[Mr. Deputy-Speaker]

est of farmers from loss due to unavoidable causes".

The motion was adopted.

श्री यमुना प्रसाद मंडल : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं विज्ञेयक को पूर स्थापित करता हं।

15.47 hrs.

CONSTITUTION (AMENDMENT) BILL\*

(AMENDMENT OF ARTICLES 124 AND 155)

PROF MADHU DANDAVATE: 1 beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill further to amend the Constitution of India.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER The guestion is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Constitution of India".

The motion was adopted.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE I introduce the Bill

## 15.471 hrs

PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT THROUGH PANCHAYAT RAJ BILL—Contd.

#### BY SHRI KANABAHADUR SINGH

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: We take up further consideration of the following motion moved by Shri Ranabahadur Singh on the 28th November, 1974:--

"That the Bill to provide for planning and development through various democratic and official agencies of Panchayat Raj, be taken into consideration". On the last occasion, Shri Shenoy was on his less. He may resume

SHRI P. R. SHENOY (Udipi):

his speech.

was narrating the incident of the authorities of a State trying to fix the location of a proposed public well in a village where there was no agreement regarding its fixation amongst the villagers. The authorities had never visited the village and they had no knowledge of the village at all. Sitting in the headquarters of the State, they decided that the well should be at the centre of the village. So they brought a map of the village and took some pains to find out the centre of the village and placed a mark there and directed that the well should be dug exactly at that place An official was deputed to the village. When he went there. he found that this point was on the top of a rocky hill Therefore, the villagers could not get the well which they wanted very badly. This is the result of planning from State headquarters or from Delhi and not at the district/village level.

The Ministry of Planning has promised that the people would be involved m the Fifth Plan. I do not know how the people have been involved in its formulation. So far no people have been involved in the nlan at all. Even MPs have not been involved in the formulation or the plan except to the extent of attending some committee meetings.

In some States, District Planning Committees and District Development Councils have been formed but these are neither democratic, nor do they have any power to implement or monitor the plan. In Karnataka, there are not even District Boards These were abolished long back. The promised zila parishads have not yet come into existence. Unless we have democratic bodies at district panchayat levels, we cannot really have a

"Published in Gazette of India Extraordinary, Part II, Section 2. dared, 13-12-1974.

#### 281 Flanning & Dev. AGRAHAYANA 22 1886 (SAKA) Planning & Dev. 282 through Panchayat Raj Bill **Brough Panchayat Raj Bill**

good plan for plenty and development of the rural areas of our country. In the last four Five Year Plans, we have made a lot of investment in machineries and in institutions at the expense of man.

Man has been exploited to build machinery and institutions in the hope that the machinery and institutions would subserve the interest of man in due course. But even now the growth of man is negligible when compared to the growth of machinery and institutions. The growth of machinery and institutions is in geometric progression whereas the growth of common men or the villagers is very slack or in small arithmethic progression. Villagers are migrating to urban areas to find jobs. Every year we find surplus population practically in every village in the country. Educated people from the district go abroad or to bigger towns seeking luxury and security in the form of white collar job. They have lost the spirit of adventure and they have lost faith in self-employment. To make up for the lost spirit of adventure some of them have begun to consume spirit and do nothing.

The main reason for this is that the Government is not throwing enough challenges at the district level to the young men who are educated. If the State Government throws some challenges to these youn men 1 am sure they will remain in their district and try to improve the standard of living of the people of that particular district. Planning at the village level is very faulty, if at all it has reached that level....(Inter-It has reached some vilruptions) lages. Forinstance in my district of South Canara in Karnataka State there is an elementary school in practically every village. There is ne branch of some bank for every three villages of the district. But along with these schools and banks we have in each and every village

without exception a liquor shop of a toddy shop. These shops are meant to exploit the villagers to the maximum extent possible. Though there are elementary schools they do not have enough teachers. The banks collect deposit and the advances made by them are much below the deposits received by them. The employees in these rural branches have no knowledge of the rural life at all as they come generally from the cities and towns. In our district there are about a lakh of small land holders owning between 1 and 5 acres. Some of them are already owners and others are in the process of becoming owners under the Land Reforms Act. No provision has been made by the State to supply seeds, fertilisers, pesticides or credit to these small land holders and no effort has also been made by the State to supplement the income of these people by way of dairy farming, poultry farming etc. No encouragement has been given to Khadi or handloom cloth or sericulture industry or any other village industry. At the central level we are spending hundreds of crores of rupees for this purpose, but this money does not reach the villages

The result is the villagers spend their spare time in cock fights, side bets and lotteries provided by the State. House sites have been distributed to thousands of landless labourers but there is no money to build houses. Government says, it has no money to build houses on these house sites The State Bank and the nationalised banks have a lot of money but they say, they have no schemes to build houses in rural areas. LIC has crores of rupees but their schemes to build houses are restricted to cities and towns. This is the situation. We can improve it only by having plans at district level and panchayat level. I am sure Government also agrees with this, but we need not have a statute to do this. This can be done

# [Shri P. R. Shenoy]

by an administrative order. Before that, a highpowered committee should be formed to go into the whole question deeply. So, I request the Gövernment to form a highpowered committee to go into the question of having plans at district panchayat levels involving the people in our plans. I request Shri Ranabahadur Singh to withdraw the Bill if the Government agrees to form such a committee.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: We have almost exhausted the 2 hours allotted for this Bill and yet there are six Members who have already given their names. Then the Minister has to intervene and the Mover has to reply. I do not know what is the pleasure of the House.

SHRI THA KIRUTTINAN (Sıvaganja): It is an important Bill. Some more time should be given.

SHRI JAGANNATH MISHRA (Madhubam): It should be extended at least by half an hour.

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Let us be realistic Half an hour will not do. The other Bills may have to be pushed out. Shri Gomango

SHRI GIBIDHAR GOMANGO (Koraput): Sir, this is not a new Bill. The hon, Member suggests some council at the district level. But there are district boards already functioning in some States. In some other States, there are no district boards The Mover has rightly moved this Full to get an opportunity to submit bus views on the functioning of panchayat samitis in his State and in other States. Actually the panchayat samiti is the upit for the development of the State. Long back the Father of the Nation said:

"If you spend your next vacation in some far off village in the interior, you will see the truth of my icsearch. You will find the people cheerless and fear-stricken. You will find houses in ruins. You will look in vain for any sanitary or hygienic conditions. You will find the cattle in a miserable way and yet you will see idleness stalking there.'

# 16.00 hrs.

I would like to know from the hon. Minister how far we have ethieved the sims and ambitions of Mallatma Gandhi, what he wanted to be achieved .n this country.

My humble submission before the Minister is that as the panchayat samities are the unit for the deve lopment of any areas, so, you should it orm that. Now you will see the panchayat houses in a ruined condition and the village industries are already gone. The developmental administration in the block level has to be improved.

We are coming from the villages, though we are living in the towns. When we compare the towns and villages we find that the difference is that between hell and heaven. It happens because the distance from the village to the town is very much. Not only the distance is much but we do things only in paper; everything is transacted in paper. That de'tys the implementation. When we plan a scheme in paper, at least a percentage of it should be implemented.

The main reason for under-development or non-development of the panchayat raj areas is lack of finance and planning. The plans which we propose there should be according to the needs of the people and they should satisfy at least the minimum needs of the people. For that we should know what are the basic needs of the people and then try to satisfy them at least before the said of the Fight Plan,

# 285 Planning & Dev. AGRAHAYANA 22, 1896 (SAKA) Planning & Dev. through Panchayat Raj Bill through Panchayat Raj Bill

Now these are a sumber of Tribal Development blocks. The aim of the TD blocks is intensive development of the area. Now we are proposing integrated development schemes. Whatever may be the scheme, the administrative structure of the block and district level should be improved. There should be a Collector exclusively in charge of development. My proposal to the Government is that since panchayati raj is one development unit, there should be one IAS officer who should be empowered to deal with all developmental matters within his jurisdiction and capacity. Those proposals should not be sent to the State or Central level for approval. That should be decided on the spot.

### 16.03 hrs.

[SHRI DINESH CHANDRA GOSWAMI in the Chair].

On the other hand, if proposals relating to the development of the blocks are to be decided at the district or State level, and that also by means of files, since the files move very slowly, it will take years, perhaps one Five Year Plan even to start a scheme. Government have proposed single-line administration for the tribal blocks and that should be implemented, because, in a singleline administration there will not be any confusion.

Though our Constitution says that increasing the level of development and administration in the tribal and backward areas is the responsibility of both the Central and State Governments, I am not saying that neither the State nor the Central Government has done anything. In the past we took measures for the development of those areas in a halfhearted manner. It requires sympathetic consideration.

Mahatma Gandhi-said it and everybody says it now. But the thing is, if we want to achieve socialism, villagism should be instroduced. The village is the basic unit for our development. The village is the birth and death-place of a person. I am not saying that the towns should not be improved or developed. But the village as the basic unit should be developed and improved. The Government should give priority, while they formulate schemes, and give more emphasis on the village panchayat and the village development.

I am not going to speak more on this. The Bill which has been moved by the hon. Member, Shri Rana Bahadur Singh, for planning and development through Panchayat Raj has been brought before the House at a proper time because, when the discussions for the Annual Plan for 1975-76 start, I hope, the hon. Minister will give more emphasis on planning and development through Panchayat Raj.

Lastly, I would like to request the hon. Minister regarding one thing. The Minister of Agriculture was kind enough to start tribal projects in India. There are six tribal projects The allocation is the same. But the development unit that they propose and fix differs from State to State. For example, in Madhya Pradesh, the Khunta tribal project covers four blocks whereas in my district of Koraput, the tribal project covers ten blocks. Then, there is the Ganjam project which covers nine blocks. The allocation is the same. I would like to know from the hon. Minister on what basis they have given the money, whether it is areawise or population-wise. If you go on the basis of population, the population is less in the four-block project and the population is more in the ten-block project. If the hon, Minister kindly consider this question, our project will get more allocation.

I would request the hon. Minister that agriculture should be developed in these tribal blocks and the Master Plan for irrigation for the Panchayat Samiti which was propoed by the State Government, should be given priority. If the Minister 45

# 287 Planning & Dev. through DECEMBER 13, 1974 Panchayat Raj Bill 288

### [Shri Giridhar Gomango]

Agriculture will say that irrigation is a State subject and that we have nothing to do from the Centre, then the Centre can do this much that they might earmark some money for irrigation for each and every block. I hope, the hon. Minister will do something in this regard.

SHRI THA KIRUTTINAN (Sivaganja): Mr. Chairman, Sir, first of -all, I should congratulate my hon. friend, Shri Rana Bahadur Singh, för bringing before the House this good Bill for discussion.

The Government itself should have brought such a Bill, passed such an Act, so that the villages and the people in the rural areas would have benefited much. But the Government has failed and my hon. friend, Bahadur Shri Rana Singh. has brought this Bill before the House. All sections of the House has supported the spirit of this Bill. Though some Members there may not have supported the Bill, they have supported the spirit of the Bill. So, I would request the Government that at least by this time some action has to be taken to enforce the thinking of our leaders and the nation-builders.

The hon. Member, Shri Shenov. was saying that a highpowered committee should be appointed to go into all these things. So many committees have been appointed by the Government. They have given their suggestions. Most of the State Governments have appointed highpowered committees. They have given their suggestions and recommendations. But the Government of India which is interested in enforcing uniformity in respect of all other things have not taken any interest to bring uniformity in this legislation so far as Panchayat planning and development is concerned....

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE AND IRRIGATION (SHRI ANNASAHEB P. SHINDE): I hope you are speaking on behalf of the DMK Government Have you consulted your Government?

SHRI THA KIRUTTINAN: Our Government, not only the DMK Government but  $als_0$  the previous Congress Governments, have introducetd this system in our State. I am saying that you have not taken any decision or any steps to introduce this...

