B.A.K. Railway track diversion at R.D. 117;

- (b) whether the extent of submersion in the back catchment area above the Farakka Barrage in Bihar has been ascertained by a proper survey and the concurrence of Bihar State for this submersion btained and
- (c) when is the Feeder canal likely to be completed and flow of 40 cusecs of water from the Ganga into the Hooghly-Bhagrathi channel made possible?

THE MINISTFR OF IRRIGATION AND POWFR (SHRI K. C. PANT): (%) The present position regarding different items of work mentioned is as under:

Work Present Stage of Execution

(i) Bridge at R.D. A road bridge at R.D. 28 seem Relial J.P.D. 28 5 to serve

28 near Ballalpur. Ballalpur Shankar-(ii) Bridge at R D pur region has

i) Bridge at RD pur region has 34 near Shan-been recently approved. The foundation stone for this bridge was laid on 28-2-1974

(iii) Bridge at R.D. S 62 Dhuhan-Pakur road

Substructure, 7 exload cept for testing On one abutment well and of pier. raising completed. Casting of dock slab in progress

(iv) Digging of canal from R.D. advanced stage of 87 to R.D. 98. progress.

(v) Diversion for N.H. 34 at R.D 107

(vi) Diversion for will B.A.K. Rail | over way track at | road R.D. 117 | R.D. traffi

for] Both the Railway line and the Natio-34 nal Highway diverted for >will be Rail- over the rail-cumbridge R.D.112 The rail traffic has already been diverted over new bridge. the road portion The nearing com is pletion.

- (b) According to the back water survey conducted by the Project, an area of 5,029 ha. will come under submersion. Of this, 390 ha will be in West Bengal, 2,620 ha in Bihar and 2,019 ha in either Bihar or West Bengal depending upon the finalisation of the mid-stream survey being conducted by the two States. Both the Stree Governments are agreeable to the submersion and have initiated land acquisition proceedings.
- (c) Work on the Feeder Canal is expected to be completed by the monsoon of 1974.

Proposal to Link Kezhoor (Kerala) and Madurai (Tamil Nadu) with Microwave net work of Indian Railways

2804. SHRI A. K. GOPALAN: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be vicased to state:

- (a) whether Government propose to have a new station building at Kerhoor in Kerala:
- (b) whether there is any proposal for Kezhoor in Kerala State and Madurai in Tamil Nadu to be linked with microwave net work of the Indian Railways: and
- (c) if so, when that is likely to be completed?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI MOHD. SHAFI QURESHI): (a) No. There is no Railway Station named Kezhour in Kerala State.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

12 hrs.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTLE OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE U.S. NUCLEAR-POWER AIRCRAFT CARRIER 'KITTY HAWK' SAILING INTO THE INDIAN OCEAN.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour): Sir, I call the attention of the hon. Minister of External Affairs to the

tollowing matter of urgent public impor- which is confirmed by the arrival of the tance, and I request that he may make a aircraft carrier "Kitty Hawk". statement thereon: "The reported order given by the U.S. Government to its no clear-powered aircraft carrier HAWK' to sail into the Indian Ocean."

THE MINISTER OF EXTERNAL AF-FAIRS (SHRI SWARAN SINGH): The House will recall the statement that I made last November when a US Task Force with the aircraft carrier "Hancock" entered the Indian Ocean. US sources had then offered various explanations. I had expressed Govmisgivings at this development ernment's based on the eventuality that it would lead to a more permanent military presence and thus start a chain reaction leading to big power rivalry and military competition in the area. It is with concern therefore that we have received the information which has been confirmed by our Embassy in Washington about the arrival of the aircraft carrier "Kitty Hawk". Whether it is a replacement or an addition, it supports the belief that U.S. Naval forces are intending to stay in the Indian Ocean for a considerable period of tune. We cannot also escape the conclusion that the expansion of base facilities in Diego Garcia by the US is connected with such a more long term presence of US Naval forces in this area.

Our policy regarding the Indian Ocean has been repeatedly expressed. Any large scale presence of the navy of one big Power is bound to attract the navies of other big Powers. Such rivairy would create problems for littoral countries the overwhelming majority of whom desire to maintain the Indian Ocean as an area of peace. Government of India have strongly supported the UN General Assembly Resolution of December 16, 1971 declaring the Indian Ocean a zone of peace for all time and calling upon the Great Powers to halt the further escalation and expansion of their military presence in the Indian Ocean. Government have also associated themselves actively with efforts in the United Nations and elsewhere for the realisation of this objective. We are therefore deeply concerned at the continuing presence of the US naval task force in the Indian Ocean

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU : Sir. this 'KITTY Government to my mind, is running with a hare and hunting with the hound.

