SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN: Sir, I move:

"That the Bill, as amended, be passed."

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The question is:

"That the Bill, as amended, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

16.11 hrs.

ESSO (ACQUISITION OF UNDERTAKINGS IN INDIA) AMENDMENT

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Earlier in the day we agreed to amend the order of schedule slightly by taking up item 21 before item 20. This also is a simple Bill which is to extend the time from 180 days to one year

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI SHAH-NAWAZ KHAN): 1 beg to move\*.

"That the Bill to amend the Esso (Acquisition of Undertekings in India) Act. 1974, as passed by Rajya Sabha, be taken into consideration"

I beg to move the motion for consideration and passing of the Esso (Acquisition of Undertakings in India)
Amendment Bill, 1974 introduced on the 2nd September, 1974.

As the Hon'ble House is already aware, by the Esso (Acquisition of Undertakings in India) Act. 1974, rights, title and interests of Esso Esstern Inc. in relation to its undertakings in India were acquired by the Central Government. Section 13 of that Act provided that every contract entered into by Esso Esstern Inc. for any service, sale or supply in India shall, unless tenminated by

the Central Government within 180 days from the 13th March, 1974 i.e on the 8th September, 1974 be binding on the Central Government or the Government Company to which the said undertakings may be trans That Section also specifies ferred. the procedure for the termination of such contracts. In view of the very complex and diverse nature of these contracts and their large numbers, it has not been nossible to complete the work of scrutiny of all the contracts undertaken or such further action ar is appropriate within the specified limit of 180 days. As such it has therefore, become necessary to extend the period by a further period of six months so that the total period avail able for the scrutiny and termination of contracts may be made one year

I would therefore, strongly commend this Bill for adoption by the Han'ble House

SHRI NOORUL HUDA (Cachai This Bill is short and seems innocent but I would submit that the Govern ment policy is involved in this Bill. You will remember that the Govern ment agreed to 26 per cent equity share in the company though it 1 well known that our country had been demanding total nationalisation of the oil industry Even when Dr. Trigur." Sen was the Petroleum Minister there was this demand The oil in dustry was in the grip of foreign monopolists and there was a demand that it should be nationalised. The now the Government had not taken direction any positive step in that As a result of this hundreds of crorer of rupees are allowed to be taken away from this country even to day. For this reason this Bill which seeks to extend the time limit of 180 days to one year will not serve any purpose because it does not strike at the root of the problem.

<sup>\*</sup>Moved with the recommendation of the President.

Bill

16.15 hrε.

[SHRI ISHAQUE SAMEHAL] line Chair ]

For the last one y ar, oil prices have been rising and the Government has not been able to take any steps to make our country self-reliant as regards production and distribution of oil. We may have many differences with the People's Republic of China which we have not hidden. But the China of 1949 when Chiang Kai- hek left and the China of 1974 are totally have been able to stand on their own feet as far as supply and distribution of oil and petroleum products are concerned. Because of the policies adopted by them. they have been even able to export a certain amount of oil. Of course I do not say we should blindly copy others. But whereas the Prime Minister and other leaders of India ha e talking about self-reliance in actual practice, what has been happening? ESSO. Burmah-shell and Caltex have been operating here for a long time. To a certain extent, we have been to curb their profit, but that is not adequate. Not only our party but even the Congress member, have been demanding on the floor of this House that within a very short time, we should become self-reliant in oil and not allow the e multi-national companies to take away hundreds of crores of rupees to USA, Great Britain etc. to fatten the monopolists there.

This Bill will serve no purpose. self-defeating measure. 1 demand that the Government's oil Policy should be changed. These foreign companies should not be allowed to reap rich harvests on soil. We should take positive teps to stand on our own legs as far as naphtha and other petroleum products are concerned. We should take the assistance of other countrie who are willing to give technical know-how without any interest. The operations of these foreign companies hould be totally stopped and there hould be

cent per cent nationalisation of the oil industry. Not other half-hearted measure would solve the country's problems in regard to oil and petroleum products.

