MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That clause 2 stands part of the Bill,"

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

Clause 3—(Prevention of singing of Indian National Anthem, etc.)

SHRI RAMAVATAR SHASTRI: I beg to move:

Page 2, line 18,-

for "three" substitute "two" (7)

MR. SPEAKER: I will now put amendment No. 7 by Shri Shastri to the vote of the House.

Amendment No. 7 was put and negatived

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That clauses 3, 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clause 3, Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRI MOHSIN: I beg to move:

"That the Bill be passed."

MR. SPEAKER: Motion moved:

"That the Bill be passed."

श्री रामावतार झास्त्री: अध्यक्ष महोदय, सभी मंत्री महोदय ने कहा कि यह बिल जब कानून बन जायगा तो इस का गलत इस्तेमाल नहीं होगा। मैं यही निवेदन करना चाहता हू कि हमें संदेह अभी भी है। आपने कहा लेकिन आप तो उसको इस्तेमाल में लाएंगे नहीं। आप की ब्यूरोकेसी इस्तेमाल में लाएंगे नहीं। आप की ब्यूरोकेसी इस्तेमाल में लाएंगे। तो उन पर आप ठीक से चाबुक रिकए ताकि अगर हम ईमानदारी के साथ विधान की जालेचना करें या विधान से परिवर्तन करने की बात कहें तो वह गुनाह न माना जाय। जो लोग इन की इस्तेमाल में लाएंगे उन से हमें खतरा है।

आप से तो खतरा अभी नहीं हैं। उन्हीं से बड़ा खतरा है। आप की जो ब्यूरोकेसी है उसी से ज्यादा खतरा हैं तो इस का ध्यान मन्नो महोदय रखेंगें। कम से कम उन को वह आदेश तो दें कि जो सहं। तरीके से ईमानदारी से कोई विचार रखना चाहे तो उसे रखने दिया जाय और वह कटेम्प्ट न माना जाय। न उसे हेंट्रेड माना जाय यही मेरा निवेदन है, इम बात का मंत्री महोदय ध्यान रखें और इम प्रकार का आदेश जकर हैं।

SHRI MOHSIN: In this respect I would again say that any misupprehension about the misuse of the flag are unfounded. Suitable instructions will be issued to see that the provisions of the Bill are not misused. At the same time, I would also appeal to the members on that side to see that only peaceful, legal means are adopted and not such steps as would create hatred or contempt.

SHRI DINEN BHATTACHARYYA (Scrampore): You have to see that the State flag is not misused. Even that day when there was a Congress demonstration 1 have seen so many people using that flag.

MR. SPEAKER: Kindly, do not misuse the time of the House by speaking without my permission. Now the question is:

"That the Bill be passed"

The motion was adopted.

13,30 hrs.

PREVENTION OF FOOD ADULTERA-TION (AMENDMEN F) BILL

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY PLANNING (SHRI D. P. CHATTOPA-DHYAYA): I beg to move:

"That the Bill further to amend the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954, be taken into consideration'.

This is a non-controversial Bill.

[Shri D. P. Chattopadhyaya] 13-30 hrs.

[MR. DEPUTY SPFAKER in the Chair]

Before 1954 almost every State had its own food laws but the problem was at that time there was not any uniformity in the food laws prevalent in different States. Consequently it was decided upon in 1954 that there should be a uniform food legislation throughout the country and as a consequence of that the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954 was passed by the Parliament and it provided laws and inspection and other methods emuring the detection of sub-standard food and punishment of the people responsible for manufacturing, preserving and selling that sort of food. But from subsequent experience it was found that the preventive and the penal measures provided in the Bill are not proving sufficiently deterrent to deter some of the unscrupulous manufacturers, suppliers and sellers and, therefore, for plugging the loopholes of the said Act it was amended in 1964. But at that time there was the gap, Sir. The Act was not applicable to Jammu and Kashmir and, therefore, it was decided that there should be some amendment of the Act so that it could be applied to the Jammu and Kashmir State as well. As you know, Sir, it has been provided in the Seventh Schedule, Entry No. XVIII of of the Constitution that when there was a necessity for application or extension of this Bill to that State concurrence of this House is necessary and, therefore, this small piece of legislation has been brought before the House so that it could be applied to that State as well. In this connection I would like to submit that on this proposed piece of legislation the State Government of Jammu and Kashmir was consulted. That State Government has gone through the proposal and they have agreed to the introduction and passing of this piece of legislation and it is in pursuance of that view and other circumstances referred to before that we are bringing it now before this House for its assent.

