which the Prima Minister will continue to be Chairman, should be composed of all Union Cabinet Ministers, Chief Ministers of the States and the Union Territories and Members of the Planning Commission. Delhi Administration will be represented in the Council by the Lt. Governor and the Chief Executive Councillor, and the remaining Union Territories by their respective Administrators.

It will be essentially for the State Governments to consider what arrangements they will make to deal with planning at the State or lower levels. These will be discussed and settled between the Central and State Governments from time to time.

Shri M. R. Massai (Rajkot); Mr Speaker, may we make a few observations on this statement?

Mr. Speaker: Not, now. We can consider it later on.

का • राज जनोहर सोहिवा: (क्रजीज): क्रव्यक महोदय, एक-माळ प्रक्त पूछने दीजिये:

भी पनी राज (पुरी) : इस पर वहस होती चाहिये ।

Mr. Speaker: Let us see,

28,35 km.

DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORA-TION (AMENDMENT) BILL*

The Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Finance (Siri (Merarii Dunii): Sir, I move for leave to introduce a Bill further to amend the Deposit Insurance Corporation Act, 1961. Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Deposit Insurance Corporation Act, 1961."

The motion was adopted.

Shri Movarji Desai: Sir, I mtroduce** the Bill.

12.20 hrs.

DEMANDS FOR GRANTS-contd.

MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL APPAIRS—contd.

Mr. Speaker: We have got another 3 hours and 15 minutes for discussion of these demands. Today we will be also be taking up the adjournment motion and so we will get about two hours. This will have to be continued tomorrow. The Prime Minister, I am told, is speaking at 3.30 p.m. today.

An hon. Member: On what?

Mr. Speaker: On the Demands of the External Affairs Ministry.

Shrimati Vijaya Lakshmi Pandit (Phulpur): Mr. Speaker, Sir, the foreign affairs debate has already covered a wide area and a number of conflicting discussions and suggestions have emanated from it. The Government has been under criticism for several of its policies, and especially, for the manner in which it has handled the crisis in West Asia. May I seek your indulgence to express a few views of this area and on allied matters?

Human memory is proverbially short and I am sure that many hon. Members of this House have already forgotten the Suez crisis. It is good to remember that at the time when President Nasser nationalised the

^{*}Published in Genette of India Extra ordinary, Part II, Section 2, dated 17th July, 1867.

^{**}Introduced with the recommendation of the President.

[Shrimati Viyaya Lakshmi Pandit]

Suez Canal, the state of hysteris emanating from frustrated hopes that was evidenced in the western world, particularly in London where I happened to be posted at that time, was something almost beyond belief. We now have the inside story of What happened at that time, a story narrated by the Minister of State of Foreign Affairs in the British Cabinet, and it makes very ugly reading indeed, because, step by step and day by day, he traces how France and England came together and how they manoeuvred to create a situation through Which the crisis was exaggerated and a second war in that area was started It is obvious that this active support, given by these two powers was responsible for the way in which Israel was able to go ahead at that time

The motive, too obvious and it is the same motive that today jed to this third war Today, there was no open alliance but the moral support given to Israel encouraged her to go ahead and fire the first shot. France was not in it this time, and the support came from others who still hope that when opportunity arises they can manipulate the situation to their own interests. Many countries in the west are still smarting at the end of an empire, they are by no means reconciled to the present status.

We are often told that we are not reconciled to the creation of Pakistan This of course is completely incorrect, because no act of ours has ever shown that we have not accepted fully and finally the creation of Pakistan We have never tried by underhand means to upset any plans or to seek for ourselves any advantage under ogwer of some sort of friendly action.

What happened in the recent war was that with the desire to re-create a new balance of power in west Asia, very definite encouragement was given to Israel and if Israel had continued expanding her gains, if the authority of the world community had not led

to the cease-fire, then there is no doubt that some Powers would have gladly stepped in and once again that area would have become a chess-board for those whose ambition has no end and who seek to hide that ambition by talking about in terms of establishing stability, helping to raise economic standards and what not.

