

this distribution, is not in the hands of a single agency. Hindustan Petroleum Corporation (HPC) however, distributes its LPG in Delhi/ New Delhi through its consignaire, namely Kosangas Company who in turned have appointed Delhi Gas Company as their main agent. Delhi Gas Company has self-operated agency as well as sub-agencies. Attention is invited in this connection to the Statement made in the Lok Sabha on 15th May 1978 regarding restructuring of existing LPG or cooking gas distributorships of Oil Companies. It was indicated therein that the question of restructuring the agencies of the concessionaires of HPC would possible only after these concessionaires are fully taken over by Government, steps for which have already been initiated.

रेलवे स्टेशनों पर कैदीनों के लिए ठेके

2368. श्री सुभाष शर्मा : क्या रेल मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि 1 अप्रैल, 1977 को रेलवे स्टेशनों पर कैदीनों के कितने ठेके समाप्त हो गये थे

रेल मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री शिव नारायण) : सूचना इकट्ठी की जा रही है और सजा पटल पर रख दी जावेगी।

12.01 hrs.

RE. HALF-AN-HOUR DISCUSSION  
ON 31ST JULY, 1978

(Interruptions)

SHRI MALLIKARJUN (Madak):  
Mr. Speaker, Sir....\*

MR. SPEAKER: You have not taken my permission. It will not go on record.

SHRI MALLIKARJUN:

MR. SPEAKER: Please do not record. He has not taken my permission.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour): On a point of order, Sir, under Rule 376 . .

MR. SPEAKER What is the point of order?

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU An half-an-hour discussion was listed for yesterday. During the discussions, when I had finished my speech and questions were being put, the hon. Chairman, in his wisdom, adjourned the House even before the Minister was able to reply. I would like to have your ruling as to what we should do now in regard to this half-an-hour discussion.

SOME HON MEMBERS rose

SHRI K. GOPAL (Karur): This has not happened for the first time

MR. SPEAKER Why not you speak one by one?

SHRI K. GOPAL Yesterday, what happened was

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA (Tumkur) I was to put my question (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER. If you are allowing me, I will hear you one by one. Otherwise, nothing will go on record (Interruptions) If you all get together and talk simultaneously, it cannot be recorded. The reporter cannot be recorded. The reporter at a time. In your own interest, let me call and hear one by one. (Interruptions). Anything spoken without my permission will not go on record . .

SHRI D. G. GAWAI (Buldhana)\*

\*Not recorded.

MR. SPEAKER: Please ..please... It will not go on record... Finished? No Rule 377 statement has been selected for today because yesterday's 377 statements are there. Therefore, I have not been able to select anything. Now that the hon Member has made a statement—which has not been recorded—he will not get another chance.

Now, the Law Minister.

THE MINISTER OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI SHANTI BHUSHAN): Hon. Member, Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu, raised a point with regard to the half-an-hour discussion of yesterday.

After Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu had made his speech and all other hon. members had asked certain questions, the time was over. (Interruptions)

SHRI K LAKKAPPA Mr. Speaker, Sir, I have not spoken.

MR. SPEAKER Let me hear him. You cannot have one speech now and another later.

SHRI SHANTI BHUSHAN: Before I could give a reply, the House was adjourned. Sir, I propose, with your leave, to lay a statement on the Table of the House.

MR. SPEAKER: I will look into the matter. (Interruptions) Now, by one please. Those who have given notice and taken my permission, I will allow them first.

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, the Half-an-Hour discussion was raised by my friend, Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu on South India Viscose where I had requested to put a question. (Interruptions). My name was balloted along with the other Members.

की हुकूमत कब तककाय : (उत्तरों)  
इस का उत्तर नहीं कहोख ये दे दिया है।  
कह उत्तर सना पदम पर रख रहे हैं।  
(व्यवधान) ... वह हाकस का समय  
इस पर क्यों कराव कर रहे हैं। जाप  
क्या इस पर विवेक कराना चाहते हैं?

