tion (2) of Section 3A of the Foreigners Act, 1946.

(4) A statement (Hindi and English versions) explaining reasons for not laying simultaneously the Hindi version of the Notification mentioned at (3) above [Placed in Library. See No LT-4310/79]

THE MINISTER OF STATE THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DE-PARTMENT OF ATOMIC ENERGY. ELECTRONICS SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (PROF SHER SINGH) I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the detailed Demands for Grants (Hindi and English versions) of the Department of Electronics for 1979-80 [Placed in Library See No LT-4311/791

12 10 hrs

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE
HUNDRED AND TWENTY-FOURTH REPORT

SHRI P V NARASIMHA RAO (Hanamkonda) I beg to present the Hundred and twenty-fourth Report of the Public Accounts Committee on Action Taken by Government on the recommendations of the Committee contained in their Twentieth Report on Purchase of Tents Assembly Springs Angola Shirting and Gun Metal Ingots

12.11 hrs

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC UNDER-TAKINGS

FORTIETH REPORT

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour) I beg to present the Fertieth Report of the Committee on Public Undertakings on Action Taken by Government on the recommendations contained in the Seventy-Fifth Report of the Committee (Fifth Lok Sables) on National Coal Development Congression Limited

PROF P .G. MAVALANKAR (Gandhinagar): Mr Speaker, Sir, I want to make a submission in respect of item No 7. The order paper says, Shri H M Patel to make a statement regarding the situation in Jamshedpur" The foot note says that it 15 to be made at 5 PM and to be followed by a discussion My submission is that if the statement is made at this point of time then we will be able to consider it, and then we can have a meaningful discussion But if it come, at 5 PM followed by a discussion then it may not be possible to have a meaningful discussion

MR SPEAKER I do not have any objection If the statement is ready I shall request the Minister to make the statement at 2 P M

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND LABOUR (SHRI RAVINDRA VARMA) I will check up and let you know

SHRI SAUGATA ROY Sir, I have another request that the discussion time be not limited to two hours (Interruptions)

MR SPEAKER Although it is not on the Order Paper yet I have permitted Mr George Fernandes to make a statement regarding the Commission of Inquiry on Large Industrial Houses headed by Justice Shri A K Sarkar as it is of urgent public importance

12 13 hrs.

STATEMENT RE COMMISSION OF INQUIRY ON LARGE INDUSTRIAL HOUSES (SARKAR COMMISSION)

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI GEORGE FERNANDES). Sir, As the Honourable House is aware, the Commission of Inquiry on Large Industrial Houses (Sarkar Commission) under the chairmanship of Shri A. K. Sarkar, formerly Chief Justice of Supreme Court was appointed by Government of India by a Motification issued on 18-2-1979. According to the

[Shri George Fernandes]

notification appointing the Commission its report was to be submitted to Government within a year from the date of its notification viz., 17-2-1971. The Commission could not complete its enquiry and its tenure has been extended by Government year by year. A total expenditure of Rs. 1,64, 00,946 has been incurred on the Commission from 1970-71 to 1978-79, and the current year's expenditure on the Commission is estimated at Rs. 21 lakhs. The Commission has not so far submitted any report though it has been in existence for more than 9 years.

After the Commission was set up in February 1970 it issued a notification in August 1970 as provided for in the rules framed under the Commissions of Enquiry Act inviting the public to furnish information. As the response of the public to this netification was poor and as the Commission felt that it was practically of no assistance, the Commission itself startcollect the facts concerncerning the allegation with the help of an investigating organisation of its own. It started examining the files of the Government of India, Financial Institutions and also the records of the concerns under enquiry. So far more than 10,000 files have been gone into. This methodology of work adopted by the Commission resulted in considerable delay in the working of the Commission. Even after securing the records the officers of the investigating organisation of the Commission had to spend a lot of time in analysing the information with a view to ascertaining whether there were irregularities which needed enquiry so as to pinpoint the persons, concerns or institutions prima facie responsible therefor. Even after the preliminary investigation was over and the investigation report was considered by the Commission persons likely to be adversely affected had to be given. Opportunity to be heard and produce evidence in their defence. At these hearings the relevant facts were presented by the Commission's counsel and the persons likely to be adversely affected were given an opportunity to present their case in defence by evidence or otherwise themselves or through counsel. The procedure thus followed as well as the voluminous papers which were involved took considerable time to get going.