With these words I move that the Bill be taken into consideration.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Motion moved:

"That the Bill further to amend the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954, be taken into consideration." SHRI GADADHAR SAHA (Birbhum): Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, the objective of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Amendment Bill, 1971, is to extend the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act of 1954 to the State of Jammu and Kashmir and to prevent the manufacture and sale of adulterated food there thereby to protect the general public health.

So far as the objective of this Bill is concerned I support this Bill but so far as the principal Act and its working is concerned what I should mention is that the very purpose of the principal Act has suffered and has been defeated. Food adulteration has neither been prevented nor reduced as yet.

On the contrary, the magnitude of the adulteration of food and medicines is most disturbing. What is most terrifying to us today is the alarming proportion and extent to which adulteration has grown. Food adulteration has become today our nation's enemy number one and affects very adversely the general public health.

Why does this sort of thing happen throughout our country?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: We are dealing with a very limited thing. It is only about the extension of the Act to Jammu and Kashmir.

SHRI GADADHAR SAHA: What I say is connected with this Act.

This is because the principal Act itself is very defective in many respects and contains many loopholes. Firstly, the means to achieve the very noble end are very inadequate and, secondly many companies and industries have got licences in the name of other persons and their employees. These persons, who are not really guilty of the crime, are caught and punished while the real criminals escape. The licensing policy of the Government, therefore, needs to be thoroughly changed and Government should be bold, honest, impartial and careful in issuing licences.

Under the provisions of the principal Act, the authorised persons can pass a sentence of payment of fine starting with the highest limit of Rs. 2,000 down to Rs. 500 to the lowest limit of Rs. 100, and to imprisonment which varies from the maximum term of two years down through six months to the minimum term of one month.

Prevention of

So far as the fine is concerned, the value of money today, in comparison with the value of money in 1954, has fallen by more than 50 per cent and, therefore, the amount of fine should be increased accordingly considerably. So far as the term of imprisonment is concerned, it is too light in relation to the crime, the nature and motive of the offence. Therefore, the term of punishment should be extended.

The number of inspection staff and testing laboratories should also be increased. The Principal Act, the extension of which to the State of Jammu and Kashmir I support, should undergo such amendment in this respect. Then and then only the purpose of the Act can be served, otherwise not.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Before I call the next speaker I would like to draw the attention of the House that the scope of the Bill is confined to the question of extending the Act to Jammu and Kashmir. The various defects and short-comings of the principal Act can be brought in on a separate motion either to amend the Act or to discuss it. Let us not go into that at this stage.

SHRI D. K. PANDA (Bhanjanagar): If we find there are innumerable defects in the Act, we want to refer to them...

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: For that, you bring a separate motion.

SHRI D. K. PANDA: The main culprits, the manufacturers, etc. are being let off, and there are many defects in the Act...

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: If you feel all that, then you bring a separate motion to discuss the principal Act, the shortcomings and all that, and to amend the provisions of the Act. Here, the scope is very limited. Only 1 hour has been allotted for it. If you take this opportunity to discuss all that, you require much more time. Let us now confine ourselves to the scope of this Bill.

SHRI D. K. PANDA: If by suggesting certain precautionary measures, we can improve it, that will improve the application of the Act.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: For that, you bring a separate motion. The scope of this Bill is very much limited. I request you to confine yourself to the scope of the Bill.

Shri Vidyalankar.

SHRI A. N. VIDYALANKAR (Chandigarh): Mr. Deputy Speaker, as you rightly pointed out, the scope of the Bill is very much limited. Therefore, the merits of the original Act need not be discussed here.

In the Statement of Objects and Reasons, it has been stated that this is to secure uniform application of the Act. The purpose of the Bill is that the Act, as it is should be applied in Jammu and Kashmit in the same way and in the same manner, as it applied to the rest of India. I do not want to discuss the merits of the original Act. But I do want to point out that if the excultion and implementation of this Act is to be done in the same way and in the same manner in Jaminu and Kashmir, as else where in India hitherto. I think, the people will not be satisfied. This Act will not be a boon to the people of Kashmir but it will create difficulties. I am totally in favour of extending the Bill to Jammu and Kashmir. But I would like to say that its execution in Jammu and Kashmir and in the rest of India should be much more improved.