MR. SPEAKER: The Minister merely said that he would lay the statement. (Interruptions)

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: My name was ballotted and accepted to put a question on that subject. We all, for one hour, participated and the debate was going on. But, at that time there were some discussions going on a certain matter raised by Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta. It was round about 5.30 or 6 P.M. The Chair duly called upon Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu to go ahead with his discussion.

Then, again, the time was over. At that time some Members duly pointed out the time to the Chair. The Chair put the matter to the House for the extension of time. This Government was sleeping; they did not raise their little finger about that. After the lapse of fifteen minutes, they got up—everyone and even the Minister—flibustering the proceedings. The Opposition was duly represented in discussing a very important issue regarding corruption charges levelled against in the South India Viscose raised by my friend, Shri Bosu.

Then, after the discussion was over, what happened was this. The Minister was not able to give any reply to that discussion. Two Members were called; my name was the fourth. At that time the Chair abruptly adjourned the House and our discussions were incomplete and the points raised by my hon. friends were not duly answered. The manner in which the Government was operating and

behaving not only towards the Opposition but also towards the Chair yesterday was actually an insult to Parliament. The manner in which some of the Ministers behaved, if you were here, I think you would have reprimanded suitably. This is the state of affairs of Parliament towards the Members of the Opposition who participated in the debate. What happened was that I had my right to put a question on that subject and I would have made out a new point. The way in which they were preventing the active participation of Members of the House and the filibustering of the Treasury Benches in the debate which was duly representative should be taken note of and a proper opportunity for the discussion given.

**PROP P G MAVALANKAR** (Gandhinagar) Mr Speaker, Sir the Half-an-Hour Discussion which was raised by my hon friend, Shri Jyotirmov Bosu was scheduled to begin at 6 yesterday but because of the fact that Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta who was talking on some matter took five minutes more, by the sense of the House the House agreed to his taking five more minutes.

Then there was some procedural wrangle and the discussion went on upto 6-45 PM. At 6-45 PM Shri Bosu was on his legs to begin his half-an-hour discussion. Then at 6-15 hours, as far as I know, the Law Minister was absolutely ready and prepared and willing to answer. But it so happened that about five minutes before the Half-an-Hour discussion was about to end that is, ten minutes past seven, I am sorry to tell you a member from the Janata Party sought in his wisdom to raise the question of quorum. It is not done. It is not fair. At that time of the day it is not expected that all members would be present. I objected to it. I must also say that the Law Minister immediately asked that friend of his not to ask for quorum. So, that was withdrawn. Then at 7-14 sharp

**MR SPEAKER** Mr Mavalankar, do you remember you also raise the issue of quorum?

**PROF P G MAVALANKAR** Mr Speaker, I am glad you have said that I do raise the question of quorum occasionally because raising a matter of quorum is a constitutional duty of the members of the House. Therefore, I have a right to do it. But I will not do it frivolously. But yesterday it was done frivolously. Fortunately, the Law Minister stopped him. It was all done and withdrawn. At 7-14 pm, one minutes before the Half-an-Hour discussion was about to be over, I stood up on my legs and requested the Chair to kindly see that only one minute is left and the Chair may take the sense of the House for extension so that Shri Lakkappa completes his question and the Minister gives his answer and then the discussion will be over. I repeated this. But in the meantime my plea went without any success and the Chair was pleased to adjourn the House quarter past seven. That is what happened.

**SHRI K GOPAL** Mr Speaker, Sir, we are thankful to you that you recently decided to allow three Half-an-Hour discussions a week. But what is now happening is that the Government is trying to scuttle Half-an-Hour discussion. On Friday there was Half-an-Hour discussion which was raised by my friend Shri Ram Vilas Paswan regarding irregularities of DDA. It started ten minutes late. He raised the discussion. Before other members could put questions the Minister-in-charge Shri Sikandar Bakht went up to a member—I do not know what he told him—but at 5-55 the member suddenly jumped up and challenged the quorum. Unfortunately, there was no quorum. Then members came and the House had quorum. In the meanwhile it was 6 O'clock and the House was adjourned. The discussion did not take place.

