a copy of the Certified Accounts (Hindi and English versions) of the Coffee Board for the year 1973-74 and the Audit Report thereon. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-1745/78].

ANNUAL REPORT OF NATIONAL CO-OPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION FOR 1976-77

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND CIVIL SUPPLIES AND CO-OPERATION (SHRI KRISHNA KU-MAR GOYAL): I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Annual Report (Hindi and English versions) of National Cooperative Development Corporation, New Delhi, for the year 1976-77, under sub-section (3) of section 14 of the National Cooperative Development Corporation Act, 1982. [Placed in Library See No. LT-1746/78].

NOTIFICATIONS UNDER CENTRAL EXCISES AND SALT ACT, 1944 AND ANNUAL REPORT OF UNITED INDIA FIRE AND GENERAL INBURANCE CO. LID., MADRAS FOR 1976 AND A STATEMENT RELATING

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI ZULFRQUARULLAH): I beg to lay on the Table:—

- (1) A copy each of the following Notifications (Hindi and English versions) under section 38 of the Central Excises and Salt Act, 1944:—
  - (i) The Central Excise (Third Amendment) Rules, 1978, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 444 in Gazette of India uated the 25th February, 1978.
  - (ii) The Central Excise (Fourth Amendment) Rules, 1978, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 445 in Gazette of India dated the 25th February, 1978. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-1747/78].

- (2) A copy each of the following papers (Hindi\* versions) under sub-section (1) of section 619A of the Companies Act, 1956:—
  - (i) Annual Report of the United India Fire and General Insurance Company Limited, Madras, for the year ended 31st December, 1976 along with the Audited Accounts and the comments of the Comptroller and Auditor General thereon.
  - (ii) A statement explaining that Government are in agreement with the above Report and therefore no separate Review on the working of the Company is being laid [Placed in Library, See No LT-1748/78].

12.01 hrs.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

REPORTED STATEMENT BY THE PRIME MINISTER ABOUT MERGER OF SIKKIM

SHRI SAUGATA ROY (Barrack-pore): Sir, I call the attention of the Prime Minister to the following matter of urgent public importance and I request that he may make a statement thereon:—

"The reported statement by the Prime Minister that Sikkim merger, was wrong"

THE PRIME MINISTER (SHRI MORARJI DESAI): Sir, the Hon' Members are exercised over the report of the press interview which I gave and which has been published in the newspapers on the question of Sikkim. I have not used the term 'annexation'; I spoke of merger. In response to a specific question by the correspondent I observed that the

<sup>\*</sup>English versions of the documents were laid on the Table on the 23rd December, 1977.

## [Shri Morarji Desai]

manner of merger was not desirable. I had also clearly stated that it cannot be undone. I have expressed my views on the manner in which the merger was effected. I did so at the time of merger and I have done so ever since. I have always believed that even desirable objectives should not be accomplished in a manner which would arouse needless criticism and suspicion.

Sikkim being a constituent unit of India is a settled fact. There can be to question of unsettling it. I have made it clear to the Chogyal that he should adjust himself to the changed circumstances which was what I recifically reiterated in the course of the piess interview.

SHRI SAUGATA ROY. Sir, I have gone through the Prime Minister's statement very carefully and I am thankful to him that the Prime Minister has not used the word "annexation" though that was the which was used in the headline of Times of India yesterday But when I rise to frame my question, I do so with a lot of pain because, to mind, this should not have been a matter of Calling Attention motion but should have been a matter of censure motion against the Prime Minister

This is the first time in the history of independent India that a Prime Minister has expressed an opinion against the integration of an integral part of the country, even if it is a personal opinion He has also expressed an opinion about the merger of Goa Tomorrow, he may express en opinion against the integration of princely States. Not only that. This is also against the Thirty-sixth Amendment of the Constitution which was passed by this House, by the Parliament, of which the Prime Minister was a member. I have great respect for the Prime Minister. But I must state that it is not a truthful statement. He has stated:

"I have expressed my views on the manner in which the merger was effected. I did so at the time of merger and I have done so ever since."

I have in my hand a copy of the debate of 4th September....

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: Where have I said. I did it in the House? I did not speak in the House. Mr. Shyamnandan Mishra spoke. He was the deputy leader of my party at that time. He has said definitely about it.