At present, the enforcement of this Act is practically negligible. Every where, you see, in the open market, everything that is sold is adulterated. There is hardly any article which is not adulterated. The people have succumbed to the practice. They feel there is no remedy at all. Nobody knows that there is any law in this respect. Everybody reconciles to things as they are. The people feel as if there is no remedy for this. Your enforcement is very weak; your enforcement is weak and inefficient. Unless you improve your execution, this Act will not benefit the people of Jammu and Kashmır.

The Inspectorate is most dishonest; the Inspectorate is most inefficient. The people are harassed. Inspectors take bribes. That is what is happening every where. Therefore, I request the hon. Minister to see that the execution and enforcement of law is improved.

One defect in the enforcement of the law is that the Inspectorate do not catch hold of real

[Shri A. N. Vidyalankar]

culprits, the manufacturers and so on. Sometimes, you see, there are packed closed packets, sealed packets, that are sold in the market and they are found adulterated. They catch hold of only a small shop-keeper or a small retailer in order to display their activities and the petty retailer challaned. That way, they can show that very large number of persons were challaned. But really the person challaned is innocent. They do not touch the manufacturer, the person, who has closed and sealed the packets. I know of a case where oil was being sold. There were manufacturers and oil mill owners who supplied the oil. The oil was found adulterated. The poor shop-keepers were challaned. They were accused. "You are selling these adulterated things." Of course, the sale is illegal. But you should enquire who was the manufacturer, who was the packer, and where these packets originated. You do not touch them.

Prevention of

Now, this Act extends to the whole of India. An article is manufactured, say, in Bombay and sold in Delhi. You should catch hold of the manufacturer, say, in Bombay, who indulges in adulteration even if his articles, packets, are sold in Delhi or Chandigarh or any where else. Another example is powdered "garam masalas..."

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: You started by saying that you will not discuss the merits of the Bill ...

SHRI A. N. VIDYALANKAR: I am discussing the execution of the Bill.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Now you are discussing the details of different food-stuffs, garam masalas and all that. Kindly confine yourself to the scope of the Bill which is very much limited.

SHRI A. N. VIDYALANKAR: This Act is not being properly executed. The manner in which it is being executed is insatisfactory. I would request the hon. Minister to improve its application. While I support this Bill which is behig extended to Jammu and Kashmir, its application should be improved and these defects of not catching hold of the real culprits should be removed. They catch hold of only small people, small fish, and not the real culprits. That should be stopped. The real culprits should be caught hold of and punished.

भी इसहाक सम्मली (अमरोहा): डिप्टी स्पीकर साहब, यह बिल जो लाया गया है मैं उमको बेलकम करता हं। बहुत अच्छा किया गया कि इसको जम्मू कश्मीर में भी बढ़ाया गया। हम भी चाहते है कि जम्मू कश्मीर और बाकी हिन्दुस्तान की हालत ऐसी हो कि सही मानों में हम समझें कि कश्मीर हमारा है लेकिन वह हमारे जनसंघी भाई या दूसरे रिएक्शनरीज के लफ्जों में नहीं कि कश्मीर हमारा है बिलक ऐसी सिचएशन और ऐसा एटमास्फियर बनना चाहिए जिसमे हम समझें कि कश्मीर हमारा है और कश्मार समझे कि हिन्द्रस्तान हमारा है । इसकी बहुत जरूरत है और मैं समझता हूं इस बिल के जरिये अगर हम इसमें कूछ भी अने बढ़ेती हमारे लिये बहुत बड़ी चीज होगी हालांकि मुझे अन्देशा यह है कि गिजाई मिलावट के सिलमिले में जो हिन्दुम्तान में बेइन्तहा भ्रष्टाचार और रिइवत-खोरी फैली हुई है कहीं इस बिल के जरिये जम्मू कश्मीर में भी उस भ्रष्टाचार और रिश्वनखोरी को तो नहीं ले जा रहे हैं? यहां देखने में आता है कि बड़ी बड़ी कम्पनियां मिलावट करती हैं। कौन नहीं जानता. अखबारों में भी आया कि केवेन्टर्स कम्पनी ने मिलावट की । मैं जानना चाहता हं कि केवेन्टर्स कम्पनी में जरिए दुव और मक्खन में मिलावट करने की जो खबरें अखबारों में आई उस पर नया गवर्नमेंट ने उस कम्पनी का लाइसेन्स सस्पेन्ड किया? कैंसिल करना तो दूर को बात है, क्या सरकार ने उस कम्पनी के लाइसेन्स को सस्पेन्ड भी किया है ? इसलिये हमें डर है कि कहीं यह करप्त्रन वहां पर भी न पहुंचे। मेरे दोस्त विद्यालं कार जी ने जो कहा उमसे में एग्री करता हं, मैं इसकी तफसोल में नहीं जाना चाहता लेकिन इतना जरूर कहंगा कि हम देलते हैं कि बड़ी बड़ी कम्पनियों में जो सामान तैयार होता है उन पर कोई ऐकान नहीं लिया जाता । वहां पर शायद कोई इंस्पेक्शन भी नहीं है। एक ही काम है, मुझे मालम है कि इस्पेक्टर्स या सरकारी अधिकारियों

