316

[Shri S. S. Lal]

Even during the so-called authoritarian regime of 18 months of Emergency such arbitrary action was not taken. During the past 15 years, a nominal penalty was being imposed at the rate of Re. 1 per sq. yard for the lapse of registration.

It must be appreciated that a midmlc-class family buying a plot at Rs. 46,000 for 250 sq. metres would never be remiss in registration of the plots. There might be some financial hurdles which result in delay. But that should not entertain a penalty of thousands of rupees and also cancellation of the plots.

I suggest that the hon. Minister of Works and Housing should make a statement on the floor of 'he House, restoring the cancelled plots to the allottees after collecting a nominal fee of Re. 1 per sq. yard.

12.53 hrs.

HARYANA AND UTTAR PRADESH (ALTERATION OF BOUNDARIES) BILL

MR. L'EPUTY-SPEAKER: Now we proceed with further consideration of the following motion moved by Shri Dhanik Lal Mandal on the 27th April, 1979, namely: --

"That the Bill to provide for the alteration of boundaries of the States of Haryana and Uttar Pradesh and for matters connected therewith, be taken into consideration".

Mr. Ravi, would you like to speak?

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: (Chirayinkil): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, the Bill moved by the hon. Minister is very little in content because it is only for making a few adjustments of areas in the territories between U.P. and Haryana. At the same time. I would like to draw the attention of this House to some of the basic problems which the Minister has shirked. The new Janata Government has to put a stop to this.

Sir, Haryana is a small State while UP. 1s one of the biggest States in the country. To-day, even on the population basis, it is the largest State. What is the logic behind this alteration of boundaries bet_ ween these two States? Can the hon. Minister explain it? So far as the language is concerned, both the States speak Hindi? The objective is to serve the administration still better; in what way it has to be achieved is my basic question. If this 15 done for the betterment of the people and better functioning of the States, why is this done arbitrarily? Regional imbalance is the fact of the day in this country to-day. The regional imbalances very from area to area and State to State and within the State itself, there is regional imbalance And there is a regional imbalance within the country itself. One of the major problems is to tackle this regional imbalance, through the administrative machinery. It depends upon the capability of the States. It depends upon the functioning of the administartive machinery and its capacity to mobilise as much resources as possible from the State itself as also the availability of the monetary and fiscal aid that comes from the Centre. So, we have to come to a conclusion-it is a fact also-that a smaller State is better than a bigger State. A smaller State is more viable for administration and also more viable for betterment and development. That is why a bigger district has been divided into two or three mini-districts. In Kerala we had nine districts. Now, we have got eleven districts and one more is going to be added. We will get more administrative viability and the State government will be able to enforce its authority better on this area. If we can apply this principle to the district level, I believe, that principle can be applied to the State level a well.

Sir, one of the main reasons for regional imbalance is the non-viability of the administrative capacity of the States because of the larger area as well as the population. Sir, you would recall Sardar K. M. Panicker made a dissenting note on the report of the States Reorganisation Commission. In that dissenting note he said that it is always desirable to have a State with smaller area and he wanted that the State of U.P. must be divided into two. Mr. Sathe is pleading for a separate State of Vidharbha taking some area from MP. and Maharashtra. Sir, we have 84 to 85 members of Parliament from U.P. They raise lot of hue and cry that theirs is a backward State. I have no dispute on their claim. But why is it so? There are fifty-six districts in U.P. I wonder whether any Chief Minister can oven complete his tour of all the districts in UP. during his tonure as Chief Minister as no Chief Minister remains there for more than a year or two. There are 480 MLAs in U.P. Assem-How can the Chief Minister blv. understand the complicity of the problems when he is not able to tour all the districts in the State. Difficulty of the political authority to understand the problems of the of the State results in the areas creation of regional imbalance in the State. So, in the interest of of the States like Uttar progress Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh it is always desirable to divide these States into smaller States and U.P. should be divided first into-two. This is the basic point I want to raise in this connection. Instead of making piece-meal legislation he should come forward with a comprehensive new policy to re-organising the State into a small State.

