[听ी साषू राम]
घौर समय ग्राप दें ताकि मैं इस सारे बयान की सही तस्वीर घापके घोर हाउस के सामने पेश कर सकूँ।

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA): Sir, the Home Minister had informed the House on April 2, 1969 that the Shankaracharya of Puri had, while speaking on March 29, 1969 at the International Convention of World Hindus at Patna, stated that untouchability was not wrong. The Home Minister had also assured the House that the question of prosecuting Shankaracharya under the law would be taken up with the State Government.
2. Government have obtained legal advice regarding the actionability under law of the speech delivered by the Shankaracharya. We are advised that the speech coming as it did from a person of the position of the Shankaracharya and delivered in a conference of Hindus constituted an encouragement to the practice of untouchability, and was accordingly punishable under section 7(1) (c) of the Untouchability (Offences) Act, 1955.
3. The Bihar Government were advised to exami ie t'ie authentic test of the speech with a view to launching a prosecution, They have reported that the police are instituting a case und:r the Un'ouchability (Off inces) Act, 1955 and section 153-A. I.P.C., and are taking up investigation immediately.
4. The police have registered and are investigating a case under Un ouchability (Offences) Act, 1955 in respect of the statements in the same strain made by the Shankaracharya in Delhi on 8th April.

SHRI RANDHIR SINGH (Rohtak): But he has not been arrested.

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: After the investigations are over, that will be seen.

MR. SPEAKER: We have already spent four or five hours on this.

SHRI S. KUNDU (Balasore): The prosecution is going on. Government have to come out with a statement why he has not been arrested......**

MR. SPEAKER: No, no. Nothing will be recorded. He sh uld not get up like this and make such observations. It is not at all proper. I am not going to allow any further discussion.

## SOME HON. MEMBERS rose-

MR. SPEAKER: Order, order...... (Interruptions) That has not been the practice all along. I am not allowing anybody to make any observation now.

### 12.30 bours.

FINANCE BILL, 1969—contd.

MR. SPEAKER: We have spent about 5 hours 25 minutes already on the Finance Bill and a balance of 4 hours and 35 minutes remain. The Deputy Prime Minister will have to reply sonstim: in the evening. I suggest that he may reply at about 6 O'clock in the evening; he will take about 35-40 minutes and then wa have half an hour discussion also. We shall take up clause-byclause consideration tomorrow; four hours are allotted for it and ons hour for the third reading.

SHRI RANGA (Srikakulam): Would you be good enough to give a little more time for the clause-by-clause discussion?

MR. SPEAKER: The Business Advisory Committee is making today. If you want to extend the time by half an hour or one hour we can discuss it there. The Committee meets at 3.30 and we can consider these things there.

Shri Achal Singh may continue his speech.

[^0]जी पषल लिस्ता (प्रागरा) : प्रहपष्ष महोदय, में भारत की भ्राधिक स्थिति के बारे में कुछ प्रकाश हालना चाहता हूं। भारत एक प्रवि प्रघान शर गरीव देश है। हमारे यहां प्रति बषं देश की भाएय करीब 30,000 करोड़ रपये की होती है। इसमें 15,000 करोड़ कुषि से होती है। में पिछले सश्रह बरसों सें देल रहा हुं कि हर वष्ष हैकम बढ़ा दिये जाते हैं घोर श्रब वे झ्रसह हो गये हैं। भारतनष्ष की श्राबादी 55 करोड़ है प्रेर हमारा बजट करीव 3500 करोड़ रुपए का है। इस हिसाब से करीब 60 या 65 रुपये फी श्राषघमी टैंस पड़ता है, जर्बक हमारा देश बहुत गरीब है।

मेरा सुभाव है कि प्रगर हमारी पन्लिक
 हमें टंद़ लगाने की जहरत नहीं पड़ेगी, वलिक उससे हमारा बबट सरप्लस हो सकता है। हमारे देष में करीब 80 पहिलक अंड्रोटफ़जन हैं, जिन पर 3500 करोड़ रूपा लगा हुश्रा है। इस वर्ष उनमें ३र करोड़ रुपये का घाटा दिसाया गया है, हालांक्रि 700,800 करोड़ छपये का फायदा हो सकता है।

इसी प्रकार रेलबेज में करीब 3500 करोड़ रपया लगा हुश्रा है। पिछले दो बरसों में रेलवेज में घाटा दिलाया गया था घोर इस साल 110 लाख रूपे का फारयदा दिसाया गया है। रेलवेज में एउमिनिस्ट्र शून को श्रहिक दक्ष बन्ध कर भोर फिजूलसर्षी को कम करके हमें उससे 700,750 करोड़ रुपए की ज्ञाय हो सकती है। हमने देखा है कि क्रिटिया गवनेंमेंट के जमाने में रेलवे से काफी आामदनी होती थी क्षोर वह उससे काकी रेकेन्यू पंदा करती थी।

भ्रगर हम देश में समजबाद स्थापित करना चाहते हैं, तो इसका मतलब चह नहीं हैं कि हम घाटे में काम करें, जबकि हमारे देश में जो 75 बढ़े-ऩे़े पईईवेट कनसन्जें हैं, के करोढ़ें खपये पैदा कर हहे हैं और 25 परसेंट

से लेकर 50 परसंट तक मुनाफा कमा रहे हैं। इस स्थिति में क्या वजह है कि हमारी पल्लिक अंज्रटरेकिज नुकसान उठा रही हैं ? समाजवाद तो तमी हो सकता है, जब हमारे कायं फौर क्यवहार में नंतिक्ता हो। हमारे बड़े-बढ़े सरकारी कारसानों में घ्रोउरहेड एक्वपेंसिज बहुत ब्यादा हैं ग्रोर करष्शान है, जिसकी वजह से उनमें बजाय फापदे के नुकसान होता है । भाज कुछ लोगों की दोर से कहा जाता है कि बैंका श्रादि कुछ भौर उदोगों को मी सरकार पषने हाय में से ले। अ्रगर ऐसा किया गया, तो उनमें भी घाटा हो सकता है।

इसलिए मेरा नुभाव है कि या तो पल्लिक भंंडरोकिज़ को ठीक ढंग से चलाकर उनसे फायदा कमाया जाये ग्रोर या उनको केंके पर दे दिया जाये । भुगर हम रेलेकेज को केके पर दे दें, तो दे 15,20 परसेंट मुनाफा दे सकती है प्रोर भारत की गरीब जनता को काफी राहत मिल सकती है, जिससे ज्यादा टैक्स लगाने की जहरत ही नहीं रहगे।

जहाँ तक घुआास्टत का सम्बस्व है, वह एक घहुत बड़ी बीमारी है, जिसको दूर करने के लिए महात्रा गांषी ने अ्रपनी जान की बाज़ी लगा दी थी। सब लोगों के सम्मिलित प्रयलों का नतीजा वह है कि श्राज शहरों में हुभा|श्रत बत्म हो गई है। हाँ, गौंबों में भ्रमी भी वह मोजूद है । इस सम्बन्ध में जो कानून बने हुए हैं, उनके. श्रन्तगंत हम चुषा क्लत के विरद्ध उनित कदम उठा सकते हैं। जहीं तक शंकराजायं का सम्बन्ध है, उन्होंने दो हजार वर्ष पुराने श्रास्रों का हवाला देकर बुया ाूक्त का समर्यंत किया है। लेकिन भाज की स्थिति में हमने प्रपने संविधान के मानुसार कास करना है।

भाज से 2,500 वषं वहले भगवान् महाबीर ने बुछा हूत्त के लिताफ भ्षावाज्य उठई थी। उन्होने बताया था कि मनुष्य मान्र एक हैं भौर भ्रगर कोई ऊंचन-मीचा है, तो वह श्रपने करों से ऊंचा या नीचा होता है, न कि श्रपनो जाति के कारा। जिस घकार हमने भगवान् वुद्ध की
[श्री श्रचल ससह]
जन्म-शाताब्दी मनाई है, उसी प्रकार हमें भगवान् महावीर की पच्चीसवीं निर्वारा-राताब्दी मनानी चंहिए ग्रोर उसका फायदा उठाकर घहिंसा, सत्य भ्रोर प्रेम के पक्ष में ग्रोर छुपाहूत के विरुद्ध प्रचार करना चाहिए। हमें जनता में यह प्रच र करना चाहिए कि सब लोगों को मानवता श्रोर "जीप्रो और जीने दो" के सिद्धान्त का पालन करना चाहिए ग्रोर मानव मात्र के साथ वही व्यवहार करना चाहिए, जो कि हम भ्रपने साथ चाहते हैं।

हमारे देश में ला एन्ड श्रार्डर की स्थिति बहुत बिगड़ गई है। हम देखते हैं कि देश के सभी भागों में कल होते हैं ग्रौर उाके पड़ते हैं, जिससे जनता बहुम भयभीत है श्रोर घ्रपने-श्राप को सुरक्षित नहीं पाती है। घ्रगर हमने देश में डेमोक्रेसी को कायम रखना है, तो हमें ला एन्ड झ्रार्डर को बनाये रखना होगा। खास तोर से मष्य प्रदेशा, उत्तर प्रदेशा ग्रोर राजस्थान में ला एन्ड ग्रार्डर की स्थिति बतुत खराब है। हम देखते हैं कि वंस्ट बंगाल में जो राज्य सरकार ला एन्ड आ्रार्ठर को कायम रखने के लिए ज़िम्मेदार है, वही वहां पर हड़ताल कराती है। वहां पर दुर्भाग्यवश चार ग्रादमियों की हेथ हुई ग्रोर उसको लेकर वहाँ की सरकार ने तमाम स्टेट में हड़ताल कराई श्रोर सारे कारोबार को बन्द रखा गया, जिससे बीस, पच्चीस करोड़ रुपये का नुकसान हुग्रा। इस प्रकार की गंर-जिम्मेदार सरकार किस तरह एडमिनिस्ट्रे रान चला सकती है ? इसलिए केन्द्र को सावधानी श्रोर मज़बूती से काम लेना चाहिए, ताकि श्राइन्दा इस तरह की बातें न हो सकें।

MR. SPEAKER: There should be some time-limit. What is the use of your simply going on? The points that you are making about Mahatma Gandhi, Mahavir, etc., are very good points, but they do not fit in with the Finance Bill. Please conclude within two minutes.

धी पघल सिह : मुभे यह कहना है कि हमारे देश का नैतिक पतन बहुत हो गया है घोर जब तक हमारे देश में नितिकता नहीं

घ्राएगी प्रजातन्त्र हमारे यहां कायम नहीं रह सकता है। इसकी वजह से हम देखते हैं कि हमारे देशा में सम्र्रदायवाद, जातीयता, भाषावाद, बाउंड्रीज़ का सवाल, पानी का सवाल, यह सब सवाल रोज़ खड़े होते रहते हैं घ्रोर भ्राए दिन स्ट्राइक होती है, घेराव होता है झ्रोर एक किस्म की श्रव्यवस्था पैदा की जाती है जिससे कि हमारे देश का पतन होता है श्रोर काफी नुकसान होता है, तोड़-फोड़ होती है, करोड़ों रुपये का नुकसान स्टेट का ग्रोर सेंटर का तोड़फोड़ की वजह से होता है। तो जब तक कि हम श्रपनी ला एन्ड श्रार्डर की व्यवस्था को नहीं सुधारेंगे उस वक्त तक हम श्रपने प्रजातन्त्र को कायम नहीं रख सकेंगे ।

श्रभी हाल में राष्ट्रपति जी ने 26 जनवरी को एक सन्देश दिया था। उसमें उन्होंने श्रपील की थी सारे देशावासियों से कि उनको नितिकता को काम में लाना चाहिए श्रोर खास कर विद्यार्थी श्रोर नवयुवक-तर्गं से उन्होंने श्रपील की थी कमोंकि श्राज का 20-25 वर्ष का युवक या विद्यार्थी जो है उसको नहीं मालूम है कि किस तरह से हमने ग्राजादी प्राप्त की है। उनमें श्राज बड़ी हलचल है और वह गलत राजनीतिक पारियों के हाथ में पड़कर तमाम तोड़फोड़ की कार्यवाहियों में पड़ते हैं। तो हमारे राष्ट्रपति जी ने उमको सन्देश दिया है कि उनको श्रपने भविष्य के बारे में सोचना चाहिए श्रोर भविष्य को बनाना चाहिए। जो काम भी वह करें राष्ट्र के हित में करें। हम देखते हैं, एक तरफ जापान, जमंनी, इंग्लंड ग्रादि के जो नवयुवक हैं उनके श्रन्दर कितनी देशभक्ति होती है जब कि हमारे नवयुवकों में प्रोर विद्याथियों में देशभक्ति का नाम तक नहीं है। बगंर देशाक्ति के हम ग्राजादी को कायम नहीं रख सकते। देशाभक्त श्रोर मातृभूमि की भक्ति होना बहुत जरूरी है श्रोर वह तभी हो सकता है जब हममें चरित्र श्रोर नितिकता हो ।

मन्त्री महोदय से में यह् भपील करूंगा कि टैक्मों में ज्यादा बढ़ोतरी न करें श्रोर साथ-

साथ में उन्हें घन्यवाद देता हूं कि पन्प्पग सेट का टैंक्स घोर पोल्टेज टैंक्स उन्होने कम किया है। उसका में स्वागत करता हूं। दस हजार से पन्द्रह हजार तक जो टैक्स रखा है वह बहुत ज्यादा है। रुपये की वैल्यू म्राज दो भाने रह गई है तो इस बक्त जो टैवभ महाम ध्रेखी पर म्राता है वह बहुत ज्यादा भ्राता है। इसलिए में चाहूंगा कि इसको कम करें। इसके झ्यावा प्रिजर्वेशन झ्राफ फूठ पर 10 परसेंट म्रापने टैक्स रखा है। जितने सामान इंडस्ट्री में लगते हैं सब पर सेल्स टैक्स ग्रेर एक्साइज़ लगता है तो यह उबल टं ंभिसग हो जाता है। इसलिए में यह चाहूंगा कि जो यह दस परसेंट टंक्स श्रापने रखा है, उसमें जो पचास हजार तक की छूट दे रखी है, यह सीमा बहुत कम है, इसको डेढ़-दो लाख तक होना च।हिए। इसी तरह से जो काशत करते हैं उनमें डेढ़ लाख तक जिनका श्रसेसमेंट है इंडिविडुम्रल, घ्रोर दो लाख तक जिनका श्रसेसमेंट है ज्ञाइंट फेंमिली वाले, उनको एगज्पशन दिया है। तो इससे वंल्यूएरान में काफी भंभट पड़ सकती है। मेरा सुभाव है कि इंडिविड्डम्रल केसेज़ में जिनकी काशत 30 एकड़ तक हो उनको श्रोर ज्वाइंट फेमिली वाले को 40 एकड़ तक एग्जम्पट किया जाय।

SHRI SEZHIYAN (Kumbakonam): Sir, while presenting the budget for this year, the Finance Minister said that he was performing the traditional role of a sutradhara. For a task that is harsh and hard, he made a departure from the usual practice in previous years and tried to bring in some humour this year which I think will be appreciated. The only relief, he could give was words of wit and humour. If he had given some tax relief to the common man, his wit and humour would have been appreciated more. But as he went on with his script in the financial drama that is being enacted, it became evident that he was not only the sutradhara, but also the Director, the Producer, the play-back singer, the hero and in the climax scene, by putting harsh taxes, he proved the villain also,

SHRI THIRUMALA RAO (Kakinada): DMK knows about cinema also?

SHRI SEZHIYAN: As Shri Thirumala Rao says, of course, DMK people know about cinema, but the Finance Ministor has become a sutradhara and the Congress people are acting in the political drama of this country in many double roles.

As the drama was unfolded by the sutradhara, it became a tragedy for the common man. When I say this, not only the present Finance Minister but all the Finance Ministers who preceded him had made this climax coming to the tragic circumstances. Sir, 'tragedy' is defined as one that becomes inevitable and there is no turning back. Therefore, in this drama he has also a role to play. Of course, I do not blame the present Finance Minister, because the drama has been a continuing one since the Government of India took upon itself the planning affecting the country's destiny.

The Budget and the Finance Bill represent the procedure of the financial policy of this Government. This Government has been in office continuously for the past twenty years. In 1950 when the first plan was drafted, this country has been given to follow certain basic principles on which this planned economic development should take place. At Avadi where the idea first originated it was the idea of "socialistic pattern" then the name was changed to "socialism." Yesterday also, I am glad to note the spokesman of the Swatantra Party said that he also believed in socialism, but his socialism was of some other sort, "socialism of Gandhiji" as he callod it. He said that he did not want socialism that verged upon communism. Therefore, let us not argue upon words. The other day the Finance Minister resented when somebody said that it was a 'dragging budget, and so on. I do not want to give it any name, but it is a budget presented by him based upon some policy. The Congress Party and the Government have been following a policy of planned economic development for so many years. Instead of going into the name given for that policy let us see what have been the aims set forth for that economic policy. When they first began to undertake planned
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dcenomic development in 1951, they set before themselves certain specific objrctives with definite periods of time. There is nothing vague in it." Lét us analyse how far they have succeeded in achieving those objectives fo the definite periods laid down by them.

In 1950-51, when the First Five Year Plan was set rolling, some basic objectives were set forth in specific perio's of time allotted for each. I have listed five of the important ones. One is doubling of real per capita income by 1970-71. The First Plan set forth that by 1970-71 the real per capita intome of the people will be doubled. Of course, the target date was chang to 1975-76 during the Second Plan period. The second objective was reduction in the share of agriculture in the total working force, from over 70 per cent in 1950-51 to 60 per cent in 1975-76. The third objective wa's self-súficiency in foodgrains; the fourth -reduction in inequalities in income and weal $h$ and prevention of cuncentration of econ imic power and, the fifth, total cessativn of foreign aid by 1975.76. These five objectives were set forth before the country and certain target dates were also given in 1950-51. I do not want to go into the colour, whether it is pink-socialism or red socialism, these are the objectives and we should see how far the government have subscribed to these objectives and fulfilled them.

Regarding the doubling of real per capita income in the country by about 1970-71, the First Fiye Year Plan in Chapter I said thiṣ:
"We regard the rate of development indicated as not only the minimum that the community will have to aim at over the next few quinquenniums but as something which must be expected; with planned conservation and utilisation of resources, it should be possible to duuble the per capita income in twenty years or so. Doubling the national income per capita in this period is by no means an over-ambitious target."

If we see the statistics prowided by the government itself in 1967-68 the per capita income was only about 25 per, cent above $1950^{\circ}$ levels. Incidentally that was
an year of bumper crops for which the government should be very thankful. At this rate, it is very doubtful whether the Government will ever be able to achieve the doubling of the per capita income as set before the country.

The rate of growih during the first three plans was only 1.29 per cent (compound) as against 3.2 per cent rate of growh required to achieve the objective by 1975-76. If there had been a uniform rate of annual growth of 3.2 per cent during the first three plans, by 1975-76 our per capita income might have doubled. But, unfortunately, during this period the rate of growth has been only 1.29 per cent. If this trend continues, I am afraid it will take nearly 55 years to duble the income; that is to say. from 1950-51 at this rate of growth only by 2005 A.D. we would have doubled the income. I do not know how many of us will live to see that day, even though I wish the Finance Minister long life.

Regarding the reduction of the share of agriculture in the total working force, which was the second target set forth by the Planning Commission and those who indulge in the economic development of this country, from 70 per cent to 60 per cent. It means that in 1950-51 seventy per cent of the people were employed in agricultural activities and if we want to industrialise, more and more pcople should be weaned away from agricultural activities; that was their aim and they wanted to reduce the share from 70 per cent to 60 per cent by 1975-76. Let us see how far they have succeeded. From the figures that have been given in the National Products series published in August 1967 by the Central Statistical Organisation, it is seen that in 1960-61 agricultural activities have absorbed as much as 73.21 per cent of the working force. In fact, there has been an incroase instead of reduction. Therefore, I do not know how far they, will achieve this target by that date.

Incidentally, justifying the excise duty that has been levied on fertilizers, the Finance Minister stated that agricultural activities have produced some prosperity apd so the agriculturists should also join in paying for the development of the country. Nothing more can be far from
the trath than this because he himself has admitted somewhere that there have been continuous years of drought and hardshíp for these people and that only in 1964-65 and in 1967-68 there has been some relief for them. When they talk of green revolu-tion,-they convenienily forget that the high-yielding varieties by themselves cannot deliver the goods. These high-yielding varieties cannot be grown unless we provide intensive use of inpats in terms of fertilizers, punio-sets; insecticides, etc. Of course, yesterday, he made an announcement that he is dropping the duty which was put on pump-sets: We should be thankful for that. But regarding fertilizers, I am afraid, still the condition is very bad in the country. On one hand we talk of green revolution and incentive to farmers, making available all the inputs, on the other hand, even at a slight improvement, we suddenly come with a heavy-handed taxation. In India, fertilizers are very costly as compared to other countries......

SHRI S. KANDAPPAN (Mettur): The costliest in the world.

SHRI SEZHIYAN: I do not want to compare the rates in terms of money from one country to another. In the United States, 1 Kg. of fertilizer (NPK content) can be bought with $1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Kg}$. of paddy; in Japin, 1 Kg . of fertilizer can be bought with 1.2 Kg . of paddy. But in India, 1 Kg . of fertilizer can be bought only with 5.2 Kg. of paddy.

THE DEPUTY PRIME MINISTER AND MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI MORARJI DESAI): That is not correct.

SHRI SEZHIY AN: If you want, I wilf' give you the source also. You can contradict that. Probably, you will be giving one set of figures: I am giving another set of figures. I do not cook figures; I take them from some published statistics. When the figures become inconvenient, he can simply say these are not correct figures.

It is also said that when the national income goes on increasing, thedre is'a share for agriculture also. Somebody said that out "of R's. 29,000 cerores, a sum of Rs. 14,500 crores has been taken by agricul-
ture, and, therefore, the agriculturis's should be able to pay something. But they conveniently forget that out of the total population, 70 per cent have to take 50 per cent of national income and the rest 30 per cent enjoy other 50 per cent. That means, if you compare between ágícultural and non-agricuttural section, non-agricultural secti n has an advantage of $2-1 / 3$ times more than what is enjoyed by agricultural section. The per capita income in agriculture will even be less.

If you take the total domestic product of industries, the agricultural production, in value at current prices, in 1960-61, was Rs. 67.000 crores out of 13,525 crores which formed about 50 per cent. In 1966-67, it was' Rs. 11,595 crores out of 24,389 crores, that is 40 per cent. There has been a slump. Even if you take constant prices, in 1960-61, the agricultural production in terms of value was Rs. 6,707 crores and in 1966-67. it was only Rs. 6.392 crores. I think, you will hot contradict this figure. It has been taken from tho estimates given by the Goveinment, the C.S.O. itself. If you wait, 1 can pass it on to you. Even at the constant price there is a slump in agricultural production; with increased acreage and with increased inputs, the total product value is less...

MR.' SPEAKER: The hon. Member has got 10 or 12 more minutes. He may continue after Lunch.
'The House stands adjourned for Lunch till 2.00 P.M.

13 hrs.

# The Lok Sabha adjourned for Lunch till Fourteen of the Clock 

The Lok Sablia reassembled after Lunch at three.minutes past Fourteen of the Clock
[Shri Gadilingana Gowd in the Chair.]
FINANCE BILL, 1969-Contd.
SHRI NAMBIAR (Tíruchirappalli): it is a very serious matter, Sir. One of the
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Members of Parliament who was arrested and kept in jail, Mr. Chakrapani, is seriously ill and no medical aid is given. Doctors are not available in the hospital and only compounders are available:

SHRI E.K. NAYANAR (Palghat): I have just gone and met Mr. Chakrapani. Doctors are on leave. Only compounders are giving treatment.

SHRI S.M. BANERJEE (Kanpur): Supposing he is down with fever. There is no doctor to attend. It should be brought to the notice of the Home Minister. He must have medical aid immediately.

SHRI SEZHIYAN: In the forenoon when I gave some figures of the comparative costs of fertilisers in various countries, the hon. Deputy Prime Minister said that the figures were wrong. I sald I would certainly give him the source. Luckily during the lunch interval I was able to find the source. The figures I quote are usually taken from the figures provided by the Government. But here I took the relevant material from an article written by Shri M.S. Randhawa, Vice-Chancellor and Shri S S. Johl, Professor and Head of Department of Agricultural Economics and Sociology, Punjab Agricultural University. I thought the article written by them and the figures given by them would be correct because they are competent authorities. In the article written by them under the caption "New Agricultural Taxes and Green Revolution" published in The Hindu, Madras, dated 24th March, 1969 after the budget proposals had come out, they had opposed the taxation measures and said:
"In the US and Japan, for example, a farmer can purchase 1 kg . of fertilizer (NPK content) with a 5 kg . of paddy or 2.7 kg . of wheat and 1.2 $\mathbf{k g}$. of paddy and 1.7 kg . of wheat respectively, white the Indian farmer has to pay an equivalent of 5.2 kg . of paddy or 3.7 kg . of wheat. This ratio is more favourable even in Pakistan, where a farmer has to pay the equivalent of only Rs. 85 kg . of rice for one kg . of fertilizer (nitrogen)."

This is what has been said by the ViceChancollor and the Professor of Agricultural

Economics of one of the leading agricultural universities in the country. I would leave it to the House to decide how far these figures are correct.

I would like to bring to the notice of the House what they have said further, in the end:
"Where we welcome and encourage agricultural development symbolised by the term 'green revolution', the Government policy must also be developmentoriented so that the process of growth gets accelerated rather than getting dampened by such proposed tax measures."

They feel that the present tax measures will dampen the growth of agriculture in the country. They say further:
"For the purpose of mobilising additional resources, let us concentrate on measures such as checking evasion of existing taxes and improving upon the efficiency of the public sector undertakings rather than taxing the vitality of the growth points in the economy."

I hope I have satisfied the $H$ use an 1 the Hon. Daputy Prime Minister on the figures that I gave regarding fertilizer. Regarding the other objeztives set out by the Planning Commission such as self-suffciency in foodgrains, reduction of inequality in income and wealth and total cessation of foreign aid by 1965-66, we all know how far these have been fulfilled. As years pass by, we are seeking more and more foreign aid, though we are not able to get the full quantum.

I want to take up another important aspect. The issue of Centro-State relations has figured during the budget discussion and the Deputy Prime Minister has categorically discredited the idea that the States are running to the Central Government for financial assistance. He said that it is not that the Government of India is becoming the parent; the Constitution has provided all these things and as long as the Constitution is there, he will do according to the Constitution, His contention is that there is no discrimination and everything has been done as per the Constitution. Here I can point
out that the figures prove otherwise. The financial assistance in the form of loans has over. shadowed the grants. Among the grants themselves, non-statutory or discriminatory grants have completely over-shadowed statutory grants. During the Third Five Year Plan the financial assistance given to the States comes to Rs. 5,600 crores. Out of these resources, the amount transferred through the medium of Finance Commission in the form of divisible taxes and staturory grants under Article 265 came to Rs. 1,488 crores. That means that 26.6 per cent of the total resources made available to the States have come through the medium of Finance Commission and the balance have been given either in the form of grants or in the form of loans either distributed through the Planning Commission or otherwise.

Therefore, there is vast scope given to discretionary grants. There is nothing statutory about it that they should pay it. Out of the Rs. 5600 crores, only Rs. 1488 crores have been given as per the statutory provision; the others have been under the head 'discretionary'. My point is that this gives a powerful handle to the Centre to influence the policies and programmes of State Governments.

If we go through the figures of revenue and expenditure of the States, they are put in a very disadvantageous position. The total revenue of the Centre in 1950-51 was Rs. 409 crores; at that time, all the States put together had a total revenue of Rs. 396 crores only. That means one Centre was equal to all the States put together. The total revenue in 1967-68 of the Centre was Rs. 2,739 crores and that of all the States together was only Rs. 2,377 crores, far less than that of the Centre. On the other hand, the total expenditure is booming and becoming larger and larger for the States. For all the States put together it was Rs. 393 crores in 1950-51; it went up to Rs. 2,427 crores in 1967-68. For the Centre, the corresponding figures of the corresponding years are Rs. 347 crores and Rs. 2,425 crores respectively. All the expanding sources of income have been given to the Centre while all the social and developmental and essential services have been given to the States.

For example, as we can see from the State budgets, the income has not risen as s:eeply as the expenditure. The total revenue has gone up from Rs. 409 crores to Rs. 2,700 crores, less than 7 times. For education, in 1950-51, all the States put together spent Rs. 60 crores while in 1967-68, they spent Rs. 500 crores. For medical and public health, the 1950-51 figure was Rs. 30 crores; now it is Rs. 200 crores. Debt services of the States in 1950-51 were of the order of Rs. 8.5 crores while in 1967-68, they have shot up to Rs. 400 crores and in 1968-69 to Rs. 455 crores. From Rs. 8.5 crores to Rs. 455 crores-you can imagine how burdensome debt services have become to the States.

The other day, the question was raised and the Deputy Prime Minister tried to answer that point. There is duplication of services at the Centre and in the States. One of the points raised here was that whereas medical services and agricultural activities are the sole subjects of the States, there are also duplicato departments at the Centre. To the xst .nt it is possible to effect economy in these departments here and transfer the money saved to the States, they will be able to spend it on their departments.

Let me quote one example. Expenditure on expert and subordinate staff of the Central Ministry of Agriculture in 1963-64 came to Rs. 1.27 crores. If we take all the States together, who are responsible to co-ordinate this activity and produce more, it comes for the corresponding staff in various departments of Agriculture in the States to Rs. 1.44 crores. Here the Centre does not have a direct responsibility for this subject and yet it has a budget of Rs. 1.27 crores under this head while in the States which are in charge of this subject, the figure is Rs. 1.44 crores. Therefore, there are many sources where the Centro can effect economy and transfer those funds to the States.

Regarding the debt burden, I need not dwell on it because most of the other speakers have done so on various occasions. The gross debt of all States in 1951-52 was Rs. 445 crores; in 1968-69, it is Rs. 7032 crores. Oul of the debt services of Rs. 455 crores in 1967-68, interest alone comes for all the States to Rs. 241 croros; so a large portion of the loan assistance from the Government
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of India to the States have to be re-directed towards repayment of loans and debt services.

If we take the State of Madras; in 1950-51, the amount repaid was only 4 per cent of the amount transferred by the Centre to the States. In 1968-69 it came to seventy per cent of the amount transferred from the Centre to the State. That means that only thirty per cent is in fact the net amount transferred to the Siate. The other day my hon. friend Mr. Manoharan also demanded, under article 263, the setting up of a CentreState relationship council. There is another provision in the Constitution-article 274 which lays down that whenever there is taxation proposal or an amendment thereof likely to affect the interests of the states, they should be referred to the President before introduction. The Fourth Finance Commission while dealing with this aspect says on page 61:
"Article 274 of the Constitution would appear to have been purposefully framed to forestall and to remedy misunderstandings such as these......An explicit provision for a recommendation by the President should normally entail some mechanism other than the usual briefing and advice from the concerned Ministry at the Centre."

Whenever there is a proposal affceting the State allocation, they simply refer it to the Ministry concerped and get their consent. The Finance Commission further says:
"Thus, though procidural requirements of article 274 have all along been observed, such observance may te capable of further improvement in such manner as would more fully carry out. the purpase of this article and would conver greater reassurance to the States. Contacts among States, and between the States and the Union Government, for discussion of matters of common financial interest ought to be much more regular than they have been in the past. Zonal meetings and meetings of the National. Development Council are concerned withsuch a large number of important questions that they canngt always be expected to give to specific finapcial iscues the close and , detailed attention
which they deserve. The real intention of article 274, namely that all aspects of the impast on State Governments of fioancial proposals to be made Parliament should have been previously studied and approved by the President of the Union can be hetter served..."