[Shri K. Gopal]

Yesterday also—as Mr. Mavalankar has explained it was supposed to start at 6.5 p.m. Then the motion moved by Mr. Kanwar Lal Gupta was adopted as the Government was caught napping. What happened was the Chairman put the motion to vote. We said 'Ayes'. Nobody said 'Noes'. Therefore, Mr. Ram Murti sitting in the Chair said that the motion is adopted. Then after the motion was adopted, Mr. Jyotirmoy Bosu was called. He started speaking. After three minutes some of the friends from that side came and started raising points of order. It went on for forty minutes. At 6.45 the Half-an-Hour discussion started. But I warned my friends before that. I know the mind of the ruling party friends. When you address the Chair you please address one of the gentleman there who is in the habit of raising quorum otherwise the discussion will fall through. Please take care of him. I think he went to him and requested him not to raise quorum. Now, when the discussion was half way through, I am sorry to find, one of the members of the ruling party again challenged quorum. It never happened earlier.

MR. SPEAKER: Please be brief.

SHRI K. GOPAL: What I submit is, Sir, even when you have allowed three Half-an-Hour discussions, the Government is not prepared. Let them say so. We will raise quorum on every issue. We will see that the business of the House does not run smoothly. Every five minutes we can raise the question of quorum. If they want our cooperation let them not do it.

SHRI C. K. CHANDRAPPA (Cannanore): Sir, I want to make a submission. I don't want to repeat all the points raised by my other hon. friends.

This raises a very fundamental question, namely, whether the Government, that is, the ruling party, is

interested in running the House according to Parliamentary conventions and practices. That is the point here.

Sir, for two days continuously, obstructions were raised from their side. They challenged the quorum. They created scenes in which the Ministers directly participated and they challenged the ruling of the Chair repeatedly.

I want to know whether this can be permitted in the House. I want to know whether you will be good enough to make certain observations on this matter. This is the only submission that I would respectfully like to make.

MR. SPEAKER: Now Mr. Sudheeran....

SHRI V. M. SUDHEERAN (Alleppey): I have given notice of an Adjournment Motion.

MR. SPEAKER: That is not the point now. We are on a different point.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: He is obliging you, Sir.

SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO (Mormugao): What Mr. Gopal said is absolutely correct. I am on two points. The practice of the House has been—as the hon. Law Minister pointed out—when a half-an-hour discussion falls through due to lack of quorum, a statement is laid on the Table of the House in reply to the queries made as far as possible during the time allotted for the half-an-hour discussion. This practice has not been followed in many cases. It was not followed as far as half-an-hour discussion held on Friday was concerned. This is my first point.

My second point is this, that a convention should be established that, at the end of the day when important business is transacted, members are not present for some reason or the other, no question of quorum should be raised. However unfortu-

nately we find that sometimes the members of the ruling party themselves, with the cooperation, connivance or, may I say, instigation of the concerned Minister, raise this issue of quorum. This is very unfortunate, Sir.

**SHRI P. VENKATASUBBAIAH** (Nandyal): I am not going to repeat what Mr. Gopal has said. It is a fact that for the last 3 days continuously this has been scuttled with the instigation or inspiration,—whatever it may be—of the Minister concerned. It is very significant to note that members of the ruling party themselves, raise the question of quorum. They are duty bound to preserve quorum themselves. They should see that the proceedings of the House go on smoothly. This is their primary duty. Sir, the Minister for Parliament Affairs is here. Their Deputy Chief Whip is also here. It is their duty to provide quorum. But we are sorry to find that at the fag end of the day members belonging to the ruling party raise the question of quorum. Not only they raise quorum issue, but they see to it that the concerned persons are not there, by inducing members and allowing them to go out. Even the mover of the Half-an-Hour discussion himself withdrew from the House making the entire proceedings look farcical.

**SHRI TEJ PRATAP SINGH** (Hamirpur): Yesterday I raised a point of order, when the motion of Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta was being discussed.