Sir, the second point I would like to make is about linguistic States. Today if we look back we will have to regret that it has become a matter of exploitation for political gains. No doubt, it is very good for administration and cohesiveness but politicians

must ponder whether this linguistic States policy can be used as a political weapon for making a hue and cry over the sons of the soil theory. Sons of the soil theory has become a curse in this country and it has become a menace to the unity of the country. Sons of the soil cry has come because we cannot undetstand the ethos of the Indian society or the political ethics, political morality or political sense behind the linguistic States.

13 hrs.

But unfortunately we find that nobody is prohibited in this country from using or abusing all these things The question of language is being exploited for political reasons and a time has come when we should stop all these things; we should once for all stop the cry 'son, of the soil.' I have absolutely nothing against any language." But I will give you one example for your information. The Central Government must be above all these things. Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, Т don't know whether you were there when National Film Awards were given the other day. The Home Minister must be aware of all these things and that is why I am bringing it to his notice now. There is a particular pattern of issuing the awards in the national film function. We first give it to national films. Then comes the Directors, actors and actresses and so on and then comes the regional films. They announce the names only in Hindi which our people cannot understand. Why in the name of regional films the Hindi films have been brought in, like Kasur and Jagoon? I don't understand this. And the Best Director Mr. Aravindan who has been given the Best Director Award for the second time consecutively has been insulted. He had not been given the award separately and he was called

with the Malayalam film along award, for the film called Thambu. So, this is the position. Why should such an insult be given to a director who has got the best-director award for the second time consecutively? This is against national This subject comes under unity. the Home Ministry and it is a very sensitive assue. We are certainly entitled to know about it. And when we contacted the top officer of the Film Festival or the Director there. he said. 'What is wrong with 1t?' Is it the way an officer should behave? Instead of giving the award separately to the Best Director you club it with the award given for the Malayalam film. What sort of encouragement you are giving to regional language films? Is it the way of do ing such things? This cannot be tolerated. I hope that the Hon, Home Minister will himself go into it and do the needful.

Before concluding I wish to stress upon one point and it is this. We can have a comprehensive Bill, after necessary consultation has been done. Let the Government bring forward a complete comprehensive Bill after political consultation with all the leaders and the concerned States for the reorganisation of the States, to make the States smaller, Such a step alone will pave the way for the integration of the country. That sort of step is alone needed for the political unity and administrative viability of the country and for the development of various regions and States and for national duty. With these words I conclude my speech, thank you.

13.05 hrs.

The Lok Sabha adjourned for Lunch till five minutes past Fourteen of the clock. The Lok Sabha re-assembled, after Lunch, at Fifteen Minutes past Fourteen of the Clock.

[SHRIMATI PARVATHI KRISHNQN in the Chair]

HARYANA AND UTTAR PRADESH (ALTERATION OF BOUNDARIES)

BILL contd.

श्री गया सिंह (मडी) सभापति महोदय, मै हरियाण णड उत्तरप्रदेश (ग्रास्टरेशनग्राफ वाउडरीज) विल, 1975 का समर्थन करता हू । दी राज्या ने ग्रापने सीमा विवाद को पार्रास्परफ सहमति से एक पच फैसले के डारा मुलझा लिया हे, यह स्वागत करने योग्य बात है । लेकिन इस प्रश्न को मारे हिन्दुस्नान के परिप्रेक्ष्य मं देखना वाहिए ।

जहा तक यू०गी० का प्रथन है, वह हिन्दुस्तान का सब से बडा प्रदेश है और उसकी ग्रावादी दस से बारह करोड तक है। क्षेत्रफल के लिहाज से भी वह एक बहुत बडा प्रदेश है---वह इतना बडा प्रदेश है कि कई स्वतल राज्य भी उसके बराबर तही है। हिन्दुस्तान के तीन प्रधान मली इस प्रदेश से हो बुके है। लेकिन ग्रंगर बिकाम की दूष्टि सि देखा जाये, तो यह प्रदेश हिन्दुस्तान के पिछडे हुए प्रदेशों में से एक है, ग्रीर में समझता हू कि इसके पिछडेपन का सब से बढा कारण इसकी लार्जनेस है---इतना बडा प्रदेश होने के कारण ही यह पिछडा हमा है।