For that they recommend a suitable machinery. I suggest that we should have a Centre-State Financial Council in which the Central and State representatives can meet and all these matters can be discussed.

भी शिब चन्द्र स्रा (मधुवनी) : सभाप्पत महोदय, ग्राज सुबह हम लोगों को जो ग्रर्मैंमेंट इन्होंने मूव किया है, वित्त मंत्रोजी ने, वह सरकुलेट किया गया है। उसमें जो संशोषन है मैं ग्रापको कहना चाहता हूं कि यह जो सेक्रेटेरियट की तरफ से फाइनैंस बिल जिसको लेकर कल घ्रापत्ति हुई थी उसके मुताविक संशोषन है लेकिन वित्त मंशी जी ने कल कहा कि हकीकत में उनके द्वारा जो इंट्रोड्यूस किया हुमा वित्त विषेयक है वह पहला वित्त विधेयक है। द्रूसरा वह जो सेक्रेरियट के मुताबिक है लेकिन संशोघन जो घ्राज सुबह हम लोगों को बांडा गया है उसे अ्राप देखेंगे तो सेकेटेरियट के द्वारा जो वित्त विधियक बाँटर गया है उसके ऊपर संचोधन है। करा मैं समभूं कि पहला वित्त विधेयक जो उन्होंने पेश किया वह भी हमारे सामने है ग्रोर सेक्रेटियट के द्वारा जो उसको कबूल नहीं करता वह भी हमारे सामने है ? श्रब क्या में घह समभूं कि जो उन्होंने कहा, जो पहला वित्त विषेयक पेरा किया वह उनका है, सेक्रेटरियट बाला नहीं ? लेकिन भाज जो संशोषन पेश किया है वह से केटेरियट का जो फाइनैंस बिल है उसके ऊपर है ? इस पर में मापकी सफाई चाहता हूं। वित्त मंत्रीजी इस पर कुछ कहें ।

धी नरेन्त्र कुमार सात्वे (बत्वल) : श्रापकी ग्रापति क्या है ? वार संशोषन नहीं कर सकते ?

भी शिवचन्ज्र सा क्रल मंत्री महोदय ने कहा था कि जो विषेयक उन्होंने पेश किया था

वह ध्रधिकृत है। लेकिन जो संशोषन भ्राज झ्राया है वह उनके विधेयक पर नहीं है, वह से केरियट के विषेयक पर है। उन्होंने वह विषेयक नहीं रक्सा है। वैसे में समभता हूं कि दोनों उन्हींके हैं। जो सेक्रेटिरिट ने दिया है वह भी उन्हींका है ग्रोर जो पहले दिया गया या वह भी उन्हींका है।

शी नरेन्द्र कुमार साल्वे : इस पर श्रापत्ति क्या है ?

MR. CHAIRMAN: We will see about it later. Now, Mr Sezhiyan may conclude his speech.

SHRI SEZHIYAN: As I was saying, the Centre-State Finance Council will be of large help in this, because it is important and necessary that in a federation the Central Government and the State Governments should function as partners and they should be in constant consultation in devising and adopting the financial policies for the planned development of the country.

One thing more and I have done. In Tamil Nadu, there is a serious drought, and the Prime Minister herself went there and saw the situation. A Central team has also gone there, and they have made a request to the Central Government. I hope the Deputy Prime Minister will give sympathetic and favourable consideration to these things. When there was some trouble in Kerala due to the food crisis, and whenever there was a surplus in the Madras State, we readily obliged the Kerala State. When the Centre spent Rs. 14 crores to appease the appetite of Hindi fanaticism, at least why don't you give something to appease the real appetite of the people of Tamil Nadu who are struck down by the harsh and severe drought conditions there?

The Finance Minister spoke, during the budget, of giving some appetisers. Appetisers are given to what or increase the appetite. We do not stand in need of that; we are really hungry. The people of Tamil Nadu want solid food and not mere appetisers which only create more appetite. The people of Tamil Nadu want something to satisfy thelr hungry stomach. They are
hungry. Appetisers will only accelerate the hunger, So, we want something solid to be done for the people of Tamil Nadu. I hope the Deputy Prime Minister will concede our demands and give a very sympathetic and favourabis consideration to the demands of the people of Tamil Nadu.

## Thank you.

SHRI B.N. KATHAM (Jalpaiguri): Sir, Mr. Chairman, Sir, I am very much concerned with the proposal to levy 10 per cent excise duiy on certain categories of fruit and vegetable products. I am, however, glad that some of the items originally listed for the excise duty have been deleted but the fruit and vegetable processing industry should be relieved of the excise duty.

As you know, there are only 1,055 licenesd units categorised as per the definition of Fruit Products Order, 1955. Out of these $1,055,850$ units are cottage scale and 70 of them are small scale units. 116 units have a production capacity up to Rs. 10 lakt's and there are only 10 large scale units with an annual capacity of ever Rs. 10 lakhs. In fact, these 19 units can be said to be large scale units.

The'small units are often managed and processed by the help of the family members with or without a few outside labourers. Someimes, a few seasonal labjurers are engaged on daily wages. Such seasonal workers often do not have their other means of livelhcod: Therefore, these manufacturers are not capable of engaging the staff to fulfil the requirements of levy of an excise duty.

The cost of preserved fruits and vegetables has been on the increase particularly after the decontrol of sugar when the industry has been asked to purchase sugar from the open market. The high cost of sugar, citric acid, tin, bottles, packing materials as well as the uneconomic production levels of the majority of units practically have not left any margin for absorption of exise duty by the industry. You know that any increase in the price of the product will largely restrict the market and create consumer resistance. In that case, the manufacturs will be bound to cut the purchase price of fruits and vegetables. Therefore, the excise duty
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actually will not be collected from the consumers of these products as intended by the Government but from the producers of fruits and vegetables who will be ultimately adversely affected.

You will appriciate, Sir, that this fruit and vegetable processing industry is an infant industry. Therefore, it requires sympathy and needs assistance from the Government to develop this industry. You will realise that this industry has been already paying excise duty indirectly by using exciseable items like sugar, citric acid, and chemicals, etc. Originally this fruit-preserving industry was given sugar quota available at controlled price. Since the quota system has been withdrawn, the small units have been very much suffering on account of high price of sugar. Because of this trouble, many small units are about to be closed. If the proposed duty of 10 per cent is imposed, the industry will no doubt die seen. In our country, this industry is in its growing stage. its teething trouble is not yet over. Therefore, the proposed duty should be dropped if the Government desire to save this industry.

With regard to the duty on fertilisers, I agreed with other friends that the burden of this duty will have to be borne by all classes of farmers, puor and rich. It will hit hard the poor cultivaters. Therefore, I oppose the proposed excise duty on fertilisers.

With these words, I support the Finance Bill.

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI (Madurai) Sir, I do not want to go into the small concessions, the small mercies, shown by the Deputy Prime Minister in his speech yesterday. The total concessions given do not amount to more than Rs. $5 \frac{1}{2}$ crores, while the enhancement is of the order of more than Rs. 127 crores. I would like to deal with certain policies underlying this budget. I will give some illustrative examples to show where the country has landed itself today due to the policies which the Government of India have been pursuing over since independence.

22 years after independence, our country is still dopending on foreign collaboration
for technical know-how for developing Indian industries. It is known that when we go into foreign collaboration, the cost of the project, the cost of construction, the cost of materials, the capital base, is at least 40 to 50 per cent more than what it would be if we had not gone in for foreign collaboration. Is there any wonder, therefore, that our goods are not today competitive in the world market. When the capital base itself is inflated to the tune of 40 to 50 per cent, naturally it goes into the cost of production and our commodities are not competitive in the world market. So long as we depend on foreign technical knowhow, I do not think we are going to become competitive in the world market.

Take oil refineries. Yesterday Mr Ashoka Mehta was waxing eloquent about the need for entrusting offshore drilling to foreign companies, because we have not got the technical know-how for it. He conceded that after thrce or four years we may be able to develop it, but to get quick results we must be prepared to entrust it to foreign companies. That is what he said, In 1951, we allowed Burmah-Shell, Caltex and other companies to start their refineries in Bombay.

The Burmah-Shell was licensed to produce two million tonnes. ESSO was licensed to produce 1.90 million tonnes. May I know from the Government whether it is not a fact that today both Burmah-Shall and ESSO are producing not two million tonnes and 1.90 million tonnes but BurmahShell is producing 3.5 million tonnes and ESSO 2.50 million tonnes and the Government is not taking any action whatsoever? If any Indian enterpreneur had excoeded the target......

SHRI S. KANDAPPAN: They are doing it with their permission.

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI: They are not doing it with their permission. They have not been permitted to do that.

SHRI SRINIBAS MISRA (Cuttack): Not officially permitted, that is all.

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI: That is a different matter. If they have been unofficially permitted, why was not Parliament
taken into confidence? This is the type of pressure that these oil companies are bringing to bear upon Government.

After all, we also know that the Oil and Natural Gas Commission, our Indian engineers and our Indian scientists are not as poor a stuff as is imagined. They have been able to develop their own designing. As a result of their own designing we put up our own refinery in Koyali and it was put up long before devaluation. Is it or is it not a fact that the cost of putting up the Koyali Refinery is less than half the cost at which Burmah-Shell did it in 1951? That is the sort of loot this country is being subjected to by these foreigners and this Government is allowing it continuously.

I can give instance after instance. Take our fertiliser organisation. Shri Asoka Mehta gave the policy. What is the policy that he had been following? I would like to know whether there is any change in policy. What are the basic feed stocks available in our own country for producing fertiliser? They are: coal, phosphates and pyrites. During the last 22 years what did this Government do in order to develop the technical know-how to help our Indian scientists and designers to use our own feedstock for the production of fertilisers which is so much needed in this country? The Planning and Development Wing of the Fertiliser Corporation consisting of a number of patriotic engineers and scientists has developed the technology for it. They have developed the technology for producing fertilisers based upon coal. As a matter of fact, in Korba, in Mithapur, about six or seven years ago there was a project which was put up. After about Rs. 2 crores had been spent on that project it suddenly went away under pressure from the Americans and we are again putting up our fertilisers based upon haphtha. All the fertiliser factories in Goa. in Mithapur, in Mirzapur, Coromandel, Mangalore and Madras are based on naphtha and we have to continuously depend on it. We are also expending our fertiliser capacity with naphtha as the basic feed-stock. Within two years if our production capacity increases we will have no more naphtha and we will have to import naphtha from foreign countrics. All these fertiliser factories we have put up on turnkey jobs, on turnkey
agreements with foreign collaborators so that Indian engineers and technologists cannot have anything to do with designing, It is all given to foreign collabora'ors. The technical know-how is that of the foreign collaborators with the result if they go away we will find ourselves in doldrum. This is what is happening.

We know as a matter of fact that our own designers have done well. It is a well known and admitted fact that the Indian designing capacity with regarded to fertilisers has tremendously developed today.

Unfortunately, the Government of India do not give them an opportunity to put up our own things. FACT, for example, was willing to design and fabricate machinery for the purpose of putting up a plant for at least one million tonnes of production inside this country with Indian technology and design. But was it allowed to do that? What did Shri Asoka Mehta do all these years when he was the Minister in charge of Petroleum and Chemicals to develop and encourage our own technology? Were our technologists allowed to do these things?

Take another recent example. The Planning and Development Department has developed very recently a number of catalysts. After all, catalysts play a very important role in the production of fertilizers. There is an American Company, Catalysts \& Chemicals Incorporated of USA which holds practically a world monopoly of catalysts. It produces nearly $\mathbf{8 0}$ per cent of the catalysts used in the fertilizer industry throughout the world. Our own designers and planners in the Planning \& Development Wing of the Fertilizer Corporation have developed a number of special Indian catalysts, based entirely upon Indian raw materials, indigenous raw materials, for the production of which no foreign exchange whatsoever is required. For years they have been requesting the Government of India to licence the Fertilizer Corporation to put up a catalyst industrial plant. The Government of India procrastinated and slept over it. Of course, the pressure of the Americans was there. Ultimately, what do we find today? We find today that the Government of India have given a licence to the same American Company, Catalysts \& Chemicals, Incorporated, USA, to set up a catalyst
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project in India for which crores of rupes have got to $b$ : invested. Of course, the foreiga collaborators are there who will bring their own capital and machines at inflated cost. We have to pay 40 to 50 per cent of inflated cost for those machines because of this collaboration agreement. Yet, the Government have allowed that Corporation to pur up its own factory in collaboration with FACT. This is the type of development that we are having in our country today and yet we are boasting that we are developing very fast.

Take, another example, the aeronautics industry. Countries like the USA, France and many Wostern European countries have produced their own aeroplanes, develoring their own technology based upon the raw materials available in those countries, and it is only quite natural. In those countries aluminium is ayailable in plenty. So, the aeroplane industry in those countries has been devaloped on the basis of aluminiam. Unfortunately, we do not produce enough aluminium in our country. But we have got chromium in plenty. Did our Government ever think of asking our engineers and scientists to develop chromium technology so that chromium could be used for the manufacture of aeroplanes? Nothing of that sort was doye. Yet, our own technologists have put up a pilot. project and developed chromium technology and they have been asking the Government of India for the last five years to give them sufficient funds so that they can manufacture it on a commercial scale. But the Government of India would do nothing of that kind. They would have only collaboration agreements with foreign countries for the manufacture of aeroplanes. And, mind you, an aeroplane is not just an ordinary commercial vehicla. It is one of the most important things needed for the defence of the country. If for an industry which is impartant for the defeace of the country you have to depand on forcign collaboration and the foreign countries for even the supply of the basic raw material then what is all this talk of this country being absolutely independeat and self-sufficient?

I can quote many more examples. $\mathrm{I}_{\text {: }}$ can go on multiplying instances after instape ces. It is an admitted fact the because of: collaboration agreements the cost of every
project goes up by 40 to 50 per ceat because we have to buy even the raw materials from the collaborators. If after 22 years of independence we cannot develop our own technology with regard to many of these things, I cannot understand all this loud talk of our country being self-sufficient. Naturally therefore, as a result of this, there is a great, what we call, brain-drain. Many of our scientists. many of our youngmen, many of our enginiers, many of our technslogists, find ino scops whatsoever for developing their skills. They do not find in this country an opportanity to u:ilise their knowledge, to wilise , their capacity, and, therefor, you find huadreds of thom leaving the country and going and seeking employment in other countries. This is what is happening in our country.

Today, we find our foreign debts mounting up, Rs, 4000 crores already, and it is said that at the end of the Fourth Plan, the net foreign aid will be half of what it is today. The net wowld mean minus what we : have to pay them by way of loans well as interest charges.: After all, during the next five years, loans and interest charge are going to pite up. They are going to be almost dauble of what they are today. Therefore, when you talk in terms of net, there is not going to; be any change whatsoever in the total amount of draiy as a result of foreign aid and interest charges. This is the policy we have been pursuing all these years.

Then, when we talk of Swadeshi, I am simply aghast at the tall ' tatk of swadeshi and all that. Today, I would not call our Indian big businessmen as any ontrepreneurs. in the real sense of the term. They only know how to pult wires. They do not develop tochnology. Technical know-how is . also : horrowed. For any further development in technology; you pay for that in advance. Thet is what happens when you enter into collaboration agrements. Therefore no technology is developed by them in the country. As for finding money; they do not fand money. .. There are the banks. The peoplo's savings in the banks are allowed to be atilised by them. Then, the foreign collaboratocs give them money. Our own goveromental institutions, financial institutions, are thase to give the :monsy:- What is the wonderful entrepreneurship about
them? They know how to pull wires, which official to eatch hold of and whom to greese. This is all they are doing. And they are called :wonderful entreprenears in the country. There is nothing wonderful about their entrepreneurship. As a matter of fact, under this dispensation, naturally, corruption is rampant. Black money is rampant. Why should it not be rampant? After all, the English proverb is a very good proverb. It says: Honesty is the best policy. : It does not say, honesty is the best virtue. In the period of developing competitive capitalism, when the more honest person he was, the more custom he got and, therefore, the more honest he was, the more profits he made. So, honesty was the best policy at that time. But under this dispensation, when monopolies have grown, when licences are granted to mono-polies-they alone produce a product rand market it-the honesty is not the best policy for him but dishonesty is the best policy for him, because by dishonesty he is able to make a large amount of profit: That is what we are finding in our country today. Today, black-marketing and all these things are rampant. So long as black-marketing and black money is allowed to operate in the country, how can wo ever put an end to corruption in governmental circles? How is it possible? It is absolutely an impossible thing.

The policies that are being pursued over a long period of years have landed us in tremendous crisis. We are not abie to get out of the crisis. But the pity of it is that even today wo are not able to think on fresh lines. We talk of swadeshi. I remember, in 1930, when we want and got ourselves beaten by picketing foreiga cloth shops, a swadeshi certifying board was formed by the Congress: Pandit Motilal Nehru was the President of that board. I remember, at that time, the Buckingham \& Carnatic Mills, Madras, applied to the swadeshi certifying board, because their cloth could not be sold in the market on account of the tromendous picketing that took place, for their cloth to be certified as swadeshi cloth.

They said, "We are manufacturing cloth in India; the Indian manufacturers are manufacturing it; the industry is situated in this country; therefore, give us this." But then Pandit Motilal Nehru said, "We cannot give you that certificate because, although
the workmen may be Indian workmen, the capital is that of foreigners and so long as foreigners continue to hold the majority of the capital shares, so long as the profits are allowed to be shipped out of the country, we cannot call'it 'Swadeshi' at all."' This was the position taken by the Indian National Congress movement in its straggle for Swaraj, in its struggle for Independence. But today all those days are gone. Today where is 'Swadeshi'? It is a commodity to be hanted and nowhere to be found in the country. Yet, today, those people talk in terms of Swadeshi and still go on allowing foreign collaborators to continue to come and depend upon foreign aid for the development of any technology in this country. Then where is our self-respect in this world? We go about everywhere begging for technology as if the Indian scientists cannot develop our technology. After all, there are the other countries in the world which have developed on their own. China may be our enemy, but let us learn a lesson from our enemy also if we have to develop. China did not depend upon foreign collaboration for developing its industries; it did not depend on anybody... (Interruption).

## AN HON. MEMBER: On Russia.

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI: In the first five years there was some help, but they have not taken any help for the last so many years. Even there, they paid back every pie that they got. They did not go on begging the foreign countries to come and help them. But here is our country which is asking every country in the world for hilp-America, Britain, Francs, Germany, Soviet Union-, which is asking every country in the world, 'Come and help us; without your help we cannot go on'. This kind of con:inuous dependence upon every country in the world for our development is something which is sapping the vitality, sapping the morale of the developing youth, sapping the morale of our engineers, sapping the morale of our scientists; it is derogatory to the self-respect of the Indians. Therefore, I wou!d ask the Government to think even now of changing these policies and if they do not think of changing these policies, the result would be that there would be tremendous frustration in this country and out of frusira-
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tion will arise all sorts of social discontent and social imbalance and you cannot blame the people if they take to all sorts of methods. This is what is happening in the country. Yesterday, for example, some 56 young men came here to present a petition to Parliament that they are unemployed. The problem of unemployment in this country is tremendously increasing and the only answer that the Government of India could give them was to send them to jail, to send them to the Tihar Jail. This is their policy. Are they going to succeed with this policy? No wonder that inside the Congress Party itself there are differences; when the deliberations were taking place the other day in Faridabad, they could not come to any conclusion. Mr. Morarji Desai presided over the Panal on Economic Policy. What was the final result? Nothing could be decided. All that they could do was to refer the whole thing to another All-India Congress Committee. They cannot come to any decision at all, because what decision can they come to? (Interruption) Here was, for example, the speech of the Congress President of the All- India Congress Committee; I should say that it doe; not distinguish the Congress Party from the Swatantra Party. I do not know what for Mr. Morarji Desai and the Swatantra Party are fighting with each other. Here I am reminded of a cinema fight. In cinema these prople fight wi.h swords; they are not real swords; they are swords made of card-board. This is just like that. A card-board sword fighting is going on between the Swatan'ra Pariy and the Congress Party, because, in effect, the policies of the Swatantra Party are being adopted day by day; the Congress have been adopting their policies. All this time they were shielding it, they were cam suflaging it, but todiy the camouflage is not possible and, therefore, they say that even the public sector must go, the public sector is no longer useful. This is the speech of Mr. Nijalingappa, Pre sident of the AllIndia Congress Connittee. Practically he says this. (Interruption) It means that. I am interpreting it. This is th: in'erp-etation. I do not find much differense between the philosophy of $\mathrm{M}^{-}$. Nijaling ippa and the philosophy of the Swatantra Party. Mr. Nijalingappa is an important guy; he is not an ordinary person. Therefore, I say that more and more the policies of the Congress

Party are converging into the policies adumberated by the Swatantra Party. With these policies, I do not know whether these two Parties will one day coalesce. I will not be surprised if th:y come together. That is a different matter. It will be good if they coalesce. What I want to point out is that with these policies there is no reason, there is no wonder that our country is to-day in the midst of a deep crisis and you find all sorts of difficulties. You cannot give money to the States and the States will clamour for more and more. I do not want to go into that question because I do not have the time. As a result of all these policies you are hamstrung from all sides and as a result there is tremendous social discontent and social disability which will necessarily lead to political instability. After all, polititcal instability is a reflection of sosial and economic instability that is growing in the country. Growth of unemployment and growth of instability of the job that the people are holding-all these are things reflecting the social instability and if this social instability continues, it leads to frustration for which this Government alone is responsible.

SHRI NARENDRA KUMAR SALVE (Betul): Mr. Chairman, Sir, when the Finance Minister assumed the reins of office two years ago the task that lay ahead of him of pulling the economy of the country which had been really in an abyss of morass for quite some time was a task which was as much delicate as it was gigantic. In fact I submit, Sir, the task was likely to be utterly thankless if he had failed in the same. On the one hand he had to introduce and adopt rigorous measures to bring about discipline in the fiscal and monetary policies and programmes and plans and on the other hand it was imperative and necessary for him to build up a very strong infra-structure of incentives and confidence in the people and stimulate the economy towards its expansion and a boom. If an impartial and fair assessment was made of the performance of the Finance Minister, I have no doubt that it would be found that the endeavours made by the Financs Minister in the preceding two years are certainly quite commendable. There are tangible indications to which I will draw you attention which will prove the measure of success he has achieved in his performance. The first indication is
regarding the price stability. In 1968 the prices were stable. The wholesale price index receded to 205 as compared to 208 in the earlier year keeping 1952-53 as the base year. The second indication of the success he has achieved is the increased production and increased efficiency in productivity both in agriculture and the industrial sector and lastly, the heartening performance in the export sector is a tribute to the manner in which our economy has been handled. However, I immediately hasten to add that whatever be our performance, however much satisfied we may feel about our achievement in building our economy, it is extremely insignificant, it is extremely meagre, and generations will have to toil hard and put in arduous and assidious endeavours to build up the economy and then alone it will be possible for us to improve and better the standard of the people in the village and in the Adivasi areas.

### 14.52 hours.

## [Shri R.D. Bhandare in the chair]

Coming to the most controversial measure in the Finance Bill regarding the levy of the Wealth Tax on agricultural properties Sir, I respectfully and whole-heartedly endorse the policy, the decision, the enactment which the Finance Minister has taken in this Finance Bill. Lavish exemption has been announced by him yesterday which has ensured one thing that the poor and genuine agriculturist will be outside the ambit of the levy of the Wealth Tax. Only those who are investors in agriculture will be within the ambit of the mischief. I do not find, therefore, any justification whatsoever for not requiring the rich investors contributing to the exchequer so that renewed and vigorous measures can be made to help the poor agriculturist in successfully carrying out their Green Revolution.

You are a Constitutional lawyer yourself and you will appreciate my inability to be complacent with the Finance Minister regarding the constitutionality of this enactment.

Sir, my apprehension is based on a reported decision of the Supreme Court in the case of BANARSI DASS Vs WEALTH TAX OFFICER, Special Circle, Meerut,
reported in 1965 (50) ITR 244. In this case, the Supreme Court was considering the scope of entry 86 and entry 97 which is a residual entry in List of the Seventh Schedule in the Constituction.

On a careful analysis of the said judgement, one finds three salient findings emerging out of $i$. The first one is that it has been held by the Supreme Court that it is Entry 86 in the Constitution which covers levy of wealth tax. The second feature in the judgement is that any express exclusion in Entry 86 and Entry 86, as you are aware, excludes levy of wealth tax on agricultural land-would in turn establish the clear intention of the authors of the Constitution not to levy wealth tax on such excluded items; and finally, the third proposition which emerges from that judgement is that Entry 97 which is the residual entry cannot be fallen back upon to support the levy of wealth tax on an item which is expressly exeluded in entry 86.

SHRI S. S. KOTHARI (Mandsaur): Which court decision is that?

SHRI NARENDRA KUMAR SALVE: Supreme Court decision in the case of Banarasi Das.

Therefore, the Government are now trying to fall back on Entry 97 which is a residual entry-Entry 86 having expressly excluded levy of wealth Tax on Agricultural land. In view of this position of the matter whatever may be the view of the Attorney General, I consider the measure most important, utterly imperative and necessary and therefore submit that the Finance Minister must not take any risk whatsoever becaust if ever the Supreme Court strikes down this enactment, it will not only mean very great blow to the prestige of all those who are behind this and support this measure, but then it might bz too late to rectify the error, I, therefore, submit that the Finance Ministor should not take this risk and to change the form for levy of wealth tax on agricultural properties, I would once again request the Finance Minister to consider my suggestion which I made in my budget speech, to include agricultural properties only for the purposes of rates. That will completely eliminate the trouble.

SHRI S.S. KOTHARI: It will make it more complicated.

## [Shri S. Ramamurti]

SHRI NARENDRA KUMAR SALVE: May be so, but it will be Constitutional. Which tax law is not complicated?

Coming to my next point about the levy on fertilizers, the Finance Minister yesterday announced that the levy on oil engines has been withdrawn by him. By the same process of reason and analogy, and philosophy, I hope he will also withdraw the levy on fertilizers. It is not a question $0^{\text {o }}$ farmers affording this levy. The real question is that it is going to create an adverse, psychological impact on the farmers and we should do nothing which will impede the endeavours of the poor farmers in the process of Green Revolution.

Coming to the direct taxes, I referred to the enhanced levy of income-tax on person earning between Rs. 10,000 and Rs. 15,000 . I happened to point out that and the Finance Minister had given certain concessions to such employees who earned between 10,000 to 15,00 if they owned a mo-or-car, they would get some concession, by way of additional conveyance allowance. My clarificatory speech was misunderstood by my friends and well-wishers for impliedly justifying the enhanced levy. I find my critics are absolutely right. There is no justification whatsover for enhancing this income-tax on assessees earning between Rs. 10000 and Rs. $15,000 /$-. In fact, when I studied the figures of the tax burdsn borne by different secions of the assessees, I found that it is not only irrational and thoughtless that we are not increasing the exemption limit bu' it is highly unwise. The position is this: On studying certain figures relating to the tax burden of those earning below Rs. 10,000 , I find from the figures of the ARC on this very important aspect that in 1966-67 assessments to the tune of 47.65 lakhs were $p=n d i n g$. From the figdres of the Study Group of Income-tax of the ARC it is found that out of this, 36 lakhs assessments related to assessces whose income was less than Rs. 5,000 and 6.5 lakhs related to assessees whose income was between Rs. 5,000 and Rs. 10,000 . Out of 47.65 lakhs assessments, from 42.5 lakhs assessees the total tax expected out of this was not more than Rs. 20 crores, that means 4 per cent of the total realisations. Th efigures are startling. That means 89 par cent of the
assessees pay 4 per cent of the tax and 11 percent of the assessees pay 96 percent of the tax. This, in my respectful submission, clearly shows that it is a most unprofitable business for Government to tax peoplc below Rs. 10,000 . These figures are not a figment of my imagination: I have taken them from paras 2.5 to 2.7 of the Report of the Working Group on. Income Tax set $\mu p$ by the ARC.

## 15 hrs.

[Mr. Deputy speaker in the chair]
So far we had canvassed that the exemption limit shou:d be raised because it was only fair to the poor harassed taxpayer. If my figures are correct, I submit that to make it really profitable and worthwhile the exemption limit must be raised to Rs. 10,000 Then by a stroke 89 per cent of the assessees are removed from the records of the income tax department.

AN HON. MEMBER: What is the amount involved?

SHRI NARENDRA KUMAR SALVE: Rs. 20 crores- 4 per cent.

My next point is regarding the tendency on the the part of assessing officers to overpitch assessments and thereby cause considerable harassment to the assesses. It is unfortunate that officers, who might themselves bs very just and fair, have to be victims of the pernicious and vicious atmsophere which prevails in the department so much so that they cannot thrive without being utierly ruthless, unscrupulous and dishonest and make what I would-call unconscionable assessments.

A rough idea of the criminal harassment of the department would be had if I were to read a few linss out of the placitum of two reported cases. The first one is reported in 1964 (52) ITR 637. This is a case where the departmental men organised a large-scale raid on the assessees. When the validity of the raid was challenged in a court of law, this is what the Assam High Court was compelled to remark about the income-tax department:
"Action under 37 (2) was taken on the assessees in spite of the fact that there were no charges of evasion in the
past and no materials were disclosed by the authorities which would give rise to a reasonable belief that documents would be found at the places searched. The search was conducted in a highhanded manner with the use of armed forces of police and a large number of documents were seized irrespective of whether they would be helpful to the inquiry.
"Held, on the facts, that the action taken under section 37 (2) was mala fide".

There is another case which came up before the Allahabad High Court, reported in 1967 (71) ITR 204, where the officers, despite repeated requests from the assessee, refused to give refund to the assesseos by rectifying the order within the time-limit, in terms of sec. 35. After the expiry of the time-limit, they said 'Your refund is barred under limitation; we are not going to give it' The assessee went to the High Court. The Commissioner of Income-tax instead of apologising to the assessee for causing such harassment raised the plea of bar of limitation. The High Court repelled his contention and directed him to return the money.

The real misfortune is that our tax laws have become so utterly drastic and punitive that it will be impossible to see a parallel in its severity in any tax law of any other country. But this hardship is only on the assessee without ensuring that there is a just and fair administration of the matter.

I hope the Finance Minister will take up seriously at least the two cases I have referred and immediately order suspension of the officers concerned and institute an inquiry. I only hope that the plea that there is an appeal pending would not be raised.

It is impossible for me to conclude without referring to one more matter. I do not know what the Finance Minister will have to say in this matter; I will certainly be glad to take my command from him in every other matter. but so far as tax laws are concerned, I would beg of him to give me the hearing which I deserve.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I am giving it.

SHRI NARENDRA KUMAR SALVE: I cannot conclude without refirring to the penalty now contemplated under the Wealth Tax Act for delay in submission of return. This is exceedingly harsh to the point of being vindictive. I shall explain how it is so. This is not a penalty for concealment of income. There is no criminalty involved for this default. It is purely a technical default in law. A certain return has to be filed; but it is not filed in time. It is not for concealment of wealth purpose therefore, that this penalty is proposed. If I were to point out an extreme case a lady had a property worth Rs. 95,000 . She inherited another property worth Rs. 1.5 lakhs and in respect of that inherited property there was some dispute for five years. She failed to make a statement of return to the Wealth Tax Officer in respect of her property during the pending of dispute. Under the provision of the law the lady will have to pay for five years an aggregate wealth tax of only Rs. 2500 and the penalty is going to be only Rs. $1,05,000$. Is this just, or fair penalty for delaying a statement? For concealment I submit a person justifiably lose his entire wealth. I therefore, request the Finance Minister not to make the life of the tax payer in India any more miserable than what it is. People in civilised countries are reconciled to the dictum that tax and death are absolutely The Finance Minister must not improve upon that and compel his fellow countrymen to feel that there is no difference between the two.