SHRI ANNASAHEB P. SHINDE: I will put just one question. I want to know whether it is the policy of the DMK Government—I would welcome it—that the Centre should legislate in regard to this particular subjectmatter.

SHRI THA KIRUTTINAN. I am saying that the Centre is interested in enforcing uniformity in other cases, but they are not interested in bringing uniformity in this case. Most of the States have not conducted elections, have not brought any legislation to introduce this panchayat samiti system. So, I want to bring to the kind notice of the hon. Minister that he should look into this position in most of the States where this system has not been introduced so far.

Secondly, villages are the basic units of the nation, the basic units of the economy of this country. If the villages are not developed we cannot claim that this country as a whole would develop as we, the politicians and the political parties, expect. I come from a very small village and I represent my village as the President of that village. Being the Chairman of the Panchayati Union in my area. I am aware of the difficulties and the miseries and the undeveloped conditions of the villages. I myself tried to do much for the villages. There are villages without roads, without drinking water, without school buildings, without sanitation and other basic needs and facilities. The present system of planning is not suited to touch the villages. The present system of planning does not reflect the

#### The Flanning & Dev. AGRAHAYANA 22, 1898 (SAKA) Planning & Dev. through Panchayat Raj Bill through Panchayat Raj Bill

aspirations of the people who are living in the villages. The village panchayats do not have the necessary finance to fulfil their local demands. We talk much about villages and village development. But we must think over the things which we have done so far for the village people.

This Bill which seeks to provide for planning and development through various democratic and official agencies of Panchayat Raj, should be welcomed by all sections of the House. Now, the political and economic powers are centralised. I am coming to this point now. My request is that the political as well as economic powers should be decentralised. That is the object of this Bill. Even the constitution of Panchayats as well as Panchavat Unions and Block development rest with the Government of India. I can cite an example. In my district. Ramanathapuram district. there is one Block called Bogalur, which consists of 64 village panehayats having a vast area. It is unable to develop. It has no finance at all. Our Government as well as the Panchayat Union and the District Development Council have recommended bifurcation of this into two Blocks. It has come to the Government of India, but the Government of India has always been rejecting this. Our request has not been considered favourably so far. The power is still with the Centre and that power has not been utilised properly for all States uniformly. When such is the situation, how can the village panchayats plan further development? So, we demand decentralisation of political and economie powers which only, I think, will help the nation to develop a healthy situation.

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru wanted a national programme which will have as its objectives:

> (1) involving the village people in the process of breaking the forces of traditionalism and the bondages of poverty,

(2) An organizational structure which would, in meaningful ways, involve to the village people with the government in building a new nation.

200

SHRI v. T. Krishnamachari. the then Deputy Chairman of the Planning Commission stressed the need of involving the village people directly in both the formulation and implementation of India's future plants.

Mr. Dey who was the architect of Community Development and Panchayati Raj gave the structural meaning and content to the process of involving village people in a national self-help community development programme and projected the role of the Government as a resource in providing technical and financial support.

The abovementioned three personalities and national leaders shared in the conceptualisation of India's community development programme and painted it on the large canvas of India's 5,50,000 villages.

It is claimed that the Panchayats have existed in India for centuries and that we are only recreating the classical image of the panchayats. Panchayat Raj is not something new but is an effort to re-discover what once served to vitalise the entire nation. However, there is a fundamental difference between whatever might have existed in the past and what we are seeking to build up now.

Society remained stationary in the past and it could not think in terms of the elements of growth, technological changes and new inputs. But we can no longer remain static because three elements now exist that did not operate in the past. One is that we are living in a crowded world.

We are living in a shrinking world and we are living in a changing world. We have to achieve these changes in a much shorter .time, Time is of crucial importance in the whole process of development.

2967 LS-10

### [Shri Tha Kiruttinan]

Panchayats are described as organs of implementation and above all, as agents of modernisation. Panchayat Raj institutions did not arise from the need to implement the community development programmes. The panchayat raj system was conceived and set up as an instrument of change of transformation.

I think there is a lot that remains to be done with planning at the block and district levels. I think that it is not that our implementation is inadequate Rather it is our planning that is defective. There is really very little of planning at the grassroots If that is to be done. I think the Panchayat Unions or the districts would be the obvious unit for the organisation of techno-economic surveys and techno-administrative planning This has to be done within the broad frame work evolved for the Centre and the States. No district can ever be in a position to produce its requirements of steel, power cement etc. The necessary financial resources will have to be found at the national and state levels. But to identify the resources, to find out the ways and means. whereby these resources can be fully energised and organised, the technoadministrative and techno-economic possibilities are the things that can and should be done at the district levels.

Circumstances are forcing us to realise the fact that if we are to solve the basic problems of food, we have to think in terms of establishing the most complex agro-industrial relationships. Even if the most rustic problems are to be solved we have to bring to hear upon it sophisticated understanding. There is no getting away from that bruital fact. That is where the process of planning becomes so crucial

India's urgent need today is to understand the new purposes. To the extent we make panchayat institutions, democratic institutions and planning institutions subservient to transforming revolutions we shall have served the cause we cherish.

Shri Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed, now President of India, when he was Minister for Agriculture said:

"The programmes envisaged in the Fifth Plan for grassroots development could be effectively implemented only if the panchayat raj institutions were fully involved and made to function properly."

Our Prime Minister, Mrs. Indira Gandhi, in a message, said as follows:

"Unless the people were associated with the policy, planning and implementation process, nothing could be done to lift the vast maiority of the rural populace from the morass of poverty."

Many Members have mentioned about the Father of the Nation, Gandhiji. The concept of panchayat ray initiated in villages did not work as Gandhin had visualised. The working of the panchayati raj is influenced by decisions from the top and the people who are passionately concerned with the programme are not consulted. The planning for the country's progress should be from below. I am surprised to note that the slogan, 'Planning from below has been reintroduced after so many years at the Centre by the Planing Minister, Mr. D. P. Dhar. The greatest failure of panchayats has been the assumption of a fragmented approach to the question and their inability to inculcate such a spirit.

The only level at which the people as such can cooperate in raising agricultural production is the village level.

Although the gram sabhas and village panchayats have existed in many parts of the country they are only 'paper bodies'. The objectives of panchayat raj system is democratic decentralisation. But the development programmes have been imposed from the headquarters. Instead of that, if the programmes had been drawn upafter consulting the panchayats, and

# 293 Planning & Dev. AGRAHAYANA 22, 1896 (SAKA) Planning & Dev. 294 through Panchayat Raj Bill through Panchayat Raj Bill

including them in the planning aspects of their territories perhaps there; would have been more enthusiastic participation by the panchayat officials

The panchavat ray system which was expected to bring bout changes in the power structure in the village in step with the community development programme also failed in its purpose. The panchayats became the instruments of the stronger sections in the village community for the preservation of their dominant positions rather than organs of village democracy

To modernise the rural society and economy as well as to establish social justice in place of vestiges of feudalism and exploitation one of the essential steps needed is to reorganise and revitilise the panchayat ray system. It i, possible that the pinchavat ray institutions can be called upon to play new roles in addition to the existing These are implementation of ones lend reforms uplift of weater sections provision of building sites and housing subsidies to the houseless. The panchayat ray is the new system of rural loc il Government

The motivations for the creation of this new system have been two They are

1 The increasing emphasis on the progressive democratisation of various institutions in harmony with the letter and spirit of the constitution

2 To develop rural entities  $t_0$  mobilise the people's understanding and cooperation in regard  $t_0$  the programme of rural community development That is, in short, democratic decentralisation and community participation are both highly desirable objectives,

So, I request the hon Minister to concede these points and bring about a new Bill which will give true democratic decentralisation of economic and political power which our leaders visualised in this country बी बिभूति विश्व (मोर्ता हारी) चेयरमैन साहब डम तरह में तो हमारा बिल नहीं झायेगा। टाडम एस्सहैण्ड कर के डम बिल के टाइम का बढा दिया गया है डम तरह में तर हमें यहां बिल देने का काई लाभ नहीं है।

सभाषति महोदय • पण्डित जो ग्रापका बिल तो वैभ भा नहीं ग्रा सकेगा। स्याकि इस बिल के बाद ४। उलगतम्बी काबिल है।

श्री विभूति सिख इस विल के लिये दो घटा रखा गया था यदि उन का बिल न लिया जाता तो स्मार विर क लिय भाच मिनट बच मकता था प्रार स्मा उसम ग्रान विल का मक् का मकत्र था चै प्रर्पन माहत ग्राम था पवित्र जगह पर का रिंग वित क लिय जा समय तथ किया गक्षा हे उसम पूरा न कर ह उसका समय बना दि रा जान ता हूस्परा बिल ता मर जायगा । यांड समय की पाबन्दा रखी जाती ता ऐसा क हाता नपालियन का चाटरलू की लडाई म तान मिनट दरी हा गई ौर बठ लडाइ हार गया । यदि प्राप स्मय को पानन्दी नही रखा ग्रार इस तरह म टाइम बढा दिया जात्रगा ना फिर हम यहा कि मलिय बिल दे ।

MR CHAIRMAN I am of the same view but I am in the hands of the House It is upto the House to decide

श्री विभूति सिक्ष चैयरमैन माहब इम हाउस न ही उस टाइम को मजूर लिया था, सब ब्राप फिर वहा उसी तय किय हुर टाइम को नामजूर करने के लिये कहने है। सगर यही हालत है ना हम इम हाउस के मेंम्बर किसलिये रहेगे। सगर नमय की पाबन्दी हाउस मे नही रहेगी तो यह हाउस किसलिये है ?

MR CHAIRMAN What is the pleasure of the House?

AN HON MEMBER The decision  $t_0$  extend the time has already been taken.

भी जननाथ निभ (मधुवनी) : समापति जी. में इस बिल की माबना की सराइना करता [श्रो जगन्नाथ मिश्र]

हूं, लेकिन चूंकि इस बिल का बाम विकास से सम्बन्ध है, इालिये मैं इये पर्यापा नहीं मानता बीर ग्राने विचार में मलग से रखाा हूं। बाम विकास के लिये पंचायती राज का विशिष्ट स्थान है ।

वाभू ने कहा था---ग्रगर उमे हिन्दूस्तान के विकास को देवना है तो ग्रामो की ग्रोर जाना होगा और ग्रामों का विकास करना होगा। उन्हीं के विवार की पुष्टि के लिये हमारे उस वन्त के प्रधान मंत्री पं० जवातुल्ताल नेहरू ने पंतायनी राज की व्यवस्था की ग्रौर उससे बहन ग्राणा बनी कि देश प्रगति करेगा भौर देश का विकास होगा। लेकिन जैने कहा जाता है कि एक बच्चा जो जन्म लेता है झौर, जन्म लेने के साथ ही रोगप्रस्त हो जाता है, तब जैमा हाल उ रका होता चाहिये ठीक उमी तरह का ही पंचायतराज का हुआ। हमारे इस पंचायती राज का जन्म दुप्रा, लेकिन जन्म लेने ही वह रोगग्रम्त हो गया, अनेका दिस्को इतके साथ धाई, इन का संगठन ठीक नही हुया, मंत्रालन भी गलन हमा और उनका जा परिणाम हमा हम ग्राज देख रहे है।

श्रीमन, केन्द्रीय सरकार ने 1967 मे ग्राना दायित्व राज्य सरकारों को सौप दिया भौर फिर गज्य सरकारों ने पंचायती राज्य के साथ जैना कि रा-नह जग जाहिर है। पं वायतों में वर्जी चुनाव नही होना है, चुनावों मे रंग-बिरंगे राजनीतिक खेल खेले जाते है, उपयुक्त मादमी महीं माते हैं, विकास का काम सम्बव नही होता है और इन तरह से बापू भौर पं० नेहरू का सपना साकार नहीं होता है, जो सरकार के लिये एक चुनौनी का विषय है। इस सम्बन्ध में यह प्रप्रासंगिक नही होगा, यदि में यह कहूं कि बिपक के लोग भी ग्राने बुनाव भोडगा रत में इस निवय की चर्चा नहीं करते हैं कि पंवायती राज का सफन कार्यान्वयन कैसे हो। इनलिवे में उनके माथे भी कुछ जिम्मेदारी सौंग्ना चाईया ।