पहले यह मत था कि धगर बढे बढे प्रदेश हों, तो प्रदेश की हुक्स्मत पर कम खर्च होगा, ध्रौर वह पैमा विकास पर लगाया जा सकता है। लेकिन जब से हरियाणा, पत्राब ध्रौर दूसरे छोट छोटे प्रदेश बने हैं, यह सिढ हो गया है कि विकास की दुष्टि से छोटा प्रदेश ज्यादा घण्छा होना है ध्रौर उसमे विकास की गति घषिक होती है।

उत्तर प्रदेश मे 57 जिले है ग्रौर मैं ममझता ह कि किसी भी चौफ मिनिस्टर के लिए. यह ह युमेनली पासिबल नहीं है कि वह ऐसे प्रदेश को एक डायनामिक लीडरशिप दे मके। कोई चौफ मिनिस्टर 57 जिलो के क्लक्टरो को प्रच्छी तरह से पहचान भी नही मकता है----खास तौर से यू० पी० में' अहा कोई भी चौफ मिनिस्टर दो साल से आधिक ग्रमने पद पर नही रहना है, और इस लिए वह जिलो में ग्रच्छी हुकूमत नही दे मकता है। जहां तक ग्रच्छी हुकूमत का सवाल है, यह खरूरी है कि प्रदेश की सारी जनता के साथ गौर डिस्ट्रिड कलकटर गौर एस0 पी0 ग्रादि जिलो के एडजिनिस्ट्रेटर्ज के साथ चौफ़ मिनिस्टर का पसंनल कनटेक्ट ग्रौर वाकफियत ही। भगर भाप जियोभाफिककी देखे, तो उत्तर प्रदेश के चार पांच विभाग ऐसे है, जो एक दूसरे से विल्कुल पथक है। जैसे, हिमाचल प्रदेश के साथ लगा हुआ यू० पी० का पहाडी इलाका है। हिमाचल प्रदेश ने भाल भौर सेब की प्राडक्शन में बहुत उर्जा⁄ की है, लेकिन य० पी० के पहाडी इलाके में उतना विकास भौर उन्नति नहीं हो पाई है। यै समझता हू कि भ्रगर यू० पी0 के पहाडी इलाके की एक भ्रलग स्टेट बना दी जाये, तो उसमें भी उननी ही उन्नति स्रीर विकास होगा, जिनना कि हिमाचल प्रदेश में हभ्रा है।

उत्तर प्रदेश में एव दूसरा इगाका बदलखड है, वह भी बिलकुल पिछडा हुमा ? । इसी तरह पूर्वी उत्तर प्रदेश भी एव पिछडा हुमा ह लाना है । दूसरे इलाके कुछ सम्पन्न है, लेकिन वे भी उनने सम्पन्न नही है, जितने कि वे होने चाहिए । मैं गमझना ह कि विकास की दुष्टि में यू० पी० का बिनामन होना चाहिए । मौर उसकी जगह पर छाटी छोटी स्टेट्स बननी चाहिए । कोई भी चीफ मिनिस्टर इतने बडे प्रदेश का म्रच्छी हकसन नगी दे सकना है । म्राज कल यू० पी० की माबादी दस कराड ये बनीब है। इयर उसका छोटे छोटे पाद दिया में बार दिया जाये, तब भी एक प्रदेश फ्रीमनन दो कराड की माबादी