> SHRI VASANT SATHE (Akola): Who is the hare and who is the hound? JYOTIRMOY BOSU: explain to you.

Sii, this Diego Garcia is not one base. But it is an apex and it is a completion of US naval strategic triangle in the Indian Ocean. The two corners are, one in South Atrica and the other one is in Australia. With the acquiring of Diego Garcia from our commanding masters, the United Kingdom, I uaraenzo/Marques and Nocala from l'ortugese, a long chain of naval bases from S-monstown in South Africa to the North West Cape in Australia, which were built by NATO

MR SPFAKFR: You seldom read the speech.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU : I wanted to mention the names correctly...along with the large US Military communication bases at Nagnew, Maldives and the use of UK base of Vacaos, they have commissioned the most powerful, very low and extra low frequency stations for helping the nuclear missile submarines in regard to communica-

Sir, this 'KITTY HAWK' has 75,000 tonnage carrying 80 aircraft and 5,000 crew with three destroyers and an oiler. The U.S. has built a network of bases in the Indian Ocean and in the adjoining areas. There are six strategic bases around Thailand, at Utapao, Udoru, Korat, Nakhon, Phamon and Dholo The upgrading of Diego Garcia facilities means increased fuel storage capacity, deepening of lagoons for bigger vessels, lengthening of the runway by about 8,000 ft, more parking area in the air field, and improved communication facilities at a cost of \$32.3 million. That will give them [Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu]

the ability to stage forces in the areas as much as they want. It is a lame excuse that they are doing it because of the presence of the USSR.

There is an admission on record by no less a person than the Director of Politico-Military Affairs:

> "USA will go ahead even if no USSR forces in Indian Ocean 'without any qualification'".

In 1973 the ship days of the US ships in the Indian Ocean was 2,109. Moreover. they have a large fleet in the Mediterra-They have become the selfnean. appointed world policemen. They United States says, to be polite, "we are strengthening our diplomatic efforts to further our national interest". It is nothing but capitalism exploiting and blood-sucking the backward and developing countries. The US oil industry has invested in the Gulf countries \$3,500 million. Probably, the opening of the Suez will add to their designs for control over that area.

In Bangladesh, in Chittagong and Cox Bazar there are 10 US-inspired fishing trawlers doing intelligence work with antenna and aerial equipped with electronic gadgets and mon toring messages from other ships.

In India the then Home Minister Shri Chavan admitted that there are four centres of CIA in Patna. Bangalore and two other centres. The Chief Minister of Assam has said that Assam is the hunting ground for CIA.

The Tories of UK, while fully agreeing with you, have been condemning you from behind and adopting this double standard. yet you want to be with them because you are their stooges in the Commonwealth.

We have in this country the danger of Union Carbide. I have first hand information, because I had been there myself. In Vizag they have fishing vessels. Under the garb of fishing vessels they are opera- Shri C. Subramaniam and Shri Dias, now ting the most well-equipped trawlers with Governor of West Bengal.

all modern gadgets. They are spying on us. Yet, their carry on business had increased by 100 per cent. We are permitting this even though we caught them under-invoicing their exports.

I would like this Government to take a lesson from what the North Koreans have done with Publo. They made the Americans come down on bended knees when it was a matter affecting the integrity of their country. The authorities here get cold feet because the Americans are their god-fathers. Can you do really anything like what the North Koreans have done? If you ask me, my answer is a definite "No" because, as I said at the beginning. they are running with the hare and hunting with the hounds. They have sold their honour and they have sold their country.

The Prime Minister said that Mr. Johnson is a man of peace, when he is the butcher of Vietnam. Westmoreland, who was responsible for the happenings in Vietnam, was treated by us as a State guest.

MR. SPEAKER: He should conclude Now.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Am I too blistering?

MR. SPEAKER: We have a fixed time for this.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: There are only three members present today and we have 45 minutes. I have taken only 8 minutes. If you want, I will sit down.

Johnson in his Memoirs says:

"Certain responsible persons in the Government of India had been working to further the cause of US-the then Food and Agriculture Minister and the then Food Secretary".

