

(क) संसद् सदस्यों से इस समय लिये जा रहे फर्नीचर के किराया का आधार क्या है और क्या इस संबंध में ब्यौरे से उन्हें सूचित किया जाता है ;

(ख) नये और पुराने फर्नीचर में कैसे भेद किया जाता है और किसी विशेष भेद की खरीद के वर्ष का निर्णय किस प्रकार किया जाता है ; और

(ग) फर्नीचरों के पुराने मर्दों के ह्रास मूल्य का किस प्रकार निर्धारण किया जाता है और क्या उनका किराया भी तदनुसार घटता रहता है और यदि नहीं तो इसके क्या कारण हैं ?

निर्माण और आवास तथा पूति और पुनर्वास मंत्री (श्री सिकन्दर बख्त) : (क) संसद् सदस्यों को दिए गए फर्नीचर का किराया 25 प्रतिशत छूट के आधार पर इस प्रकार अंका गया है :—

(I) टिकाऊ

6,000 रु० के मूल्य तक के फर्नीचर की लागत 9 प्रतिशत प्रति वर्ष ।

6,000 रु० से अधिक मूल्य पर फर्नीचर की लागत का 13.75 प्रतिशत प्रति वर्ष ।

(II) गैर टिकाऊ

1,500 रु० मूल्य तक के फर्नीचर की लागत का 16.5 प्रतिशत प्रति वर्ष ।

1,500 रु० से अधिक मूल्य पर फर्नीचर की लागत का 24.5 प्रतिशत प्रति वर्ष ।

उन्हें दिए गए फर्नीचर का विवरण भी दिया गया है ;

(ख) 1952-58, 1968-69 और 1976-77 के दौरान संसद् सदस्यों के निवासों

की साज सज्जा के लिए फर्नीचर तीन हिस्सों में खरीदा गया था । प्रत्येक हिस्से में फर्नीचर की औसतन लागत के आधार पर किराया वसूल किया गया है ।

(ग) फर्नीचर के लिए मूल्य ह्रास को ध्यान में नहीं रखा जाता है क्योंकि इन्हें निरन्तर मरम्मत तथा अच्छी उपयोगी हालत में रखा जाता है । यदि मूल्य ह्रास को भी ध्यान में रखा जाए तो किराए की दर तदनुसार बढ़ेगी ।

11.57 hrs.

**RE: MOTION FOR ADJOURNMENT
FIRING ON BHEL WORKERS AT HARD-
WAR.**

**SHRI KRISHNA CHANDRA HAL-
DER (Durgapur):** Sir, let me be heard first

MR. SPEAKER: You will also be heard. He is on a point of order. Let me hear it. Shri Basu.

SHRI CHITTA BASU (Barasat): Sir, I am on a point of order. I have given notice of an adjournment motion on the firing at BHEL....

**SHRI KRISHNA CHANDRA HAL-
DAR:** I should be heard, Sir.

SHRI CHITTA BASU: Sir, I have given an adjournment motion under rule 60 for discussing the firing on the BHEL workers at Hardwar which had taken place on the 23rd of March last.

Sir, under Rule 60, you have got only two options. You have to state the reason why you have not accepted it.

MR. SPEAKER: I have stated;

SHRI CHITTA BASU: But, I have been communicated in a whispering way. It was communicated and the reason stated here is that since the Demand of the Ministry of Home Affairs is likely to be discussed ...

MR. SPEAKER: Ministry of Industries—not Home Ministry.

SHRI CHITTA BASU: It does not concern the Industries Ministry.

MR. SPEAKER: I have said, the concerned ministry.

SHRI CHITTA BASU: I think you should give me an opportunity to explain my position and also listen to the Minister concerned. under Rule 60 And then you can decide whether you will admit my motion or not. I rise under Rule 60, proviso (2) Before deciding whether you will reject or not you may ask for my explanation. My adjournment motion is not directed against the Industries Minister. It is directed against the Home Minister because the Central Industrial Security Force is under the direct control of the Home Ministry.

Sir, under our Constitution, the Law and Order falls under the State Government. But, the Central Industrial Security Force is under the administrative control of the Home Ministry of the Government of India. Secondly, Mr Speaker, Sir, you would also know that the Central Industrial Security Force are not to take orders from the local police.

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Basu, you are not to argue that matter.

SHRI CHITTA BASU: I want that the adjournment motion should be accepted and I should be given the chance to discuss it. You discussed the question of Lucknow. This is a very important matter. CISF has

run amuck and created trouble. They have injured a number of workers. One worker has been killed.

SHRI KRISHNA CHANDRA HALDER: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I have also given notice of an adjournment motion on the similar subject. The Central Industrial Security Force comes under the Home Ministry. BHEL is also a public undertaking. We want to have a discussion and, as such, you should allow our adjournment motion. It is a very serious matter. We want CISF should be abolished and withdrawn from BHEL.

MR. SPEAKER: You are now going into the facts of the case.

SHRI KRISHNA CHANDRA HALDER: Either you allow our adjournment motion or I have also given notice of a Calling Attention motion. (Interruptions)

Since yesterday Central Industrial Security Force has again been deployed in the factory. There may be breach of peace again. So, Sir, we want your ruling.

MR. SPEAKER: I have already given my ruling.

SHRI CHITTA BASU: What is the argument for your rejection?

SHRI KRISHNA CHANDRA HALDER: It is a Central force and it comes under the Home Ministry.

SHRI CHITTA BASU: You cannot brush it away. I draw your attention to Rule 60 proviso (2). I want to know your ruling.

MR. SPEAKER: I have already made my observation. Provision 2 merely says 'if the facts are not clear.' Nothing more. Now, I call Mr. Fernandes.