इतना ही नहीं, अखिल भारत वर्षीय पंबायती राज परिवद के प्रावह पर केन्द्रीय सरकार ने इन के कार्य संचालन की जांच के लिये एक आयोग की नियक्ति की जिस ने जांच करने के बाद एक रिपोर्ट दी, लेकिन ग्रब तक उस रिपोर्ट पर कोई कार्यान्वयन नहीं हुआ । पंचायती राज एक डेमोकेटिक संस्था न हो कर, उसमे कुछ ऐसी गलतियां हई कि वह कुछ बड़े लोगों की पौकेट की संस्था हो गई। हम यदि केवल दिल्नी, बम्बई, कलकत्ता भौर मद्राम के विकास पर समय लगाये मौर यह समझे कि देश का विकास हो रहा है तो यह गलतफहमी है, मिथ्या भावना है। इस तरह से देश का विकास नहीं हो सकता है। मगर देण के विकास में ग्रभिश्चि लेते है ग्रौर चाहते है कि वास्तव में विकाम हा तो आप को गावा की सार जाना होगा ग्रीर इसके लिये पं रायनी राज ही एक मुन्दर माध्यम हो सकता है । इसलिये, श्रीमन, मेरा विचार है कि ज्यादा से ज्यादा एक हजार की ग्रावादी गर पंचायती राज का मंगठन हो । तीन या चार पता ती ाज पर एक लीगल एडवाइजर हो, जो हर पंवायन को जुडी शियल भौर एक्जीवपुटिव मामलों के सम्बन्ध में अपने सुझाव देता गहे. जिसमे कि कार्य सुचान रूप मे चलता ' हे । एक बीज जो मैं बिलकूल आवश्यक समझता ह वह यह है कि पचायती राज में विधायक या ममद मदम्यों को पदाधिकारी नही हेंगा चाहिये, क्योंकि उन्हें तो या ही बहत काम है, इनलिये वहा जा कर व्यर्थ में स्थान पर जा बठनां ठीक नही होगा, इसलिये वे हरगिज पदाधिकारी न हो ।

मर्राव, 11 में लीगल प्रास्पक्ट का काम करना होता है, प्रवश्य पढ़ा लिखा हो मौर पंचायन से सम्बन्धिन जितनी भी समस्यायें हैं उनके समाधान का दायित्व पंचायत पर हो। लेकिन यह सब कैसे होगा ? इसके लिवे सरकार के सहयोग की मावश्यकता होती है। पंचायत सक्षम हों, गतिशील हों, डार्मशील हों, उनको प्रपने दायित्व का झान हो। व चामत से सम्बन्धित जितने काम है, जैसे शिखा का प्रचार, आधागमन की सुविधा, सफाई, दवा का इन्दाजास, पंचायत से सम्बन्धिन सजी विवयों का दायित्व पंचा-

# 297 Planning & Dev AGRAHAYANA 22, 1896 (SAKA) Planning & Dev. 298 through Panchayat Raj Bill through Panchayat Raj Bill

यतें ग्र हण करें झौर झक्ति भर उन समस्याझो के समाधान झौर निराकरण की व्यवस्था करे तब प वायना का कार्य सफलनापूर्वक चल सकेगा छार जिस उद्देश्य में पचायनी राज का निर्माण किया गया है, वह यथार्थ माबिन होगा।

इसके साथ ही एक गलती यह हाती है कि एक बार पवायती राज का सगठन हा जाता है, उसके बाद चाहे जो कारण हो लेकिन फिर उसका चुनाब नही हाता है। समय पर चुनाव होने की तो बात ही क्या चनाव हाता ही नही है। यह गलत चीज है इमलिये पचायत का चुनाव समय पर हुग्रा करे। इसक साथ ही पचायत का कार्यकाल तीन वप का हाता है अब रि समद या विधान सभामा का कार्यकाल 5 वर्र का होता है। मैं नही समझना ह कि पवायता का कार्यकान तीन साल क्या रखा गया है, इपको पाच साल बना दे—मैं नही समझना हू कि इसन पवायनो के साथ काई इग्याय होगा।

म्रगग पनायत राज के माध्यम में हम ग्रामीगा रा विकास करना चाहत है और उसक माध्यम से हम देश का विकास करना चाहा है ना जा बिलकूल फण्डामण्टल ईशु है ग्रवण्यत गिरय है बह यह है कि विकास का प्लानिग नीचे थे स्तर में याने बचायत स्तर मे होना चाल्यि । म्राज हमारे यहा प्रानिग अचे तर से हाता है इस कारण उभकी रोगनो गाब तक नहीं पहच पाती, वह बडे बडे शहरो मे ही रह जाता है मौर इसी कारण इतना मनर्थ होना है। प्लानिंग पंबायन स्तर से शृरू हो. किंग जिना पवायत स्तर पर जाय भीर उसके बाद राज्य पत्रायत स्तर पर पहुचे----इम तरह में र बायत स्तर से विकाम का प्लानिग हो झौर उसी के हिमाब से काम हो। तब हमारी जो माकाकाये हैं, जो हम चाहते हैं कि मामो का विकास हो, देश का विकास हो, यह तभी सम्भव हो सकेगा ।

इन शब्दा के माथ मैं चाहना हू कि इस बिल के जो प्रस्तावक हैं, वे इस बिल को बायस ले ले और सरकार इस सम्बन्ध से एक नया बिल लाये जो पूर्ग हो, सुन्दर हो और जिस उद्देश्य को लेकर हम वात करते है उनकी पूर्ति कर सके ।

भी घनदा ह प्रधान (शहडोल). समा पति महोदय. जा विधेयक माननीय सदस्य ने वा है उाना में समर्थन करता ह ग्रीर कहना चाहना ह कि ग्राम पचायत और न्याय पचायन के स्तर पर ही गावो के विकास की योजना बन और जिल क विकास की योजना जिला स्तर पर बन । अगर ऐसा किया जायगा तो की ग्रासानी में ग्रीर जल्दी हो सकेगा तथा नियन्त्रण भी ही सकेगा। इस के ग्रभाव में ग्राज गाबा का विकास बिल्कुल नही हो रहा है जिसकी वजह म गावा के लोग शहरों की सोग भाग रह है। काई भी गावो की ओर देखने वाला नही है। मैं चाहगा कि गार्ध। जी की तरह सरकार भी गावा की झोर देखे झौर वहा के हरिजन, आदिवासी और भूमिहीनो की क्या समस्याये है उनका दर करने का प्रयास करे। गावों के विकास के लिये स्थानीय लोगों के मझावो पर जिला स्तर पर योजना बनायी जानी चाहिये। इमी तह से जो जगला मे रहन वाने आदिआसी है, जगलो का राष्ट्रीय करण हा आने के बाद उन लोगों का जगल म ही काम करने का ग्रवमर दिया आय तथा तमाम मुविधारे शासन ढारा जिला स्तर पर ग्राम पंचायत स्तर पर प्रज्ञान की आये। वृषि कार्य ता मन्त्व देते हा झादिवामी हरिजना को छ दे छाटे धन्ने स्थानिन करने झार उनको चलाने ना प्रयाप होना चाहिये।

जिला स्तर पर योजन बनानी जानी चाहिये और ग्राम स्तर तया ब्लाक स्तर पर सगठन होना चाहिये । चलते फिरते न्यायालय भौर दवाखाने होने चाहिये जिस से देहाता मे रहने वाली को छोटी छोटी मुविधायों के लिये शहर तथा राज्य स्तर पर न दौडना थडे । जब ग्राम 'बायत भौर न्याय पंचायत के स्तर पर

# [গী ধনমার সমান]

विकास सगठन बनेगा तो जो भी कार्य जिल नोई से उनके विकास का दिया जायगा भीर भगर वह कार्य ठीक डग स कार्यान्वित नहीं किया जाता है तो उमकी भ्रामानी से जांच हो सकतो है कि यह कार्य क्यो नहीं हुया !

म्रावागवन की मुविधाये बढाने के कार्य हों. उस की योजना बने झौर वह कार्य तीन माह, 6 माह में पुरे होने चाहिये। झौर यदि उस अवधि मे पूरे नहीं होने तो उन की जाच होनी चाहिये और जो भी स्थानीय ग्राधिकारी इस के लिये दोषी पाये जाये उन को सजा मिलनी चाहिये । क्रभी यह होता है कि योजना राज्य म्लर से जाती है ग्रीर कागज पर ही उस योजना का कार्यान्वयन दिखा दिया जाता है। स्थानीय लोगो को पता ही नहीं कि उन के हित की भी कोई योजना है । नतीजा यह होता है कि योजना बनाने वालो को पता ही नही लग पाता कि मौके पर वह कार्य हम्रा है कि नही । इमीलिये मैं म्यानीय मोजना बनाने के पक्ष मे ह श्रीर उस कार्य को परा करने की ग्रवधि का समर्थक हूं।

गांवों में पीने के पानी की व्यवस्था, स्वास्थ्य सेवा झौर संचार व्यवस्था पर विशेष ध्यान दिया जाना चाहिये । सिचाई के लिये छोटी-छोटी नहरे बनायी जानी चाहिये जिनके कार्यान्वयन का निरीक्षण गाव पचायत झौर जिला बोर्ड स्तर पर झच्छी नरह से हो सकना है। झादिवासी क्षेत्रो झौर पिछडे इलाको के लिये परिवहन की मुविधा होनी चाहिये जिनसे टूकें, लारिप झौर बसे उन मुदूर इलाको नक झासानी से झा जा सके । गावो मे गैस प्लान्ट की व्यवस्था होनी चाहिये ।

वैज्ञालिक अनुसंघान में जो गावों के लोग हैं बह अध्छा काम कर सकते है। उदाहरण के लिये दिलाई इम्पात कारखाने में एक धमनी बराब हो गई थो जिसको ठीक करने के लिये बाहर के इंजीनियतें को बुनाया जाता था लेकिन फिर भी बह ठीक नही हो पायी थी। उस धमनी को गाव के एक मिक्सी ने ठीक कर दिया। इसलिये जो गांव के लोग तकनीकी काम जानते है उन्हे ग्राम पंचायत सहयोग दे जिससे वह ग्रपना उद्योग गाव स्तर पर ही चला सके ग्रीर ग्रपने जीवन स्तर को ग्रच्छा बना सके।

पिछडे हुए इताको के ले गो मे शिक्षा वा प्रचार होना चाहिये तथा माथ ही समाज मेवा की भौर सरकार का ध्यान जाना चाहिये । क्योंकि प्रत्येक व्यक्ति ममाज की एक कडी होना है । जिस प्रकार एक कडी भी ध्रगर कमजोर रहेगी तो वह जजीर कमजोर रहती है, उसी प्रकार ममाज का घ्रगर एक व्यक्ति भी सामाजिक तथा धार्थिक रूप से कमजोर रहेगा तो वह ममाज कभी उन्नति नही कर सकता । ध्रौर समाज से ही देश बनता है ।

मैं सरकार से जानना चाहता हू कि पाचवी योजना मे कृषि मत्रालय ग्रादिवासी क्षेत्रों में कृषि की उल्लति के लिये कौन कौन से कार्य करेगा तथा उसके विकाम के लिये कितनी धनराशि खर्च करेगा ? इषि मत्रालय सिचाई पर होने वाले खर्च का किनना प्रतिशत ग्रादि-वासी क्षेत्रों में मिचाई के माधन जुटाने के लिये खर्च करेगा ?