मै मुझाव देता हू कि मरकार को मार हिन्दुस्तान की प्रगति की दृष्टि में उत्तर प्रदेश में विकास ना गति गति से तेवी लाने के लिए भौर वहा एक अच्छा एडमिनिस्ट्रेशन स्थापित करने के लिए—णिछने दम बारह मालो से उत्तर प्रदेश में एडमिनिन्ट्रेशन नाम की कोई चीख नही है, एक मामली सी ट्रासफर कराने में भी वहा पाव माल लग जाते ह----सरकार को मोच विचार कर एक कास्प्रिहेसिव बिल लाना चाहिए, जिस के द्वारा यु० पी० का छोटी छोटी स्टेट्म मे विभाजित किया जा सके। मै सरकार से यह भी निवेदन करूपा कि वह काई कमीशन विठाय, जो सारे देश के ममन्वित विकाम के लिए बडी बडी स्टेट्म को बिभाजित कर कछाटी छोटी स्टेट्स बनाने के सम्बन्ध में विचार करे।

MR CHAIRMAN Shr: Venkatasubbaiah.

SHRI RAM DHAN (Lalganj): Why are the M nisters going out and coming in so frequently?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Perhaps, they are on a slimming course.

SHRI P. VENKATASUBBAIAII (Nandyal): Madam Chairman, this Bill has been brought in primarily to make some alterations in the bour-848 LS-11.

dary between U.P. and Haryana. 1 agree with the sentiments expressed by several Members. It is appropriate that the ex-Chief Mimister of U.P. is here when discussions are going on. Several Members who participated pleaded for bifurcation of U.P. on administrative grounds as well as grounds of economic development. We have inherited our states as a legacy of Bulish colonial sm when we attained independence, at that time U.P. was the biggest state. Even today it sends in 85 members to Lok Sabha It makes all the political difference in the context of the bigness of the Slate. There had been an agitation going on after the advent of freedom, under ine leadership of Mahatma Gandhi, that states cught to be reorganised on the basis of the language of the people. A committee was constituted under Fa/1 All and they recommended certain things, there was a dissenting note by Sardar Panikkar, if I remember orighi, for bifurcation of UP, but it was not accepted for various reasons in the south especally the agitation was for creation of states on a linguistic basis commission's but no where in the terms of reference is it to be 10 and that the states are to be reorganised on the basis of language. The important consideration was administrative viability Several commissions were set up to settle the boundaries of cach state One such was set up between Haryana and UP There were several awards One was the Mahajan aw ird on Maharashtra, Karnatak. In this case Dikshit's award is implemented to mark the boundary between U.P. and Haryana. When these alterations are made, it may not solve the shit re problem. Jamuna happens to be the boundary between Haryana and U.r. m the districts of Bulandshar and Gurgaon and other places. So far as the award goes it is all right. So far as the bigger problem of bifurcation or reorganisation of states is concerned it should be based on administrative conven ence and economic development

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please (onfine yourself to the Bill.

323 Haryana—UP

SHRI P. VENKATASUBBAIAH: Mr. Bahuguna is hinting that it is not relevant at all. I am also conscious ci that fact but I suffer from the speeches made earlier; that is the contagion.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You could be wiser and show the way

SHRI P VENKATASUBBAIAH. 12 this Bill, wider things are also discussed, it cannot be ruled out I was saying that the award of Dikshit is being implemented in this Bill. While implementing this award, you have to go to rther and see that smaller states like Harvana are strengthened and more territory should be added so that it could become viable Because oolitically the most instable State is Haipana' As it is compact, it has got penefits. But at the same time the smallness is a big problem and it has become a haunting ground for defections all these years. In that context, τ would like to say, you adjust boundattes in such a way that Haryana also becomes a viable State and there will be political stability in that State. Only in that context I want to express that I hope the Government will feel ng also consider these things in depth and see that along with economic development, political stability should be ensured That it can be done only when a State is more viable than what it is so far as Haryana is concerned.

