

[Secretary-General]

received from the Secretary-General
of Rajya Sabha.

- (i) "In accordance with the provisions of rule III of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha, I am directed to enclose a copy of the Public Wakfs (Extension of Limitation) (Delhi Amendment) Bill, 1973, which has been passed by the Rajya Sabha at its sitting held on the 29th November, 1973."
- (ii) "In accordance with the provisions of rule III of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha, I am directed to enclose a copy of the Indian Works of Defence (Amendment) Bill, 1973, which has been passed by the Rajya Sabha at its sitting held on the 29th November, 1973."

**BILLS AS PASSED BY RAJYA
SABHA**

SECRETARY-GENERAL: Sir, I lay on the Table of the House the following Bills, as passed by Rajya Sabha:—

- (1) The Public Wakfs (Extension of Limitation) (Delhi Amendment) Bill, 1973.
- (2) The Indian Works of Defence (Amendment) Bill, 1973.

12 03½ hrs

**CORRECTION OF ANSWER TO S Q No
90, DATED 26TH FEBRUARY 1973 RE
EXPENDITURE ON REPAIRS OF NEWLY
PURCHASED SHIPS.**

**THE MINISTER OF SHIPPING
AND TRANSPORT (SHRI KAMLA-
PATI TRIPATHI):** I beg to lay on the
Table a statement:—

(i) correcting the answer given on
the 26th February 1973 to Starred

Question No. 90 by Sarvashri Krishna
Chandra Halder and H. M. Patel re-
garding expenditure on repairs of
newly purchased ships by Shipping
Corporation; and

(ii) giving reasons for delay in cor-
recting the answer.

Statement

In reply to Starred Question No. 90
asked by Sarvashri Krishna Chandra
Halder and H. M. Patel on 26th
February 1973, it was stated in the
Lok Sabha that the ratio of the total
expenditure on repairs and main-
tenance of the eight ships as shown
in the statement laid on the Table
of the Sabha, worked out to 311 per
cent of their total capital cost

However the above mentioned ratio
comes to 3198 per cent instead of
311 per cent.

The figure 311 may be substituted
by 3198 in the aforesaid reply

This statement could not be made
earlier, as it was originally felt that
the mistake was not substantial. On
reconsideration, however, it has now
been decided to make this statement.

**SHRI KRISHNA CHANDRA HAL-
DER (Ausgram)** I have not seen the
statement but I want to say that on
26th February myself and Mr. H M
Patel put a question when the then
hon Minister for Shipping & Trans-
port made a statement. After that, I
was not satisfied and on 27th April
1973 I raised a half-an-hour discus-
sion. At that discussion also, the hon
Minister, Shri Raj Bahadur, denied my
charges. Now I want to say that
after 26th February and 27th April
there was sufficient time to correct his
answer. I do not understand why so
much time has been taken to correct
his reply. The hon. Minister, Shri
Raj Bahadur has misled the House..

MR SPEAKER: There is a proce-
dure for that. So far as the proce-