**SHRI K. GOPAL:** It was adopted.

**SHRI TEJ PRATAP SINGH:** The time was extended by 5 minutes more, 3 minutes after 6. The time ended for this discussion. Honourable Chairman asked Mr. Jyotirmoy Bosu to come forward with his Half-an-Hour discussion. Now he began his speech. Two or three sentences he

had read out. I stood up and raised a point of order. I said that it was 5 minutes after 6 and the time allotted for that motion had ended. The Chairman had not put the motion to vote. The whole thing is that the motion was not put to vote. When you pass on to the next item, you cannot come back and have another discussion. This is my point of order. On that I want your ruling, Sir.

श्री बीरी शंकर राव (गाजीपुर) : अध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा व्यस्तता का प्रश्न यह है कि यह नहीं है कि ऐसे मौकों पर कोरम का सवाल नहीं उठाना चाहिए, लेकिन यह भी सही है कि आप के अधिकार क्षेत्र में यह बात नहीं है कि मेम्बर के कोरम उठाने के अधिकार को बना कर दें या चेयरसे कोई हस्तक्षेप करें।

दूसरी बात—परम्परा के सम्बन्ध में हमारे दिमाग ने कतलाया है—कि ऐसी परम्परा है कि उस समय कोरम न उठाया जाये। हम सरनिश्चय है कि हम अपना कर्तव्य नहीं समझते हैं और ऐसे महत्वपूर्ण विषय पर उपस्थित रह कर कोरम नहीं बनाए रखते हैं। यह हर मेम्बर का जिम्मेवारी है और विजेय हर अपोबीशन की कि जब नान-आफीशियस एजेंडा हो, तो ज्यादा दिसकल्पी से काम करें और मौजूद रहें। इसी बात जरूर है कि रूलिंग पार्टी को भी यह देखना चाहिए कि जो बक-वेन्चर्स हों, उन को कन्वेंशन को समझाते हुए कहें कि वे कोरम का सवाल ऐसे मौके पर न उठावें। इसलिए इस सम्बन्ध में आप कोई रूलिंग दें यह आवश्यक नहीं है।

**THE MINISTER OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI SHANTI BHUSHAN):** Sir I am sorry, the hon. Members from the opposition benches have not been quite fair in referring to the proceedings relating to the half-an-hour dis-

[Shri Shanti Bhushan]

cussion yesterday. It is quite true that an hon. Member did raise the question of quorum, but as Shri Mavalankar has very fairly pointed out, immediately he was requested not to press the question of quorum and he immediately withdrew, so that that matter did not take any time. In spite of whatever time the earlier motion had taken, full half-an-hour from 6.45 to 7.15 was allotted for this half-an-hour discussion. If the hon. Members would like to find out as to why the Minister could not reply yesterday within that period of half-an-hour, they would know that even though the rules use the word 'short', the word 'short' is not there in Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu's dictionary. Therefore, when he made that statement, though it was supposed to be a short statement under the rules.....

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Sir, if you kindly send for the timing chart from the table, you will see that I took minimum time amongst all movers of half-an-hour discussion in recent times.

SHRI SHANTI BHUSHAN: The result was that even though the Minister's reply was supposed to be within that 30 minutes, the 30 minutes were taken by Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu and other hon. Members....

(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: I have heard you Mr. Lakkappa.

SHRI SHANTI BHUSHAN: Shri Lakkappa was not even able to put the question. Of course, Direction 19 is there; as I just said, if the Minister is unable to reply on account of want of time or want of quorum, a statement could be laid, with your permission, on the Table of the House and I propose to lay a statement on the Table of the House....

(Interruptions)\*\*

MR. SPEAKER: I have heard all of you; no further discussion on this. Do not record.