SHRI C.K. CHANDRAPPAN (Tellicherry): Mr. Chairman, Sir. whil introducing the Bill, the hon. Minister had stated that this is an innocuous Bill only seeking to extend th period of six months to one year. But it is not that innocuous or innocent as the hon. Minister tried to depict it.

Today, when the Government seeking to extend the period from 180 days to 1 year for finally deciding about the contract entered into by Esso, the main question is, what was the Government thinking while introduced the Esso (Acquisiti n of Undertakings in India) Bill this year. When that Bill was introduced most of us f It that it was a half-hearted measure taken after a lot of vaccination to control the activities of Esso in our country. What the entir country demanded was the complet nationalisation of the foreign-owned cil companies in our country. It is not that the people wanted to shout a slogan when they demanded that. It is in the very interest of our country to stop the drain of our economy by way of loot by the foreign companie for which they are quite well known.

The Multi-National Corporation was working in the field of oil, like, Esso, Caltex and others. They hav been reaping enormous harvest profit from our country all these years. The Government, at last, after a lot indecision and vaccilation, rame with a Bill allowing Esso continue in our country with 26 per cent equity capital even today. Then, the Government said, within days, that is, six months, they would finally decide regarding the termination of the contract entered into by Esso. They had to process it. this is an admission today that the Government has failed so miserably in calculating the assets and liabilities and all that and after taking account the interest of the country. to terminate the contract entered into by Esso. It is a well known fact that E so will not enter into a contract keeping our national interest. It after all a foreign company.

Now, what we understand today. when the Government is seeking an extension of period, is that at the time when the Bill was introduced. they were not clear what to do. They thought, within six months, everything will be done. Today, when they seek another extension of six months. this i an admission that they have failed to process to complete ali t e necessary formalities and to out an end to contract which is not in the interest of the country.

Is this the way the Government should deal with a matter in which the interest of the country versus the multi-national corporations are volved. We feel rather very concerned that it is a very casual manner in which the Bill was introduced and it is the same manner in which one Government is seeking for another extension of period. Can hon. Minister say that in another six months, he will complete all the formalities? He will not be able to do that. I am sure he will come again for another extension of time. should kindly tell us what is reason for this delay, what prompted the Government not to act expeditiously so that all these contract. could have been terminated within the time they themselves fixed, not fixed by us. What happened? today. the Government should also make it clear what they mean when they say that another extension of six months is required. It means that, for another six months, we will be looted by the contractors who were brought in here for ales or service by ESSO. That means, even after Esso (Acquisition of Undertakings) Bill has been adopted, for another year this country will be mercilessly looted by the contractors who were brought into our country by the old company. ESSO. Will that not be the fact?

Another aspect is that this hamper he prospects of nationalising other foreign-owned oil companies. The Government stand committedthey have said this in the House as well as outside; they have declared from housetops that this is policy—to nationalise all foreignowned oil companies. And in today's context when international are in he dock of world public opinion for their exploitation and devejoping countries are taking the bold step of nationalising these companies, as has been done by Iraq, by extending the eriod from six months to one year, I am very sure, we will further slow do vn this process. If at there is something in their mind, nationalising foreign-owned oil companies here the process will be slowed down because you could not process their contracts and all that. They could not decide which are the contracts which are in the interest of our country and which are the ones to he terminated. So, for another six months, the fate of the country in relation to foreign-owned oil companies and the programme of nationalisation will be sealed. This is the meaning, as we understand from the attempt of the Government in seeking extension for another six months.

Now I request the hon. Minister to make these points clear: first, what is the reason for the failure of Government in completing the malities within six months: secondly. what will be the consequences giving extension for another month, whether it will not allow the contractors brought into our country by ESSO to loot our country another six months; and, lastly, whether this measure will not hamper the prospects of nationalising all foreign-cwned oil companies in our country. If these are satisfactorily answered, one will understand what is the programme of nationalising the

foreign-owned oil companies in country.