ग्रादिवासियों का सहकारी बँका द्वारा गोषण ग्राज भी जारी है। उन पर नाजायज कर्जे लगा दिया जाना है जिसकी वजह में उन वेचारो की जमान कुर्की में जा रही हैं। इसके ग्रलावा जगल के कर्मचारी, पटवारी भौर राजस्व विभाग के कर्मचारी उनका शोषण करते हैं। इस बात को मैं कई बार महा कह चुका हूं। पता नहीं शासन क्यों उस घोर ध्यान नहीं दे रहा है। मेरा सुझाव है कि जिन ग्रादिवासियो ने घपन सहकारी समितियां बनायी है उनको घपने विकास के कामो को धागे बढ़ाने में ग्राम पंचायतों को सहयोग देना चाहिये। आज ग्रादिवासी लोगों की स्थिति बड़ी ही दयनीय है। उन बेचारा के पास एक लगोटी रहु गई है।

# 301 Planning & Dev. AGRAHAYANA 22, 1896 (SAKA) Planning & Dev. 302 through Panchayat Raj Bill through Panchayat Raj Bill

उसको भी सरकार छीनना चाहना है। जो उनकी जमीते हैं उन पर जगल वाले, रेवेन्य् वाले मीर साहुकार लोग दात लगाये बठे है। परिणामत इन बेचारे गरीब म्रादिवासियों मार हरिजनों का जीवन बहुन ही दुखिन हो गया है भौर उनकी सुनवाई प्रान्न स्तर पर तथा केन्द्र स्तर पर नही हो पानी । यदि ग्राम प तायनो के माध्यम में उनकी उत्थान के काम किये जायेगे तो वह ग्रानी कठिनाइ रा का स्वय समाधान निकाल सकेगे ग्रीर उन इनाकां का विकास भी हो सकेगा। ग्राज उनकी जमीना की क्री हो रही है, जमीने छोनो जा रही है । घूमखोर जाग उनकी जमीने ले लेने हैं। इन समस्याम्रो का निराकरण गाव पंचायतों के माध्यम मे ग्रामानी में हो सकता है ग्रीर तभी उनका जीवन सुरक्षित हो मकेगा। ग्राज उनका जीवन स्तर समाज के झन्य वर्गों की झपेक्षा काफी गिरा हुआ है। सरकार को उनकी सुरक्षा भौर देख भाल की जिम्मेदारी लेनी चाहिये तभी उनका जीवन स्तर बढ सकता है । मेरी माग है कि म बायत राज के माध्यम से गरीब हरिजन भौर ग्रादिवासी किसानों को विशेष महायता दे कर उनके उत्यान की योजनाये जिला तया प वायत स्तर पर बनायी जानी चाहिये । ग्रन्यया मभी जो व्यवस्था है उसमें केन्द्रीय सौर प्रान्तीय स्तर की जो योजनाये यहा से बनायी जाती हैं वह गहरो तक ही सीमिन हो कर रह जानी हैं भीर उन योजनाम्रो का लाभ गरीब म्रादिवासी ब्यीर हरिजन लोगो तक नही पहच पाती है।

श्वी दरवारा सिंह (होशियार पुर) : मान्यवर, जो गैर सरकारी विश्वेयक आया है मैं उस का बहुत स्वागत करता हू-। यह इस जाकल में रहेयान रहे, इस पर बहस हो सकती

है। लेकिन एक बहुत बुनियादी बात है धौर वह यह कि ग्राया इस गाव को इकाई समझते है कि नही प्लानिग कमीशन ने ऐग्रीकल्चरल रूरल इकोनामि के बारे में कही यह नहीं कहा कि पाचवी योजना उस बारे में नीचे में ऊतर को ले जायेगे ननीजे यह होना है कि बार बार हमे अपनी योजना में तम्लीम करनो पडनी है। हम ने एक सिफारिश की है प्लानिग कमीशन का कि आप की प्लानिग नीचे में ऊपर होनी चाहिये क्यो कि नीचे वाला को ज्यादा पता है, गाव वालो को, देहात वालो को, करबे वाला को ज्यादा पना है कि उन को कौन सी तकली के हैं ग्रीर उनको कैसे दूर किया जा सकना है, झौर प्रायरेटी किस ढ़ग से हो मकनी है। यह उन को ज्यादा पना है बनिस्पत किमी बडे से बड़े , ग्रफमर के जो यहा एयर कडिशन्सड कमरो मे बैठ कर गांवां के मुनाल्लिक योजना बनाते हैं। उन को पूछना चाहिये कि म्राप की क्या तकलीफ है। जो कि ग्रभी तक नहीं पूछा गया है। प्लानिंग की बात है। उससे ज्यादा बढ कर बात यह है कि झाया सन्फ रिलायेस का आप जो नाग देते हैं ग्रीर पाच माला प्लाज मे इसको लक्ष्य बना कर ग्रापने सामने रखते हैं इसको कैसे अचीव विया जाए इस पर झाप ध्यान नही देते ह । इसके लिए नीचे वाला जिमने काम बनाना है उसको माप छोड़ देते है, उनको ग्राप ग्रपनी किस्मत पर ही छोड देते है। 1973 मे एक्ट बनाथा। इनको कई स्टेट्स मे रायज किया गया है और कई स्टेटम मे नहीं .किया गया कहीं कही पर ना किस

# [श्री बरबारा सिंह]

किया गया है ताकि यहां लोगो की पूछताछ ही न रहे, झौर प्रफसरशाही पहले भी तरह बदस्तूर चलती रहे। उसको लागू करने की माज बहुन जरूरत हे। प्राप बूीटायर सिस्टम करेया टूटायर करें लेकिन ऐसा म्राप न करें कि कही है मौर कही नहीं है। कहीं एक ही सिस्टम है मौर कही दो मौर कहीं तीन । इस तरह से लोगो की मावाज को दबाया जा रहा है।

मैं जानता हूं कि यह स्टेट सबजेक्ट है। मिनिस्टर के तौर पर भी मैंने इसको किया है धौर करवाया भी है। मैं जानता हुं कि जो क्यूरोकेंमी है वह ग्रपनी ताकत को छोड़ने के लिए तैयार नहीं है, सिस्टम ही हमारा ऐसा है कोई अपनी ताकत को छोडने के लिए नैयार नहीं है, नीचे वाले को ग्रधिकार देने को तैयार नहीं है। ग्राप कहते हैं कि क्सेशन का ग्रधिकार ले लो । लेकिन जो फैसिलिटीज हैं ग्रौर जो राइट्स उन के हैं व उनको देने के लिए कोई तैयार नहीं है। मैं चाहता हूं कि टैक्सेणन, रिसपांसिविलिटीज वगैरह के माथ साथ खनके जो राइट्स है वे भी माप उनको दे। समाजवाद कायम करने के लिए यह बहुत बरूरी है। समाजवाद नया चीज है ? चाहे कोई देहात में रहने वाला हो या कसबे में रहने बाला हो या शहर में रहना वाला हो उसके लिए रोटी, रोजी, कपड़ा, मकान, तालीम कुढ़ापे की पेंजन बगैरह चीजों का इन्तजाम होना चाहिए । जब तक यह नहीं होता है, समाजवाद नहीं आ सकता है । उसकी साने

के लिए हमारी रे जिज मुकर्रर हैं। उन ग्टजिज पर हमें झागे बढ़ाना है। हम दूसरी चीजो में यकीन नही करते है, डमोकेटिक सोशलिज्म मे यकीन करते हैं । इसको हम छोड़ने के लिए तैयार नही है। उस तरह धागे बढ़ाने की जरूरत है। उसके लिए चायतो के महत्व को किसी भी तरह से कम नहीं किया जा सकता है। लेकिन झाज तो एमा मालूम होता है कि पंचायत राज को इक्टठा करके उसका बंडल बना करके एक तरफ फरु दिया गया है। नाम तो पंचायतो का लिया जाता है लेकिन काम नही । कही पर दस साल के बाद इलैक्शन करवाते हैं भौर कही पाच साल के बाद । कही कही पर वक्त पर करवा दिये जाते है। पांच साल, तीन साल जो भी समय माप रखें वक्त पर ढग से पचायतों के इलैक्शन भी करवाने चाहिये । लोग धाते जाऐगे धौर लीडरशिप कब्ल होती आएगी। पहले, दूसरे तीसरे, चौथे ग्लांज में कम्युनिटी डिवेलेप-मेंट ब्लाक्स को बहुत महत्व दिया गया था। इस काम को पडित नेहरू ने शुरू किया था। उसका काफी ग्रसर लोगों पर हन्ना । लोगो मे सैल्फ रिलायेंस की भावना माई। लोगो ने मिल कर गांवों के लिए काम किया, उन कामों में वे शरीक हुए और उस में सरकार ने मदद भी की । लेकिन अब यह चीज खत्म हो गई है । सी डी का जो डंग था वह बदल गया। बदल कर बी डी झोज को हाकिम लगा दिया गया है। डी सी को उसके ऊपर कर दिया गया है। डी सी बी डी भो को कहेगा, बी डी भो नीचे वालों को कहेगा कि कैसे करें। कही ही सी मीचे बालों को ही कह देगा। इस तरह से मुक्तलिफ किस्म की इंतजामिया मजीनरी काम कर रही

# 305 Planning & Dev. AGRAHAYANA 22, 1896 (SAKA) Planning & Dev. through Panchayat Raj Bill through Panchayat Raj Bill

है मुख्तलिफ सूबो में। इस तरह से जो चीज चल रही है इससे छटकारा पाने का इन्तजाम होना चाहिये । स्टेट के लेबेल पर जो मुख्तलिफ किस्म की खराफाने हो रही है, वे न होने पाई इसका कुछ इत्तजाम होना चाहिये । प्राजकल को पंचायत राज माइनम जम्हूरियन है। जम्हरियत ग्रमली मानों में कायम हो हम यह चाहते हैं। इसके लिए जो तरीके है वे बिल्कूल उलट चल रहे हैं। मैं मिमाल देता ह। इरिगेणन का एक महकमा है जो इरिगेशन फैनिलिटीज महैया करता है उसके लिए पैसे देना है। कोई भी सरकार हो, पजाब की हो, हरियाणा की हो, उडीसा की हो या कोई भीर हा उनको पैसा दिया जाना है। लेकिन इस काम मे प्रेफेस उसको दी जाती है जिस के बारे में दिमाग बना हवा है, उसको नही दी जानी है जहां जहरत है, बहा देंगे जहा पैसा बेस्ट होगा, वहा नही देगे जहा कास्ट म्राफ प्रोडक्शब कम म्राता है, फालतू झनाज पैदा हो सकता है । उनके लिए है जहा वैस्ट हो सकता है। क्या क्या घपलेबाजी होती है उस में मैं जाना नही चाहता । पैसा नीचे के लेबल पर विल्लेज के लेबल पर, पचायत समिति वे लेबल पर, जिला बोर्ड के लेबल पर, भी टायर सिस्टम जो है उसको झाप दे, उन मे झाप काम लें. उनको कह काम करने के लिए । उनमें जो कम्पलक्स है, एहसासे कमतरी है उसको झाप दूर करें । पंचायतें कानून के मातहत हैं, सरकार के मातहत नहीं है। सरकार के मातहत करना चाहते हैं तो यह हो नही सकना है। यह बुनियादी बात है। इसको झापको मानना चाहिये । इजारेदारी, फिरकादारी वगैरह जो बुराइयां हैं इनके खिलाफ प्रव्वास मजबूत

through Panchayat Raj Bill उठाएँ, इनको मागे बढायें कदम लिए पंचायतों बगरह की मदद इसके জানী चाहिये । म्राज हरिजन ली । नौमिनेट होते है। वे भ्रा नही सकते हैं इसलिए यह व्यवस्था की गई है। ग्रीरने नौमिनेट होती है। दूनिया भर मे यह नही होता है। इंगलैंड में भी नहीं होता है । वहां कोई और सिम्टम जारी है। हम ने ऐसे झगर इस तरफ एडवाम किया है तो उनको ग्रधिकार देने की भी जरूरत है।