The Times of India/Washington Post say:

"High level CIA agent (direct US contact) in Union Government".

There was no reply to a question of mine on this point. They are allowing it deliberately. They have two policies, one for domestic consumption and another for consumption in the international world.

They have allowed US Photographic flights over China from Indian bases. There is the project "Peace Indigo" by Bharat dynamics in collaboration with International Dynamics of USA, multimillion dollar Company, the C.I.A. and they supplied poisonous gas in Viet Nam and covered nothern frontier with Farlier, there was a nuclear network. umbrella arrangement, a joint Exercise of USA, U.K. and India. They have also had joint electronic surveillance of China border.

The Ford Foundation Chief, Mr. Bundy, was driven out from Burma by glorious students—I congratulate them. He said, "PL 480 funds to feed the Indian masses; we have the Peace Corps." Our intellectuals in educational institutions have fought to keep U.S. money out of India. Now, again, it is coming through back-door....

MR. SPEAKER: He is going entirely out of the scope of the Call Attention.

SHRI JYOTTRMOY BOSU: I am finishing.

They are hand in glove with the Americans. As I told you, they have one set of policies for consumption within the country and another set of policy for consumption outside. The inflow of American capital, remittances and collaboration is increasing.

All that I want to say is: Hands off everybody from the Indian Ocean.

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE (Gwalior): Including China.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Include China in the Asian Security Pact, if you are going to have that.

Take over all American business in the country, like President Nassar has done in Suez Canal, Mr. Mossadique has done in oil companies and Mr. Allende has done in Chile.

Thank you very much for giving me time and bearing with me with patience.

SHRI SWARAN SINGH: Sir, it appears that the hon. Member opposite had some pages of his prepared speech for the President's Address debate and, probably, he could not deliver it at that time and he has taken now the opportunity of reeling off some pages of his unfinished speech.

It is very interesting that he has not asked me to elaborate on anything. So, the best way to answer him is that he has made very sweeping allegations against my colleagues and against Government officials for which there is absolutely no justification. They are unwarranted. For instance, to bring in the name of Mr. C. Subramaniam or Mr Dia ... (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: Mi. Jyotirmoy Bosu, nobody interrupted you when you were speaking.

SHRI SWARAN SINGH: I would like to say that the voice of Indian Parliament against the acceleration of naval presence of United States would be more effective if it had not been diluted by the type of statements. I am sorry to say, which Mr Jyoturmoy Bosu made. Let us all concentrate on mounting a united pressure on the United States and also on Britain to give up Diego Garcia base and not to step up their naval presence. But Mr. Jyotirmoy Bosu is not doing any service when he says that we are not opposed to it. I Hands off am sure his lone opposition will not be heard by anybody It is the opposition of the Parliament, of the Government and of VAJPAYEE the country as a whole which will help in mounting requisite pressure. No amount [Shri Swaran Singh]

of his criticism of internal policy is going to help... (Interruptions).

MR. SPEAKER: If you go on like that, I will ask the Minister not to reply.

SHRI SWARAN SINGH: I would like to say that the better approach, if I may suggest, for Mr. Jyotirmoy Bosu's party would be to join us in raising our strong voice against the establishment of base in Diego Garcia, against the accelerated military presence of the United States and of other naval powers. He is certainly not helping the cause by saying or hinting even obliquely that the Government of India's attitude in this respect is not firm, is not clear. If I may say in all humility, he is playing into the hands of those elements in the United States who are trying to show that the opposition in India is not strong. is not real. It is not the correct position. There are signs of opposition even in the United States; the members of the United States Senate are also voicing their Protest against the expenditure of over 30 million U.S. dollars on the Diego Garcia base; it is suggested by those critics of this policy in the United States that this increased tension might come in the way of establishment of detente and may also open up the United States Government to a justifiable criticism that the United States are adopting the role of world police-men. So, I would like to suggest to my friend opposite that, in this respect, he should join us in voicing our opposition to the establishment of the base and also our strong opposition to the induction of big naval units of the U.S. Navy, because this is an action which is opposed not only by India but by all the littoral countries surrounding the Indian Ocean, by all the African countries and by all the Asian countries-may be, there are Even countries one or two exceptions. and New Zealand are in like Australia favour of keeping the Indian Ocean as an area of peace.