श्री डी॰ एन॰ तिवारी (गोपालगंज) : सभापति जी, जो मूल बिल इस सदन में स्राया था उम वक्त हमने इस के सिद्धान्त. इसमें क्या क्या कमी है,क्या क्या होना चाहिए, स्रायल कम्पनियों का राष्ट्रीयकरण होना चाहिए या नहीं होना चाहिए यह सारो बातें डिस्कस की थीं। स्रव उन्हीं मुद्दों में फिर जाना मैं समझता हू उचित नहीं ह गः। यह तो एक बहुत ही मामूली श्रीर नादा बिल है। केवल तीन धाराश्रों का, जिसमें टाम एक्सटेंशन की बात कही गई है।

विरोधी दलों की श्रोर से कहा जाता है कि गवर्नमेंट काम करने में श्रक्षम नहीं है, क्यों वेल्योर हुश्रा उसका कारण नहीं बताया गया है। हम लोग श्रवसन देखते हैं इस हाउस केंद्वारा सेलेक्ट कमेटो बनाई जाती हैं श्रोर उनको समय दिया जाता है कि श्रमुक तारीख तक दिल पर बिचान का के उसको सदन में पेण करें लेकिन श्रवसन इस हाउस में उन लोगों का भी श्रमुभव है कि उनको दो तीन बार एक्सटैंगन मिलता है।

इतनी बात तो उसमें आ जार्ता है कि उनने समय में ही नहीं सका है। सरक र भी इतने थोड़े समय में विचार नहीं कर सकी और फाइनेलाइज नहीं कर सकी और इसी-लिये समय बढ़ाने की बात अ रही है। 6 महीने का समय और बढ़ा दिया जाय ताकि सरकार सोचसमझ कर और अच्छी नरह जांच कर के उस काम को कर सके।

रही बात लूट की । वह कम्पनी के समय में सम्भव था। अब सट मामला सरकार के हाथ में है। अ्रीर में नहीं समझता कोई सदस्य यह समझे कि सर-कार लूट करा देगी। लूट रीकने के लिये ही ऐक्वोजीशन हम्रा है और ठाड़ीयकरण

हुन्ना है। इसिलये ल्राको सम्मावना नहीं
है। हो सकना है इस ग्रविध में सरकार
कांट्रेक्ट के सम्बन्ध में जिन पर विवार करना
था, कार्यवाही करनी थी उनकी वजह से
समय लग सकना है। इपिलये घत्ररामे
की बात नहीं है। इपिलये इन दिल की
पास करना चाहिये, और वह सब सवाल
कितना काया गया, कितना काया ग्राया
यह सब पहल हा हा चुका है। ग्रव सवाल
नहीं उठना है। हा, मबी जी बना देने ती
ग्रच्छा हाता कि किनकार जी से वह इप काम
को नहीं कर सके ? उससे मेम्बरों को कुछ
सन्तोष हो जाता। ता यह मांग सदस्यों
की जायज है, उसकी बना देना चाहिये।

श्री हकम चन्द कछ दाव (म्रेना) : सभावति जी, जा बिल ऐसी के सम्बन्ध में हमारे सामने आया है मैं इपका विरोध करता है। वैसे यह बिल लाने की सरकार कः ग्रावण्यकता नहीं थी। क्योंकि सरकार ने जो समय मांगा है समझ में नहीं स्राता कि सरकार इतना लम्बा क्या खींचना चाहती है ? क्या कम्पनी वाली की ग्रीर अधिक मंका देना चाहती है कि नाना प्रकार का घंटला करें? यदि इस प्रकार की कोई बात है तं। ग्रायने जो निर्णय पहले लिया है उसी पर कायम रहिये और अधिक समय न मांगियं। ग्राप कहते हैं कि काफी कठिता-इयां हैं। आपके पास सब प्रकार के विशेषज हैं, योग्य व्यक्ति नधाकथित हैं, तो क्या प्रारम्भ में इन बात पर विचार नहीं किया कि हमें समय बढ़ाने की जरूरत पड़ेगी है

तेल के उद्योग के अन्दर आज सारे देश में कमी महसूस की जा रही है। और इस क्षेत्र में एक किन्तिकार बहुन कं आवश्यकता है आज ऐसा लगा है कि तेल के विता जीवन सुरक्षित नहीं है। चाहे मिट्टों का तेल हो, मोटर का तेल हो या गैन हो। दिन प्रति दिन इनकी कमी ही होती जा रही है, इसकी हमें