The other day, I think it was the hon Member for Rajkot, Mr. Masani, who mentioned that the very act of the UAR barring the Gulf of Aqaba was an act of aggression I would like to say in reply

Shri M R. Mamui (Rajkot); The blockade is an act of war

Shrimati Vijaya Lakshmi Pandit: Yes, as an act of war I was not present then and I am merely repeating what I read in the newspapers. In my view, the road to aggression was paved when the home for the Jews was carved out of the heart of Arab lands, and this was and has been an invitation to continued crisis in that area. They will go on until some modus vivendi is discovered, some relationship is established, between these two countries since what has been unjustly done cannot now be undone

The establishment of Israel took place in 1947. I was present at the UN and was a witness to the release of the most violent sentiments and emotions which were almost uncontrollable. It was a hysterical occasion but it was perfectly natural. The pressure that was being brought for the creation of Israel State, and the division of Palestine, was too great, and ultimately a home for the Tews was established I think quite a number of countries were aware-India certainly among them-that a timebomb was being planted and that in course of time it would explode. It has exploded not once but three-times, and nobody knows how often it will explode again because the conditions remain inflammable.

An hen. Monher: Time-bomb in Pakistan will be released.

Shrimati Vijaya Lakshmi Pandit; Time-bombs are planted all the time by interested politicians

Shri M. L. Sondhi (New Delhi): Does she want Israel to be extinguished?

Shrimati Vilaya Lakshmi Pandit: Time-bombs are created by politicians. Pakistan was created by political manosuvre and all the troubles of the world stem from such manoeuvres The older I grow the more I realise that it is not through politicians that the world will be led into a safe harbour of peace and security but through the efforts of those people of goodwill, who cut across politics, who attach importance to truth and moral and ethical values and who work for the good of mankind as a whole, and this applies specially to our country at the present time. (Interruption)

Mr. Speaker: Let her get along with the speech

Shrimati Vijaya Lakshmi Pandit: The question before us today, that has been specially condemned in this House and by quite a section of public opinion is the fact that India has said that aggression must not pay dividends; that India has demanded that aggression must end and the Israeli forces must be withdrawn before there can be any talk of peace Unless Israel is made to understand that it cannot profit by aggression. how can there be a climate m which calm and quiet discussions on important and vital issues on the future of the areas can take place? If every war of aggression, if every act of indiscipline, leads to fulfilment and reward, well then, the United Nations had better wind itself up, and we who talk in such loud voices about peace. progress and all the rest of it had better stop doing so. Aggression must be condemned It cannot be allowed to pay dividends. In the present case, how are the Arabs to come to the

Conference table unless first the areas which the enemy holds are vacated? I believe India has a role to play and India can and should play this role in trying to persuade the Arabs to give guarantees of security to Israel But India cannot do this as fully as she might have been able to, because her relations with Israel have been rather illogical. We recognised Israel. at the time of its creation and we have wished her well. But on the other hand, we have had no influence over her, because there has never been any kind of contact through which our common interests could develop

We believe in non-alignment and in peaceful co-existence The other day I read the speech of the Foreign Minister of Tanzania wherein he that non-alignment should not mean hypocrisy Therefore, I submit very humbly to the Government that our role is that of peacemaker in West Asia, a role which we can very well fulfil, we have done it in the past and we should be able to do st in the future and with the assistance of all shades of opinion in the country After this immediate crisis is over and after the vacation of the areas occupied by Isreal, I think it would not be bad idea if the Government could give a new look to some aspects of its polics and create a new of thinking in which whilst not necessarily supporting Israel on the things that do not suit us, because ultimately it is our own benefit and interest that we have to look yet we can have more power to influence than we possess today far as the world is concerned so far as Isreal is concerned, we are aligned very definitely with one particular area Of course, the area to which we are aligned is a vital area for us. It is also vital for the progress Asia The Arab countries so recently emancipated, still divided, struggling for progress and stability, must be assisted and helped to go forward along the path that they are desperstely struggling to carve out for them.