थी लक्ष्मी बारायण वायक (खज्राही) सभापनि महोदया, भ्रभी जो हरियाणा भौर उत्तर प्रदेश (सीमा परिवर्तन) विधेयक प्रस्तुत किया गया है उसका में समर्थत करता हूं। कारण यह है कि भ्रभी जो सीमा थी वह जिस तरह से यस्ना नदी बहती थी उमी तरह से सीमा का निर्धारण था। इसमे कुछ कठिताडया पडी भौर इमलिए यह निज्वय हुमा कि ऐमा निर्णय लिया जाए जिनमे कि सीमा पर जो जिने है या गांव है उनमे कोई विक्कत न पडे। मै इम बात का स्वागत करता ह कि सीमा का गेमा निर्धारण होन चाहिए जिससे जनना को महलियत रहे। जनता बाहती है कि जिले का मुकाम या प्रान्त की राजधानी उसके नजदीक रहे। जनता के लिए आने जाने के रास्ते भी मुगम होने बाहिये। इमलिए मैं इस बिग्रेयक का समर्थन करता ह । जब सीमा निर्धारण के बारे में चर्चा हुई है तो दोही सी धीर बातें भी इससे जुड जाती हैं। जैसा कि धभी और माननीय सबस्यो ने भी कहा है कि धपने देश के जो प्रान्त है उनमें कुछ परिवर्तन होना जरूरी है। प्रान्तो का पुनगंठन हुआ तो उनमें कुछ ट्य्या मामने रखी गई। एक तो सुविधा की दृष्टि का माना गया। लेकिन धाज के समय मे जो प्रत्येतन है, मैं धापमे निवेदन करू कि चाहे उत्तर प्रदेश हो या मध्य प्रदेश हो—दे दनने बडे क्षेत्र मे है, इतने बडे परिया मे है कि उनका प्रशामन ठीक इग मे नही चन मक्ना।

दूसरे, विकास की दृष्टि से भी प्रान्ता का गठन जरू री है। इस सम्बन्ध में ग्रभी हरियाणा का उदाहरण भी दिया गया जोकि पूनगठन के बाद काफी नरककी कर गया। विकास के लिए पैसे का जो निर्धारण होता है वह, जब बडा प्रान्त होना है, तो कम पड जाना है इमलिए विकास की दष्टि से भ्रौर प्रणासन की दष्टि से भी इस पर एक न एक बार पुन विचार करेना बहन जरूरी है मैं आपके द्वारा बनाना चाहना ह किं मध्य प्रदेण झौर उत्तर प्रदेण के टीकमगढ झौर झासी जिले मिले हण्हें झामी के कई गाव टीकमगढ की सीमा में पडते है और टीकमगढ के कई गाव झामी की मीमा में पडते हैं जिसके कारण कई दिक्कतें सामने आती है। यह चर्चा बगबर चल रही है कि इन गाथों को या ता इधर लाया जाए या उधर ले जापा जाग मधिकारी भी कुछ ऐसी बातें कर देते हैं जिससे जनता को कप्ट होना है थे कह देवे है कि सटक के इधर के गाव उधर रहेंगे झौर उधर के गाव इधर रहेगे इन बानों की वजह से बन्देलखण्ड के जो जिले है, जोकि बहन पिछडे हुए हैं, उनका विकास नहीं हो रहा है। एक बन्देलखण्ड विका स परिषद भी बनी थी जिसकी एक दफा बैठक भी हई लेकिन कोई विचार नही हो सका। इसलिए जरूरी है कि ग्राटे प्रान्तों का गठन किया जाग्। जब हम मानते है कि पिछडे इलाको का विकास करना है तो इस पर गौर होना चाहिए । इस दण्टि से में इसका समर्थन करता ह कि उत्तर प्रदेश और मध्य प्रदेश के बन्देलखण्ड के जिले हैं, जोकि बहन पिछडे हुए है, उनका झलग से प्रान्त जरूर बनना चाहिए। नेता लोगा का इस पर अवश्य विचार करना चाहिए ।

MR CHAIRMAN The hon. Minister. (Interuptions).