SHRI C. K. CHANDRAPPAN (Tellicherry): Mr. Speaker, Sir, by sending this other thing which is happening in the name aircraft carrier, 'Kitty Hawk', to the Indian of the Government of India.

Ocean at a time when the entire peaceloving countries, all the littoral countries and all those who want peace in the world. are opposed to this kind of U.S. efforts of escalation of war or tension in area, is yet another example of the total disregard of the U.S. imperialist administration to world public opinion. Mr. Jyotirmoy Bosu has explained what this Kitty Hawk is, how dangerous it is and all that.

I would like to draw attention to another fact that this is not the only one ship which is being sent here. I was just going through a report where it is said that there are already two task-forces with two aircraft carriers-with 140 to 160 aircraft over them-and five destrovers and some oil carriers already in the Indian Ocean; there are two destroyers and an amphibian ship in bahrein, in the Persian Gulf; there is another set of two destroyers and a command ship in the island of Diego Garcia. And to this big naval build-up is being added this Kitty Hawk, another aircraft carrier with highly sophisticated electronic devices, missile launches, 80 to 90 arrelaft and nearly 5,000 armed men.

This should be viewed in the context of global strategy which is now being worked out by the United States in collusion with Peking and South Africa. It is one of the certy political games that we are witnessing in this period. The gentleman whom Shir Jyotirmay Bosu just now quoted, M.. Seymour Weiss, Director of the US State Department's Bureau of Politico-Military Affairs, in his testimony, has stated that Pakistan, Iran. Singapore and even the People's Republic of China had publicly 'applauded' the US decision to build a base in Diego Garcia. This is the position.

When this happens, when such a serious thing happens, we agree that the Government of India have protested against it and they have taken a position which can be appreciated. But I do not understand an-

how helpful they are in various spheres Whereas the United ambassador, a hawk, in India, Mr Moynihan, who is going round the country selling their aggressive policies everywhere the gloss of the United States I do not understand why this is happening. I would like to know from the hon Minister whether the Government will do something about these Ambassadors who are going to the United States who sometimes become more loyal than the king

Then, there is another propaganda which is going on This propaganda is being conducted by the Western Press, the United States and the imperialist forces and they are planting this propaganda as far as possible in the Indian press also would like the Minister to deny this propaganda here on the floor of this House They say that USA is sending all these naval aucraft carriers and other things and building up a naval strength in the Indian Ocean because they think that the Soviet Union is doing that In the Indian Press m the recent period there were news about One news said that India had offered port facilities to the Soviet Union m Visakhapatnam and other places I know the Government had denied it Then there was another news that when Soviet Union had mounted its build-up of naval forces in the Indian Ocean, the Government of India kept quiet and the same Government of India is very angry over the United These are propaganda by the western press This is also denied by the Government.

the Government of India. like to know whether this propaganda has to be with the Commonweilth

We have an Ambassador in the United Americans are coming to the Indian Ocean States, Mr Kaul who is eulogizing all the with the innocent purpose of balancing the time how friendly is the United States and military forces in this region because they fear that the Soviet Union is present here States has got an in a big way Even the NATO powers say that Soviet presence in the Indian Ocean is not a very big thing. They say that and propagating the dirty politics of the US after the 1971 Bangla Desh war the Soviets imperialist aggressors in this country and have not increased their naval forces in the Indian Ocean and they also say that they But our Ambassador is singing and chanting do not have any big naval battle ships in this region

Now, I would like the Minister to tell the House what exactly the Government will do apart from protesting over it. It is of course part of the methods that we protest, the entire country, the peace-loving forces, unitedly protest against this aggressive act of the United States But apart from that, what I want to know is, whe thei the Government would take a position to denounce this thing, whether you would make it clear that you don't expect US to do justice to the peace loving people, which they have not done in any part of the world in their history. We should understand that this is really the character of imperialism. It is inherent and so long as imperialism is there they will try to commit aggression they tried to impose war on the peace loving people we have seen it in Virtuim, we have seen it it the time of the Bangladesh liberation and we have seen this everywhere Many interesting things are happening around our country All these years we thought that we have the sea front of our country quite safe Now we are getting alarmed at the news which comes relating to the Bay of Bengal where trawlers are sent in the name of fishing set up with electronic gadgets and devices to spy over the Soviet ships who are clearing the harbour of mines and certim destructions caused by Pakistan during the days of the Bingladesh libera-Lastly, they said that there is an attempt tion war. The net result is, the Indian by Soviet Union to use the Indian Ocean Ocean as I have told you has been made for nuclear tests which was also denied by a spring-board of war, today by the impe-But I would rialist powers What is our relation going not got a motive to suggest that the United States in 1966 when Labour party