[Shrimati Vliaya Lakshmi Pandit]

selves and must be protected from those who eye them with a view to imposing their power again, not necessarily in the old forms-those old days are gone and cannot be brought back-but in new ways, with which we in this country are familiar. What I would like to say is that perhaps after the stand India has taken on this issue in and outside the UN. stand to which I give my fullest support, she could have the courage to say that whilst retaining the closest friendship with the Arabs ≥nd the desire to see them progress and prosper, she feels she can play a part by helping to lessen the gulf between them. Eventually, it would not only benefit the Arabs and Israelites, but it will be for the benefit of West Asia and possibly of the world.

I would like to say a word about Vietnam. We have disapproved ារិ what is happening in Vietnam. have disapproved rather mildly and it has had no effect. No doubt Government have their reasons, "which reason knows nothing of." Each day of escalation of conflict, with all its -horrors and suffering with esch day of the mounting tensions on both sides, it is shameful that we are lessening the effectiveness of our leadership. When the whole world has expressed its horror at what is happening in Vietnam, when even in America conservative elements are raising their voices against this, we have not come forward in an emphatic manner. Now is the time when India should say something more specific than merely condeming the escalation which everyone condemns anyway, thereby seeking again to give a lead which must help a wider circle of interests than merely our own. It is very important that time should not be wasted any longer, became the longer we wait, the loss opportunity we get for playing a part in helping to end a tragic situation.

I read in the papers the other day that our Foreign Minister was about to go to Beigrade and Cairo, to discuss our future policy towards West Asia and I supposed to seek the wisdom of our friends in those two capitals. This seems to me a reversal of the role that India has played in past and should play in the future. I would welcome the visits of others to us sometimes in search of our wisdom and advice, rather than our being wanderers on the face of the earth, collecting wisdom, opinions and advise of other people who may be very learned and wise, but who ultimately cannot look at our problems or even at the wider world problems as they affect us and our future.

I would like to say merely this. Though we have passed through very rough seas and the waves are still pretty high, there is no doubt that there is in this country ample wisdom, ample experience and ample courage When I say in this country, I am not trying to insinuate that this talent, ability and wisdom should be taken into the Government. What I am trying to say is, when a country is in deep waters, every single person in the country must be made to feel that he or she is contributing towards the objectives of that country. Therefore, I would appeal to Government, who in their wisdom have done many things that have put the country on the road to progress, to think once again of those aspects of all their policies which could do with a little pruning and trimming. After all, policies are made by man; man is not made for policies There is no question of any insult to oneself in so doing nor any disloyalty to ideas and ideals. Changed times require changed directions. I shall close by pleadi with the Government that when times are a little more normal, these the might be taken into consideration so as to build a stronger, more suited, more purposetul India, an India which can take its real place in

leadership and in giving direction towards the hings to which we are pleaded—net just peace and progress in our country but peace, economic progress and fulfilment for mankind.

का॰ राज कतोहर लोक्या (कत्रीज) : क्रम्यक बहोयय, श्री विश्वय सक्त्री पंडित . . .

कुद्ध वालगीय सक्त्य : थीमती ।

डा॰ राज करोड्डर जोड्डिया: श्री पंडित के जावण के पहले हिस्से को जुन कर तो मुझे लग रहा जा कि प्रव हम लोगों की कोई करूरत ही नहीं रह गई है, राजनीति करने बाले तो सब बेकार हो गए हैं। प्रव तो साजुओं से कुनिया का काम क्लेगा। लेकिन कास्तर ने करा उन्होंने प्राक्ता के लिए कुछ किरण छोड़ दी, बाहर के लोगों को बुनाया कि वे बागे और हिन्दुस्तान से जान केकर वार्षे

चीनती निजयतनार्थी पंडित । साप भी जब नेरी उन्हा में पहुंचेंगे तो साप भी नासू हो जायेंगे, नुसे यह साला है।

विमती सामकेक्यरी सिन्हा (नाद) : याज भी फर्न नहीं है ।

बा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : घापकी
उच्च में बीर नेरी उच्च में बहुत व्यविक प्रकं नहीं है : बहुत साब हो सकता था, इतनी नवरींक की उच्च है । बीर फिर साधू तो कोड़ा बहुत में मुक्त से ही रहा हूं, वह चाप बानती ही हैं ।