SHRI SAUGATA ROY: On September 4, 1974, the House debated the Thirty-sixth Amendment of the Constitution. Not only did the Prime Minister not speak against it but he also did not vote against it. Not only that The members of his party of which Mr. Shyamnandan Mishra was also a member and was its deputy leader did not vote against it.

Now if the Prime Minister says that he was not for it at that time and he did speak out, I can only say that I differ with that opinion. But my apprehensions are in another respect If I may mention, the majority of population of Sikkim, about 72 per cent are Nepalese speaking people and it was these Nepalese speaking people who mainly led the agitation against the Chogyal and for the integration and merger of Sikkim with India Sir, it seems to me, somehow or the other, that the Prime Minister has set his heart against these Nepalese speaking people also. I just referred to a brief statement that the Prime Minister made to the members of All India Nepalese Bhasha Samiti when they met the Prime Minister secently. According to the members of the delegation, they were treated very shabily. The Prime Minister said to them, "I derecognise Nepalese language from this Sahitya Akademy" when it has already been recognised by the Sahitya Akademy. He also said, "I ban the entry of the Nepalese people to the Indian Army, the Navy and the Air Force, if they insist on inclusion of Nepalese langu-

age in the Eighth Schedule."

257

If I may mention, I come from a State where Nepalese speaking people form a large part of the population and the present statement by the Prime Minister will create a further misapprehension in the minds of Nepalese speaking people who inhabit the worthern part of West Bengal, parts of Assam, Sikkim and also large parts of Uttar Pradesh. So, at this stage. I think, that this misapprehension is still more because the statement came out on the day the Chinese delegation came to New Delhi. People may find a connection between the two that the day the Chinese delegation came to New Delhi, on same day, the prime Minister of the country made a statement against the integration of an integral part of the country, of a State of the country, of people who are there in the country for so long I think that the Prime Minister is a true Gandhian and I would like to ask him-since he has already said that he has not said certain things-whether in this Parliament he will tender, he will express, regret for the remarks made and also to the Nepalese speaking people so that their misapprehension may be allayed

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I am verv sorry Sir. that the hon should have used the language which he used and made allegations against me which are quite unfounded. He 'll not succeed in putting Nepalese people against me, howsoever much he may try to do so, because I have no dislike of anybody Why should they say things which are unfounded? I have never said these things. (Interruptions) I never made that statement. If you confront those people before me. you will realise that I did not make that statement What is the meaning of imputting this kind

of a thing to me? If they nothing else to say and if they say this, I have every sympathy with my hon friends. I do not want to object to that. But this is not the way in which a debate of this kind should be carried on. He says: I am a true Gandhian. I am trying to follow Mahatma Gandhi truly. I cannot yet claim that I have completely become a true Gandhian in every sense in which Gandhiji was; I cannot claim that, but I am trying to approximate to his discipline. Now, one of the main requirements is that one should not be afraid of the whole world in telling the truth as one sees it. Whether others agree with it or not is not a matter of consequence. The consideration is that one must not speak for others; I speak for myself. It is not at all....

## (Interruptions)

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI (Chirayin-kil): You speak for the nation,

(Interruptions)

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: If this is what they want, I will not say anything. I sit down.

(Interruptions)

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN (Satara): When the Prime Minister makes a statement to a foreigner it is in his capacity as the Prime Minister and it is misunderstood. (Interruptions) it is national interests which are more important and I think the Prime Minister owes an explanation and apology to the House and the nation

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I do not want to ask for any apology for these unfounded attacks on me. What is the meaning of this? There is no question of my apology (Interruptions). It is not as if I have said this today. I am the Prime Minister no doubt. But that does not mean that what I had said then, I was not entitled to say it then, and if what I said then is what I believe was right-

[Shri Morarii Desai]

ly said at that time, and if somebody asks me about it, how can I deny what I had said? Whatever the hon. Member may say, I am not going to yield to that at all. (Interruptions).