मानना पडेगा। वैस जब खत्म हो जाती है तो हमें मंत्री जी को कहना पड़ता है और वह फोन करा कर हमारे घर में गैस भिजवाते है। जब हम लोगों का यह हाल है तो साधारण जनना को क्या हालत होगी इसका श्चननान आप कर सकते हैं। इपलिये इस क्षेत्र के अन्दर नेजों से उत्पादन होना चाहिये। अपगर आप में क्षमता है तो कोजियं नहीं तो विदेशों में महयोग लीजिये। परन्तु देश के भ्रन्दर इनको अत्यन्त भावश्यकता है भ्रोर बहर महत्व का विषय है। ग्राप देखिये किनना अधिक तेल हम पैदा कर सकते है। आपने जगह जगह खोज भी का है लेकिन उपका लाभ लोगो को नही मिन रहा है। इसकी व्यवस्था कीजिये। ग्राप बनाइपे इस समय देश के ग्रन्दर किनती क्षमता हे और विदेशों में कितना तेल मगा मकने है। इस प्रकार की ग्रीर भी कम्पनिया है जिनको भापने हाथ मे लिया । लेकिन अनुभव यही रहा है कि सरकार ने जिम चीज को अपने हाथ में लिया है उसका भटटा बैठा है। ग्रीर बाजार मे चोज गायब हो जाती है। सरकार मे वह क्षमता नही है कि उद्योग चला सके।

जो भी विदेशों कम्पनी ग्राप हाथ मे लेता चाहते है उनमें बड़ी मंख्या मे भारतीय कर्मवारी है। आप विदेशी कम्पनियो पर ग्रविकार समाप्त कीजिये और कम्पनी मे काम करने वाले भारतीयों को भागीदार बनाइये। अगर ऐसा होगा तो लोग बहत लगत में काम करेगे. ग्रधिक उत्पादन होना क्योंकि उनको ग्राकर्षण होगा कि उसके मुनाफे मे उन्हे हिस्सा मिलेगा । भ्रगर ऐना नहीं करेंगे, कर्मवारियों को हिस्सा नहीं देने तो जिस प्रकार भौर उद्योग बाटे में चल रहे हैं वही हाल यहां भी होगा।

मंत्री महोदय ने कुछ कारण बताये कि कुछ मृत्यियां है जिनकी वजह से समय की

धावश्यकता है। धाप साफ बताइये कि बात क्या है. प्रन्यया लोगों का संदेह इस बिल के लाने से बढ़ रहा है। क्योंकि जो पहले बिल ग्राप लाये थे वह पर्याप्त था। क्या हम यह माने कि उन समय जो बिल माप लाये थे वह जल्दबाजी में लाये थे? या कहिये कि अध्ययन नहीं किया था। हालाकि हम ऐसा मानने के लिये तैयार नही है। डमलिये इम बिल को बापस ले ले और जो समय भाषको मिला है उसमे तेजी में काम करें। इस मदन ने मद प्रकार क अधिकार आपको दिये हये हैं, उनका सही उपयोग की जिये । मै इस पक्ष म नही हा के अधिक समय और दिया आय। ब्रधिक समय माग कर देश में गलतकहमी फैल सकतो है कि सरकार के कुछ लोगो को कम्पनो में माठगाठ है इमीलिये कम्बना समय माग रहो है भीर भ्राप देना चाहने हैं। ऐसा प्रवसर लोगों को न दे।

\*SHRI E. R. KRISHNAN (Salem): Mr. Chairman, Sir, I rise to express my views on The Esso (Acquisition of Undertakings in India) Amendment Bill, 1974.

Sur, through this amending legislation, the Government are taking powers to extend the period by another six months for terminating the contracts entered into by the former ESSO oil company. Before the ESSO oil company was taken over by the Government, it was known to them that so many contracts were there. Did the Government assess properly at that time the time that would be taken to terminate these contracts? I would also like to know whether the Government took the advice of the experienced officials of the Oil Corporation regarding the t.me that would be required to terminate these contracts. If the Government have done that, I would like to know

<sup>\*</sup>The original speech was delivered in Tamil.

what was the advice of the IOC officials in this matter.