बुने धामा बंबी कि सायद हिन्दुस्तान के बाहर के नोगों को भी कुछ सीखने के लिए बाकी है ।

दती सम्मण में बेरी तम से पहली दीका मह है कि सम-क्यो कियेगी मानतों पर बहुत हुआ करती है यो यह साम्यिकता भी स्थापक में संग्र बादा कपती है। उन्हों स्थितों को सार्वे होती हैं, उनमें स्थू पंत स्थापक करती हैं। सी स्पार स्थापक स्यापक स्थापक स्यापक स्थापक स्थापक

विस्फोट । विवतनाम ब्रुष्ट पुराना जरूर है । इन्हीं मसलो को लेकर जैसे और दुनिया के खीग इक्षर उधर जनकर लगाते हैं हम भी यहां पर भ्रपना बक्त बरबाद कर दिवा करते हैं। विदेश नीति पर इतनी सामयिकता और बन्ती बहस करना बुरा होता है सास तौर से एक ऐसे मृत्क के लिए जो कमजोर हो। इसलिए तब से पहले में विदेश नीति के सम्बन्ध में यह फर्क बताऊंगा कि दो तरह के वेज है, एक ताकतवर भीर दूसरे कमवोर । वाकतवर देशों को बनती मामलों पर, सामयिक मामलों पर करना पढता है, बिजार, धरना पड़ता है कान धीर धपना रुख बनाना पड़ता है। इसका कारण यह है कि वे ताकतवर हैं, मक्तिशाली हैं, घन के, धस्त्र के लेकिन जो देश धन भीर शस्त्र में कमजोर हैं उनका धगर वही रख हो, जहां कही झाग लगे, चलो बुझाने तो ठीक नही है। द्याग बुझाने के लिये कोई हमियार तो है नहीं, फायरब्रिगेड तो है नहीं, दमकल तो है नहीं, लेकिन चलो ब्जाने को तो वह बीज बड़ी गसत हो जाया करती है किमजोर देशों के सिए सब से पहला व्यान होना चाहिए देस घीर सीमा की सुरक्षा मौर दूसरा ध्यान होना चाहिए विश्व हित के लिए बादर्मशाद । लेकिन मुझे यह कड़ना है कि भारतवर्ष की विदेश नीति न जाने कसे पिछले बीस बरस से कुछ उलटी हो गई है। देस हित के मामने में तो धादर्जवाद था गया है, देश रक्षा के मामसे मे मे तो श्रादर्शनाद शा गया है लेकिन दुनिया के मानसे में स्वार्थनार था गया है। प्रमरीका जो कहे, रूस जो कहे, चीन जो कहे, नासर जो कहे, बस उच्ची दलदस में फसे रह काघी। इसिक्ट हमको विका हित में बादर्शनाद ग्रीर देश हिन्त में मधार्यकाद पर चलना पाहिये 🗓

मुझे इन पिछने कुछ विनों की प्रधान मंत्री जी की बाताओं गर गोड़ा शक हो एहा है। वह कहा कहा वा रही हैं? नेह, तब्द्याब फायकोट । पता नहीं और कहां कहां वह हैं। मैंने वह भी जुना है कि कह यह जाती [डा॰ राम मनोहर नोहिंसा]