There is a small couplet which I want to racite to the Finance Minister then I have ${ }^{-}$ done:

नहीं जुरूर के मरजायें तेरी उत्फत में, यही तो मोत है कि जीना हराम हो जाये ।

धी मषू लिमये (मुंघेर) : उपाष्गक्ष महोदय, मेरा भाषरा शुरू करने के पहले जंसा कि श्राप लोगों के द्वारा मुभे श्रादेश दिया गया है बजट का रहस्य खुलने के बारे में में श्रपना लिखित बयान पेश फरूंगा घौर उसके बाद मुभे जो भाषरा करना है, मै करूंगा, ॠगोंकि भ्रलग से लिया जाता तो ढाई घन्टे की इस पर
[श्रा मधु लिमये]
बहस होती। इसलिए श्रह्यक्ष महोदय ने मुभसे कहा है कि वह बयान पढ़ लूँ श्रौर उसके बाद जो भाषएा मुभे फाइनेन्स बिल पर देना है, मैं वह दूं।
"बजट्वाले दिन दोपहर को शी नन्दू सोमानी ने हममें से कुछ सदस्यों को घ्रपने निवास स्थान पर खाने के लिए निमन्त्रित किया था। इस भोजन के दौरान में बन्बई से एक टेलीफोन श्राया जिसे नन्दू के पिता जी ने सुना । उन्होंने हमको बतलाया कि बह्रुत से बजट प्रस्ताव लोगों को पता लग गये हैं । फिर उन्होंने चीनी व खाद श्रादि पर लगाये जाने वाले कर के बारे में विशेष जिक्र किया । मेरे घौर नन्दू जी के श्रतिरिक जो संसद सदस्य वहां उपस्थित थे, उनके नाम हैं-श्री एस० एम० जोशी, श्री घानन्द्न नाम्बियार घौर घ्री सुरेन्द्र तापरिया।
2. बम्बई के सूती कपड़े के सूत्रों से मुभे पता चला कि कुछ दिन पहले वित्त मंतालय के कुछ बड़े श्रधिकारी बम्तई गये थे और उन्होंने मूल्य के ऊपर ग्राधारित शुल्क के (एडवलोरम ड्यूट्री) के बारे में पूछताछ की थी। बम्बई के कुछ लोगों को इस बात का पता लग गया था कि कुछ प्रकार के कपड़ों पर उत्पादन शुल्क के तरीके में परिवर्तन करने की सरकार की इच्छा है।
3. शेयर बाजार की तेजी इस बात का फल था कि शेयर बाजार के कुछ लोगों को इस बत का पता था कि बजट प्रस्ताव कारपोरेट क्षेत्र के पक्ष में होंगे श्रोर बहुत सी सहूलियत चालू रखी जायेंगी जैसे कि विकास रिबेट का चालू रहना, स्ती वस्त्र श्रौर जूट उद्योगों को प्राथमिकता की फेहरिस्त में सम्मिलित किया जाना, नये कारखानों को कर मुक्ति श्रादि-श्रादि । उनकों यह भी पता था कि बजट प्रस्तावों में कम्पनियों पर वेल्थ टैक्स लमाने का प्रस्ताव शामिल नहीं होगा जिसकी चर्चा एक ग्रसे से चल रही थी।
4. निम्नलिखित समाखार बम्बई के दो बड़े घ्रार्थक समाचार-पत्रों में छपे चे। यह उद्धराए पूरां नहीं है बल्कि केवल एक मिसाल के तौर पर है । इसी प्रकार की खबरे दूसरे घंग्रेजी घौर भारतीय भाषामों के समाचार पत्रों में भी छपी हैं-
(i) "The sugar market apprehends a rise in the excise duty on sugar." (Financial Express, 26th February, 1969.)
(ii) "There have been rumours for the past one or two oays that New Delhi was likely to step up excise duty on sweets." (Economic Times, 26th February, 1969.)
(iii) "Fears of Excise impost on sugar lead to fresh spurt."
$\therefore . .$. Meanwhile, the sugar traders, who envisage the fresh impost have begun to buy the goods. The brisk purchases in the Bombay market on Tuesday were due to fears of an impost. The market received abnut 1.200 bags of sugar on Tuesday, bulk of which was immediately absorbed on such an apprehension." (Economic Times, 26th February)
(iv) "Exclise on cotton cloth to be on ad valorem basis." (Economic Times, 27th February, 1969)
(v) "Prices of staple fibre yarns continued to rule firm here today on expectation that excise duty on fibre might be raised." (Economic Times, 27th February, 1969)
(vi) "Share market steady on eve of Central Budget."
"......There has been a spurt in the quotation of Century Mills by Rs. 9 to Rs. 680.50 in spite of rumours that there will be a modest increase in the excise duty on rayon yarn. It is felt that any new impost will not adversely affect the finances of rayon manufacturers because they will be able to pass on the additional incidence to the consuming industrios.

National Rayon have also gone up by Rs. 4 to Rs. 489." (Economic Times, 28th February, 1969)
(vii) "......Sugar prices rallied to Rs. 365 a quintal here today on fresh purchases by squash manufactures caused by the hope of an increase in excise duty......"
(viii) "Tax relief likely for mill modernisation"
"......It is also expected that the issue of according a priority status to the industry which has been pending for a long time, will be decided in favour of the (textile) industry.
"......The excise levy on cigarettes is expected to be raised further. Besides, a moderate increase in the excise duty on rayon yarns is also expected. Activity in the yarn market increased noticeably here today with the forward rate for viscose yarn shooting up substantially. Ready prices also moved up.
‘......Sugar is also expected to attract an increased levy under the usual reasoning of 'mopping up' excise profits, it is felt..."
(Economic Times, 26th February, 1969)
5. वित्त मंत्रालय के एक बड़े पदाधिकारी ने ( जिसका नाम में नहीं लूंगा) श्रपना मकान एक बड़े ग्रोद्योगिक गुट समूह को बहुत ही अ्रधिक किराये पर दे रखा है। ऐसे मामलों में टैंक्स अ्रादि को बचाने के लिए कागजी श्रोर श्रसली किराये में हमेशा फर्क रहता है। यह भ्रष्टाचार का एक स्पष्ट उदाहराए है। क्या ऐसे श्रफसरों को बजट सम्बन्धी गुप्त काम सोपा जाना चाहिए जब कि वे बड़े व्यापारी लुटेरों के एहसान में रहते हैं
6. यह सबको भ्रच्छी तरह मालूम है कि केवल बजट प्रस्ताव ही लोगों को मालूम नहीं हुए, बल्कि इस बात का पता लग जाने से

कुछ्ब बेईमान व्यापारियों ने चीनी, स्टेपल फाइवर घ्रादि को जमा करके घ्रोर बेच कर लाखों रुपया कमाया। ये ब्यापारी वित्त मं ग्रालय के सम्पर्क में हैं ग्रोर उन्होंने इन बातों का पता उन लोगों से लगाया जिन्होंने बजट बनाया घ्रोर जिनके हाथ में बजट की गुप्त बातें थीं ।
7. बजट के पहले हुए चीनी के सीदों की इस दृष्टि से जांच करनी चाहिए कि इन सोदों की उत्पादन शुल्क सम्बन्बी बातों का दोनों पार्टयों पर क्या प्रभाव पड़ा। श्रहिक्तर चीनी की दरों में कमी या बढ़ोतरी का प्रभाव बेचने वाले पर ही पड़ता है। मेरा मतलब उस चौनी से है जो मिलों से बाहर श्राने वाली हो । भ्रगर इसका झ्भसर खरीदने वालों पर पड़े तो इसका साफ मतलब है कि खरीदने वालों को इस बत्र का पता था कि एठवलोरम वेसिस में परिवर्तंन होने वाला है। क्योंकि इस प्रकार की खबर के बिना कोई भी खरीदार भ्रपने ठेकों के श्राम श्राषारों में परिवर्तन से होने वाली जोखिम को नहीं उठायेगा ।
8. गोला चीनी के बदले सीदे 25 से 28 फरवरी, 1969 के बीच इसी झ्राषार पर सट्टे में किये गये थे जिससे कि चीनी पर ग्रोर अ्रधिक टैंक् ग्रोर एडनलोरम ड्यूटी लगाने के प्रस्ताव का पहले से पता चलने का लाभ उठाया जाए जोकि 28 फरवरी को घोषित होने वाला था। खरीदारों की चीनी रु० 322,00 पर देने का प्रस्ताव किया गया जबकि fमल से बेचने का रेट र०० 335.00 था। वह प्रस्ताव इसी श्राधार पर था कि ड्यूटी में ं्रगर कोई वृद्धि हुई तो उसे खरीदार से लिया जाएगा। उसी सौदे के विक्रेता द्वारा इस भ्राषार पर रु 336.00 , र० 337.00 श्रोर रु० 339.00 तक करार किये गये कि ड्यूटी का बोभा प्रथम खरीदार द्वारा उठाया जाए। प्रथम खरीदार को 14.00 या 15.00 से अ्रषिक ड्यूटी में बढ़ोतरी की भ्राशा नहीं थी ध्रोर इसीलिए उसने चीनी को खरीदा घोर फिर बेचा परन्तु सोदे के
[श्री मषु लिमये]
श्रारम्भ करने वाले श्री गोकुलचन्द मुरारका को मालूम था कि 14.00 या 15.00 से कहीं श्रधिक ड्यूटी लगने जा रही है इसलिए उन्होंने 14.00 का बदला स्वीकार किया श्रोर 337.00 प्रति बोरा पर करीब 41.20 प्रति बोरा की दर से लाभ उठाया जिसकी ड्यूटी रुपया 70.90 थी ; बाद में ये सोदे 41.20 के बदले 15.91 जमा 14.00 यानी 29.91 पर तय किये गए ।

ये सौदे मुरारकात्रों द्वारा कानपुर बाजार में विभिन्न कमीशान एजेन्टों के जरिये किये गये जिनमें गोला मिल के श्राज कल के दो कमीशन एजेन्ट भी शामिल हैं। निम्नलिखित सोदे हु ए-

हर दयाल नेवटिया, विहारीलाल नेवटिया एन्छ सन्ज़, श्रपर इण्डिया कमीशान एजेन्ट (प्रा०) लिमिटेड तथा ए० एच० भिवांडीवाला एण्ड कम्पनी। इन्होंने श्री गोकुलचन्द मुरारका के खाते में तथा भारत टैक्सटाइल्ज ग्रोर लक्ष्मीनारायरा श्रहराकुमार में श्रपने खाते पर 139 वंगन्ज्र के सोदे किये। एक वंगन में 145 बोरे के हिसाब से कुल बोरे 20155 हो जाते हैं। इन 20155 बोरों पर साधारएा तोर पर रु० $6,04,650$ का मुनाफा प्रति बोरा रु० 30 के हिसाब से ग्रकेले कानपुर में इन लोगों ने कमाया ।

इसके ग्रतिरिक्त, इस बात का भी संकेत fमलता है कि विरला प्रुप श्रोर उनके साथियों को भी बजट प्रस्तावों का पता था। निम्नलिखित कारखानों ने $25,27,28$ फरवरी, 1969 को चीनी हटा दी :
(1) 4500 बोरे गोला कानपुर एजेन्ट के खाते में
(2) 2000 बोरे बर्मंन कानपुर एजेन्ट के खाते में
(3) 1000 बोरे हरगांव कानपुर एजेन्ट के खाते में
(4) 8000 बोरे हरगांव, लखीमपुर श्रोर सिवहारा से मिल साते में चालान किया—जयपुर, ग्रहमदाबाद घोर कलकत्ता को।

मुओे पता चला है कि बजट के इस प्रस्ताव का रहस्य खाद्य मंत्रालय से इन मिलों को मालूम हुपा।

मैं पटल पर क्रिस्टल सुगर मिल डेलीवरी रेट पर एक वक्तव्य रख रहा हूं ।

यह केवल एक मिसाल है, एक केस स्टडी है । पूर्या जांच से पता चलेगा कि इस प्रकार के सोदे बम्बई, कलकत्ता बाजारों में घ्रोर भी बड़े पै माने पर किये गये थे ।
9. यह मेरी खबर है कि रिजर्व बैंक ने, वित्त मंत्रालय की सहमति से, सिद्धान्त रूप से बैंक रेट को कम करने का फैसला कर लिया था। मैंने बजर से पहले इस बात का कई मुरुप श्रादमियों से जिक्र किया था। यह खबर भी कुछ लोगों के पास पहुँच गई थी ग्रोर यह बात ही शेयर बाजार में तेजी का श्राधार थी। मुभे सुरी है कि वित्त मन्त्री ने कुछ ताकत से काम लिया ग्रोर इस बैंक रेट को घटाने नहीं दिया । 16 मार्च, 1969 के फाइनेन्सियल एक्सप्रेस ने घ्रपने संपादकीय लेख में स्पष्ट रूप से कहा है कि इसी मनाई के काराए शेयर बाजारों में कीमतें गिरने लगीं।
10. श्रगर शेयर बाजारों में हुए बजट से पहले श्रोर बाद के सोदों का श्रह्ययन किया जाए श्रौर इस सम्बन्धी कागजों घ्रोर किताबों घ्रोर दस्तावेजों को पकड़ लिया जाए तो पता चलेगा कि इन बेईमान व्यापारियों ने बजट का पता लगने से कितना लाभ उठाया। पिछ्छले साल भी मैंने इसी प्रकार के तथ्य सुने थे श्रोर माँग की थी कि बजट रहस्य खुलने की तथा उन परिस्थितियों की भी जाँच की जाए जिनके भन्तगंत बजट के दो दिन बाद शानिवार को बैंक रेट घटाने की घोषएा की गई जबकि भाम

तोर पर बैंक रेट सम्बन्धी घोषराा बुधवार को होती है।

परन्तु वित्त मंत्री ने मेरी मांग झस्वीकार कर दी। बजट प्रस्तावों को तंयार करने का सारा तरीका बदलने की बहुत आ कोई ऐसा रास्ता मी ढूँढ निकालना चाहिए जिससे बजट बनाने की प्रक्किया रहस्यूपूर्यां न रहे श्रोर किसी को सट्टे के अ्राषार पर मुनाफाखोरी करने का मोका न मिले।

श्रष्यक्ष महोदय, में उम्मीद करता हूं कि वित्त मंत्री श्रपने भाष्या के दोरान में, ॠयोंकि यह वक्तण्य मैंने पहले ही उनके पास बहुत दिन हुए भेज दिया है, एक-एक बात की सफाई देंगे श्रोर इस मांग को कबूल करेंगे कि श्रगर व्यापक पंमाने पर सन्देह उत्पन्न होता है तो उसकी जाँच करने के लिए कोई कमीशान बहाल कर देना चाहिए जो इस बात के लिए भी सुभाव दे कि इस तरह रहस्यपूर्वक वातावरएा में यह सब काम न हो ग्रीर उसमें सट्टे के ऊपर पंसा न कमाया जाए।

श्रब जहां तक वित्त विषेयक का सवाल है में पांच-छः चीजों के बारे में कुछ बातें कहना चाहता हूं। सबसे पहले मुभे सेद है कि वित्तमन्त्री ने बजट की चर्चा के दोरान में जो उत्तर दिया है उसमें हमारे श्राक्षेपों पर उन्होंने कोई प्रकाश नहीं हाला। एक बात तो मेंने यह भ्रंज की थी मांकड़ों के साथ, कि पिछ्घले 20 साल में जिनकी भ्रामदनी 10,000 रु० से 15,000 रु० सालाना है उन पर कमरः 14 फीसदी भ्रविक इनकम टैक्स बढ़ा है श्रोर 15,000 रु० वालों पर 30 प्रतिशत बढ़ा है। लेकिन इसी दरमियान में जिनकी भ्रामदनी पाँच ध्रोर दस लाख रु० भर्नजित है उनके ऊपर साढ़े ग्यारह भ्रोर बारह प्रतिशत घट गया है। ये श्रांकड़े बीस साल से इक्टे करके मैंने रखे थे । इसका कोई जवाब वित्त मंत्री ने नहीं दिया।

एक दूसरी बात भी भैंने कही थी जिस को में सफाई से कहना चाहता हूं भौर वह है

इन्टर बैंक हिपाजिट एग्रीमेंट के बारे में । इस करारनामे के तहत डिपाजिए की जो दर है उस को बढ़ाने के ऊपर रोक लगाई गई है। भब यह जो बड़े-बड़े बैंक हैं यह उद्योगपतियों के लिए तो घाटे में भी भावशयक सेवाएं प्रदान करते हैं। लेकिन इस रोक के काराा जो fिपाजिटर है उसको अ्यषिक पंसा मिल नहीं पाता। इसलिए में मुभाव देना चाहता हूं, भौर सिठीकेट बैंक ने इस सवाल को उठाया है, पोर उनकी बात को में ठीक समभता हूं कि इस तरह की जो रोक है डिपाजिटर की दर के ऊपर उसको हटा दिया जाय श्रोर उनको भ्रषिक रेट रिपाजिए के ऊपर दिया जाय। साथ-साथ प्रामीरा इलाकों में श्रगर श्राप चाहते हैं कि लोग बचत करना सीखें, बैंकों में पंसा लगाना सीखें तो उसके बारे में मेंरा यह निवेदन है कि ग्रगीया इलाकों में डिपाजिए का रेट ज्यादा रसने से हो सकता है कि लोग बैंकों में ज्यादा कि पाजिट लगाना बुरु कर दें।

उसी तरह जो ब्रोकरेज घोर डोनेशन्स दलाली तथा चन्दे के बारे में बातें हो रही हैं उस के बारे में मैं मंग्री महोदय से कहूं गा कि भाप रिजवं बैंक को धादेश दें कि ब्रोकरेज श्रोर डोनेशन्स देने की जो प्रथा है उसको खत्म किया जाय क्योंकि उससे जो साषाराए डिपोजिटर है मोर जो जनता है उसका बड़ा घाटा हो रहा है ।

तीसरी बात में कहना चाहता हूं नाइलान के बारे में। इन्होंने घपने बजट सम्बन्ती सुभावों के भंदर नाइलान के ऊपर जो एक्साइज ठ्यूटी थी उसको घटा दिया। हम लोगों ने इस बात का स्वागत किया इसलिए कि हम सोबते थे कि भगर नाइलान धागे का दाम कम हो जायगा तो जो बोटे-बोटे कपढ़ा उत्पादक हैं उनको भी फायदा होगा। जंसे स्रूत में, जो माननीय वित्त मन्त्री का क्षेत्र है, बहुत सारे बुनकर लोग हैं जिनको इस कृत्रिम धागे की जर्रत होती है । लेकिन हुमा क्या ? ऐक्साइज ह्यूटी तो कम हो गयी, लेकिन भ्रापको यह जनकर ताम्मुब होगा, नाइलान
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धागा बनाने वाले सिफं चार कारखाने इस वक्त हैं श्रोर एक पांचवां बिड़ला वालों का सेन्चुरी राका का निकल रहा है, इनको ही लाभ हुभा, श्रोर यही मुनाफा कमा रहे हैं। तो इस ऐक्साइज़ ड्यूटी को घटाने का नतीजा यह हुग्रा कि जो बुनकर लोग हैं उनको कोई लाभ नहीं हुग्रा। मैं इकानामिक टाइम्स के 27 श्रप्रंल के श्रंक से एक खबर पढ़कर मुनाना चाहता है :
"The price of nylon yarms have recorded a steep rise ranging from Rs. 15 to 21 per kilogram in the Bombay market in the last four days. 15D yarn has shot up from Rs. 78 to Rs. 95.98 and 20D yam has moved from Rs. 74 to Rs. 92. Official prices of leading spinners are Rs. 66 for 20D and Rs. 72 for 15D yarns."
इसका साफ मतलब है कि उत्पादक शुल्क घटाने से उसका फायदा बुनकरों को नहीं मिल रहा है, बल्कि यह जो चार बड़े पूंजीपति हैं जिनके हाय में सारी पंदावार है यह लोग इसमें मुनाफा कमा रहे हैं, वितरक लोग मुनाफा कमा रहे हैं। तो क्या मन्न्री महोदय इसके बारे में विचार करेंगे। ग्रोर एक बात के बारे में भी मैं जानकारी चहता हूं, मुभे सूरत के बुनकर सहकारी संघ से एक पत्र मिला है जिसमें उन्होंने कहा है कि यह संप बहुत नाइलान धागे का इस्तेमाल करता है इसलिए उन्होंने इनके पास 11-10-1967 को लाइसेंस के लिए माँग की थी कि सहयोगी क्षेत्र में नाइलान का धागा पैदा करने के लिए हमको लाइसेंस दिया जाय। मैं नहीं जानता यह सहकारी संष कोन हैं, माननीय वित्त मन्त्री ज्यादा जानते होंगे बयोंकि उनके क्षेत्र का मामला हैं। घ्रगर इस सरकार की नीति है कि सहयोगी ग्रान्दोलन को बढ़ावा देना चाहिए तो क्या वजह है कि डेढ़, दो साल तक इन लोगों के भ्रावेदन-पन पर विचार तक नहीं होता है, भ्रोर बीच में यह जो चार एकाषिकार स्पाषित करने वाले मोनोपलिस्ट्स हैं, इनको जनता को: लूटने का मोका विया जा रहा है। तो में

कहूंगा कि नाइलान यार्न पर आपने उत्पादक घुल्क घटाया, श्रच्छा किया, लेकिन उसका फायदा ग्रगर साधाराए लोगों को, बुनकरों को नहीं मिल रहा है तो उनको सस्त कायंवाही करनी चाहिए, श्भौर स्टेट ट्रेडिग कारपोरेशन के बारे.में भी उनको कहना चाहिए कि वह भी दामों को घटाये ताकि इसका फायदा साबारा लोगों को हो।

जब भ्राथक एकाधिकारशाही की बात चल पड़ी, प्रोर मैंने सुना कि फरीदाबाद में भी इसकी बड़ी चर्चा हुई, तो यह जो एकाषिकारशाही बढ़ रही है इसकी बुनियाद में हमको जाना चाहिए कि श्राधिक सत्ता का केन्द्रीकरसा क्यों हो रहा है ? मेरी राय में इसलिए हो रहा है कि पूंजीपति साथ-साथ राजनीतिज्ञ श्रोर नोकरसाही, तीनों के भ्रवित्र गठबन्धन हैं। नियंत्रित ग्रर्थ व्यवस्था का इस्तेमाल इन बड़े-बड़े पूंजीपतियों ने अ्रपने साग्राज्र का विस्तार करने के लिए घ्रौर श्रपनी श्रायिक सत्ता घ्रधिक मजबूत करने के लिए किया है। इसके बारे में इन्होंने जो छं तरीके श्रपनाये हैं उनका उल्लेख करना चाहता हूं।

एक तो जाहिर बात है कि करों की चोरी होती है मोर उससे पूंजीपति लोग पंसा बचाते हैं।

दूसरे कच्च माल खरीदते समय म्रोर पक्का माल बेचते समय सही दाम नहीं बताये जाते हैं।जंसे जूट है। जूट के बारे में हर रोज़ रपट पढ़ रहा हूं कि उसमें बड़ा नुकसान हो रहा है । इसका काराा यह है कि कच्चा जूट खरीदने जाते हैं तो दिखाते एक दाम हैं जबकि दाम दूसरे होते हैं। भौर बेचने के लिए जब जाते हैं तो श्रसली दाम दूसरे होते हैं ग्रोर कागज पर कुछ श्रोर दिसाते हैं ताकि विदेदों में पंसा जमा हो। इस प्रकार से जूट उद्योग बरबाद हो रहा है। तो सरकार को इस बारे में कायंवाही करनी चाहिए। इसका मतलब哄 है कि यह जो क्षसा कम्पनियों से निकाला जा रहा है उस वंसे से gुरानी कम्पनियों को

खरीदने का घोर नई कम्पनियों को कायम करने का काम ये लोग करते हैं।

तीसरा मैनेजिजग एजेन्सी का तरीका है। घोयर होल्डरों को लूट कर मैनेजिग एजेन्ट्स मुना का कमा रहे हैं। इसलिये कम्पनी कानून में मेनेरिजग एजेन्सी के बारे में जो बिल है में चाहता हूं कि उस को जल्दी से जल्दी पारित किया जाय। लेकिन इन्होंने किया क्या ? जब देखा कि मैनेजिग एजेन्सीज़ जा रही हैं तो उस के नाम पर एक दूसरा भूत खड़ा किया है भोर उसका नाम है सोल संलिग एजेन्सी। यह मैनेंजिग एजेन्सी ही का एक बालक है। उस को घाप खत्म करने जा रहे हैं तो उन्होंने यह पौदा कर दिया। हम लोगों ने सिन्येटिक्स श्रोर कैमिकल्स वाले विवाद में इसका सबूत देखा । इसके बारे में मैंने मंत्री महोदय को पत्र लिखा था। इन्होंने हमको जवाब दिया कि लाइफ इन्हयोरेन्स कारपोरेशान भी इसक बारे में योग्य कदम उठायेगी। भ्रगर यह पहले ही बता देते कि लाइफ इन्रयोरेन्स कारपोरेशान का योग्य कदम क्या होगा तो मेरा ख्याल है कि दूसरे जो हिस्सेदार हैं उनको भी कुछ रोशानी मिलती। लेकिन लाइफ इन्इयोरेन्श कारपोरेशान ने कल या परसों वाली बंठक में काम तो भ्रच्छा किया। लेकिन भ्रगर पहले बता देते तो हिस्सेदारों को कुछ्छ रोशानी मिलती। इस तरह की जो सोल एजेन्सियाँ चल रही हैं यह लूटने का नया तरीका है। में चाहता हूं कि वित्त मंत्री जी श्रोर कम्पनी कानून मंत्री जी इस पर रोक लगार्यं ।

पांचवां इनका तरीका यह है कि बैकों के द्वारा जो कर्जा दिया जाता है उसके बारे में कई बार सफाई हो चुकी है कि ग्रधिक से भ्रधिक कर्जा सस्ते दर से श्रोर दूसरी सुविषाग्रों के साथ बड़े बड़े पूंजीपतियों को मिलता है जिसकी वजह से हम कहते हैं कि बैंकों का राष्ट्रीयकरा किया जाय। श्रभी जो स्थिति है उसमें साधाराए उद्योग वालों के लिये या किसानों के लिये कर्जा नहीं मिलता ।

छठा तरीका इनका यह है जो सरकारी वित्तीय संस्थाएं हैं, फाइनैंशियल इंस्टीड्यूइांस हैं, उनके बारे में पूछा गया कि पिछले वर्षो में जब से ये संस्थाएं बनी हैं श्रधिक से श्रधिक कर्जा किन-किन उद्योगपतियों के गुटों को मिला है, तो पता चलता है कि यहां मफ्तलाल श्रोर बिड़ला को ही सबसे ज्यादा कर्जा मिलता है। यह बात बिल्कुल बेमतलब है कि यह उद्योगपति बहुत चतुर हैं, बहुत मेहनती हैं, बहुत देशाभक्त हैं भौर उनमें बहुत उपक्रमशीलता है, इंटर प्राइज की स्प्रिट है इसलिए यह लोग पैदावार बढ़ा रहे हैं भौर इनका विस्तार हो रहा है। यह बात सही नहीं है। यह सारा साधारा जनता का पैसा लेकर श्रपना विस्तार कर रहे हैं। में श्रब श्रपनी बात को खत्म करने जा रहा हूं लेकिन एक, दो बातों का जाते-जाते में उल्लेख करना चाहूंगा।

एप्रोप्रियेशान बिल के समय जब वित्त मंत्री ने जवाब दिया तब में यहां नहीं था। ऐसा इसलिए नहीं हुआ कि उनका में कुछ अपमान करना चाहता था बल्कि इसलिए कि मुभे दूसरी जगह जाना था इसलिए में उपस्थित नहीं रह सका । लेकिन घ्याते ही वित्त मंत्री जी का पूरा का पूरा भाषएा मैंने पढ़ा। मुभे बहुत रंज हुग्रा यह देख कर कि जिन सवालों को में उठाना चह्ता था उन का जवाब न देते हुए उन्होंने लम्बी॰चोड़ी बातें कीं। वित्त मंत्रोजी ने कहा कि श्री मषु लिमये जितने पत्र उन्हें लिखते हैं हर एक पत्र का जवाब वह देते हैं। लेकिन में इससे नहीं कब करता हूं ? वित्त मंत्री जी को समभना चाहिए कि वहां उनके विभाग में सैकड़ों करंचारी उनकी सिदमत में रहते हैं, सेकेटरी भ्रोर स्टोनोग्रफफस उनकी सेवा में लगे रहते हैं जबकि हम श्रकेले हैं प्रोर केवल वित्त मंत्री जी से ही नहीं बल्कि सरकार के श्यन्य सभी विभागों से लड़ते हैं घ्रोर पत्र-व्यवहार घ्यादि कियां करते हैं। भ्रब श्रगर कित्तमंत्री जी मेरे हर एक पत्र का जवाब दे देते हैं तो उस में कोई खास धूवी की बात नहीं है। लेकिन
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भ्रगर मेरे जंसे साधारा सदस्य, जिन्हें कि इस तरह की दफ्तरी सुविधा सुलभ नहीं है, विभिन्न समस्याग्रों पर मंत्रालयों को पत्र अंभिंदि लिखते हैं श्रोर रचनाइ्मक सुभाव देते हैं तो वह श्भवरय तारीफ की बात है। रह गयी मंत्री महोदय द्वारा जवाब देने की बात तो उनका हर हालत में जवाब देना फर्ज होना ही चाहिए।

भी द्वा० ना० तिवारी (गोपालगंज) : हम लोग ग्रापकी तारीफ करते हैं।

धी मधु लिमये : तारीफ करो या न करो लेकिन में यह शवइय कहना चाहूंगा कि मंत्री महोदय जो पत्रों का जवाब दे दिया करते हैं वह कोई तारीफ की बात नहीं है क्योकि जंसा मैंने पहले कहा पूरी सरकारी मशीनरी उनके पीक्छे है। हर हालत में जवाब देना उनका कर्लव्य है और इसमें उनके नाराज होने की कोई बात नहीं है। जवाब उन्हें देना ही चाहिए।

सवाल ग्राया बी० श्रो० सी० का तो उसमें भी सदन को गुमराह किया गया है । ग्रसल में जो कस्टम ऐक्ट है वह मेरे पास है उसमें दो सुभाव हैं। एक तो जिसके खिलाफ फैसला किया गया है वह सुद रिवीज़न में जा सकता है। वह एक प्रक्रिया श्रलग है श्रोर सरकार सुद रिव्यु पुर्नववार कर सकती है। ग्रब सरकार ने स्वयं रिव्यु कयों नहीं कराया इसका जवाब उन्होंने दिया ? रिवीजन का जःाब नहीं दिया है, कस्टम वालों ने कि उसके बारे में रिवीजन ऐप्लीकेशन करनी च।हिए। उसके लिए मैंने बतलाया कि एक रपट नहीं बल्कि डाइरंक्टर श्राफ इंटं लिजेंस की दो रपट हैं श्रोर उन दोनों रपटों को मैंने सभा के पटल पर पेश किया है। उसके -बारे में श्रह्यक्ष महोदय इजाज़त दे रहे हैं। श्राज ही सबेरे मैंने दोनों रपट इनके पास दी हैं। इन रपटों के श्रछ्ययन से श्रोर जो यह पूष्बा गया कि क्या सैंट्रल बोडं का फैसला श्रापने पढ़ा है तो में कहना चाहता हूं कि फैसला पढ़कर ही मैंने यह बात की थी। मैंने एक किताब पालियार्मेंट की

लाइस्रे री से लेकर प्रारम्भ से लेकर श्राखिर तक पढ़ी थी। इसलिए यह सेंट्रल बोर्ठ के निएगंय तथा उाइरंक्टर श्रांफ इंटै लिजैंस रंवेन्यू की दोनों रपट पढ़ने के पशचात में निशिचत राय का हूं कि रिवीजन घ्योर पुर्नवंचार के लिए इसमें भारी गुंजइइा है। 6 महीने की मियाद है कस्टम वालों को जरूर रिवीज़न में जाना चाहिए। जैसा कि डाइरैक्टर ग्राफ रैवैन्यू इंटंलिजंस ने कहा है कि मामूली बात नहीं है। यह दोनों रपट श्राप पढ़िये। उससे साफ राय यह बनती है कि उसके खिलाफ रिवीज़न के ध्रधिकार का प्रयोग होना चाहिए। में ताकत के साथ कहना चाहता है किंक भाफ इंग्लैंड ने हम लोगों को गुमराह करने का प्रयास किया लेकिन उसके बारे में उन्होंने कोई कायंवाही नहीं की।

घंत में मैं एक बात यह कहना चाहता हूं कि ये जो बार-बार कहते हैं कि ठा० गेबल्स की तरह विरोधियों को झ्रारोप करने की एक ग्रादत पड़ गई है बिल्कुल गलत है। अब में उनसे पूछना चाहता हू कि हमने भाई ऐसा कौन-सा श्रारोप किया कि जिनके बारे में श्राप कह सकते हैं कि हम लोग गेबल्स की तरह हैं ? मैंने तीन सवालों के बारे में स्पीकर साहब से प्रार्थना की थी, सएफर स्कंडल, वूलटोंस श्रोर बै रल के मामलों में । इन तीनों मामलों में से एक गया पबलिक 尹्रंडरटेंकग्स कमेटी के पास मौर दो गये एस्टिमेट्स कमेटी के पास । उन तीनों की रपट म्राई है। यह तिवारी जी बंटे हैं। हमने जो बात कही, एक-एक बात साबित की है। स्वयं उनके बारे में यह गलतफहमी में रहे कि इनका श्रौर हमारा कोई व्यक्तिगत भगड़ा है लेकिन हकीकत यह है कि कोई भगड़ा नहीं है। हम।रा किसी भी मंत्री से व्यक्तिगत भगड़ा नहीं है। लेकिन यह में दावे के साथ कहता हूं कि 19 श्रगस्त को जो बहस यहाँ पर हुई उस बहस के दौरान मैंने प्रवने प्रस्तावित भाष्या में सबूत के साथ इन बततों को पेब किया था । सन् 1964 में, इन्होंने कहा, कि कांति भाई ने इनके निजी सचिव बनने के बाद सारी कम्प-

नियों से सम्बन्ध तोड़ लिया। मैंने साबित किया कि 1964 में इन्होंने सम्बन्ध नहीं तोड़ा । सन् 1964 से लेकर साढ़े तीन या 4 साल तक इनका सग्बन्ध कई कम्पनियों से बना रहा। मैंने एक विशेष कम्पनी का जिक्र किया, बम्बई इंडस्ट्रियल एन्ड कममिकल कम्पनी, मोरारजी भाई ने सब कम्पनियों के बारे में जवाब दिया लेकि.न इसके बारे में कुछ नहीं कहा । 19 तारीख को इनका जवाब पढ़िये $\cdots$

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: He was not a partner in it.