[Shri C. K. Chandrappan]

approached Britain was in power, first about the Diego Garcia base. Now that the Labour Prime Minister Mr. Wilson has agam come to power the United States spokesman has said that they have no expectation that Labour will make any change in their attitude. I want to know whether Government has taken up this question of Diego Garcia with the new Government of Britain, requesting them to change or to reverse this policy of imposing war and tension on this region. they say they will not, then the Government should be bold enough to tell this To Hell with House that we will say: your Commonwealth What is the meaning of remaining in such a Commonwealth?

Lastly my three points are the following. What is the understanding of the Government in relation to the collusion of US and China regarding military naval buildup in the Indian Ocean? What is attitude of the Government towards the What is the Govern-Commonwealth? ment going to do? Will they take it up with Wilson Government immediately? Lastly, will the Government come out now denouncing the United States for this kind of aggressive action, because this should be considered as a matter of aggression?

SHRI SWARAN SINGH: The broad approach of the hon. Member, if some of his strong expressions could be eliminated, -tallies broadly with the Government of He has voiced quite India's approach. understandably strong reactions against the establishment of the Diego Garcia base and also of the continued USA's naval presence in the Indian ocean. We broadly agree with that. He was, I think, unneces- time, everything will be sarily hard on our Ambassador; ambassa- Diego Garcia. dors in any country are expected to strengthen relations between the country to which they are accredited and the country which they represent. That is the normal function of all ambassadors in whatever part of the world they may be functioning. ject India's attitude on several matters, which might bring about some greater We will also continue to mobilise opinion

understanding between India and the USA, we should not decry that.

We should not decry at all an Ambassa. instructions from his dot acting under Mr. Moynihan's name was Government. mentioned again. I did make a statement with regard to the statements which were attributed in press to Mr. Moynihan, I think, in Madras

I would like to say this much that the statements attributed to him may be the presentation of the U.S. Government's But, we totally reject the viewpoint validity of the arguments contained in those statements. Our opposition to the establishment of the Diego Garcia base is strong and we continue to adhere to that policy

I now come to the four specific points about which the hon Member had asked me to give Government of India's reaction. Apart from our continuing to express our opposition to the establishment of the base and the induction of the U.S. Naval Forces into the Indian Ocean, we also intend to activate the Ad Hoc Committee and the working Group in the U.N. which is already functioning. In order to ensure that the Indian Ocean remains an area of peace, as per the General Assembly Resolution, the working Group becomes more active and mobilises international opinion and opposes the establishment of this base and the continued presence of the US Naval Force in the Indian Ocean, also propose...

By that SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: completed in

SHRI SWARAN SINGH: The work on the base is already more or less complete. They are now only enlarging it. solitary black spot. Garcia is not the There are others also and our opposition And if Mr. Kaul, in accordance with the is directed against all bases, old or new Government of India's policy tried to pro- because we are totally opposed to the establishment of bases in the Indian Ocean

amongst littoral countries and also in the ther the statement made by the U.S. spokesgroup of non-aligned countries I think that the continued agitation of this matter in the international forum is not likely to be ignored. Already there are voices in the U.S. which are opposed to the establishment of this base by the U.S.

The second point about which the hon. Member mentioned is with regard to our association with the commonwealth. I would like to say that the overwhelming majority m the Commonwealth family of nations is also opposed to the establishment of the Diego Garcia base. In fact, the Commonwealth is a forum at which the African leaders, the Asian leaders and the Caribbeans can get together and mount the requisite pressure which they can exercise in any international forum against the British Government whether it relates to the British attitude with regard to the supplies of military equipments to South Africa or to the establishment of a base like Diego Garcia. We should not lightly ignore the importance of this forum. There, the African, the Asian and the Caribbeans leaders can raise their joint voice and oppose such activities by the U.K. It was in the Commonwealth forum that Australia and New Zealand also altered the policies of the earlier Governments and lent their support to the general attitude in the Commonwealth Conference of condemning the South African policies on Apartheid and also the general desire of the Asian, African and Carribean Members of the Commonwealth to ensure that the Indian ocean remains an area of peace and tranquillity.

the establishment of Diego Garcia. mentioned that China was specifically con- any danger. China had said that they are in favour of the impression that the Chinese first to react to this. China to clarify their position as to whe- it is not a fact that U.S and U.K. entered

man in this respect is correct or not.

