श्री वस लियसे: भाप हमारी बात सुन शींजिये अपने चेम्बर में इन दोंनो मिनिस्टरों भौर धपोजीसन वालों को बला लीजिये।

स्रध्यक्ष महोदय : बीसियों बातों की यहां डील करना पड़ रहा है, अब आप चाहते है कि एक कोर्ट धन्दर लगा लुं मेरे को तो यही बरदास्त करना मृश्किल है।

श्री मध लिमधे: ग्राप ग्रक्मर चैम्बर में बुलाते है चुकि यह मामला सरकार के लिये एक्बरेसिंग है, इम लिये नहीं बुलाना चाहते हैं।

MR. SPEAKER: I cannot accept that position.

श्री मधु लिमये: भ्राखिर चेयर का फंक्शन क्या है ? चेयर सदन के ब्रधिकारों की रक्षा करता है।

The minister has told a blatant lie. a white lie.

ऐसा लगता है। कि भ्राप उन को बरी कर रहे हैं। धाष्यक्ष महोदय: इस काम को मैं नही करुंगा

श्री मध् लिमये: ग्राप नही करेंगे तो कौन करेगा यह सदन के विषेषाधिकारो का मामला है। चलिये प्राप को बरी कर दिया। गोखले साहब , धाप बड़े भाग्यवान है।

ध्राप्यक्ष महोदय : ग्राप बरी करने वाले कीन है?

STATEMENT BY MEMBER RE: REPLY TO SUPPLEMENTARY ON S. Q. NO. 225 ABOUT PAYMENT TO RAILWAY EMPLOYEES FOR THE STRIKE PERIOD

SHRIMATI PARVATHI KRISHNAN (Colmbatore): Mr. Speaker, Sir, On 6th August 1974 while replying to a supplementary arising out of starred question No. 225, Shri Mohd. Shafi Qureshi gave an answer which is contrary to facts.

My supplementary question was as follows:

"Sir, I would like to know from the Honourable Minister, of these reinstated cases, how many have been demoted ..?".

In his reply, the Minister stated that..... "All appeals are being decided on merits. All these people have been taken back on the original posts There has been no case of demotion so far."

I have, with me, Sir, documents to prove that the Minister was not giving correct information in his reply. To quote only one example, on 16th July 1974, that is three weeks before the Minister gave his reply, the following order was served on one Shri J. P. Srivastava, an employee in the Allahabad Division of the Northern Railway:

"Letter No. 230. Elect RSO Conf ZZ 74 (Appeal 127A 1974, dt. 16.7.74)

From: DP.O. N. Rly., Ald to Sr. J. P. Srivastava c'o T. F. R. Ald.

The Divl. Supdt. has considered your appeal quoted above in terms of Rules 1968 and passed the following order which may please be noted.

He was an active participant in the strike. He even gave press statement exhorting people to go on strike. We may take him back but revert him as fireman Gr. C. permanently break in service to be enforced.

You are accordingly directed to report to Loco Foreman, Ald. to take up your duty as fireman Gr. C. immediately. The intervening period between the date of your dismissal from service and date of reinstatement would be treated as break in service for all purposes."

55 St. re. supplementary SEPTEMBER 2, 1974 St. re. supplementary on S.Q. re. rly. employees on S.Q. re. rly. employees

[Smt. Parvathi Krishnan]

From this order which is a sample of many such orders it is quite clear that the Minister has misinformed the House and is himself ill informed of what is happening in his Ministry in respect of victimisation cases. Petty officials are using powers given to them to harass and humiliate the railway workers and this nodes ill for the future of normal working of the railways.

I request that the Minister be asked to correct his reply.

MR. SPEAKER: Shri Qureshi.

ahri Jyotirmoy Bosu (Diamond Harbour): On a point of order. Shri Lalit Naram Mishra is sitting at the back of the House. His name is on the list. Why should the Deputy Minister reply? This is very unusual. A cording to the list of business, Shri L. N. Mishra is to make the reply. But he is sitting at the back relaxing

Mr. SPEAKER: She has mentioned Shrı Qureshi's name.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Your direction is that if the Minister is in the House, he should reply.

श्रम्यक्ष महोत्यः श्रगर दोनो इक्ट्रेबैट गए तो इनको तसल्ली हो गई। श्रगर पै.छे बैठे ये तो इनको नसल्ली नही थं।।

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: In the list of business, Shri L. N. Mishra is to make the statement. He is here in the House.

श्राध्यक्ष महोदय: यह मवाल तो कुरैशी साहव का है।

SHRIMATI PARVATHI KRISHNAN: Shri Shafi Qureshi gave the original reply.

