बिल्क बहुँ। काने के सिए जो गैनरी थी उस में बहु क्षर से रूफ़ गिरी। उस में जी डी जी एम एस की एनव्यमैंक्री हुई है उस की बची जो ब्रेसिनिनरी रिपोर्ट बाई है उस में गी किसी की जिल्मेदारी नहीं रखी नई है। उस में उन्होंने कहा है कि --

"The accident appears to be a misadvanture; the accident is due to the factors beyond human control."

यह मभी प्रेलिमिनरी रिपोर्ट है डी जी एम एस की, फाइनल नहीं है। फाइनल रिपोर्ट जब तक न भा जाये तब तक मैं भीर कुछ कहना मही चाहता। लेकिन भाज जो एक रिपोर्ट भाई है मबेरे उन में यह बात कही गई है। ती भभी तक नो इस में किमी की जिम्मेदारी की बात नहीं भाती है।

जहा तक कम्पेग्सेशन का प्रश्न है यह 500 कपया नो ऐडहाक अभी दिया गया है एक्स-श्रेणिया पेमेंट और 7 हजार रुपया हर पियार को दिया जायेगा। जो वर्कमं कम्पेग्सेशन एक्ट है उस से ज्यादा यह रक्म है। उसी के हिसाब से कोई लकीर के फकीर कमले की बात नहीं है, उस से ज्यादा देगे इसके अलावा वर्कसे भी कुछ काट्टीव्यट कर रह है को सियरी माइन्स के दर्कस भी 5 रुपया। फी वर्कर काट्टीव्यूट कर के दे रहे हैं जो उन की दिया जायेगा। मैनेजमेंट की सरफ से 7 हजार रुपया हर परिवार को दिया जायेगा।

साप ने पूछा कि डी जी एम एस के अलाबा हम लोग क्या कर रहे है ने हमने भी एक इटर्नल सेफ्टी सार्गेनाइजेशन परिलक सेक्टर कोल माइन्ज में बनाया है और वह टर्नल सेफ्टी सार्गेनाइजेशन काम करने लगा है। उस में चाड़े वह कम्पनी के स्तर पर हो सा एरिया के स्तर पर या कोलिबरी के स्तर

MAGRA 14, 1897 (SAKA) Commission of Appenry 1 Mint (C.A.) against Ex-GM, Laguithadu (18)

पर हो, प्रोडक्शन स जिस का कोई सम्बन्ध नहीं हो ऐसे सेण्टी झाफालर निशुक्त, किये नंधे हैं जिन की वीचे जा कर माइल्स्, को इस्प्रैक्ट करना होता है और जिस्त को बदामा ,यथा है कि क्या-क्या जीजों की देखक्छ करे जिस से ऐक्सीहेद्स न हों।

SOME HON. MEMBERS rose—
MR. SPEAKER: No more questions.

II. IZ hrs.

ESTIMATES COMMITTEE

SHRI TULSIDAS DASAPPA (Mysore): I beg to present the following Report and Minutes of the Estimates Committee:—

- (1) Bighty-eighth Report on the Cabinet Secretarist (Department of Personnel and Aiministrative Reforms)—Deputation of Indian Experts and Officers abroad.
- (11) Minutes of the sittings of the Committee relating to the above Report.

II I2 hrs.

STATEMENT RE APPOINTMENT OF COMMISSION OF INQUIRY TO ENQUIRE INTO ALLEGATIONS AGAINST THE FORMER CHIEF MINISTER AND SOME MINISTERS OF TAMIL NADU.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS AND DEPARTMENT OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI OM MEHTA): As the House is aware, allegations of corruption, favouritism, administrative and financial improprieties and abuse of official position, were being received against the essywhile Ministry of Tamil Nadu for some time. In November, 1972, Shri M. G. Rama, chandran, M. L.A. of Tamil Nadu

Tamii Nadu (St.)

[Stri Om Mateu)

had presented a memorandum of allegazione, to the President and later Shri M. Kalvanasundaram, M. P., and some others, presented another memorandum of allegations to the President followed by a further communication from Shri M. Kalyanasundaram. memoranda contained, in all, 54 allegacounting the repetitions as tions (not separate allegations). 27 of these were against Shri M. Karunanidhi personally, and 13 against other State Ministers. The remaining 14 allegations were of general nature relating largely to abuse of power by the DMK party in that State.

In accordance with the settled procedure, we had asked for the comments of Shri Karunanidhi on these allegations and later also referred to him, for comments, the rejoinders received from the memorialists. The comments received from him, though copious in volume, were not sufficiently informative on various aspects which necessitated seeking clarification on some points. Even the clarifications which were received later, were not entirely satisfactory.