धी मघु लिमये : यह मान लिया कि व्यक्ति के रूप में पार्टनर नहीं थे, लेकिन भ्रापने जो श्रपनी घ्रल्प बचत का पैसा कम्पनी में लगाया तो इसके लिए कोई नहीं कह सकता है कि ग्रापको उस कम्पनी के कल्यारा के बारे में दिलचस्पी नहीं है।

में बेबुनियाद श्रोर बिना सबूत कोई श्रारोप नहीं करता। मैंने श्रपने एक-एक भारोप को साबित किया है ग्रोर में ग्राज श्री मोरारजी देसाई को यह चुनौती देना चाहता हूं कि इस पार्लियामेंट की किसी कमेटी के सामने आप ग्रपने मामले को भेज दीजिए, बिड़ला वालों के मामले को ग्राप भेज दीजिए . ग्रोर वहाँ पर यदि ऐसा साबित होता है कि श्रोंचित्य भंग आपने नहीं किया है तो हम लोगों में इतनी उदारता है कि हम इसका साफ ऐलान कर देगें कि यह हमारी गलती थी लेकिन श्रगर हम साबित करते हैं श्रोर पार्लियामेंट की कमेटी का मतलब झ्रापके सहयोगियों की कमेटी, जिसमें कि कांग्रेस का बहुमत रहेगा, श्रगर उस पालियामैंटरी कमेटी के सामने हम यह साबित कर देते हैं कि मोरारजी भाई ने ग्रोचित्य का उल्लंघन किया है तो क्या उसके बाद भी मोरारजी भाई हमारे लिए कह सकते हैं कि डा० गेबल्स के तरीके से हम लोग काम करते हैं ? इस तरीके से काम करने की हमको श्रादत नहीं है। घन्यवाद ।

धी चन्द्रिका प्रसाब (बलिया) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, में उपप्रधान मन्त्री तथा वित्त मन्त्री द्वारा सदन में रक्खे गये वित्त विधेयक का झ्रामतोर पर समर्थन करता हूं। यह हर्ष भोर संतोष का विषय है कि खेती में काम श्राने वाले पम्पों पर जो एक्षाइज ड्यूटी लगाई थी वह उन्होंने समाॅ्त कर दी है श्रोर एग्रीकलचरल वँल्थ पर भी टैक्स की दर इन्होंने घटाई है। उनके द्वारा उठाये गये इन दोनों कदमों की मैं सराहना व स्वागत करता हूं।

हमारी भारत सरकार के श्रम व उद्योग के कारएा ग्रोर विशेषकर कृषि मन्त्रालय के द्वारा जो देश में खेती की उन्नति हुई है, देश के कुछ हिस्सों में खाद, पानी ग्रादि की समुचित व्यवस्था होने से वहां हरियाली कान्ति भ्राई है श्रोर उसके फलस्वरूप किसानों की श्रामदनी में जो कुछ वृद्धि हुई है उसको देखकर हमारे देश के पूंजीपति ब्लंकमनी कम्पनियों का प्रोर वह किसानों की ज्रमीन लेकर बड़े-बड़े फार्म, 200 , 400 ग्रोर 500 एकड़ के जो फार्म बनाये हुए हैं श्रगर उन फार्मों से भारत सरकार कुछ पैसा लेकर गरीज किसानों के लिए पंदा करती है तो में इस नीति का समर्थन करता हूं। में अ्रपने सूबे के तीन-चार जिलों का उदाहररा दे सकता हूं जंसे मेरठ, मुजफफरनगर, बुलन्दशाहर, वहां पर किसानों से 1000 रुपये का चन्दा मांगा गया था जबकि 10,000 रुपया बतोर चन्दा धुनाव में दे दिया । यह पूरी पार्टी ने यू० पी० का चुनाव उनके पंसे से संचालन किया। यह हमारे कृषि मन्त्रालय की देन है ग्रौर भारत सरकार की सूभ है, लेकिन में फिर मी कहूंगा कि सरकार द्वारा हमारे उत्तरश्रदेश की बड़ी उपेक्षा की गई है। उत्तरप्रदेश स्राबादी व क्षेत्रफल दोनों के लिहाज़ से हमारे देश का सबसे बड़ा सूबा है लेकिन उसकी जितनी उपेक्षा म्रब तक इन सारे प्लानों में की गई है, विशेषकर हमारा पहाड़ी एरिया, बुन्देलखंड का एरिया योर उत्तरप्रदेश के पूर्वी जिले जोकि गरीब घ्मोर मिखमंगे इलाके हैं, आज उनकी हालत बहुत बराब हो रही है। वहां पर दो-दो
[श्रो चन्द्रिका प्रसाद]
कमिशान, पटेल कमिशान घोर झ्मोक मेहता कमिशन बैठाये गये, भारत सरकार ने आ्राइवासन दिया कि हम उसमें सारे विकास के काम करेंगे लेकिन श्राज तक वह हमारा एरिया एकदम उपेक्षित पड़ा हुग्रा है। हकीकत यह है कि उनकी हालत श्राज तेलंगाना से भी खराब है लेकिन ग्राज तक हम लोगों ने तेलंगाना के लोगों जसी कोई श्रावाज़ नहीं उठाई कि हम श्रपनी बात मनवाने के लिए घान्दोलन करेंगे या किसी तरीके का कोई श्रवंधानिक काम करेंगे। लेकिन भब हमारी बर्दाशत की सीमा समाप्त-सी हो रही है। इसलिए में विशेषकर उपप्रधान मंत्री महोदय को यह ह्यान दिलाना चाहता हूं कि जो हमारे प्रदेश में विषमता फंली हुई है उस विषमता को मिटाने के लिए भाप इस बिल में विशेष घन की व्यवस्था फरें। विशेषकर पटेल कमिशन ने जो सिफारिशों की हैं वह एक वर्ष में लागू हुइं लेकिन चीन, पाकिस्तान के साथ भारत का युद्ध छिड़ आने की वजह से वह बन्द कर दिया गया ध्रोर हमारे जिले को कोई भी फायदा नहीं हुग्रा, विशेषकर बलिया, देवरिया के बारे में सारी पटेल कमिशन की सिफारिशों को खत्म कर दिया गया है। उत्तरप्रवेश मेडिकल फेसिलिटीज़ में मी सबसे पीछ्ठे रहा है। श्राप देखेंगे कि मुदालियर कमेटी की रिपोर्ट थी कि हर एक पांच मिलियन पापुलेशान पर एक मेडिकल कालेज होना चाहिए। इस हिसाब से उत्तर प्रदेश में 17 मेठिकल कालेज होने चाहिए, लेकिन भ्राज वहां पर केवल सात मेडिकल कालेज हैं। विशेषकर पूर्वी उत्तर प्रदेश में, जहाँ की भ्याबादी 6 करोड़ की है, एक मी मेडिकल कालेज नहीं है, घ्रोर वहाँ की हालत बहुत खराब है।

उाक्टर्स के बारे में भी हमारे प्रदेश की हालत बहुत खराब है। वहां एक लाख मिलियन पापुलेशन के पीक्षे 13.7 डाक्टसं का एवरेज है पौर 41 बेड्स हैं जबकि सारे भारत का ऐवरेण 17.2 डाक्टर्स घोर 49 बेड्स का है ।

पूर्वी जिलों में फाइलेरिया, ट्यूबरक्लोसिस श्रोर लेप्रासी जैसी बीमारियां बहुत व्याप्त हैं। 2 लाख लोग कुष्ठ रोग से परेशान हैं भ्रोर 1.1 लाख लोग ट्यूबरक्लोसिस से पीड़ित हैं। उत्तर प्रदेश के 54 जिलों में से 27 जिलों में 8 प्रतिशत से लेकर 30 प्रतिशत लोग फाइलेरिया से ग्रसत हैं। इस प्रकार से उत्तर बरेश $^{2}$ में कुष्ठ, ट्यूबरक्लोसिस घ्योर फाइलेरिया के रोगियों की उपेक्षा की जा रही है। घाज उत्तर प्रदेश के लोगों की बर्दाइत की सीमा पार होती जा रही है । में वित्त मन्त्री से भाग्रह करुंगा कि इस घ्रोर विशेष रूप से ध्यान दिया जाये ।

इसी तरह से हमारे उत्तर प्रदेश के पूर्वी जिलों की हालत कृषि के बारे में भी बहुस खराब है। वहां पर छोटे-छोटे किसान हैं, भ्राष-श्राष एकड़, एक-एक एकड़ से लेकर डेढ़डेढ़ श्रोर तीन-तीन एकड़ तक के टुकड़ों की होल्डिग उनके पास है। श्रशोक मेहता कमेटी ने कहा है कि विशेषकर हमारे 22 जिलों में एशिया में सबसे घनी श्राबादी है श्रोर उनमें भी बलिया में श्रोर ज्यादा है। इसलिए मैं कृषि मंत्री से कहूंगा कि वह स्माल फार्मसं योजना में भारतवर्ष के जिन 22 जिलों को लेने वाले हैं उनमें कम-से-कम बलिया को जरूर लिया जाये ।

हमारे यहाँ शुगर केन बहुत पंदा होता है, लेकिन हमारे यहां एक भी जुगर फंक्ट्री नहीं है। 12 वर्षों से हमारे यहां एक कोमापरेटिव शुगर फंक्ट्री का मामला चल रहा है, उसमें उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार ने भ्रपना धन दिया, कोश्रापरेटिव का पैसा जमा है, किसानों का पंसा भी जमा है जो बारह वर्षों से ब्लाक पड़ा है, लेकिन शुणर फैक्ट्री नहीं चलाई गई ।

हमारे प्रदेश में एक वेटरिनरी कालेज पन्त नगर में है श्रौर एक मथुरा में है। पूर्वी जिलों में एक भी वेटरिनरी कालेज नहीं है। में चाहूं गा कि बलिया, गाजीपुर या श्राजमगढ़ में, जहाँ पर कृषि विद्यालय मौजूद हैं, कम-से-कम एक वेटरिनरी कालेज खोल। जय।

हमारे यहां गोरखपुर में यूरिया फटिलाइजर का एक कारखाना है घौर एक बनारस में है, लेकिन इन दो फॉटलाइजर कारखानों से 27 जिलों की सप्लाई पूरी होने वाली नहीं है। इसलिए बलिया, श्याजमगढ़ या गाजीपुर में एक फरिलाइज़र फंक्ट्री सोली जाये।

श्राजकल हमारे जिलों को गंगा श्रोर धाघरा काट कर बरबाद करती है। वहां पानी की जरूरत होती है, वहां पानी की व्यवस्था की जा सकती है श्रोर लिफ्ट इरिगेशन का उपाय किया जा सकता है, लेकिन भाज यह नदियां बाढ़ से सारे जिलों को बरबाद करती हैं। ध्रभी हमारे जिले से पत्र श्राया है कि यहां पर गंगा काट रही है घ्रोर पानी दस फोट पर है। भ्रगर घ्रं्रैल में पानी को नहीं रोका गया तो जुलाई में गंगा से गाय घाट कट जायेगा घौर घाघरा से चांदपुर बलुग्रा कट जायेगा औ्रोर सारा जिला डूब जायेगा श्रोर स्थिति यह हो जायेगी कि करोड़ों की सम्पत्ति नष्ट हो जयेगी । भारत सरकार के ससवाई मंग्री वहां गये थे, उत्तर प्रदेश के सचिव भी गये थे स्रोर वहां के चीक इं जीनियर भी गये थे। वह सब कुछ देसकर श्राये श्रोर उन्होंने भ्राखवासन दिया था कि वह वहां पर स्थायी ठोकर बनायेंगे। लेकिन भ्रब सारे गांव को बरबाद कर के वहाँ पर रिटायर बाँष बनाया जा रहा है श्रोर इन दोनों नदियों के सुपुर्द किया जा रहा है। यह बता श्रच्छी नहीं है। रिटायर बाँष से जमीन भी बरबाद होगी श्रोर जो पंसे लगाये जा रहे हैं वह भी बरबाद होंगे। घ्रगर वहां पर परमानेन्ट ठोकर बनाई जाय तो भी उतने ही पैसे में काम चल जायेगा जितने में रिटायर बाँघ बनता है। भ्राज भारत सरकार इस काम को उत्तर प्रदेशा सरकार पर बोड़ रही है घोर उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार कहती है कि हमारे पास पंसा नहीं है। में श्री मोरारजी देसाई से प्रार्थना करूंगा कि वह बलिया की समस्या को सहानुभूतिपूर्वक देलें। बलिया देशभक्तों का जिला है, शहीदों का जिला है श्रोर फोजियों का जिला है जो चीन

घ्रोर पाकिस्तान से लड़े हैं। भ्राज उस जिले को बचाने के लिये वह उत्तर प्रदेश की सरकार को दो करोड़ रपये का लोन दें, बल्कि ग्राउट घ्राफ प्लेन दें। यहां के सिचाई मंत्री की राय से योजना बनाई हुई है लेकिन पंसे के वाँर वह समाप्त हो रही है। श्याज वहां पर एक श्रान्दोलन छिड़ने जा रहा है रिटायर बाँघ के खिलाफ। ग्राज मेरे पास एक टेलिग्राम ग्राया है कि तुम पारलयामंटंट से इस्तीफा दे कर चले श्राश्रो ग्रोर श्रान्दोलन का नेतृष्व करो। इस को लेकर श्राज हम बड़ी विकट परिस्थिति में पड़े हुए हैं। हमारी दयनीय हालत को देख कर श्री मोराराजी देसाई को हमारे ऊपर दया करनी चाहिये।

इसी तरह से हमारे यहां हिन्दी की बड़ी उपेक्षा की जा रही है गिक्षा के क्षेत्र में 1 हमारे यहां सर्व भाषा कोश की नागरी प्रचारियी सभा की 40 लाख रुपये की योजना थी। जो हमारे पहले मंत्री थे उन्होंने इस योजना को मंजूर भी किया था, लेकिन वह योजना ग्राजंखटाई में पड़ी हुई है। में चाहूंगा कि माननीय वित्त मंत्री जी इस घन का प्रबन्ध करें।

काशी विद्चापीठ हमारे यहां सबसे बड़ी संस्था है जो राष्ट्रीप संस्था है जिसने लाल बहादुर शास्त्री घोर सम्पूर्यानन्द जैसे देश के नेताय्यों को शिक्षा, दी है। उसने बहुत से देश की भ्राज ददी के सिपाहियों को पंदा किया है, लेकिन इस गिक्षा मंत्रालय के काराा वहां की हालत खराब हो रही है। उसने उसको मान्यता प्रदान की, लेकिन मान्यता प्रदान करने के बाद वहां के भध्यापकों को तनख़ाह नहीं मिल रही है, सारे कर्जें में पड़ं हुए हैं। इस संस्था ने भाजादी से पहले किसी के भाये पंसा लेनें के लिए हाय नहीं फंलाया, लेकिन जब जवाहरलालजी भोर पन्तजी यहां पर आ्राये तो उन्होंने इसको संन्ट्रल युनिर्वसिटरी की मान्यता दी घ्रोर कहा कि हम इसकी सहायता करेंगे, लेकिन माजतक इस

## [श्री चन्द्रिका प्रसाद]

के बारे में बहत ढिलाई हो रही है, जिससे संस्था खत्म होने जा रही है।

हमारा जिला संनिकों का जिला है। वहां के लोगों की माँग fिलिट्री स्कूल की है, लेकिन भ्राज तक वहां पर रिटायर्ड फोजी सिवाहियों को मंहगाई की वजह से टी ए श्रोर डी ए मिलना चािये वह न मिलकर पुराना ही रेट मिल रहा है।

देश की भाज जो हालत है उसमें भी हमारे जिले के लोगों की हालत बहुत खराब है। विशेषकर हरिजनों की ग्रावादी ऐसी है जिनके पास न तो खेती है भ्रोर न नोकरी है, लेकिन हमारे यहाँ जो बुनकर हैं उनकी हालत तो झ्रोर भी ज्यादा खराब है। उनके बिए न तो खाना है, न नोकरियां हैं घंर न काम करने के लिए बेती है। में चाहूं गा कि खादी कमिशन इस उद्योग की श्रोर घ्यान दे ग्रोर इसके लिए कर्जा दे, मीर रुपया दे, चमड़ा उनको दिया जाये। उनको काम देकर उनके जूते लिये जायें जो कि रूस को मेजे जा सक्ते हैं। घर-घर कारखाने बनाकर उन लोगों को काम दिया जाये। बुनकरों के लिए हमारे यहां बड़ा स्थान है। अ्रगर उनके लिए पावरलूम भ्रोर हैंडलूम की व्यवस्था की जाय तो उनको रोजगार दिया जा सकता है।

इसी तरह से हमारे यहां जो बेकारी की समस्या है उसकी भोर ह्यान दिया जाना चाहिए। हमारे उत्तर प्रदेश ने कमी किसी के सामने हाथ नहीं फंलाया है, कभी कुछ मांगा नहीं है, जिसके काराया वह पीछे पड़ा हुम्रा है। में चाहूंगा कि इस पर हानान दिया जाये ।

[^1]guidelines and direction for the economic growth. In order that State can provide these guidelines and directive, only one occasion is provided in a year and that is the occasion of presenting the budget. The Finance Minister, therefore, is expected not only to arrange for collection of revenue and expenditure in his proposals; he is also expected to provide necessary guidelines for the economic growth and to create conditions by which the basic ailments of economy like unemployment, illiteracy and lack of housing facilities can be cured. The justification of any budget proposals lies in meeting these basic demands.

Before I come to the proposals of the current year, I would briefly like to dwell on what happened in the course of the last 20 years after Independence. On the one hand, as I said, there is the acquisition of larger and wider control by the State over the economic activities and the State acquired more and more funds and finances by raising taxation, by borrowing within the country and outside and by resorting to deficit financing. I will quote some figures in support of my contention.

With regard to tax revenue, in 1950-51 the total tax revenue was of the order of Rs. 627 crores. It rose to Rs. 1,350 crores in 1960-61 and Rs. 3,433 crores in 1967-68. This year it has assumed a proportion of Rs. 3,900 crores as against Rs. 627 crores in 1950-51. This year, Government-Centre and States-will be acquiring Rs. 3,900 crores-a rise of more than 600 per cent. Look at the borrowings. In respect of borrowings, in 1950-51 the total borrowings of the Centre and States was of the order of Rs. 3,056 crores which today stands over Rs. 17,000 crores, including external borrowings.

Now all this collection of revenue and raising of borrowings has resulted in a shift of the saving of the society into the hands of the State. This shift was done under the specific pledge given by the State that the shift of income would be utilised for improving the well-being of the people, for improving their lot in the matter of housing, employment and providing the minimum requirements for the human being.

Let us examine where and in what manner this huge collection of funds, the
acquisition of the savings of the society, has been utilised. Let us look at it sectorwise.

First, employment. The total number of unemployed persons today is estimated by some people at 70 lakhs and by some others at over 1 crore. Whatever be the correct figure, the fact remains that the total number of persons unemployed in this country today is very much more than what they were in 1950-51. Out of them, 50,000 are qualified engineers, Thousands and thousands are graduates. This is the plight and the pity of our country that graduates coming out of our universities are today available seeking jobs at Rs. 150 per month. This is what we have done in respect of employment in the course of the last twenty years.

Look at illiteracy. In the 1950-51 census, the total number of illiterate persons in the country was 29.8 crores; in 1969, it is estimated at 34.9 crores. This is the result of the spread of education in the course of 20 years-an increase in the number of illiterates by 5 crores! 67 persons out of every hundred in our country do not know how to read and write in spite of independence 20 years ago.

Then come to housing. This is a basic necessity in our country today. Compared to 1951, the total number of persons without housing is very much more. According to estimates, in April 1966 the shortage was 7.41 crores. What is the position of construction of new houses today? Every year there is a rise in population by 12 million. Even to maintain the problem at the present level, you have to construct more than 2 million houses every year; but our total construction is less than one million. Everyday the problem is becoming more and more acute; everyday the shortage is increasing.

Look at the lower middle class and the poorer sections of the community. How do you judge their condition? Apart from employment and housing, you judge their condition by the level of consumption of the basic necessities of life like cotton cloth, foodgrains, sugar, edible oils etc. Here again the picture is very deplorable. Compared to the figure
of 1961-62 in respect of cotton cloth, from 14.8 metres, it came down to 13.8 metres in 1966-67. In foodgrains, as against 170 kg . it came down to 147 kg . in dible oils, the drop was from 4.2 kg . to 3.4 kg .; sugar consumption foll from 5.1 kg . to 5.1 kg . This is the level of per capita consumption of Indian society in 1966-67.

What is the condition of per capita income? During the whole of the Third Plan, not even an iota of increase was recorded in real terms at prices of 1948-49. In 1963-64, it was Rs. 302, in 1966-67, it was Rs. 301. This is the condition of per capita income.

How do you explain this? In spite of so much acquisition of wealth and borrowing the entire saving of the society having been taken by the State, why is our economy in the present state? There are certain definite reasons. The economy has been directed on wrong lines. Where has the money gone? First of all the money has been taken away by rising consumption expenses of the Central and the State Governments. The figures are very eloquent. The total consumption expenditure of the Central and the State Governments in 1954-55 was of the order of Rs. 930 crores which today stands at Rs. 5,000 crores. By raising taxation and taking away the savings of crores of people, the Finance Minister has transferred the wealth into the hands of the State. If savings are left in the hands of people or society, it gets re-invested either in capital formation or in economic activity. If they are taken away by the State, the result in this country had been that it was spent in the form of consumption expanditure without any return whatsoever.

The other reason is that we have resorted to continuous deficit financing for more than ten years. Taking into account the debt of the Central Government with banks, in the last ten years deficit financing had been to the tune of Rs. 2500 crores and this year it will increase by another Rs. 250 crores. If deficit financing is accompanied by an equivalent rise in production, it may be justified. Otherwise it is bound to create inflationary cosditions and a rise in the price index.
[Shri D. N. Patodia]
Deficit financing was resorted to meet rising expenditure and investment in nonyielding projects. It has resulted in inflation. There has been faulty planning, indiscriminate investment all around whether in the public sector or the private sector.

### 15.58 hrs .

## [Shri Vasudevan Nair in the Chair]

Twenty per cent of our capacity is lying idle. Suppose there is no such idle capacity in our country, the total industrial production would have increased by Rs. 1200 crores and would have brought an additional income of not less than Rs. $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ crores every year to the State.

I now come to the public sector to which undue weightage had been given. There is uneconomic planning, continuous losses, idle capacity and over-employment. With an investment of about Rs 3500 crores, even this year it will result in a loss of Rs. 35 crores. This subject has been discussed so many time; still I am tempted to refer to the observations made by the Prime Minister in the A.I.C.C. on 27th April, 1969. She made three observations about the performance of the public sector. She justified its performance by saying that their gastation period might go up to 15 years propounding a new theory in economics. By whatever standard, anywhere in the world, all worthwhile capital equipment are liable to become obsolete after 15 years; in modern technology the gastation period is never more than five years. The result of her statement will be upto 15 years gastatiogn perind; after fifteen years it is obsolete machinery. Let the economy go to hell. This is going to be the effect of extending the gestation period up to 15 years.

## 16 hrs.

The second justification about the public sector given by her was that the public sector was highlighted on account of its being accountable to the public and Parliament, and if a probe was made inio the private sector, the results would not be all too flattering. She was completely ignorant of the functioning of the private sector, of the inbuilt mechanism available in the private sector which prevents the occurrence of loss,

If the private sector industry runs inefficiently and at a loss, the inbuilt mechanism will compel it to die; it may not survive, and this very fear of survival, this very impelling fear of death will compal the industry to work efficiently. The public sector is living at the cost of society; it is living at the cost of taxation of the people and resulting in higher and higher taxation in our country.

The third ground on which she justified the public sector was that in respect of the public sector, profit motive cannot always be the consideration. Firstly, this is against the directive principles in respect of the public sector industries. And secondly, presuming but not admitting, that there is no profit motive behind it, then, where does the benefit of 'no-profi'' go? Who is benefited by it? Do they mean to say that by having no profit motive, the commodities made by the public sector are being offered cheap to the public? Is the ben fit being given to the society? Or, are the losses and inefficiency of the public sector being covered by the slogan of saying that profit motive is not there? This is how the public sector is being attempted to be safeguarded. I challenge it. The very name image of the public sector is being damaged. The people of the country will gradually lose faith in the public sector. It is in the interests of the public sector, it is in the interests of socialism that the performance of the public sector improves. Otherwise, nobody will trust it.

With this background of its performance for the last 20 years, let me briefly examine the budget proposals made for 1969-70. Let us examine by the same criteria, whelher the budget proposals meet the needs of the situation: will they be helpful in reducing the unemployment in our country? Will they be helpful in providing adequate housing for our people? Will they be helpful in reducing the number of persons who are illiterate? In what respect are they going to be helpful in respect of each and every point? The answer is ' no '.

This year, there is an additional taxation of Rs. 127 crores, which has been reduced by Rs. 5 crores. Let us take Rs. 122 crores. There is deficit financing of Rs. 250 crores. There is an additional taxation by the States, about Rs. 40 crores to Rs. 50 crores:

I am not aware. The economy of the country will be further burdened by more than Rs. 400 crores. Where is it going to come from? Either in the form of rise in the price index or in the form of rise in the cost of living, in whatever form it is, it is bound to create an inflationary condition without an equivalent rise in the income or wages. This is how it is going to affect the people. How is it going to improve the living of the people?

Take for example some of the specific itoms. Take the case of sugar. In the case of sugar, 30 per cent of the total sugar produced is released in the free market for sale. The incidence of new taxation in respect of the 30 per cent of sugar will be as much as an additional Rs. 40 per quintal. On the one hand, there was the complaint all over the country that sugar prices are high ond that they should he brought down. On the other hand, irrespective of the cost of production of sugar, by imposing this additional taxation of Rs. 40 per quintal on free sugar, Finance Minister has taken care to see that so long as this impost continues sugar is bound to continue to be expensive for the people of the country.

Has the Finance Minister chosen not to give any relief in the case of petrol, kerosene and fertilisers? Will it reduce the cost of a household or an agriculturist or the common man or will it increase it? He says, agriculture is capable of bearing something more. Let us examine whether the cost of agriculture in India is more or is less compared to other countries. Here the cost of fertilisers is high. The cost of pumping sets is high as it is. The cost of transportation is high, because distances are long. Storage facilities are not available. So, by all standards, our cost of production of foodgrains is higher. Does the Finance Minister feel that in respect of agriculture we have arrived at a saturation point and there is no need for further growth, further savings or further investment in agriculture? If he sincerely feels further growth is necessary, do these imposts go with the spirit of it? Of course, not. The only possible explanation is, in the desperate attempt to mop up whatever surplus is available, this time he has jumped upon agriculture also. I join with the voice of the whale House that the imposition on
fertilisers, kerosene and petrol should again be looked into and withdrawn.

About cement, the Finance Minister was good enough to announce some relief this morning. He said, originally the conversion from specific to ad valorem proved to be a little more and so it is being neutralised. But has he neutralised it? My calculation is that due to conversion from specific to ad valorem, the increase was an addition of Rs. 3.30 per tonne. The relief announced today is only Rs. 1.86. There is still a gap of Rs. 1.45. If the minister is serious that there should be no increase on account of this conversion, I would urge upon him to calculate it again and see that in spirit and in action, both are equalised.

About soap the Finance Minister has not announced any relief. Is it not correct that on account of conversion from specific to ad valorem. the total collection will be exceeded by more than Rs. 1 crore?