Secondly, I would like to know the total number of contracts that were there at the time of take-over of ESSO oil company. I would also request the hon. Deputy Minister to inform the House the number of contracts that have been terminated during these six months, and also the remaining number of contracts which they want to terminate in the coming six months. I want an assurance from the Government that they would finish the termination of all the remaining contracts within the coming siz months, for the express purpose of which this amending Bill is before the House and that they would not. at a later date, again come forward with another Bill for extending this period. I want to know whether IOC was consulted at least now

Thirdly, I would like to know from the hon. Minister whether the representatives of ESSO oil company on the Board of Management of Hindustan Petroleum Company by virtue of 26 per cent Equity Share participation in the new company are putting hottlenecks in the way of expeditious disposal of these contracts. If that is a fact, I would like to know how the Government are going to overcome these tactics.

Fourthly, since there is 26 per cent Equity Share participation—I am personally of the view that this is indesirable and the Government should have nationalised ESSO in full—on the part of former ESSO oil company, I would like to know from the hon. Minister the nature of representation that has been given to the ESSO oil company on the Board of Management of Hindustan Petroleum Company.

Finally, I would like to say that the Government do not pay sufficient care and attention to the preparation of legislative proposals, as a consequence of which they have been compelled to bring forward this amending Bill so soon after passing the parent Bill. With these words, I oppose this amending Bill for the reasons I have stated.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI SHAHNAWAZ KHAN): Mr. Chairman, Sir. I very grateful to the hon. Members who have taken part in this discussion. As my hon, friend, Shri Tiwary has said that this is a very simple Bill and all that it seeks to achieve is an extension of period from six months to one year for terminating or amending or making any alterations in the contracts. When we took over ESSO they had several contracts with various other parties and Section 13 provided for the termination of contracts and sub-clause (2) of Section 13 reads:

"The Central Government may if it is satisfied that any contract referred to in sub-section (1) is unduly onerous or has been entered into bad faith or is detrimental to the interests of that Government or the Government company by order in writing either terminate such contract or make such alterations or modifications therein as it may think fit."

The contracts which were considered to have been entered in bad faith or were unduly onerous in favour of some parties or were detrimental to the interests of the country or the Government or the company could be terminated or amended within a period of six months. The period of six months comes to an end on 8th September. If we do not extend this period then those onerous contracts become binding on us. Therefore, it is absolutely necessary to extend this period.

It is quite true in the first instance we had asked for a period of six months and we felt we would be able to complete the work. My honfriend Shri Krishnan wanted to know the number of contracts that had to

be scrutinised. These contracts are of two kinds. One, the contracts which were entered into on a longterm basis with big companies and houses and the other of a general routine nature like the retail outlets. etc. In all there were a liftle over 100 contracts of a long-term nature which were fairly complicated in their nature. Then there were roughly about 3,500 other contracts which had to be scruttinised but these were not of such a complicated nature. Committee that was set-up by consisting of officials of the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals, and Fmance have completed about 80 per cent of the work Very little work remains to be done and I am confident much before six months we will be able to finish all this

Shri Chandrappan and many other friends wanted to know why we could not complete this work within a period of six months. Sir. to terminate the contract, a written rotice has to be given, and then, an opportunity has to be given to the parties to be heard. All this takes time. Also, when we took over the ESSO undertaking

भी हुकम चन्द्र कछ्वाय (मुर्रेना) : पिछले छ महीने क्या किया ?

भी झाहनवा**ण लो** : 80 फीमदी काम पुरा हें चका है।

**की हुकम चन्द कछ्वाय** : 20 फीसदी के लिए और छ महीने मागने हैं <sup>7</sup>

भी झाहनवाच का : यह जो काम है, यह बडा पेचीदा है और डममे वकीलो का मसला की धाना है।

बी हुकम बन्द कछवाय : भ्राने वाले छ महीनो मे पूरा हो जाएगा इमकी क्या बारन्टी है ?