के लिये एलाउन्स कहिए, ननस्वाह कहिए, या इल्लीगल हक कहिए-वह मुकरेर हो जाना हैं। दूध, घीया दूसरी चीजों में मिलावट के लिए अगर कोई पकडे जाते है तो वह छोटे दूकानदार ही होते हैं। जा जितना ही छोटा दुकानदार होता है वह उनना ही ज्यादा पकड़ा जाता है। जैसा माननीय विद्यालकार जी ने कहा जो इम्प्लीमेंटेशन इसका अब तक रहा है, मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि वह निहायत अफसोसनाक और शर्मनाक रहा है। अगर इसी तरह पर रहातों मैं समझता हं कि इसे कहीं दूसरी जगह ले जाना बेकार होगा।

आज भी हमारी सरकार मोनोपलिस्ट्स के हाथों मे घिरी हुई है और निकल नहीं पा रही है। लोगो की तन्दुरुस्ती में खेला जा रहा है, जिन्दिगियों से खेला जा रहा है मिलावट के जरिये।

जनाब डिप्टी स्पीकर साहब, यहां पर एक नुमाइश हुई थी, आप ने भी देखी होगी, उसमे बतलाया गया था कि क्या-क्या चीजें मिलायी जाती है । लेकिन उस नुमाइश के बाद भी मुझे नहीं मालूम कोई मजबूत कदम उठाने के लिये डिपार्टमेंट ने कोई कार्यवाही की हो, कोई भी मजबूत कदम उठाने के लिये यहा पर कोई बिल लाया गया हो । हमें खुशी होगी अडल्ट्रेशन करने वालों के लिये सस्त से सस्त सजा रखी जाय। हमें उम्मोद है कि यह सदन उसको जरूर मन्जूर करेगा। लेकिन अगर इसी तरह रहा और इसी तरह इंस्पेक्टर्स और दूसरे अधिकारियों की रिश्वत जारी रही और कोई कार्यवाही न हुई तो मैं समझता हं कि जम्मू कश्मीर मे भी इसको बढ़ाना कोई फायदे-मन्द और हमारे लिये कोई इंसाफ और शुक्रिये का सबब नहीं होगा। बल्कि वहां पर भी यह रिश्वतस्तोरी और ज्यादा पहुंचेगी।

इसलिये इसको हिन्दुस्तान के सौरे हिस्सों में लागू किया जाय और साथ ही इसको निहायत ऐफीशियेंटली लागू किया जाय ताकि अपने मुल्क से मिलाबट की लानत को खत्म

कर सकें। दूसरे मुल्कों मे तो मिलावट के लिये मजाये मौत दी जाती है। लेकिन हमारे यहां रिश्वत ली जाती है। इसलिये जो इसके इम्प्लीमेंटेशन में खामियां रही हैं, उनको दूर किया जाय और साथ ही जम्मू-कश्मीर में लागू किया जाय।