The notification appointing the Commission itself gave a vast and varied nature for its terms of reference covering the entire gamut of industrial licensing in respect of large number of industrial undertakings during the period 1956 to 1966. The Commission was required inter alia to inquire into and report in respect of

- (i) instances of irregularities, lapses or improprieties pointed out by the Industrial Licensing Policy Inquiry Committee in its report.
- (ii) The financial assistance given to larger industrial houses by financial and other institutions.
- (iii) Allegations mentioned in detail in a schedule attached to the notification regarding the Birla Group of concerns.
- (iv) A reries of allegations regarding the Birla group of concerns in respect of which further investigations were to be made in public interest.
- (v) Measures, whether procedural or otherwise, which in the opinion of the Commission are necessary or desirable in public interest in order to ensure that such irregularities, lapses or improprieties do not recur in future.
- (vi) Such other allegations or matters which may come to its notice being matters connected with or arising out of matters referred to earlier.

Such a vast and varied nature of the terms of reference of the Commission itself is one of the contributory factors for the inordinate delay of over 9 years which has taken place in the submission of the report of the Commission.

Another contributory factor for delay in the Commission's work has been a large number of writ petitions which have been filed mainly by the Birla group of concerns in Courts of Calcutta and Punjab and Haryana. Thirty concerns in all moved the High Courts for granting stay against the proceedings of the Commission. Interim orders on writ petitions and/or petitions for stay were granted by the High Courts of Calcutta and Punjab and Haryana on different dates ranging from 10-9-1970 to 25-9-1974 No adequate action has been taken by Government these stay orders vacated nor were urgent steps taken for expediting hearing of these cases till March 1977. When the present Government took ove: in March and April, 1977 urgent consultations were held to expedite the hearing of these cases and for vacation of stay orders. The writ petition filed in the High Court of ·Punjab and Haryana was dismissed with costs. The writ petitions filed in the Calcutta High Court were also taken up for hearing. The writ petition filed in the Calcutta High Court by Orient Paper mills was heard and judgment was delivered on 26-9-1978 striking down Nos. 2, 4, 9, 11, 12 and 13 of Schedule C of the Notification appointing the Commission of Inquiry and the High Court made the rule absolute.

249

In view of the inordinate delay which was taking place in finalisation of the report by the Commssion there has been criticism both within Parliament and outside. This is also the subject matter of several Parliament questions and many Members of Parliament have expressed their anxiety over the delay in submission of the report by the Commission.

In the light of these facts the Commission was consulted whether it could give any time limit within which it could give its final report. The Commission had informed Government that it would not be possible to complete its work even by 1981. Even an interim report would take more than 18 months during which period Government would have to continue to bear heavy expenses of nearly Rs. 20 lakhs per year. It was reluctant even to agree to submit an interim report within six months on the work which had already been done by it. In the meantime Justice Saikar also submitted his letter of lesignation to the Prime Minister.

Taking all these factors into consideration Government had to consider the ablowing possible lines of action.

- (1) to continue the Commission indefinitely even though it was evident that it would involve substantial expenditure from the public exchequer without any assurance that useful results could emanate from it:
- (11) to wind up the Commission immediately leaving its work as it was; and
- (111) to accept the resignation of Justice Sarkar and wind up the Commission in its present form but also identify individual cases where prima facie evidence was available for prosecution to be taken up by further investigation by existing Government Departments/ agencies. Along with this Government could also identify problems that have raised in regard to Large Houses in general arising out of the work done by the Commission.

Since this was a matter of great public concern and subject matter of several Parliament Questions I had also taken the opportunity of inviting Leaders of Opposition for metings to discuss the future course of action which could be followed in relation to the work of the Sarkar Commission. To facilitate these discussions, the life of the Commission was ex-

[Shri George Fernandes]

tended twice by one month from February 1978 to March 1979 and March 1978 to April 1979. I had explained to them the full background how the matter had initially been raised and the subsequent setting up of the Commission, and also the alternatives open to Government which have been mentioned above.