MR. SPEAKER: Any other Minister can also give the reply.

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI MOHD, SHAFI QURESHI): During

the course of supplementaries to Starred Question No. 225 on 6th August, 1974, Smt. Parvathi Krishnan wanted to know the number of railway employees who have been demoted on their reinstatement. While replying I have conveyed the information that generally all these people have been taken back on the original posts, because to my knowledge at that time nobody had been demoted while being taken back.

I have subsequently checked up the position and I find that generally all staff have been taken back in their original designations without any demotion to a lower post. There has been one case on the South Central Railway where a Naik who was in the revised scale of Rs. 200-240, has been taken back as a Peon in the scale of Rs. 196-232. On the Southern Eastern Railway four Foremen Grade 'A', who were officiating in an ad hoc capacity, that is purely as a stop-gap measure, since they were not duly selected for the higher grade, were taken back as Foremen Grade 'B', which was their position before their ad hoc promo-

As regards the case referred to by Smt. Parvathi Krishnan the facts are that Shri J. P. Srivastava, Asstt. Driver (Electric) was removed from service on 15th May 1974. On his appeal, he was taken back as Fireman on 16th July, 1974. He can again represent to the appropriate authority, when the matter will again be considered.

I had while replying Smt. Parvathi Krishnan mentioned clearly that all appeals are being decided on merits. On scrutiny of the appeals when it is found hat the offence committed by the employee can be viewed leniently any of the lesser punishment provided for in the Discipline and Appeal Rules is given and the employee is put back to duty.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE (Rajapur): After the statement it has become clearer that the facts referred to by Mrs. Parvathi Krishnan are correct. There is not only one order; there are many orders where reinstated workers have been demoted. This is a new form of victimisation. (Interruptions).

MR. SPEAKER: You cannot ask for clarifications now.

12.32 hrs.

INTEREST-TAX BILL*

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI K. R GANESH): I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill to impose a special tax on interest in certain cases.

MR SPEAKER: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill to impose a special tax on interest in certain cases".

The motion was adopted.

SHRI K. R. GANESH: Sir, I introducet the Bill.

12.33 hrs.

SICK TEXTILE UNDERTAKINGS (NATIONALISATION) BILL*

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AND SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY AND AGRICULTURE (SHRI C. SUBRAMANIAM): I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill to provide for the acquisition and transfer of the right, title and interest of the owners in respect of the sick textile undertakings specified in the First Schedule with a view to reorganising and rehabilitating such sick textile undertakings so as to subserve the interests of the general public by augmentation of the production and disribution, at fair prices, of different varieties of cloth and yarn, and for matters connected therewith or incldental thereto.

श्री सथु लिसपे (वाका): घष्टपक्ष महोदय एक लम्बे धर्मे के बाद यह विधेयक हमारे सामने धाया है, भीर इस पर मुझे खुशी है लेकिन इन की जो मुधाबजे की योजना है यह मेरी राय मे ठीक नही है, धौर इसलिये मे उस हिस्से का विरोध करना चाहता हू। वह उस का समर्थन किन कारणों को लेकर करना चाहते हैं इस का ध्रगर मदन के मामने विवरण और स्पष्टीकरण देगे तो मेहरवानी होगी।

SHRI C SUBRAMANIAM: I do not know whether at this stage I should go into the merits of compensation, etc. When the Bill comes up for consideration, I shall explain.

श्री मथु लिमये : यह थोडे ही है। जब सिद्धान्तों के सवाल उठाए जाते है तो जबाब ग्राना चाहिये। मैंने केवल एक सिद्धान्त का मवाल उठाया ।

भ्रष्यक्ष महोदय: जब प्रन्मिपत्स हाफ दी बिल कसीडरेशन स्टेज में जाते हैं तो उस समय जबाब दे सकते हैं। लेकिन भ्रगर कोई लेजिस्ले-टिव कम्पीटैंस या कांस्टीट्यूशनल भ्रमेडमेट है तब तो भ्राप जबाब भ्रभी माग मकते थे।

श्री मधु लिमये ग्रध्वक्ष महोदय, दोनों कारणो को ने कर विरोध किया जाता है। मैं स्पष्टीकरण चाहता हूं कि किन सिद्धान्तो के ब्राधार पर उन्होंने कम्पेन्सेक्षन की योजना बनायी है।

द्धाध्यक्ष महोदय: यह तो कंसीडरेशन स्टेज पर बतायेगे।

श्री सभु लिससे : झगर नहीं झाना है तो इस को असीलिश कर दीजिए।

^{*}Published in Gazette of India Extraordinary, Part II, Section 2, dated 2nd September, 1974.

†Introduced with the recommendation of the President.*