SHRI INDRAJIT GUPTA: If the Chinese keep quiet then what should we conclude?

SHRI SWARAN SINGH: Silence sometimes means acceptance of the position.

Lastly, we have already expressed our very strong opposition to this policy of the US government and I do not see whether using a strong adjective will necessarily

SHRI SAMAR MUKHLRIEE: I want to know whether it is a fact that China supported the US Resolution.

SHRI SWARAN SINGH. already said on the Floor of the House that China had lent its support in favour of the Indian Ocean being kept an area ot peace and tranquility. Therefore, the I S allegation that Diego Garcia has been established after consulting China is a matter which I cannot comment upon. China has to comment or if anyone else has the information he has to comment.

BIRENDER SINGH SHRI (Mahendragarh) Sir, we are all deeply concerned and share the deep anxiety of the Covernment to keep the Indian ocean as an ocean of peace. He has rightly said it that if there is presence of one big Power in the Indian ocean it is bound to attract the Navies of other big Powers. I take the Minister on his word that he has been making all the efforts to keep the Indian Ocean as a zone of peace but the Then the third question asked is about fact remains that in spite of the Governour assessment of U.S.-China collusion in ment effort the main threat today to the He security of the country comes from the has said that in a statement which has Indian ocean side which has during the been made by the U.S. spokesman it is ages in the past been absolutely free from The question arises as to sulted. I would suggest that we should what extent the efforts of the Government wait for Chinese reaction to this because have been successful and to what extent Government of keeping the Indian Ocean as an area of India is partial and biased towards certain peace and tranquility. So, it is for the powers is correct Diego Garcia has been It is for made much of I want to know whether [Shri Birender Singh Rao]

into a pact about using Diego Garcia as a base sometime in the sixties.

The first time it was in 1966. India has been a very important member of the Commonwealth. It is stated that most of the other littoral countries in the Commonwealth are also deeply concerned about the increasing naval piesence of the US in the Indian Ocean.

I would like to know what specific effort as a member of the Commonwealth was made by the Government of India to persuade the UK not to enter into a fresh pact with the US, not to extend facilities for its navy and air force on that island, and also to what extent other countries, supported India's stand. Was the matter ever discussed in any conference of the Common wealth countries during the past so many years? The British Government through its Foreign Secretary charged India keeping silence and with connivance on the presence of one big power in the Indian Ocean I would like to know whether the Government of India has taken the pains to convince UK and also other non-aligned countries about the baselessness and falsity of this charge. I have not seen any statement from the Government of India giving us particulars in detail about the hollowness of this charge. would also like to know what is the Covernment of India's reaction to the USSR's attitude to the increasing naval presence in the Indian Ocean of one big power or the other big power. Has it come to the notice of the External Affairs Minister that Pravda, which is supposed to be the official organ of the USSR Government, wrote that the Indian Ocean is free for any nation to use

If the USSR holds this view, what has been the Government of India's effort in trying to convince her that this sort of attitude is likely to endanger the security of this continent and of the other countries around it. The Indian Ccean is a very large area extending from Australia to Iran.

12.42 hrs.

[MR. DLEUTY SPRAKER in the Chair.]

I would like to know whether it is not a fact that almost all the littoral countries in the Indian Ocean have given facilities for port or for mooring or for bunkering to one big power or the other. Is it not a fact that even in places where the US or UK has her bases, the USSR also has esablished her bases nearly? I would give the name of Seychelles and Mauritius where there are UK bases, but the USSR also has deep water mooringbuoys there Similarly, near Diego Garcia and Madagascar, the USSR has also mooring facilities in the ocean. When one big power has a base in one place, the other big power also establishes its presence USSR has a base war Madagascar; it has a base in Bihara in Somalia where SAM missiles are also reported to have been in stalled It has also bases Hodeida, Aden, Mukala and several other places in the Red Sea and Persian Gulf areas Even Iraq has provided facilities to Similarly Iran has provided the USSR. facilities to the US Certain other countries have given facilities o the UK

When all these countries have provided facilities to all the big powers one big power or the other big power where is the question of the littoral countries being together in the fight for maintaining the Indian Ocean as a zone of peace? I would like to know whether Chittagong still has the base of the USSR Navy

If it is true, may I know whether the Government of India, being in alliance with the Bangladesh Government, has ever tried to persuade the Bangladesh Government or the USSR Government to remove its neval presence from Bangladesh so that, as said by the Minister himself, other big powers are also not attracted?