डा० रासकी सिंह (भागलपुर): उपा-ध्यक्ष महोदय मैं भापके माध्यम से प्रधान मती जी का ध्यान भाकपित करना चाहता हू कि उन्होंने सिक्किम के विषय मे जो बयान दिया है, उससे लोगो के मन मे गलतफहमी हुई है। इतना तो निश्चित ही है कि सिक्किम जैसे सवाल पर जो कुछ उन्होंने कहा है, यह बडा सबैदनशील सवाल है लेकिन इसको व्यापक परिप्रेक्य मे देखना चाहिए।

मेरे मित्र जो ग्रभी इसके सम्बन्ध मे चर्चा कर रहे है, उनका ध्यान मै उस समय की लोक-सभा की डिबेट की श्रोर ग्राक्षित करना चाहता ह भीर उस समय सिक्किम का जिस प्रकार से भारत मे विलियन करने का प्रयास किया गया था उसके सम्बन्ध मे समुशी दुनिया के प्रख्वारो की कतरन क्या बोलती है वह भी मैं श्रापके समक्ष रखना चाहता ह । मै केवल यह कहना चाहता हंकि क्षिक्किम का जाभारत मे विलय हुआ। वह ठीक हमा, उसमे काई दो मत नहीं है। प्रधान मली जी भी उसको मानते है. लेकिन प्रश्न यह है कि साधन क्या है? साधन प्रगर अशुद्ध होगा तो साध्य पर भी उमका क्रप्रभाव पडेगा । उसका क्रप्रभाव द्निया मे ग्रीर खास-कर पड़ोनी देशों में क्या पड़ा वह मैं आपकी सेवामे ५ खनाचाहताहु।

श्राप देखेंगे कि इडियन एक्सप्रेम म इसको भिस्टोरिक बलन्डर वहा गया था। ढाका के 'इत्तिफाक" नामक लाजेंन्ट सर्कृतिटिग पेपर मे १ में विग फिश श्रीर स्माल फिश की सज्ञादी गई थी। यू० के० के लिवरल समाचार-पत्र "गा श्रयन" ने कहा था

'It is an act of annexation'

बाद में भुट्टो साहब के वक्त मे वाशिंग्टन पोस्ट ने कहा था—

"Bhutto sees an excuse for arms aid . Swallowing up.. "

इसी तरह से नेपाल में भी इसकी बडी प्रति-किया हुई थी भीर नेपाल की पंचायत के विदेश मती ने जो वस्तव्य दिया था वह मैं पढ़कर आपका समय नष्ट नहीं करना चाहता। लेकिन मैं यह प्रवश्य कहना चाहता हूं कि विदेश नीति तात्कालिक रूप से कितना भी हमको प्रभावित करें लेकिन ग्रन्ततोगत्वा उसका क्या असर होता है?

धाज मवेरे मैने नेपाल के एक सवर्षशील साथी से बातचीत की और मैने कहा कि प्राइम मिनिस्टर का जो वक्तव्य हुआ है उस पर क्या उसकी प्रतिकिया है। उसने कहा कि इसमें ग्रन्छा और कोई स्टैटमैट नही हो सकना था, जिसमें उन्होने कहा कि (ध्यवधान) मैं चीन की पीकिंग पीपल्स एजेन्सी का उद्धरण नहीं देना चाहना हू लेकिन यह इन लोगों की नेता श्रीमनी इन्दिरा गांधी के वकील का वक्तव्य लाक-सभा मं पढना चाहता ह। इन्होंने चोथे संशोधन बिल पर कहा था कि

"This is a wishy washy Bill, a dangerous innovation not only Constitutionally but even more dangerous politically"

यह हमने नहीं कहा था (अयवधान) श्री फैंक एन्थनी ने कहा था । (अयवधान) हमारे विरोधी मित्रों को भी मुनने की महिष्णुना होनी चाहिए। नेपाल का पचायन में कहा गया था कि एपी मैम्ब आफ पचायत से कहा गया था कि एपी मैम्ब आफ पचायत से कहा गया था कि एपी मैम्ब आफ पचायत आफ नैपाल कुड लनं ए लंडान काम सिक्किम।" उन्हाने कहा था कि अगर यही नराका है ता यह सवान यू० एन० श्रो० में उनाना चाहिए। नेपाल के उस साथी ने मुझे बताया कि उम अवसर पर नेपाल में बहुत ज्यादा प्रदर्शन हुए थे श्रीर नेपाल में एक मशय का बातावरण बन गया था। यही नहीं नेपाल श्रीर भारत के सम्बन्ध भी खराब हा गये थे (अयवधान)

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: I am on a point of order.