[نشری اسحاق متبهلی (امروبهه) "دیثی اسپیکرصاحب. پہل جولا اُگیا ہے ۔ ہم اس کو وہل کم کرتے ہیں ۔ بہت اجھا مَيْ كَيْ كُورَا سَ كُوجُول وُمُتمير مين تَجي برُها ياكيا - بم بعن ما ينت میں رحبوں وکشمیراور باتی مندوستان کی حالت الیبی مبوکر عنج معنوں میں ہم سمجھیں ککتمیر ہاراہ سکن دہ ہارے جن تھی عبائی یادوسرے وہ ری ایکشنر نے لفطو س میں نسیں کرکشمیر ہارا سے بکد اسے اسی سچویشن اورابیا اٹیومفیر بنانا چاہے جس سے مجمعی کشمیر ہا را ہے اور خودکشمیری مجھیں كرمند ستان باراب اس كى بهت ضرورت ب اورسي سمجمتا ہوں اس بل کے دربیہ اگر ہم اس میں کھر بھی آئے رہیں توجارے کے بہت بڑی جزہوگ - حالانکہ مجھے اندمینہ ہے کہ غذائی ملاوث کے سلسلے میں جو ہندوستان میں بے استہا مرشا جار اور رشوت خرى عيلي بولي ب كسين اس بل تے دریغے جوں وکشمیر میں بھی اس بھرشطا جار اور یہ شاخوری كوتوننين لي جارب مبر - يهال ديكيف مين آناب كرري الي كينيا ل الده كرتي بن كون مهيل جانتا اخبارول ين في آيا مر ونظر زمینی کے ملاوث کی ۔ میں جاننا جا ہا ہوں کرونٹرز ممیتی کے ذریعے دودھ اور محصن میں الاوسٹ کرسے کی و خبرب اخباروں بس آئیں اس پرکیا گورنشٹ سنے اس کمینی کالکسنس سینڈی کینسل کرنا تو دور کی بات ہے کیا سرکا ریے اس کمپنی کے لائسنس کوسسینڈ بھی کیا ہے ۔ اس سلے مين درب كركسين يكريش وإن رهي زينج - ميرب دوست وديا لنكارجي فيجكها اس سي مين اينزي كرتا بون میں اس کی تفصیل میں سب جانا چاہتا لیکن اتنا ضرقہ ر ، کموں گاکرہم دیکھتے ہیں ٹری ٹری کمپنیوں میں جو سامان تیار مِوّا ب ان يركوني الكِشن سيس باجاتا - وإن يرشا يدكوني انسپیکش بھی منس ب رایک ہی کام ب مجھ معلوم ب مرانسپیٹرزیا سرکاری ادھیکا روں کے سے الاونس کیے۔ تخواه ني ياالليكل حل كي . وه تقرر برجانا ب - دوره كمي ا دوسری چنروں میں طاوت کے لئے اگر کوئی مروے ماتے ہیں تروہ محبوسط دو کا ندار ہی ہوتے ہیں ۔ جومتن ہی محبوطا د د کا ندار برتا ب ده اتنابي زاده کرواجا کاب . صبي که انبدودیا نکارهی نے کہاج البیسنیش اس کاب یک راہے

[شری اسحات منجلی]

سي كناجا منابون كروه بسع افسوس كاك اورشرم كاك ماب - اگراس طرح برر إ توسي مجمعتا بول كراس كوكسيس دور کی جگر سے جانا بیکار موکا ۔ آج بھی جاری سرکار منو بسٹس کے با تھوں میں گھری

ہوئی ہے اور دہ کل شیں یار ہی - توگوں کی شدرستی سے کھیلا جاراے - زندگیوں سے کھیلاجا رہا ہے طاوٹ کے

. جناب ڈیٹی *اسپسکرصاحب ہ*یاں برایک خائش ہوئی تھی آپ نے بھی دیکھی ہو تی ۔ اس میں بتا پائی تھا کرک کی چیزیں ال جاتى بن -ليكن اس منائش كے بعد على محصر منس ملام سموئی مضبوط قدم اٹھانے کے لئے ڈیپا دشنٹ نے کوئی کارروالیا كى بو - ياكونى بعى مضبوط قدم أعماك كے لئے بياں يركوني ل لا ام و- من خوش موك او لامنين كرف والول كے الم منت سے سخن برزاد کھی جائے ہمیں اسید ہے کہ یہ سدن اس کو ضرور منظور کرے گا۔ میکن اگر اسی طرح را اور اس طرح انسیکٹرز اور دوسرے ادھيكاروں كى رشوت جارى رى اوركاروائى ز بولى قوم سمحيتا بول كرجول وكشمير بين على اس كو برا ها ا کونی فالدے مندا در ہارے لئے کوئی انصاب اورشکر لیا کا سبب سیس بوگا - بکد و إلى يونسي يه دستوت خوري اور زياده

اس لے اس کو مندوستان کے سارے حصتوں میں لا گوک جائے تاکہ اپنے ملک سے الما وٹ کی لعنت کوختر کرنتے۔ دوسرے مکوں میں تو ہا دی کے لئے سزاے موت دی جاتی ہے ۔لیکن بھارے بہاں رمثوت لی حاتی ہے ۔اس لیے ج اس کے اسلیمینشش میں خامیاں رہی میں ان کو دورک جائے اورسا يدى جون دكشيرس لاكوك جائ -]