306-

म्राप पापुलेशन को ले । बढती हुई माबादी के लिए पैदावार बढाने की जरूरन है। लेकिन एक तरफ पैदावार घट रही है दूसरी तरफ माबादी बढ़ रही है । इसका मुकाबला कैसे किया जाए। पैदावार एक परसेट व म होनी जा रही है और प्राबादी एक परसेंट ज्यादा होती जा रही हैं। किस तरह से हम इसका मुकाबला कर पायेंगे । इस तरह से तो हमारी फुड सिचुएशन कभी भी दुरुरत नही हो र.वेगी। इस बास्ते फीमली प्लानिग को हमे कामयाव बनाना होगा । जो नीचे के तबके के लोग हैं जो वलनरेवल संवेशन है उनका मयारे जिन्दगी नीचा है ग्रौर उनकी ग्राबादी ज्यादा है, उनके बच्चे भी ज्यादा होते है झौर जो ऊपर है उनके बन्चे भी कम होते हैं और उनका मयारे जिन्दगी भी ऊंचा है। इस मामले मे भी माप पंचायतो से काम ले। लेकिन माज कल होता बया है ? बी डी घो ने जाना होता है तो उसके लिए चारपाई, कुर्सी लगाने का ये काम करने हैं ग्रौर बी डी भो जा कर क्या करता है, हुकम दे देता है इस तरह से करो, उस तरह से करो । फटिलाइजर और इनपुट्न की तकसीस भी मापने [श्री दरबारा सिह]

उसके सुपुर्द की है ग्रीर वह जा कर पूछने लग जाता है क्यों यह हो गया है ग्रीर यह क्यों नही हुग्रा ग्रीर प्राडर दे कर ग्रा जाता है । उसको सर्विस के तौर पर इस्तेमाल किया जाए । पचायत समितियों ग्रीर जिला बोर्ड के नावे उमको ग्राप करे । उसको उनका हाकिम बनाने की कोशिश ग्राप न करें । ऐसा ग्रापने किया तो पंचायत सिस्टम ही खत्म कर दिया जाए तो बेहतर है । डैमोकेसी को माकरी नही करना चाहिये ।

मिनिस्टर जो इंवार्ज है उनको सब पना है. वह बहन पराने है. काम करने वाले है। जो नए माए हैं----बह भी बैठे हए है । बं जानते हैं कि इस तरह से काम चलने वाला नहीं है । पालिय मेंट के मैम्बरों की झाप कोई कमेटी बनाएँ जो इन सब चीजों को देखे झीर अपनी सिफारिशें करे और आप उनको अमल में लाएें। स्टर्ट लेबल पर, उनके रहम पर झाप इन चीज को छोड न दे। पंत्रायत राज काफी कोसीदा हो चका है। इपको आप वचाले। बचाने का एक ही तरीका है कि सही मानों में पंचायत राज देश में हर जगह कायम हो । मझे मालम हैं ऐसी स्टेट गवनंमेट माई है जिन्होंने कहा कि पंचायत राज हमारे उमुलो के खिलाफ है, इमको खत्म करो ग्रीर खत्म किया । हम लोगों ने रिजिस्ट किया. हम लोग उसके खिलाफ लडे । उसके बाद कायम किया तो ऐसा किया जैंसे बिल्कून मुर्दे में जान डालने की बात होती है। ऐसे काम नहीं चलेगा। मगर इमोकती में यकीन करते हैं तो लाजिमी तौर पर ग्रापको कोई कमेटी बनानी चाहिये हाउस की बनाएं या कोई भौर हाई लेबल कमेटी बनाएं जो इनके सभी पहल्मों मे जाएें मोर एक वनन मुकरी में अपनी फाइनल रियोर्ट दें, दो चार पांच महीने बाद दें मौर धाप उस पर धमल करें। लोगों को पता चलना चाहिये कि नीचे वाला जो है. पंबायत राज जो है, यह सही मानों में चलेगा । नम्बरदारी भापने खत्म की, जेलदारी सिस्टम खत्म किया, भंग्रेजो के जितने बोसीदा इरादे थे. सब खत्म किए । इस इरादे को बोसीदा न बनाऐ । मैं यही ग्राखिर में ग्राप से श्रपील करता हूं कि कमेटी बना करके ग्राप जल्दी फैसला करवाएं ।

MR. CHAIRMAN: Shri Lalji Bhai. SHRI ANNASAHEB P. SHINDE: How many more speakers are there?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Originally, there were two more speakers—Shri Lalji Bhai and Shri K. Lakkappa Now two more speakers have been added, namely, Shri Sheonath Singh and Shri Krishnan.

SHRI R. P. ULAGANAMBI (Vellore): Sir, I may be permitted at least to begin my speech so that it can be continued the next day.

MR. CHAIRMAN: There are four more speakers, then the Minister has to intervene and the Mover to speak. We have also the Half an Hour Discussion. I think it will go by default. I do not think it would be possible to take it up.

श्वी लालजो भाई (उदयपुर) : मभापति महोदय, ग्राप ने मुझे समय दिया इमके लिए मैं ग्राप का ग्राभारी हं ।

श्री रण बहादुर सिंह ने जो विधयक पेश किया है, उस में मनुसूचित जातियां भौर ग्रादिम जातियों के उत्थान के सम्बन्ध में पंचायतों मौर जिला परिपरों का सहयोग लने की बात कही गई है। भारत के मधिकांश राज्यों में, म्रीर राजस्थान में भी, पंचायतों के चुनाव हु! म्राठ साल हो गये है, जब कि पढ़ति यह है कि तीन साल में पंचायतों के चुनाव हो जाने चाहिए। इस ढिलाई भीर कमजोरी को देखने हुए मैं इस बात पर जार दूंगा कि सरकार बलवंतराय मेहता कमेटी की सिकारिणों को कार्यान्वित करे। मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि सरकार उन सिफारिशों को कार्यान्वित करने के लिए क्या कदम उठा

# 309 Planning & Dev. AGRAHAYANA 22, 1896 (SAKA) Planning & Dev. 310 through Panchayat Raj Bill through Panchayat Raj Bill

रही है। मैं सरकार से यह जानकारी भी चाहता हू कि देश के किन किन राज्यों में पंचायतों के चुनाव नियम के ग्रनुसार तीन साल में हुए है।

झाज हम देखते है कि अनुमुचित जानियां और झादिम जानियां का कोई भी व्यक्ति पंचायनो का सदस्य नही है । उन लोगों मे षूगा की जानी है । आवश्यकना इन बात की है कि पवायतां, पचायत समिनियो और जिला परिग्रों मे झादिम जानियों के लोगों को रखा जाये । मै जानना चाह्ता हू कि स्वनव्रता के पच्चीम सालों के बाद झाज प्रत्येक राज्य मे पचायतो, पचायत समिनियो और जिला परिवदों मे झादिम जानियों के कितने सदस्य है । उन लोगों के जिम्मेदारी के पदो पर होने से देश की समस्या सुलझ सकनी है । लेकिन इस दिशा में कई प्रकार की कठिनाईया है और सरकार को उनका निवारण करने की कोशिश करनी चाहिये ।

सरकार ये झाकडे दे कि गिठले तीन सालो मे प्रति वर्ष झनुमूचित जातियां झौर झादिम जातियों के कितने लोगों का पर्जा-करण हुमा है भौर कितने लोगों को नियुक्तिया दो गई है।

ग्राज ग्रामीण इलाको मे ग्रनुमूचित जानियो ग्रांर ग्रादिम जानियो के लोगो के सामने सब से बडी समस्या यह है कि उन को जमीन नही मिल पा रही है। चूकि मधिकाश राज्य सरकारों पर बडे बडे किमानों का दबाव है, इन लिए वे भूमिहीनों को भूमि देने में विफन हो चुकी हैं। ग्राज स्थिति यह है कि ग्रधिकाश राज्यों में ग्राठ दम साल में मतिकमण के मुकदमे चल रहे हैं, जब कि कानून यह है कि तीन साल के बाद कब्जे को रेगुलराइज कर के अमीन का पट्टा दे दिया जाना चाहिए। तीन कैंटेगरी की जमीन है, सकान बनाने की जमीन, कल-कारजाने बनाने की जमीन ग्रीर खेती-बाही करने की जमीन म्रादिम। जातियों के लोगों का जमीन पर ग्राठ दस साल में कब्जा है. लेकिन पटवारी ग्रांग तहसीलदाग उम को जिनवारी में नहीं लाते है। वे पैना ले कर, ग्रीर भ्रष्टाचार के ग्राधार पर. जिनवारी से इन्दराज करने है। जमीन का पट्टा उन को देने के बजाये उन से प्रीमियम फीम के रूप में एक बीघे पर मान झाठ सौ रूपया प्रति-तर्घलं कर उनका गोपण किया जाता है. और जो लोग यह फीस नहीं दे पाने हैं, उन को जमीन छोडनी पडनी है। ग्रधिकाण राज्यों में यह स्थिति है राजस्थान में अतिकमण के मकदमे चल रहे है। मेरे एक अनस्टाई प्रश्न के उत्तर में बताया गया है कि केन्द्रीय सरकार इस बारे में आच-पडनाल कर रही है। मैं यह जानना चाहना हू कि सरकार कब नक जमीन के इन मकदमों का निरटारा कर पायेगी। उन लागों से प्रीनियम फीस गलत ढग से वसूल न कर के उन के नाम जमीन कर दी जाये, इस के लिए सरकार बया कदम उठा रही है ?

# 17.00 hrs.

इस विधेयक में पंचायतों को 20 विषयों, पंचायन समिनियों को 31 विषयों भौग जिला परिषदों को 25 विषयों के सम्बन्ध में मधिकार दिये गये है। लेकिन देश में सब कुछ काम इस के विपरीन हो रहा है। इस का कारण क्या है? देश को माजाद हुए माज पच्चीस साल हो गये है, लेकिन ग्रामवासी यह नही जानते है कि पंचायतों. पंचायत समिनियों ग्रौर जिला परिषदों में उन को क्या सहायता मिल सकती है, उन को कौन से ऋण या तकावी ग्रादि मिल सकते है, कौन स्कूलों की व्यवस्था करेगा ग्रौर कौन उन्हं जमीन देवा ।

राजस्थान में झाठ साल से पंचायतों के चुनाब नडी हुए हैं। इस बारे में सारे [श्री लालजी माई]

हिन्दुस्तान मे ढिलाई है । इस लिए सरकार पचायतो के चुनाव कराने की तरफ ध्यान दे ।

गाज बहरों में कई प्रकार की समस्यान्नों का सामना करना यह रहा है। प्राज स्थिति यह है कि तेल नही मिलता है, बिजली के खम्भे है. लेकिन करेन्ट नही है. बिजली के कारखाने हैं. लेकिन उनके पूर्जे ग्रादि उपलब्ध नही है, स्कल है, लेकिन उन के कमरे नही हैं, कोयला नही है, रेल गाडी है. लेकिन इजिन या कोयला नही है। जब शहरो की यह स्थिति है. तो गावो मे इन सविधाम्रो को उपलब्ध करने का प्रश्न ही नही है। ग्राज गहर वाले इस तरह की समस्याग्रो मे फम चके हैं। ग्राज मारे देश के नागरिक खाने की चीजो ग्रौर कपडा ग्रादि दैनिक उपयोग की आवश्यक वस्तुग्रों में बचिन हो रहे हैं। वेल मे हर एक चीज का झभाव है। इस के ग्रतिरिक्त पानी, तेल ग्रौर दवाग्रो ग्रादि सब ची जो में मिलावट हो रही है।

