19.30 hrs.

CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE (AMENDMENT) BILL_Contd.

MR. SPEAKER: Mr Patil will now reply.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI S. D. PATIL): Sir, I was replying to the points made by hon. HOME members who opposed the motion for leave to introduce the Bill. I fully understand the feelings of the members who have opposed the introduction of this Bill and the misglvings that arise in their minds regarding the conferment of even of attenuated powers of preventive detention on the administration. Our recollections of the gross abuse to which MISA was put are fresh and vivid.

Nor has Government any pleasure in introducing a measure of this nature. But I would request the hon. Members to bear in mind that Government has at the me time a responsibility to discharge and, were it not absolutely necessary for the discharge of this responsibility, we would not have come forward with this proposal. The country expects rightly that law and order will be maintained. Government has a moral obligation to fulfil this expectation, for, the maintenance of law and order is the primary responsi-bility of the State and in fact the basic raison d'etre of its existence. The House is aware of the complexity and the nature of the problems of security and public order with which the country is faced and I need not dwell now upon the recent acts of sabotage and terrorist threats, the proneness to violence of certain sections and the divisive forces that are always seeking free rein to undermine the polity. The administration seeks legal sanctions from this House in coping with these problems and denying it these sanctions, would be handicapping it sorely against forces that, in any case, have only contempt for law, society, indeed human life itself.

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR What is the matter being taken up now?

Mr. Speaker: The Minister is replying to the earlier debate.

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: Under what rules can he do this? Please see the record. The Deputy Speaker had said that it will go to the next session.

MR. SPEAKER: He has not said that, Please verify from the records.

(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: I understand from the office that he has not said that it has lapsed.

SHRI O. V. ALAGESAN: The Deputy Speaker had said that it was 3 O'clock and so....(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: I was not present. My Secretary says that the Deputy Speaker has not said it.

SHRI S. D. PATIL: To allay misgivings, I would like to point out that the main thrust of the provisions of the Bill is to ensure that powers for preventive detention are not abused. Thus it differs from its predecessors in seeking to limit the powers of Government and to provide potent safeguards. (Interruptions)

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: Which record has the Secretary seen?

SHRI S. D. PATIL: Therefore, the activities prejudicial to the security of the state and the maintenance of public order have been rigorously defined in the Bill so as to climinate any possibility of the law being used for political or extraneous purposes. The State Government will have no power to issue detention orders for reasons connected with the defence of India or the security of India or with respect to any foreigner. (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: My Secretary says that the Deputy Speaker has not said that,

SHRI DINEN BHATTACHARYA: You must be consistent. We are being fooled.

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: We want to know what the Deputy Speaker himself has said.

SPEAKER: The Deputy Speaker is here. I would like him to say what had happened then.

SHRI GODEY MURAHARI (Vijayawada): I must strongly protest against the attempt of some Members to say something, as if I have said it. I have never said that it lapses. Actually, what I said is that the time is 3 O' clock, and that we will take up the private Members' Business. I am sorry that Members should put words into my mouth. (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: You have to accept it. He said: "Private Members' Business is being taken up." Nothing more than that.

SHRI S. D. PATIL: The district authorities will be able to issue detention orders only when such powers are spe-cifically delegated to them and only for activities prejudicial to the mainte-nance of public order of supplies and services essential to the community. Conditions of detention will be determine by rules to be made by the Central Government and will not be left to the State Governments to decide. A mandatory provision is being made for the payment of monetary relief to the family of the detenu where the means of its subsistence have been rendered inadequate because of the detention. I would, further, like to mention that the constitution of the advisory boards has been so modified as to secure the most independent possible judicial review within two months of detention through a Board nominated by the Chief Justice of the appropriate High Court and consisting of three Judges. Members must have noted that, earlier, was not restricted by laws to Judges, nor were nominations to the advisory boards was not restricted by laws to Judges, nor were nominations to the advisory boards made by the Chief Justice. By proposing that the function of nomination to the advisory board be entrusted to the Chief Justice of the High Court and that membership of the advisory board be restricted to Judges (serving or retired), Government have given proof of their good faith and determination to prevent abuse of the proposed legislation.

Further, a detenu will be able to make additional representation against his detention whereas he has no such right to-day.