श्री मुख्तित्यार सिंह मलिक (सोनीपत) : पांच सिनट का समय हमे भी इस पर बोलने के लिए दीजिए। पाच सिनट भौर लग जाएगें तो क्या कोई माफत थ्रा जाएगी।

श्री मगत राम (फिल्लोर) : हर्ने भी इस पर बोलने के लिए टाइम दीजिए ... (आवस्ताव)...

MR. CHAIRMAN: I cannot increase the time now. I have already called the Minister.

325 Haryona-UP VAISAKHA 10, 1901 (SAKA) Boundaries Bill 326

भी अप्रेलसबार सिंह अलिक : पाच मिनट में कौन सी मुसीबत था जाएगी। हरियाणा और यू०पी० की सीमा के बारे में यह बिल है और हरियाणा स्रीर यू० पी० के मेम्बरों को प्राप ने बोलने के लिए टाइम नहीं दिया .. (व्यवधान) . मुझे यह बताइए कि पांच मिनट में क्या मुसीबन था जाएगी।

MR CHAIRMAN On the previous day when this Bill was taken up, it went on for nearly half an hour Again today I called all the names that came to me and allotted time accordingly. At the last minute if you suddenly send your name, I cannot call you. I am sorry

श्री मुख्लियार सिंह मलिक मैं इस के खिलाफ प्रोटेस्ट कर के वाक-ग्राउट करता ह।

(Shri Mukhtiar Singh Malik then left the House)

भी भगत राम क्यांकि म्राप ने टाइम नहीं दिया है मौर हमारी पार्टी का व्युग्वाइन्ट नहीं म्राया है, तम वाव-म्राउट करते हैं।

(Shri Bhagut Ram and some other Members then left the House)

MR CHAIRMAN The Minister

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI DHANIK LAL MANDAL): Madam Chairman, as I explained in my opening speech this Bill is based arbitration award and on an that award has been accepted by both the Governments New we have come forward to give effect to it. But this opportunity was taken by hon. members for expressing their views in regard to the reorganisation of States and the virtues of smaller States Hon Member, Shri Vayalar Ravi, urged so many points very forcefully in favour of smaller States like economic viability; administrative viability, homo-geneous development, national integration, etc. Other hon. Members also have raised these points. But as I explained, this Bul is only in regard to the river boundary between Haryana and U.P. That too, it is based on an arbitration award, which has been accepted by both the Governments. So, the bigger question of States' reorganisation and bigger States being reorganised into smaller States does not arise.

As regards the Mahajan Commission report, that has not been accepted by both the Governments, i.e. the Governments of Karnataka and Maharashtra. So, it is lying there But as the Home Minister explained last time, he would take the init ative to call both the Chief Ministers and try to persuade them to accept it As and when there is acceptance of that report or any agreement mutually agreed upon by the two Chief Ministers of these two States, we shall come forward with the necessary legislation.

MR CHAIRMAN The question is.

"That the Bill to provide for the alteration of boundaries of the States of Haryana and Uttar Pradesh and for matters connected therewith, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

MR CHAIRMAN. We shall now take up clause by clause consideration of the Bill There are no amendments to clauses 2 to 4. The question is:

"That clauses 2 to 4 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted

Clauses 2 to 4 were added to the Bill.

Clause 5.—(Amendment of First Schedule to the Constitution.9

MR CHAIRMAN: There are three Governments Nos. 3, 4 and 5 to clause 5.

MR' CHAIRMAN: Now, clause 5.

SHRI DHANIK LAL MANDAL: I beg to move

"Page 3, line 44,

for "1978" substitute "1979" (3).

[Shri Dhanik Lal Mandal]

"Page 4, line 4, ---

for "1978" substitute "1979" (4).

"Page 4, line 10, ---

for "1978" substitute "1979" (5)

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is: "Page 3, line 44,—

for "1978" substitute "1979" (3) "Page 4, line 4, --

for "1978' substitute "1979" (4) "Page 4, line 10, —

for "1978" substitute "1979" (5) The motion was adopted.

the monon was adopted.

L CHAIRMAN The question is:

"That Clause 5, as amended, stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted

Clause 5, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Clauses 6 to 11 were added to the Bill.