मिलावट की समस्या सामने ग्राएगी माज बिल्डिंगे बनती है तो मीमेट में मिलावट है। पल बनने है तो उसके मैटीरियल मे मिलावट है । जो बिल्डिंगे बनती है वह घटिया किस्म के मटीरियल में बनाते हैं। बह बिल्डिंगे ठह जाती है. सड़के टट जाती हैं । उन की कोई मियाद होनी चाहिए लेकिन मियाद तक वह टिक नही पाती हैं इसलिए उनमें मिलावट होती है। ता ये तीनो समस्याए है----महगाई तो है, लेकिन महगाई ग्रमाव ग्रीर मिलावट इन तीनो को रोकने के लिए भेरा सरकार से यह निवेदन है कि एक तो जो देश में बडे बडे कारखाने विजली टेलीफोन. टेलीविजन मादि के बन रहे हैं. इन करकानो में झनाप शनाप रूपया बर्च होता है और सरकार को उन की यह देती देती है. तो एक तो सरकार उन पर प्रतिबन्ध

लगाए। एक महा तो मेरा यह है। दूमरा यह है कि जितना भी विकास कामो के लिए केन्द्र विदेशों में पैसा लाती है अमेरिका झादि देशो से. उस का लेखा जोखा शार्ट में इस प्रकार होता है कि झाधा तो केन्द्र मरकार खानी है, आधा जे रहना है उस में 25 प्रनिशत राज्य सरकारे खा जाती है ग्रीर 25 प्रतिशत जो बचता है, चुनाव के समय उम से सब तरह की समस्याए हल हो जानी है, लोगो के सामने एक इस तरह की चीज झा जाती है ग्रीर चुनाव जीत लेते है। यानी चार माल तो कम कर मसीबतों का सामना करो और एक साल के लिए समस्या हल हा गई. बह परमारा सरकार बनाना चाहती है। मैं इन खास समस्याम्रो की तरफ ग्राप का ध्यान दिलाना चाहता ह। इमलिए मेरा निवेदन है कि सरकार इस तरह की चीज को मामने न लाए भौर देश को गमराह करने की काशिश न करे। इस के बजाये सरकार इन दा बानो पर प्रतिबन्ध लगाए । एक तो ऐमी ममिति बनाई जाए जो किसी दल से मबबित न हो. वह समन्वय गमिति हो ग्रौर वह ममिति मारे भ्राप्टाचार की जाच करनी रहे। दुसरा सुझाव यह है कि कल कारखानों पर सरकार रोक लगा र (स्यवधान)

सभापति महीबय ग्रव आप समान कीजिए ।

श्वी सालजी भाई मैं इस विधेयक का समर्थन करता हू। लेकिन यह विधेयक ही खाली लाने या पास हो जाने से देश की रमस्याएं नहीं मिट सकती । मेरे इन तीनो महो पर ध्यान दिया जाए। आरप्टाचार पर रेक लगाई जाए धौर कल-कारखावें। पर रेक लगाई जाए ।

SHRI K LAKKAPPA (Tumkur): Mr. Chairman, at the outset I would like  $t_0$  say that the Bill has provided me an opportunity  $t_0$ , focus certain problems which are controuting our State and also our Country. The basic

#### 313 Planning & Dev. AGRAHAYANA 22, 1896 (SAKA) Planning & Dev. 314 through Panchayat Raj Bill through Panchayat Raj Bill

principle on which the Bill has been framed may not ultimately solve the problem. But the spirit with which the Bill has been introduced is commendable from the point of view of debating interest.

The hon. members who have spoken on this subject have stated and also stressed that village institutions have to be developed and Panchayati Raj has to be established in the real sense of the term and the people of this country should get the real power. The institutions are functioning or maybe, sometimes many institutions are not functioning in various States. That is not an important aspect. But the point is whether, in the real sense, the socio-economic problems have been solved so far as the people living in the rural parts of the country are concerned. That is the most important aspect that we have to stress Whether you introduce any kind of organisation and whether such organisations are functioning in the country, is not so important. If we trace the history of the functioning of these institutions and the development activities that have been generated in the villages, we are very sorry to say that the socio-economic problems of the rural parts have not been solved so far.

We have recently read reports as also statistics that except for a few States, every other State is confronting with acute poverty and even sometimes the people are living below the poverty level. We are also even hearing reports about starvation deaths. But the point we want to focus is that economic freedom of the people. in the real sense, has unfortunately not been fulfilled. Even the crying need for economic development in the villages and to narrow down the gap between the village and economy and the urban economy has not been properly understood by the planners and no efforts have been made either by the State Governments or the Central Goverment to see that this gap is completely wiped out or at least narrow-

ed down so as to bring the villagers on par with the urbanites. Most of our economic acticity generated in the towns but not in the villages. Even the economy that flows in various forms and various activities has not gone in the real sense to the people of the country and they are not participating in the real sense of democracy. They have been denied every right and the right of equality has been completely denied so far as the rural masses are concerned.

In the force of developmental activities, even the basic necessities of life food, clothing and shelter they do not get, let alone other rights and developmental activities which so many members have mentioned. I want to know whether this Planning Commission that has been created here has got any sense of responsibility to know, to understand and to find solutions for any of the real problems of the rural parts. No planner has ever understood the real functioning of the villages and of the village life and the rural problems which have remained completely neglected. I would like to say that so far as the national problems are concerned, so far as the major cannot problems are concerned, we isolate the villages. Take, for example, the natural resources available in the Take water. Hundreds of country. irrigation projects have been located in the districts but the Planning Commission is not helping the State Government in their execution. And the Ministry is not solving the problem and this is going on for a long time. It is not to-day's problem or yesterday's problem. It is there for years. Unless you fully exploit the natural resources and develop the economic life in the rural parts by completely solving the problem of irrigation at least, the rural economy cannot be built up.

That rural economy has not been built up because we think these are the national problems of national resources of the country and we are still at the mercy of the bureaucracy,,

# [Shri K. Lakkappa]

whether it is at the central level or at the State level. This is the real situation that is prevailing in the country. Not only that, we have accepted a system which we have to attack and that system is that even the bureaucracy that is functioning is based on the capitalist system. Unless you attack that system, we cannot generate any economic activities in the rural parts and there will not be any developmental activities taking place. Therefore, we have to take the necessary steps to see that social justice is meted out to every citizen of the country.

You know that we have had a number of elections and the people of this country have participated in the elections and the ratio of election participation of the iural masses and the people living in the urban areas But, unfortunately, the economic control and the economic activity and its generation always centre around big cities.

Big industries are developed and crores of money are put but they are confined to big cities only like Bombay, Bangalore, Madras and so on They are not set up in the rural parts. Ruralbased industries have not been developed We have no control over big business houses and industrialists. They are not functinning at the mercy of the people, but they are functioning in a way that they get more and more money and this is an attitude which is responsible for not creating enough sense of security in our rural masses. So, what I say is, this sense of security has to be created. That is the social effort for which we fight for. This problem has to be solved. Whether this is solved by discussions, debates or organising meetings and so on 1s one aspect of the matter, but what 1 feel is, this problem cannot be solved unless the Ministry comes to its senses, and see that sense of responsibility is fixed in various matters. There is no politics in this. We should all unitedthis problem. The hon. ly tackle Members opposite must also give us help. The Jan Sangh, the Swatantra and other parties are having different ideologies and they are directing people in different directions. Therefore what I say is that responsibility should be fixed on political parties. This is not a political or partisan issue. Every party gets its strength from the people. The Minister should constitute a committee of all parties, to see that pragmatic solution is made for this problem. Mr. Shinde is an efficient Minister. I hope that my suggestions will be taken into consideration seriously. Our irrigation problem of Karnataka has not been solved as yet I am sure a solution will be found out in the near future for this also.

\*SHRI E R. KRISHNAN (Salem): Mr. Chairman, Sir, I am very happy to participate in the discussion on the Planning and Development through Panchayati Raj Bill, which has been moved by my hon, friend Shri Rana Bahadur Singh I have to pay my compliments to Shri Rana Bahadur Singh for introducing this very important piece of legislation, which emphasises the imperative necessity for formulating and executing Plan Projects through Panchayati Rai institutions, as that alone will ensure the economic development of rural areas in our country. This is a laudable objective and there should be no hesitation on the part of the Govesnment to accept this legislative proposition.

Sir, one can talk about this Bill for any length of time. On account of paucity of time, I will confine myself to a few very important issues.

We have so far invested Rs. 2770 crores in major and minor irrigation projects. On the admission of the

<sup>\*</sup>The Original speech was delivered in Tamil.

#### 217 Planning & Dev. AGRAHAYANA 22, 1896 (SAKA) Planning & Dev. 318 through Panchayat Raj Bill through Panchayat Raj Bill

hon. Minister of Agriculture himself, only 15 per cent of the farmers have been the privileged persons to derive benefits from these irrigation projects. The remaining 85 per cent of our agriculturists are still scanning the skies for their cultivation. The former Member of the Planning Commission, Dr. Minhas has stated that in 1972-73 the country suffered a loss of Rs. 140 crores on this investment of Rs. 2770 crores. The reason for that is that the majority of agriculturists in our country has not been able to derive any benefits from these irrigation projects for want of subsidiary canals. When the Irrigation Project is constructed over a river running through more than two or three States, the State Governments are quarrelling among themselves as to who should bear the cost of constructing the subsidiary canals. In these unseemly controversies the small farmers are deprived of the much-need water for irrigation. Naturally the investment made on these irrigation projects does not yield a single paise return to the Government. Besides this, inspite of the fact that there are many major and minor irrigation projects. the annual loss of crops on account of recurring floods is estimated to be of the order of Rs. 300 crores.

I have referred to these facts to indicate that the prestige irrigation projects have not been planned keeping in mind the economic development of rural areas in our country. If only the agriculturists had been assured of adequate supply of water, there would not have been this kind of food scarcity in our country and the Government would have also not been going to countries like the U.S.A. with begging bowls for foodgrains. The agriculturists living in the villages of our country would have made the nation self-sufficient in food long ago.

I would also like to bring to the notics of the House that we have so far invested Rs. 4700 crores in Power

Projects. What is the result of this massive investment? Out of 5 lakhs of villages in our country, only 1.22 lakh villages have got electricity connection. This again shows that the Power Projects with Rs. 4700 crores have not solved the problems of people living in rural areas. Here, I would like to give the instance of Tamil Nadu where the D.M.K. Government during its short tenure of 7 years has achieved magnificent results by planning the projects on the basis of rural needs. Out of 61.396 villages in Tamil Nadu, during a period of 5 years, the D.M.K. Government has ensured that 41.146 villages are electrified. In the Congress Party rule of 20 long years in Tamil Nadu, only 20.250 villages could get electric connection. Bearing in mind the importance of achieving self-sufficiency in foodgrains, the Tamil Nadu Government has energised 6 lakhs of pumpsets. I have referred to this only to show that when the Projects are based on the needs of rural areas, then there is proper utilisation of the money invested in them and also there is adequate return to the Government on its investments.

Sir, after investing Rs. 7470 crores in Power and Irrigation Projects, the plight of 5 lakhs of villages in our country even after 27 years of independence is unenviable. In case a village has been taken as the central point for economic development, the Government would have invested a sum of Rs. 14.94 lakhs so far. This investment of Rs. 15 lakhs in a village would have brought in an economic marvel in our country.

The National Commission on Agriculture has made an important recommendation, after analysing in detail the causes of our rural backwardness.

I quote:

"The Commission recommend the adoption of a whole village ap-

3

[Shri E. R. Krishnan]

proach to development for harnessing the growth potential of the villages."