With regard to exports, on 28th February, certain reliefs were announced in respect of jute goods and tea. But are these reliefs adequate and timely, although they are welcome up to the point they go? Is it not a fact that both in respect of jute and tea, India has gradually but steadily lost the export market in favour of Pakistan and Ceylon respectively? Why is it so? Nor because these countries are moro efficient than us or because we do not have any facilities of marketing. It is because both these countries stcod at a positive advantage compared to India in respect of these two items. I submit that our export policies should not be directed towards revenue collection. They should be directed towards promotion and growih of trade. In our country, our export policies are directed towards collection of revenue as much as possible. If there is some fluctuation in the international market, our export duties are fluctuated accordingly. Let us learn a lesson from U.S. legislation. In the United States, to see that their export trade is not affected by competition from other countries or by market fluctuations, the imposition of export duties is prevented by legislation and by the Constitution. Therefore, all our export policies have got to be directed so that our industry can live.
[Shri B.N. Patodia]
Take the caso of jute. In respect of jute we have completely lost the market for sackings in favour of Pakistan. In respect of hessian it is in the process of being lost completely. If the same trend continues for five years there will be no world demand for our hessian. In respect of carpet backings, the third item in which we hold a good position even today because there are no other competitors and nobody else is making this so far, looking at the situation prevailing in Pakistan, looking at the speed with which new looms are coming up there, I am sure unless we become realistic, unless we are able to bring our policies in line with them in the matter of giving incentives, in the matter of maintaining price level and in the matter of export duties, we are bound to lose the market. I hope the Government of India and the Finance Ministry will wake up to it and do the needful so that all these imbalances in the matter of export are removed.

With regard to agriculture I do not want to say anything more. I have taken sufficient time and my predecessors have already said enough about it. The whole point with regard to this new Budget is that all sections of the society, whether they are employers or they are middle-class poop'e or they belong to the poor class, whether they are professional or businessmen. all have been adversely affected. This Budget continues to be one in the chain of old budgets, where in usual repetitive way expenses have been increased, investments have been increased and they have been balanced and neutralised by a simple arithmetical formula of raising the taxation. I hope our budgets are needed to be growth-oriented and not tax-oriented. They are needed to provide the guideline to the economy which may ultimately promote growth, which may provide employment opportunities, provide housing facilities, which may raise the standard of the people. This needs a bold step.

This was a year when necescary conditions were available for taking a bold step. Our agricultural crop was good, exports were picking up and for the first time after many years there was a price stability. We could have taken a bold step by giving reliefs, by creating conditions for making the economy growth-oriented. . Have we taken
advantage of it? No. We have adopted the old policy of raising taxation and squeezing savings from the society.

I am very sorry to say, in conclusion, that a golden opportunity has been lost and the Finance Minister has lost the bus. I do not know when our economy will again be geered to the tune of growth.

## SHRI CHENGALRAYA NAIDU

 (Chittoor): Mr. Chairman, in our country, our gross income is about Rs. $\mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0}$ crores. Out of this Rs. $\mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0}$ crores roughly more than Rs. 15,000 crores come from urban income and less than Rs. 15,000 crores come from rural areas-that is, agricultural income. After the introduction of land legislation or land reforms in some States now no one gets more income, no agriculturist gets more income and the income is divided among many people. About 80 per cent of our people live in rural areas and out of this 80 per cent about 50 per cent are owning lands. They get this income. This income is again shared by about 30 per cent of our population living in rural areas. But in urban areas the income of more than Rs. 15,000 crores is gained by ons per cent or even less than one per cent of rich people in urban areas. This amount is shared or distributed over 19 per cent of the population in urban areas. So, is it not the duty of the government to collect more taxes from these people, less than onz per cent of the people who live in urban areas and amass huge wealth? In the rural areas this amount is distributed over many people.It is said that the policy of the government is to have a socialistic pattern of society. If you want a socialistic pattern of society, you have to tax pzople who have got more income. Instead of taxing people who have more income, you have turned your attention to poor agriculturists who constitute 80 per cent of the population in the rural areas.

### 16.16 hours.

## [Shri R.D. Bhandare in the Chair]

Year after year our Government is going with a begging bowl for food from other countries. In such a situation, it is not the
duty of the government to try to grow more food in the country itself? Should they not encourage the farmers to grow more food? Instead of encouraging the farmers to grow more food, by these taxation measures the government are discouraging farmers from growing more food. We are told that it is the policy of the Government to become self-sufficient in foodgrains by 1971 and not to import any more foodgrains after that year. But is this the way you are encouraging the farmers to grow more food? In fact, the government is scuttling the grow. more food campaign by these taxation measures. It looks as if they want to import more food from Russia or America rather than encourage our farmers to produce more. If that is so, I do not know where the government is leading the country to.

Coming to taxes on agriculture, government want to collect wealth tax on agricultural lands. In the cities wealth tax is collected on the basis of the value of the building. I do not know $h$ )w government are going to value the land in villages. In a village a land which is fertile and has irrigation facilities will cost Rs. 15,000 to 30.000 an acre. In the same village another land which is less fertile and has no irrigation facilities will fetch only Rs. 1,000 an acre. How are the income-tax officers going to value lands? Will they say that all land in that village will cost Rs. 30,000 ? If that is the decision of the income-tax officer, there will be litigation and the poor farmers have to go on appeal to the tribunals spending a lot of money. You have not given anything to the farmers so far and now you want them to enter into litigation. I am not able to follow this policy of the government.

Coming to the tax on fertilizers, if you want to grow more food, you have to give incentives to farmers to use more fertilizers. You used to subsidise it in the beginning. Previously, the farmers were using only a quintal of fertilizer per acre. Now when the farmers have come forward to use hybrid seeds and more manures, a tonne of chemical fertilizer per acre, you are imposing this levy on fertilizers. When he is coming forward, putting more fertilisers and growing more ford, you don't want him to grow more food. You have already stopped the subsid yon fertilisers and now you want
to tax him and dissuado him from growing more fcod. Is that the policy of the Government? I am very sorry to say I am toally opposed to the agricultural wealth tax and the fertiliser tax. In the interest of the country, in the interest of the people, in the interest of the agriculturists, I appeal to the Finance Minister to see reason and not to tax agriculturists by way of the wealth tax and the fertiliser tax.

Our Government is just like a trust. In the trust, if anybody swindles money, if he misuses money, he is charged in a court of law and he is punished. In the same way, the Government is like a trust looking after the tax-payers money. If the Government does not use money properly, if the Government misuses money, they are liable to be prosecuted in a court of law. What is the Government doing?

I am not against the public sector projects. I am one who wants the public sector projects. But the public sector projects must earn profits. Are the public sector projects started to satisfy a few communists in the country or a few socialists in the country? Is it not for the development of the country that we have started the public sector projects? In Russia, there are the public sector projects and these public sector projects earn profits. Why not in India? Why can't we get profits in the public sector projects? The main reason is this. In a communist country, no labourer can go on strike. If he goes on strike, he will be shot down. In India, labourers can go on strike. The communist party instigates them to go on strike; the socialist parties instigate them to go on strike. They are obstructing the development of the country. That is why the public sector projects are not able to make profits in our country.

There is another reason also for losing money in the public sector projects. If we appoint an officer to be the Chairman of a public sector project, he has a fear that he will be sacked if he does not manage properly. He will have that fear. He will have a fear that his emoluments will be cut down or he will be taken to task or a case may be filed against him in the court. He has got all the fears, if he is a public servant. What are we doing? We are appointing displaced
[Shri Chengalraya Naidu] persons as Chairman of the public sector projects. They have been rejected by the voters already. In some cases, the courts have also condemned them, that thoy have mis-managed, they have done bad things and all that. We appoint them for the Heavy Engineering Plant at Ranchi....

SHRI HIMATSINGKA (Godda): He . has resigned.

SHRI CHENGALRAYA NAIDU: This is a trust property. We have to safeguard the prodirty. Can we mismanig: like this? Is th: Goyernmint not responsible for the mismanag ment?

MR. CHAIRMAN: You must make a distin tion between defeat and judgment of the court.

SHRI CHENGALRAYA NAIDU: I have said both.

AN HON. MEMBER: Tho court's judgment is people's judgment.

SHRI CHENGALRAYA NAIDU: If this is going of be the fate of the public sector projects, I only blame the Government. The Govermment must come forward to appoint technical people or officers who can manage them well. I watt the Government not to appoint any non-offisial people or political people. (Interruption) Don't charg: us. Congress people as well as communist people have been appointed as Chairman of the public sector projects. Do not think - that we are only appointing Congress people.

SHRI YOGENDRA SHARMA (Begusarai): Where are the communists? Let him name at least one.

SHRI CHENGALRAYA NAIDU: Mr. Himatsingh, who is conresting the election in Banaskantha, was in charge of Asoka Horel. Now also he is Chairman of some public concern. (Interruption) Why are you allergic when I mention names?

[^2]SHRI CHENGALRAYA NAIDN: May I request the members to allow mo to speak? My time is being taken away. (Interruptions)

If you want to see that the public sector projects function efficiently and get profits, I would appeal to you to appoint people with integrity and efficiency. so that they manage them well.

The Government is doing another thing: There is a public sector undertaking-I do not want to mention the names of personswhich is manufacturing machines and for that we have appointed a General Manager who is a civil engineer and not a mechanical engineer. How can he manage it? This is the way we select and appoint people. Is it done in the interest of the project or in the interest of the persons whom we appoint? I want the Government to be careful in fut ure while appointing persons for such important positions.

Coming to the economy of our country, for some time we were having Americanoriented economy. Now we have come to Russian-oriented economy. I will tell you why I say, 'Russian-oriented economy'. We have got some projects; I will mention a few. For instance, we have got a Synthetic Drug Factory in Hyderabad, for which machinery have been supplied by Russia. This is an outmoded and outdated factory that they have given us. If, for half an hour. electricity fails, the entire raw material in the factory coming to tonnes of raw material is spoiled. They have to remove the entire machinery and they have to remove the spsiled raw material. And it takes twenty days to clean this and to start it again. Is this not an outmoded model? Why shopld Government purchase things like this? Again, the cost of the medicines produed is three times the cost of imported medicines. The medicines which wo get for a rupee cost Rs. 8 in the Synthetic Drug Factory, Hyderabad.

We have got another antibiotics factory at Rishikesh. Here also the machinery is an old one; an outdated one; the machinery is useless. The management of that company have written to the Govermment that most of the items have to be changed and remo-
dolted, if the factory has to be worked. This is the position of the Russian aid.

I now come to the defence equipment that we purchase from Russia...

MR CHAIRMAN: Let this bs the hom. Menber's last point.

SHRI CHENGALRAYA NAIDU: Russia is giving some aid. If they are giving us $\mathbf{5 0}$ per cent aid, they are giving to Pakistan hundred per cent aid and also better equipment. They have given about 24 special aeroplanes of fighters to us. These are based near Delhi. Oa the Republic Day our Army officers wanted to use these 24 planes. Not all the 24 but some planes out of the 24 planes. They wanted to use them for Republic Day. The Russians said ' No '. They said 'If you want to use them you need tyres. We will not give you tyres.' With regard to the equipment you have acquired from Russia unless Russia agrees, we cannot use them. Tomorrow if *: are at a war with any country, Russia may give permission to use the equipment. Otherwise we will not be in a position to use those equipmeat. Why should we go to this country and purchase useless equipment which we cannot use in times of war? This is a serious thing. When we purchase equipment, we must have the liberty to use it. What happens in a small country like Czechoslovakia. Even the Armies they marchod in. They are rot submitting to them. They are protesting. They are even sacrificing their lives for their freedom. In our country Russian armies have not marched. But our Government have submitted to the Russians in such a way to-day that we are becoming helpless. I will tell you one thing.

Mr. Ramamerti was criticising the Fourth Plan when the Plan was introduced. Why should he criticise? We have sent the VicoChairman of the Planning Commission to Russia to get orders and we modified our plan according to these wishes and Mr Ramamurti criticises the plan approved by the Russians. This is the fate of our Government. It is a disgrace to send our Vice. Chairman to take orders from Russia. Are we a satellite of Russie? Have no independence? This Gevernment is responsible for this. Mr. Morarji Desai must explain to the nation why we have seat him to Russia. If there
is anything, they can send the Mimisters. Now one thing more. That is the last thing.

Mr. Dinesh Singh while speaking the other day said that he had gone to Rusia and order for wagons is coming. What happen d to this wagon deal? N w whe Russians said when our In tian Airlines wanted to purchase planes-Caravalles we are using- Purchase our planes.' We appoin:ed a committee of important people and technicians to go into the matter and select what plan ss we should buy. These people selected some planes -Bing aircraft to be purchased. Then the Russians said 'You canno: parchase the American planes or Eng ish planss. You must purchase our planes.' Our G Jvernment obeyed implicitly and said we are not going to purchase the planes.' This is the way our Government is functioning. I am very sorry for this Government and the Minister in charge of it.

धी जागेइवर यादव (बांदा) : चेयरमैन महोदय, यह जो वित्त fिषेयक पेशा हुग्रा है, इसमें जो देश के विकास के बारे में बातें कही गई हैं वह उसी तरीके से हैं जेसे कि हाथी के दांत खाने के श्रोर, दिखाने के ग्रोर। सरकार साघनों की कमी का रोना रोती है ग्रोर इसी नाम पर गरीबों पर टैक्स लगाती है-जसे कपड़ा, ची it, निग्रेट, वेजिटेविल, घी इत्यादि पर टैक्स लगती है-लेकिन बनियों को छूती भी नहीं है । ग्रगर यह सरकार पैसा चाहती है तो क्यों हर साल पांच करोड़ रुपगा राज.-रानियों को जेव खर्च के लिए देती है ? 600 करोड़ रपया भूतपूर्व जमींदारों को मुग्रानिजे के रूप में क्यों देती है ? 300 करोड़ रुपए के टैक्स की चोरी बड़े-बड़े व्यापारी ग्रोर उद्योगपति करते हैं लेकिन उनका सरकार कुछ भी नहीं विगाड़ पाती है। में पूछ्छना चाहता हूं कि सरकार बैंकों का राष्ट्री एकरा क्यों नहीं करती है ? हर साल 130 करोड़ रुपया विदेशी पूं.भपतियों को मुनाफा, सूद, रायल्टी ग्रादि के रूप में विदेशों में क्यों जाने देती है ? सरकार जो पैसा टैक्स ग्रादि से इकट्ठा करती है प्रत्यक्ष रूप से या श्रप्रत्यक्ष रूप से, वेईमानी से जो बैसा इकटठा करती है, उस पैसे को सरकार
[श्री जागेशवर यादव]
कायदे से खर्च नहीं करती है। में यह देखता हूं कि प्रत्यक्ष ओर श्रप्रत्यक्ष रूप से सरकार के द्वारा जो पैसा गरीबों से इकट्ठा किया जाता है वह भ्रष्ट मंत्रियों द्वारा झ्रोर एडमिनिस्ट्रे टिव श्रधिकारियों द्वारा बहा दिया जाता है। उचित ढंग से जिस प्रकार वह पैसा देश के विकास में लगना चाहिए वह नहीं लगता है। ग्रोर जो कुछ पंसा लगाया भी जाता है राजधानी में, प्रान्तों की राजधानियों में झ्रोर दूसरे शहरों में ही खर्च कर दिया जाता है। प्रत्यक्ष श्रोर ग्रप्रत्यक्ष रूप से जो पैसा देहातों से, गरीबों के घरानों से श्राता है वह उनके विकास पर बिल्कुल नहीं लगाया जाता ।

में यह भी देखता हूं कि जो प्रगतिशील प्रान्त हैं, जहां से ज्यादा मिनिस्टर बन गए हैं, उन प्रन्तों का भी विकास किया जाता है । अ्राज उत्तर प्रदेश सबसे पिछड़ा प्रदेशा है म्रोर उसमें भी जो बुदेलखंड है वह ग्रोर भी ग्रधिक पिछछड़ा ह्रग्रा है। वहाँ पर सरकार पीने के पानी की भी व्यवस्था नहीं कर पाती है। वहां पर ग्राज बहुत ही श्रधिक बेकारी फैली हुई है। भांसी, जालोन, हमीरपुर, वांदा का जो पहाड़ी इलाका है वहां पर श्राज इतनी बेकारी बढ़ गई है कि ग्रादमियों के पास भोजन तो है ही नहीं, पहनने के लिए कपड़े भी नहीं हैं । उस पहाड़ी इलाके में रहने वाले लोग कोल भील जाड़े में लकड़ी जलाकर श्रास पास पड़े रहते हैं। पानी की समस्या का तो यह हाल है कि 8-8 मील के बीच में पानी नहीं है । भ्राज उनका जीवन बड़े खतरे में है जिसको बयान नहीं किया जा सकता। 32 लाख रुपया अ्रभी उस इलाके के लिए मंजूर हुश्रा था लेकिन उसका बड़ा दुरुपयोग किया जा रहा है। कहीं नाली बिछ्छाई जा रही है तो उसको बिद्धाते-बिद्धाते जुलाई का महीना भ्मा जायेगा घ्रोर 32 लाख रुपये का खर्चा भी दिखला दिया जायेगा। यह भी नहीं किया जाता कि जो रुपया खर्च किया जाये उससे स्थायी रूप से कोई व्यवस्था की जाये, ऐसा पक्का इन्तजाम कर दिया जाये ताकि दोवारा पानी की

समस्या पंदा न हो। शपने जिले में में देखता हूं कि ब्रिटिश काल में जो हालत थी वही हालत श्राज भी सड़कों की बनी हुई है। वहां के निवासियों को यातायात की कोई सुविधा नहीं है। बारह महीने सड़कें पानी से भरी रहती हैं। मुरिकल से भ्रप्रंल, मई, जून में कहीं जाकर रास्ते सूखते हैं तो किसान अपने खेतों का माल बाजारों तक $प$ हुैचा पाते हैं। हमारे यहां एक टूटी-फूटी रेलवे ब्रांच लाइन है । ग्रासपास के सभी संसद सदस्य लिखते-लिखते परेशान हो गए लेकिन श्राज तक वहां पर कोई एक्सप्रेस गाड़ी निकालने की व्यवस्था नहीं की गई। उसी इलाके में एक बहुत महत्र्जपूर्णा चित्रकूट का तीर्थ स्थान है। एक बहुत महत्वपूरां ऐतिहासिक स्थान खजुराहो है। पुराने जमाने से वहां पर रेलवे लाइन निकालने की योजना थी। अाज भी पत्यर गड़े हुए हैं लेकिन उस रेलवे लाइन का कोई र्याल नहीं किया जा रहा है। ग्रगर वहां की जनता लड़ाईभगड़ा, तोड़ना-फोड़ना जानती, तार श्रोर पटरी उखाड़ना जानती प्रोर सरकार का नुकसान करना जानती तो उस इलाके की भी सुनवाई हो जाती। लेकिन चूंकि वहां की जनता सम्यता से शांतिपूरां रहना चाहती है ग्रोर शांतिपूर्यां तरीकों से ही ग्रपने ग्रधिकारों की मांग करना चाहती है इसलिए उनकी कोई सुनवाई नहीं होती। इस सरकार में गरीवों की कोई सुनवाई नहीं है। साढ़े सात सी संसद सदस्य देश के कोने-कोने से यहां पर श्राते हैं श्रोर सारे देश में जो भ्रष्टाचार फैला हुग्रा है उसको वहां पर एवसप्रेस करते हैं लेकिन उनकी बातों को एक कान से सुना जाता है ग्रोर दूसरे कान से उड़ा दिया जाता है। यहां पर पार्ालयामेंट में जो कार्यवाही होती है वह उसी प्रकार से होती है जसे कि देहातों में पुरोहित लोग जब वर-वधू की शादी कराते हैं तो कहते हैं कि सात वचन लड़के को लड़की के मानने चाहिए ग्रोर चार वचन लड़की को लड़के के पूरे करने चाहिएउसी तरह से श्रछ्यक्ष महोदय जो हैं वे कहते हैं कि ग्रमुक संसद सदस्य को यह बोलना चाहिए, इधसे ग्रधिक नहीं बोलना चाहिए ।

इनको इतना टाइम देना चाहिए श्रोर इस विषय के घलावा नहीं बोलना चाहिए। इसी तरह से मंत्रिगरा जो उत्तर देते हैं उनके उत्तर भी बेढंगे तरीके के होते हैं, वह चाहते हैं कि जो संसद सदस्य सवाल पूछता है उसका सीधा उत्तर न दें श्रीर ऐसे व्यंगात्मक ढंग से कहें कि उसका उत्तर न श्राये । यहाँ केवल पार्टीबन्दी करना चाहते हैं श्रोर देश का काम नहीं करना चाहते हैं।

देश के ग्रन्दर इतना अ्रष्टाचार फैला हुग्रा है, इस तरीके से पैसा इकट्ठा किया जाता है, श्रोर एडमिनिस्ट्र टिव श्रधिकारी जो खजाने के छिद्र रूप हैं वह पैसे को बहाते चले जा रहे हैं, श्रोर इंजीनियर लोग तो करोड़ों रुपया भूठे बिल, गलत बिल बनाकर लूट रहे हैं। इसी तरह से बी० डी० प्रो० को जो पैसा देहातों के डेवलपमेंट के लिए दिया जाता है उसको वह भूठे प्रोनोट बनाकर ग्रपनी जेब में डालते हैं। लेखपाल, कानूनगो ग्रोर हाकिम परगना की तो लेखपालों से भी ज्यादा गुजरी हालत है । जितनी सरकारी भूfम थी दफा 229(बी) में, जमींदारी ग्रबालीशान ऐक्ट के मुताबिक ग्रपने यहां मुकदमे दर्ज करा के श्रौर उनसे पैसे लेकर, सरकारी ज़ीन जमींदारों के नाम करते चले जा रहे हैं। इस तरीके से देशा की समस्या बिगड़ती चली जा रही है।

सभी भेष बदल कर किसानों श्रीर मजदूरों की बात कहते हैं कि हम उनका हित करना चाहते हैं। लेकिन यहाँ पूंजोपरस्त नीति सरकार चला रही है। एक वर्ग हिन्दुस्तान के ग्रन्दर ऐसा है, जो चन्द मुटी मर लोग हैं, ग्रीर गरीब जनता का शोषएा करते हैं म्रोर $90-95$ फीसदी जनता ऐसी है जो शोषित होती है प्रोर उनका घन वकील, डाक्टर, लेखपाल श्रादि छीन लेते हैं। इसलिए इस शोषकों की सरकार को जब शोषित संगठित होंगे ग्रौर ङंडा मार कर जब निकालेंगे तमी यह निकलेंगी।
"रहिमन चाक कुम्हार को, मांगे दिया न देय. छेद में ङंडा डाल कर चाहे नाँद ले लेय ।" ऐसा जब होगा तब काम चलेगा ।

SHRI JAIPAL SINGH (Khunti): Mr. Chairman, I wish you were in the Chair all the time. I give you my blessings. I wish that the present Speaker would resign and give his place to you.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Let him confine himself to the Finance Bill.

AN HON. MEMBER: Do you accept his blessings.

SHRI JAIPAL SINGH: He needs my blessings.

I will make my usual annual complaint. Why is it that there are only two Cabinet Ministers here when the Finance Bill is before the House?

SHRI V. KRISHNAMOORTHI (Cuddalore): Why is Shrimati Jahanara Jaipal Singh not here?

SHRI JAIPAL SINGH: 1 have only one, or rather two points. One is in respect of what my young friend Limaye said. I agree with him to a very large extend, but not altogether. I wish he had spoken in English so that the Deputy Prime Minister and Finance Minister that is the titlewould have listened to him; because he spoke in Hindi, he was reading something elso; he was not listening to Mr. Limaye at all. I hope the Finance Minister would listen to me.

I have only one thing to say and that is about tea. He drinks tea; I also drink it; I drink something else also. Somehody advises me to give up tea; I shall be happy if he will give me...(An Hon. Member: Brandy). Tea was the biggest foreignexc hange earner. It has taken, if I am correct, the third place; maybe the second place. I have thirty lakhs of Adviasis from my area who have built up the commercial tea industry in North Bengal and Assam. This year the tea industry is in a very bad way Raja Dinesh Singh of Kala Kankar last year at the tea seminar in Calcutta promised heaven on earth. I do not know what has happened. Practically every tea estate is going to lose money this

## [Shri Jaipal Singh]

year. What is he going to do to promote greater export of tea from India as against Ceylon and East Africa and a few other countries? We have heard a lot of nonsense about the India-Ceylon consortium on tea. Ceylon is going to gain and we are going to lose. We lend money to Burma. But Barma does not buy our tea; it buys Ceyion tea. Is this the type of Government we deserve? It is very important that the Government of Assam-I am sorry my friends from Assam are not here...

## AN HON. MEMBER: He is there.

SHRI JAIPAL SINGH: He does not belong to Assam; he belongs to Delhi; his wife belongs to Delhi. His father was civil surgeon in Gauhati but he does not belong to Assam; you people do not know about him; he played hockey with me. This is the ignorance Members of the Lok Sabha display. It is a great pity that he is here. I wish he was there: I would have achieved something. What is happening in the north of the Brahmaputra is this. In respect of whatever spare land is there, the Govermment of Assam, this Hem Barua and that type of fellows who are here-they have no idea about the future development. Let the Assamese go and stay there. But how are we going to enlarge the tea estates unless the land is there? The land must be con'iguous. It cansot be here, there and everywhere. Sir, 1 would ask Shri Morarji Desai he is not listening; what can I do? (Interruption)

AN HON. MEMBER: He is listening.
SHRI JAIPAL SINGH: Why has he got some paper in his hands? Mr. Chairman, I demand that when I am talking about his Bill, he is not to read anything else. You command him.

AN HON. MEMBER: Ask him to resign.

SHRI JAIPAL SINGH: No, no. I want him to be the Prime Minivter. Why should I ask him to resign?

Sir I would ask Shri Moratji Desai let him drink his tea, drirk as much as he wants. This year the has been very kind to me in the budget; he hias been very kind to $e$. Fe tras not dish purihe me

Previously, every other year he has punished me. This year he has very kind to me, and therefore, because of that, I support his Finance Bill.

धी हरवयाल वेववुण (पूर्वी दिल्ली) : सभापति महोदय, मैं इस वित्त विधेयक का विरोध करने के लिए खड़ा हुम्रा हूं। बजट, म्रयं संकल्प ग्रोर विस्त विधेयक देश की श्राधिक स्थिति को प्रकट करते हैं ग्रोर उसकी दिशा का निर्धारखा करते हैं परन्तु यह दोनों बातें उससे पूरी नहीं होती हैं। देश की वर्तमान भ्राथिक स्थिति श्रत्यन्त गम्भीर श्रोर शोचनीय है यद्यपि उन्होंने श्रपने बजट के भाष्या में तया अ्याथिक सर्वेक्षरा में बहुत विशेष्या प्रयोग किये हैं जंसे कहा गया है : "Gre:n revalution in agriculture; revival in transport and trade; distinct sign of industrial revival; remarkable increase in exports. परन्तु इसके साथ ही यदि नेशनल संमिभल सर्वें के आांकड़े भी पढ़ लिये जायें तो देश की स्थिति का अनुमान हो सकता है जब तीन भारतीयों में से एक का प्रति दिन सर्च एक रपये से प्रधिक न हो, जब देहात में रहने वाले $80 \%$ लोग 50 पंसे प्रतिदिन में निर्वाह करते हों ग्रफर शहरों में रहने वाले 34.3 प्रतिरात लोग केवल 80 पंसे में ही निवर्वह करते हों, जब एक करोड़ 60 लाख लोग इनके ही श्रांकड़ों के ग्रनुसार बेकार हों, उसके अतिरिक्त मनेक ऐसे लोग हैं जिनका कि हिसाब नहीं जोड़ा गया ग्रर्था् जहां बेकारों की संख्या इतनी ग्रधिक हो, जब मंहगाई इतनी बढ़ी हुई हो, तो देश की प्राथिक स्थिति को सन्तोषजनक नहीं कहा जा सकता। यह भ्रत्यन्त गम्भीर है। आयात, निर्यत का श्रसंतुलन मी इसको गम्भीरता को प्रकट करता है। जब हम यह नेशनल संम्पिल सर्वे के आंांकड़ों का प्रर्थात् 50 पैंसे प्रतिदिन खर्च करने वाले लोगों का श्रोर एक रुपया से कम प्रतिदिन खर्च करने वालों का मुकाबला देश की मंहग ई से करते हैं प्रोर में चाहूंग कि हमारे माननीय वित्त मंत्री महोदय भी यह तुलना करें कि 50 पैसे में कोई व्यक्ति श्रन्न सा सकेगा या जीक्न की अन्य आवर्यकताश्रों को पूरा कर सकेगा ? एक एपये में शहर में

रहने वाला घमवमी कीसे किसी मकाम में रह सकेगा, केसे बच्चों को रिक्षा दे सकेमा, भ्न खा सकेगा म्रथवा कपड़े पहन सकेगा ? इन सल बातों पर विचार करने के बाद वे सोनें कि देश की प्राधिक स्रिथि कितनी गम्भीर हैं ?