PROF. DILIP CHAKRAVARTY (Calcutta-South): Sir, I want to make a submission. You have shown sufficient patience to hear everybody and also we have just heard the Law Minister. The Law Minister has promised very correctly that he would lay a statement before the House. He has also stated that Shri Lakkappa had not the time to put his question. Now, you would be giving permission to Shri Lakkappa to put the question so that the Law Minister could reply to that in the statement. ...

(Interruptions)

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: Shall I send the question to the Minister?

MR. SPEAKER: If it is only a question, you can send it to him.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I have a submission arising out of the statement made by hon. Law Minister. Shri Mavalankar had twice brought a motion on the floor of the House requesting the House to extend the time. What happened to that? That part has been conveniently lost sight of....

(Interruptions)

SHRI K. GOPAL: Even though it is called half-an-hour discussion, a motion is moved for extension of time and it is agreed....

MR. SPEAKER: Now, it is the duty of all the Members to be present in House.

श्री मनोराव बाबाजी (यवरा) :  
अध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरे बात पहले सुन लीजिए,  
कि आप अपना निर्णय दीजिए।

\*\*Not recorded.

अध्यक्ष महोदय : चाईर पहले से लिखवा दूँ, फिर बाद में आप अपनी बात कह लें ।

It is the duty of all the Members of the House to be present in the House. It is not the duty of any particular party to provide the quorum; that duty is cast on all the Members of the House. It is unfortunate that many times the House had to be adjourned for want of quorum. The normal convention is that the question of quorum is not raised at the fag-end of the day. It is desirable that that convention is respected; but if any hon. Member asserts his right, the Speaker has no jurisdiction to refuse to consider that, according to the rules.

Now Mr. Bagri.

श्री मनोराम बागड़ी : अध्यक्ष महोदय आपने अपना कलिय दे दिया है। अब मैं क्या कहूँ ? अगर मैं कुछ कह तो वह आपकी कलिय में हट जाता है। इसलिए मैं चुप हो रहता हूँ ।

SHRI V. M. SUDHEERAN (Alleppey): I had given notice of an adjournment motion regarding the serious situation prevailing in Kanjhawala, where the lives and properties of Harijans are being threatened by some anti-Harijan forces. It is a very serious matter; and we have to take the stresses and strains into consideration, and discuss the matter in the House. I would request you kindly to allow us to have a discussion.

MR. SPEAKER: I said some other form will be permitted; adjournment motion will not be permitted. I will allow you tomorrow to have it under 377.

SHRI C. K. CHANDRAPPA: Already so many incidents have taken place. Again Harijans are going to be attacked. We had many incidents

of this type. We have discussed them in this House.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA (Delhi Sadar): I endorse what he says. There is a serious tension between Harijans and some other castes in Kanjhawala village in Delhi. Unless proper attention is given and timely action is taken, I am afraid a serious situation may develop. You may ask the Minister.

MR. SPEAKER: I am allowing a Calling Attention on the subject. Nothing more than that will be allowed.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Yesterday you assured that a Calling Attention will be admitted on the National Dairy Development Board placing an order 90 per cent mark-up price has been allowed to Union Carbide, superseding the quotation of IBP, which, is a public sector undertaking. It is a very serious matter, because the total business exceeds Rs. 15 crores, and the money is going to be swallowed by a notorious multi-national corporation which happens to be a CIA agent also.

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Bosu, I gave you no assurance; but I said it will consider. Today I have not selected any Calling Attention, because yesterday's Calling Attention is still pending.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Kindly select it for tomorrow.

MR. SPEAKER: I do not give any assurance; do not say tomorrow that I have admitted it.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I wrote to you about the Indian Express publishing the news about there being a lobby for the polyester company.

MR. SPEAKER: I have seen it. I have already informed you. It is with me. (१५३)

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: For how many days can you keep it?

MR. SPEAKER: Yes, I am looking into the matter.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Yesterday I was told that MPs are running a lobby for the polyester company of Ahmedabad. It is a serious aspersion on the House. It is a demand derogatory thing for the House. I had given a notice saying that this is a question... (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: I have already informed you that I am looking into the matter. Your to-day's letter came to me at 10.45.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: The material was given to you yesterday. I reached you the material.