How could this recommendation be implemented successfully except through Panchayati Raj institutions? In this effort, the Community Development Projects will also play a very vital role. But, so far as the Plans and Projects of the ruling Congress Party are concerned, they are all only on paper and none of them has yet become a reality especially in reference to the economic devesopment of our villages. On the other hand, in many of the Congressruled States, the Community Development Project has been scrapped. An as example, in Madhya Pradesh the Block Development Officers have disappeared In many of the States ruled by the Congress, for many years no elections have been held to the Panchayati Raj institutions. Perhaps the Congress Governments in the States are afraid, after seeing the recent debacle of the Congress Party in the recent Municipal Elections in Maharashtra.

In conclusion, I would like to point out that the Central Government appointed B. G. Mehta Committee to go into the question of Panchayati Raj Institutions. The Committee submitted its report many years ago. I would like to know what action has been taken on the valuable recommendations of the B. G. Mehta Committee's Report.

Sir, Mahatma Gandhi, the father of our Nation led the Freedom struggle solely guided by his goal of establishing Gram Raj in India. I regret to say that Mahatma Gandhi's heirs in the Central and the State Governments have not so far endeavoured to establish Gram Raj in our country.

With these words I conclude.

जी जिमनाव सिंह (झुंझुनु) : सभापति महोदय, बांधी जी के स्वप्त को लेकर देश

में पंचायती राज की स्थापना की गई। हम है स्वर्गीय नेहरू जी ने काफी ईमानदारी से इस को ग्रागे बढाना चाहा और मैं ग्राफ से निवेदन करना चाहंगा कि देश मे राजस्यान एक ऐसा प्रात था जो इस काम मे मप्रणी रहा झौर नागोद के स्थान पर स्वर्गीय नेहरू जी ने इम पनायती राज की मशाल जलाई। देश को जनता को म्रावाहन दिया गया कि ग्राप ग्रपने भाग्य के निर्माता खद बनोगे । देश में ग्रलग ग्रलग किस्म के पंतायती राज कायम हए, लेकिन कही उन मे विकास का अधिकार दिया गया. कही प्रणासनिक ग्रधिकार दिया गया, कही दोनो दिये गये । देश मे एक प्रकार का मगालना हग्रा---मैं तो यह कहने को तैयार हं कि पत्रायनी राज के नाम पर देहान की जनना के साथ जो धोखा हग्रा उनना किसी दूसरे नाम से नही हुपा। किम ग्राशा मे हम ने इस पवायत राज को बनाया था। लेकिन झाज वह गाव। मे लडाई का माधन बन गया है । जिला पंचायत. गाव समिति को कोई अधिकार नही है। ग्रभी तक हम तय नहीं कर पाये है कि हमारे यहां दो टीयर. तीन टीयर सिस्टम रहेगा या एक टायर सिस्टम रहेगा । कई दफा कोशिश की गई कि पचायतों को ग्रधिक ग्रधिकार दिये जारे. उन की आर्थिक स्थिति सधारी जाय । कहा गया कि पचायतों को लैड रेवेन्य कलेक्ट करने का काम दिया जाय, उस में से कुछ हिम्मा उन को दिया जाय । लेकिन मभी तक कुछ नही हमा है। माज यह केल काफी नेगलेक्ट हो गया है।

राजस्यान में सब से पहले चुनाव 1965 में हुआ था तीन साल के लिये । लेकिन उस के बाद माज तक कोई चुनाव नहीं हुझा झौर बारवार उन क टर्न को बढ़ाया जा रहा है । मैं माननीय सदस्य को बधाई देता हूं कि उन्होंने सदन का ध्यान उस की सोर दिलाया । इस बिस के मन्दर दो दक्ष्यू के प्राविधान है पंचायतों और विशा परिषठों की माफत

# 321 Planning & Dev. AGRAHAYANA 22, 1896 (SAKA) Planning & Dev. through Panchayat Raj Bill through Panchayat Raj Bill

हमारी योजनाये बने झौर उन का कार्या-म्बयन हो । स्वानीय योजनाये हो सकती हैं जो स्थानीय इकाई बना सकनी है। प्रान्त स्तर पर योजना वह नही बना मकते । मामीण लेगो को इम बात का ग्राभाग हला चाहिये कि वह अपने भाग्य के खद निर्माता है। लेफिन केन्द्र सरकार ग्रीर राज्य सरकारे इस के प्रति बहुत उदामीत है । पटल केन्द्र के अन्दर पंचायत राज विभाग वा मत्रालय होताथा, लेकिन ग्राज कोई नहीं है। तो या तो पचायत राज को समाप्त कर दीजिये. स्रौर ग्रगर इप को जिल्दा रखना है तो उन को ग्रभ्रितार दीजिये साधन दीजिये नानि वह ग्राने जिनास के कार्य कर सके। पना 1न राज को नारगाही के भोग का माधन न वनाइये क्यो कि बह गर्मनारी झफारा की तरह काम करने है, जन मेवक की तरह में नहीं। जो कमंचारी बहा जाये उन का गाय। ल प्रति ग्रटेचमेट होना चाहिये तभी वट वटा की स्थिति में सुधार कर सकते है। हर प्रात के अन्दर इस की चर्चा हे कि पताया राज को जिन्दा रखा जाय कि नही । मेरा निवेदन है कि यदि पंचायत राज को जिन्दा रखना चाहते है तो ठीक में रखे वर्नाडम को खत्म कर दें। अगर विकास के कार्यों का डीसन्टे-लाइज करना चाहते है तो ठीक ढग मे कीजिये । ग्रीर पंचायन राज को लडाई ना साधन न बनाइये। सग्कार चाहे कोई मन्य कमेटी बनाये ग्रीर जाच कर के एक निश्चित निर्णय लेना चाहिये कि पंचायत राज को कायम रखना चाहिये या खत्म करना चाल्टिये । धगर उस सिस्टम को जीवन रखना चाहने है नो उस को उचित ग्रधिकार देने चाहिये ताकि देहात की जनता विश्वास करे कि बह स्वयं अपने भाग्य के निर्माता है ।

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE AND IRRIGATION (SHRI ANNASAHEB F. SHINDE): Sir, I am thankful to Shri Ranabahadur Singh for bringing forward this Bill for discussion on the floor of the august House. I was surprised that from all sections of the House there was a sort of general support for the principles behind this Bill.

I know Shri Ranabahadur S.ngh personally. He is very fine person

MR CHAIRMAN The Half-an-hour Discussion was to be taken up at 5 30 PM You know, we start the Private Member's Business at 325 PM

PROF MADHU DANDAVATE Even otherwise, I would like to listen to the Minister After he concludes, we can have the half-an-hour discussion

MR CHAIRMAN Therefore, we will take up the half-an-hour discussion at 555 PM

SHRI R P ULAGANAMBI Sir, before that kindly give me a chance to move my Bill

MR CHAIRMAN I will try

SHRI ANNASAHEB P SHINDE The hon Member has a very good knowledge of the problems of rural economy of our country I have heard him speak on a number of occasions on the problems of agriculture, on the problems of rural development and he is really one of the very good Members of this House, who does take these matters very seriously But the issues he has raised have two aspects First of all what is the role in India of Panchayat Raj? How it should really play its role in our economy and particularly in regard to rural development? As far as the first aspect is concerned, hon. Members have expressed their views and I have no differences with them But, that is a different thing. As far as the Bill which has been brought forward by Mr. Ranabahadur Singh is concerned, I think, it is a different thing, because, first of all, he has not, naturally, all the means available, all the sources of information available to him, as an individual Member, which are available to the Government. I cannot blame him. But, naturally, the Bill which has been

2967 18-11

## [Shri Annasaheb P. Shinde]

drafted has all limitations, many drawbacks, and therefore, if this august House is to accept the Bill, there will be many many difficulties. Some of them I will touch upon. I am not blaming him. because, any private Member bringing a Bill of this nature is bound to have these limitations. But, Sir....

SHRI RANABAHADUR SINGH: I would ask the Minister not to waste his time on trying to meet the arguments as to whether my Bill is the proper one. That was not my intention. My only intention was to focus the attention of the House. So, I would request the hon. Minister to come to the fundamentals and not try to go into the limitations of this Bill.

SHRI ANNASAHEB P. SHINDE: Sir, I agree that the main intention of the hon. Member was to focus the attention of the House and of the country on the role of Panchyat Raj in the country. I think, he has succeeded very well. This is clear from the very fact that all sections of the House have broadly supported the principles implied in this Bill. I would also like to say that as for as Government of India is concerned, as far as principles are concerned, we are one with him. It is not that today, I am taking the position. In fact. Government of India has been very clear, all along, as far as the role of Panchayat Raj in this country is concerned. Personally, I feel that many of the ills in this country with which we are confronted today would not have been there, if real, genuine Panchayat Raj had been established in this coun-By genuine Panchayat Raj, I try. mean. Panchayat institutions elected on the basis of adult franchise, not only Panchayat institutions-here, I am not referring to the village Panchayatsbut also Panchyat Samitis and even Ella Parishads effected on the basis of adult franchise like Members of Parlisment and Members of State Assemblies. This is because, I have seen in this country that wherever there are indirect sir tions, a lot of manipulations take place and these institutions are not effective. But, we have effective institutions in some parts of the country. For instance, in the State from where I come, members of the Zila Parishads are directly elected on the basis of adult franchise. Every man and woman who is a voter votes in these elections. Now, they have been accepted the position that even at Panchayat Samitis level, there should be direct election. Therefore, these bodies have lot of weight and they influence the policy decisions of the State Governments. They have become very effective instruments in regard to the development of our rural economy. Therefore, my concept of Panchayat Raj is that there should be elected bodies elected on the basis of adult franchise. This is one Secondly, these Panchayat bodies should have a very effective say in the planning as well development activities which is not there in Thirdly, these the country today. Panchayat bodies should provide every safeguard to the weaker important sections of the society because there is a complaint in the country that these Panchayat bodies are sometimes dominated by a handful of people who are very powerful in the rural areas. Therefore, there should be a new mechanism as far as Panchayat Raj is concerned, whereby, the interests of the weaker sections of the society are very well protected. That is my concept of panchayat raj. The Government of India also has all along accepted this position. In fact, our constitutionmakers themselves had visualised this role of panchayat raj. I would draw attention to art. 40 which says.

"The State shall take steps to organise village panchayats and endow them with such powers and authority as may be necessary to enable them to furnition as units of self-government".

\$

SHRT ERASMO IN SHOULINA (Marmagon): This is what has not heppened.

# 325 Planning & Dev. AGRAHAYANA 22, 1896 (SAKA) Planning & Dev. 326 through Panchayat Raj Bill through Panchayat Raj Bill

SHEI ANNASAHEB P. SHINDE: That is true. As far as the theoretical position is concerned, I am clarifying what is the Government of India's position in this matter because that is how the hon, member wanted to know from me.

When the Balwantrai Mehta Committee went into this, they suggested that this should apply not only to villages but even to the panchayat samitis and zila parishads. They should be very effective units of selfgovernment.

If we go into the background, we find that the National Development Council which went in 1959 into the report of the Balwantrai Mehta Committee which for the first time clearly enunciated the position of panchavat raj in the country, also accepted this position with this proviso that this country being vast with different socio-economic conditions, with different historical backgrounds, different land tenure systems, a uniform pattern of panchayat raj, will not be applicable to India So when they went into the problem in 1959, they said that while the broad pattern and fundamentals may be uniform, there should not be any rigidity in the pattern. They said:

"In fact, the country is so large and panchayat raj, that is demoeratic decentralisation, is so complex a subject with far-reaching consequences that there is the fullest scope for trying out various patterns and alternatives. What is most important is genuine transfar of power to the people".

I repeat it\_\_\_

"What is most important is genuine transfer of power to the people. If this is ensured, the form and pattern must necessarily vary according to conditions prevalling in the different States".