डा॰ कैलास (बम्बई—दक्षिण): उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, जो बिल माननीय मंत्री जी ने पेश किया है उसका मैं समर्थन करेने के लिये खडा हुआ हं। फड अडल्ट्रेशन के बारे में जो भी सदन में अभी चर्चा हई है वह कुछ माने में ठीक है । लेकिन जब हम लोग अधिकारियों को या लोग जो खाने की चीजों मे या दवाइयो में मिलावट करते हैं, उन्हे दण्ड देने की बात करते हैतो मैं ऐसा मानता ह कि उसमें केन्द्रीय सरकार तो कुछ मानों में, लेकिन ज्यादा माने में राज्य सरकार और महानगर पालिकायें दोषी है।

मैं डा॰ चट्टोपाघ्याय का ध्यान खींचना चाहता ह कि सिर्फ महाराष्ट्र मे नही, लेकिन सारे पश्चिमी देश में सिर्फ एक कैमिकल लैबो-रेटरी है जहा पर जिन चीजो में मिलावट की जाती है उसकी जाच की रिपोर्ट आने के बाद ही अधिकारी उन पर कोर्टमे मुकदमा कर सकते है। मुझे यह मालुम है कि जो भी सैम्पिल अधिकारी भेजते है वह सैम्पिल के नतीजे की कैमिवल लैंबोरेटरी महीनो तक रिपोर्ट नही भेज पाती । और वही अर्था है जबकि हमारे अधिकारी फम जाते है, उन बड़े आदिमयों से पैमाले लेने हैया कुछ मजदूरो को जो कि दुकान में काम करते हैं उनको पकड़ा जाता है और उनको ही सजा हो जाती है।

तों किसी भी कायदे को जब किसी क्षेत्र मे ले जाने की बात करते है तो हम यह नही सोचते कि इस कायदे को सफल बनाने के लिए हमे क्या-क्या करना होगा। बड़े दुख का विषय है कि सारे भारतवर्ष मे फुड एडल्टरेशन की चर्चा अखबारो के द्वारा, काफरेंस के द्वारा. सेमिनार के द्वारा करने के बाद भी राज्य सरकार ने एक लैबोरेटरी में दूसरी लैबोरेटरी नहीं बनायी ।

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The hon. Member's time is up.

DR. KAILAS: Sir, I will just take only half a minute. Why I am saying this that the Health Minister has not taken care of establishing a chemical laboratory either at Srinagar or in any part of Jammu. If they are not going to establish a chemical laboratory it is no use extending this Act and asking Parliament to pass this Bill. The chemical laboratory is a "must". This should have been constructed and established and equipped before bringing this Bill for extension of this Bill to the State of Jammu and Kashmin, I had risen with your permission only to request the hon. Minister to see that a chemical laboratory is established in the State before this Act is extended to this State.

SHRI G. VISWANATHAN (Wandiwash): I shall not take much time because the scope of this Bill is very limited. I am glad that wisdom has dawned on the Government sixteen or seventeen years after the passing of this Act, and now they are going to extend this Act to Jammu and Kashmir. No doubt, this Act is a very important Act, in so far as it safeguards the interests of the consumers against unscrupulous businessmen and traders. But let me point out that very often this Act is misused. At the same time, I know the limitations of the Central Government in this matter.

I have myself conducted some cases under this Act. One of the cleanest hotels in the city was prosecuted, and we could not do anything. Finally, the man concerned was punished. Again, there are cases where prosecution is launched for mixing lead with turmeric. When the case was there in the court, neither the lawver nor the magistrate nor the accused know how lead could be mixed with turmeric, and yet the man was punished. Again, a confectioner was punished mixing colourable material with peppermint. But the man had nothing to do with the manufacture of peppermint. This has to be checked at the source. I would like to point out to the hon. Minister that this Act is at present used only against the small traders or retail traders. I would request that he must take this matter up with the State Governments so that the producers and the manufacturers could be dealt with under this Act and not the retailer who has nothing to do with manufacture.

With these words, I support the Bill.

SHRI M. SATYANARAYAN RAO (Karimnagar): I welcome this Bill. After a long time, Government have at last made a good beginning. We know that our Acts are not automatically applicable to Jammu and Kashmir, and we have to make them specifically applicable to that State.