The total period of detention under this measure has been reduced from three years under the present law to one year in all cases.

I would also like to refer to the provision made in the Bill for the first time in the tegislative history of preventive detention that a report on the working of the law will require to be made not only to the two Houses of Parliament but also by each State Government to its Legislature. A statutory provision enabling discussion in the State Legislature as well as Parliament of the manner in which the law has been implemented will be the surest way to ensure that these powers are used with due care and restraint.

We have sought to provide all safeguards that we could possibly think of but, should Members be able to suggest any others during the course of the discussion on this Bill, Government will give their seggestions its most earnest consideration. We will gladly accept any suggestions that will strengthen the safeguards against abuse without weakening the administration in controlling the divisive forces of chaos and disorder.

It is only our awareness of the serious problems facing the country that has prompted us to bring forward this measure. I would appeal to the hon Members' sense of responsibility towards maintenance of peace and order in the country in seeking their support for the measure.

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973."

The Lok Sabha divided.

Division No. 14]

[19:40 hrs.

AYES

Agrawal, Shri Satish Amat, Shri D. Ananthan, Shri Kumari Argal, Shri Chhabiram Arif Beg, Shri

Balak Ram, Shri Barnala, Shri Surjit Singh Berwa, Shri Ram Kanwar Bhanwar, Shri Bhagirath Bharat Bhushan, Shri Brahm Perkash, Choudhury

Chandan Singh, Shri Chandra Pal Singh, Shri Chandravati, Shrimati Chaturvedi, Shri Shambhu Nath Chavda, Shri K. S. Chowhan, Shri Bharat Singh Chunder, Dr. Pratap Chandra

Danwe, Shri Pundalik Hari Dave, Shri Anant Dawan, Shri Raj Krishna Dhara, Shri Sushil Kumar Dharia, Shri Mohan Dhurve, Shri Shyamlal Digvijoy Narain Singh, Shri Durga Chand, Shri

Fazlur Rehman, Shri

Ganga Singh, Shri Gattani, Shri R. D. Goyal, Shri Krishna Kumar

Harikesh Bahadur, Shri Heera Bhai, Shri

Jain, Shri Kalyan Jaiswal, Shri Anant Ram

Kapoor, Shri L. L.
Kar, Shri Sarat
Kaushik, Shri Purushottam
Khan, Shri Ghulam Mohammad
Khan, Shri Kunwar Mahmud Ali
Khan, Shri Mahmood Hasan

Krishan Kant, Shri Kureel, Shri R. L.

Mahala, Shri K. L.
Mahale, Shri Hari Shankar
Mahi Lal, Shri
Malhotra, Shri Vijay Kumar
Mallick, Shri Rama Chandra
Mandal, Shri Dhanik Lal
Mathur, Shri Jagdish Prasad
Mehta, Shri Prasannbhai
Mhalgi, Shri R. K.
Mishra, Shri Janeshwar
Mishra, Shri Shyamnandan
Mondal, Dr. Bijoy

Negi, Shri T. S.

Munda, Shri Karia

Pandey, Shri Ambika Prasad Pandeya, Dr. Laxminarayan Parmar, Shri Natwarlal B. Patel, Shri Dharmasinhbhai Patil, Shri S. D. Patnaik, Shri Biju Phirangi Prasad, Shri Pradhan, Shri Gananath

Phirangi Prasad, Shri Pradhan, Shri Gananath Rahi, Shri Ram Lal Rai, Shri Gauri Shankar Rai, Shri Shiv Ram Raj Keshar Singh, Shri Raj Narain, Shri Rakesh, Shri R. N. Ram, Shri R. D. Ram Awadhesh Singh, Shri Ram Charan, Shri Ram Kinkar, Shri

Ramapati Singh, Shri Ram ji Singh, Dr. Ram jiwan Singh, Shri Ranjit Singh, Shri Ravindra Pratap Singh, Shri Sahoo, Shri Ainthu Sai, Shri Larang Sai, Shri Narhari Prasad Sukhdeo Saksena, Prof. Shibban Lal Samantasinhera, Shri Padmacharan Saran, Shri Daulat Ram Sarda, Shri S. K. Satya Deo Singh, Shri Shaiza, Shrimati Rano M. Sharma, Shri Jagannath Shastri, Shri Bhanu Kumar Shastri, Shri Ram Dhari Shejwalkar, Shri N. K. Sinha, Shri C. M. Sinha, Shri Purna Somani, Shri Roop Lal Suman, Shri Surendra Jha Tiwari, Shri Brij Bhushan Ugrasen, Shri

Yadav, Shri Jagdambi Prasad Yadava, Shri Roop Nath Singh Yuvraj, Shri

Verma, Shri Mritunjay Prasad

Varma, Shri Ravindra

Verma, Shri R. L. P.

