नही दी वि बिहार के बाद उत्तर प्रदेश में भी उपद्रव करा...

MR CHAIRMAN: The hon member might stop here and resume his speech tomorrow

The House stands adjourned to meet again at 11 A.M. tomorrow.

18 hrs

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Friday August 30, 1974/Bhadro 8, 1896 (Saka).