17.47 hrs.

STATEMENT RE MAINTENANCE OF INTERNAL SECURITY (AMEND-MENT) ORDINANCE, 1974

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI C. SUBRAMANIAM): I lay on the Table an Explanatory Statement (Hindi and English versions) giving reasons for immediate legislation by the Maintenance of Internal Security (Amendment) Ordinance, 1974, as required under rule 71(1) of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha.

MATTER UNDER RULE 377

FALL IN JUTE PRICES AND FAILURE OF JUTE CORPORATION TO COME TO RESCUP OF CULTIVATORS

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour): The Jute growers in West Bengal, Assam, Orissa and Bihar are being completely ruined by keeping raw jute prices at much below the economic level or cost of production. The Government of India's Jute Corporation are helping the jute milliowners and big jute traders, and a result, the rural economy in this region, particularly that of the jute peasantry is being completely ruined. On the one hand, there is the phenomenon of abnormally high prices for food and other items of daily use, and on the other hand iute producers' products are being sold at below the cost of production.

In Calcutta some days ago even the West Bengal Ministers openly condemned the Commerce Minister's present policy and revealed that this was being done in order to further the interest of the jute milliowners and jute tycoons. The Government must see that the jute growers get at least Rs. 250 per quintal and the Jute Corporation must make sufficient purchases so that jute is sold by the growers at seller's market.

1

Only last year the growers had an extremely hard time as their crop fetched only Rs. 40—42 per maund. This year if they got even Rs. 80 or Rq. 85, no harm will be done to the industry which has a very wide margin of profit due to overseas orders at very high prices in hessian and sacking.

The jute growers in West Bengal are facing an artificial demand squeeze manipulated by the jute millowners and jute tycoons in collaboration with the Central Government and the Commerce Ministry and the Jute Corporation, which is aimed at forcing a steep fall in the prices of raw jute. Raw jute prices have fallen in some districts abnormally causing serious distress to the jute growers. Now the West Bengal co-operative jute organisation have bought worth a crore of rupees and that is rotting in the godowns, but the Jute Corporation have not come forward to purchase it.

I had earlier requested that the Commerce Minister must give a reply because rt concerns lakhs and lakhs of jute growing peasantry in the eastern region of the You know the jute growing country. peasantry have been fleeced for the last so many decades and they are in a miserable condition. Every year they have to give a pound of flesh because they produce jute. If this thing continues, I would only warn the Government of India that jute fields will be converted into food fields and jute mills will go out of existence. If they do not come forward with giving economic and profitable prices to the jute peasantry and providing food in sufficient quantity through a national food budgetbecause by sale of jute, we earn a lot of foreign exchange-if they do not come to the rescue of the jute growers, areas under jute will be discouraged and jute mills will be facing a crisis.

I would request you to make the necessary observation and direct Government to come forward with a statement tomorrow. SHRI KRISHNA CHANDRA HAL-D'AR (Ausgram): Sir, I was trying for the last seven days to raise this matter regarding fall of jute price in the House. Thad tabled a Calling Attention Notice and also sent a notice under rule 377. I am happy that Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu has been allowed to raise it. But, after the Minister's statement, we must be parmitted to put questions and a discussion must be allowed in the House.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: We now take up..

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Sir, I thought that you would make some observations that the Minister should make a statement

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: It has gone on record. It would be in the interest of the Minister to come forward with a statement.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Mr. Raghuramaiah, have you heard the Deputy-Speaker? H: has said that the Minister should make a statement.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: No, no. I did not say that. I said that it is in the interest of the Minister himself to come forward and make a statement. I meant the concerned Minister.

We now proceed to the Statutory Resolution and the Bill regarding the Sick Textile undertaking: (Nationalisation) Ordinance.

15.21 Pas-

STATUTORY RESOLUTION RE. DISAPPROVAL OF SICK TEXTILE UNDERTAKINGS (NATIONALISA-TION) ORDINANCE

AND

SICK TEXTILE UNDERTAKINGS (NATIONALISATION) BILL

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The nexitem in the agenda is the statutory resolution regarding the Sick Textile Undertakings (Nationalisation) Ordinance; and the Sick Textile Undertakings (Nationalisation) Bill follows that item. The two will be taken together. The time allotted is six hours.

SHRI S. M. BANERJBE (Kanpur) : Sir, just one minute. There are many provisions regarding this Bill and some are very controversial. We are told that in certain matters of safeguarding the interests of the textile workers, such as the social benefits in respect of pension, gratuity and provident fund, some important steps are being taken. We met the hon. Minister Mr. Maurya-I am thankful that he is bringing some amendments-and we also met Mr. Pai. Certain things were agreed to. And now I learn that the law officers are objecting to provident fund. Because this is not going to the Select Committee, I submit to you and through you to the hon. Minister. . . .

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : Are you speaking on the Bill ?

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: ... because the ordinance will have to be converted into a Bill, that if the hon. Minister can call a meeting of the Opposition Members tomorrow—my amendments will come tomorrow—we could have a formal or informal discussion so that some decision can be taken and the workers may be helped.

श्री मधु लिसये (गंका): उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, यह एक बहुत घहम विषय है श्रीर इस के सभी पहलुओं पर चर्ची होनी चाहिये, ऐसी मेरी राय है। एक सिद्धान्त के तौर पर में श्रध्याखेश के द्वारा कानून पास करने का निरोधी हूं क्योंकि उसका नतीन