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: How many cases of mine are pending?

MR. SPEAKER: That will also be looked into.

SHRI MOHD. SHAFI QURESHI (Anantnag): I sent a notice under rule 377. There is a serious situation developing in Mattan. Firing has taken place; many people have died as a result of the firing. People from all over the country have gathered to perform the yatra to Amarnath 'gufa'. People will be travelling from Srinagar to Pahalgam; and Mattan falls in between. I had requested the Home Minister to kindly look into this and see that the yatris are given protection. Because there is communal tension in the whole area, unless the yatris who have come from all over the country are given protection, I think things will be rather difficult for them. They may not be able to perform the yatra. (Interruptions)

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: What about my issue regarding what was published in a leading national daily? I sent it yesterday. You are sitting over this. (Interruptions) You want to convert this House into a House of lobbies. You ignore such a report.

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Bosu, you cannot try to monopolize the House.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I am not, Sir; you are monopolizing the House.

MR. SPEAKER: Yesterday you made certain allegations. I asked you to give the basis for it.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I have given it.

MR. SPEAKER: Today at 10.45.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: No; I gave the material yesterday.

MR. SPEAKER: Some Members seem to think that they have monopoly of the House. You must understand that this House belongs to 544 Members. Now Mr. Sathe.

SHRI P. VENKATASUBBAIAH: We have also given many notices. If he begins to rise, we are under a handicap.

MR. SPEAKER: Let us not add to the handicap.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA (Contal): rose

MR. SPEAKER: I have told him that I am allowing it under rule 377.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA: No; not that Sir. The matter is very urgent. From all over the country, people are going to Kashmir.

MR. SPEAKER: There are hundreds of urgent matters.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA: Then tension is there. People from all over the country are in Kashmir. It is the duty of the Home Minister to see it and he should make a statement on this. Whether the safety and security has been assured there. Communal tension is growing there. He has rightly pointed out the pilgrims from all over the country have gathered there: thousands of pilgrims have gathered there. The stay is for 3-4 days. During these days, all the pilgrims have



to be gathered there People from all over the country are there A statement has to be made by the Government, what is the protection they are giving to these pilgrims We are hearing every day that there has been firing and people have been killed There have been satyagrahs, there have been dharnas and there have been demonstrations

MR SPEAKER Mr Qureshi has put is very strongly That is why no further argument is necessary in the matter.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA You kindly ask the Government to make a statement

(Interruptions)

SHRI C K CHANDRAPPA (Cannanore) The point is that if something happens in that place, then more tension will develop

(Interruptions)

It may create communal tension all over the country

(Interruptions)

SHRI SAMAR GUHA We are getting trunk-calls from various areas What happened to these trains? Therefore, it is the duty of the Government to make a statement

(Interruptions)

MR SPEAKER The Prime Minister is hearing all

(Interruptions)

SHRI SAMAR GUHA You give direction

(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER I am not able to

(Interruptions)

Prof Dilip Chakravarty, in every thing you think that you have a right.

(Interruptions)

PROF DILIP CHAKRAVARTY (Calcutta South) Just now you had assured Mr Jyotirmoy Bosu

(Interruptions)

Please listen to me one minute. Just now you had assured that you were considering about calling attention with regard to

MR SPEAKER I am not assuring anything I cannot give any assurance.

PROF DILIP CHAKRAVARTY Is there some monopoly? You had assured one Member Should I take it that you have

(Interruptions)

MR SPEAKER Do not record anything

(Interruptions)

जी डी० जी० नर्वा : (बलडाना) '   
 आप सिर्फ उन लोगों की बात सुनते हैं जा   
 एक टुक और आप-आप घण्टा बोलते हैं ।   
 हमारी बात आप सुनते ही नहीं हैं ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : डा० बलदेव   
 मण्डल ।

डा० बलदेव प्रकाश . (अमृतसर)   
 कुरेशी गृह ने जो तबाल उठाया है उसके   
 बारे में मैंने आज के लिए कालिग एटेंशन   
 मोशन दिया था । उनके बारे में अब तक   
 हमें कुछ पता नहीं लगा है । इस जो मोशन   
 आपने चाहिए था उम्मा आप आज से रहे   
 है, आज के लिए वह था गया है ।

You have no knowledge of it It lapses without our knowledge and information

[डा० बलदेव प्रकाश]

जो हमने मोशन दिया था माटन के बारे में वह सब ठीक ठीक हो गया।

They should be taken up for tomorrow's business.

