12.06 hrs.

RE: ADJOURNMENT MOITON (Interruptions) **

MR. SPEAKER: Whatever is said without my permission will not be recorded.

श्री हरिकेश बहादुर (गोरखपुर): उड़ीसा में एक पत्नकार के साथ घोर अत्याचार हुआ है...

भ्रष्यक्ष महोदय: हरिकेश जी, ग्राप तो सज्जन ग्रादमी हैं, पढ़कर नहीं ग्राते? (Interruptions)

श्री राम विलास पासवान (हाजी--पुर): गुजरात में हरिजनों पर हो रहे अत्याचार के बारे में मैंने ऐंडजर्नमेंट मोशन दिया है..

ग्राष्ट्रमक्त महोदय: मैंने एलाऊ नहीं किया। जो बहस चल रही है इस पर बोलिए।

(Interruptions)

भी राम विलास पासवान : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, ग्राप देख रहे हैं कि वहां कांस्टी-ट्यूशनली किये गए ग्रारक्षण के विरोध में ग्रान्दोलन इतना तेज हो गया है...

च्चित्र महोदय: मैंने परसों एलाऊ किया था और ग्राप को पता भी है कि इस पर एडजर्नमेंट मोशन ग्रब एलाऊ नहीं हो सकता । ग्राप प्रेसीडेंट्स ऐड्रेस पर हो रही बहस में बोलिए। फिर कोई बात होगी तो देखेंगे।

(Interruptions) **

MR. SPEAKER: No discussion here. Not allowed.

(Interruptions) **

MR. SPEAKER: I have not allowed you Mr. Nadar. Nothing to go on record.

(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: Irrelevant. Not allowed. I have not allowed you. Mr. Nadar, you are supposed to be the Leader of your Group. Nothing is going on record whatever you may say.

(Interruptions)

No, not allowed.

श्री रामावतार शास्त्री (पटना) : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं ग्राप से एक निवेदन बार-बार कर चुका हूं । जमशेदपुर में स्थिति इतनी भयंकर

MR. SPEAKER: Not allowed. करेंगे । मैंने काटा तो नहीं उस को। मैंने डिसएलाऊ तो नहीं किया।

Your can come to my chamber and discuss it. This is not the forum. I have got it in my mind,

भ्राप चिन्ता मत कीजिए। (Interruptions)** MR. SPEAKER: Not allowed. Mr. Stephen.

12.02 hrs.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE
ANNUAL REPORTS OF THE HINDUSTAM
TELEPRINTERS LTD. MADRAS FOR 1979-80
AND INDIAN TELEPHONE INDUSTRIES
LTD. BANGALORE FOR 1979-80.
THE MINISTER OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI C. M. STEPHEN):

I beg to lay on the Table a copy each of the following Reports (Hindi †† version) under sub-section (1) of section 619A of the Companies Act, 1956:—

(1) Annual Report of the Hindustan Teleprinters Limited, Madras, for the year 1979-80 along with the Audited Accounts and the comments of the comptroller Auditor General thereon

^{**}Not recorded.

^{††}English version of the Reports and Hindi and English version of the Review by Government were laid the Table on the 15th December, 1980.

Re. Qn. of Priv. FEBRUARY 23, 1981 Re. Qn. of Priv. See No. LT-[Placed in Library. 1862/81].

(2) Annual Report of the India Telephone Industries Limited, Bangalore, for the year 1979-80 along with the Audited Accounts and the comments of the Comptroller and Auditor General theheon, [Placed in Library. See No. 1863/81].

12.03 hrs.

QUESTION OF PRIVILEGE (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: He is supposed to be the Leader of the Group. This is not the Parliamentary way of doing things. You should not have done this, please. This is not in the decorum of the House.

SHRI GEORGE FERNANDES (Muzaffarpur): Regarding the railway budget

MR. SPEAKER: I have sent you a reply.

SHRI GEORGE FERNANDES: certain document has come to me.

MR. SPEAKER: I am not going to discuss it, I have told you that I have gone through the precedents and I have gone through all the things and then I have decided.

SHRI GEORGE FERNANDES: I will bow to your decision. I only want to know....

(Interruptions) **

MR. SPEAKER: I have not allowed you, Mr. Nadar. Whatever is said without the permission of the Chair is irrelevant.

SHRI GEORGE FERNANDES: Sir, I bow to your decision but the point is that the answer which has come

288 from the Railway Minister only tries to white-wash.

have MR. SPEAKER: I through all the records. If you like I, will read out my observations.

I have to inform the House that Shri George Fernandes, M. P., give notice of a question of privilege on 20 February, 1981, against the Minister of Railways (Shri Kedar Pande) regarding alleged leakage of the Railway Budget presented to the House on 19 February, 1981. According to Shri Fernandes the Railway Budget was leaked out and the main features of the budget proposals were 'produced near verbatim in the Business Standrad of Culcutta, in its issue dated 19 February, 1981, with a date line of 18 February, 1981.

The matter was referred to the Minister of Railways under my directions. The Minister of Railways in their reply dated 20 February, 1981 which has the approval of the Minister of Railways have stated inter alia that "The indication given is that a flat 15 per cent surcharge is likely on the existing fare and freight rates. It will be noted from the Budget Speech that, in so far as passenger traffic is concerned, this 15 per cent surcharge is proposed to be levied only on travel by air-conditioned first class and not on travel by other classes for which lower scale of surcharge, on varying scale has been roposed. For first class this is 12.5 per cent and for A.C. Chair car and Second Class it is 10 per cent with exemption for journeys upto 150 Kms. in Second class ordinary. In so far as freight traffic is concerned the Budget proposal does propose a flat 15 per cent surcharge. However, it is pertinent to mention here that in the 1980-81 Budget speech, delivered on 16th June, 1980 also the levy of a flat 15 per cent surcharge had been proposed. As such, the indication, under comment, can at best be termed as an intelligent guess..the fare structure for suburban traffic has