मुभे बेद है कि वित्त मंत्री जी से देश को जो प्राशाएं थीं वह पूरी नहीं हो सकीं। जब उन्होने कांग्रेस दल के नेतृत्व का चुनाव लड़ा था मोर उसके बाद जब उनसे उपप्रधान मन्ती बनने का आग्रह्र हुश्रा था तो उनसे यह म्राशाएं थीं कि शायद वह देशा की उन नीतियों को, जिनके कारएा वर्तंमन सोचनीय अर्ाथिक परिस्थितियां पैदा हुई हैं, कोई नया मोड़ देगे, इतने ऊंचे पद पर रहकर वह उन नीतियों को बदलेंगे जिनके कि कारा यह सारी परिस्थितियां पैदा हुई हैं, परन्तु खेद है कि उस दिशा में उन्होने कोई मी नेतृत्व देश को नहीं दिया, कोई भी मोड़ नीतियों को नहीं दिया। नतीजा यह हैं कि आज देश उसी ठरें पर चल रहा है जिस पर चलने से हमारा आर्थाक ढांचा गिरा है, रपपये का श्रवमूल्यन हुशा है, मंहगाई बढ़ी है आ्रोर देश में भ्रारिक विषमता पैदा हुई है । जहां कुछ लोगों के पाम धन श्रधिक हो गया है परन्तु ऐसे लोगों की संख्या बहुत है जो निषंन से निषंनतर होते जा रहे हैं। जिन नीतियों के कारया यह सारी परिस्थितियां पंदा हुई हैं, वित्त विषेयक में उन्हीं नीतियों को भ्रागे ले जाने की कोशिस की गई है। यह देश का दुर्भाग्य है। उन नीतियों में जब तक परिवर्तन नहीं श्राता तब तक देश की ग्राधिक स्थिति नहीं सुषर सकती।

मुभे इस बात की स्युगी है कि कांप्रेस के म्रहग्ष श्री निर्जिलगप्पा म्रोर श्री पाटिंल ने उन नीतियों पर भायेप किया है घ्रोर इसके लिए में अनको बषाई देना चाहताः हूं। उन्होंने दिलेरी प्रौर हिम्मत से काम लिया है। वंसे तो उष-पष्षनमंत्री ग्रोर वित्त मंश्री श्री मोरारजजी भाई बहुत सिद्धान्तवादी माने जाते हैं। के क्रर्य व्यवस्ष्ष पर राज्य के नियंच्रा का विरोष करते

रहे हैं। काहिए तो यह खा कि कह मी इसी प्रकार से सुलेमाम उन नीवियों की घसफलताग्भों को स्वीकाइ करते ग्रोर उनको एक नयम मोड़ देने की कोशिश करते । मुभे इस बात की अवशय सुरी है कि उन्होंने बजट में जो भूलें की थीं उनमें से कुछ्छ को सुधारा है जैसे खेली में काम ः्राते वाले पर्वि्वम सैटों पर जो एकसाइज ड्यूटी लगाई थी वह उन्होंने समाप्त की है भ्रौर एभ्रीकलचरल कैल्थ पर टैक्स की दर घटा दी है। लेकिन पूरां रूप से उस बारे में हमें संतोष न्हीं हो सकता है कयोंकि अ्रमी मी इस बजट में ग्रोर इस विषेयक में ऐसी गुटियां हैं जिनसे हमारी अ्रार्याक व्यवस्था सराब होगी। जस्ये कि घाटे की वित्त-उयवस्या है प्रर्थात् 250 करोड़ स्पये के धाटे की वित्तउ्यवस्था इसमें मोजूद है। इसका परिएाम वह होगा कि करंसी का फंलाव बढ़ेगा श्रोर मंहगाई बढ़ेगी। महग्र कगं और निम्न बगं के लोगों पर सीघे करों और च्रप्रत्यक्ष करों का बोभ 100 करोड़ रुपया अ्रभी मोजूब है जिसके कारसा उनका जीवन निर्वाह ही दुष्कर हो जायेगा। इन कारयों से देश में आधाथक निषमत्ता स्रोर कठिनाइयां बढ़ेंगी। ऐसी स्थिति में वित्त मंशी जी को उनके वतंमान वित्त विधेयक के लिए हम धन्यवाद नहीं दे सकते हैं न उनके प्रति भ्राभार प्रर्दशित कर सकते हैं ।

मुभे सबसे प्रधिक दु:ख इस बात पर है कि देश की अर्यं व्यवस्था के मूल ग्राषार को समभने की कोशिश नहीं की मई । इस देश की अर्प व्यवस्था का मूलाषार भाज भी कृषि है। देश की समस्त राष्ट्रीय श्राय का 50 प्रतिशत श्रनज मि कृषि. से प्राता है म्रोर इस देशकी 70 प्रतिशत से प्रघिक जनतन श्राज भी कृषि पर निर्भर कस्ती है, परन्तु इन विछले 20 वर्षो में कृषि की जिस तरीके से श्रवहेलना व उपेक्षा की गई श्राज भी उसी प्रकार से उसकी भ्रबहेलना की जा रही है। यह उस नीति से प्रकट है कि खाद पर लगाये टैक्स को हटाने से इन्कार किया जा रहा है। इससे साफ टकट होता है कि सरकार की बतंमान नीति कृषि के लिए हितकर नहीं है।
[श्री हरदयाल देवगुएा]
श्रगर श्रब भी सरकार कृषि के महत्त्व को समभ ले घ्रोर कृषि में सुधार लाने की कोशिशा करे तो हमारे देश की अर्थ व्यवस्था ठीक हो सकती है। ग्राज हमारी सारी नीति विदेशी सहायता पर निर्भर है। जब तक बाहर से ग्रनाज न श्राये, हम जिन्दा नहीं रह सकते । हम बहत श्रपमानित होकर भी विदेशों से श्रन्न मंगा रहे हैं। यदि इस सरकार में कुछ भी स्वाभिमान होता तो उसने श्राज तक ग्रमरीका से ग्रनाज लाना बन्द कर दिया होता क्योंकि जब पाकिस्तान से लड़ाई हुई थी तव म्रमरीका ने श्रपमानजनक ढंग से गेहूं देना बन्द कर दिया था। उसके बाद हमको एक दाना मी श्रमरीका से न लेना चाहिये था ग्रोर न स्वीकार करना चाहिये था। उस समय श्री लाल बहादुर शास्त्री ने देश का ग्राह्वान किया था कि हम इस देश में भूखे रहेंगे लेकिन बाहर से श्रपमानजनक तरीके से श्रन्न लेकर जिन्दा रहना स्वीकार नहीं करेंगे, साथ ही देशावासियों को भी भाह्वान किया था कि वे सप्ताह में एक बार भोजन न करें, उन्होंने इस प्रकार स्वाभिमानपूरां ढंग से राष्ट्रीय संकल्प का उद्ध्रोष किया था, परन्तु उसके बाद ग्रनाज ग्राने लगा क्योंकि यहां का अनाज पूरा नहीं पड़ता ।

## 17 hrs .

जिस प्रकार से हमने सरकारी उपकराणों में रुपया लगाया है, जिस प्रकार से हमने उद्योगों की सहायता की है जिस प्रकार से उंन को 80 प्रतिशत तक कर्ज दिया ज ता है, जिस प्रकार से उन को ग्रायाज की सुविधायें दी जाती हैं, जिस प्रकार से उनको बिजली इड्यादि की सुविधायें दी जाती हैं, जिस प्रकार से सरकारी तोर पर उद्योगों के फैलाव के लिए ज्यादा से ज्यादा मदद श्रोर सुविधायें दी जाती हैं, श्रगर उनकी श्राधी मात्रा में भी कृषि को बढ़ाने में सुविधायें दी जातीं, तो मैं समभता हूं कि हम न केवल झ्रनाज में ग्रात्म निर्भंर हो जाते बल्कि दुनिया को भी यहां से

अनाज भेज सकते 1 ग्रकेले राजस्थान इतना अ्रनाज पैदा कर सकता जो कि सारे देशा की कमी को दूर कर देता, लेकिन राजस्थान नहर ग्रभी तक पूरी नहीं हुई। यह नहर राजस्थान के लोगों को श्रन्न पैदा करने में बड़ी सहायक सिद्ध हो सकती है। कितने खेद ग्रोर दुःख की बात है कि राजस्थान के ग्रनेक क्षेत्रों में पीने के लिये भी पानी नहीं मिलता घ्रौर सरकार बीस साल में भी अपनी इस जिम्मेदारी का निर्वाह नहीं कर सकी। यहां पर 3500 करोड़ रुपया सरकारी उपकराों पर लगा हुग्मा है, करोड़ों नहीं श्ररबों रुपये विदेशों से श्रनाज लाने में लगाये गये है, लेकिन खेती के लिये टूयूबवेल देने, खेती के लिये श्रच्छे साधनों को उपलब्ध करने, सस्ती खाद मुहंया करने के लिये साधाराा कर्जे देने के लिए मी सरकार प्रचुर मात्रा में रुपया नहीं लगा पाई।

सरकार ने जो रूरल सर्वे भ्यांकड़े प्रकाशित किये हैं उनसे पता चलेगा कि जहाँ उद्योगों को 6 प्रतिशत से 10 प्रतिशत दर पर कर्जा fिल जाता है वहाँ किसानों को 25 प्रतिशत से 50 प्रतिशत तक दर पर कर्जा लेना पड़ता है $i$ इस से पता लगता है कि खेती के लिये हमारे यहां कितनी कठिनाइयां हैं। इसके साथ ही यदि ग्राप पू जी निवेश को देखेगें तो पायेंगे कि सेती के लिये कितनी कम पूंजी का निवेश होता है । झ्राज जितनी भी विदेशी सहायता देशा में श्राई है उसमें से 70 फीसदी ग्रोर जो इस देश में छोटी बचतों से रुपया श्राता है उसका 70 प्रतिशत निवेश सरकारी उपकरखों में हुग्रा है घ्रोर उसमें से 30 प्रतिशत निवेश उद्योगों तथा श्रन्य सेवाप्रों पर किया जाता रहा है। सेती पर कोई निवेश नहीं होता। जहां इस बात की आवश्यकता थी कि खेती के लिए कर्जों की सुविधायें दी जातीं, नलकूप खोदने के लिये, बिजली लगाने के लिये और श्रासान रातों पर कर्जा देने के लिये पूंजी निवेश किया जाता और पूंजी निर्मारा के लिये सुविधायें होतीं, सरकार खेती पर टंक्स लगा रही है। में चाहता हूं कि सरकार न केवल खेती पर

लगाये इस शुल्क को वापस ले ले बल्कि किसानों को और भी ज्यादा सुविधायें दे । उस को घ्रपनी सारी नीति इस बात पर ही केन्द्रित और आधारित करना चाहिये कि खेती से ही हमारे देश की घर्थ-ज्यवस्था सुधर सकती है।

यदि हम देश को अनाज के मामले में ग्रात्म निर्भंर कर लेंगे तो हमारी सारी कठिनाई दूर हो जाएगी। ग्राज देश में लाखों एकड़ जमीन ऐसी है, जो उपजाऊ है, लेकिन बेकार पड़ी है। जिस भूमि में खेती होती है उसकी पंदावार ग्रन्ग देशों की श्रपेक्षा बहुत कम है। उसकी पैदावार बढ़ाई जा सकती है बनर्ते यहां पर साघन हों, खाद हो, शच्छे बीज हों और दूसरी सुचिधायें हों। यदि हम घ्रनाज के मामले में ग्रांत्म निर्भर हो जायें, घ्रावरयकता से ग्रधिक घ्नन्न पैदा करने लगें तो उससे न सिर्फ उद्योगों को फायदा पहुँचा सकते हैं ग्रवितु बेकारी को भी दूर कर सकेंगे श्रौर देश के कंज्यूमर को जो कष्ट है उसको भी दूर कर सर्केंगे। इसलिये हमारी नीतियों का श्राधार इस बात पर होना चाहिये कि हम खाने-शीने की चीजों में विशेषकर ग्रात्म-निर्भर हों।

मैं घाटे की वित्त-ठयवस्था का भी विरोध करता हूं। ग्राप यह कहेंगे कि ग्रगर हम शुल्क न लगायें, घाटे की वित्त व्यवस्था न चले, ड्यूटी न लगायें, तो सरकार का खर्च कैसे पूरा होगा ? इसके लिये मैं कहना चाहता हर्रोर श्री मोरारजी देसाई को याद दिलाना चाहता है कि जब वह मंत्री पद से हटे ये तो उन्होने कहा था कि सरकारी खर्च में 10 प्रतिशत तक की बचत हो सकती है। में कहूंगा कि वह भ्रब 10 प्रतिशत बचत करके दिखायं ।

दूसरी बात यह कहना चाहता हूं कि जो 3500 करोड़ रुपया सरकारी उपकरएाों में लया हुम्या है उस पर कस से कम 10 प्रतिशत

मुनाफे का योजना बनानी चाहिये। इसी प्रकार से जो ग्रायात लाइसेंस दिये जाते हैं, वह बाजार में 100-150 प्रतिशत के प्रीमियम पर बिकते हैं। सरकार को खुद ग्रायात लाइसेसों पर प्रीमियम लेना चाहिये। ग्रगर एक हजार करोड़ रु० के ग्रायात लाइसेंसों से सरकार कम से कम 1000 करोड़ रुपये की ग्रतिरिक्त श्रामदनी पै दा कर सकती है।

SHRI HIMMATSINGKA (Godda): Mr. Chairman, I congratulate the Finance Minisster for having given a number of incentives to industries and industrial development in his budget proposals in the shape of extension for another five years of tax holiday for certain varieties of companies, extension of development rebate for another five years, raising the amount of tax-free dividend from Rs. 500 to 1,000 and concession to the extent of 40 per cent in the case of royalty that may be earned by any company for know-how, if that know-how is supplied in India. But I feel that this concession should not be limited to an Indian company. If the know-how has been supplied by a person, or association of persons, there is no reason why that also should not get the same concession. I feel that the concession should apply to anybody who supplies know-how. In this connection, I would invite the attention of the Finance Minister to the provision in section 80MM where again the know-how incoms from foreign countries has beon limited to Indian companies. That should be made applicable to others also. If any other person, individual or association of persons, if they are in a position to supply know-how, there is no reason why that individual or group should be deprived of that advantage which has been given to a company.

Similarly, the foreign income earned by authors, play-writes, artists etc. has been proposed tax relief to the extent of 40 per cent. If an individual, on account of his professional or any other kind of ability. can bring some income from foreign countries and the money comes in the usual course, under the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act and all that, that should also be allowed that advantage.

## [Shri Himmatsingka]

Coming to certain provisions about penalty, I had written to the Financo Minister about the provisions in the Wealth-tax Act about the penalty and interest for late returns.

Certain concessions have been announced in the shape of amendment No. 302 by the Finance Minister. But I feel that even now the provision that remains is rather too severe so far as payment of half per cent, or one per cent in certain cases per month, is concerned for delay in filing of wealth tax return. As was explained by Mr. Salve who spoke before me, in some cases, though the tax will be Rs. 25, the penaliy may go up and may excoed Rs. 20,000 , That does not appear to be very fair or reasonable. Therefore, in such cases, the penalty should be corelated to the tax evaded or tax increase and not to the amount of net wealth tax assessed or fixed.

Another provision which will create a lot of difficulty and a lot of trouble and litigation is about payment by crossed cheque. I had suggested that if a person pays a certain amount, over Rs. 2500 , and the payee, the person to whom it is paid is also an income-tax assessee and accepts the payment and both the parties, the payer and the payee accept the position that money has been paid and the person who is to get the money has got the money and shows in bas account, there is no reason why that payment should not be accepted by the income-tax officer. The provision that is there will debar the income-tax officer from allowing that amount even if the parson to whom the money has boen paid agrees and accepts the fact of such payment. That needs looking into and that should be changed.

Our country is one of the countries where taxation is one of the highest and the reason is that the expenses are increasing and, to meet those expenses, Government have to go on increasing the income and resources. Therefore, every attempt should be made to cut down the expenses as much as possible. I do not think there should be any: difficulty in reducing certain expenses say, from even the defence sector also. In that connection, one of the suggestions that I can possibly make is that, in addition to the regular army, we should theroduce some
sort of training for two or three years whereby a number of persons may bo trained and they can then be treated as volunteors to be called when octasion arises. That, certainly, will enable the number of the regular army being reduced to sotme extent and the regular expenses may, be potisibly saved.

As regards the working of the public sector undertakings, attention of the Government has been invited and they are looking into it. The working of the public sector undertakings should be improved. I am glad that in some of the undertakings, proper persons have been placed. I have in mind Shri Chalapati Rae who has been made the Chairman of the Heavy Eagineering Corporation, Ranchi, a very important undertaking. He is a very competent person and, I feel, he should be able to b-ing about improvements in the public sector undertaking at Ranchi. That is one of the most sophisticated machinery that we have in the country. I feel, if steps are taken, that factory should be able to meet most of the requirements of the country for new mills and factories. Attempts should be made by that undertaking in Ranchi to seek orders from not only public sector but also from private sector and they should try to see that the capacity is utilised and that it is not restricted, as at present, to about 25 per cent of its capacity. It is a crime that one of the sophisticated machinery that we have in the country should remain idle to the extent of about 75 per cent when it can be utilised manufacturing anything that is needed in the country. I had discussions with the person who knows and he said that in very few coumtries such sophisticated machinery bave been established. But unfortunately it is not being properly utilised. Therefore, steps should be taken to see that the public undertakings that $w$ : have work properly and the production of those which are not working to full capacity is increased. One of the effects of such working will be that the inflation that we have in our country will be, to some extent, lessened. After all, if you invest such a large sum as Rs. 3,500 and sufficient production does not take place, to the extent that they are in a position to produce, there is so much of loss to the public undertakings and to the coumtry. Therefore, I feel that every
attempt shoald be mate to see that the undertakings which are not working properly are made to work and steps are taken to bring about results.

As you know, Sir, in the Durgapur steel factory the production is about 48 tonnes per man per year and in another undertaking of the Government, namely; Bhilai, the production is 78 tonnes per year per mar. But the production in other countries comes to about 150 to 200 tonnes per man per year. Look at the difference. We produce 48 tonnes per year per man whereas about 200 tonnes can be produced. There is, therefore, no reason why steps should not be taken in that direction.

Again there is very much of overemployment in all public sector undertakings. I think, in Bhilai, Durgapur and Rourkela, about 10,000 personnel are in excess in each. You can imagine the drain on the finances of these undertakings if you have such a large number of personnel doing no work. As a matter of fact, if you have more persons than what you need, then the work suffers because it is nobody's responsibility to see what is happening, and the production costs also rise. That is the reason why, though our steel before the public undertakings had come up was the cheapest in the world, it is now the costliest in the world. Therefore, we cannot meet the competition from others.

Another matter that should be kept in view is that certain items which are in short supply should be taken up for production, so that import to that extent may be reduced. Mr. P.' Ramamerti criticised our taking know-how from foreign countries. I feel that is one thing in which we are rather slow. If, by borrowing some knowhow from a foreign country, we can manufacture certain things here, why should we not do that? Why should we go on importing the articles which can be "fanufactured here? We can save a lot of money if we can manufacture things here by spending, for borrowing the tecfinical knowhow, only a fraction of the amount that we have been sending out from our country. As a matter of fact, even the USA which is the most advanced country industrially have been twrowing know-how from other countries
though, of course, their income from knowhow is more than what they pay. Even now Japan is spending more on borrowing know-how than what they are earning. West Germany has also been spending more than what they have been earning. Therefore, in the case of a developing country like India, there is no reason why we should grudge taking know-how and, instead of borrowing know-how, we go on importing things which can be manufactured here. I know certain cases. For instance, take the case of carbon products. We have been importing carbon products to the extent of a crore of rupees or more which we require for every day use for lights and for wherever electricity has to be generated. The know-how will not cost more than a few thousand rupees per year, but still sanction is not being accorded on the basis of the know-how supposed to be available. That person who says that he has got the know-how has been asked to give the know-how and to show how it is being or can be worked. He has failed and yet such know-how is not being approved but is being delayed. Therefore, this is a matter where I feel the Government should take immediate step.

Another thing. The Food Corporation has set up very nice up-to-date silos and godowns but look at the amount of cost in procurement. The Farmers' Parliamentary Forum went into the matter and they came to the finding that every quintal of wheat procured by Food Corporation is costing Rs. 18 per quintal. The cost of wheat is Rs. 76 per quintal whereas the cost of procurement and other incidental expenses come to Rs. 18 . That means about $25 \%$ is the cost of psocurement and the result is that prices go up. Therefore something should be done there also.

About jute and tea I still feel that the Finance Minister should consider whether or not he should consider the abolition of the export duty which is standing in the way of competition and which has enabled Pakistan to oust us from America and other foreign countries in competition.

With tréese remarks I support the Bill.

धो घ्रम्बूल गनो उार (गुड़गांव) : चेयरमेन साहब, जब से मैं लेजिस्लेचर में श्राया मैंने कभी श्र।ज तक फायनेंस बिल को श्रपोज नहीं किया म्रोर न ही मैं भाज श्रपेज़ करने जा रहा हूं। भगर हमारे फायनेंस मिनिःटर जो मातएए बन्चों को दूष देती हैं उस पर मी टैक्त लगा दें तो में शायद उस पर भी एतराज न कहु घ्रोर ग्रगर मिनिस्टरों के दर्शंनों पर भी टैंक्स लगा दें तो उस पर भी एतराज नहीं करुंगा। सिफ बात जो में चाहता हूं, दो उम्मी ें सिफं मुभे रसनी चाहिएं किसी भी सरकार से चाहे वह मधु लिमये की सरकार हो चाहे वह इन्दिरा गांधी की सरकार हो कि कगा 80 फीसदी गरीब लोग जो इस देश में बसते हैं उनकी जो जहूरियात हैं उनको फायनेंस मुहैया होती है ? झ्रगर होती है तो मुभे कोई भगड़ा नहीं है। मैं निन्दा नहीं करू गा। में मुखालिफ तो करूंगा ही नहीं, सपोर्ट करुंगा। में ऐसा मानता हूं कि मोरारजी भाई को ग्रोर उनके साथियों को जो बापू का नाम लेकर जीते हैं श्रोर बापू के नाम को जितना चाहे उद्धालते हैं, उन्हें देखना है कि नमक, मिट्टी का तेल, किजल ग्रायल जो किसानों को चःहिए या खाद है, माचिस है, ऐसी छोटो-छोटी चीजें हैं जिन पर ग्राये दिन यह टैंक्स लगाते चले श्रा रहे हैं। भ्रभी मेरे एक एक दोस्त ने कहा कि तीन बातों के लिए मुवारकबाद देता हृं कि इनके वक्त में एक्सपोटं बढ़ा, इनके वक्त में ग्राकर कुछ भ्रन्द्रा इन्तजाम हुग्रा जहाँ तक कि फायनेंस का सवाल है। में जानना चाहता हूं, क्या कित्टी प्राइम मिनिस्टर साहब बताएंगे कि क्या यह सच है कि बेरोजगारी घ्राज तीन योजनाग्रों के बाद बढ़ गई है ? का यह सच है कि गरीवों की जो हालत है वह पहले से बदतर हो गई ? क्या यह सक है कि चौथे दर्जे के जितने मुलाजिम हैं वह तो हैं ही, चौथे दर्जे के मुलाजिमों के बन्चों के लिए भी खाने-पीने का, कपड़े का, तालीम का, उनकी हैल्य का कोई इन्तजाम नहीं? भ्रगर यह सच है कि टीचर्स, पटवारीज, पुलिस के सिपाही, इनकी हालत घच्छी नहीं हैं

तो में ताईद तो करूंगा ही मोर भालिर में जा कर बिलकुल सपोर्ट भी कह्बाग लेकिन में जानना चाहता हूं कि दिल्ली की पुलिस का सिपाही हरयाना जो एक छ्غोटी स्टेट है उससे कम क्यों तनखघाह लेता है ?

### 17.24 hrs.

## [उपाष्पक्ष महोबय पोठासीन हए]

मेरी समभ में ऐसी बात नहीं म्याती है, लेकिन भ्रा जायगी अगर में ज्यादा हगान दूंगा।

मधु लिमये से मुभे इत्तिपाक होते हुए भी मैं समभता हूँ कि मोर।रजा भाई अकेले बेचारे क्या कर सकते हैं, किस तरह से रोक सकते हैं कि यह लीकेज किसी तरह से न हो। क्योंकि सारी दुनिया जानती है कि मिनिस्ट्री में दो-तीन घड़े हैं श्रोर आफिसजं तो श्रब मिनिस्टरों की भी मिट्टी छेदने लगे हैं। में तो इस बात को भ्रपनी सेल्फ रेस्पेक्ट को चेलन्ज समभता हूं कि मामूली से मामूली ग्रफसर एयर-कन्डीशन में जायें ओर हम लोगों के लिए यह कहा गया कि हम और मिनिस्टजं थर्ड क्लास में सफर करें-में तो इसके लिये भी तैयार हूं, मुभें इसमें भी कोई एतराज नहीं है-जंसा इनको चाहिए वैसा करें।

झ्भगर भाप कोई इन्डीपेन्डेन्ट एन्क्वायरी पन्लिक सैक्टर के बारे में बैठायें कि कितनी मझीनरी वहाँ विलकुल बेकार है, जो विलकुल री-कन्डीशन्ठ है, जो बिलकुल यूजललंस है, जिसकी कोई जरूरत नहीं है-श्रगर ऐसी मशीनरी 20 परसेन्ट साबित न हो तो जो सजा मुभे दें, में सजा लेने के लिये तैयार हूं, रिजाइन करने के लिये तंयार हूं। लेकिन अगर यह बात सच है तो अपको घ्रागे प्राना चाहिये और श्रापको देखना चाहिये कि पब्लिक मनी का सही इस्तेमाल हो। में कोई सस्त लफ्च नहीं कह रहा हूं।

द्दूसरी बात-भभी मुभसे पहले जो वक्ता ये, उन्होंने दो बातें कही थीं। धगर पम्लिक

संष्टर जो तंयारी करने वाला है, उसमें कोई कमी आा जाय तो यह होगा कि हमें माली नुकसान होगा। लेकिन जो हवाई जहाज़ रूस ने दिये हैं उनके लिये कहा गया कि टायर नहीं दिये । यह बात कांप्रेस बाले भाई बोल रहे थे। किप्टी स्पीकर साहब पम्लिक संक्टर इस निये बना था कि हम प्राइवेट सैक्टर को यह सबक दें कि देखो भाई, श्राप लूट ज्यादा मचाते हो, नुकसान ज्यादा करते हो । मेरा चेलंन्ज है-चाहे भाई फस रद्दीन भ्रली भहममद हों या बहन इन्दिरा हों या मोरारजी भाई हों--भ्रगर वह 5 परसेन्ट भी मशीनरी प्राइवेट संक्टर में ऐसी साबित कर दें जो उन्होंने बेकार ली हो या ऐसे ही मंगवाली हो जिसका कोई मतलब न हो तो में हर सजा लेने के लिए तंयार हूं। लेकिन भ्रगर ऐसा नहीं हैं तो फिर इसके मायने यह हैं कि पब्लिक संक्टर के नाम से, गरीबों के नाम से इन्होंने इस तरह की धांघली की है। इसकी वजह यह है कि जो हमारे मिनिस्टर्ज हैं, वह उस एबिलिटी के नहीं हैं कि जितने उन के संकेटरी हैं। कोंकि श्रगर उस एविलिटी के होते तो क्या कोई सं के टरी हिम्मत करता कि किसी मिनिस्टर की बेइजजती करे। बहरहाल पब्लिक संक्टर में नुकसान होता है, हालांकि हम उसको दिलोजान से चाहते हैं। मघु लिमये की बातें बहुत जबरदस्त होती हैं, वह तो चलेंगी ही, लेकिन मेरा कहना यह है कि इस में पे-दर-पे नुकसान हो रहा है घोर उसकी वजह यह बताई गई है कि इन्होंने जानवूम कर उसको भपने ही पर्यू. में रखा है। चाहे साले के साले को रबिये, जरूरी नहीं है कि वह्पष्लिक सर्विस कमीशन से मन्जूरी ले कि भ्राया इस काषिल भी है कि उसको रखा जाय।

प्रभी एक भाई ने बताया—जो कांग्रेस के हैं- 10 हजार भ्राफिसजं ज्यादा रसे हए हैं कि इतना ही नहीं इण्डक्ट्रीयल सिषपोरिटी के नाम पर भोर ज्यादा पुलिस जा रही है ..

भी हिम्मर्तासहकाः कम्यूनिस्ट लोग उनको घटाने में बाधा उाल रहे हैं, हटने नहीं देरहे हैं।

भी भ्युलगनी उारः श्रगर यही बात है तो भाइये मेरे साथ बंठकर हिसाब कर लीजिये। कितनी प्राइवेट मिल" हैं जिनमें कम्गूनिस्टों ने या सोशलिस्टों ने या लं बर यूनियनों ने हढ़ताल कराई है म्मोर पक्लिक संक्टर कितने दिनों के लिये बन्द रहे हैं। यह तो एक बहाना है जो श्रब श्राइन्दा नहीं भ्रायेगा क्योंकि पब्लिक संक्टर में नालायक से नालायक झ्रादमी बड़ी-बड़ा तनख्याहों पर रखे गये हैं। सिफं इसलिये रसे गये हैं कि वे मापके चहेते थे, श्रापके प्यारे ये, भ्रापके भ्रजीज थे । डिप्टी स्पीकर साहब, करोड़ों रूपये के ग्रार्डर इस लिये वापस हो गये कि हमारे प्रोडक्ट की बह कवालिटी नहीं थी कि जिस क्वालिटी का हमने वायदा किया था।

इन सब नकायज के होते हुए भी में आपसे एक बात पूबना चनहता हूं -हम इस पब्लिक मनी का इस्तेमाल करते हैं तो क्या गरीबों के बच्वों को दवायें मुफ्त मिलती हैं? लंकिन अ्राप तो गरीबों की खाद पर भी टैक्स लगाने जा रहे हैं। क्या उनकी श्रापकी तरफ से ऐनकें मुफ्त मिलती हैं जैसा कि दूसरे अचे मुल्कों में मिलती हैं।

दूसरा प्वाइंट यह है कि सन् 58 में बैंकों में बेइमानी हुई, इनकी नोटिस में बह लाया गया लेकिन इन्होंने कोई परवाह नहीं की। मोरारजी भाई के खत मोजूद हैं, मैंने सन 63 में उस मामले को म्रपने हाथ में लिया। मैंने कहा कि रिजर्व बंक की मौजूदगी में बददयानती होती है। उन सरमायेदारों को-जिनकी ये भाई चर्षा करते है-ऐसा करोठ्हा हुपया दिया गया है जिसके पीके कोई सिक्योरिटी नहीं है। उसमें गबन हुए हैं लेकिन कोई सुनवाई नहीं। सन 65 में मुभें मजबूरन तंग होकर उसको एक किताब की शक्ल देनी पड़ी। तब सन 58 का मामला सन 65 में एफ० भाई० श्रार० द्वारा पार्ालयामेंट स्ट्रोट में दाबिल हुप्रा लंकिन फिर तीन
[श्री घ्रन्दुलगनी डार]
साल के लिए गायब। सन 68 में उसको दूसरी बार शाया करना पड़ा जिसमें इनके तमाम वह खत जो लिखे ये वह भी दिये । फिर 68 में वह मुकदमा चालू कर दिया। श्र्रब वह मुकदमा कब तक चालू रहेगा ? उसमें भी क्या किया कि पकड़ लो पुलिस कांस्टेबिल को क्योंकि उसने रुपये रिशवत के लिए या किसी क्लर्क को पकड़ लो, लेकिन जो बड़े-बड़े मगरमच्छ हैं, रिजर्व बैंक के बड़े श्राकिसर हैं, पंजाब नेशानल बैंक के डायरेक्टर हैं या दूसरी बैंक्स के डायरेक्टर हैं, जिन्होंने कि श्रपने पावर का श्रव्यूज किया है, उन पर क्यों न मुकदमा चलाया जाये-इस पर कोई सुनवाई नहीं। इसलिए मुभे मजबूरन प्रेसीडेंट के पास श्राईं श्रोपेनर का काम करना पंड़ा, घ्रपेने सीनियर मेम्बर्सं की मदद से-उनको मेमोरेंडम की राक्ल में देना पड़ा 1 क्या मोरारजी भाई फिर भी फस्र करते हैं कि गांधीजी के चेले है भोर वे इस वक्त देश को सही रास्ते पर ले जा रहहे हैं ? बिल्कुल नहीं । में समभता हूं वह घोले में हैं। वे श्रपनेन्र्रापको समभते हैं कि गांधीवादी हूं लेकिन शराब उसी तरह से ग्राज भी जारी है। जयपाल fिह जी जब कह रहे थे तो में हंस पड़ा-कि बड़े-बड़े नेतागया जो हैं, जब तक शराब न पियें फाइल पर ग्रार्डर नहीं लिख सकते । तो शराब पर भी ग्राज तक पाबन्दी नहीं लग सकी ।...(घ्यवषान) ....में खर्म कर रहा हूं लेकिन मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि चाइना जंसा मुल्क जो पहले ग्रफीमी कहलाता था उसका जो स्टील का प्रोडक्रान है अ्रोर जो ग्रापका पब्लिक सेक्टर में स्टील का प्रोडकशन है, उसका मुकाबला कीजिए- श्रगर श्राप उसके मुकाबले में 20 परसेंट भी हों तो मै श्रापको शाराश दूँगा कि म्रापने मुल्क की बड़ी तरक्की की, बोस साल में मुल्क को सरसब्ज्न कर दिया। उसी तरह से श्राप़ मुकाबला करे है ब्रह्मपुत्र श्रोर द्रूँसरी नदियों के सं लाव से जो मुल्क की बरबादी होती है उसका मी श्रापने उनकी तरह से इलाज किया, तो में श्रापकों तारीफ करूंगा। फसल से बड़ी उम्मीद थी प्रीर यह ग्राशा थी कि देश का भला होगा

लेकिन जो विजल़ी गिरी, पानी fिरा भर्र फसल खराब हुई, उसकी श्रापने कितनी हिफाजत की ? भ्रापने कितने ट्रैंद्टर बनाये जिससे कि सेती की जा सके-इन बातों का जवाब नहीं दिया जायेगा लेकिन हर चीब पर टैक्स ही टैक्ष। में तो कहत्त हूं कि धाप यह भी टैंस्स लगा दीजिए कि जो मंदिर में जायेगा उसको इतना देनर पड़ेगा, जो गुरुारे में जायेगा उसको इतना देना पड़ेगा ध्रोर जो इस पार्लियमेंट में हमारे दर्शनों के लिए भ्रायेगा वह भी देगा। यह सब तो बर्दाशत होगा लेकिन करप्षान बढ़ रहा है इसलिए कि जो भ्राफिसर हैं नालायक हैं, श्रमर लायक होते तो ऐस्ता कमी मुमकिन नहीं होता । श्राज गांषी बाबा नहीं हैं। इन व्यूरोक्रेट्स ने जितनी बदब्याऩती की, जिन्होंने हमारे बच्चों को फांसी पर लटकाया, जिन्होंने जवाहरलाल जी की माता को लाठियां मारीं, पं॰ गोविन्द वल्लभ पन्त्र की कमर तोढ़ दी, इनको तो गोली से उढ़ा दिया जाता लेकिन गंधीजी की वजह से हमने इनकी इज्जत की। मैं भी गांघीवादी हूं। इन्होंने कितना फारेन एक्सचेंज बर्बाद कर दिया लेकिन जब मैंने कहा कि में हैज्ज के लिए जा रहा हूं, कुष सोर देशों में भी जाना होगा, हर मेम्बर को इसका हक है ग्रोर 8 सालों में मैंने कभी इसकी मांग भी नहीं की तो पहले . मोरारजी भाई ने जवाब ही नहीं दिया घ्रोर जब जबाब दिया तो यह कहा कि श्रापको देंगे, भ्रापकी बीवी को नहीं देंगे-में हंस पड़ा, मैंने कहा मेरी बीवी मेरी प्राइबेटट सेकेटरी भी है, मेरी बिजनेस पार्टनर भी है लेकिन उसकी बदनसीबी यह है हि वह डिप्टी प्राइम मिनिस्टर की बेटी नहीं। श्रयर निप्टी प्राइम मिनिस्टर की बेटी होती तो श्रासानी के साथ फारेन एक्सचेंज मिब जाताः इनके भाफिससं जाते हैं मौर जैसा इन्होंने कहा, इनके ही श्रादमियों ने कहा कि रद्दी ओोर खराब मरीनरी लाते हैं । यह करष्शान को पनाह देते हैं इसलिए कि करप्ट हैं और यह चाहें तो मेरे मुकाबले में मुकदमा चलायें। इन्होने पहले मी कहा था कि कंरों फोबिया हो गया है, जब में करों के करघ्ञान के बारे में कहता था। पंबित

जताहरलाल नेहरू ने कहा था कि 36 वर्ष की कैद होगी । पता नहीं मोरारजी भाई कितनी कैद करेंगे । यह मुभ पर मुकदमा चलायें मुभे खुशी है। इन्होंने बैंकों को, रिज़र्व बैंक को और धाफिससं को इसलिए पनाह दी कि इनके अपने परदे फाश होते हैं। में समभता हूं कि इनको अगर हिम्मत है तो मुभ पर मुकदमा चलायें। वर्ना इस्लाह करें और बैंकों के डायरेक्टर्सं को सीधी राह पर लायें।



 لا
 چحَ




 "ني棌 بانَاردانگ






我 ن

 ．






 آ气隹




 ， كـغرالابَ

 كا

 ，


 2苑




 اتظا


 い

257 Finance Bill, 1969 VAISARHA 10, 1891 (SAKA) Finance Bill, 1969258







 وافا ^リー




 يِ آپِ





















． －كيّ


 －
 ．

模

 ＂


种 ك，
 ．

 4，家 ل －E0 Ofut





MR. DEPUTY-SPEARER: We have twenty minutes left. I am to call the Finance Minister at 6 O'clock. It has blready been fixed. We have got to conclude this debate. Some time is left. for the Independents. Mr. Tiwary.