In the light of these discussions and after considering the relevant issues Government have decided to follow the course of action mentioned at (iii) above. Accordingly Government have accepted the resignation of Justice Shri A K. Sarkar and have issued a notification winding up the Commission from today. Government propose to take over all the records of the Commission including the investigation reports so far completed by it and to forward these to the concerned Ministries/Departments take further action according to law taking into account the preliminary conclusions/findings arrived at by the Commission in its enquiries.

In conclusion I would like to 1eiterate that Government's policy towards Large Houses has been fully explained in its Statement on Industrial Policy which was laid before Parliament on 23rd December 1977. In its licensing policy, Government will regulate the activities of the Large Houses to bring them in line with the country's socio-economic goals. It will be the policy of Government to ensure that no unit or busines, group acquires dominant or monopolistic position in the market. The present industrial activities of the Large Houses will also be scrutinised so that unfair practices arising out of manufacturing inter-linkages are also avoided. In order to ensure social accountability, the financial institutions whose support is vital for setting up and running of large scale enterprises will be expected to assume a more active role in overseeing the activities of units financed by them in order to ensure that management

is increasing professionalised and conforms to national priorities.

I am sure that Government can count on the support from all settling of the House in the implementation of this policy.

12.14 Hours

MATTERS UNDER RULE 377

(1) Spreading of Desert area in Rajasthan

चीं हरी रीम मॅक्कोसेंर गीवारा (बीकानेर) केन्द्रीय भूरके क्षेत्र गर्वेषणा संस्था जोधपूर के वैज्ञानिकी के मतानुसार राजम्यान मे रेगिम्तान मिरन्तर बढ़ना जा रहा है। इस रेगिस्नामी बींब में रेत की माला बढ़ रही है और हाल के कुंछ वर्षों में यह भयावह रूप धारण कर गया है। यह स्थिति कितभी भर्यकर हो गई है इसका धनुमान इस बात में लगायां जा सकता है कि बाडेमेर नगर के उत्तर पूर्व में एक पहाड़ी वासीक वर्ष पहले रेत से पूर्ण मृक्त थी किन्तु सवा बह स्थान रेत में दब गया है। बाडमेर, जालीर वीर जोधपुर जिली में पहाडियी की बाद में भारी माला में रेत एक्स हो रही है। जोधपूर शेरेनड मार्ग पर भी रेत का जमाब बढता जा रहा है क्षीर क्रमेक स्थानो पर रेन की टीले वन गर्हे है। जोडपुर नगर के बारो और रेन की डेरिक्ड प्रकट होने लगी है भीर प्रति चिन उनका साकार बढ़ रहा है। जोचपुर जैसलमेर राजमार्ग पर रेत की गहलता इनकी विकासन हो गई है और इस मार्ग को रेत ने इतना हक लिया है कि इसकी दिशा बदलना भावश्यक हो गया है। जसल्मेर से मोम को जाने वाला मार्ग कुछ ही वर्षों मे रेतीले टीलो में विलीन हो जाएगा। पीकरण, शेरगढ भीर मोमियान में प्रतिदिन रेत के टीलों का जमबंद ही रही है। बाडमेंग में शिवनीमक स्थान मीर बीकानिंग जिलें में छनरगढ़ मीरे नीवा रेत के महासागर में बीघ्र ही बन्सध्यनि हो जीएगें। फीरीजेंपूरं, भटिंडी, हिसार, पेरिपंगी महेन्द्रगढ, सन्नुन, मीकेर और मागोर जिली में भी रेल की माला बढ़ रही है। इन क्षेत्रों में मिछने कुछ बंदी में रेल का घेरा तेज गीन से बंद रहाँ है। हमोरे देश में रेत के एक्क हीने की गंहनता और बिंस्तुल जुभाग में इसका फैसीब किसी विभीषिका से कम नहीं है। याँव नमय पर इसे रोकने के लिए कदम नही उठाए गए तो इसके गम्भीर परिणाम होगे जिन की कल्पना से द्वय कांप उछना है। मैं अपना कर्सक्य समझेता हूं कि इस महत्वपूर्ण समस्या के प्रति सरकार की प्रथम प्राक्तिक करू और इस सम्बन्ध में नरकार क्या कार्रवाई कर रही है उससे सबन की समगत कराया अवस् ।