Without taking much time, I would like to mention one important thing. At present, imprisonment is compulsory under this Act. I request the hon. Minister to make it either imprisonment or fine.

SHRI M. C. DAGA (Pall): No, the man should be punished. It should be only imprisonment. SHRI M. SATYANARAYAN RAO: I am a lawyer and I have conducted cases under this Act, and my hon friend Shri G. Viswanathan also has conducted cases, and both of us know the practical difficulties, so far as implementation is concerned. This Act is being used only against the small traders. Only the poor milk-sellers are prosecuted and punished under this Act. For no fault of theirs, a charge-sheet is made against them and they are harassed.

Therefore, I would take this opportunity to request the hon. Minister to see that there is some provision to the effect that compulsory imprisonment is removed and instead there should be imprisonment or fine.

SHRI D. P. CHATTOPADHYAYA: I would like to thank the hon. Members for participating in this debate and offering certain constructive suggestions. As I have already said, this piece of legislation is of a very limited scope. The main theme is the extension of the Act in its application to Jammu and Kashmir. The other two clauses, namely clauses 3 and 4 are rules of construction. However, taking this opportunity of talking on this legislation of limited scope, hon. Members have aired certain views which in a different context are very important. Lake the hon. Members, Government themselves are quite alive to the hazards posed by the adulterated food and substandard food.

14.00 hrs.

In fact, the amendments brought forward by Government in 1964 to the original Act of 1954 were mainly designed to plug the loopholes of the original Act and to provide for more deterrent punishment of unscrupulous manufacturers, sellers and preservers of adulterated food. You will find that it has been provided in the body of the parent Act that the punishment is quite deterrent—minimum six months and maximum six years, fine not less tharf Rs. 1,000, it may be more. So it would not perhaps be correct to suggest that the punishments provided in the Act are not deterrent.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Why should we go into all that now. Members were anxious about what amendments should be made to the main Act.

D. P. CHATTOPADHYAYA: Thank you for the suggestion. Since it was referred to, I wanted to allude to it in passing. I would not dilate on it.

Prevention of Food Adulteration

(Amdt.) Bill

Some other issues have also been raised, the licensing policy, the implementing machinery, the inadequacy thereof and so on. We are quite alive to the problem. At the appropriate time, when some other substantive issues similar to this one are brought before the House, Government will be in a position to make up its mind. But now we are doing our best to see that the implementation machinery is strengthened, number of laboratories increased, quality improved and the provisions of the parent Act properly implemented and executed.

I move.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The question is :

"That the Bill further to amend the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954, be taken into consideration".

The motion was adopted.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The question is:

"That clause 2 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adobted.

Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: As regards clause 3, Shri Panda's amendment has been circulated. But it is not within the scope of the Bill. The Bill deals with the application of the Act to J & K whereas his amendment seeks some modification of the provisions of the parent Act. It has nothing to do with the amending Bill.

SHRI D. K. PANDA: Let me say how it is relevant. If it is convincing, you admit it.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I have ruled it out.

To clause 3, there is no amendment'. The guestion is:

"That clause 3 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted

Clause 3 was added to the Bill. Clause 4, Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRI D. P. CHATTOPADHYAYA: I beg to move :

"That the Bill be passed."

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The question is :

"That the Bill be passed."

The motion was adopted.

14.07 hrs.

COAL BEARING AREAS (ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT) AMENDMENT AND VALIDATION BILL

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF STEEL AND MINES (SHRI SHAHNAWAZ KHAN) : I move :

"That the Bill further to amend the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957, and to validate certain acquistions of land or rights in or over land under the said Act, be taken into consideration."

As this House is fully aware, the Industrial Policy Resolution of 1956 laid down that the future development of coal industry in the country was the responsibility of the State, and all new units in this industry would be set up only by the State except in exceptional circumstances as laid down in the resolution. Accordingly, under the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquistion and Development) Act of 1957, powers were taken inter alia for the acquistion by the Central Government of unworked coal bearing areas covered by private leases or prospecting licences. This Act provided for acquistion of virgin lands including underground minerals or rights in or over such land in the same manner as the Land Acquisition Act of 1894. In acquisition of land, the practice under the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, was to issue a single notification under section 4 (1) of the Act indicating that land in a locality was needed or was likely to be needed or was for public purpose. This notification was followed by one or more declarations under sec-