जाती हैं तब उसके बाद खबर मिलती है, पहले नही मिलती है। कुछ मेरे मन में बवास मा रहा है कि कही इबराइस भी छ्त तो नहीं दुनिया को लगने वाली है ? इस वक्त इजराइल के ऊपर मैं कोई घपनी राव नहीं दैरहा हूं। उभने घच्छा, बुश काम किया, इस के बारे में कुछ राय नहीं दे रहा हूं। द्माप जानने हो है कि मैं हर लड़ाई को बुरा ममझना हू। इत्रराइल की लड़ाई को भी बुरा समझता हू। लेकिन भव जिस इलाके को इंडराइल ग्रंपने कब्बे में रख रहा है उसको मैं बुरा समझता हु एक की छोड़ कर। धौर बहु है यम्मलम की दावाल । उन दीवाल के मामले में जरूर मेरी कुछ राय है और ग्राग भः, किमी शरह से घन्नर्राप्ट्रीय जहर बनाया त्रामकेतावडा ग्रम्छा है। क्यायहाभी इचराइल की छून नो नहीं लगी है जराजल्दी से, घमाके के बाब, यापड़ जमा दा, कुछ हिषयाला, क्या करेगा सयुक्त राष्ट्र ? ऐमा कुछ म्धकः। इर लग रहा है कि पाकिम्नान बा चीन को तरफ से बाकमण जो हमेका **अस्तूब**ण में हुंचा करता है वह नहीं, बल्कि इसो बरार में हा मकता है। भायद इसी बाम्ते प्रजान मनो घडगई हुई घुमधाम रही हैं इष्ठर उधर लेकिन उस चूमने घामने से कुछ हत्या नही जा नक सकल्य प्रक्तिन हो, अव तक हभ नकत्य प्रक्तिन यनालें इस बाक्रमण के बारे से कि हमको इनका मामना करना है। उस धाकमण का बामना करते बक्त एक पुरानी बात मैं याद दिलाऊंगा । मैं इसम तौर से मिवयों ने मिला नही करता । **ब्राम ती**र में क्या, कभी नहीं जिलता । वैकिन एक बार भास्त्रीजी से मिला था। क्षण बड़ी घटकर्ने लगाई गई की। वह भर यए हैं । इनिनये में इन बात का खुलाता कर रहा 🛊 । अची तक मैं कची इंत सम्बन्ध मे बोला नहीं था। मैं उनमें मिला था इस निर्कि**कु∌ इक्षाई बहु। ब**प**ब्यून जोनो** को दे विये नावें और यह मैं अपनी तरफ से नहीं कह रहा पर र मुझे शब्दून सीवों ने कहा

वा कि अगर उन्हें तुवाई कर्तव निर्मेष ती
वे उनका इस्तेमाल करेंगे। मेंने मही बात
उम बक्त के नेना मही में बी कही थी।
वह जिन्या है। तब भी चोन्हाण ने। उनका
नमा जवाब या यह में आपको महीं
बननाऊगा। इसका कारण या है कि मेरा
यह अरावा है कि किस कारण में मेरी
बानचीन होती हैं बानचीन अपनी है।
उनकी नबीयत हो बतायें, न बनायें।

लेकिन एक बात बिल्कुस नाफ है। मैं युद्ध नापमन्द करता हूं। मैं कभी नहीं चाहना कि हिन्दुस्तान चीन घषवा पाकि-स्तान पर शाक्षमण करे । मैं यह भी जानता ह कि जब बान घीर पाकिस्तान हिन्दुस्तान पर बाक्सण करेंगे तो सारी दुनिया मैं यह बदर फैलायेंगे कि बाक्मण हिन्दुम्तान से किया है । उन बक्त बापके लिए बड़ा बुक्किस हो जाएगा भगर भाष चौकन्ने नहीं रहेंगे। द्याक्रमण में बिल्कुल नही करना चाहना हू। लेकिन अगर कभी बाक्सण हो स्या नो फिर ऐने मौके पर चौ मुनी का दिल और कछुए के प्राण होते हैं, उनको रख कर काम नहः चनेवा । सध्यक्ष महोदय, जायर प्राप कछए के प्राण जानते होंये । बाजार वें विकते हैं। उसकी टांग बीरे श्रीरे कटती चली जाती है, उस बेचारे को कुछ पता नहीं चलना है कि मेरी टांग कट रही है या कोई दूसरा हिस्साकट रहा है, विक रहा है। तो मैं कहना कि कछूए के प्राण भीर मर्गी का दिस रवा कर चाकनच का सामना नहीं किया वासकता है।

