[Mr. Speaker]

afraid, we are going astray completely.... (Interruption). You need not read the Constitution.

Shri E. K. Nayanar: The Constitution says:

"If the President, on receipt of a report from the Governor of a State or otherwise, is satisfied that a situation has arisen in which the government of the State cannot be carried on in accordance with the provisions of this Constltution, the President may by Proclamation ... ".

If there is a law and order problem, it is for the Governor to report.... (Interruption). Why should the Home Minister instigate the police? I want a categorical reply from him

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterjee: On a point of order, Sir. The General Secretary of the Communist Party, Marxist, Mr. Sundarayya made a statement in Darjeeling not about the Railways but about the gherao.... (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: There is no point of order.

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterjee: He said that it is certainly political motive which is originating a gherao in different States and he warned the State Ministers that it is merely law and order question (Interruptions).

Shri Nambiar: I want to know whether there is any connection between his bandage and the gherao? (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: It is a railway accident; it has nothing to do with the gherao . . . (Interruptions) .

An hon. Member: On a point of order, Bir.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Everylody is raising a point of order which has no bearing on the subject. This will lead to confusion . . . (Interrup-

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatteries: He said that gheraos are for political purposes and, therefore, the hon. Member is not in order when he says it is a law and order question and should be left to the State concerned. (Interruption).

Shri Y. B. Chavan: There is nothing about the constitutional aspect. The hon. Member went on saying that I instigated the police. That is a very absurd statement.

Shri K. M. Abraham (Kottayam): The reality is this. When the Home Minister went to Calcutta, in the Conference and to the press, he denounced the gherao form of struggle. The gherao form of struggle is a lawful form of struggle as strike or satyagrah or picketing. But by denouncing this form of struggle, he is rallying the managements behind him and also instigating the police to act against the Government. Is he intending thereby to start a liberation struggle as was done in 1959 in Kerala?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I think, I have answered most of the points. The other point is a hypothetical question.

Mr. Speaker: That is all. We now go to the next item. Shri Hardayal Devgun.

24.49 hrs.

MATTER UNDER RULE 377 RE. ALLEGATIONS AGAINST MINIS-TERS BEING IN PAY OF THE BIRLAS

Shri H. N. Mukerice (Calcutta North-East): Sir, before you proceed, may I make a submission? I had given notice under Rule 377 regarding the report that a member or more of the Cabinet is in the pay-roll of the Birla house. A great deal of comment has already been made on the report that appeared in the papers.

I431 Matter under JYAISTHA 8, 1889 (SAKA) Privileges Comm.'s 1432 First Report (M.)

Mr. Speaker: I have seen it. Mr. Madhu Limaye also wrote to me yesterday or day before yesterday. Some other Members also—I do not remember all the names of other Members—have given notice of adjournment motions and so many other things to find out whether anything has been done, as to who is in the pay-roll of Birlas and all that. I cannot give a decision off-hand. I am allowing a Call Attention Notice tomorrow and, I think, they will be able to give some information.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: We have the right to have a full-fledged discussion.

Mr. Speaker: That is a different matter. If you want to have a full-fledged discussion, you will have to adopt some other method. The Call Attention Notice gives only the privilege of putting a question to a few Members. I can call only those whose names have been put on the list. But, for the present, I have admitted it as a call-attention because it cannot be a privilege motion.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: My submission is....

Mr. Speaker: It is now done, Tomorrow, we shall discuss, not now, I have called Mr. Devgun.

भी मधु लिसमे (मुगैर) : मैं प्रिविलेज के बारे में मर्ज कर रहा हूं।

Mr. Speaker: I would not allow anything on that subject. That is a subject which I have taken a decision now.

भी सम् लिस्ये : ध्यानाक्वंण-प्रस्ताव तो अलग् बात है न ।

Mr. Speaker: He can discuss in the chamber, not here.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): I have another submission to make. I am not saying anything about that 426 (Ai) LS-5. call-attention; this has nothing to do with that call-attention. My submission is that you agreed in this House.

Mr. Speaker: I have called Mr. Devgun and he is on his legs, How can Mr. Banerjee say now that he wants to raise something else?

Mr. Devgun.

12.52 brs.

MOTION RE FIRST REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF PRIVILEGES

भी हरक्यात्र देवगुण (पुर्व दिल्ली) : ग्रध्यक्ष महोतय, श्रीमत्, मै श्रस्ताव करता ह—

ंकि विशेषाधिकार मिनित के पहले प्रतिबेदन पर, जो 12 मई, 1957 को समा में पेण किया गया था, विचार किया जाये।"

Mr. Speaker: Just a minute. Only half an hour is allowed for this. We should finish it within half an hour—ten minutes now and about fifteen minutes after Lunch.

भी हरबयास बेरगुण : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, जैसाकि प्राप की प्रीप इस सदन को सालूस है, इस सदन के एक साननीय सदस्य स्वामी प्राप्तानस्य जी, को : प्रजैल को संसद् भवन के सामने गिरफ्तार किया गया, परन्तु उस की सूचना जिला घषिकारियों ने पाप को बीर इस सदन को नहीं दी ! सदन के नियस 229 घीर 230 के घनुसार इस बारे में इस सदन को बीर प्रत्य को इस की सूचना मिलनी चाहिए थी, परन्तु यहां के जिला धर्मिकारी इस कर्लस्य में प्रमफ्त रहे ! इसलिए र प्रमिन को यहां पर विशेषाधिकार का प्रशा उठायों गया।

उस भवसर पर वस्तव्य देते हुए गृह-मंबी जी ने कहा कि स्वामी भी को गिरफ्तार नहीं किया गया, बस्कि वह स्वयं गिरफ्तार हुए। उन्होंने यह भी कहा कि वह वो बस्तब्य वै