SHRI A.S. SAIGAL (Bilaspur): Since yesterday our name is on the list and we have been here. Either you should follow the list or you shoirld ask Members to catch your eye, 1 cas toh you that this is not the system in Parliament. You are creating a news system. You are following the hist at one time, you are not foflowing the list sometime. This is not the way...(Interruption) Somebody comes to you and he says that such and such Miember shoutd be catled; you call hime. If we come you will never listen to us and you will say that you will not tatk to us. "Attor all we are coming here from prominent States and our name is in the list since yesterday.

MR. DEPUTY:SEEAKER: I whrn the hom: Members: hete that if they : meo
going $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ muestion, may dispretun $i_{t}$; is not proper.

SHRI A.S. SAIGAL: I am ready to go away but I cannot tolerate this kind of thing. This cannot be tolerated.

थी 50 ना० तिबारी (गोप्यलगंज) : उपपाध्यक्ष जो, में सबसे पहले वित्त मंन्री को बषाई देता हैं कि अवमूल्यन के बाद जो हमारी श्राथिक दशा गिरती जा रही थी भौर कहां रकती समभ में नहीं भाता था, उसको उन्होंने एक ठहरगव दिया घोर बब धीरे-बीरे हमारी आर्यक दशा सुपरती जा रही है। साय ही मुभे इसके कुष्ब सदस्यों के भाष्या पर बहुत ही एतराज है। वित्त विषेयक पर बोलते हुए पर्सनल घटंक किसी पर करना भोर कहना कि तुम करष्ट हो, करषान की इन्क्वायरी हो, यह उनको भोभा नहीं बेता।

घी ज्युए गनी ज्र : मैंने किसी जा पसंनब चाम लिया ।

SHRI D.N. TIWARY: I do not want to be interrupted, I do not yield.

SHRI ABDUL GHAN DAR: Ycu are misleading the House. I never mentioned any mame.

बो जा० भा० लिवारी : खह् पार्लयामेंट प्रोटेक्टेड खर्या है। हम किसी को भी घलंकबुरा कह दें इसके सिए हम पर मुकद्रमा नहीं चल सकता मोर इसका भ्रनुच्चित लाभ उठकर किसी को कह दें कि तुम बराब हो, घूस लेते हो, बदयाती करते हो, यह हम लोमों कोे जे बा नहीं देता ः हमगरे ही दस के एक सदस्य ने कहा एक भाबमी कहीं वक्लिक अन्ठरटेककष सें बहत स्वे क्या और चूंकि वह हार गया हर् लिए अयोग्य हो गया। बहुत से चड़े स्लेग इलंक्रान में हार जाते हैं हमारे कांप्रेस के शे शेकीडेंट श्री कामराज हार गये ये तो क्या वह अयोग्य हो गये ? क्या उऩको कोई जिम्मेदारी की जिग् नहीं दीं जा सकती ?

SHRI SRINIBAS MISRA (Cuttack): A very good defence of a defeated politician.

धी जा० ना० तिबारो : वह श्रादमी जो बहाल हुश्रा था हैवी इंजीनियरिंग में वह ऐसा था बिसने हिन्दुस्तान को संसार के ग्रायल मंप पर ला दिया। उसके बिजनेम मिनेज़मेंट पर कभी कोई शक नहीं हो सकता था। लेकिन हम यहां किसी को कुछ भी कह सकते हैं।

कल वित्त विषेयक पर बोलते हुए थ्री अมोक मेहता ने गवनंमें? की फटिलाइजर्स ओर आयल पालिसी को किटिसाइज किया था। मुभे यह देख कर बड़ा आइचर्य होता है कि जब हम मंत्री पद पर रहते हैं तो उसकी चकार्षोष में इतने आ जाते हैं कि हम को गवर्नमंट में कोई दोष नहीं मालूम पड़ता, लेकिन जब हम वहाँ से हट जाते हैं तो उसमें बहुत दोष व चुरिटयां दिसाई देने लग जाती हैं। कल उन्होंने कहा कि गवर्नेंटे की फंटलाइजसं और आयल पालिसी बहुत गलत ढंग से चल रही है और उसे दूसरे तरीके से चलना चाहिए। अभी 6 महीने पहृले वह भारत सरकार के मंत्री थे और उसी विभाग के मंत्री थे जिस विभाग की वह ग्रब क्रिटिसिज्म कर रहे हैं। अब भी अशोक मेहता को मालूम होना चाहिए कि गवर्मैंट की पालिसी कोई नई नहीं हुई है जो उन के समय में पालिसी थी वही आज तक चलती आ रही है। यदि उनको उसमें खामी मालूम हुई तो उनको उसी वक्त उत्र करना चाहिए था। भगर गवनंमेंट उनंकी नहीं सुनती तो उस पद से हट कर देश में उसके लिए श्रान्दोलन करना चाहिए था। लेकिन भ्रसलियत तो यह है कि उन्होंने बज़ारत कोई पालिसी के ऊपर नहीं कोड़ी थी बलिक इूसरे इए्यू पर मंत्रो पद छोड़ा था।

श्री साल्बे ने कहा कि 10,000 रुपये से ऊपर की इनकम पर पह्ट इनकमटं वसे लंगाना

चाहिए उससे मीचे नहीं लगाना चाहिए। उन्होंने इसकी सपोटं में धार सी के स्टडी प्रुप की फिगर्स का हवाला देते हुए बतलाया कि इससे एअपपषंचंड टोटल टंधस 20 करोड़ से ज्यादा नहीं होगा जिसका कि मतलब यह हुपा कि वह टोटल रिएलाइजेशंस का 4 परसेंट ही होगा। भ्रब जैसी हमारे देश की श्राधिक स्थिति है $4-5$ सो रुपया महीना देश के एक बड़े तबके को मुरुकल से मिलता है श्रोर घ्रगर यहाँ पर 10,000 से नीचे की भामदनी पर इनकमटंक्स नहीं लगे तो इस देश के भपेक्षाकृत एक बहुत छोटे तबके पर यह सारा भार जाकर पड़ेगा। इन्होंने कहा कि 2 करोड़ रुपया उससे भाता है तो जिस देश में 1 करोड़ के लिए बहुत से प्रोजेष्ट बंद कर दिये जाते हैं यदि 20 करोड़ भा जाता है श्रीर खर्च काट कर बच जाता है तो यह कोई वपर्य बात नहीं है ग्रोर उसको हमें करना चाहिए।

पहले 2000 पर इनकमटैक्स लगता था, फिर 3000 पर लगने लगा, 4000 पर लगा, फिर यदि 5000 पर या 6000 रुपये पर लेगा तो भी सन्तोष नहीं होगा। क्या हम यह जानते हैं कि जितनी हमारी ग्रामदनी है उसकी हृद से ऊपर जाकर यह टैक्स लगाया जाय ?

अ्य में कुछ ऐसी बातों की घोर श्राता हूं जिनसे देश के ऐसे भाग का सम्बन्ध है जोकि बहुत पिद्धड़ा हुआ है । रीजनल इम्बेलैंस हमारे यहाँ बहुत है। जंसे हमारे यहां शं ब्यूल्ड कास्ट्स, शैड्यूल्ड ट्राईबस श्रोर पिद्धड़े लोग हैं वंसे ही देश में कुस्ब भाग ऐसे मी हैं जोकि बहुत पिछछें दुए हैं । स्टेट ही नहीं बल्कि स्टेट के श्रन्दर ऐसे अ्रंग हैं जोकि बहुत विछड़े हैए हैं जंसे आांघ में तेलंगाना हुम्रा श्रोर बिहार में नार्य बिहार हुग्रा। ऐसी कई जगहें हैं जहां कि यह रीजन्ल इम्बेलैंस बहुत है। उनके सम्बन्ध में क्या किया जा रहा है ? इसका भाभास

तो मुभे बजट से मिला और न ही इसका भास इस फाइनेंस बिल से मिलता है।

मैं तो कहूंगा कि जहाँ के लोगों का स्तर ऊँचा है उनकी तरफ ग्रगर कुछ कम तबज्जह भी हो तो भी कोई बहुत नुकसान नहीं होगा, लेकिन जहां लोगों का स्टंन्डर्ड या आमदनी अत्यधिक कम हो, इतनी कम हो कि जो आल इंडिया एव्रंज है उसकी घाधी हो तो ऐसे रीजंस को देखने की बड़ी जरूरत है । मेरे पास समय नहीं है, नहीं तो मैं श्रांकड़े देता घ्योर वित्त मंत्री को बतलाता कि हमारे नाथं बिहार की क्या स्थिति है। देश की श्रामदनी का जो ऐवरेज है उसका लगभग तिहाई नार्थ बिहार की प्रति उपक्ति आमदनी है । हमारे fिभिन्न स्टेटों के सदस्य ग्रपने प्रान्तों के लिये घ्रधिक धन मांगते हैं यद्यपि उनके प्रान्तों की हालत हमसे ज्यादा घच्छी है, उनकी आमदनी हमारे यहां से ज्यादा है। लेकिन ऐसी भी जगहें हैं देश में जो बहुत दबी हुई हैं। उनके सम्बन्ष में दो बातें वे लोग कहते तो मैं समभता कि उनकी भावना व्यापक दष्टि की है, लेकिन छोनाभपटी में वह चाहते हैं उनको श्रधिक से अधिक मिले । उन्होंने यह विचार प्रस्तुत नहीं किया कि जिनकी अामदनी कम है उनके लिये भी कुछ किया जाना चाहये । मैं कहूंगा कि ऐसे इलाकों की तरफ ज्यादा स्पेशल एफट्स्स होनी चाहिये। यू पी का पूर्वी हिस्सा, नार्थ बिहार, तेलंगाना, ऐसे ही पूर्व की झ्रोर कोई एरियाज हो सकती हैं, जिनकी श्रोर ज्यादा तवज्जह देने की जरूरत है। मैं मंत्री महोदय से कहूँगा कि वह इस ओर ध्यान दें।

नार्थ बिहार में अनएम्प्लायमेंट पोजीशन भी बहुत खराब है। तीनों व्लंन्स में और जगहों पर जो अनएएव्ल्लायमेंट पोजीशन थी उसमें सुधार हुआ और उनका एम्ल्लायमेंट परसेंटेज बढ़ा, लेकिन हमारे यहां वह और भी घट गया। एजुकेकान बढ़ी है। लड़के निकलते जाते हैं लेकिन उनको नौकरी नहीं मिलती। इस

तरह होता रहा तो वे लड़के हमारे श्री शार्मा की पाकेट में या श्री हीरेन मुकर्जी की गोद में चले जायेंगे और देशा में उद्धृंखलता बहुत बढ़ जायेगी।

धी योगेन्द्र शर्मा : श्री मोरारजी देसाई से कहिये कि वह उत्तर बिहार को डेवेलप करें।

घी त्वा० ना० तिवारी : मैं कह रहा हूं कि अगर श्री मोरारजी इसको नहीं देखेंगे तो वहां के लोग आपकी गोद में चले जायेंगे और हमारे प्रयत्न करने पर भी हमारे साथ नहीं रहेंगे । इसलिए में चाहता हूं कि वहां का अनएम्प्लायमेंट दूर करने के लिए कोई खास प्रयत्न किया जाये ।

श्री मोरारारजी देसाई ने कुछ इंडस्ट्रीज को टँक्स-हानिडे दी है। में चाहता हूं कि वही मापदंड ऐग्रीकल्वर के लिए भी हो। ऐग्रीकल्चर को भी टैक्स फी होना चाहिए कुछ वर्षों तक । अभी ग्रीन रिवोल्यूशन हुआ, मैं बहुत खुरा हूं कि ग्रीन रिवोल्यू शन हुआ और हमारी पै दावार में वृद्धि हुई। लेकिन आज इस पर किसी प्रकार की रुकावट डालनी ठीक नहीं है । मुभे बहुत खुझी है कि उन्होंने पर्भिग सेट पर टैक्स को छोड़ा, लेकिन जो इनपुट है फर्टिलाइजर. का, उस पर भी तो टैक्स छोड़ते। कम से कम एक-दो बरस तक तो ऐसा करते जिससे प्रीन रिवोल्यूशन अ्रागे बढ़ता श्रोर जो हमारा इम्पोर्ट होता है उसमें कमी होती तथा देश का पैसा किसी न किसी तरह से बचता। यदि इधर से उनको रुपया नहीं मिलता, लेकिन जो फूछम्रेन इम्पोर्य करते है उसमें उनको यकीनन फारेन एक्स्चेन्ज की बचत होती। इसलिये में कहना चाहता हूं कि वह भले ही एग्रीकल्चर इनकम पर टैक्स लगा दें क्योंकि में जानता हूं कि जिनके पास सम्पत्ति है, जिनकी? आमदनी है उन्हें स्टेट को चलाने के लिये अपना कंट्रि-
[की दा० ना ० तिवारी]

ब्यूं राने देना चाहियें, लेकिन यह बात ठींक नहीं है कि जो चीज प्रगति कर रही है उसके रास्ते में कोई रकावट आ जाय, और बेती अ्रनइन्सेंटिव हो जाय और पैदावार में कमी आ जाय। मिं फिर म्रपील करूंगा कि फटिलाइज़र पर दैक्स न लंगाया जाय।

एक बात्त मैं बजट के अलावा कहना चाहता हूं। भ्राज भी हमारे देश में फेसिफोरस टेन्डंसी बढ़ रही हैं। जो हम लोग पार्लयामेंट के मेम्बर पाते हैं उनकी मंशा यही रहती हैं कि चाहे कोई बात स्टेट की हो चाहे केन्द्र की हों, सब कुष्ब यहीं पर डील हो, और इसलिये जो स्टेट सब्जेष्ट्स होते हैं उनके बारे में मी हम लोग यहीं पर सवाल करते हैं, गवर्नेंट को भला-बुरा कहते हैं और जोर देते हैं कि कुछ्ध और करना चाहियें। तेलंगाना से घ्रगर कुछ बिगड़ा तो हम' श्रान्ध गवनंमेंट पर उसको नहीं छोड़ेंगे। हम यहाँ उस सबाल को लाना चाहेंगे। अगर बंगाल में कुछ होता हैं तो हम नहीं चाहेंगे कि बंगाल के लोग उसको करें। वहां रिसपांसिबल गबर्नैंट्र है, असेम्बली है। हम उस चीज़ को यहाँ रसना चाहेंगे। कोई चीफ मिनिस्टर गलती करता है तो हम यह नहीं चाहेंगे कि श्रसंम्बली वहीं की जो है वह उसकों सज़ा दे, हम चाहेंगे कि पार्लिमेंट से हम लोग उसकौ सजा दें। इसका मतलब यह होता है कि हम चाहते हैं कि पानर संट्रलाइज हो, हमारी गवर्नमेंट का फॉर्मे यूनटटरी हो और यह़ फंड्रे रान न रहे। इस संदमें में में कहूँगा कि सैंद्रल गबनंमेंटं को गम्भीरता के साथ सोचना चाहिये और लोगों की जो भावनायें हैं और जो आज पालिमेंट के मेक्बरों के द्वारा व्यक्त होती हैं, उनकी इज्जत करनी चाहिये और उनकी इज्जत करते हुए अगर जहुरत पछे तो एक फंश कंस्टिट्युएंट अर्मैब्बली बुला कर विषान में संशोषन करना पा विधान को बदल कर यूनिटरी फार्म आफ फ्वर्नेंट की

स्थाषना करनी चहिंये। यह बड़ा अच्छा होगा इससे न तो जो इस वक्त रिबनलिज्म है, वह रह जाएगा और न ही लंगुएज का भगड़ा रह जाएगा घोर न ही पालिमेंट में और भसंम्बलियों में डिफेमिस की बात रह जाएगी । ह्रस ब्ञात पर में समभता हू कि आपको ग्रम्भीरता के साष विचार करना होगा।

थी थोषेन्द्य शर्मा : देश की एकता भंग हो जाएगी।

SHRI TENNETI VISWANATHAM (Visakhiapatnam); Sir, let me thank you very much for the time you are giving me.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Only ten minutes.

SHRI TENNETI VISWANATHAM: Sir, ten minutes is a great thing nowadays.

Sir, our system of discussion of the Budget and the Finande Bill is a legacy from the British method. The Finance Minister is there and the Members of the House make their requests. But they have no sense of belonging; no sense of participation with taking of the Budget of this country. They me not participants and the rules prevent arem from making any suggestion which will htake the destination of particular grant to some other destination. What are we here? We are all like petifioners going to the Sikandara Fort, the old Fort where Akbar used to site in a single pillar Chamber whero the people went and gave their arzies to which Akar said 'yes' or 'go away', That is the kind of Budget discussion which is going on here. This must change. : We have often suggested that there must be some committees formed and in those committees there must be a better method of give and take botween the Minister and the Members, and the voice of the Menbers should become more efficetive In these committes.
17.5s hro.

[Mid. Spmager in the Chair].

If that procedure is follo wed the Members will feel that they have really come here for some useful purpose, that here across the Table the Finance : Ministei and Mombers had some cliscussion, there was 'a give and take of opinions; that there wiss a give and take of ideas and that we were really able to make sonte changes in the Budget. At present whatever is proposed either: we should accept or :reduce. We cannot give them any other aiternative.

The hon. Finance Minister gave us several guidelines. He referred to Gandhi Centenary and said that the most important things are drinking water, housing. slum clearance and all those things. In these various demands granted we do not find any specific provision or massive provision for these things. Still pearly 4 to 5 lakh villages do not have drinking water and even wheie they have got drinking water it is only brackish water. The budget is done, and discussed as 1 said, in a manner which is a legacy from the British and whatever the Executive in the Finance Ministry thinks the Finance Minister agrees apd he places it before us. We simply make all our requests and they are turned down in a general speech.

The second point which I want to make is that this Budget and the Finance - Bilt are based entiraly upon expenditure, the expenditure as conceived or as accopted iby the Finance Ministry. There is no quostion of pruning the expenditure. They say so much money is wanted by the various spending departments and that money must immediatoly be somehow provided. Therefore the Finance Bill comes. The hon. Minister may: ask, do not we exercise any care about these things It is true; but as 1 see the criticism of the Estimates comimiuteo my apiniot ahanges. The Entimatios Committee is a watchdos of the method of
current expendituse: N loot inte the recommendations and the replies given by Government and the recommendations acrio mate: by the Estimates Commoittoe we find that- greerally speaking: Ftuance Mindstry simply sticks to whatever it sixs. Whether it is with reated to expenditure, efficiecey: os any other aspect, very Cevr recomanemdations of the Estimates Committee aro accepted by Gowernment. Only certain recommedations, whicks are of a general nature, are accepted as if for guidance. Sometimes even with regard to healthy suggestions they simply say "Noted".

That is why this situation has arisen. That is why the expenditure is first arrived at. Then, in order to meet the exdenditure, the Finance Minister wants to exploit resources. That is why every year we find extra taxation; Every year we find 20 or 30 amendments to the wealth tax, income-tax, customs and excise duties. There is absolutely no certainty for any of them. These frequent changes in the customs and excise duties are putting many people to a lot of difficulties. The big merchants, the big financial magnates and industrialists do not very much mind these changes because they know how to get aver them by engaging competent people; they also know how to manage the Ministries, But it is the people belonging to tho middle income and low income group, small producers and merchants, who are put to the greatest hardship by these frequent changes in the rates. They are mere slaves in the hands. of the customs and excise officials. It has come to "my notice a number of times that the officers interpret the reles as they like. And by the timo they understand the rule, it is changed. What is the meaning of sach frequent changes? For five years at any rate, coufd the Finance Minister not say that these shall be the rotes and that thiey are not going to be changedt He says that he will give holiday for five years to big indiustrialists in respect of some taxes? Can he not give the same five years holiday to the rules, whatever might happen, so that the officers may interffot them only in one way or the other and ' Kingesisets where oxactiy the starid?
[Shri Tenneti Vishwanatham]

I know the extreme grief and anguish of the people who have started small-scale industries. Sometimes they shed tears. They wonder why they have pooled all their resources, why they invested all their resources on small-scalo industries for which big promises had been made by the government.

The recommendations of the Estimates Committee are brushed aside. The public undertakings show continuous losses. They will continue to show losses. Of course, I am always in favour of public undertakings, provided they serve our national purpose, provided they are economic, provided they help the nation, provided they are not established just to satisfy some officers or just to satisfy some theory. When they know that there is mismanagement, when these undertakings continuously show losses, I really cannot understand why they cannot put a stop, at any rate where they are, consolidate the position and see that they improve the existing public undertakings. No, the Government do not do that. They continue the expenditure on public undertakings; they continue the expenditure upon construction works, where does not seem to be any limit. The estimates are revised and re-revised. That is why to this nation has come to this difficult position. The result is that the foor man is pounced upon and the rich man is given the concessions.

Now electricity is being supplied to only one lakh villages or so. There are nearly four of five lakhs villages which have no electrici:y. Yet, every year some kind of extra excise duty is imposed on match-sticks and kerosens. We tax every year whatever little things are used by the poor man, whose number we count in the great population of India. We show to the world that this is the largest democracy, having so many man. But the poor man is not cared for, the beggar is not cared for, the poorest people and the disabled beggars are not cared for; people who live under very bad housing conditions, not only in the villages but also in the cities they are not cared for.

But all of them constitute our great democracy and in their name we collect taxes and then give a tax holiday to the rich people and then tax again the poor people to compznsate the so-called loss. Why? The most important reason is that this is a expenditure-based budget and n t a resourcesbased budget.

Coming to a small matter, namely, joint Hindu families, the Finance Minister has made some changes with regard to the taxes on joint Hindu families. Whatever may be the taxes that are going to be imposed, my only contention is that they should have only prospective and not retrospzctive effect.
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There is another difficulty with regard to excise duties. The excise duties affect not only the poor people but also middleclass people and everybody, including the Government servants. What happens? The same year if not the same month the dearness allowance is increased, the excise duties are also increased. He gives dearness allowance by right hand and wipes it out with excise duties, So, this game is going on every year giving extra wages, extra dearness allowance, and simultaneously increasing the excise duties, indirect taxation in this way.

I believe one of the greatest reasons for discontent in the country is the resulting hardship to which people are put by this process. What I would humbly suggest is, please give a holiday for five years. After all, excise duties are not always necessary if you control expenditure. Please give them holiday for five years. The officers will be clear in their interpretation. The ordinary people will know exactly what they will get for five years and, particularly, the fixed-salaried psople, the N.G.Os. in our States and the Secretariat people hero, will all be very happy. I do not understand why every year they should be bothered in this way.

About the new tax proposals which are summarised at the end of the Finance Bill I also join with others that it was very wrong to tax sugar. spscizHy chocolates. About fertilisers tax, I believe, he will now announco that be is going to remove the tax on fertiliser.

Then, here is a small item, prepared or preserved food. There is already a tax on the materials which form components of prepared or preserved food. Particularly, for those of us who come from south India, those prepared foods are sent in bottlos. The gentlemen here, in the restaurants or in these kitchens, do not prepare them. So, these bottled things are coming and the first people to be hit by this provision are the D.M.K. members and some members from south.

Regarding, pilfer-proof packages, the packing is already taxed not only here but in the States also. There any amount of sales-tax, two times, three times, not a single-point tax. Therefore, increase in excise duties, change of excise duties, increase on sales-tax, all these things, aro really responsible for the great amount of discontent in the country. Most of the ascessees in the towns who form the intelligentsia are voting against Congress. I am not speaking for canvassing vo:es for or against Congress. Why do the Government always devise methods which will always rub the intellig ntsia on the wrong side? Is it not good for them to have the intelligentsia on their side? Have they got at least the non-intelligentsia on their side? They do not have them either; because of the excise duties and increase in land tax and increase in so many other taxes, and because of the Government not attending to their housing, not attending to their drinking water and even light. I know there are thousands of villages which have no lights in the night. You cannot see 2 single light in these villages. This is the condition.

What I want to say is, if the Finance Minister is able to project his imagination, not into the difficulties of the rich people who can manage for themselves, into the
conditions of 75 or 80 per cent of the people, I shall be very much gratified and the nation will also be grateful to him.

MR. SPBAKER: Before I call the Deputy Prime Minister, I would like to make an announcement. The AttorneyGeneral is coming here tomorrow. That is what was announced by the Deputy Prime Minister yesterday. Tonight his opinion will be circulated to the hon. Members. I would ask him to come here tomorrow at 3-30 P M. Tomorrow is a non-official day. At 4-30 P.M., we will take up the nonofficial business. That is the only time. Any hon. Member wantaig to have a clarification may kindly, after reading the opinion given by the Attorney-General, send a note so that the Attorney-General will be able to clarify whatever doubts there are. After he clarifies, of course, there will be no time and it is not possible to have a cross-examination, a discussion, questioning and cross-questioning. That is why I suggest to the hon. Members that they may, in advance, send intimations, say, at about 11 O' Clock tomorrow. The note is being circulated tonight.

SHRI SEZHIYAN: Some clarifications may be required.

MR. SPEAKER: That is why I say this. The note will be circulated tonight and whatever clarifications the members want to seek after reading that note they may send them to the office, so that the office could communicate to him. Otherwise, we do not know who will begin. Mr. Mulla may put a question; Mr. Sheo Narain may also like to put a question. How can I prevent anybody? Therefore, I suggest that whatever clarifications the members want to seok, they may send them latest by 11 A.M. tomorrow. so that I can communicate to him. The note will be circulated tonight.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: We will send that by 11 A.M. tomorrow. Will that also be balloted?

MR. SPEAKER: No question of balloting. All the clarifications which the members want to seek after reading that note will be sent to him and in his speect he will clarify the points raised.

SHRI NARENDRA KUMAR SALVE: Are you going to permit any supplementaries?

MR. SPEAKER: That will not be possible and that will not be done. There will be no end to it if supplementaries are to be allowed. Now itself the time is given to you. You study the note which is being circu'ated tonight and by 11 A.M. tomorrow you can send whatever clarifications you want to seek. If supplementaries are to be allowed, there will be no end to it and no purpose will be served.

THE DEPUTY PRIME MINISTER AND M NISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI MORARJI DESAI) : Before I reply to the debate at the consideration stage, I should like to lay on the Table the opinion of the Attorney-General. It contains both the opinions, as far as I know, of the previous Attomey-G neral and of the present Attorney-General. [Placed in Library. See No. LT 980,69]

Before I come to the various points raised...

धी शिाव चन्द्र क्षा (मधुवनी) : घ्रघ्यक्ष महोदय, मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि उप-प्रधान मंत्री महोदय किस फाइनेन्स बिल को भ्राधार मान कर जवाब दे रहे हैं जो झ्रापने इन्ट्रोडयूस किया है यह या जो सं केटेरियट ने सकुं लेट किया है, वह।

SHRI MORARJI DESAI : I have already spoken about it and I need not say anything again.

Before I deal with the various points about texation, which formed the least part of the debate, I would first like to refer to the special points raised by the hon. Member, Shri Madhu Limaye. He has a grievance that I have not replied to his points. I am afraid, his grievance is not correct. because I have replied to him on all the points which he has raised about leakage of the budget, as far as I remember.

If there is anything left out, I will certainly send a reply to him. But may I say
that I have gone through all the points raised by him very carefully and I find that there is no prima facie case for me to come to any conclusion that there has been a leakage of the budget proposals? My hon. friend wrote to me that I must be a tired man, a man who has lost his sensitivity, because I did not agree to a committee being appointed. Well, he can say what he likes, but I am not prepared to say anything in reply to that. I can only say that that was a very unkind way of writing a letter to me.

धी मघु लिमये : घघ्यक्ष महोदय में सवाल पूब्बना चाहता हूं-क्या यह मैंने बजट के बारे में लिखा था ?

की मोरारजी देसाई : जी, हाँ।
धो मघु लिमये : सिर्फ एक ही खत में यह लिखा है जो कि बी० घ्रो० ए० सी० के बारे लिखा था। मेरी विनती है कि भाप यहाँ पर पत्र रखिये। में भ्राज बिल्कुल इन्टरष्ट नहीं करना चाहता था। वजट लीक के बारे में मेंने यह वाक्य नहीं लिखा था। में भ्राज बोलना ही नही चाहता हूँ, चुप रहूंगा, आप को जो कहना हो कहिये।

SHRI MORARJI DESAI; I correct myself that it was with reference to BOAC.