This Parliament constitutes the people of the country who stand for the territorial integrity of the nation. In this Parliament there is an Hon. Member representing Sikkim, Now the Hon. Member seeks to justify the statement or the allegation against this country and the Government in those days by certain foreign Governments, by Mr. Bhutto and some of the newspapers that the merger of Sikkim was wrong. He is trying to justify the stand. This Parliament is not to speak against the territorial integrity of the country: he should not be allowed to speak like this.

डा॰ रामजी सिंह: उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं चाहता हूं कि हमारे विरोधी मित्र थोडी देर ग्रीर मुनें:

जहां तक सिक्किम के भारत में विलयन का प्रश्न है यह मालूम होना चाहिए कि 1947 में जब सिक्किम कांग्रेस की स्थापना हुई थी, तो उन्होंने कांग्रेसी सरकार को विलयन के लिए कहा था। लेकिन पच्चीस वर्षों तक कांग्रेसी हुकमत ने उस पर ध्यान नहीं दिया। 1954 में वहां के शासक, नामग्याल, ने नेहरूजी को पत्न लिख कर कहाथा कि मिक्किम भारत में मिलना चाहिए। उम समय सिक्किम को नहीं मिलाया गया था। लेकिन जब स्थिति बिगड गई, जब दुनिया में ग्राप की छीछालेंदर हुई, तब यह काम किया गया (ध्यवधान)

मैं प्रपने विचार मंक्षेप में कह कर प्रधान मंत्री जी से नश्रनापूर्वक कुछ प्रश्न करना चाहता हूं: (ब्यवधान)

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA (Delhi Sadar): This is a very delicate matter. The Prime Minister has clarified his position that it was his personal opinion and my friend here is making political capital out of it. Here and now there should not be a

discussion. They should not try to make political capital out of these things.

डा॰ रामजी सिंह: क्या यह बात सच है कि सिक्किम के भारत के साथ विलयन का प्रावधान हमारे संविधान-निर्माताओं ने कुछ सोच-समझ कर ही छोड़ा था?

SHRI N. SREEKANTAN NAIR (Quilon): Is he making a speech or asking a question?

डा॰ रामजी सिंह : I am asking a question.

क्या सिक्किम के विलयन के समय एसेम्बली में भ्रनियमितताये हुई थीं? क्या सिक्किम के विलयन पर हमारे पड़ौसी देशो, बंगलादेश, नेपाल, पाकिस्तान भ्रौर सिक्किम भ्रादि, में प्रतिक्रिया हुई थी? (व्यवधान)

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA (Tumkur): I am on a point of order.

A Member has got every liberty and right to say what he feels, but can he say in these proceedings, while making a speech, anything against the interests of the nation? He is questioning the very merger of Sikkim with India. In his speech, he is talking against the interest of the country. You have to regulate this member in a proper manner.

हैं बाठ रामजी सिंह : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा प्रश्न यह है कि जिम समय 36 वें संविधान मंशोधन में मिक्किम को एमोशिएट स्टेट का वर्जा दे कर हिन्दुस्तान की राजनीति के लिए खतरा उपस्थित किया गया था, वह खतरा था या नहीं? अन्तिम सवाल यह है कि क्या 1947 में सिक्किम स्टेट कांग्रेस की स्थापना के समय वहा भारत में विलयन की मांग की गई थी या नहीं और 1954 में प्रधान मन्द्री ने हरू जी को विलयन के लिए पल दिया गया था या नहीं? धगर दिया गया था तो इतनी देर क्यों की गई?

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I do not want to generate any further excitement in the Opposition.

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN: We are very much excited.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I can understand your excitement but that does not mean that I should be excited, I do not want to add to that excitement and, therefore, I do not propose to reply to the questions put by the hon Member.

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN: I think, you owe an apology to the nation.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Do not make a political capital out of this

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: There is no question of any apology whatso-ever; I cannot do it

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN: You owe an apology to the nation. If you do not do it, we will stage a walk-out.

Shri Yeshwantrao Chavan and some other hon. Members left the House.

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN (Idukki): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I have written to you seeking permission to make a statement.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Yes.