NOES

Alagesan, Shri O. V.

Ankineedu, Shri Maganti
Banatwalla, Shri G. M.
Basu, Shri Dhirendranath
Bhagat Ram, Shri
Bhattacharya, Shri Dinen
Bhattacharyya, Shri Shyamaprasanua
Bheeshma Dev, Shri M.
Bosu, Shri Jyotirmoy

Chikkalingiah, Shri K. Gamit, Shri Chhitubhai

Halder, Shri Krishna Chandra

Contempt of

Kolur, Shri Rajshekhar Kisalram, Shri K. T.

Machhand, Shri Raghubir Singh Mavalankar, Prof. P. G.

Naidu, Shri P. Rajagopal

Patnaik, Shri Sivaji

Rajan, Shri K. A. Rao, Shri M. Satyanarayan Ravi, Shri Vayalar Reddi, Shri G. S. Reddy, Shri K. Vijaya Bhaskara Roy, Shri A. K.

Shankar Dev, Shri Suryanarayana, Shri K.

Venkataraman, Shri R.

MR. SPEAKER: Subject to correction, the result* of the Division is: Ayes 104, Nocs 27. The Ayes have it, the Ayes have

The motion was adopted

SHRI S. D. PATIL: 1 introduce

19'40 boars

CONTEMPT OF THE HOUSE

MR. SPEAKER: As the House is aware, one person, who has given his oware, one person, who has given his name as Suresh Singh, who is a student of B.A. Final of Gorakhpur University, shouted some slogan and threw leaflets from the Visitors' Gallery at about 12-40 p.m. today. He was immediately semouted from the Gallery by the Watch removed from the Gallery by the Watch and Ward Staff and interrogated. He has made a written statement but not expressed regret for his action.

As today is the last day of the session, if the House agrees, he may be kept in the custody of the Watch and Ward Officer till the rising of the House today and thereafter released with a warning.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour): I move that he be released forthwith.

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA (Begusarai): A motion has to be made by the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs.
The procedure is that the motion is made by the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs and then the House expresses its opinion on it. The sentence is never passed by the Chair.

MR. SPEAKER: We are not imprisoning him. I only wanted him to be warned and released. Is that the pleasure of the House?

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: Why not place the whole matter before the House and ask the House to express its opinion? I do not know who is derelicting in the matter. Somebody is dere-licting in the matter. The incident has occurred in the House and we have not even been apprised of the incident. The House requires to be apprised of it and the House will deal with it.

MR. SPEAKER: What exactly do you want?

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: The incident took place in the House this morning. We do not know much about it. The House will have to take a view in the matter. Somebody has to inform the House about the incident and then the House will take a view and say, whether he should be sentenced to one day or two days' imprisonment, whatever the case may be. But the general practice has been that the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs comes before the House and tells the House about the incident that has taken place. He also makes a motion.

MR. SPEAKER: My Secretary infforms me that the precedent in such cases is that if an imprisonment is to be given, then the Miniter for Parliamentary Affairs makes a motion. But if it is merely a question of giving a warning and letting him off, it is done by the Chair.

NOES: Shri Ajitsinh Dabhi.

^{*}The following Members also recorded their votes:

AYES: Sarvashri Charan Singh, Zulfiquarullah, Hukmdeo Narain Yadav, Ramanand Tiwary, Rama Naresh Kushwaha Ram Vilas Paswan, Raje Vishveshvar Rao, Nathu Singh, Ram Murti Rudolph Rodrigues, Shrikrishna Singh Balwant Singh Ramoowalia. and Raghubir Singh Machhand.