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I think we are going beyond the scope.

SHRI BIRENDER SINGH RAO: All these are very important points, Sir.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I know. I far out of the scope. We are now discussing the Indian Ocean as a zone of peace rather than the despatch of the nuclearpowered aircraft carrier 'Kitty Hawk' of the United States to the Indian Ocean.

SHRI BIRENDER SINGH RAO: Diego Garcia is mentioned by the Minister; other countries have been mentioned. He has stated that the presence of one big power is also bound to attract other big powers.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: If it is a nuclear fleet of Russia coming in because the nuclear fleet of América is there, I can understand, but we are now discussing the entire question of the Indian Ocean.

SHRI BIRENDER SINGH RAO: All these are connected.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Please conchide.

SHRI BIRENDER SINGH RAO: There are reports that there are a large number of trawlers belonging to the United States under the garb of oceanography, with all' facilities of communication for collecting information. Similarly, it has been reported that the USSR also has maintained a fleet of 18 to 20 ships in the Indian Ocean for the last nine years. What did the Government of India do to see that this activity by one big power did not attract from other big powers also?

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: All right You have put the question.

SHRI BIRENDER SINGH RAO: It is a fact that the Government of India so far has failed in its efforts to maintain the Indian Ocean as a zone of peace. We would like to have a clarification from the Minister as to what extent his efforts have been successful or whether they have not USSR has a base in Madagascar, Mauritius, been successful at all and the Government Socotra, Somalia and in Iraq; that is not has failed entirely in this matter.

SHRI SWARAN SINGH : I would like to say that this rather wide-ranging involvement of all manner of countaies does not appear to be based on facts, and we am only pointing out that we are going should always make a distinction between the provision of facilities to naval units or naval craft of any country by various ports and the provision of bases. For instance. India provides the normal bunkering facilities even purchase of food, provisions, etc., to all the friendly countries' naval vessels whether it is the United States or Britain or France or the USSR. So, the provision of these normal facilities in peacetime is not regarded as something objectionable. But from what the hon. Member has stated in a rather exaggerated manner, it appears he has infected by certain propoganda which has been persistently carried on in the wastern press . . .

> SHRI BIRENDER SINGH RAO: I do not belong to any party and you cannot say that I am speaking for China or the USSR or the United Kingdom, or that I have been influenced by somebody's propaganda. Have I not got my own brain?

> SHRI SWARAN SINGH: The brain does not carry always all the information.

> SHRI BIRENDER SINGH RAO: This Minister is making fun of everything. (Interruptions).

> SHRI SWARAN SINGH: Information is what is supplied by others.

> SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Was he not in the Congress in Haryana?

> SHRI SWARAN SINGH: He is a friend of mine. He is more close to me than he is to you.

I would like to say that the provision of a base is a serious matter which cannot re-The hon. Member has main undetected. mentioned a number of countries in this respect; for example, he said that the borne out by facts at all.

[Shri Swaran Singh]

None of these countries has ever accepted this allegation; all of them have denied these allegations... (Interruptions) I would like you to correct the record; you have said that there were bases in all those countries.

countries had got bases.

to enter into that controversy. anxious that the names of those friendly slightest implication. countries should not be brought in unless there is clear evidence. I should like to say categorically that the statement that It should be expunged. the USSR has bases in the countries which the hon Member has mentioned namely, Madagascar, Mauritius ...

SHRI BIRENDER SINGH RAO: I have explained it so many times; yet he does not understand.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The hon. Minister has made it clear that he has no information that those countries have given bases.

SHRI SWARAN SINGH: He has also mentioned the name of a friendly country. close to us. Bangla Desh and said that the naval forces of the USSR were present there I think Shri Jyotirmov Bosu said that there were some US trawlers in Cox Bazaar and some other areas...(Interruptions) As the House is no doubt aware Chittagong and Cox Bazaar ports had been mined by the Pakistani Navy at the time of the conflict.

misunderstood me. There were ten vessels their presence in the Indian Ocean is moperating near Cox Bazar and Chittan- correct. Our stand has been clear and congong; they belong to the United States of sistent. We are opposed to the presence America and they operate under the garb of any foreign naval force or the establishof fishing they have antenna and radars ment of any bases in this region. Having fitted to them and they were caught white said all that, we would strongly favour spying and intercepting messages. But he a discussion not only amongst the littoral

is mentioning Chittagong and Cox Bazar and this and that.