मेरे रिजल्ट है कि जो आज के लिए दिया गया था कॉलिंग एटेंशन मॉशन

MR. SPEAKER: You have mentioned that.

जी डी० जी० गणई - अधिलम्बनीय लाभ: महत्व के विषय को ले कर मैंने 377 के अधीन आपको सूचना दी थी। मराठवाड़ा विद्यापीठ के बारे में यह थी। मराठवाड़ा विद्यापीठ का नाम बदल कर डा० अम्बेदकर विद्यापीठ कर दिए जाने की बगल से वहाँ पर बहुत ज्यादा तनाव बढ़ गया है और धीरे धीरे बढ़ रहा है। रेलें जलाई जा रही हैं, बसें जलाई जा रही हैं, आदमी मारे जा रहे हैं। गृह मंत्री यहाँ बैठे हुए हैं, प्रधान मंत्री बैठे हुए हैं। वहाँ का बातावरण बहुत ही तनावपूर्ण होता जा रहा है, हाथ खराब होती जा रही है। एक तरफ दलित लोग हैं दूसरा तरफ सर्वोपयोग। वे एक दूसरे से झगड़ रहे हैं, पंचरात्र कर रहे हैं। सरकार की मास मना को बहुत बड़ा नुकसान पहुँचाया जा रहा है। मैं परसों मराठवाड़ा से आया हूँ। वहाँ मुझे बहुत ही प्रधानका चित्र देखने को मिला है। मेरे सामने एक बच्चे का पत्थर मार कर उसकी जान ले ली गई। मैं गृह मंत्री जी का ध्यान उन तरफ दिखाना चाहता हूँ और उनसे प्रार्थना करता हूँ कि वहाँ पर स्थिति पर काबू पाने के लिए सी आरपी या फौज को भेजा जाए और मराठवाड़ा को बचाया जाए। मराठवाड़ा का हुरिजनों की भाव रखा करें, उन्हें बचाएं।

MR. SPEAKER: Now the Members themselves have seen how many urgent matters are before us. Therefore, it is a very difficult task for the Speaker to select. As for tomorrow, also, I

Am. of Privilege against Times of 278  
India correspondent

am hard put to it to select. The floods in northern India are one of the most devastating things. I thought it was a most important matter that we should take up

(Interruptions)

Therefore, I thought it was necessary that priority should be given to that. At the same time, I am thinking of giving a 377 statement to Mr. Qureshi because that is also an urgent matter. I have not been able to allow a calling attention because I thought floods were more important than this matter

(Interruptions)

I have heard all of you Now, Mr. Sathe

12.35 hrs.

'TIMES OF INDIA' CORRESPONDENT RE. ALLEGED MIS-REPORTING OF CERTAIN PROCEEDINGS OF LOK SABHA

SHRI VASANT SATHE (Akola): I am thankful to you for giving your kind consent under rule 222 to a matter, which I must say I feel deeply pained to raise as a privilege matter. I had thought, if you would recall, that I would bring this to your kind notice, to the notice of the House, so that even without bringing it as a privilege matter, it could be set right because it is no pleasure to me that a matter should be raised against the members of the fourth estate a journalist of an eminent paper like the Times of India. But unfortunately the Times of India thought it fit not to correct itself in spite of the fact that when I brought the omissions and commissions, the mistakes I honestly thought that it must have been an inadvertent mistake, very much as my hon. friend, Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu tried to show, it may be in the din and noise members rise and people in the gallery