Clause 12. (Appropriation of moneys for expenditure in transferred territories under existing appropriation Acts.)

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now, Clause 12.

SHRI DHANIK LAL MANDAL: I beg to move:

"Page 7, ---

after line 45, insert ---

"Provided that no such authorisation shall be made so as to have effect for any period after the end of the financial year in which the appointed day falls." (6).

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is: "Page 7, ---

after line 45, insert-

"Provided that no such authorisation shall be made so as to have effect for any period after the end of the financial year in which the appointed day falls." (6)

The motion was adopted.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That clause 12, as amended, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 12, as amended was adopted to the Bill.

Clauses 13 10 36 were added to the Bill.

The Schedule was added to the Bill

Clause 1.- (Short title) Amendment made:

"Page 1, line 6, ----

for "1978" substitute "1979" (2)

(Shii Dhanik Lal Mundal).

MR CHAIRMAN. The question is:

"That Clause 1, as amended, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Enacting Formula

Amendment made:

"Page 1, line 1. ---

for "Twenty-ninthinth" substitute "Thirtieth" (1)

(Shri Dhanik Lal Mandal)

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the Enacting Formula, as amended, stand part of the Bill." The motion was adopted.

The Enacting Formula, as amended, was added to the Bill.

The Title was added to the Bill.

SHRI DHANIK LAL MANDAL: I beg to move:

"That the Bill, as amended, be passed." MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the Bill, as amended, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

14.40 hrs.

KOSANGAS COMPANY (ACQUISI-TION OF UNDERTAKING) BILL AND

(PAREL INVESTMENTS AND TRA-DING PRIVATE LIMITED AND DOVESTIC GAS PRIVATE LIMITED (TAKING OVER OF MANAGEMENT) BILL

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM, CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI II. N. BAHUGUNA): I beg to move:*

> "That the Bill to provide for the acquisition, in the public interest, of the undertaking of the Kosangas Company and thereby to secure that the ownership and control of the means and resources for bottling, transporting, marketing and distribution of liquefled petroleum gas are so distributed as best to subserve the common good and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto, be taken into consideration."

> "That the Bill to provide for the taking over in the public interest of the management of the undertakings of the Parel Investiments and Trading Private Limited and the Domestic Gas Private Limited pending acquisition of those undertakings, with a view to maintaining a service essential to the life of the community, namely, the bottling, transporting, marketing and distribution of liquefied petroleum gas, and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto, be taken into consideration."

4KA) Investments etc. 330 etc. Bill

Madam, the main purpose of the first Bill is the acquisition in the public interest, of the undertaking of the Kosangas Company, now vested in Gocul Gas Private Limited, as sole proprietor. Th₁₅ company, which is privately managed, is bot₁ling, transporting, marketing an distributing liquified petroleum gas (cooking gas) supplied by the Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited from its refinery at Bombay.

The object of the second Bill is the taking over in the public interest of the management of two other privately-owned companies, namely, Parel Investments and Trading Company Private Limited and Domestic Gas Private Limited, which are bottling, transporting, marketing and distributing liquefled petroleum gas supplied by the Hindustan Petroleum Corporation from its Vizag refinery.

It is the declared policy of the Government to do away with intermediaries in the field of LPG distribution and to vest LPG marketing in the hands of the oil companies, which are in the public sector, to reach the consumers more effectively and without any hinderance. In line with this policy, it has been decided to acquire the undertaking of Kosangas, and also to take over the management of Parel Investments and Trading Frivate Limited and Domestic Gas Private Limited, pending acquisition of these undertakings and to vest the same in Government.

I may bring to the notice of the hon. Members that efforts were made to arrive at an amicable take over, and for that purpose negotiations were held with Kosangas. As no acceptable settlement could be arrived at, the Government has no other alternative than to acquire the undertakınk in public interest. Regarding taking over the management of Parel Investments and Trading Private Limited and Domestic Gas Private Limited, efforts were

*Moved with the recommendation of

the President,