SHRI SWARAN SINGH: 1 am replying to Mr. Rao and not to Shri Jyotirmov Bosu. What he said was that the USSR Navy was present there. Facts are that from certain portions of Chittagong and Cox SHRI BIRENDER SINGH RAO: I Bazar the USSR had been asked to help said: deep water moorings close to those Bangla Desh in removing the mines countries, in the Ocean, wherever other There were some minesweepers which had been deployed there to remove the mines You cannot call this action as USSR naval SHRI SWARAN SINGH: I do not want force presence in the sense we are discuss-It is my ing it. When we mention about friendly duty because the names of several friendly countries we should be extra-careful not to countries have been mentioned and I am create such an impression even by the

> SHRIS M BANFRIFF (Kanpur)

SHRI SWARAN SINGH It is enough if it stands corrected.

About ourselves, I should like to say that our position is clear. We oppose the establishment of any base by any country other than the country concerned, whatever may be the country. This opposition is total because we believe that this introduces an element of tension.

Secondly, I would like to say that the allegation in this respect that India is trying to favour one country against another is totally unfounded. In fact, the hon Member must have noticed the Government's reaction to the statement, to which he made a reference—the statement made by Sir Alec Douglas Home. To say the least, that statement was completely unfounded. However, he is no longer the Secretary of State I would like to say that the statement that we were keeping SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: He has quiet when other navies were establishing

countries concerned, but, in this discussion. 12.58 hrs. we would like to involve the big naval RE: powers also so that some agreement could be arrived at and there could be mutual restraint.

It is true that according to the wellknown principle of freedom of high seas, Haibour). Sir, I have given an adjournsome naval vessels will be present on the ment motion regarding the release of the high seas. But, the establishment of bases, Gujarat students, (Interruptions) the induction of naval units or the establishment of more or less permanent bases, are the things that create tension in the area They generate competitive rivalry They create problems for the littoral countries and this is opposed to the concept of Indian Ocean being maintained as an area of peace and tranquility. In this regard, I Presume there is opposition in our Parliament to the establishment of bases or the the presence of Big Power navies in the Indian Ocean region This rivalry will create more problems for the States and they will be increasingly under Limaye is on a point of order. Let me various types of pressures from these toreign bases.

BIRENDER SHRI SINGH RAO What about the efforts made in the Commonwealth ? What is the Government's reaction to the comment of 'I'RAVDA'?

SHRI SWARAN SINGH, Sir. about the efforts in the Commonwealth, the question was to why we did not oppose the expansion of facilities Of course, the expansion of facilities was never discussed They announced that they have decided to expand There was no opportunity in the Commonwealth, therefore, to discuss this matter. But, our opposition even to the establishment of the so-called communication base was clearly conveyed to Butain. Any expansion of facilities at the existing bases or the establishment of new bases would lead to the aggrabation of tension, and hence our opposition to any expansion is clearly there. About the comment of 'PRAVDA', I have not studied it. But, I have stated our general policy very clearly.

MOTION FOR ADJOURNMENT

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: We will now take up Matter under Rule 377.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER. Order please.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU. Government is creating dis-order outside, There is no order here

भी मध लिमये (दाना): मेरा व्यवस्था ना प्रक्त

MR DFPUTY-SPEAKER Mr Madhu hear him

श्री मध लिमये प्राप नम्बर 2 और 3 का देखें। दा खत्म हुआ भीर तीन शुरू हाने वाला है। हम लोगो के द्वारा जो नाटिम दिए गए हैं उनका क्या हागा[?] उसके बारे में कोई जानकारी भाष दे सक्ते[?] कल दो मौलङका का गिरफ्तार विया गया है। उनके माथ प्रक्छा मलक नहीं हा रहा हे। उतका सी बनाम म रखा गया है। इसके उपर बयान ग्राना चाहिये, हम का जानकारी मिलनी चाहिए

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU · I given an adjournment motion. Two hunared students have been jailed (Interruptions)

DEPUTY-SPEAKLR. I have heard your point.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I have given an adjournment motion on the release of these boys who have been juiled (Interinptions)