STEPHEN: In SHRI C. M view of our party, what has happened is a very very serious matter. We are really pained to see that the Prime Minister does not concede the seriousness of the matter. According to me, three points are involved in this. First, the statement of the Prime Minister that he made the statement in his Occupying personal capacity. office has got its own constraints. When somebody occupies the position as the Prime Minister or the President of India, he has got certain constraints and when a remark is made about a matter vitally concerning the Constitution and the picture of the state of affairs in this country, particularly when talking to a foreign journalist, one cannot take it as a statement personally made. I do accept his statement on its face value, but the claim that he can make a statement in his personal capacity concerning a matter which is so vitally concerning the country is a proposition which I am incapable of accepting. Therefore, I have got my objection to that

Secondly, there is an oath everybody takes. The oath is: I will uphold the integrity and sovereignty of the country. By so many processes, the country has come to existence and Sikkim is a part of the country. As it is a part of the country, every Member of Parliament as also the Prime Winister is obliged to uphold the integrity of the country and to say that the process by which that integration took place was objectionable is not upholding the integrity of the country. To that extent, the Prime Minister has violated the oath that he took when he assumed office This is fundamental. If you say that the process was bad, if you say the means was bad, but say that the result is all right it is not upholding the integrity of the coun-Therefore, I do expect the Prime Minister to withdraw that statement so that the conscience of the people of Sikkim ma ybe set at, and so that the integrity of the country may be completely safeguarded. I do appeal to the Prime Minister to make a statement withdrawing that statement. I would like to hear what he has got to say about that

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I am not going to say anything

SHRI C. M STEPHEN: The Prime Minister says that he is not precared to withdraw that statement So Sir. in protest against the stand of the Prime Minister and to uphold the integrity of the country I and my Party stage swalk out.

266

Shri C. M. Stephen and some other hon. Members left the House.

SHRI M. N. GOVINDAN NAIR (Trivandrum): I do not want to make a speech. But we also protest against the statement made by the Prime Minister and we walk out.

Shri M. N. Govindan Nair and some other hon. Members left the House.

SHRI GEORGE MATHEW (Muvatupuzha): On behalf of Kerala Congress, we also protest and walk out.

Shri George Mathew and some other hon. Members left the House.

श्रीमती पन्त्राक्ती (भिवानी): इस महाद्वीप के तीन टुकडें बनाये—यह नेहरू डायनेस्टी की देन है। कश्मीर को हमेशा के लिए एक नासूर बनाकर रख दिया है: इस देश के लिए नासूर पैदा करने में इस डायनेस्टी ने बहुत बड़ा काम किया है लेकिन इस देश की प्राब्लम्स को साल्व करने के लिए कोई भी काम नहीं किया। (श्राव्यकान)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mr. Ahsan Jafri—not here.

Shri Ravindra Varma.

12.27 hrs.

## BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND LABOUR (SHRI RAVINDRA VARMA): With your permission, I rise to announce that Government Business in this House during the week commencing 13th March, 1978, will consist of:

(1) Consideration of any item of Government Business carried over from today's Order Paper.

- (2) Submission to the vote of the House of Demands for Grants on Account (General) for 1978-78.
  - (3) Discussion and voting on:
  - (a) Demands for Grants (Railways) for 1978-79.
  - (b) Supplementary Demands for Grants (Railways) for 1977-78.
  - (c) Demands for Excess Grants (Railways) for 1975-76.
  - (d) Demands for Excess Grants (General) for 1975-76.
  - (e) Supplementary Demands for Grants (General) for 1977-78.

SHRI HARIKESH BAHADUR (Gorakhpur): I have already given notice of a privilege motion against a Police Officer. About that I would like to say something.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: You have already been informed regarding the position. Please do not raise that matter now.

SHRI HARIKESH BAHADUR: Dr. Ambedkar, a respected leader of this nation—his photographs were torn out in Bareilly. About that also I have given a notice. It is a very serious matter.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: That is under consideration... (Interruptions).

SHRI HARIKESH BAHADUR: कोतवाली पुलिस के अन्तर्गत यह हुआ है। In UP, the Police officers are behaving like this....(Interruptions).

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: What is मने कह दिया कि वह घण्डर कंसिड्रेशन है the point of all of you getting up? It is under consideration.

Now Shri Vayalar Ravi.

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: (Chirayin-kil): The Minister has presented the business for the next week about which I would like to make one or two suggestions.