श्री मषु लिमये : ठीक है, वह वाक्य बी० मो० ए० सी० के संदरं में है।

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I agree I confused the two because so many letters are received and, therefore, I did not remember whether it was in this or that context.

But ultimately it applies to everything. He writes generally that I must be a tired man and I must have lost my sensitivity. I also mentioned about the BOAC again. But I will first mention about this point. In that letter also there was a paragraph about budget leakage. Therefore, it was not only abou: the BOAC he has mentioned he has also mentioned. if he remembers properly that be has written about the budget leakage also in that letter.
(Interruptions) Does my hon friend maintain that he is alone right in whatever he says and another person has no right to say...

धी मषु लिमये : ग्रष्पक्ष महोदय, में इतनी विनती करूंगा कि जिन पत्रों का वे उल्लेख कर रहे हैं दोनों पत्र सदन के सामने भ्रायें । घ्रह्यक्ष महोदय, मेरी यह माँग ठीक है या नहीं ?

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: If he now says, I will certainly put them before the House. I have put his letters on the Table of the House on the dates those letters were recoived. These letters were received after that. He has not asked me that whenever I write a letter I must put it on the Table.

MR SPEAKER: Even then the Speaker comes into the picture.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: Certainly. May I say that I would not think of putting it on the Table of the House without your permission. There is no question of being told...(Interruptions) There is no need for me to hide any thing. The hon Members will be better able to judge who is right and who is wrong, who is writing properly and who is not writing properly. It is, therefore, that I put them readily on the Table of the House.

The first point he said is that $h=$ had heard when he was sitting with Mr. N.K, Somani a telephone call coming. If I understood him correctly, a telephone call came from the father of Mr. Somani to him probably Mr. Somani has not told me anything. But I believe when he says this what he said

SHRI NAMBIAR: His father also was there in his house.

MR. SPEAKER: They do not talk on the telephone.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: That is also possible. That is all that I have heard just now and that is what I remembered. In that he said that he had heard about fertilisers and pesticides and sugar. On pesticides no tax has been levied. That must have been seen. Therefore, it is not true that the infor-
mation that he conveyed was all correct. On fertilisers also it was not said how much was going to be levied. That also has not been said. This talk has been there for a long time. When these things are to be taxed, this was discussed in the Planning Commission. This was discussed outside and, therefore, if there are intelligent guesses and somebody is clever that he forecasts correctly, then what I have to say? As a matter of fact all this speculation that is going on in share bazaar always goes on in the name of the Finance Minister. The Finance Minister said this. Therefore, the shares must go up. I have had the experience of being brought in the share bazaar when somebody said that I was stabbed in office. I received several telephone calls enquiring as to how I was.

Then some of my hon friends asked for my resignation and that is why they also think that I will resign immediately to oblige them. This is done not for that purpose. This is done for quoting them in the share bazaar by the speculators. This is a common practice not only here but in all the share bazaars of the world.

Therefore, in this matter to say this story that there was a leakage is all wrong.

Then it was said that bank rate was proposed to be reduced. There is no more cock and bull story than this. No proposal was sent to me by the Governor of the Reserve Bank at any time orally or in writing. There has been no such conception even by the Governor. I asked him whether he had ever thought of doing that. He said that he did not think of it. The Government have never thought of lowering the rate at present. Of course I cannot say whether I will do it or not in future. But there has been no such talk of such a proposal. This was also thought that this was leakage and there were all kinds of speculations in the bazaar.

This is the material in which my Hon. friend believes and he wants me to go on a wild goose chase of investigation into leakage. I cannot say that I can agree to that. I have my own responsibility and I cannot accept such kind of investigation on everything which my Hon. friend wants to
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bring in. I do not think that everything that he says is wrong. He has certainly brought out certain things where there were facts. But there are certain things whero there are no facts and when he has told me about them I have informed him accordingly. He still persists that whatever he says is right and whatever I am saying is wrong. In cases where be is right, immediately say he is right and take action and inform him. Where I find that he is not right, I say he is not right.

In the case of sugar, he said that stocks were released and taken away. He said that stocks were released in several cases-to five mills. I do not know whether he has mentioned the mills or not. In the case of G la Mill the release order was for 10,822 bags and they took away or cleared only 4,440 bags by 28ih February. In four-five days they did not take the whole of it. About the Babhnan Mill, 1,540 bags wera released and they took away only 880 bags. In regard to Hargaon Mill the release order was for 5.187 bags and they took away 4,220 bags. Only one mill-Lakkimpur Mill -took away the full quota. The release order was for 1,585 bags and they took away 1,585 bags. In the case of Seohara Mill 12,557 bags were released and thy took awdy only 5,900 bags. If these people had received any information, all these would have been released and all these would have been taken away. There was no bar to it. It was legally possible for them to do it. What does it show? This shows that there is no leakage. They are all intelligent guesses. Some of the guesses may become right and o!hers may be wrong. Nob idy has said how much tax was to be levied on sugar. If it was known, that would have also been known. How is it that there is leakage? There were some badla transactions. Five or six transactions were mentioned I have only been able to trace one. The others are not traceable. What am I to say about it? There may be badla transactions and there are all kinds of transactions on the eve of the budget. Some may be right and some wrong. Therefore, from that how could one say that there has been leakage? Leakage would bo true if the rates are also mentioned. Then it is all right. In the matter of cloth, when ad valorem duty is now brought in instead of
speciicic duty on yardage, it is said that it is leaked. They did not say which kinds of cloth were going to have this. If they had said the kinds of cloth, then I could understand it and $I$ will say that it is all right. All this is based on the fact that a high finance official went to Bombay and then this leakage come out. He went in the $\mathbf{b}$ ginning of February and the proposals wero framed very nearly the third week of February by me. Till then no proposals were made. It was not considered by me. How could there be any leakage because he went there? He went there only to find out certain facts on various things. After all, one cannot go on without making some sort of enquiry or suggestions. And these inquiries are certainly made. In the matter of ad valorem duties also, these things had been going on for some time. I have been told ahout it, that is what I should do, even by some millowners and others also, that this is a fair way of doing it. I have been considering it for some time. And this year when I applied it, guesses come This is how this matter has been brought in. But if some things come in, to say that there is a leakage would be easy for him to believe but is not possible for me to accept. When there is a leakage, I would certainly accept there is a leakage, and I would not stay here. Why should I have to stay here if there is a leakage. I try to see that there is no leakag. God bs thanked that there is no leakage, because there are several people, at least 15 persons, concerned who know this.

There was a leakage a few years ago. I got it first in Bombay when I was Chief Minister there. Somebody gave me exactly the whole copy of the speech which was going to be read, part of it giving the rates and everything. I learnt about it in the morning and informed the Finance Minister immediately. But what could he do? At that time he could not change it. Afterwards, an investigation was made. It was done in the printing press. After that, we brought the printing press in the Finance Ministry. Nuw people are kept indoors for 10 or 12 days until this is done, so that they cannot come out....

SHRI VASUDEVAN NAIR: Under preventive detention.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: No, they are there willingly aad voluntarily. I give
them all kinds of facilities so that they do not have to go out.

SHRI S.M. BANERJEE: Next time, frame the budget in Tibar Jail. That will be better.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: Even some pressmen are at it from morning till evening, because they are also given information from the very beginning.

These are the precautions which are being taken. If in spite of that, something happens, one can consider it. But in this case, nothing has happened. These are only intelligent guesses.

My hon. friend talked about the bank rate. It is an absolutely cock and bull story started by somebody in Bombay, not by him. But he believes some of these and tells mo. He believes everything that other people tell him, but will not believe what I tell hims. What am I to do?

Naturally, he says he has no personal dislike for me. I know he has not. But last time he made the statement about my son in a speech in Bombay-this is what I am told; if I am wrons, he can tell me-he was asked at the meeting and be said that because he wants to remove the Congress and I come in the way; therefore, I must be removed. If this is simple logic, what can I do? By my removal, the Congress Party cannot be removed. It think he is intelligent enough to know that I am not such an indispensable person to the Congress or to the country or to anybody that my existence is going to do something or my removal is going to bring about something.

SHRI VASUDEVAN NAIR: Congressmen are saying that.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: This was talked about in the Central Hall also. It was not only in Bombay where the spoech was mado publicly. Therefore, it cannot be denied. There were people who beard and told me.

घो मबू लिमये : में कहाँ नहीं कर रहा हुं? नुभमें बह ईसानदारी है भौर में बराबर Fहता रहा हा कि काष्रेस को हटाना मेरा काम

है इसलिए भापको भी हटाना चाहता हूं और दूसरों को भी हटाना चाहता हूं।

इसको में बिल्कुल नहीं इन्कार कर रहा हूं । मोरारजी भाई ठीक ही कर रहे हैं। हम कंत्रेस को हटाना चाहते हैं।

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: May I say that it is his right to make an attempt to remove the Congress? I am not denying that right to him. It is his right to try to do it. But let it be dune legitimately. That is all I am saying. I cann's call this a legitimate attempt to do it, when he has publicly said like this. This is my only grievance. I have no other grievance abjut it.

The same thing holds true about his allegation about my having committed great impropriety in depositing some money in a company, the Industrial and Chemical Company, in Bombay. He said he mintioned it. He might have men:ioned it then, My son was a partner in it. Why have I to mention that? That wis only about the business activities of my son. There also I have given my reply. I have proved-and he has not proved otherwisethat my son did not do any business during the period from 1964 to 1967.

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE: Managing director.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: He was not Managing director. H: was only a director of one or two companies. If it was a private company, that did not do any business. That was holding some receipts which they got. I have explained this at length and I havo challenged: show any business that it has done and I shall cortainly say I was wrong in giving this information. This is the information that I have collected. I cannot say that I cannot be wrong. I try to collect information and give it. If my hon. friend has not the honesty to acknowledge that I have made an honest attempt to give him everything, then what am I to say? Then if I give parallels why should he get angy? When he calls me all names, I do not git angry because I know what be is. I know that he is very much oxcited when he doos all those things. I anm quite sure that when
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he is outside he forgets all this; otherwise he would be sorry for what he says, because I consider him an honest person; I do not consider him otherwise. When an honest person gets excited and gets obsessed with the idea that he alone is right and all the others are wrong, this is what happens. That is all that I am requesting him to consider as a friend: I do not consider him an enemy. How can I agree to an enquiry being made in such kind of things where there is no impropriety whatsoever. Is it argued that I should not put doposits in any company? What is improper in it. Because that company is the selling agent for some Birla concerns for some commodities how have I committed a crime or how am I connected with Birlas? I do not understand it at all. This is what he has to prove. Merely by arguing hundred times, it is not proved. It does stick in the minds of some but it sticks only in the minds of those who are obsessed, not others. No reasonable man, no honest man will believe in this I have faith that truth will ultimately prevail. If he has told the truth it will prevail; if I have told the truth it will prevail. I know that I have told the truth; I have not told anything wrong. Therefore I have a clear conscience in this matter, whatever my friend may say. He may want me to resign; I am not going to oblige him. That is not the way, one can oblige anybody. Because that will be hurling the country if I do a thing like this. He may persist. I know what the communists want. They consider me their enemy No. 1.

SHRI YASUDEVAN NAIR: Your own people say that you should go.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I know all that. I do not want them to go I want them to remain here; if they are elected they are welcome.

SHRI VASUDEVAN NAIR: We know what happened in Faridabad.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I know what happens everywhere; I know what happens in your houses also. I am also aware of who is with him and who is not with him and who supplies information to him. I am not bothered about it. Why should I bother? People try to do whatever
they like and if nothing happens they go on talking. They can go on talking and I shall go on replying to them. I propose sometimes to hold a press conference and tell pressmen to ask me any question they like and tell them whatever they want that will. I think, parhaps put an end to all this but I do not want to do it until Parliament was not over because I do not want to say anything outside which I have got to say here. I want to protect myself. I do not want to commit any indiscretion. I must be careful about it. Also I hold Parliament in the highest respect; more than my hon. friends do. If they hold it in respect they would not create the scenes that they are creating and they would not be responsible for going against the rules in the pretext that the rules are not being carried out properly. I am not doing it. I am not interrupting them at any time. Sometimes I may pass a humorous remark and they smile at it but they do not feel hurt. I would rather be humorous at my own cost rather than at their cost. But when I explain things they have to bear with me. What is the use of going about in the manner in which they are going about?

In the BOAC case, my hon. friend still persists even when there is $n$, case in it. I am completely convinced of it. If I had not been convinced of it, I would have reviewed the case. He says the customs department should app:al against the Board. What a fantastic story? What a fantastic app:eciation of the Governmental machinery? Doss he mean to say that if I overrule the Board tomorrow, then the Board should go to court? Does be want that right to be given to the subordinates? Does he want that the Customs Collector should appeal against the Board? What does he want? I do not understand what sense of proportion he has got. This is what I do not understand. If this is what he wants, how can I satisfy him? I was convinced that the Board decided very rightly and if the Board had not decided in that manner, being afraid of people like my hon. friend, they would have failed in their duty and they would not have deserved to remain where they are. That is why I have got to support the Board.

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE: The Director of Intelligence.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI; The Director of Inteligence. He also worked on not full information and he gave only one report. The hon. Member says that there are two reports. The Director attended the whole hearing. He assisted the Board in the hearing. He gave some notes to them about the enquiry. If he calls that another report, I can understand, but if he gets these reports, I can only say that they must have come from the Director or somebody connected with the Director's office. Otherwise how could they have come? I have not seen these reports myself, because I have not called for them.

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE: I have given it to the Speaker. मैने सैंट्रल बोर्डं के फैसले को भी पढ़ा है घ्योर यह भी पढ़ा है। श्राप पढ़ना नहीं चाहृते हैं। मत पढ़िये, दुनिया पढ़ेगी ।

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I am not going to recognise anything brought in here like that. Even if it is put on the Table, I would neither confirm it nor reject it. I am not going to say anything about it. But the fact is that in the appellate order all these things have been discussed in detail. Let any reasonable person go through it and he will find that the Board could not have come to any other conclusion:

भी मषु लिमये : यह तो नहीं कह रहे हैं कि रपट जाली है ? कम से कम यह तो साफ हो जाना चाहिये।

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I am not saying anything.

घो मषु लिमये : यह साफ हो गया है कि जाली है, ऐसा नहीं कह रहे हैं ।

SHRI MORARI DESAI: I only say that I noither confirm it nor reject it. Why should I get into a trap? I know my hon. friend is very clear in laying a trap. But I om cleverer than him in not walking into the trap. Let him know it.

धी मषू लिमये : दुनिया तो समभ गई है जब डिनाई नहीं कर सकते हैं। दुनिया समभ गई है।

घो मोरारजी देसाई : दुनिया जानती है भ्राप केसे हैं, हम कंसे हैं। लोक सभा भी जानती है श्राप क्या करते हैं, हम का करते हैं । सब जानते हैं। इसमें ऐसा कोई सवाल नहीं है।

Therefore, all these matters ought to be taken in the manner in which they should be properly understood. This is what I have to plead before my hon. friends here.

I will now come to the other matters where he has said that the tax...

AN HON. MEMBER: Replying only to that hon. Member?

SHRI MORARII DESAI: I have got to. I will come to the others also.

SHRI RANDHIR SINGH: Do not give him undue importance.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I gave him all the importance that he deserves, and he should get it. I do not know why I should not consider him as an equally important Member as anybody else. He takes the trouble to put cases. He heips in many cases. But he also hampers in many cases. I forget the hamp:ring. I take the help which he gives. That is all that I do.

Now, in the matter of taxation also, he said that the taxation level on an income of Rs. 3 lakhs and above today is less than before, and that on Rs. 10,000 is more. I had given the replies to this in my last reply, and if he does not care to look at it. what am I to do? It is not true that the taxation on that level is less today. What was happening was that earlier, there was no wealth-tax. Now there is a weath-tax. If you combine the two, the taxation is much higher at those levels than it was proviously in 1949-50. But my hon. frizad does not want to have any consideration for it. Will, he is free to thunk and he is free to argue as he likes. But let facts not be ignored
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Reference was made to the taxation on the bracket between Rs. $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ to Rs. $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0}$ and above Rs. 20,000 which has bean levied recently. It is said, I am taxing the middlemen. I have to tax the upper men, the middle men and the proper people. I have to tax all in this couniry.

SHRI NAMBIAR: Poor people must be saved.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: It is impossible. When 95 per cent of the people are poor, how am I going to work the economy of the country if I do not take any tax from them? One can say that I should take the maximum from those at the higher level. I am taking the maximum from them. I am taking even 100 to 115 per cent of the income of some people. I say to them, "Give it from your wealth. You can live on your wealth." If my hon. friond wanis to know the figures of income-tax and wealth tax, I will give him those figures and explain it to him.

SHRI S.M. BANERJEE: What about black money?

SHRI MORARII DESAI: My hon. friends are sometimes helping them by onc-uraging them in this manner. They help them in finding out other methods. I try to plag the holes and they try to open them. (घ्यवधान) जब वे मेरी मदद करते हैं, तो में उसको बड़ी पेटफुली स्त्रीकार करता हूं। लेकिन जब ऐसा नहीं होता है भोर दूसरे लोगों को मदद मिलती है, तो मुभे दुस के साय वह बात भी कहनी पड़ती है। इसलिए मि कहूंगा कि मेहरबानी करके ऐसा काम न कीजिए। वे न समभ कर करते हैं, लेकिन यह समभना भी तो जरूरी है कि इसका क्या परिएाम होता है। इसीलिए में यह निवेदन कर रहा हूं।

घी स० को० बनर्जो : म्राप हमारा एक निवेदन नहीं मानते, हम सष मानते हैं।

घी मोरारजी बेसाई: आपके कई निवेदन, को कि मानने लायक हैं, मैंने माने हैं,

## लेकिन बो मानने लायक नहीं हैं, उन का में

 क्या करूं ?Many times, the story is like that of Akbar and the child, Akbar said, I can please the child. Bitbal said, "you cannot". Akbar said, "Bring the child". The child was brought and the child said, "Give "me sugarcane". Akbar gave him sugarcane. The child said, "Break it". He broke it. Then the child said, "Make it whole again'. Akbar could not do it and the child kept on crying. This is what happens with some of my friends here.

It was said by Mr. C.C. Desai that we have a huge foreign debt of Rs, 5,800 crores. It is true. But for what has that debt been incurred? How have we gone up in this country? Of course, some of my hon. friends say that we have not gone up at all. I was surprised to see that even an Accountant like Mr. Kothari lost sight of facts and figures. I do not understand it.

SHRI S.M. BANERJEE: Some people forget their vocation.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I know that. Only my hon. friend does not forget his profession.

It is not ture that we have financed our plans only on this. Our plans on the whole have 'bien financed up to 20 per cent by external help. Four-fifibs we have done by ourselves, with our own resources. We do not always want to depend on them. In this connection, Mr. Ramamurti said, "Even now we do not have technical knowhow and we have to import it." But to appear objective, he brought in Soviet Russia also and said, "You are importing from Soviet Russia also". though he wants that always wo should get only from them. Today he tried to be very impartial. It is good sometimes to tries to be impartial. But what happens? After all, we have to pay them. True, but ualess we bring this in, how are wo going to improve our industries? Had we any technical knowhow in this country? Whose fault was it? Was it the fault of this Government? If there is poverty in this country, is it created by this Government? What is all this want of sense and understanding I am secine?

SHRI NAMBIAR: Twenty-iwo years have passed.

SHRI MORARII DESAI: Twenty-two years are nothing in the history of a nation which has been lying prostrate for many centuries. If fifty years are not good enough for my hon. friend to understand things, how is it enough for a country within twenty-two years to get all the technical know-how? I do not know (Interruption). Let my hon. friends know that we now know far more technical know-how. We do it on our own in many things. Therefore our exports are increasing and our imports are decreasing. We are not now importing wholesale plants from outside. What does that show? That shows we have gone up and considerably gone up. My hon. friends forget that we were not making a pin in this country in the year 1946. We are today making sugar machinery, we are making cement machinery, we are making power machinery, we are making textile machinery and we will soon have full capacity to make a steel mill ourselves. We are having machine tools and we are also exporting them. So many things we are doing now. We are even having trucks and we are having cars. We are even having some aeroplanes but not all the kinds that we want. That also we will soon develop. Can this be developed without getting help from outside? Has any country done so? My hon. friend, Shri Ramamurti forgets even after fifty years of development Soviet Russia had to bring in Italy for Fait car and gavo them a licence for doing that. That is after fifty years of development. In fifty years of development this country will be supplying to others, not getting from anybody.

SHRI INDRAJIT GUPTA (Alipore): What about food imports? Do we have not know-how about food?

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: Soviet Russia in spite of the fact that they have soven times the area and less than half the population become self-sufficient only in fifty years. We are going to be self-sufficient in two years more. I have no doubt about that in my mind.

SHRI INDRAJIT GUPTA: How much have you spent on food imports?

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: If we did not have done that my hon. friends wouid have been happy because then there would have been deaths, chaos and they would have risen up against us on that. This is all that they want. Food imports are necessary because we are deficient in food. Why did we become deficient in food? That is also because we were not independent and we were dependent. During foreign domination we lost all capacity and our food production went down. Partition lessened it still further. Therefore we have to get it. But it is a small achievement that from 51 million tonnes we are now producing 96 million tonnes, and 96 million tonnes in spite of droughts in one or two States-in one State, then another and then in a third State-and floods in several States. In spite of that we have producod 96 million tonnes of food whereas we produced the maximum of 82 million tonnes of food in 1964.65 when the season was at its best (Interruption). This kind of talk and shouting is not going to help. When they have no facts they shout. A man with facts has only to argue. He will have patience and he will not get angry.

घी भन्दुळ गनो जार : मोरारजी भाई यह भी तो बताएं, इम्पों कितना किया, सब से ज्यादा किस साल में इम्पों किया फूउ ग्रेन्स का ?


SHRI MORARJI DESAI: My hon. friend is again one whom I know for many years. We have been good friends also. As a friend I also know what be talks and what he does not talk. His appearance here is different from his appearance when he meets me cutside-that is the only difference. He is very sweet outside to me as a friend but whon he comes here he has to prove that he is in the Opposition.

And that he is not friendly to me. Thorefore, he soes on saying many things. I have poac into facts about which he has
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written to me. If I do not agree with him, what am I to say? Then, he thinks I am not supporting his facts. He is briefed by some wrong people; he does not realise that.

SHRI ABDUL GHANI DAR: Let him have a judicial inquiry.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: This has become a common thing, to have a judicial inquiry, to have a Parliamentary Committee, to have a committee of inquiry, to have a commission of inquiry and all that. What is in the brains of many I do not know. Are we going to have inquiries and nothing else? Is it the way in which the country is going to progress? Let there be an inquiry. But it should not be in a perverted manner in which it is sought to be done. This is not the way in which the country can progress.

SHRI YOGENDRA SHARMA: What about Birla inquiry?

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: You have got the reply. Inquiries have been made; taxes have been levied; prosecutions have also been made and police inquiries have been made. That is what the hon. Members ought to know. It is no use merely going on repeating parrotwise things so that people may believe. Some people may believe. But the world at large will not believe this kind of stories. I am also being connected with the Birlas by these people. They hold public meetings to say this. Only fools will believe. No honourable intelligent man will believe. I have no doubt about it.

SHRI VASUDEVAN NAIR: You are the only intelligent man in the country. Others are all fools!

SHRI MORARII DESAI: This is the only intelligence which my hon. friend can exhibit that, I consider, I am the only intelligent man.

SHRI VASUDEVAN NAIR: You say that people are fools in the country. You should have humility. There are millions of people in this country who believe that you are connected with it. Don't you live in a fools' paradise.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: My hon. friend does not know what paradise is. What is the use of saying, 'fools' paradise'.

Then, it was said that we are going to have more external assistance in the Fourth Plan than what we had in the Third Plan. That is what my hon. friend the great accountant said.

SHRI S. S. KOTHARI: I can prove it with figures.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: What figures? You must take net figures. What is the use of taking figures where repayments are also to be made. Net figures are very much less; we are going to make it less. We have to go on making repayments in such a manner that our development work does not stop, that the development work goes on and all that. Also, we will be repaying through exports. It is not true there will be more net assistance now from outside in the Fourth Plan than before. That is not true at all. The repayments and things like that are recognised all over the world, not only here. But if my hon. friends only want to find fault, I do not want to deny them the pleasure of it. I will not reply to them. That is all I will say.

Now, I come to the question of wealth tax on agricultural lands. It was said that is not legal. The Attorney-General is coming here tomorrow. I need not say anything. Those things have been circulated. I need not say anything. Let them hear him. That is where I will leave that matter. But I have this much to say, when you say all agriculturists will be involved, that is not true. Now, I have given them extra Rs. 150,000 exemption. Therefore, with Rs. 250,000 exemptions on agricultural land, I do not think any small or middleclass agriculturist can ever come into it. Is it argued that even rich agriculturists should not pay wealth tax or that they should not pay all these taxes? Why should they be differentiated I do not know. Wealth is wealth, whether it is agricultural or not. It is certainly wealth. I do not consider it otherwise.

SHRI RANGA: You do not have a ceiling on urban property.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: Wo take wealth tax from urban property. I have now levied extra wealth tax on urban property. They pay more tax. That was
levied in 1965-66. They are paying an additional wealth tax on urban property. That is also done. We should like to have a progressive thing. That is being done.

SHRI CHENGALRAYA NAIDU: The companies having lands are not paying wealth tax and they are not going to pay the tax now.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: Then I would come to the question which has exercised several of my hon. friends here as well as there, and that is the tax on fertilisers. As I have said earlier, the tax on fertiliser is taken from the people who have benefited by all the expenditure that Government has made on agriculture over all these years. Crores of rupees have been spent for the bencit of agriculturists-not only for their benefit but also for the benefit of the country. There if any money is not going to come out of that, how are we to go on spending more and more money in one sector and deprive all other sectors of it? It is not possible to do so. After all, how much am I taking? I am taking only a small part of the profits which are accruing to the agriculturists from the use of fertilisers. I am taking if with a certain use of fertiliser what is projuced will give him about Rs. 134 more-if he did not use that, he would get Rs. 13.4 less...

SHRI BUTA SINGH (Rupar): Wherc is the guarantee? (Interruption)

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: There is a guarantee. What is the meaning of 'no guarantce'? The rates are high. We have also assured that we will buy when the rates will go down. And out of Rs. 134, he will pay only Rs. 4 as a result of this taxation. I cannot, therefore, call this taxation high or unjustified at all and, therefore, I cannot accept the plea that this should not be done.

SHRI NAMBIAR: You may argue for days and days together, but you cannot justify this. It is high time that you gave it up.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I know, it is not possible for me to convince my hon. friend.

SHRI NAMBIAR: Barring you, all the other 499 members of this House are not convinced on this issue. You ask them to vote secretly and you will know that.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: You will be very happy if the Party behaves like that. That is what you want. But the Party is not going to oblige you.

SHRI NAMBIAR: We want them to produce more. Let us not kill the goose which lays a golden egg.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: It was said that non-developmental expenditure had grown higher than the developmental expenditure. This is what, I thought, was said. I do not know how it was said. Developmental expenditure has grown at a faster rate, at the rate of 42 per cent. The non-developmental expenditure has grown at the rate of 18 per cent. Now what is nondevelopmental expenditure? I have said from time to time that non-developmental expenditure is not such as can be avoided; It includes several items which have not to be done. Therefore, how can there be anything to be done about them? Debt servicing police, tax-collection, audit-how are these things to be avoided? How can any less expenditure be made on these? This go:s on increasing because of the requirements of the situation. Therefore, we cannot do anything about that. I have also said that, in the matter of administration, we are constantly trying to perform economies. Economies are performed. But as things go on, more expenditure is made. Therefore, it is not seen what economies are made. If these economies were not made, there would have been far greater expenditure.

As a matter of fact, it was argued that the income-tax department was not working properly. That is not true. We have brought down the arrears in this year by $8 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs of cases. One-third is gone and the two-third which remains will be finished in the next two years, so that we will have only each year's receipts to be tackled. If this is not an improvement, what else is? We have recovered this year Rs. 30 crores more than last year. That is also what we have done. There are more prosecutions now, and I have seen that in every case where prosecution can be launched, prose-
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cution is being made so that the tax evasion is at least minimised. I cannot say that it will go completely at any time from the life of the society; these things will not disappear completely. We have to reduce it to an irreducible minimum.

The wealth tax penalty is considered to be very high. But I had introduced some penalties last year, heavy penalties, for evasion, but, for late returns which is also a form of evasion to some extent. I had not said anything. This year, therefore, I have brought it in. Why should people give these returns late, I do not know. For marginal cases, therefore, I have provided and have said that the initial exemption should be diducted from the wealth so that it may not be on the whole wealth for which penalty will be charged. That is what I have declared this morning. Therefore, that concession has been made only because of that. But if people do not give the returns in time, why should they not pay penalties. heavy penalties? After all how are we going to stop all these things? Wo can stop them only by putting such penalties, which is not profitable for the persons concerned to evade taxes and not to pay taxes and if the penalty paid is Rs. $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$ the man will not evade it Otherwise he will evade it because he has to pay only Rs. 2,000 . He will evade for 5 years and make up for that. Everybody is not caught everytime. Therefore I have got to make it knowa to them that once they were caught, they will loso everything. Therefore they will not do this. This is the conception on which this has been done. We go on revising our methods and they go on revising their methods. It has to be seen who succeeds. This is the way Government has got to work and this is what the Government is trying to do.

Thank you, Sir.
SHRI JAIPAL SINGH: I have to ask a question.

MR. SPEAKER: There is no time. the question is:
"That the Bill to give effect to the financial proposals of the Central Government for the financial year 1969-70, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopred.

## BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

## Thirty-iffth report

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS, AND SHIPPING AND TRANSPORT (SHRI RAGHU RAMAIAH); I beg to present the Thirty-fifth report of the Business Advisory Committee.

### 18.58 hrs.

## STATEMENT RE: TEMPORARY CENTRAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES WHO PARTICIPATED IN THE STRIKE

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF hOME AFFAIRS (SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA): In my statement made in the House on March 13, 1969, I had indicated that steps would be taken to ensure that the relaxations in regard to temporary employees are implemented fully and expeditiously so that the orders of termination would remain only in case in which there were stronger grounds for action. Doubts have been raised about the exact significance of stronger grounds, on the basis of which the order of termination of such employees could not be revoked, It has, therefore, become necessary to clarify that in regard to discharged temporary employees also, irrespective of whether their services terminated by giving a month's notice or forthwith by payment of pay and allowances in lieu of notice, the grounds on which the orders of termination may not be revoked would be the same as thoso indicated in my statement of March 13 in respect of employees under suspension. In other words except in those cases in which there is a complaint of violence, intimidation or active instigation, the discharged tomporary employees would be permitted to rejoia duty after strict verification in each case in the light of those criteria. The employces so reinstated would continue to be liable to appropriate disciplinary action under the Servico Rules where such action is justified on charges other than for only unauthorised absence from duty or where there is a conviction for an offence other than one under Section 4 of the Essential Services Main.


[^0]:    ** Not recorded.

[^1]:    इन शब्दों के साथ में इस बिल का समर्थन करता हूं ।

    SHRI D.N. PATODIA: (Jalore) Mr. Doputy Speaker, Sir, in the course of the implementation of the planned economy during the last 20 years, the State has assumed larger and wider control over the economic activities of the country. The State has now become the principal instrument to provide

[^2]:    धी मषु लिमஷे: हिम्मतर्तिस जी कम्गुनिस्ट पार्टी के नहीं हैं, इन्दिरा गुट के सदस्य हैं।