While the matter relating to these allegations was under examination further reports were received from different reliable sources that acts of administrative and financial impropriety, corruption, misuse of authority etc. by State Ministers, were continuing, citing certain specific instances. One such memorandum of allegations dated the 1st December, 1975 was received from Shri K. Manoharan, M. P., and Shri G. Viswanathan, M.P.

The Governor of Tamil Nadu also, in his report to the President dated the 29th January, 1976, recommended that a High Powered Commission should be associated to inquire into the several allegations rerious **against** the Ministry and the Ministers involved.

Accordingly, it was decided that all these matters should be inquired into by an independent, impartial body. A parification has been issued today appropriate a Commission of Inquiry under section 3 of the Commissions of Inquiry Act, 1952 to inquire into allegations against the former Chief Minister and some Ministers of Tamil Nadu. Shri Justice R. S. Sarkaria, Judge of the Supreme Court of India, has been appointed to head the Commission of Inquiry. With your permission, Sir, I lay a copy of the notification on the Table of the House.

Commission o

For the present 27 out of the 54 allegations contained in the memorandum of Shri M. G. Ramachandran and the memorandum of Shri M. Kalvanasundaram and some others, which appeared, prima facie, to require a probe, have been referred to the Commission for inquiry. Some material and information which have been received from different reliable sources. are being examined. If upon such examination it is found that some other allegations, prima facie, warrant a probe, the question of referring them for inquiry to this Commission will be considered.

The Commission has been requested to submit its report by 1st February, 1977. Government hope that the Commission will be able to complete its work within this period.

SHRI DINEN BHATTACHARTA (Scrampore) : Sir, I sent a notice yesterday when the proclamation order was placed here yesterday; and now he has made a statement as stated by the Governor. I ask for a full-fledged debate, it is the statutory right of this House. They have to get it sanctioned by this Parliament at the earliest. I have heard they are not going to allow any debate this session. If you bring it in the session, God knows whether the session will take place or not. So my request to you is that-you are so uprightyou may andly consider the situation

lade (st.)

Just now I got a telegram, from Tamilna lu that an ex-Member of Lok Sabha belonging to our Party, Shri Ramani, who was ailing in the hospital has been arrested. What is this? Hundreds of people have aiready been arrested. So, we must be given an opportunity for a full discussion here on the promulgation of President rule in Tamil Nadu. Otherwise, injustice will be done.

SHRI ARAVIND BALA PAIA-(Pondicherry): I don't agree NOR with what the Member has said because from 1970 onwards the matter is pending.

TRIDIB SHRI CHAUDHURI (Berhampore): I can only seek an assurance from you and a clarification. Now, yesterday we heard that President's Rule has been proclaimed under 356 in Tamil Nadu That is all very well; that is the privilege of the Government. But this House has not been given an opportunity to discuss or debate this. Now comes the announcement of the appointment of a Judicial Commission. Does this mean-and this is the clarification. I seek from you-that all these ex-parte, allegations that have been made by the Governor cannot be discussed in this House? What is the position? The Government makes an allegation ex-parte, dismisses a Government and then appoints a Commission to look into it :

SHRI H. M. PATEL (Dhandhuka) : Yes, the enquiry should have been carried out earlier, before taking action and not afterwards.

However, Mr. Speaker, we would like to know whether this matter is going to be discussed in this House or not. Gov. ernment has to seek the approval the House to the proclamation, and that approval of the proclamation should be taken at the earliest possible momentand the earliest possible moment means this week; it cannot be put off. It is true that the Constitution, gives two

Tamil Nadu (st.) onth's time, but that two menth's time is merely the maximum time.

Commission of

The other point is that it must be brought before the House when the House is in session at the earliest possible moment. May I ask, through you, what Government's intentions are and

when they propose to seek the approva?

of the House to the proclamation ?

SHRI OM MEHTA: The Constitutional position is known to the hon. Members of this House. Within two months, we have to get the approval of the House. Before the two morths are completed, we shall certainly come before the House and get its approval.

SHRI ARAVINDA BALA PAJ-ANOR: I want to congratulate Government for the action they have taken, though belated. (Interruptions)

SHRI SOMNATH CHATTERJEE (Burdwan): What is the position in other States? (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER : Order, The House will deal with any matter that comes before it and which forms part of the agenda. I cannot say anything on an item which is not on the agenda or when there is no motion before the House. If there is a proper thing, then I car. consider. Discussing the Proclamation in relation to a State just like this is not correct. There are Constitutional provi-Minister has said that, sions. The two months, if necessarythey will come before the House for approval. At this stage, nothing can be discussed.

We go to the next item. Mr. Dar-Singh.....

DR. Henry Austin.