This was accepted. In fact, we have recommended this to the State Gov-

# ernments. We have asked them to enact panchayat laws on these lines. Moreover, we also envisage a threetier structure. Experience indicates that there may be two opinions whether it should be two-tier or threetier. My own view is that perhaps two-tier would be preferable, because multiplicity of agencies would perhaps add to the troubles. But this is a matter of local judgment. In these matters, some discretion needs to be given to State Governments.

There should be genuine transfer of power and responsibility. Adequate resources should be made available for development programmes. These should be channelled through these bodies and the instrument evolved should be such as will facilitate further devolution and dispersal of power and responsibility in the future. This is the Government of India's approach to this matter. We have repeatedly drawn the attention of various State Governments to this. In fact, these are the matters referred to by hon. members when they spoke.

Therefore, on policy matters we have a very clear view. Personally, I have been very closely associated with the rural economy. I feel that our country is so vast and many of the ills afflicting us whether in Bihar. U.P. or M.P. or any other State are there mainly because we have failed to evolve an institutional structure in the rural areas, a very effective institutional structure which involves the elected representatives of the people. Our States are so big that it is not possible to govern them either from Delhi or the State capitals. Therefore, the constitution of such an institutional structure with effective involvement of the duly elected representatives of the people is a must for this country. That is how the Government of India looks at the problem. Unfortunately, many of the Status have not implemented the recommendations and they 10 really the main difficulty.

[Shri Annasaheb P. Shinde]

Fortunately or unfortunately, panchayat raj is a state subject. Perhaps some hon. members can raise the plea that now there is emergency and under art. 250(1) the Government of India and Parliament have power to enact legislation in regard to a subject falling within the State List.

Though it is not in the concurrent list, even if it is in the State list, at the time of emergency Parliament has the power but subject to the limitation contemplated in article 251 which says that unless the State Government enact their own legislation on the pattern which six months the laws so enacted by Parliament will become invalid. There are no compelling reasons why the Government of India should enact regislation, T have already pointed out how difficult it is to have a uniform piece of legislation for a country of our size. The hon. Member is not suggesting a very effective instrument of Panchayat raj. But he has suggested that there should be district welfare coun-There seems to be some concile fusion in regard to this issue because according to provisions of the Bill the panchayat raj and zila parishad should be represented on this body. I do not think that by creating an additional body we are serving any purpose. I am not prepared to blame . the hon. Member and he has tried to put it like that with the best of intention. However I do not think that this Bill will serve the purpose which the hon. Member has in mind. Nor is the Bill comprehensive enough. I have already pointed out some very importatnt lacuna in the Bill. The bodies which are supposed to come into existence as a result of this Bill are not based on adult franchise. There are no safeguards for the weaker sections. I would therefore humbly request the hon. Member who is a knowledgeable person, to withdraw the Bill.

I promise him this. He said that there should be a Committee of Members of Parliament to go into this. We accept the spirit of his suggestion. We have already a Consultative Committee on panchayat raj. It is a very representative body and there are also Members of Parliament on it. It is an All India body and the Ministers of panchayati raj are there. The hon. Member has also given me a representation signed by a large number of Members of this House. We would specifically put forward those suggestions before this august body presided over by my senior colleague by Jagjıvan Ram Ji for its consideration. The points which have been raised by the various speakers would also be placed before that body and that should go a long way in serving the purpose which the hon. Member has in mind,

The hon Member from the D.M.K. Party generally extended his support to this Bill. I do not know whether the D.M.K Members have consuited their Government.

SHRI R P ULAGANAMBI. <sup>7</sup>t 18 a private Members Bill and Members can express the personal opinion.

SHRI ANNASAHEB P. SHINDE: I have no quarrel over that. The D.M.K is so conscious of the States right and they are pleading for more autonomy. They do not want the Central Government to enact legislation on subjects which are within the State jurisdiction. The D.M.K. Government would oppose this sort That is why I say that I did of Bill not know whether they really represented the views of the party when they spoke on this Bill.

MR. CHAIRMAN: They are speaking in their private capacity... (Interruptions).

SHRI THA KIRUTTINAN: This is a Bill which concerns Planning and Development; the State should be given the power. Our State Govern-

# 329 Planning & Dev. AGRAHAYANA 22, 1896 (SAKA) Planning & Dev. through Panchayat Raj Bill

ment is implementing the Plan with all possible efforts. The problem is one of resources. Where is the money? If you accept State autonomy? we will do everything.

SHRI ANNASAHEB P. SHINDE: The DM.K. Government should constitute very powerful panchayat bodies based on direct election and adult franchise, without any interference from the State capital. This is the principle.

The mover has succeeded in drawing the attention of the country to this matter and we will put it up before the august body which is in charge of this.

SHRI RANABAHADUR SINGH: Sir. I am greatful to the Minister for having pointed out the failings of my Bill. I would request him to consider the matter from the point of view of a private member, that too an independent member. He was kind enough to say, I have my limitations. As a matter of fact, I had tabled two Bills. Bill No. 36 did not fare well in the ballot. If that had come before the House, the lecunae you have very kindly pointed out were exactly dealt with in that Bill. There was also the constraint that this is a State subject, I could not have brought it in here unless I took the name of development and planning. That wast the backdoor entry I had to make.

l am grateful for the all round support this Bill has drawn from this House. I am sure the matter is now formally placed for the consideration of the House, -mamely, the people's involvement is the necessity. That has been the accepted policy, as the Minister savs. But this accepted policy has had a twist in it. There was always the necessity of having the poeple involved in development or reconstruction activities. Especially now in regard to the food situation, we want the people to cooperate, but the twist has remained: "You work, we rule". All that I wanted to put for

### 330 through Panchayat Raj Bill

the consideration of the House by this Bill was, now it should be accepted that this will not work. So, if you want people to work, you shall have to say you work and you rule with us. That is the only difference, This would lead to giving sovereigntly to the panchayats. Unless the sovereignty is willingly parted to the panchayats, nothing will work in this country.

SHRI ANNASAREB P. SHINDE: Sovereignty will not be the right word; it should be self-government.

SHRI RANABAHADUR SINGH: I used a strong word, so that in the process of dilution, at least something may remain.

I have heard that the Prime Minister has written to the heads of panchayats drawing their attention and cooperation to family planning. This will do no good whatsoever. For the last 25 years, there has been a law that has framed the panchayats and that law has had the most disturbing effect on the panchayats inasmuch as the law has disgraced the commonsense of the people in the villages. As many members have said, for 7 or 10 years if you do not hold elections to a body which should be held in three years, people in the villages are no fools. They know exactly the value of a body in whose election in time the Government has no faith. It is not the fault of the quality of the people in our villages. It is the fault of the panchayati laws. The baby should not be thrown away with the bathwater, saying that no self-governing powers can be given to the panchayats because of their present low quality of administrative skill or morality.

Another point that strengthens this Bill, however weak in its approach, has been that the present tax structure of our country cannot' withstand any further financial weight and unless we are able to organize the people on a basis of equality the social

# [Shri Ranabahadur Singh]

services level that we are aiming at in the context of our social welfaristic plan would be frozen in the present context, because, unless the people are willingly involved on the basis of equality, the whole social fabric is going to fall down.

What can be done is very simple. There is no need to grope in the dark. There are just four points that I want to present in this context. As the Minister has kindly promised to bring this matter up before the august body that already exists, I mention these four points specifically for consideration, there.

Well, if this bad law that has undermined the people's will in the countryside is sought to be renewed or revamped. The first thing that is necessary and that should be considered is that there must be a universality of membership at the panchavat level. Shri Ramavatar Shastri has brought out a very good point when he said that the bigger people, the social-statured people, in the villages are exploiting the people. The answer lies in the universality of membership and consensus of working. If the small man had the power of vetoing the law inside a village panchavat there would not be a single voter who will not participate in the proceedings and that would stand well in giving him the leverage that he requires and for which he has been asking for all these 27 years from the people, from our laws, so that he can stand on his own and say he is a free citizen of this country. This consensus is not a chimera. It has already been made out that consensus is not possible in the present context of things. May I respectfully submit that what this House has witnessed today for the last one and a guarter hour, or the earlier day when we were discussing this, shows that there is a consensus reigning in this House, which by itself is quite a change from what happens between

Is noon and 1 O'Clock in the House? So, it depends on the quality of the thing that is sought to be achieved.

The second point that I want to make in this context is land distribution, which is a thing which has held us spell-bound for the last How much energy. twenty years. how much time and how much verbosity has gone into it I cannot say. But if land distribution was left to such constituted panchayats on the basis of university of membership and consensus, I would say respectfully that this problem that has been hanging fire for the last 20 years would be solved in 20 months, because the present land distribution laws depend on the official machinery, and the official machinery ha no way of finding out who is landless.

Thirdly, there must be financial independence of the panchayats, and financial independence cannot be based on the fact that the Government set<sub>3</sub> apart a certain fraction of the land revenue to the panchayats. It must be made in such a way that every person who is a member of the panchayat must contribute at least 1/30th of his annual income to it.

Lastly, there must be a corporate ownership of land. This is a bogey which could very well raise a hornet's nest because it visualizes corporate ownership that has been tried in other parts of the world. I am not drawing the attention of the House to that. What I mean is that there should be corporate ownership on the basis of trusteeship and land should vest in the panchayat on the basis of trust.

I raise these points only for the sake of putting them up as a matter of discussion. These are not the final word.

I have already found a consensus amongst the Members here that there must be set up a committee. The hon, Minister has promised to bring this mutter to the notice of a committee

# 333 Planning & Dev. AGRAHAYANA 22, 1896 (SAKA) Declaration of 334 through Panchayat Raj Bill Assets by Elected Representatives (HAH)

that already exists. All that I would request him even now is that the Members of Parliament who might be interested in this as a subject should be at least asked to depose before that committee and to bring about a certain sitting of the committee in which that committee will take specific note of this particular aspect.

In conclusion. I would sav that whatever might be contemplated as a stop-gap measure, one thing should be taken into consideration as to whether it can be at least weighed for its viability and that is, whether it would be possible to amend the Constitution and include in the Seventh under Schedule another new item item 97. You say that the formation of panchayats at the village level based on these four points would be included under item 97 in the Seventh Schedule of the Constitution

I would say that the Madhya Pradesh Government has been the first which Government in this country has already framed a law based on these four points and that law 19 working So I think, I have a point in requesting the hon Minister to study this matter more deeply That was all the purpose in bringing forward this Bill before the House and in the hope that he will take the matter up, I would, under his instructions, withdraw the Bill

### MR CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the leave be granted to withdraw the Bill to provide for planning and development through various democratic and official agencies of Panchayat Raj"

The motion was adopted.

SHRI RANABAHADUR SINGH: I withdraw the Bill.

#### 15.56 hrs.

CONSTITUTION (AMENDMENT) BILL

#### (AMENDMENT OF ARTICLE 324)

SHRI R P. ULGANAMBI (Vellore): Mr. Chairman, Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Bill further to amend the Constitution of India, be taken into consideration."

MR. CHAIRMAN The hon Member may continue on the next occassion We now take up the Half-An-Hour Discussion

15.57 hrs.

# HALF-AN-HOUR DISCUSSION Declaration of Assets by the elected Representatives

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE (Rajapur). Mr Chairman, Sir, I am raising a discussion on a very important question which relates to the declaration of assets by the elected representatives.

To put the record straight, I would like to read the Question that was posed to the Home Minister and the reply that was given by the Minister concerned. The Question was:

"(a) whether a number of newspapers carried editorials and erticles during July-August last to the effect that for a healthy and progressive political atmosphere, it was necessary that every elected representative should declare publicly the movable and immovable property owned or controlled by him;

(b) whether Government have examined this demand and taken any decision thereon; and

\*Moved with the recommendation of the President.