Rule 377

(iii) PAYMENT OF BONUS TO LIC Employees

SHRI KRISHNA CHANDRA HAL-DER (Durgapur): Mr. Speaker, Sir, Under Rule 377, I wish to raise a very important and urgent issue and urge upon the Government and the Minister concerned to make a statement on the floor of the House.

Sir, the Supreme Court declared the LIC (Modification of Settlement) Act, 1976 ultra vires, which was passed during the time of Emergency. The Court held that all settlement of wages with the Government which go towards th achieving of a living wage are protected by the Directive Principles in Article 43 of the Consitution. Sir, the hon. Supreme Court also held LIC bonus as wage and declared that the law modifying the bonus was contrary to public interest and not reasonable. You know that there was an agreement with the LIC employees that they will get 15 per cent bonus. But during the time of Emergency, the then Government headed by Mrs. Indira Gandhi, passed this anti-labour LIC (Modification of Settlement) Act, 1976 inspite of our opposition. We made several representations to this Janata Government but they did not restore this bonus, the legitimate dues to the LIC employees. It is a very important and urgent issue and the Supreme Court has by a unanimous decision restored the legitimate bonus So, Sir, through you I will request the Government to implement it and pav the bonus immediately with retrospective effect and make a statement on the floor of the House this afternoon as to when they will pay it.

(iv) Reported posssibility of import of Lassa Fever by travellers from Africa

श्री स्रोम प्रकाश त्यागी (वहराइच) : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं सरकार का ध्यान एक बहुत ही गम्भीर ममस्या की म्रोर म्राकर्षित करना चाहता हूं। मुझे खेद के साथ कहना

पड़ता है कि सरकार तब जागती है जबकि कोई बीमारी इस देश को ; स्त कर लेती है, पहले से ही उसको कोई रेमेडियल मेजर्स नहीं उठाती। मैंने पहले भी इस बात की मोर ध्यान भाकर्षित किया था कि मैक्साफार्मा के बारे में दूसरे देशों में प्रतिबंध लग गया लेकिन हमारी सरकार ग्रभी तक कोई निर्णय नहीं ले सकी। इसकी वजह से लोगों को पागलपन तक हो जाता है लेकिन मभी तक सरकार ने कोई कदम नहीं उठाया है। पूना के रिसर्च सेन्टर पर कीड़ों पर रिसर्च चल रही है। इस बात की म्रोर ध्यान म्राकर्षित किया गया है कि लासा फीवर वायरस जोकि वेस्ट मफीका में फैला हुन्ना है वह वहां से यहां मा सकता है । यह एक बहत ही खतरनाक बीमारी है जो ग्रगर लग गई तो बड़ा मुझ्किल हो जायेगा इसलिए ग्रभी से सरकार को सावधानी बरतनी चाहिए । ग्रफीका से जो लोग मा रहे हैं उनके लिए हेल्थ सॉटफिकेट में लासा फीवर का इंजेक्शन भी ग्रावश्यक माना जाये। मैं ग्रापको रिपोर्ट के ग्रनुमार बताना चाहता हुं ।

"The VRC report said that possible importation of lassa fever is a cause for concern. Explosive outbreaks can occur from a single infected individual and in this age of jet travel, a person infected in Africa with lassa virus will become ill in India a week later.

Lassa fever can be acquired by contact with an infected person or an infected rat. The disease is difficult to distinguish from malaria, typhoid, yellow fever or influenza and its diagonisis may pose a problem to Indian medical practitioners, it is warned."

यह रिसर्च सेन्टर ने बताया है कि यह कितनी खतरनाक बीमारी है ।

ग्रमरीका ग्रौर दूसरे देशों ने ग्रपने यहां के एग्ररपोर्ट्स ग्रौर पोर्ट्स पर इस तरह की वानिंग दे दी है, ग्रपनी हेल्य र्सविसिज को सचित कर दिया है कि वे इसको चैक करें। इतना ही नहीं. मान लीजिये कोई मादमी मफरीका से मा रहा है और वह इस बीमारी से पीडित है, उस विमान में भारतवर्ष माने वाला व्यक्ति भी है. तो वह भी इससे प्रभावित हो सकता है भौर यहां म्राने के बाद मलेरिया, पल या टायफाएड में कोई फर्क नहीं है। यह एक डेडली-डिजीज है, इसलिये सरकार को समय से सतर्क हो जाना चाहिए मौर हमारी पोट्ंस मौर एमर-पोर्ट्स पर तरन्त इस प्रकार की चैकिंग व्यवस्था होनी ् चाहिये ताकि यह बीमारी हमारे यहां न भ्रा सके। भ्राज ग्रफरीकी देशों में भारतवासी बहत बडी तादाद में बसे हए हैं. वे ग्रपने परिवारों के साथ मिलने के लिये यहां म्राते रहते हैं. इसलिये यह वानिंग श्रीर भी ज्यादा महत्वपूर्ण है। यदि सरकार ने समय रहते सावधानी नहीं बरती, तो उनके द्वारा यह बीमारी यहां ग्रा सकती है, उसके बाद इसका इलाज होना मश्किल हो जायेगा।

DR. VASANT KUMAR PANDIT (Rajgarh): Matters under rule 377 refer to matters of urgent public importance.

MR. SPEAKER: It gives an opportunity to the members to ventilate certain grievances.

DR. VASANT KUMAR PANDIT: The Minister is not required to made a statement in answer to the point raised, but it will be a healthy practice and convention if the Minister or the Minister of State concerned with the department comes here and shares the feelings expressed by members on the floor of the House.

MR. SPEAKER: This is being conveyed. The selection is made on the same day and the Minister will not know; he will not get notice. The office will convey it. Don't bother. 12.47 hrs.

CHILDREN (AMENDMENT) BILL-Contd.

MR. SPEAKER: The House will now resume further consideration of the following motion moved by Shri Pratap Chandra Chunder on the 22nd February, 1978, namely:—

"That the Bill to amend the Children Act, 1960, as passed by Rajya Sabha, be taken into consideration." Shri Kolanthaivelu:

SHRI R. KOLANTHAIVELU (Trichengoda): Sir, the Children (Amendment) Bil, 1957, is of course an important one for the progress of the country in the present situation. The original Act was enacted more than 15 years ago and thereafter there have been numerous and vast changes in the body of rules in all the fields and in the environmental situation too.

Even in this amending Bill, there must be some more changes and amendments, but due to the patience and liberal policy of the Janata Government, it is not done in a large measure.

In Section 7 of the principal Act, the jurisdiction and powers of the Board and the children's court must be clear because if there is no such limit, the progress of justice may be delayed even at this lower level.

In section 9 of the principal Act, the amendment is welcome, but in section 6(3) of the amendment that the Board member must have special knowledge of child and child welpsychology fare is not necessary. It should have been amended to suit the present situation of the society in our country. Section 43 ought to have been amended in such a way that the punishment must be still more because the Act was formulated in 1960 and not according to the present conditions. By all these Acts, the law should be such that almost all the punishments