[श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री]

रैज्ञन फंट की गतिविधियों के मामलों में पुर्णतया सावधान है। अब पहले तो सब से बडी दु:ख की बात यह है कि लिबरेशन फंट के नाम से जी जम्मू, काश्मीर में सझस्त्र विद्रोह की तैयारी विशेष कर पाकिस्तान से लगते हए क्षेत्र में की जा रही है इससे बड़े दु:स्त की बात धौर कोई नहीं हो सकती कि उसकी सचना सब से पहले लंदन टाइम्स के संबाददाता से हम को मिली, जब कि यह काम भारत सरकार का या जिस के लिए गृहमन्त्री कह रहे हैं कि केन्द्रीय सरकार भी सचेष्ट है धौर राज्य सर-कार भी सचेष्ट है। दूसरी सब से बड़ी बात यह है कि केन्द्रीय सरकार ने इस प्रकार की एक सचेष्टताका परिचय तब दिया था जब कहा या कि चसपैठिये 200 या 250 से ज्यादा नहीं हैं. लेकिन बाद में इसी केन्द्रीय सरकार ने कहा कि 8 या 10 हजार की संख्या में धुस-पैठिये मा गये हैं, भीर वह बात मागे अल कर भारत-पाकिस्तान संघर्ष में परिखत हुई। मैं मंत्री जी से जानना चाहता हं कि क्या यह बात सत्य है कि लिबरेशन फ्रांट की जो गतिविधियां सशस्त्र विद्रोह के नाम पर चल रही है, विशेष-कर जो पाकिस्तान से लगते हुए क्षेत्रों तथा काश्मीर वैली में चल रही हैं, वह शेख प्रन्दुल्ला के जेल से छूटने के बाद विशेष रूप से बढ़ी है, धौर क्या यह भी सही है कि शेख अब्दुल्ला इस प्रकार की गतिविधियों को किसी प्रकार से प्रत्यक्ष या परीक्ष रूप से भ्रपना समर्थन दे रहे ***** ?

मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या यह भी सही है कि अभी जब रूस के प्रधान मन्त्री श्री कोसीजिन की पाकिस्तान यात्रा हुई थी श्रीर वहां से मास्को जाते हुए जब वह भारत होकर लौटे तब उन्होंने पाकिस्तान सरकार का कोई प्रस्ताव काश्मीर के सम्बन्ध में भारत सरकार के प्रधान मन्त्री या भारत सरकार को दिया, भीर इस तरह से धन्दरसाना जो बात-चीत स्व रही है उसको सरकार छिपाना चाहती है

ताकि जिस समय ताड़-फोड़ की घटन।यें घटे उस समय सरकार प्रपनी प्रसमर्थता का बहाना लेकर बैठ जाय भीर इस प्रकार से जिस चीज पर प्रपत्तों रूपया देश का लग चुका है और हजारों सिपाही भ्रपना बिलदान कर चुके हैं उसको देश को प्रपने हाथों से खोना पड़े, कहीं ऐसी स्थित तो नहीं है ?

SHRI Y. B. CHAVAN: It is not true that we only know about it from London Times as to what is happening on the other side. Are we expected to go and publicise in newspapers? I do not understand what is expected. As I said, we are aware and Pakistan sometimes makes use of different names on different occasions. The only thing is we have to take note of this and take necessary steps. Naturally, we cannot go on advertising what steps we are taking in the matter. This is what I can say about the particular matters concerned.

About Sheikh Abdullah, I have no evidence to say he is connected with subversive activities. As long as I have no evidence, I cannot make charges like that.

SHRI PRAKASH VIR SHASTRI; What about Mr. Kosygin?

SHRI Y. B. CHAVAN: Mr. Kosygin has nothing to do with this matter.

12.23 brs.

QUESTION OF PRIVILEGE AGAINST

Maharashtra Times, Bombay

SHRI D. N. PATODIA (Jalore): Mr. Speaker, Sir, under Rule 225 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Lok Sabha, I seek the leave of the House to raise the question of privilege on the following matter.

The Maharashtra Times, a Marathi daily published from Bombay, carried a report in its issue of 3rd May, 1968 which \$401, inter alia:

"Shri Paranjpe visited this area on

Monday and collected information about the activities of Father Ferrer. He said that he has detailed information about how much money Father Ferrer has paid to some of the MPs to help him to get the extension order. He also said that he is going to inform the President of India about this and demand that the President should look into the activities of these Members of Parliament."

This report refers to two months' extension granted to Father Ferrer to stay in India as announced by the hon. Home Minister in Parliament on 22nd April, 1968.

Several Members of Parliament belonging to various parties had made representations both to the Prime Minister and the Home Minister. The allegation that some Members of Parliament took cash from Father Ferrer to help him to get the extension order is not only libellous but also a breach of privilege and contempt of this House. In violating the privilege of the Members of this House the Editor, the Publisher and the Proprietor of the Maharashtra Times have conspired with Mr. B. V. Pranipe by prominently publishing this news.

I, therefore, request that the matter be referred to the Privilleges Committee for detailed investigation in respect of all these persons and report by the beginning of the next session of the Lok Sabha.

MR. SPEAKER: I do not think there is any difference of opinion on this. It is a very serious allegation. I think there need be no further discussion. We straightway refer it to the Privileges Committee so that the Committee may look into it. All sides of the House are accepting it.

RE. QUESTION OF PRIVILEGE.

SHRI DHIRESWAR KALITA rose -

MR. SPEAKER: Normally, either privilege issue or something is raised in the House. I am allowing it. A number of Members raised about this that the matter should be referred to the Privileges Committee. This was referred to me day

before yesterday. Yesterday, I satisfied myself that there is really some substance in it and today I allowed it.

Now, here is a friend who writes to me just now, at 12.05 hrs., saying, "I want to raise a matter of privilege at the zero hour". Where is the zero hour? Let the Rules be amended. Let us have the zero hour. You will be precise and have it. I want to only say, Mr. Kalita, that you can certainly come and tell me. At least you should have taken the trouble of telling me at 10-30 A.M., not at 12-05 hrs., just now. You write to me. Tomorrow, let us see if there is anything. I shall certainly regularise it. The hon. Members have a right to raise it. All that I want is to regularise it. That is all.

SHRI DHIRESWAR KALITA (Gsubati): Jüst one minute.

MR. SPEAKER: No please; not even one minute. You write to me, not at 12.05 hrs, a little earlier so that I can consider it.

12.27 hrs.

PAPERS LAND ON THE TABLE

Annual Report of the Hindustan Cables Limited and Government Review thereon

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOP-MENT AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI RAGHUNATH REDDI): On behalf of Shri F. A. Ahmed, 1 beg to lay on the Table a copy each of the following papers under sub-section (1) of section 619A of the Companies Act, 1956:—

- (1) Review by the Government on the working of the Hindustan Cables Lizaited, for the year 1966-67. [Placed in Library. See, No. LT-1198/66].
- (2) Annual Report of the Hindustan Cables Limited, for the year 1966-67 afong with the Audited Accounts and the commonus of the Comptroller and Auditor General thereon. [Placed in Library. See. No. LT-1198/68].