इत बास्ते से बाहुगा कि उत , बस्त वह सरकार संकल्प मस्ति अपनी रखे । पसटन मन्बर तीन है । बेती कारखावे मन्बर वो बीच है। मन्बर एक बीच है तबीचल, संकल्प मस्ति, यह संकल्प मस्ति वो कपी कवी बराव जोच विकास करते हैं वा कवी कवी बराव जोच विकास करते हैं का कवी कवी कवी क्यर हनना हो बाए तो उस हनने की काम करने के लिए पूरी ताकत कवा कर, पूरी कवित नगा कर जितना ज्याना नुवसाब देना हो दे कर अपनी बान को हासिल किया जाए । मैं आप से अभी इस विदेश नीति पर बहुत के दौरान इसकी नांग करता हूं ।

चाबिर विदेश नीति है स्था। मैं एक उपमा नेता हुं। विदेश नीति एक ऐनी परनी है (इंडरप्तंस)_ प्राप चाहे तो में बाद में उसट दूगा (विदेश नीति एक ऐसी परनी है जिस का पति है मन्न बीर उच्चीन विमान भीर जिस का बेटा है रक्षा सेना वासे । तो विदेश नीति का पति है भ्रम्न भीर उच्चोग उसका बेटा है पलटन और सेना । नेकिन हमारी विदेश नोति जिल्ल डंग से चल रही है उस में मैं कहना चाहता हुं कि यह पूत्र हीन विषया बन गई है। कोई शाकत इस में नहीं है है में पलट वेता है। भगर भीरत की जगह मर्दे ने ने तो मैं कहेंगा कि वंस सोपी विश्वर बन गई है। इस में कोई ताकत नहीं रह गई है। वन लोपी विवृर, ऐसा एक नर्द दिस ने घर कुछ वंश को चलाने की ताकत नहीं रह गई है। जब विदेश नीति इस स्विति के क्यर पहुंच जाए तब हम को पूरो ताकत के साथ फसना करना पड़ेगा कि बाखिर हवारा संकल्प कैसा हो।

13 hrs.

वसे याथ मैंने जववारों में पढ़ा । विरेष मंत्री शाहन कहते हैं कि चीनियों की हुनारी सनीन के अपर तो तकीवत नहीं लेकिन कुछ राजनीति की तबीवत है । इसको पढ़ कर नुत्रों देश जना कि वे जावन नाओं तो तुंच के कहीं निज्य कर तो नहीं बाए हैं? कितनी ही धूनारी कनीन उसके प्रविकार में बजी नहीं है किर भी नह हवरत कहते हैं कि चीनियों की खांख हनारी सनीन पर नहीं हैं, उन भी सांख हमारी राजनीति पर है। बजा उस की सांख मी समायाय हा मो राममूर्ति पर है ? किन पर है उन की शाख ? सन्द उन की शांख समीन पर नहीं है, तो कहां है ?

कल जब श्री चपलकांत प्रद्राचार्यं ने संस्कृत का एक स्लोक पढ़ा, तो नै सदन में नहीं था। मैं कालीदान के कुमार संघनम् सं हिमालय के बारे में स्लोए पढ़ कर सुनाना बाहता हूं। मायद दुनिया को सारी कविता में हिमालय को ले कर ऐना स्थोक नहीं है और अह घड़ेला यह फिद्ध करता है कि घगर हिमालय किसी का है, तो वह हिन्दुस्तान का है—मेरा मतलब भारत से नहां है, बस्कि मेरा मतलब उस हिन्दुस्तान से है, जो भारत धौर पाकिस्तान को मिला कर बनेगा।

ूशस्युत्तरस्या दिशि देवतात्मः हिमानवो नाम नगाविराजः

पूर्वापरा तायनिधा विगाह्य स्थितः पृष्टिमा इम मानदडः

यह है हिमालया। इस हिमालय के लिए .

Mr. Speaker: We adjourn for junch now.

12.01 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned for lunch till Fourteen of the Clock.

The Lok Sabha re-assembled after Lunch at Fourteen of the Clock.

[MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

DEMANDS FOR GRANTS-contd.

MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS—contd

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Dr. Lohia may resume his speech.

He has lifted the debate, by quoting Kalidasa, to Himalayan heights. I wish the subline height is kept and not brought down.