श्री श्राहनवात कां : ग्रहतियानन छ महीने मांग रहे हैं । मैं उम्मीद करता हू कि छ: महीने में काम पुरा हो जायेगा । नी हुकन चन्द कवाया : नहीं हुआ, तो फिर छ: महीने मांग लेंगे।

भी साहनवाच सां: जिस वक्न एम्स्रं को टेक स्रोवर किया था, उस वक्न

There were so many pressing administrative and organisational lems and it took considerable time to collect all these contracts from various parties Their organisation is spread all over India. We had to collect all these contracts, obtain those contracts in the first instance before they could be scrutinised. All this took time Then, as I said, some of these cases were of a very complicated nature and we had to consult legal opinion Also, we have been trying to negotiate, trying to come to an understanding by negotiations, wherever the contracts are of an onerous nature and where we can settle this by negotiations All this has taken time. But. I can assure this House that we will ary and much this work is early possible

The other questions which raised by some hon. Members were questions, which were fully discussed at the time of takeover and I think, no useful purpose would served by going into the details. All I can assure the House is that, we are keen to gain complete control of the oil industry as early as possible because oil industry is too important an industry to be left in the hands of There are various foreign firms alternatives before us and these are. either we can aprogate the icfinery agreements or we can acquire majority equity participation or we can take over complete control by nationglisation or we can have control by negotiations etc. Sir, it has been considered proper and appropriate that we should try and gain full control by negotiations. I can asure this hon House thtat by granting this six months extension, the interest of our country would not be affected in any way nor would it come in the way of uny action that may be considered appropriate by the Government to acquire mapority control over companies which had not been taken over. I would also like to inform the House that we are already in negotiation with the other company Burmah Shell, and action, as considered appropriate, according to the facts and information that becomes available to us, would be taken without much delay.

With these submissions, I commend this Bill to the House.

श्री हकम चन्द कछवाय : मैं माननीय मली जी में जानना चाहना ह कि वे इस बात का विश्वाम दिलाये कि ग्राप इसके बाद समय नहीं मार्गे ।

शाहनवाज सां : प्रगर कोई काननी पंचीदगी नहीं हुई, तो श्रोर समय नहीं मागंगे ।

MR CHAIRMAN: The question is.

"That the Bill to amend the Esso (Acquisition of Undertakings India) Act, 1974, as passed by Rajya Sabha, be taken into consideration.

## The motion was adopted.

MR CHAIRMAN: There are no amendments. The question is:

"That clause 2, clause the Enacting Formula and ιhe Title stand part of the Bill".

## The motion was adopted

Clause 2, clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill

SHRI SHAHNAWAZ KHAN: I move:

"That the Bill be passed".

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is:

Gurdwaras

(Amdt.) Bill

"That the Bill be passed"

The motion was adopted.

16.50 hrs.

DELHI SIKH GURDWARAS (AMENDMENT) BILL

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME **AFFAIRS** (SHRI F. H. MOHSIN): On bonelf of Shri Umashankar Dikshit, I beg to move:

"That the Bill to amend the Delhi Sikh Gurdwaras Act, 1974, be taken into consideration".

This short Bill seeks to amend the Delhi Sikh Gurdwaras Act 1971, for getting over some difficulties which may be experienced during the working of that Act This Bill has been drafted in consultation with the Delhi Sikh Gurdwara Board constituted under the Delhi Sikh Gurdwaras malagement Act, 1511, for the proper management and control of Gurdwaras and their property in the capital. I shall now proceed to explain the provisions of the Bill.

Section 40(2)(a) of The Act empowers the Delhi Sikh Guidwaras Management Committee to make regulations providing for the manner and the criterion for deciding whether a candidate for election or cooption to the Committee is able to lead and write Gurmukhi. Since the initial constitution of the Committee is possible only after the general election, it is proposed to confer power to make rules in that behalf cn the Central Government. Cl. 2(b) and cl. 6 of the Bill are intended to achieve that objective. Opportunity is also being taken to amend clause (m) of section 10 (1) of the Act, as proposed in clause 2(a) of the Bill, sc as to provide that a person should